



SAR TEST REPORT

Product Name: Pulse Oximeter

Model Number: Night O2

FCC ID: 2A949YW10

Issued For : Shenzhen Yimi Life Technology Co.,Ltd.

302 Building C, YouLiTong Industrial Plant, No.56 Qingsong Road, Longtian Street, Pingshan, 518118 Shenzhen, China

Issued By : Shenzhen LGT Test Service Co., Ltd.

Room 205, Building 13, Zone B, Zhenxiong Industrial Park, No.177, Renmin West Road, Jinsha, Kengzi Street, Pingshan District, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China

Report Number: LGT26H119HA01

Sample Received Date: Aug. 05, 2026

Date of Test Aug. 05, 2026 ~ Aug. 27, 2026

Date of Issue Aug. 27, 2026

Max. SAR (1g) Front of face: 0.079 W/kg

Wrist: 0.069 W/kg

The test report is effective only with both signature and specialized stamp. This report shall not be reproduced except in full without the written approval of the Laboratory. The results in this report only apply to the tested sample.



Table of Contents

1. General Information	5
1.1 EUT Description	5
1.2 Test Environment	6
1.3 Test Factory	6
2. Test Standards and Limits	7
3. SAR Measurement System	8
3.1 Definition of Specific Absorption Rate (SAR)	8
3.2 SAR System	8
4. Tissue Simulating Liquids	11
4.1 Simulating Liquids Parameter Check	11
5. SAR System Validation	13
5.1 Validation System	13
5.2 Validation Result	13
6. SAR Evaluation Procedures	14
7. EUT Test Position	15
7.1 Limb-worn Position Conditions	15
8. Uncertainty	16
8.1 Measurement Uncertainty	16
8.2 System validation Uncertainty	17
9. Conducted Power Measurement	18
9.1 Test Result	18
10. EUT and Test Setup Photo	19
10.1 EUT Photos	19
10.2 Setup Photos	20
11. SAR Result Summary	22
11.1 Front of face SAR	22
11.2 Wrist SAR	22
12. Equipment List	23
Appendix A. System Validation Plots	24
Appendix B. SAR Test Plots	26
Appendix C. Probe Calibration and Dipole Calibration Report	28



Revision History

Rev.	Issue Date	Contents
00	Aug. 27, 2026	Initial Issue



TEST REPORT CERTIFICATION

Applicant Shenzhen Yimi Life Technology Co.,Ltd.
Address 302 Building C, YouLiTong Industrial Plant, No.56 Qingsong Road,
Longtian Street, Pingshan, 518118 Shenzhen, China
Manufacture Shenzhen Yimi Life Technology Co.,Ltd.
Address 302 Building C, YouLiTong Industrial Plant, No.56 Qingsong Road,
Longtian Street, Pingshan, 518118 Shenzhen, China
Product Name Pulse Oximeter
Trademark N/A
Model Number Night O2
Sample number LGT2608157-2

APPLICABLE STANDARDS	
STANDARD	TEST RESULTS
ANSI/IEEE Std. C95.1-2019 FCC 47 CFR Part 2 (2.1093) IEEE 1528: 2013	PASS

Prepared by:

Deng Deng

Deng Deng
Engineer

Approved by:

Vita Li

Vita Li
Manager





1. General Information

Environmental evaluation measurements of specific absorption rate (SAR) distributions in emulated human head and body tissues exposed to radio frequency (RF) radiation from wireless portable devices for compliance with the rules and regulations of the U.S. Federal Communications Commission (FCC).

1.1 EUT Description

Product Name	Pulse Oximeter		
Test Model Number	Night O2		
Series Number	N/A		
Model Difference	N/A		
Device Category	Portable		
Product stage	Production unit		
RF Exposure Environment	General Population / Uncontrolled		
Hardware Version	N/A		
Software Version	N/A		
Frequency Range	Bluetooth	2402~2480 MHz	
Max. Reported SAR	Mode	Front of face (W/kg) (1g)	Wrist (W/ kg) (10g)
	Bluetooth	0.079	0.050
Test distance		0mm	0mm
Limit		1.6	4
Battery	Capacity: 230mAh Rated Voltage: 3.7V		
Operating Mode	BLE: GFSK		
Antenna Specification	Bluetooth: Chip		
Operating Mode	Maximum continuous output		
DTM Mode	Not Support		
Note:			
1. The EUT battery must be fully charged and checked periodically during the test to ascertain uniform power.			



1.2 Test Environment

Ambient conditions in the SAR laboratory:

Items	Required
Temperature (°C)	18-25
Humidity (%RH)	30-70

1.3 Test Factory

Company Name:	Shenzhen LGT Test Service Co., Ltd.
Address:	Room 205, Building 13, Zone B, Zhenxiong Industrial Park, No.177, Renmin West Road, Jinsha, Kengzi Street, Pingshan District, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China
Accreditation Certificate	FCC Registration No.: 746540
	CAB ID: CN0136



2. Test Standards and Limits

No.	Identity	Document Title
1	47 CFR Part 2	Frequency Allocations and Radio Treaty Matters; General Rules and Regulations
2	ANSI/IEEE Std. C95.1-2019	IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Electric, Magnetic, and Electromagnetic Fields, 0 Hz to 300 GHz
3	IEEE Std. 1528-2013	Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques
4	FCC KDB 447498 D01 v06	Mobile and Portable Device RF Exposure Procedures and Equipment Authorization Policies
5	FCC KDB 865664 D01 v01r04	SAR Measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz
6	FCC KDB 865664 D02 v01r02	RF Exposure Reporting
7	FCC KDB 648474 D04 v01r03	SAR Evaluation Considerations for Wireless Handsets

(A). Limits for Occupational/Controlled Exposure (W/kg)

<u>Whole-Body</u>	<u>Partial-Body</u>	<u>Hands, Wrists, Feet and Ankles</u>
-------------------	---------------------	---------------------------------------

0.4	8.0	20.0
-----	-----	------

(B). Limits for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure (W/kg)

<u>Whole-Body</u>	<u>Partial-Body</u>	<u>Hands, Wrists, Feet and Ankles</u>
-------------------	---------------------	---------------------------------------

0.08	1.6	4.0
------	-----	-----

NOTE: Whole-Body SAR is averaged over the entire body, partial-body SAR is averaged over any 1 gram of tissue defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube. SAR for hands, wrists, feet and ankles is averaged over any 10 grams of tissue defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube.

Population/Uncontrolled Environments:

Are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.

Occupational/Controlled Environments:

Are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by people who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation).

NOTE
GENERAL POPULATION/UNCONTROLLED EXPOSURE
PARTIAL BODY LIMIT
1.6 W/kg
Wrist LIMIT
4.0 W/kg



3. SAR Measurement System

3.1 Definition of Specific Absorption Rate (SAR)

SAR is related to the rate at which energy is absorbed per unit mass in an object exposed to a radio field. The SAR distribution in a biological body is complicated and is usually carried out by experimental techniques or numerical modeling. The standard recommends limits for two tiers of groups, occupational/controlled and general population/uncontrolled, based on a person's awareness and ability to exercise control over his or her exposure. In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are higher than the limits for general population/uncontrolled.

The SAR definition is the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dW) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dv) of a given density (ρ). The equation description is as below:

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dW}{dm} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dW}{\rho dv} \right)$$

SAR is expressed in units of Watts per kilogram (W/kg) SAR measurement can be related to the electrical field in the tissue by


$$SAR = \frac{\sigma E^2}{\rho}$$

Where: σ is the conductivity of the tissue;

ρ is the mass density of the tissue and E is the RMS electrical field strength.

3.2 SAR System

MVG SAR System Diagram:



COMOSAR is a system that is able to determine the SAR distribution inside a phantom of human being according to different standards. The COMOSAR system consists of the following items:

- Main computer to control all the system
- 6 axis robot
- Data acquisition system
- Miniature E-field probe
- Phone holder
- Head simulating tissue

The following figure shows the system.



The EUT under test operating at the maximum power level is placed in the phone holder, under the phantom, which is filled with head simulating liquid. The E-Field probe measures the electric field inside the phantom. The OpenSAR software computes the results to give a SAR value in a 1g or 1g mass.

3.2.1 Probe

For the measurements the Specific Dosimetric E-Field Probe 0326-EPGO-431 with following specifications is used

- Probe Length: 330 mm
- Length of Individual Dipoles: 2mm
- Maximum external diameter: 8 mm
- Probe Tip External Diameter: 2.5 mm
- Distance between dipole/probe extremity: 1 mm
- Dynamic range: 0.01-100 W/kg
- Probe linearity: 3%
- Axial Isotropy: < 0.10 dB
- Spherical Isotropy: < 0.10 dB
- Calibration range: 0.15 GHz to 7.5 GHz for head simulating liquid.
- Angle between probe axis (evaluation axis) and surface normal line: less than 30°



Figure 1-MVG COMOSAR Dosimetric E field Probe



3.2.2 Phantom

For the measurements the Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM) defined by the IEEE SCC-34/SC2 group is used. The phantom is a polyurethane shell integrated in a wooden table. The thickness of the phantom amounts to 2mm +/- 0.2mm. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right phone usage and includes an additional flat phantom part for the simplified performance check. The phantom set-up includes a cover, which prevents the evaporation of the liquid.




Figure-SN 06/22 SAM 148



Figure-SN 06/22 ELLI 51

3.2.3 Device Holder



The SAR in the phantom is approximately inversely proportional to the square of the distance between the source and the liquid surface. For a source at 5 mm distance, a positioning uncertainty of ± 0.5 mm would produce a SAR uncertainty of ± 20 %. Accurate device positioning is therefore crucial for accurate and repeatable measurements. The positions in which the devices must be measured are defined by the standards.



4. Tissue Simulating Liquids

4.1 Simulating Liquids Parameter Check

The simulating liquids should be checked at the beginning of a series of SAR measurements to determine if the dielectric parameters are within the tolerances of the specified target values

The uncertainty due to the liquid conductivity and permittivity arises from two different sources. The first source of error is the deviation of the liquid conductivity from its target value (max _ 5 %) and the second source of error arises from the measurement procedures used to assess conductivity. The uncertainty shall be assessed using a rectangular probability For 1 g averaging, the maximum weighting coefficient for SAR is 0,5.

IEEE SCC-34/SC-2 RECOMMENDED TISSUE DIELECTRIC PARAMETERS

The head and body tissue dielectric parameters recommended by the IEEE SCC-34/SC-2 have been incorporated in the following table.

Frequency	ϵ_r	σ 10g S/m
300	45.3	0.87
450	43.5	0.87
750	41.9	0.89
835	41.5	0.90
900	41.5	0.97
1450	40.5	1.20
1800 to 2000	40.0	1.40
2100	39.8	1.49
2450	39.2	1.80
2600	39.0	1.96
3000	38.5	2.40
3500	37.9	2.91
4000	37.4	3.43
4500	36.8	3.94
5000	36.2	4.45
5200	36.0	4.66
5400	35.8	4.86
5600	35.5	5.07
5800	35.3	5.27



LIQUID MEASUREMENT RESULTS

Date	Ambient		Simulating Liquid		Parameters	Target	Measured	Deviation %	Limited %
	Temp. [°C]	Humidity %	Frequency (MHz)	Temp. [°C]					
2026-08-07	23.1	41	2450	22.8	Permittivity	39.20	39.73	1.35	±5
					Conductivity	1.80	1.79	-0.56	±5




5. SAR System Validation

5.1 Validation System

Each MVG system is equipped with one or more system validation kits. These units, together with the predefined measurement procedures within the MVG software, enable the user to conduct the system performance check and system validation. System kit includes a dipole, and dipole device holder.

The system check verifies that the system operates within its specifications. It's performed daily or before every SAR measurement. The system check uses normal SAR measurement in the flat section of the phantom with a matched dipole at a specified distance. The system validation setup is shown as below.



5.2 Validation Result

Comparing to the original SAR value provided by MVG, the validation data should be within its specification of $\pm 10\%$.

Date	Freq.	Power	Power drift	Tested Value	Normalized SAR	Target SAR	Tolerance
	(MHz)	(mW)	(%)	(W/Kg)	(W/kg)	1g(W/kg)	(%)
2026-08-07	2450	100	5.316	53.16	52.98	0.34	10

Note:

1. The tolerance limit of System validation $\pm 10\%$.
2. The dipole input power (forward power) was 100 mW.
3. The results are normalized to 1 W input power.



6. SAR Evaluation Procedures

The procedure for assessing the average SAR value consists of the following steps:

The following steps are used for each test position

- Establish a call with the maximum output power with a base station simulator. The connection between the mobile and the base station simulator is established via air interface
- Measurement of the local E-field value at a fixed location. This value serves as a reference value for calculating a possible power drift.
- Measurement of the SAR distribution with a grid of 8 to 16mm * 8 to 16 mm and a constant distance to the inner surface of the phantom. Since the sensors cannot directly measure at the inner phantom surface, the values between the sensors and the inner phantom surface are extrapolated. With these values the area of the maximum SAR is calculated by an interpolation scheme.
- Around this point, a cube of 30 * 30 * 30 mm or 32 * 32 * 32 mm is assessed by measuring 5 or 8 * 5 or 8*4 or 5 mm. With these data, the peak spatial-average SAR value can be calculated.

➤ Area Scan & Zoom Scan

First Area Scan is used to locate the approximate location(s) of the local peak SAR value(s). The measurement grid within an Area Scan is defined by the grid extent, grid step size and grid offset. Next, in order to determine the EM field distribution in a three-dimensional spatial extension, Zoom Scan is required. The Zoom Scan is performed around the highest E-field value to determine the averaged SAR-distribution over 10 g. Area scan and zoom scan resolution setting follows KDB 865664 D01 quoted below.

When the 1-g SAR of the highest peak is within 2 dB of the SAR limit, additional zoom scans are required for other peaks within 2 dB of the highest peak that have not been included in any zoom scan to ensure there is no increase in SAR.




7. EUT Test Position

This EUT was tested in Front Face and Rear Face.

7.1 Limb-worn Position Conditions

Transmitters that are built-in within a wrist watch or similar wrist-worn devices typically operate in speaker mode for voice communication, with the device worn on the wrist and positioned next to the mouth. Next to the mouth exposure requires 1-g SAR and the wrist-worn condition requires 10-g extremity SAR.

(1) Next to the mouth use is evaluated with the front of the device positioned at 0 mm from a flat phantom filled with head tissue-equivalent medium



Test position for limb-worn devices



8. Uncertainty

8.1 Measurement Uncertainty

The following measurement uncertainty levels have been estimated for tests performed on the EUT as specified in IEEE 1528: 2013. This uncertainty represents an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of $k=2$.

Uncertainty Component	Tol (+/- %)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	Ci (1g)	Ci (10g)	1g Ui (+/-%)	10g Ui (+/-%)	vi
Measurement System								
Probe calibration	14	N	2	1	1	7.00	7.00	∞
Axial Isotropy	3.5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	$\sqrt{0.5}$	$\sqrt{0.5}$	1.43	1.43	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	5.9	R	$\sqrt{3}$	$\sqrt{0.5}$	$\sqrt{0.5}$	2.41	2.41	∞
Boundary effect	1	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.58	∞
Linearity	4.7	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.71	2.71	∞
System detection limits	1	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.58	∞
Modulation response	0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.00	0.00	∞
Readout Electronics	0.5	N	1	1	1	0.50	0.50	∞
Response Time	0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.00	0.00	∞
Integration Time	1.4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.81	0.81	∞
RF ambient conditions-Noise	3	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.73	1.73	∞
RF ambient conditions-reflections	3	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.73	1.73	∞
Probe positioner mechanical tolerance	3.28	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.89	1.89	∞
Probe positioning with respect to phantom shell	3.28	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.89	1.89	∞
Extrapolation, Interpolation and Integration Algorithms for Max, SAR	2.3	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.33	1.33	∞
Test sample Related								
Test sample positioning	2.6	N	1	1	1	2.60	2.60	11
Device holder uncertainty	3	N	1	1	1	3.00	3.00	7
Output Power Variation - SAR Drift Measurement	5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.89	2.89	∞
SAR scaling	5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.89	2.89	∞
Phantom and tissue parameters								
Phantom uncertainty (shape and thickness uncertainty)	4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.31	2.31	∞
Uncertainty in SAR correction for deviations in permittivity and conductivity	2.9	N	1	1	0.84	2.90	2.44	∞
Liquid Conductivity - Measurement Uncertainty)	4	R	1	0.78	0.71	3.12	2.84	5
Liquid Permittivity - Measurement Uncertainty	4.07	N	1	0.23	0.26	0.94	1.06	5
Liquid Conductivity (Temperature Uncertainty)	2.5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.78	0.71	1.13	1.02	∞
Liquid Permittivity (Temperature Uncertainty)	5.06	N	$\sqrt{3}$	0.23	0.26	0.67	0.76	∞
Combined Standard Uncertainty		RSS				11.80	11.63	
Expanded Uncertainty (95% Confidence interval)		K				23.6	23.26	



8.2 System validation Uncertainty

Uncertainty Component	Tol (+ - %)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	Ci (1g)	Ci (10g)	1g Ui (+-%)	10g Ui (+-%)	vi
Measurement System								
Probe calibration	14	N	2	1	1	7.00	7.00	∞
Axial Isotropy	3.5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.02	2.02	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	5.9	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0	0	0.00	0.00	∞
Boundary effect	1	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.58	∞
Linearity	4.7	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.71	2.71	∞
System detection limits	1	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.58	∞
Modulation response	0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.00	0.00	∞
Readout Electronics	0.5	N	1	1	1	0.50	0.50	∞
Response Time	0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.00	0.00	∞
Integration Time	1.4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0	0	0.00	0.00	∞
RF ambient conditions-Noise	3	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.73	1.73	∞
RF ambient conditions- reflections	3	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.73	1.73	∞
Probe positioner mechanical tolerance	3.28	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.89	1.89	∞
Probe positioning with respect to phantom shell	3.28	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.89	1.89	∞
Extrapolation, Interpolation and Integration Algorithms for Max, SAR	2.3	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.33	1.33	∞
Dipole								
Deviation of Experimental Source from Numerical Source	5	N	1	1	1	5.00	5.00	∞
Input Power and SAR Drift Measurement	0.5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.29	0.29	∞
Dipole Axis to Liquid Distance	2	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.15	1.15	∞
Phantom and Tissue Parameters								
Phantom uncertainty (shape and thickness uncertainty)	4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.31	2.31	∞
Uncertainty in SAR correction for deviations in permittivity and conductivity	2.9	N	1	1	0.84	2.90	2.44	∞
Liquid Conductivity - Measurement Uncertainty)	4	R	1	0.78	0.71	3.12	2.84	5
Liquid Permittivity - Measurement Uncertainty	4.07	N	1	0.23	0.26	0.94	1.06	5
Liquid Conductivity (Temperature Uncertainty)	2.5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.78	0.71	1.13	1.44	∞
Liquid Permittivity (Temperature Uncertainty)	5.06	N	$\sqrt{3}$	0.23	0.26	0.67	2.92	∞
Combined Standard Uncertainty		RSS				11.35	11.57	
Expanded Uncertainty (95% Confidence interval)		K				22.70	23.13	



9. Conducted Power Measurement

9.1 Test Result

BLE

BLE				
Mode	Channel Number	Frequency (MHz)	Average Power (dBm)	Output Power (mW)
GFSK(1Mbps)	0	2402	5.98	3.96
	19	2440	6.20	4.17
	39	2480	6.17	4.14
GFSK(2Mbps)	0	2402	5.96	3.94
	19	2440	6.14	4.11
	39	2480	6.16	4.13

10. EUT and Test Setup Photo

10.1 EUT Photos

Front side




Back side




10.2 Setup Photos


Front of face (separation distance is 0mm)



Wrist (separation distance is 0mm)



Liquid depth (15 cm)





11. SAR Result Summary

11.1 Front of face SAR

Band	Model	Test Position	Freq.	SAR (1g) (W/kg)	Power Drift(%)	Max. Turn-up Power (dBm)	Meas. Output Power (dBm)	Scaling Factor	Scaled SAR (W/Kg)	Meas. No.
BLE	GFSK	Front of face	2402	0.051	-1.53	6.50	5.98	1.127	0.057	/
		Front of face	2440	0.074	-2.88	6.50	6.20	1.072	0.079	1
		Front of face	2480	0.059	2.52	6.50	6.17	1.079	0.064	/

Note:

- The test separation of all above table is 0mm.
- Per KDB 447498 D01, the reported SAR is the measured SAR value adjusted for maximum tune-up tolerance.
 - Tune-up scaling Factor = tune-up limit power (mW) / EUT RF power (mW), where tune-up limit is the maximum rated power among all production units.
 - Scaled SAR(W/kg) = Measured SAR(W/kg) *Tune-up Scaling Factor

11.2 Wrist SAR

Band	Model	Test Position	Freq.	SAR (10g) (W/kg)	Power Drift (%)	Max. Turn-up Power (dBm)	Meas. Output Power (dBm)	Scaling Factor	Scaled SAR (W/Kg)	Meas. No.
BLE	GFSK	Wrist	2402	0.032	-3.60	6.50	5.98	1.127	0.036	/
		Wrist	2440	0.047	-3.64	6.50	6.20	1.072	0.050	2
		Wrist	2480	0.037	0.84	6.50	6.17	1.079	0.040	/

Note:

- The test separation of all above table is 0mm.
- Per KDB 447498 D01, the reported SAR is the measured SAR value adjusted for maximum tune-up tolerance.
 - Tune-up scaling Factor = tune-up limit power (mW) / EUT RF power (mW), where tune-up limit is the maximum rated power among all production units.
 - Scaled SAR(W/kg) = Measured SAR(W/kg) *Tune-up Scaling Factor



12. Equipment List

Kind of Equipment	Manufacturer	Type No.	Serial No.	Last Calibration	Calibrated Until
2450MHz Dipole	MVG	DIP2G450	SN 06/22 DIP2G450-645	2025.05.20	2028.05.19
E-Field Probe	MVG	SSE2	0326-EPGO-431	2026.01.22	2027.01.21
Liquid Calibration Kit	MVG	OCPG 87	SN 06/22 OCPG87	2026.05.28	2027.05.27
Antenna	MVG	ANTA 73	SN 06/22 ANTA 73	N/A	N/A
Ellipsoid Phantom	MVG	ELLI 51	SN 06/22 ELLI 51	N/A	N/A
Phantom	MVG	SAM 148	SN 06/22 SAM148	N/A	N/A
Phone holder	MVG	MSH 117	SN 06/22 MSH 117	N/A	N/A
Laptop positioner	MVG	LSH 36	SN 06/22 LSH 38	N/A	N/A
Directional coupler	SHW	SHWDCP	202203280013	N/A	N/A
Network Analyzer	R&S	ZVL	116184	2026.03.03	2027.03.02
Multi Meter	Keithley	DMM6500	4527252	2026.03.03	2027.03.02
Signal Generator	Keysight	N5182B	MY59100717	2026.03.03	2027.03.02
Wireless Communication Test Set	R&S	CMW500	137737	2026.03.02	2027.03.01
Power Sensor	R&S	Z11	116184	2026.03.03	2027.03.02
Electronic Temperature hygrometer	N/A	ST-W2318	N/A	2026.03.05	2027.03.04
Temperature hygrometer	N/A	TP101	N/A	2026.03.05	2027.03.04



Appendix A. System Validation Plots

System Performance Check Data (2450MHz)

Type: Phone measurement (Complete)


Area scan resolution: dx=8mm, dy=8mm

Zoom scan resolution: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Date of measurement: 2026-08-07

Experimental conditions.

Phantom	Validation plane
Device Position	Dipole
Band	CW2450
Channels	Middle
Signal	CW
Frequency (MHz)	2450.000
Relative permittivity	39.73
Conductivity (S/m)	1.79
Probe	0326-EPGO-431
ConvF	1.03
Crest factor:	1:1




Maximum location: X=5.00, Y=3.00 ; SAR Peak: 8.39 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	2.439
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	5.316



Z Axis Scan






Appendix B. SAR Test Plots

Plot 1:

Test Date	2026-08-07
Area Scan	dx=8mm dy=8mm
Zoom Scan	5x5x7, dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm
Phantom	Validation plane
Device Position	Front of face
Band	Bluetooth
Signal	Bluetooth
Frequency	2402
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.039
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.074
ConvF	1.03
Relative permittivity	39.73
Conductivity (S/m)	1.79

Maximum location: X=-8.00, Y=24.00 ; SAR Peak: 0.16 W/kg






Plot 2:

Test Date	2026-08-07
Area Scan	dx=8mm dy=8mm
Zoom Scan	5x5x7, dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm
Phantom	Validation plane
Device Position	Wrist
Band	Bluetooth
Signal	Bluetooth
Frequency	2402
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.047
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.064
ConvF	1.03
Relative permittivity	39.73
Conductivity (S/m)	1.79

Maximum location: X=16.00, Y=16.00 ; SAR Peak: 0.12 W/kg





Appendix C. Probe Calibration and Dipole Calibration Report

Refer the appendix Calibration Report.

※※※※※END OF THE REPORT※※※※※