



REPORT No. : SZ16100102S02

FCC SAR TEST REPORT

APPLICANT : Fourier Systems Inc

PRODUCT NAME : Tablet PC

MODEL NAME : ENTAB2、einstein II+、E892

TRADE NAME : einstein

BRAND NAME : einstein

FCC ID : 2AAKDEINSX02

STANDARD(S) : 47 CFR 2.1093
IEEE 1528-2013

ISSUE DATE : 2016-11-22



SHENZHEN MORLAB COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY Co., Ltd.

NOTE: This document is issued by MORLAB, the test report shall not be reproduced except in full without prior written permission of the company. The test results apply only to the particular sample(s) tested and to the specific tests carried out which is available on request for validation and information confirmed at our website.

MORLAB GROUP

FL1-3, Building A, FeiYang Science Park, No.8 LongChang Road,
Block67, BaoAn District, ShenZhen , GuangDong Province, P. R. China

Tel: 86-755-36698555
Http://www.morlab.com

Fax: 86-755-36698525
E-mail: service@morlab.cn



DIRECTORY

TEST REPORT DECLARATION	4
1. TECHNICAL INFORMATION	5
1.1 IDENTIFICATION OF APPLICANT	5
1.2 IDENTIFICATION OF MANUFACTURER	5
1.3 EQUIPMENT UNDER TEST (EUT)	5
1.3.1 PHOTOGRAPHS OF THE EUT	5
1.3.2 IDENTIFICATION OF ALL USED EUT	6
1.4 APPLIED REFERENCE DOCUMENTS	6
1.5 DEVICE CATEGORY AND SAR LIMITS	6
2. SPECIFIC ABSORPTION RATE (SAR)	7
2.1 INTRODUCTION	7
2.2 SAR DEFINITION	7
3. SAR MEASUREMENT SETUP	8
3.1 THE MEASUREMENT SYSTEM	8
3.2 PROBE	8
3.3 PROBE CALIBRATION PROCESS	10
3.3.1 DOSIMETRIC ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE	10
3.3.2 FREE SPACE ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE	10
3.3.3 TEMPERATURE ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE	10
3.4 PHANTOM	11
3.5 DEVICE HOLDER	11
4. TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUIDS	12
5. UNCERTAINTY ASSESSMENT	14
5.1 UNCERTAINTY EVALUATION FOR EUT SAR TEST	14
5.2 UNCERTAINTY FOR SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK	15



6. SAR MEASUREMENT EVALUATION	17
6.1 SYSTEM SETUP	17
6.2 VALIDATION RESULTS	18
7. OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS DURING TEST	19
7.1 BODY-WORN CONFIGURATIONS	19
7.2 MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE	19
7.3 DESCRIPTION OF INTERPOLATION/EXTRAPOLATION SCHEME	20
8. ANTENNA LOCATION AND TEST POSITION	21
9. MEASUREMENT OF CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER	22
10. TEST RESULTS LIST	23
11. REPEATED SAR MEASUREMENT	25
12. BLUETOOTH EXCLUSIONS APPLIED	26
13 ANNEX A PLOTS OF SAR TEST RESULTS	27
14 ANNEX B GENERAL INFORMATION	27
15 ANNEX C SETUP PHOTOS	27
15 ANNEX C SYSTEM CHECK DATA	27
ANNEX A PLOTS OF SAR TEST RESULTS	28
ANNEX B GENERAL INFORMATION	32

Change History		
Issue	Date	Reason for change
1.0	2016-11-22	First edition



REPORT No. : SZ16100102S02

TEST REPORT DECLARATION

Applicant	Fourier Systems Inc		
Applicant Address	16 Hamelacha Street, Rosh Ha'ayin 48091, Israel		
Manufacturer	Shenzhen Chuangwei Electronic Appliance Tech Co., Ltd.		
Manufacturer Address	4/F,6/F South, Skyworth Industrial Park, Shiyan Bao'an District, Shenzhen, Guangdong		
Product Name	Tablet PC		
Model Name	ENTAB2、einstein II+、E892		
Brand Name	einstein		
HW Version	V10		
SW Version	N/A		
Test Standards	47 CFR 2.1093; IEEE 1528-2013;		
Test Date	2016-11-15		
The Highest Reported 1g-SAR(W/kg)	Body	0.639W/kg	Limit(W/kg): 1.6W/kg

Tested by : Chen Shengkui
Chen Shengkui

Reviewed by : Liu Jun
Liu Jun

Approved by : Peng Huarui
Peng Huarui



1. TECHNICAL INFORMATION

Note: the Following data is based on the information by the applicant.

1.1 Identification of Applicant

Company Name:	Fourier Systems Inc
Address:	16 Hamelacha Street, Rosh Ha'ayin 48091, Israel

1.2 Identification of Manufacturer

Company Name:	Shenzhen Chuangwei Electronic Appliance Tech Co., Ltd.
Address:	4/F,6/F South, Skyworth Industrial Park, Shiyan Bao'an District, Shenzhen, Guangdong

1.3 Equipment Under Test (EUT)

Model Name:	ENTAB2、einstein II+、E892
Trade Name:	einstein
Brand Name:	einstein
Hardware Version:	V10
Software Version:	N/A
Tx Frequency Bands:	802.11 b/g/n: 2412-2462 MHz; Bluetooth; Bluetooth4.1; 2402-2480 MHz;
Uplink Modulations:	WIFI 802.11b: DSSS; WIFI 802.11g: OFDM; WIFI 802.11n: OFDM; Bluetooth: GFSK/ $\pi/4$ -DQPSK/8-DPSK; Bluetooth4.1: GFSK
Antenna type:	Fixed Internal Antenna
Development Stage:	Identical prototype

1.3.1 Photographs of the EUT

Please refer to the External Photos for the Photos of the EUT



1.3.2 Identification of all used EUT

The EUT identity consists of numerical and letter characters, the letter character indicates the test sample, and the Following two numerical characters indicate the software version of the test sample.

EUT Identity	Hardware Version	Software Version
1#	V10	N/A

1.4 Applied Reference Documents

Leading reference documents for testing:

No.	Identity	Document Title
1	IEEE 1528-2013	IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques
2	KDB 447498 D01v06	General RF Exposure Guidance
3	KDB 616217 D04v01r02	SAR for laptop and Tablets
4	KDB 248227 D01v02r02	SAR Measurement Guidance for IEEE 802.11 Transmitters
5	KDB 865664 D01v01r04	SAR Measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz
6	KDB 865664 D02v01r02	SAR Reporting

1.5 Device Category and SAR Limits

This device belongs to portable device category because its radiating structure is allowed to be used within 20 centimeters of the body of the user. Limit for General Population/Uncontrolled exposure should be applied for this device, it is 1.6 W/kg as averaged over any 1 gram of tissue.



2. SPECIFIC ABSORPTION RATE (SAR)

2.1 Introduction

SAR is related to the rate at which energy is absorbed per unit mass in an object exposed to a radio field. The SAR distribution in a biological body is complicated and is usually carried out by experimental techniques or numerical modeling. The standard recommends limits for two tiers of groups, occupational/controlled and general population/uncontrolled, based on a person's awareness and ability to exercise control over his or her exposure. In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are Middle than the limits for general population/uncontrolled.

2.2 SAR Definition

The SAR definition is the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dW) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dv) of a given density. (p). The equation description is as below:

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dW}{dm} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dW}{\rho dv} \right)$$

SAR is expressed in units of Watts per kilogram (W/kg)

SAR measurement can be either related to the temperature elevation in tissue by,

$$SAR = C \left(\frac{\delta T}{\delta t} \right)$$

Where C is the specific head capacity, δT is the temperature rise and δt the exposure duration, or related to the electrical field in the tissue by

$$SAR = \frac{\sigma |E|^2}{\rho}$$

Where σ is the conductivity of the tissue, ρ is the mass density of the tissue and $|E|$ is the rms electrical field strength.

However for evaluating SAR of low power transmitter, electrical field measurement is typically applied.

3. SAR MEASUREMENT SETUP

3.1 The Measurement System

Comosar is a system that is able to determine the SAR distribution inside a phantom of human being according to different standards. The Comosar system consists of the Following items:

- Main computer to control all the system
- 6 axis robot
- Data acquisition system
- Miniature E-field probe
- Phone holder
- Head simulating tissue

The Following figure shows the system.



The EUT under test operating at the maximum power level is placed in the phone holder, under the phantom, which is filled with head simulating liquid. The E-Field probe measures the electric field inside the phantom. The OpenSAR software computes the results to give a SAR value in a 1g or 10g mass.

3.2 Probe


For the measurements the Specific Dosimetric E-Field Probe SN 37/08 EP80 with Following specifications is used

- Dynamic range: 0.01-100 W/kg
- Tip Diameter: 6.5 mm

- Distance between probe tip and sensor center: 2.5mm
- Distance between sensor center and the inner phantom surface: 4 mm
(repeatability better than +/- 1mm)
- Probe linearity: <0.25 dB
- Axial Isotropy: <0.25 dB
- Spherical Isotropy: <0.25 dB
- Calibration range: 835to 2500MHz for head & body simulating liquid.

Angle between probe axis (evaluation axis) and surface normal line: less than 30°

Probe calibration is realized, in compliance with CENELEC EN 62209 and IEEE 1528 std, with CALISAR, Antenna proprietary calibration system. The calibration is performed with the EN 622091 annex technique using reference guide at the five frequencies.



$$SAR = \frac{4(P_{fw} - P_{bw})}{ab\delta} \cos^2\left(\pi \frac{y}{a}\right) c \quad (2z/\delta)$$

Where :

P_{fw} = Forward Power

P_{bw} = Backward Power

a and b = Waveguide dimensions

l = Skin depth



Keithley configuration:

Rate = Medium; Filter =ON; RDGS=10; FILTER TYPE =MOVING AVERAGE; RANGE AUTO

After each calibration, a SAR measurement is performed on a validation dipole and compared with a NPL calibrated probe, to verify it.

The calibration factors, CF(N), for the 3 sensors corresponding to dipole 1, dipole 2 and dipole 3 are:

$$CF(N)=SAR(N)/Vlin(N) \quad (N=1,2,3)$$

The linearised output voltage Vlin(N) is obtained from the displayed output voltage V(N) using

$$Vlin(N)=V(N)*(1+V(N)/DCP(N)) \quad (N=1,2,3)$$

Where DCP is the diode compression point in mV.

3.3 Probe Calibration Process

3.3.1 Dosimetric Assessment Procedure

Each E-Probe/Probe Amplifier combination has unique calibration parameters. SATIMO Probe calibration procedure is conducted to determine the proper amplifier settings to enter in the probe parameters. The amplifier settings are determined for a given frequency by subjecting the probe to a known E-field density (1 mW/cm^2) using an with CALISAR, Antenna proprietary calibration system.

3.3.2 Free Space Assessment Procedure

The free space E-field from amplified probe outputs is determined in a test chamber. This calibration can be performed in a TEM cell if the frequency is below 1 GHz and in a waveguide or other methodologies above 1 GHz for free space. For the free space calibration, the probe is placed in the volumetric center of the cavity and at the proper orientation with the field. The probe is rotated 360 degrees until the three channels show the maximum reading. The power density readings equates to 1 mW/cm^2 .

3.3.3 Temperature Assessment Procedure

E-field temperature correlation calibration is performed in a flat phantom filled with the appropriate simulating head tissue. The E-field in the medium correlates with the temperature rise in the dielectric medium. For temperature correlation calibration a RF transparent thermistor-based temperature probe is used in conjunction with the E-field probe.

Where:

δt = exposure time (30 seconds),

$$SAR = C \left(\frac{\delta T}{\delta t} \right)$$

C = heat capacity of tissue (brain or muscle),

δT = temperature increase due to RF exposure.

SAR is proportional to $\Delta T/\Delta t$, the initial rate of tissue heating, before thermal diffusion takes place. The electric field in the simulated tissue can be used to estimate SAR by equating the thermally derived SAR to that with the E- field component.

Where:

$$SAR = \frac{\sigma |E|^2}{\rho}$$

σ = simulated tissue conductivity,


ρ = Tissue density (1.25 g/cm³ for brain tissue)

3.4 Phantom

For the measurements the Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM) defined by the IEEE SCC-34/SC2 group is used. The phantom is a polyurethane shell integrated in a wooden table. The thickness of the phantom amounts to 2mm +/- 0.2mm. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right phone usage and includes an additional flat phantom part for the simplified performance check. The phantom set-up includes a cover, which prevents the evaporation of the liquid.

3.5 Device Holder

The positioning system allows obtaining cheek and tilting position with a very good accuracy. In compliance with CENELEC, the tilt angle uncertainty is Middle than 1°.



Device holder

System Material	Permittivity	Loss Tangent
Delrin	3.7	0.005



4. TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUIDS

For SAR measurement of the field distribution inside the phantom, the phantom must be filled with homogeneous tissue simulating liquid to a depth of at least 15 cm. For head SAR testing, the liquid height from the ear reference point (ERP) of the phantom to the liquid top surface is larger than 15 cm. For body SAR testing, the liquid height from the center of the flat phantom to the liquid top surface is larger than 15 cm. The nominal dielectric values of the tissue simulating liquids in the phantom and the tolerance of 5% are listed in below table.

The following table gives the recipes for tissue simulating liquids

Frequency Band (MHz)	2450	5200-5800
Tissue Type	Body	Body
Ingredients (% by weight)		
Deionised Water	73.20	78.60
Salt(NaCl)	0.10	0.00
Sugar	0.00	0.00
Tween 20	0.00	0.00
HEC	0.00	0.00
Bactericide	0.00	0.00
Triton X-100	0.00	10.70
DGBE	26.70	0.00
Diethyleneglycol monohexylether	0.00	10.70
Measured dielectric parameters		
Dielectric Constant	52.70	Note
Conductivity (S/m)	1.95	

Note: Please refer to the validation results for dielectric parameters of each frequency band.

The dielectric properties of the tissue simulating liquids were verified prior to the SAR evaluation using an Agilent 85033E Dielectric Probe Kit and an Agilent Network Analyzer.



REPORT No. : SZ16100102S02

Table 1: Dielectric Performance of Tissue Simulating Liquid

Temperature: 22.0~23.8°C, humidity: 54~60%.						
Date	Freq.(MHz)	Liquid Parameters	Meas.	Target	Delta(%)	Limit±(%)
2016/11/15	Body 2450	Relative Permittivity(ϵ_r):	52.48	52.70	-0.42	5
		Conductivity(σ):	1.96	1.95	0.51	5



5. UNCERTAINTY ASSESSMENT

The Following table includes the uncertainty table of the IEEE 1528. The values are determined by Antennessa.

5.1 UNCERTAINTY EVALUATION FOR EUT SAR TEST

a	b	c	d	e= f(d,k)	f	g	h= c*f/e	i= c*g/ e	k
Uncertainty Component	Sec.	Tol (+-%)	Prob Dist.	Div.	Ci (1g)	Ci (10g)	1g Ui (+-%)	10g Ui (+-%)	Vi
Measurement System									
Probe calibration	E.2.1	4.76	N	1	1	1	4.76	4.7	∞
Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	2.5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	1.01	1.0	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	E.2.2	4.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	1.62	1.6	∞
Boundary effect	E.2.3	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.5	∞
Linearity	E.2.4	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.89	2.8	∞
System detection limits	E.2.5	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.5	∞
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	0.02	N	1	1	1	0.02	0.0	∞
Reponse Time	E.2.7	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.73	1.7	∞
Integration Time	E.2.8	2.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.15	1.1	∞
RF ambient Conditions	E.6.1	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.73	1.7	∞
Probe positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E.6.2	2.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.15	1.1 5	∞
Probe positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	E.6.3	0.05	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.03	0.0 3	∞
Extrapolation, interpolation and integration Algoritms for Max. SAR Evaluation	E.5.2	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.89	2.8 9	∞
Test sample Related									
Test sample positioning	E.4.2. 1	0.03	N	1	1	1	0.03	0.0 3	N- 1
Device Holder Uncertainty	E.4.1.	5.00	N	1	1	1	5.00	5.0	N-



	1							0	1
Output power Power drift - SAR drift measurement	6.6.2	4.04	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.33	2.3 3	∞
Phantom and Tissue Parameters									
Phantom Uncertainty (Shape and thickness tolerances)	E.3.1	0.05	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.03	0.0 3	∞
Liquid conductivity - deviation from target value	E.3.2	4.57	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	0.43	1.69	1.1 3	∞
Liquid conductivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	5.00	N	1	0.64	0.43	3.20	2.1 5	M
Liquid permittivity - deviation from target value	E.3.2	3.69	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	0.49	1.28	1.0 4	∞
Liquid permittivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	10.0 0	N	1	0.6	0.49	6.00	4.9 0	M
Combined Standard Uncertainty			RSS				11.55	10. 67	
Expanded Uncertainty (95% Confidence interval)			K=2				23.11	21. 33	

5.2 UNCERTAINTY FOR SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK

a	b	c	d	e= f(d,k)	f	g	h= c*f/e	i= c*g/ e	k
Uncertainty Component	Sec.	Tol (+-%)	Prob Dist.	Div.	Ci (1g)	Ci (10g)	1g Ui (+-%)	10g Ui (+-%)	Vi
Measurement System									
Probe calibration	E.2.1	4.76	N	1	1	1	4.76	4.7	∞
Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	2.5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	1.01	1.0	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	E.2.2	4.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	1.62	1.6	∞
Boundary effect	E.2.3	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.5	∞
Linearity	E.2.4	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.89	2.8	∞
System detection limits	E.2.5	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.5	∞
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	0.02	N	1	1	1	0.02	0.0	∞




Reponse Time	E.2.7	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.73	1.7	∞
Integration Time	E.2.8	2.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.15	1.1	∞
RF ambient Conditions	E.6.1	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.73	1.7	∞
Probe positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E.6.2	2.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.15	1.1 5	∞
Probe positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	E.6.3	0.05	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.03	0.0 3	∞
Extrapolation, interpolation and integration Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	E.5.2	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.89	2.8 9	∞
Dipole									
Dipole axis to liquid Distance	8,E.4. 2	1.00	N	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.5 8	∞
Input power and SAR drift measurement	8,6.6. 2	4.04	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.33	2.3 3	∞
Phantom and Tissue Parameters									
Phantom Uncertainty (Shape and thickness tolerances)	E.3.1	0.05	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.03	0.0 3	∞
Liquid conductivity - deviation from target value	E.3.2	4.57	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	0.43	1.69	1.1 3	∞
Liquid conductivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	5.00	N	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	0.43	1.85	1.2 4	M
Liquid permittivity - deviation from target value	E.3.2	3.69	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	0.49	1.28	1.0 4	∞
Liquid permittivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	10.0 0	N	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	0.49	3.46	2.8 3	M
Combined Standard Uncertainty			RSS				8.83	8.3 7	
Expanded Uncertainty (95% Confidence interval)			K=2				17.66	16. 73	

6. SAR MEASUREMENT EVALUATION

6.1 System Setup

In the simplified setup for system evaluation, the DUT is replaced by a calibrated dipole and the power source is replaced by a continuous wave which comes from a signal generator. The calibrated dipole must be placed beneath the flat phantom section of the SAM twin phantom with the correct distance holder. The distance holder should touch the phantom surface with a light pressure at the reference marking and be oriented parallel to the long side of the phantom. The system check verifies that the system operates within its specifications. It is performed daily or before every SAR measurement. The system check uses normal SAR measurements in the flat section of the phantom with a matched dipole at a specified distance. The system verification setup is shown as below



The validation dipole is placed beneath the flat phantom with the specific spacer in place. The distance spacer is touch the phantom surface with a light pressure at the reference marking and be oriented parallel to the long side of the phantom. The power meter PM1 measures the forward power at the location of the system check dipole connector. The signal generator is adjusted for the desired forward power (250 mW is used for 700 MHz to 3 GHz, 100 mW is used for 3.5 GHz to



6 GHz) at the dipole connector and the power meter PM2 is read at that level. After connecting the cable to the dipole, the signal generator is readjusted for the same reading at power meter PM2.

6.2 Validation Results

After system check testing, the SAR result will be normalized to 1W forward input power and compared with the reference SAR value derived from validation dipole certificate report. The deviation of system check should be within 10 %.

Frequency	2450MHz(B)
Target value 1W (1g)	56.13 W/Kg
Test value 1g (100 mW input power)	5.439 W/Kg
Normalized to 1W value(1g)	54.39 W/Kg

Note: System checks the specific test data please see 34~35.

7. OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS DURING TEST

7.1 Body-worn Configurations

The body-worn configurations shall be tested with the supplied accessories (belt-clips, holsters, etc.) attached to the device in normal use configuration.

For body-worn and other configurations a flat phantom shall be used which is comprised of material with electrical properties similar to the corresponding tissues.




Illustration for Body Worn Position

7.2 Measurement procedure

The Following steps are used for each test position

1. Establish a call with the maximum output power with a base station simulator. The connection between the mobile and the base station simulator is established via air interface.
2. Measurement of the local E-field value at a fixed location. This value serves as a reference value for calculating a possible power drift.
3. Measurement of the SAR distribution with a grid of 8 to 16mm * 8 to 16 mm and a constant distance to the inner surface of the phantom. Since the sensors cannot directly measure at the inner phantom surface, the values between the sensors and the inner phantom surface are extrapolated. With these values the area of the maximum SAR is calculated by an interpolation scheme.
4. Around this point, a cube of 30 * 30 * 30 mm or 32 * 32 * 32 mm is assessed by measuring 5 or 8 * 5 or 8*4 or 5 mm. With these data, the peak spatial-average SAR value can be calculated.



7.3 Description of interpolation/extrapolation scheme

The local SAR inside the phantom is measured using small dipole sensing elements inside a probe body. The probe tip must not be in contact with the phantom surface in order to minimize measurements errors, but the highest local SAR will occur at the surface of the phantom.


An extrapolation is using to determinate this highest local SAR values. The extrapolation is based on a fourth-order least-square polynomial fit of measured data. The local SAR value is then extrapolated from the liquid surface with a 1mm step.

The measurements have to be performed over a limited time (due to the duration of the battery) so the step of measurement is high. It could vary between 5 and 8 mm. To obtain an accurate assessment of the maximum SAR averaged over 10 grams and 1 gram requires a very fine resolution in the three dimensional scanned data array.

8. ANTENNA LOCATION AND TEST POSITION

For tablets with a display and overall diagonal dimension 45cm >20cm, the SAR procedure in KDB 447498 should be used. The tablet procedures required by KDB 447498 generally do not require separate hotspot mode testing.

According to KDB 447498 D01, the bottom face (back of the device) is required to be tested touching the flat phantom. Per KDB 447498, SAR testing applies for the tablet edges with antenna located within 5cm of each tablet edge closet to the user.



Assessment		SAR Test Positon				
		Test distance: 0mm				
Antennas	Back	Front	Edge A	Edge B	Edge C	Edge D
WLAN&BT	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No



9. MEASUREMENT OF CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER

1. WiFi Average output power

Band	Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Output Power(dBm)		
			802.11b (DSSS)	802.11g (OFDM)	802.11n20 (OFDM)
WiFi	1	2412	13.74	13.05	12.15
	6	2437	13.97	13.16	11.92
	11	2462	14.02	13.13	11.88

2. BT peak output power

Band	Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Output Power(dBm)		
			GFSK	$\pi/4$ -DQPSK	8-DPSK
BT2.1+EDR	0	2402	5.01	2.05	2.22
	39	2441	6.35	3.83	3.91
	78	2480	6.10	4.57	4.59

Band	Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Output Power(dBm)
			GFSK
BT4.0	0	2402	4.06
	19	2441	5.10
	39	2480	5.50



10. TEST RESULTS LIST

Summary of Measurement Results (WLAN 2.4GHz 802.11b Band)

Temperature: 21.0~23.8°C, humidity: 54~60%.					
Phantom Configurations	Device Test Positions	Device Test channel	SAR(W/Kg) , 1g Peak	Scaling Factor	Scaled SAR (W/Kg), 1g
Body (0mm Separation)	Edge A	11	0.635	1.007	0.639
	Back upward		0.554		0.558

Notes:

- Adjust SAR for OFDM is $0.635 \times 13.97 / 13.16 = 0.674 \text{ W/Kg} < 1.2$, so SAR is not required for OFDM modes.
- SAR is measured for 2.4 GHz 802.11b DSSS using either the fixed test position or, when applicable, the initial test position procedure. SAR test reduction is determined according to the following:
 - When the reported SAR of the highest measured maximum output power channel for the exposure configuration is $\leq 0.8 \text{ W/kg}$, no further SAR testing is required for 802.11b DSSS in that exposure configuration.
 - When the reported SAR is $> 0.8 \text{ W/kg}$, SAR is required for that position using the next highest measured output power channel. When any reported SAR is $> 1.2 \text{ W/kg}$, SAR is required for the third channel; i.e., all channels require testing.
- 2.4 GHz 802.11 g/n OFDM are additionally evaluated for SAR if the highest reported SAR for 802.11b, adjusted by the ratio of the OFDM to DSSS specified maximum output power, is $> 1.2 \text{ W/kg}$. When SAR is required for OFDM modes in 2.4 GHz band, the Initial Test Configuration Procedures should be followed.
- Justification for test configurations for WLAN per KDB Publication 248227 D01DR02-41929 for 2.4 GHz WIFI single transmission chain operations, the highest measured maximum output power channel for DSSS was selected for SAR measurement. SAR for OFDM modes (2.4 GHz 802.11g/n) was not required due to the maximum allowed powers and the highest reported DSSS SAR.



REPORT No. : SZ16100102S02

5. Scaling Factor calculation

Band	Tune-up power tolerance(dBm)	SAR test channel Power (dBm)	Scaling Factor
WiFi 2.4GHz	Max output power =13.5+-0.5	13.97	1.007



11. REPEATED SAR MEASUREMENT

In accordance with published RF Exposure KDB procedure 865664 D01 SAR measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz. These additional measurements are repeated after the completion of all measurements requiring the same head or body tissue-equivalent medium in a frequency band. The test device should be returned to ambient conditions (normal room temperature) with the battery fully charged before it is re-mounted on the device holder for the repeated measurement(s) to minimize any unexpected variations in the repeated results.

- 1) Repeated measurement is not required when the original highest measured SAR is < 0.80 W/kg; steps 2) through 4) do not apply.
- 2) When the original highest measured SAR is ≥ 0.80 W/kg, repeat that measurement once.
- 3) Perform a second repeated measurement only if the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original and first repeated measurements is > 1.20 or when the original or repeated measurement is ≥ 1.45 W/kg ($\sim 10\%$ from the 1-g SAR limit).
- 4) Perform a third repeated measurement only if the original, first or second repeated measurement is ≥ 1.5 W/kg and the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original, first and second repeated measurements is > 1.20 .



12. BLUETOOTH EXCLUSIONS APPLIED

Test distance: 5mm			
Band	Highest power(mW) per tune up	1-g SAR test threshold	Test required?
BT2.1+EDR	4.47	$[(\text{max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW})/(\text{min. test separation distance, mm})] \cdot [\sqrt{f(\text{GHz})}] \leq 3.0$ for 1-g SAR	No
BT4.0	3.98	$[(\text{max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW})/(\text{min. test separation distance, mm})] \cdot [\sqrt{f(\text{GHz})}] \leq 3.0$ for 1-g SAR	No

Note:

The BT stand-alone SAR is not required, the standalone SAR must be estimated according to following to determine simultaneous transmission SAR test exclusion:

$(\text{max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW})/(\text{min. test separation distance, mm}) \cdot [\sqrt{f(\text{GHz})}/x] \text{ W/kg}$ for test separation distances $\leq 50 \text{ mm}$;

where $x = 7.5$ for 1-g SAR, and $x = 18.75$ for 10-g SAR.

(Max power=3.16 mW; min. test separation distance= 5mm for Head; $f=2.4\text{GHz}$)

BT estimated Body SAR =0.185W/Kg (1g)



REPORT No. : SZ16100102S02

13 ANNEX A PLOTS OF SAR TEST RESULTS

14 ANNEX B GENERAL INFORMATION

15 ANNEX C SYSTEM CHECK DATA

15 ANNEX D SETUP PHOTOS

**ANNEX A PLOTS OF SAR TEST RESULTS****MEASUREMENT 1**

Type: Phone measurement (Complete)

Area scan resolution: dx=8mm,dy=8mm

Zoom scan resolution: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Date of measurement: 2016.11.15



Measurement duration: 13 minutes 32 seconds

A. Experimental conditions.

Phantom File	surf_sam_plan.txt
Phantom	Flat
Device Position	Body
Band	802.11b
Channels	Middle
Signal	DSSS

B. SAR Measurement Results**Middle Band SAR (Channel 11)**

Frequency (MHz)	2472.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	52.480397
Conductivity (S/m)	1.958859
Power drift (%)	-1.240000
Ambient Temperature:	22.0°C
Liquid Temperature:	21.8°C
ConvF:	4.96
Crest factor:	1:1

SURFACE SAR**VOLUME SAR**




REPORT No. : SZ16100102S02

Maximum location: X=24.00, Y=-15.00


SAR Peak: 0.77 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.314282
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.635070


Z axis scan



3D screen shot



Hot spot position



**MEASUREMENT 2**

Type: Phone measurement (Complete)

Area scan resolution: dx=8mm,dy=8mm

Zoom scan resolution: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Date of measurement: 2016.11.15

Measurement duration: 13 minutes 32 seconds



A. Experimental conditions.

Phantom File	surf_sam_plan.txt
Phantom	Flat
Device Position	Body
Band	802.11b
Channels	Middle
Signal	DSSS

B. SAR Measurement Results

Middle Band SAR (Channel 11)

Frequency (MHz)	2472.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	39.225412
Conductivity (S/m)	1.810954
Power drift (%)	2.080000
Ambient Temperature:	22.0°C
Liquid Temperature:	21.8°C
ConvF:	4.96
Crest factor:	1:1

SURFACE SAR**VOLUME SAR**




REPORT No. : SZ16100102S02

Maximum location: X=-1.00, Y=-8.00


SAR Peak: 0.59 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.249624
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.554412


Z axis scan



3D screen shot



Hot spot position





ANNEX B GENERAL INFORMATION

1. Identification of the Responsible Testing Laboratory

Company Name:	Shenzhen Morlab Communications Technology Co., Ltd.
Department:	Morlab Laboratory
Address:	FL.3, Building A, FeiYang Science Park, No.8 LongChang Road, Block 67, BaoAn District, ShenZhen, Guangdong Province, P. R. China
Responsible Test Lab Manager:	Mr. Su Feng
Telephone:	+86 755 36698555
Facsimile:	+86 755 36698525

2. Identification of the Responsible Testing Location

Name:	Shenzhen Morlab Communications Technology Co., Ltd. Morlab Laboratory
Address:	FL.3, Building A, FeiYang Science Park, No.8 LongChang Road, Block 67, BaoAn District, ShenZhen, Guangdong Province, P. R. China

**3. List of Test Equipments**

No.	Instrument	Type	Cal. Date	Cal. Due
1	PC	Dell (Pentium IV 2.4GHz, SN:X10-23533)	(n.a)	(n.a)
2	Network Emulator	Aglient (8960, SN:10752)	2016-6-7	1year
3	Network Analyzer	Agilent(E5071B ,SN:MY42404762)	2016-7-8	1year
4	Voltmeter	Keithley (2000, SN:1000572)	2016-7-8	1year
5	Signal Generator	Rohde&Schwarz (SMP_02)	2016-7-8	1year
6	Power Amplifier	PRANA (Ap32 SV125AZ)	2016-7-8	1year
7	Power Meter	Agilent (E4416A, SN:MY45102093)	2016-7-8	1year
8	Power Sensor	Agilent (N8482A, SN:MY41091706)	2016-7-8	1year
9	Directional coupler	Giga-tronics(SN:1829112)	2016-7-24	1year
10	Probe	Satimo (SN:SN 37/08 EP80)	2016-7-5	1year
11	Dielectric Probe Kit	Agilent (85033E)	2016-7-5	1year
12	Phantom	Satimo (SN:SN_36_08_SAM62)	N/A	N/A
13	Liquid	Satimo(Last Calibration: 2015-08-25)	N/A	N/A
14	Dipole 2450MHz	Satimo (SN 30/13 DIP2G450-263)	2016-7-5	1year



ANNEX C SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK DATA

System Performance Check Data(Body)

Type: Phone measurement (Complete)

Area scan resolution: dx=8mm,dy=8mm

Zoom scan resolution: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Date of measurement: 2016.11.15

Measurement duration: 13 minutes 27 seconds

A. Experimental conditions.


Phantom File	surf_sam_plan.txt
Phantom	Validation plane
Device Position	
Band	2450MHz
Channels	
Signal	CW

B. SAR Measurement Results


Band SAR

Frequency (MHz)	2450.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	52.480397
Conductivity (S/m)	1.958859
Power Drift (%)	0.630000
Ambient Temperature:	22.9°C
Liquid Temperature:	22.1°C
ConvF:	4.96
Crest factor:	1:1

SURFACE SAR



VOLUME SAR





REPORT No. : SZ16100102S02

Maximum location: X=7.00, Y=6.00

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	2.642158
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	5.439275

Z Axis Scan

