

SAR TEST REPORT

For

Motic China Group Co., LTD

10.1 inch Quad core capacitive touch tablet

Model No.:MGT101

Prepared for : Motic China Group Co., LTD
Address : Motic Building, Torch Hi-Tech Industrial Development
Zone, Xiamen, P.R.C

Prepared by : Shenzhen LCS Compliance Testing Laboratory Ltd.
Address : 1/F., Xingyuan Industrial Park, Tongda Road, Bao'an
Avenue, Bao'an District, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China

Tel : (86)755-82591330
Fax : (86)755-82591332
Web : www.LCS-cert.com
Mail : webmaster@LCS-cert.com

Date of receipt of test sample : September 26, 2015
Number of tested samples : 1
Serial number : Prototype
Date of Test : September 26, 2015 - September 29, 2015
Date of Report : September 29, 2015

SAR TEST REPORT**Report Reference No.....: LCS1509221225E**

Date Of Issue.....: September 29, 2015

Testing Laboratory Name: Shenzhen LCS Compliance Testing Laboratory Ltd.Address.....: 1/F., Xingyuan Industrial Park, Tongda Road, Bao'an Avenue,
Bao'an District, Shenzhen, Guangdong, ChinaTesting Location/ Procedure: Full application of Harmonised standards ■
Partial application of Harmonised standards □
Other standard testing method □**Applicant's Name.....: Motic China Group Co., LTD**Address.....: Motic Building, Torch Hi-Tech Industrial Development Zone,
Xiamen, P.R.C**Test Specification:**

Scaled SAR Max. Values is: Body: 0.859 W/Kg (1g).

TestStandard.....: ANSI/IEEE C95.1:2005/ANSI/IEEE C95.3 :2002
IEEE1528 :2003

Test Report Form No.: LCSEMC-1.0

TRF Originator.....: Shenzhen LCS Compliance Testing Laboratory Ltd.

Shenzhen LCS Compliance Testing Laboratory Ltd. All rights reserved.

This publication may be reproduced in whole or in part for non-commercial purposes as long as the Shenzhen LCS Compliance Testing Laboratory Ltd. is acknowledged as copyright owner and source of the material. Shenzhen LCS Compliance Testing Laboratory Ltd. takes no responsibility for and will not assume liability for damages resulting from the reader's interpretation of the reproduced material due to its placement and context.

Test Item Description.....: 10.1 inch Quad core capacitive touch tablet

Trade Mark.....: Motic


Model/Type Reference.....: MGT101

Ratings: DC 3.7V by battery(6000mAh)
Adapter parameters: Input: AC 100~240V, 50/60Hz 0.4A
Output: DC 5V/2A**Result: Positive****Compiled by:**

Dick Su/ File administrators

Supervised by:

Glin Lu/ Technique principal

Approved by:

Gavin Liang/ Manager

SAR -- TEST REPORT

Test Report No. :	LCS1509221225E	<u>September 29, 2015</u> Date of issue
--------------------------	-----------------------	--

Type / Model.....	: MGT101
EUT.....	: 10.1 inch Quad core capacitive touch tablet
Applicant.....	: Motic China Group Co., LTD
Address.....	: Motic Building, Torch Hi-Tech Industrial Development Zone, Xiamen, P.R.C
Telephone.....	: /
Fax.....	: /
Manufacturer.....	: Shenzhen Huaruian Technology Co., Ltd
Address.....	: HuaRuiAn Building, The Third Industrial Park, Gushu, Xixiang, Bao'an District, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China
Telephone.....	: /
Fax.....	: /
Factory.....	: Shenzhen Huaruian Technology Co., Ltd
Address.....	: HuaRuiAn Building, The Third Industrial Park, Gushu, Xixiang, Bao'an District, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China
Telephone.....	: /
Fax.....	: /

Test Result	Positive
--------------------	-----------------

The test report merely corresponds to the test sample.

It is not permitted to copy extracts of these test result without the written permission of the test laboratory.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. TEST STANDARDS AND TEST DESCRIPTION.....	5
1.1. TEST STANDARDS	5
1.2. TEST DESCRIPTION	5
1.3. PRODUCT DESCRIPTION	6
1.4. SUMMARY SAR RESULTS	7
1.5. EUT OPERATION MODE.....	7
1.6. EUT CONFIGURATION.....	7
2. TEST ENVIRONMENT	8
2.1. TEST FACILITY	8
2.2. ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS	8
2.3. SAR LIMITS	8
2.4. EQUIPMENTS USED DURING THE TEST	9
3. SAR MEASUREMENTS SYSTEM CONFIGURATION	10
3.1. SARMEASUREMENT SET-UP.....	10
3.2. OPENSAR E-FIELD PROBE SYSTEM.....	11
3.3. PHANTOMS.....	12
3.4. DEVICE HOLDER	12
3.5. SCANNING PROCEDURE	13
3.6. DATA STORAGE AND EVALUATION.....	13
3.7. TISSUE DIELECTRIC PARAMETERS FOR HEAD AND BODY	16
3.8. DIELECTRIC PERFORMANCE.....	17
3.9. BASIC SAR SYSTEM VALIDATION REQUIREMENTS	18
3.10. SYSTEM SETUP	18
3.11. MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE	20
4. OUTPUT POWER VERIFICATION	21
4.1. TEST CONDITION:.....	21
4.2. TEST PROCEDURE:.....	21
4.3. CONDUCTED POWER MEASUREMENT	22
5. SAR TEST RESULT	23
5.1. TEST CONDITION:.....	23
5.2. OPERATION MODE	23
5.3. SAR SUMMARY TEST RESULT	24
5.4. TESTREDUCTION PROCEDURE	24
5.5. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY (700MHz-3GHz)	25
5.6. SYSTEM CHECK RESULTS	27
5.7. SAR TEST GRAPH RESULTS.....	28
6. CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES	29
6.1. PROBE CALIBRATION CERITICATE	30
6.2. SID2450 DIPOLE CALIBRATION CERITICATE.....	48
7. SAR SYSTEM PHOTOGRAPHS	59
8. SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS.....	60
9. EUTPHOTOGRAPHS	61

1.TEST STANDARDS AND TEST DESCRIPTION

1.1. Test Standards

The tests were performed according to following standards:

ANSI/IEEE C95.1: 2005:IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields,3 kHz to 300 GHz.

ANSI/IEEE C95.3: 2002:IEEE Recommended Practice for Measurements and Computations of Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields With Respect to Human Exposure to Such Fields,100 kHz—300 GHz.

IEEE1528:2003:Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate.

KDB447498 D01v05r02:General RF Exposure Guidance.

KDB248227 D01 802.11 Wi-Fi SAR v02:SAR measure for 802.11 a/b/g.

KDB865664 D01v01r03:SAR measurement 100MHz to 6GHz.

KDB865664 D02v01r01:SAR Report.

KDB690783 D01v01r03:SAR listings on Grants.

KDB616217 D04v01r01: SAR for laptop and tablets v01r01

FCC Part 2:2012: frequency allocations and radio treaty matters; general rules and regulations

1.2. Test Description

The EUT battery must be fully charged and checked periodically during the test to ascertain uniform power .
And Test device is identical prototype.

1.3. Product Description

Product Name:	10.1 inch Quad core capacitive touch tablet
Trade Mark:	Motic
Model/Type reference:	MGT101
Listed Model(s):	MGT101
Hardware Version	TX-A1006-RK3188 V1.0
Software Version:	V1.0.0.2.KTU84Q.20150827.181751
Power supply:	DC 3.7V by battery(6000mAh) Adapter parameters: Input: AC 100~240V, 50/60Hz 0.4A Output: DC 5V/2A
WIFI	
Supported type:	802.11b/802.11g/802.11n
Modulation:	802.11b: DSSS 802.11g/802.11n:OFDM
Operation frequency:	802.11b/802.11g/802.11n(HT20):2412MHz~2462MHz;
Channel number:	802.11b/802.11g/802.11n(HT20):11
Channel separation:	5MHz
Bluetooth	
Version:	V4.0
Modulation:	GFSK
Operation frequency:	2402MHz~2480MHz
Channel number:	40
Channel separation:	2MHz

1.4. Summary SAR Results

Table 1:Max. SAR Measured(1g)

Exposure Configuration	Technolohy Band	Highest Measured SAR 1g(W/Kg)
Body-worn (Separation Distance 0mm)	WLAN2450	0.859

The SAR values found for the Mobile Phone are below the maximum recommended levels of 1.6W/Kg as averaged over any 1g tissue accordintg to the ANSI C95.1-1999.

For body worn operation,this devices has been tested and meets FCC RF exposure guidelines when used with any accessory that conrtains no metal and which provides a minimum separation distance of 0mm between this devices and the body of the user.User of other accessories may not ensure compliance with FCC RF exposure guidelines.

In the front of EUT has two speakers,just used to public.

The EUT battery must be fully charged and checked periodically during the test to ascertain iniform power output

1.5. EUT operation mode

The EUT has been tested under typical operating condition and The Transmitter was operated in the normal operating mode. The TX frequency was fixed which was for the purpose of the measurements.

1.6. EUT configuration

The following peripheral devices and interface cables were connected during the measurement:

● - supplied by the manufacturer

○ - supplied by the lab

○	Power Cable	Length (m) :	/
		Shield :	/
		Detachable :	/
○	Multimeter	Manufacturer :	/
		Model No. :	/

2.TEST ENVIRONMENT

2.1. Test Facility

The test facility is recognized, certified, or accredited by the following organizations:

Site Description

EMC Lab.

: CNAS Registration Number. is L4595.
FCC Registration Number. is 899208.
Industry Canada Registration Number. is 9642A-1.
VCCI Registration Number. is C-4260 and R-3804.
ESMD Registration Number. is ARCB0108.
UL Registration Number. is 100571-492.
TUV SUD Registration Number. is SCN1081.
TUV RH Registration Number. is UA 50296516-001

2.2. Environmental conditions

During the measurement the environmental conditions were within the listed ranges:

Temperature:	18-25 ° C
Humidity:	40-65 %
Atmospheric pressure:	950-1050mbar

2.3. SAR Limits

EXPOSURE LIMITS	FCC Limit (1g Tissue)
	SAR (W/kg) (General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure Environment)
Spatial Average(averaged over the whole body)	0.08
Spatial Peak(averaged over any 1 g of tissue)	1.6
Spatial Peak(hands/wrists/ feet/anklesaveraged over 10 g)	4.0

Population/Uncontrolled Environments are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individual who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.

Occupational/Controlled Environments are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by people who are aware of the potential for exposure (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation).

2.4. Equipments Used during the Test

Test Equipment	Manufacturer	Type/Model	Serial Number	Calibration	
				Calibration Date	Calibration Due
PC	Lenovo	G5005	MY42081102	N/A	N/A
Signal Generator	Angilent	E4438C	MY42081396	09/25/2015	09/24/2016
Multimeter	Keithley	MiltiMeter 2000	4059164	10/01/2014	09/30/2015
S-parameter Network Analyzer	Agilent	8753ES	US38432944	09/25/2015	09/24/2016
Power Meter	R&S	NRVS	100444	06/18/2015	06/17/2016
Power Meter	R&S	NRVS	100469	06/18/2015	06/17/2016
Power Sensor	R&S	NRV-Z51	100458	06/18/2015	06/17/2016
Power Sensor	R&S	NRV-Z32	100657	06/18/2015	06/17/2016
E-Field PROBE	SATIMO	SSE5	SN 17/14 EP220	10/01/2014	09/30/2015
E-Field PROBE	SATIMO	SSE5	SN 17/14 EP221	09/01/2015	08/31/2016
DIPOLE 2450	SATIMO	SID 2450	SN 07/14 DIP 2G450-306	10/01/2014	09/30/2015
COMOSAR OPEN Coaxial Probe	SATIMO	OCPG 68	SN 40/14 OCPG68	10/01/2014	09/30/2015
Communication Antenna	SATIMO	ANTA57	SN 39/14 ANTA57	10/01/2014	09/30/2015
Mobile Phone POSITIONING DEVICE	SATIMO	MSH98	SN 40/14 MSH98	N/A	N/A
DUMMY PROBE	SATIMO	DP60	SN 03/14 DP60	N/A	N/A
SAM PHANTOM	SATIMO	SAM117	SN 40/14 SAM117	N/A	N/A
Simulated Tissue 2450 MHz Body and Head	SATIMO	SAM-24-H	SN 21/14 HLJ445	Each Time	N/A
PHANTOM TABLE	SATIMO	TABP98	SN 40/14 TABP98	N/A	N/A
6 AXIS ROBOT	KUKA	KR6-R900	501217	N/A	N/A
High Power Solid State Amplifier (80MHz~1000MHz)	Instruments for Industry	CMC150	M631-0627	09/25/2015	09/24/2016
Medium Power Solid State Amplifier (0.8~4.2GHz)	Instruments for Industry	S41-25	M629-0539	09/25/2015	09/24/2016
Wave Tube Amplifier 48 GHz at 20Watt	Hughes Aircraft Company	1277H02F000	102	09/25/2015	09/24/2016

3.SAR MEASUREMENTS SYSTEM CONFIGURATION

3.1. SARMeasurement Set-up

The OPENSAR system for performing compliance tests consist of the following items:

A standard high precision 6-axis robot (KUKA) with controller and software.

KUKA Control Panel (KCP)

A dosimetric probe, i.e., an isotropic E-field probe optimized and calibrated for usage in tissue simulating liquid. The probe is equipped with a Video Positioning System(VPS).

The stress sensor is composed with mechanical and electronic when the electronic part detects a change on the electro-mechanical switch,It sends an "Emergency signal" to the robot controller that to stop robot's moves

A computer operating Windows XP.

OPENSAR software


Remote control with teaches pendant and additional circuitry for robot safety such as warning lamps, etc.

The SAM phantom enabling testing left-hand right-hand and body usage.

The Position device for handheld EUT

Tissue simulating liquid mixed according to the given recipes .

System validation dipoles to validate the proper functioning of the system.



3.2. OPENSAR E-field Probe System

The SAR measurements were conducted with the dosimetric probe EP220 (manufactured by SATIMO), designed in the classical triangular configuration and optimized for dosimetric evaluation.

Probe Specification

Construction Symmetrical design with triangular core

Interleaved sensors

Built-in shielding against static charges

PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g., DGBE)

Calibration ISO/IEC 17025 calibration service available.


Frequency	700 MHz to 3 GHz; Linearity: 0.25 dB (700 MHz to 3 GHz)
Directivity	0.25 dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis) 0.5 dB in tissue material (rotation normal to probe axis)
Dynamic Range	0.01 W/kg to > 100 W/kg; Linearity: 0.25 dB
Dimensions	Overall length: 330 mm (Tip: 16 mm) Tip diameter: 5 mm (Body: 8 mm) Distance from probe tip to sensor centers: 2.5 mm
Application	General dosimetry up to 3 GHz Dosimetry in strong gradient fields Compliance tests of Mobile Phones



Isotropic E-Field Probe

The isotropic E-Field probe has been fully calibrated and assessed for isotropicity, and boundary effect within a controlled environment. Depending on the frequency for which the probe is calibrated the method utilized for calibration will change.


The E-Field probe utilizes a triangular sensor arrangement as detailed in the diagram below:



3.3. Phantoms

The SAM Phantom SAM117 is constructed of a fiberglass shell integrated in a wooden table. The shape of the shell is in compliance with the specification set in IEEE P1528 and CENELEC EN62209-1, EN62209-2:2010. The phantom enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right hand phone usage as well as body mounted usage at the flat phantom region. A cover prevents the evaporation of the liquid. Reference markings on the Phantom allow the complete setup of all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids by manually teaching three points in the robot.

System checking was performed using the flat section, whilst Head SAR tests used the left and right head profile sections. Body SAR testing also used the flat section between the head profiles.



SAM Twin Phantom

3.4. Device Holder

In combination with the Generic Twin Phantom SAM117, the Mounting Device enables the rotation of the mounted transmitter in spherical coordinates whereby the rotation points is the ear opening. The devices can be easily, accurately, and repeatedly positioned according to the FCC and CENELEC specifications. The device holder can be locked at different phantom locations (left head, right head, flat phantom).



Device holder supplied by SATIMO

3.5. Scanning Procedure

The procedure for assessing the peak spatial-average SAR value consists of the following steps

Power Reference Measurement

The reference and drift jobs are useful jobs for monitoring the power drift of the device under test in the batch process. Both jobs measure the field at a specified reference position, at a selectable distance from the phantom surface. The reference position can be either the selected section's grid reference point or a user point in this section. The reference job projects the selected point onto the phantom surface, orients the probe perpendicularly to the surface, and approaches the surface using the selected detection method.

Area Scan

The area scan is used as a fast scan in two dimensions to find the area of high field values, before doing a finer measurement around the hot spot. The sophisticated interpolation routines implemented in OPENSAR software can find the maximum locations even in relatively coarse grids. The scan area is defined by an editable grid. This grid is anchored at the grid reference point of the selected section in the phantom. When the area scan's property sheet is brought-up, grid was at to 15 mm by 15 mm and can be edited by a user.

Zoom Scan

Zoom scans are used to assess the peak spatial SAR values within a cubic averaging volume containing 1 g and 10 g of simulated tissue. The default zoom scan measures 7 x 7 x 7 points within a cube whose base faces are centered around the maximum found in a preceding area scan job within the same procedure. If the preceding Area Scan job indicates more than one maximum, the number of Zoom Scans has to be enlarged accordingly (The default number inserted is 1).

Power Drift measurement

The drift job measures the field at the same location as the most recent reference job within the same procedure, and with the same settings. The drift measurement gives the field difference in dB from the reading conducted within the last reference measurement. Several drift measurements are possible for one reference measurement. This allows a user to monitor the power drift of the device under test within a batch process. In the properties of the Drift job, the user can specify a limit for the drift and have OPENSAR software stop the measurements if this limit is exceeded.

3.6. Data Storage and Evaluation

Data Storage

The OPENSAR software stores the acquired data from the data acquisition electronics as raw data (in microvolt readings from the probe sensors), together with all necessary software parameters for the data evaluation (probe calibration data, liquid parameters and device frequency and modulation data) in measurement files. The software evaluates the desired unit and format for output each time the data is visualized or exported. This allows verification of the complete software setup even after the measurement and allows correction of incorrect parameter settings. For example, if a measurement has been performed with a wrong crest factor parameter in the device setup, the parameter can be corrected afterwards and the data can be re-evaluated.

The measured data can be visualized or exported in different units or formats, depending on the selected probe type ([V/m], [A/m], [°C], [mW/g], [mW/cm²], [dBrel], etc.). Some of these units are not available in certain situations or show meaningless results, e.g., a SAR output in a lossless media will always be zero. Raw data can also be exported to perform the evaluation with other software packages.

Data Evaluation

The OPENSAR software automatically executes the following procedures to calculate the field units from the microvolt readings at the probe connector. The parameters used in the evaluation are stored in the configuration modules of the software:

Probe parameters:	- Sensitivity	Normi, ai0, ai1, ai2
	- Conversion factor	ConvFi
	- Diode compression point	Dcpi
Device parameters:	- Frequency	f
	- Crest factor	cf
Media parameters:	- Conductivity	σ
	- Density	ρ

These parameters must be set correctly in the software. They can be found in the component documents or they can be imported into the software from the configuration files issued for the OPENSAR components. In the direct measuring mode of the multimeter option, the parameters of the actual system setup are used. In the scan visualization and export modes, the parameters stored in the corresponding document files are used.

The first step of the evaluation is a linearization of the filtered input signal to account for the compression characteristics of the detector diode. The compensation depends on the input signal, the diode type and the DC-transmission factor from the diode to the evaluation electronics. If the exciting field is pulsed, the crest factor of the signal must be known to correctly compensate for peak power. The formula for each channel can be given as:

$$V_i = U_i + U_i^2 \cdot \frac{cf}{dcp_i}$$

With V_i = compensated signal of channel i ($i = x, y, z$)

U_i = input signal of channel i ($i = x, y, z$)

cf = crest factor of exciting field

dcp_i = diode compression point

From the compensated input signals the primary field data for each channel can be evaluated:

$$\text{E - fieldprobes : } E_i = \sqrt{\frac{V_i}{Norm_i \cdot ConvF}}$$

$$\text{H - fieldprobes : } H_i = \sqrt{V_i \cdot \frac{a_{i0} + a_{i1}f + a_{i2}f^2}{f}}$$

With V_i = compensated signal of channel i ($i = x, y, z$)

$Norm_i$ = sensor sensitivity of channel i ($i = x, y, z$)
[mV/(V/m)²] for E-field Probes

$ConvF$ = sensitivity enhancement in solution

a_{ij} = sensor sensitivity factors for H-field probes

f = carrier frequency [GHz]

E_i = electric field strength of channel i in V/m

H_i = magnetic field strength of channel i in A/m

The RSS value of the field components gives the total field strength (Hermitian magnitude):

$$E_{tot} = \sqrt{E_x^2 + E_y^2 + E_z^2}$$

The primary field data are used to calculate the derived field units.

$$SAR = E_{tot}^2 \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\rho \cdot 1'000}$$

with SAR = local specific absorption rate in mW/g

E_{tot} = total field strength in V/m



σ = conductivity in [mho/m] or [Siemens/m]

ρ = equivalent tissue density in g/cm³

Note that the density is normally set to 1 (or 1.06), to account for actual brain density rather than the density of the simulation liquid.

Body worn Position

- (1) To position the EUT parallel to the phantom surface.
- (2) To adjust the EUT parallel to the flat phantom.
- (3) To adjust the distance between the EUT surface and the flat phantom to **0mm**.



For body SAR test we applied to FCC KDB447498 D01v05r02, KDB248227 D01v01r02, KDB616217 D04v01r01, KDB 447498 D01

3.7. Tissue Dielectric Parameters for Head and Body

The liquid used for the frequency range of 100MHz-6G consisted of water, sugar, salt and Cellulose. The liquid has been previously proven to be suited for worst-case. The following Table shows the detail solution. It's satisfying the latest tissue dielectric parameters requirements proposed by the IEEE 1528 and IEC 62209.

The simulating liquids should be checked at the beginning of a series of SAR measurements to determine if the dielectric parameters are within the tolerances of the specified target values. The measured conductivity and relative permittivity should be within $\pm 5\%$ of the target values.

The following materials are used for producing the tissue-equivalent materials.

Table 2. Composition of the Head Tissue Equivalent Matter

Ingredients (% by weight)	Frequency (MHz)				
	835	900	1800	2000	2450
Water	41.45	40.92	16.33	54.89	46.70
Sugar	56.0	56.5	/	/	/
Salt	4.45	1.48	0.41	0.18	/
Preventol	0.19	0.1	/	/	/
Cellulose	0.1	0.4	/	/	/
Clycol Monobutyl	/	/	65.3	44.93	53.3
Dielectric Parameters Target Value	f=835MHz $\epsilon = 41.5$ $\sigma = 0.90$	f=900MHz $\epsilon = 41.5$ $\sigma = 0.97$	f=1800MHz $\epsilon = 40.0$ $\sigma = 1.40$	f=1950 MHz $\epsilon = 40.0$ $\sigma = 1.40$	f=2450 MHz $\epsilon = 39.2$ $\sigma = 1.80$

Table 3. Composition of the Body Tissue Equivalent Matter

Ingredients (% by weight)	Frequency (MHz)				
	835	1800	1900	2450	2600
Water	52.4	69.91	69.91	73.2	64.493
Sugar	45.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Salt	1.4	0.13	0.13	0.04	0.024
HEC	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bactericide	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Triton X-100	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
DGBE	0.0	29.96	29.96	26.7	32.252
Dielectric Parameters Target Value	f=835MHz $\epsilon = 55.2$ $\sigma = 0.97$	f=1800MHz $\epsilon = 53.30$ $\sigma = 1.52$	f=1900MHz $\epsilon = 53.30$ $\sigma = 1.52$	f=2450 MHz $\epsilon = 52.7$ $\sigma = 1.95$	f=2450 MHz $\epsilon = 52.5$ $\sigma = 2.16$

Table 4. Targets for tissue simulating liquid

Frequency (MHz)	Liquid Type	Liquid Type (σ)	$\pm 5\%$ Range	Permittivity (ϵ)	$\pm 5\%$ Range
150	Head	0.76	0.72~0.80	52.3	49.69~54.92
300	Head	0.87	0.83~0.91	45.3	43.04~47.57
450	Head	0.87	0.83~0.91	43.5	41.33~45.68
835	Head	0.90	0.86~0.95	41.5	39.43~43.58
900	Head	0.97	0.92~1.02	41.5	39.43~43.58
915	Head	0.98	0.93~1.03	41.5	39.43~43.58
1450	Head	1.20	1.14~1.26	40.5	38.48~42.53
1610	Head	1.29	1.23~1.35	40.3	38.29~42.32
1800-2000	Head	1.40	1.33~1.47	40.0	38.00~42.00
2450	Head	1.80	1.71~1.89	39.2	37.24~41.16
3000	Head	2.40	2.28~2.52	38.5	36.58~40.43
5800	Head	5.27	5.01~5.53	35.3	33.54~37.07
150	Body	0.80	0.76~0.84	61.9	58.81~65.00
300	Body	0.92	0.87~0.97	58.2	55.29~61.11
450	Body	0.94	0.89~0.99	56.7	53.87~59.54
835	Body	0.97	0.92~1.02	55.2	52.44~57.96
900	Body	1.05	1.00~1.10	55.0	52.25~57.75
915	Body	1.06	1.01~1.11	55.0	52.25~57.75
1450	Body	1.30	1.24~1.37	54.0	51.30~56.70
1610	Body	1.40	1.33~1.47	53.8	51.11~56.49
1800-2000	Body	1.52	1.44~1.60	53.3	50.64~55.97
2450	Body	1.95	1.85~2.05	52.7	50.07~55.34
3000	Body	2.73	2.59~2.87	52.0	49.40~54.60
5800	Body	6.00	5.70~6.30	48.2	45.79~50.61

3.8. Dielectric Performance

Dielectric Performance of Head and Body Tissue Simulating Liquid

Measurement is made at temperature 22.0°C and relative humidity 52%.		
Liquid temperature during the test: 22.0°C		
Measurement Date: 2450 MHz September 28, 2015;		
Frequency (MHz)	Body Tissue	
	σ (S/m)	ϵ_r
2450	1.93	53.61

3.9. Basic SAR system validation requirements

The SAR system must be validated against its performance specifications before it is deployed. When SAR probe and system component or software are changed, upgraded or recalibrated, these must be validated with the SAR system(s) that operates with such component. Reference dipoles are used with the required tissue-equivalent media for system validation.

The detailed system validation result are maintained by each test laboratory, which are normally not required for equipment approval. Only a tabulated summary of the system validation status, according to the validation date(s), measurement frequencies, SAR probe and tissue dielectric parameters is required in the SAR report.

LCS lab has performed the system validation at 10/28/2014, and all the measured results within $\pm 10\%$ of the system calibrated SAR targets.

3.10. System setup

The system performance check verifies that the system operates within its specifications. System and operator errors can be detected and corrected. It is recommended that the system performance check be performed prior to any usage of system in order to guarantee reproducible results. The system performance check uses normal SAR measurements in a simplified setup with a well characterized source. This setup was selected to give a high sensitivity to all parameters that might fail or vary over time. The system check does not intend to replace the calibration of component, but indicates situations where the system uncertainty is exceeded due to drift or failure.

In the simplified setup for system evaluation, the DUT is replaced by a calibrated dipole and the power source is replaced by a continuous wave that comes from a signal generator. The calibrated dipole must be placed beneath the flat phantom section of the SAM twin phantom with the correct distance holder. The distance holder should touch the phantom surface with a light pressure at the reference marking and be oriented parallel to the long side of the phantom. The equipment setup is shown below:






Photo of Dipole Setup

System Validation of Body

Measurement is made at temperature 22.0 °C and relative humidity 52%.

Measurement Date: 2450 MHz September 28, 2015

Verification Results	Frequency (MHz)	Target value (W/kg)		Measured value(W/kg)		Deviation	
		1 g Average	10 g Average	1 g Average	10 g Average	1 g Average	10 g Average
Body	2450	54.65	24.58	54.56	25.11	0.165	2.16

3.11. Measurement procedure

The following procedure shall be performed for each of the test conditions

1. Measure the local SAR at a test point within 4 mm or less in the normal direction from the inner surface of the phantom.
2. Measure the two-dimensional SAR distribution within the phantom (area scan procedure). The boundary of the measurement area shall not be closer than 20 mm from the phantom side walls. The distance between the measurement points should enable the detection of the location of local maximum with an accuracy of better than half the linear dimension of the tissue cube after interpolation. A maximum grid spacing of 20 mm for frequencies below 3 GHz and $(60/f \text{ [GHz]})$ mm for frequencies of 3 GHz and greater is recommended. The maximum distance between the geometrical centre of the probe detectors and the inner surface of the phantom shall be 5 mm for frequencies below 3 GHz and $\delta \ln(2)/2$ mm for frequencies of 3 GHz and greater, where δ is the plane wave skin depth and $\ln(x)$ is the natural logarithm. The maximum variation of the sensor-phantom surface shall be ± 1 mm for frequencies below 3 GHz and ± 0.5 mm for frequencies of 3 GHz and greater. At all measurement points the angle of the probe with respect to the line normal to the surface should be less than 5° . If this cannot be achieved for a measurement distance to the phantom inner surface shorter than the probe diameter, additional measurement distance to the phantom inner surface shorter than the probe diameter, additional
3. From the scanned SAR distribution, identify the position of the maximum SAR value, in addition identify the positions of any local maxima with SAR values within 2 dB of the maximum value that are not within the zoom-scan volume; additional peaks shall be measured only when the primary peak is within 2 dB of the SAR limit. This is consistent with the 2 dB threshold already stated;
4. Measure the three-dimensional SAR distribution at the local maxima locations identified in step
5. The horizontal grid step shall be $(24 / f \text{ [GHz]})$ mm or less but not more than 8 mm. The minimum zoom size of 30 mm by 30 mm and 30 mm for frequencies below 3 GHz. For higher frequencies, the minimum zoom size of 22 mm by 22 mm and 22 mm. The grid step in the vertical direction shall be $(8 - f \text{ [GHz]})$ mm or less but not more than 5 mm, if uniform spacing is used. If variable spacing is used in the vertical direction, the maximum spacing between the two closest measured points to the phantom shell shall be $(12 / f \text{ [GHz]})$ mm or less but not more than 4 mm, and the spacing between further points shall increase by an incremental factor not exceeding 1.5. When variable spacing is used, extrapolation routines shall be tested with the same spacing as used in measurements. The maximum distance between the geometrical centre of the probe detectors and the inner surface of the phantom shall be 5 mm for frequencies below 3 GHz and $\delta \ln(2)/2$ mm for frequencies of 3 GHz and greater, where δ is the plane wave skin depth and $\ln(x)$ is the natural logarithm. Separate grids shall be centered on each of the local SAR maxima found in step c). Uncertainties due to field distortion between the media boundary and the dielectric enclosure of the probe should also be minimized, which is achieved if the distance between the phantom surface and physical tip of the probe is larger than probe tip diameter. Other methods may utilize correction procedures for these boundary effects that enable high precision measurements closer than half the probe diameter. For all measurement points, the angle of the probe with respect to the flat phantom surface shall be less than 5° . If this cannot be achieved an additional uncertainty evaluation is needed.
6. Use post processing (e.g. interpolation and extrapolation) procedures to determine the local SAR values at the spatial resolution needed for mass averaging.

4.OUTPUT POWER VERIFICATION

4.1. Test condition:

1. All test measurements carried out are traceable to national standard. The uncertainty of the measurement at a confidence level of approximately 95%(in the case where distributions are normal),with a coverage factor of 2, In the range of 30MHz-40GHz is $\pm 1.5\text{dB}$.
2. Environment conditions:

Temperature	23°C
Relative Humidity	53%
Atmospheric Pressure	1019mbar
3. Test Date: September 26,2015~September 29,2015
Tested By:Dick

4.2. Test Procedure:

EUT radio output power measurement

1. Select lowest, middle, and highest channels for each band and different possible test mode.
2. Measure the conducted average burst power from EUT antenna port.

4.3. Conducted Power Measurement

Conducted power measurements of Wifi 2.4GHz

Mode	channel	Frequency (MHz)	Conducted output AVG power(dBm)	Test Rate Date
802.11b	1	2412	16.74	1Mbps
	6	2437	16.09	1Mbps
	11	2462	15.31	1Mbps
802.11g	1	2412	17.83	6Mbps
	6	2437	17.63	6Mbps
	11	2462	16.28	6Mbps
802.11n 20MHz	1	2412	16.72	6.5Mbps
	6	2.437	16.06	6.5Mbps
	11	2462	15.18	6.5Mbps

Note:

According to the KDB248227, for WiFi 2.4G, highest average RF output power channel for the lowest data rate of 802.11b mode was selected for SAR evaluation. SAR test at higher data rates and higher order modulations(including 802.11g/n) were not required since the maximum average output power for each of these configurations is not more than 1/4dB higher than the tested channel for the lowest data rate of 802.11b mode.

Conducted power measurement of BluetoothV4.0

Mode	channel	Frequency (MHz)	Conducted output power
			(dBm)
BLE	1	2402	3.803
	20	2440	3.351
	40	2480	2.013

Note:

According to KDB447498 D01 General RF Exposure Guidance v05r01 standalone SAR test exclusion considerations, SAR test is not required in 100MHz to 6GHz at test separation distances $\leq 50\text{mm}$, if the output of EUT satisfy the following equation:

$$[(\text{max power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW}) / (\text{min test separation distance, mm})] \cdot [f_{(\text{GHz})}^{1/2}] \leq 3.0$$

For 1-g SAR and ≤ 7.5 for 10-g extremity SAR.

- $f_{(\text{GHz})}$ is the RF channel transmit frequency in GHz.
- Power and distance are rounded to the nearest mW and mm before calculation
- The result is rounded to one decimal place for comparison
- 3.5 and 7.5 are referred to as the numeric thresholds

5.SAR TEST RESULT

5.1. Test condition:

1. SAR Measuremnt
The distance between the EUT and the antenna of the emulator is more than 50cm and the out put power radiated from the emulator antenna is at least 30dB less than the output power of EUT.
2. Measurement Uncertainty: See page 36and37 for detail
3. Environmental Conditions

Temperature	23℃
Relative Humidity	53%
Atmospheric Pressure	1019mbar
4. Test Date: September 26,2015~September 29,2015
Test By: Dick

5.2. Operation Mode

- According to KDB 447498 D01 v05r02 ,for each exposure position, if the highest 1-g SAR is ≤ 0.8 W/kg, testing for low and high channel is optional.
- Per KDB 865664 D01 v01r03,for each frequency band, if the measured SAR is ≥ 0.8 W/Kg, testing for repeated SAR measurement is required , that the highest measured SAR is only to be tested. When the SAR results are near the limit, the following procedures are required for each device to verify these types of SAR measurement related variation concerns by repeating the highest measured SAR configuration in each frequency band.
 - (1) When the original highest measured SAR is ≥ 0.8 W/Kg, repeat that measurement once.
 - (2) Perform a second repeated measurement only if the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original and first repeated measurements is > 1.20 or when the original or repeated measurement is ≥ 1.45 W/Kg.
 - (3) Perform a third repeated measurement only if the original, first and second repeated measurement is ≥ 1.5 W/Kg and ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original, first and second measurement is ≥ 1.20 .
- According to 616217 D04 the procedures are applicable only when the overall diagonal dimen of the keyboard and/or display section of a laptop or tablet is > 20 cm.
- According to 248227 D01, SAR is not required for 802.11g channels when the maximum average output power is less than 1/4dB higher than measured on the corresponding 802.11b channels.
- Maximum Scaling SAR in order to calculate the Maximum SAR values to test under the standard Peak Power, Calculation method is as follows:
Maximum Scaling SAR =tested SAR (Max.) $\times$ [maximum turn-up power (mw)/ maximum measurement output power(mw)]

5.3. SAR summary Test result

SAR Values for WLAN2450 Band -Body

Frequency		Mode/Band	Test Position	SAR(1g) (W/kg)	Power Drift(%)	Conducted Power (dBm)	Tune-up Power (dBm)	Scaled SAR 1g(W/kg)	Limit 1g(W/kg)
MHz	Channel								
2437	6	802.11b	Left	0.181	-1.01	16.09	17	0.223	1.60
2437	6	802.11b	Rear	0.697	0.18	16.09	17	0.859	1.60

Note:

1. When the SAR measured for the middle channel is $\leq 50\%$ of the limit, test in the low and high channel is optional.
2. The result was tested under the lowest data rate 1Mbps for 802.11b.

5.4. Test reduction procedure

The following picture showed that the antenna position of the DUT. So according to KDB447498 and KDB 616217 for SAR testing.




Figure 1: The diagonal dimension of the DUT

Test Location

Antenna	Edge	Distance(cm)	SAR Conclusion
WLAN	Left	0.5	Tested
WLAN	Right	14.9	No
WLAN	Top	13.8	No
WLAN	Back Side	0.2	Tested
WLAN	Bottom	10.8	No

Per KDB941225 D06, for the antenna-to-edge distance is greater than 2.5cm, so the right, top, Bottom sides Does not need to be tested.

5.5. Measurement Uncertainty (700MHz-3GHz)

UNCERTAINTY FOR SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK

Uncertainty Component		Tol. (± %)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	c_1 (1 g)	c_2 (10 g)	1 g u_1 (± %)	10 g u_2 (± %)	v_1
Measurement System									
Probe Calibration	7.2.1	5.8	N	1	1	1	5.80	5.80	∞
Axial Isotropy	7.2.1.1	3.5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	$(1-c_0)^{1/2}$	$(1-c_0)^{1/2}$	1.43	1.43	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	7.2.1.1	5.9	R	$\sqrt{3}$	$\sqrt{C_0}$	$\sqrt{C_0}$	2.41	2.41	∞
Boundary Effect	7.2.1.4	1	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.58	∞
Linearity	7.2.1.2	4.7	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.71	2.71	∞
System Detection Limits	7.2.1.2	1	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.58	∞
Modulation response	7.2.1.3	0	N	1	1	1	0.00	0.00	∞
Readout Electronics	7.2.1.5	0.5	N	1	1	1	0.50	0.50	∞
Response Time	7.2.1.6	0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.00	0.00	∞
Integration Time	7.2.1.7	1.4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.81	0.81	∞
RF Ambient Conditions - Noise	7.2.3.7	3	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.73	1.73	∞
RF Ambient Conditions - Reflections	7.2.3.7	3	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.73	1.73	∞
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	7.2.2.1	1.4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.81	0.81	∞
Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	7.2.2.3	1.4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.81	0.81	∞
Extrapolation, interpolation and Integration Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	7.2.4	2.3	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.33	1.33	∞
Dipole									
Deviation of experimental source from numerical source		4	N	1	1	1	4.00	4.00	∞
Input Power and SAR drift measurement	7.2.3.6	5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.89	2.89	∞
Dipole Axis to Liquid Distance		2	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1			∞
Phantom and Tissue Parameters									
Phantom Uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances)		4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.31	2.31	∞
Uncertainty in SAR correction for deviation (in permittivity and conductivity)	7.2.6	2	N	1	1	0.84	2.00	1.68	∞
Liquid Conductivity (temperature uncertainty)	7.2.3.5	2.5	N	1	0.78	0.71	1.95	1.78	5
Liquid Conductivity - measurement uncertainty	7.2.3.3	4	N	1	0.23	0.26	0.92	1.04	5
Liquid Permittivity (temperature uncertainty)	7.2.3.5	2.5	N	1	0.78	0.71	1.95	1.78	∞
Liquid Permittivity - measurement uncertainty	7.2.3.4	5	N	1	0.23	0.26	1.15	1.30	∞
Combined Standard Uncertainty			RSS				10.15	10.05	
Expanded Uncertainty (95% CONFIDENCE INTERVAL)			k				20.29	20.10	

UNCERTAINTY EVALUATION FOR HANDSET SAR TEST

Uncertainty Component	Description	Tol. (± %)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	c_1 (1 g)	c_2 (10 g)	1 g u_1 (± %)	10 g u_2 (± %)	v_1
Measurement System									
Probe Calibration	7.2.1	5.8	N	1	1	1	5.8	5.8	∞
Axial Isotropy	7.2.1.1	3.5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	$(1-c_p)^{1/2}$	$(1-c_p)^{1/2}$	1.43	1.43	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	7.2.1.1	5.9	R	$\sqrt{3}$	$\sqrt{C_p}$	$\sqrt{C_p}$	2.41	2.41	∞
Boundary Effect	7.2.1.4	1	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.58	∞
Linearity	7.2.1.2	4.7	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.71	2.71	∞
System Detection Limits	7.2.1.2	1	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.58	∞
Modulation response	7.2.1.3	3	N	1	1	1	3.00	3.00	∞
Readout Electronics	7.2.1.5	0.5	N	1	1	1	0.50	0.50	∞
Response Time	7.2.1.6	0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.00	0.00	∞
Integration Time	7.2.1.7	1.4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.81	0.81	∞
RF Ambient Conditions - Noise	7.2.3.7	3	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.73	1.73	∞
RF Ambient Conditions - Reflections	7.2.3.7	3	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.73	1.73	∞
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	7.2.2.1	1.4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.81	0.81	∞
Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	7.2.2.3	1.4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.81	0.81	∞
Extrapolation, interpolation and Integration Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	7.2.4	2.3	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.33	1.33	∞
Test sample Related									
Test Sample Positioning	7.2.2.4.4	2.6	N	1	1	1	2.60	2.60	11
Device Holder Uncertainty	7.2.2.4.2	3	N	1	1	1	3.00	3.00	7
	7.2.2.4.3								
Output Power Variation - SAR drift measurement	7.2.3.6	5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.89	2.89	∞
SAR scaling	7.2.5	2	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.15	1.15	∞
Phantom and Tissue Parameters									
Phantom Uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances)	7.2.2.2	4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.31	2.31	∞
Uncertainty in SAR correction for deviation (in permittivity and conductivity)	7.2.6	2	N	1	1	0.84	2.00	1.68	∞
Liquid Conductivity (temperature uncertainty)	7.2.3.5	2.5	N	1	0.78	0.71	1.95	1.78	5
Liquid Conductivity - measurement uncertainty	7.2.3.3	4	N	1	0.23	0.26	0.92	1.04	5
Liquid Permittivity (temperature uncertainty)	7.2.3.5	2.5	N	1	0.78	0.71	1.95	1.78	∞
Liquid Permittivity - measurement uncertainty	7.2.3.4	5	N	1	0.23	0.26	1.15	1.30	∞
Combined Standard Uncertainty			RSS				10.63	10.54	
Expanded Uncertainty (95% CONFIDENCE INTERVAL)			k				21.26	21.08	

5.6. System Check Results

Test mode:2450MHz(Body)




Product Description:Validation

Model:Dipole SID2450

E-Field Probe:SSE5(SN17/14 EP220)

Test Date:September 28, 2015

Medium(liquid type)	MSL_2450
Frequency (MHz)	2450.0000
Relative permittivity (real part)	53.61
Conductivity (S/m)	1.93
Input power	100mW
Crest Factor	1.0
Conversion Factor	4.05
Variation (%)	-1.230000
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	2.510042
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	5.45639
SURFACE SAR	VOLUME SAR



5.7. SAR Test Graph Results




Test Mode:802.11b,Mid channel(Body SAR-LCD DOWN)

Product Description: 10.1 inch Quad core capacitive touch tablet

Model:MGT101

Test Date: September 28, 2015

Medium(liquid type)	MSL_2450
Frequency (MHz)	2437.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	53.61
Conductivity (S/m)	1.93
E-Field Probe	SN 17/14 EP220
Crest Factor	1.0
Conversion Factor	4.05
Sensor	4mm
Area Scan	Surf_sam_plan.txt
Zoom Scan	7x7x7,dx=5mm dy=5mm dz=5mm
Variation (%)	0.180000
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.330413
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.697188
SURFACE SAR	VOLUME SAR



6.CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES

SARTIMO Calibration Certificate-Extended Dipole Calibrations

According to KDB 450824 D02, Dipoles must be recalibrated at least once every three years; however, immediate re-calibration is required for following conditions. The test laboratory must ensure that the required supporting information and documentation have been included in the SAR report to qualify for extended 3-year calibration interval.

- 1) When the most recent return-loss, measured at least annually, deviates by more than 20% from the previous measurement (i.e. 0.2 of the dB value) or not meeting the required -20 dB return-loss specification
- 2) When the most recent measurement of the real or imaginary parts of the impedance, measured at least annually, deviates by more than 5 Ω from the previous measurement

Summary Result:

SID 2450			
Frquency	Return Loss(dB)	Requirement(dB)	Impedence
2450	-25.61	-20	44.9 Ω -0.9j Ω

6.1. Probe Calibration Certificate



COMOSAR E-Field Probe Calibration Report

Ref : ACR.287.1.14.SATU.A

**SHENZHEN LCS COMPLIANCE TESTING
LABORATORY LTD.**
**1F., XINGYUAN INDUSTRIAL PARK, TONGDA ROAD,
BAO'AN BLVD**
BAO'AN DISTRICT, SHENZHEN, GUANGDONG, CHINA
SATIMO COMOSAR DOSIMETRIC E-FIELD PROBE
SERIAL NO.: SN 17/14 EP220

Calibrated at SATIMO US
2105 Barrett Park Dr. - Kennesaw, GA 30144



10/01/2014

Summary:

This document presents the method and results from an accredited COMOSAR Dosimetric E-Field Probe calibration performed in SATIMO USA using the CALISAR / CALIBAIR test bench, for use with a SATIMO COMOSAR system only. All calibration results are traceable to national metrology institutions.