

FCC/ISED

SAR

TEST REPORT

ISSUED BY
Shenzhen BALUN Technology Co., Ltd.



FOR
TicPods 2 Pro

ISSUED TO
WWZN Information Technology Company Limited

Room 901, 9th Floor, No.19, Zhong Guancun Street, Haidian District,
Beijing, China



Tested by: Zong Liyao

Zong Liyao

(Engineer)

Date Jul. 01, 2019

Approved by: Wei Yanquan

Wei Yanquan

(Chief Engineer)

Date Jul. 01, 2019

Report No.: BL-SZ1940038-701

EUT Name: TicPods 2 Pro

Model Name: WH72026

Brand Name: Mobvoi

FCC ID: 2AP42-WH72026

ISED Number: 24006-WH72026

Test Standard: FCC 47 CFR Part 2.1093; IEEE 1528:2013
RSS-102: 2015 (Issue 5);

Maximum SAR: Head (1 g): 0.188 W/kg

Test Conclusion: Pass

Test Date: Apr. 23, 2019

Date of Issue: Jul. 01, 2019

NOTE: This test report of test results only related to testing samples, which can be duplicated completely for the legal use with the approval of the applicant; it shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of Shenzhen BALUN Technology Co., Ltd. Any objections should be raised within thirty days from the date of issue. To validate the report, please contact us.

Block B, 1st FL, Baisha Science and Technology Park, Shahe Xi Road, Nanshan District, Shenzhen, Guangdong, P. R. China 518055

TEL: +86-755-66850100, FAX: +86-755-61824271

Email: qc@baluntek.com

www.baluntek.com

Revision History

<u>Version</u>	<u>Issue Date</u>	<u>Revisions Content</u>
<u>Rev. 01</u>	<u>Jul. 01, 2019</u>	<u>Initial Issue</u>

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	GENERAL INFORMATION	4
1.1	Identification of the Testing Laboratory	4
1.2	Identification of the Responsible Testing Location	4
1.3	Test Environment Condition	4
1.4	Announce	5
2	PRODUCT INFORMATION	6
2.1	Applicant Information	6
2.2	Manufacturer Information	6
2.3	Factory Information	6
2.4	General Description for Equipment under Test (EUT)	6
2.5	Ancillary Equipment	7
2.6	Technical Information	8
3	SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS	9
3.1	Test Standards	9
3.2	Device Category and SAR Limit	10
3.3	Test Result Summary	11
3.4	Test Uncertainty	12
4	MEASUREMENT SYSTEM	14
4.1	Definition of Specific Absorption Rate (SAR)	14
4.2	SATIMO SAR System	15
5	SYSTEM VERIFICATION	24
5.1	Antenna Port Test Requirement	24
5.2	Purpose of System Check	24
5.3	System Check Setup	24
6	EUT TEST POSITION CONFIGURATIONS	25
6.1	Head Exposure Conditions	25

6.2	Body-worn Position Conditions	27
6.3	Hotspot Mode Exposure Position Conditions	28
7	MEASUREMENT PROCEDURES	29
7.1	SAR Measurement Process Diagram	29
7.2	SAR Scan General Requirements	30
8	CONDUCTED RF OUTPUT POWER	33
1.1	Bluetooth	33
9	EUT ANTENNA LOCATION SKETCH	34
9.1	FCC SAR Test Exclusion Consider Table	35
9.2	ISED SAR Test Exclusion Consider Table	36
10	TEST RESULTS	37
1.2	Bluetooth (1g SAR)	37
10.1	SAR Measurement Variability	38
11	TEST EQUIPMENTS LIST	39
ANNEX A	SIMULATING LIQUID VERIFICATION RESULT	40
ANNEX B	SYSTEM CHECK RESULT	41
ANNEX C	TEST DATA	44
ANNEX D	EUT EXTERNAL PHOTOS	45
ANNEX E	EUT INTERNAL PHOTOS	45
ANNEX F	CALIBRATION REPORT	46
F.1	E-Field Probe	46
F.2	2450MHz Dipole	56

1 GENERAL INFORMATION

1.1 Identification of the Testing Laboratory

Company Name	Shenzhen BALUN Technology Co., Ltd.
Address	Block B, 1st FL, Baisha Science and Technology Park, Shahe Xi Road, Nanshan District, Shenzhen, Guangdong Province, P. R. China
Phone Number	+86 755 6685 0100
Fax Number	+86 755 6182 4271

1.2 Identification of the Responsible Testing Location

Test Location	Shenzhen BALUN Technology Co., Ltd.
Address	Block B, 1st FL, Baisha Science and Technology Park, Shahe Xi Road, Nanshan District, Shenzhen, Guangdong Province, P. R. China
Accreditation Certificate	The laboratory has been listed by Industry Canada to perform electromagnetic emission measurements. The recognition numbers of test site are 11524A-1. The laboratory is a testing organization accredited by FCC as an accredited testing laboratory. The designation number is CN1196. The laboratory is a testing organization accredited by American Association for Laboratory Accreditation(A2LA) according to ISO/IEC 17025. The accreditation certificate number is 4344.01. The laboratory is a testing organization accredited by China National Accreditation Service for Conformity Assessment (CNAS) according to ISO/IEC 17025. The accreditation certificate number is L6791.
Description	All measurement facilities used to collect the measurement data are located at Block B, FL 1, Baisha Science and Technology Park, Shahe Xi Road, Nanshan District, Shenzhen, Guangdong Province, P. R. China 518055

1.3 Test Environment Condition

Ambient Temperature	21°C to 23°C
Ambient Relative Humidity	36% to 48%
Ambient Pressure	100 to 102KPa

1.4 Announce

- (1) The test report reference to the report template version v1.2.
- (2) The test report is invalid if not marked with the signatures of the persons responsible for preparing and approving the test report.
- (3) The test report is invalid if there is any evidence and/or falsification.
- (4) The results documented in this report apply only to the tested sample, under the conditions and modes of operation as described herein.
- (5) This document may not be altered or revised in any way unless done so by BALUN and all revisions are duly noted in the revisions section.
- (6) Content of the test report, in part or in full, cannot be used for publicity and/or promotional purposes without prior written approval from the laboratory.

2 PRODUCT INFORMATION

2.1 Applicant Information

Applicant	WWZN Information Technology Company Limited
Address	Room 901, 9th Floor, No.19, Zhong Guancun Street, Haidian District, Beijing, China

2.2 Manufacturer Information

Manufacturer	WWZN Information Technology Company Limited
Address	Room 901, 9th Floor, No.19, Zhong Guancun Street, Haidian District, Beijing, China

2.3 Factory Information

Factory	N/A
Address	N/A

2.4 General Description for Equipment under Test (EUT)

EUT Name	TicPods 2 Pro
Model Name Under Test	WH72026
Series Model Name	N/A
Description of Model Name Differentiation	N/A
Hardware Version	N/A
Software Version	N/A
Dimensions (Approx.)	N/A
Weight (Approx.)	N/A

2.5 Ancillary Equipment

Ancillary Equipment 1	Battery (Headset)	
	Brand Name	N/A
	Model No.	AHB47250WP-01
	Serial No.	N/A
	Capacity	30 mAh
	Rated Voltage	3.82 V
	Limit Charge Voltage	4.4 V
Ancillary Equipment 2	Battery (Charging Case)	
	Brand Name	N/A
	Model No.	812029PV
	Serial No.	N/A
	Capacity	390 mAh
	Rated Voltage	3.8 V
	Limit Charge Voltage	4.35 V

2.6 Technical Information

Network and Wireless connectivity	Bluetooth 5.0 (BR+EDR+BLE)
-----------------------------------	----------------------------

The requirement for the following technical information of the EUT was tested in this report:

Operating Mode	Bluetooth	
Frequency Range	Bluetooth	2400 ~2483.5 MHz
Antenna Type	FPC Antenna	
Exposure Category	General Population/Uncontrolled exposure	
EUT Stage	Portable Device	
Product	Type	
	☒ Production unit	☐ Identical prototype

3 SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS

3.1 Test Standards

No.	Identity	Document Title
1	47 CFR Part 2	Frequency Allocations and Radio Treaty Matters; General Rules and Regulations
2	RSS-102: 2015 (Issue 5)	Radio Frequency (RF) Exposure Compliance of Radio Communication Apparatus (All Frequency Bands)
3	IEEE Std. 1528- 2013	Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques
4	FCC KDB 447498 D01 v06	General RF exposure guidance
5	FCC KDB 865664 D01 v01r04	SAR Measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz
6	FCC KDB 865664 D02 v01r02	RF Exposure Reporting

3.2 Device Category and SAR Limit

This device belongs to TicPods Free 2 category because its radiating structure is allowed to be used within 20 centimeters of the body of the user. Limit for General Population/ Uncontrolled exposure should be applied for this device, it is 1.6 W/kg as averaged over any 1 gram of tissue.

Table of Exposure Limits:

Body Position	SAR Value (W/Kg)	
	General Population/ Uncontrolled Exposure	Occupational/ Controlled Exposure
Whole-Body SAR (averaged over the entire body)	0.08	0.4
Partial-Body SAR (averaged over any 1 gram of tissue)	1.60	8.0
SAR for hands, wrists, feet and ankles (averaged over any 10 grams of tissue)	4.0	20.0

NOTE:

General Population/Uncontrolled: Locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure. General population/uncontrolled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Members of the general public would come under this category when exposure is not employment-related; for example, in the case of a wireless transmitter that exposes persons in its vicinity.

Occupational/Controlled: Locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by persons who are aware of the potential for exposure. In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment, who have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. This exposure category is also applicable when the exposure is of a transient nature due to incidental passage through a location where the exposure levels may be higher than the general population/uncontrolled limits, but the exposed person is fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

3.3 Test Result Summary

3.3.1 Highest SAR (1 g Value)

Band	Maximum Scaled SAR (W/kg)	Maximum Report SAR (W/kg)	Limit (W/kg)
	Head	Head	
Bluetooth	0.188	0.188	1.6
Verdict	Pass		

3.3.2 Highest Simultaneous SAR

The product has only one antenna for Bluetooth, so the simultaneous multi-band transmission evaluation is not required in this report.

3.4 Test Uncertainty

3.4.1 Measurement uncertainty evaluation for SAR test

The following measurement uncertainty levels have been estimated for tests performed on the EUT as specified in IEEE 1528. This uncertainty represents an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of k=2.

Uncertainty Component	Tol (+ - %)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	Ci (1g)	Ci (10 g)	1g Ui (+-%)	10 g Ui (+-%)	Vi V _{eff}
Measurement System								
Probe calibration	5.8	N	1	1	1	5.80	5.80	∞
Axial Isotropy	3.5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	1.41	1.41	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	5.9	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	2.38	2.38	∞
Boundary effect	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.58	∞
Linearity	4.7	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.71	2.71	∞
System detection limits	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.58	∞
Modulation response	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.73	1.73	∞
Readout Electronics	0.5	N	1	1	1	0.50	0.50	∞
Response Time	0.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.00	0.00	∞
Integration Time	1.4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.81	0.81	∞
RF ambient Conditions - Noise	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.73	1.73	∞
RF ambient Conditions - Reflections	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.73	1.73	∞
Probe positioner Mechanical Tolerance	1.4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.81	0.81	∞
Probe positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	1.4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.81	0.81	∞
Extrapolation, interpolation and integration Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	2.3	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.33	1.33	∞
Test sample Related								
Test sample positioning	2.6	N	1	1	1	2.60	2.60	N-1
Device Holder Uncertainty	3.0	N	1	1	1	3.00	3.00	N-1
Output power Variation - SAR drift measurement	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.89	2.89	∞
SAR scaling	2.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.15	1.15	∞
Phantom and Tissue Parameters								
Phantom Uncertainty (Shape and thickness tolerances)	4.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.31	2.31	∞
SAR correction for deviation(in permittivity and conductivity)	2.0	N	1	1	0.84	2.00	1.68	∞
Liquid conductivity (temperature uncertainty)	2.5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.78	0.71	1.13	1.03	∞
Liquid conductivity - measurement uncertainty	5.0	N	1	0.78	0.71	3.90	3.55	M
Liquid permittivity (temperature uncertainty)	2.5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.23	0.26	0.33	0.38	∞
Liquid permittivity - measurement uncertainty	5.0	N	1	0.23	0.26	1.15	1.30	M
Combined Standard Uncertainty	-	RSS	-			10.72	10.56	-
Expanded Uncertainty (95% Confidence interval)	-	k	-			21.45	21.11	-

3.4.2 Measurement uncertainty evaluation for system check

This measurement uncertainty budget is suggested by IEEE 1528. The break down of the individual uncertainties is as follows:

Uncertainty Component	Tol (+-%)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	Ci (1g)	Ci (10g)	1g Ui (+-%)	10g Ui (+-%)	Vi
Measurement System								
Probe calibration	5.8	N	1	1	1	5.80	5.30	∞
Axial Isotropy	3.5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.02	2.02	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	5.9	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0	0	0.00	0.00	∞
Boundary effect	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.56	∞
Probe Linearity	4.7	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.71	2.71	∞
System detection limits	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.58	∞
Modulation response	0.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.00	0.00	∞
Readout Electronics	0.5	N	1	1	1	0.50	0.50	∞
Response Time	0.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.00	0.00	∞
Integration Time	1.4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0	0	0.00	0.00	∞
RF ambient Conditions - Noise	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.73	1.73	∞
RF ambient Conditions - Reflections	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.73	1.73	∞
Probe positioner Mechanical Tolerance	1.4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.81	0.81	∞
Probe positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	1.4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.81	0.81	∞
Extrapolation, interpolation and integration Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	2.3	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.33	1.33	∞
Dipole								
Deviation of experimental dipole	5.5	N	1	1	1	5.00	5.00	∞
Dipole axis to liquid distance	2.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.15	1.15	∞
Power drift	0.5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.29	0.29	∞
Phantom and Tissue Parameters								
Phantom Uncertainty (Shape and thickness tolerances)	4.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.31	2.31	∞
SAR correction for deviation(in permittivity and conductivity)	2.0	N	1	1	0.84	2.00	1.68	∞
Liquid conductivity (temperature uncertainty)	2.5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.78	0.71	1.13	1.02	∞
Liquid conductivity - measurement uncertainty	5.0	N	1	0.78	0.71	3.90	3.55	M
Liquid permittivity (temperature uncertainty)	2.5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.23	0.26	0.33	0.38	∞
Liquid permittivity - measurement uncertainty	5.0	N	1	0.23	0.26	1.15	1.30	M
Combined Standard Uncertainty	-	RSS	-	-	-	10.43	10.25	-
Expanded Uncertainty (95% Confidence interval)	-	k	-	-	-	20.86	20.51	-

4 MEASUREMENT SYSTEM

4.1 Definition of Specific Absorption Rate (SAR)

SAR is related to the rate at which energy is absorbed per unit mass in an object exposed to a radio field. The SAR distribution in a biological body is complicated and is usually carried out by experimental techniques or numerical modeling. The standard recommends limits for two tiers of groups, occupational/controlled and general population/uncontrolled, based on a person's awareness and ability to exercise control over his or her exposure. In general, occupational / controlled exposure limits are higher than the limits for general population /uncontrolled.

The SAR definition is the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dW) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dv) of a given density (ρ). The equation description is as below:

$$\text{SAR} = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dW}{dm} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dW}{\rho dv} \right)$$

SAR is expressed in units of Watts per kilogram (W/kg) SAR measurement can be related to the electrical field in the tissue by


$$\text{SAR} = \frac{\sigma E^2}{\rho}$$

Where: σ is the conductivity of the tissue,

ρ is the mass density of the tissue and E is the RMS electrical field strength.

4.2 SATIMO SAR System

4.2.1 SATIMO SAR System Diagram



These measurements were performed with the automated near-field scanning system OPENSAR from SATIMO. The system is based on a high precision robot (working range: 850 mm), which positions the probes with a positional repeatability of better than ± 0.02 mm. Special E- and H-field probes have been developed for measurements close to material discontinuity, the sensors of which are directly loaded with a Schottky diode and connected via highly resistive lines to the data acquisition unit.

The SAR measurements were conducted with dosimetric probe (manufactured by SATIMO), designed in the classical triangular configuration and optimized for dosimetric evaluation. The probe has been calibrated according to the procedure described in SAR standard with accuracy of better than $\pm 10\%$. The spherical isotropy was evaluated with the procedure described in SAR standard and found to be better than ± 0.25 dB. The phantom used was the SAM Phantom as described in FCC supplement C, IEEE 1528 and CENELEC IEC62209-1/-2.

4.2.2 Robot

The SATIMO SAR system uses the high precision robots from KUKA. For the 6-axis controller system, the robot controller version (KUKA) from KUKA is used. The KUKA robot series have many features that are important for our application:



- High precision (repeatability ± 0.035 mm)
- High reliability (industrial design)
- Jerk-free straight movements
- Low ELF interference (the closed metallic construction shields against motor control fields)

4.2.3 E-Field Probe

For the measurements the Specific Dosimetric E-Field Probe SN 34 /15 EPGO 265 with following specifications is used


- Dynamic range: 0.01-100 W/kg
- Tip Diameter : 2.5 mm
- Lower detection limit : 10 mW/kg
(repeatability better than +/- 1mm)
- Probe linearity: +/- 0.07 dB
- Calibration range: 300 MHz to 6000 MHz for head & body simulating liquid.

Angle between probe axis (evaluation axis) and surface normal line: less than 30°



E-Field Probe Calibration Process

Probe calibration is realized, in compliance with CENELEC IEC 62209-1/-2 and IEEE 1528 std, with CALISAR, Antenna proprietary calibration system. The calibration is performed with the IEC 62209-1/2 annexe technique using reference guide at the five frequencies.



$$SAR = \frac{4(P_{fw} - P_{bw})}{ab\sigma} \cos^2 \left(\pi \frac{y}{a} \right) c^{(2\pi/\sigma)}$$

Where :

P_{fw} = Forward Power

P_{bw} = Backward Power

a and b = Waveguide dimensions

δ = Skin depth

Keithley configuration:

Rate = Medium; Filter = ON; RDGS=10; FILTER TYPE = MOVING AVERAGE; RANGE AUTO After each calibration, a SAR measurement is performed on a validation dipole and compared with a NPL calibrated probe, to verify it.

The calibration factors, CF(N), for the 3 sensors corresponding to dipole 1, dipole 2 and dipole 3 are:

$$CF(N) = SAR(N) / V_{lin}(N) \quad (N=1,2,3)$$

The linearised output voltage $V_{lin}(N)$ is obtained from the displayed output voltage $V(N)$ using

$$V_{lin}(N) = V(N) * (1 + V(N) / DCP(N)) \quad (N=1,2,3)$$

Where the DCP is the diode compression point in mV.

4.2.4 Phantoms

For the measurements the Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM) defined by the IEEE SCC-34/SC2 group is used. The phantom is a polyurethane shell integrated in a wooden table. The thickness of the phantom amounts to 2mm +/- 0.2mm. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right phone usage and includes an additional flat phantom part for the simplified performance check. The phantom set-up includes a cover, which prevents the evaporation of the liquid.

Photo of Phantom SN 30/13 SAM103



Photo of Phantom SN 30/13 SAM104



Serial Number	Positionner Material	Permittivity	Loss Tangent
SN 30/13 SAM103	Gelcoat with fiberglass	3.4	0.02
SN 30/13 SAM104	Gelcoat with fiberglass	3.4	0.02



SN 30/13 SAM104

4.2.5 Device Holder

The SAR in the phantom is approximately inversely proportional to the square of the distance between the source and the liquid surface. For a source at 5 mm distance, a positioning uncertainty of ± 0.5 mm would produce a SAR uncertainty of ± 20 %. Accurate device positioning is therefore crucial for accurate and repeatable measurements. The positions in which the devices must be measured are defined by the standards.




Serial Number	Holder Material	Permittivity	Loss Tangent
SN 25/13 MSH87	Deirin	3.7	0.005
SN 25/13 MSH88	Deirin	3.7	0.005

The positioning system allows obtaining cheek and tilting position with a very good accuracy. In compliance with CENELEC, the tilt angle uncertainty is lower than 1° .

4.2.6 Simulating Liquid

For SAR measurement of the field distribution inside the phantom, the phantom must be filled with homogeneous tissue simulating liquid to a depth of at least 15 cm. For head SAR testing, the liquid height from the ear reference point (ERP) of the phantom to the liquid top surface is larger than 15 cm. For body SAR testing, the liquid height from the center of the flat phantom to the liquid top surface is larger than 15 cm. The nominal dielectric values of the tissue simulating liquids in the phantom and the tolerance of 5%.



The following table gives the recipes for tissue simulating liquid and the theoretical Conductivity/Permittivity.

Head (Reference IEEE1528)								
Frequency (MHz)	Water (%)	Sugar (%)	Cellulose (%)	Salt (%)	Preventol (%)	DGBE (%)	Conductivity σ	Permittivity ϵ
750	41.1	57.0	0.2	1.4	0.2	0	0.89	41.9
835	40.3	57.9	0.2	1.4	0.2	0	0.90	41.5
900	40.3	57.9	0.2	1.4	0.2	0	0.97	41.5
1800, 1900, 2000	55.2	0	0	0.3	0	44.5	1.4	40.0
2450	55.0	0	0	0.1	0	44.9	1.80	39.2
2600	54.9	0	0	0.1	0	45.0	1.96	39.0
Frequency(MHz)	Water (%)	Hexyl Carbitol (%)			Triton X-100 (%)		Conductivity σ	Permittivity ϵ
5200	62.52	17.24			17.24		4.66	36.0
5800	62.52	17.24			17.24		5.27	35.3
Body (From instrument manufacturer)								
Frequency (MHz)	Water (%)	Sugar (%)	Cellulose (%)	Salt (%)	Preventol (%)	DGBE (%)	Conductivity σ	Permittivity ϵ
750	51.7	47.2	0	0.9	0.1	0	0.96	55.5
835	50.8	48.2	0	0.9	0.1	0	0.97	55.2
900	50.8	48.2	0	0.9	0.1	0	1.05	55.0
1800, 1900, 2000	70.2	0	0	0.4	0	29.4	1.52	53.3
2450	68.6	0	0	0.1	0	31.3	1.95	52.7
2600	68.2	0	0	0.1	0	31.7	2.16	52.5

Frequency(MHz)	Water	DGBE (%)	Salt (%)	Conductivity	Permittivity
5200	78.60	21.40	/	5.54	47.86
5800	78.50	21.40	0.1	6.0	48.20

5 SYSTEM VERIFICATION

5.1 Antenna Port Test Requirement


The SATIMO SAR system is equipped with one or more system validation kits. These units together with the predefined measurement procedures within the SATIMO software enable the user to conduct the system performance check and system validation. System validation kit includes a dipole, tripod holder to fix it underneath the flat phantom and a corresponding distance holder.

5.2 Purpose of System Check

The system performance check verifies that the system operates within its specifications. System and operator errors can be detected and corrected. It is recommended that the system performance check be performed prior to any usage of the system in order to guarantee reproducible results. The system performance check uses normal SAR measurements in a simplified setup with a well characterized source. This setup was selected to give a high sensitivity to all parameters that might fail or vary over time. The system check does not intend to replace the calibration of the components, but indicates situations where the system uncertainty is exceeded due to drift or failure.

5.3 System Check Setup

In the simplified setup for system evaluation, the EUT is replaced by a calibrated dipole and the power source is replaced by a continuous wave that comes from a signal generator. The calibrated dipole must be placed beneath the flat phantom section of the SAM twin phantom with the correct distance holder. The distance holder should touch the phantom surface with a light pressure at the reference marking and be oriented parallel to the long side of the phantom. The equipment setup is shown below:



6 EUT TEST POSITION CONFIGURATIONS


According to KDB 648474 D04 Handset, handsets are tested for SAR compliance in head, body-worn accessory and other use configurations described in the following subsections.

6.1 Head Exposure Conditions

Head exposure is limited to next to the ear voice mode operations. Head SAR compliance is tested according to the test positions defined in IEEE Std 1528-2013 using the SAM phantom illustrated as below.


6.1.1 Define two imaginary lines on the handset

- The vertical centerline passes through two points on the front side of the handset - the midpoint of the width w_t of the handset at the level of the acoustic output, and the midpoint of the width w_b of the bottom of the handset.
- The horizontal line is perpendicular to the vertical centerline and passes through the center of the acoustic output. The horizontal line is also tangential to the face of the handset at point A.
- The two lines intersect at point A. Note that for many handsets, point A coincides with the center of the acoustic output; however, the acoustic output may be located elsewhere on the horizontal line. Also note that the vertical centerline is not necessarily parallel to the front face of the handset, especially for clamshell handsets, handsets with flip covers, and other irregularly shaped handsets.




6.1.2 Cheek Position

- To position the device with the vertical center line of the body of the device and the horizontal line crossing the center piece in a plane parallel to the sagittal plane of the phantom. While maintaining the device in this plane, align the vertical center line with the reference plane containing the three ear and mouth reference point (M: Mouth, RE: Right Ear, and LE: Left Ear) and align the center of the ear piece with the line RE-LE.
- To move the device towards the phantom with the ear piece aligned with the line LE-RE until the phone touched the ear. While maintaining the device in the reference plane and maintaining the phone contact with the ear, move the bottom of the phone until any point on the front side is in contact with the cheek of the phantom or until contact with the ear is lost.



6.1.3 Tilted Position

- To position the device in the “cheek” position described above.
- While maintaining the device the reference plane described above and pivoting against the ear, moves it outward away from the mouth by an angle of 15 degrees or until contact with the ear is lost.




6.2 Body-worn Position Conditions

Body-worn accessory exposure is typically related to voice mode operations when handsets are carried in body-worn accessories. The body-worn accessory procedures in KDB 447498 are used to test for body-worn accessory SAR compliance, without a headset connected to it. This enables the test results for such configuration to be compatible with that required for hotspot mode when the body-worn accessory test separation distance is greater than or equal to that required for hotspot mode. When the reported SAR for a body-worn accessory, measured without a headset connected to the handset, is $> 1.2 \text{ W/kg}$, the highest reported SAR configuration for that wireless mode and frequency band should be repeated for that body-worn accessory with a headset attached to the handset.


Body-worn accessories that do not contain metallic or conductive components may be tested according to worst-case exposure configurations, typically according to the smallest test separation distance required for the group of body-worn accessories with similar operating and exposure characteristics. All body-worn accessories containing metallic components are tested in conjunction with the host device.

Body-worn accessory SAR compliance is based on a single minimum test separation distance for all wireless and operating modes applicable to each body-worn accessory used by the host, and according to the relevant voice and/or data mode transmissions and operations. If a body-worn accessory supports voice only operations in its normal and expected use conditions, testing of data mode for body-worn compliance is not required. A conservative minimum test separation distance for supporting off-the-shelf body-worn accessories that may be acquired by users of consumer handsets is used to test for body-worn accessory SAR compliance. This distance is determined by the handset manufacturer, according to the requirements of Supplement C 01-01. Devices that are designed to operate on the body of users using lanyards and straps, or without requiring additional body-worn accessories, will be tested using a conservative minimum test separation distance $\leq 5 \text{ mm}$ to support compliance.



6.3 Hotspot Mode Exposure Position Conditions

For handsets that support hotspot mode operations, with wireless router capabilities and various web browsing functions, the relevant hand and body exposure conditions are tested according to the hotspot SAR procedures in KDB 941225. A test separation distance of 10 mm is required between the phantom and all surfaces and edges with a transmitting antenna located within 25 mm from that surface or edge. When the form factor of a handset is smaller than 9 cm x 5 cm, a test separation distance of 5 mm (instead of 10 mm) is required for testing hotspot mode. When the separation distance required for body-worn accessory testing is larger than or equal to that tested for hotspot mode, in the same wireless mode and for the same surface of the phone, the hotspot mode SAR data may be used to support body-worn accessory SAR compliance for that particular configuration (surface).



7.2 SAR Scan General Requirements

Per. IEC 1528, using a method called the area scan, an E-field probe is moved through the tissue-equivalent liquid in a SAM or a flat phantom to find approximate location(s) of SAR peak(s). Additional zoom scans surrounding one or more of these peak locations are subsequently executed to determine the peak spatial-average SAR Value. Typically, the local peak SAR values occur at the surface of homogeneous phantoms, which are not directly measurable by the field sensors that are located 0.5 mm to 4 mm behind the probe tip. An increased measurement uncertainty occurs when the distance between the phantom surface and probe tip is less than one probe tip diameter, caused by boundary effects. Nonetheless, accurate field strength estimates can be achieved close to boundaries using compensation algorithms. The SAR values between the nearest measured point and the phantom surface are determined by extrapolation methods (see 7.2.1). Probe scanning and data analysis methods for use in determining the peak spatial-average SAR values are given in 7.2.1, 7.2.2, and 7.2.3.

7.2.1 Area scan parameters

Measurement procedures for evaluating the SAR of wireless handsets start with a coarse measurement grid to determine the approximate location of the local peak SAR values. This is known as the area-scan procedure. The SAR distribution is scanned using an E-field probe along the inside surface of the left or right sides of the phantom head. The following table provides the measurement parameters used in the area scan. The requirements are more restrictive at frequencies above 3 GHz than at lower frequencies due to the steeper field gradients and shorter wavelengths.

Area scan parameters

	DUT transmit frequency being tested	
	$f \leq 3\text{GHz}$	$3\text{ GHz} < f \leq 6\text{ GHz}$
Maximum distance from closest measurement point (geometric center of probe sensors) to phantom surface	$5 \pm 1\text{ mm}$	$\frac{1}{2} \cdot \delta \cdot \ln(2) \pm 0.5\text{ mm}$
Maximum spacing between adjacent measured points	20 or $\frac{1}{2}$ of the corresponding zoom scan length, whichever is smaller	$60 / f$ or $\frac{1}{2}$ of the corresponding zoom scan length, whichever is smaller
Maximum angle between the probe axis and the phantom surface normal	30°	20°
Tolerance in the probe angle	1°	1°
Note: 1. δ is the penetration depth for a plane-wave incident normally on a planar half-space. 2. The probe angle with respect to the phantom surface normal is restricted due to the degradation in the measurement accuracy in fields with steep spatial gradients. The measurement accuracy decreases with increasing probe angle and increasing frequency. This is the reason for the tighter probe angle restriction at frequencies above 3 GHz.		

7.2.2 Zoom scan parameters

To evaluate the peak spatial-average SAR values with respect to 1 g or 10 g cubes, fine resolution volume scans, called zoom scans, are performed at the peak SAR locations identified during the area scan. The maximum spacing between the measured points in the zoom scan are given in the following table. Graded grids may be used in order to reduce the total number of measurement points.

For frequencies in the range 300 MHz to 3000 MHz, the minimum zoom-scan volume size before extrapolation shall be $30 \times 30 \times 30 \text{ mm}^3$, which is a volume that extends at least 1.5 times the edge dimension of a 1 g cube in all directions from the center of the scan volume. This minimum volume applies to both 1 g and 10 g peak spatial-average SAR evaluations.

For frequencies between 3 GHz and 6 GHz, a smaller zoom volume scan is allowed, due to the stronger decay of the E-field, the tighter spacing between the measured points, and the desire to reduce the measurement time.

Along the phantom curved surfaces, the front face of the zoom scan volume facing the tissue/liquid interface conforms to the curved boundary, so that the maximum distance between the closest measured points and the phantom surface is the same. The back face of the zoom scan volume shall be equally distorted to maintain the correct averaging mass. The four side faces are parallel to the line normal to the phantom surface at the center of the zoom scan volume. The peak SAR locations determined in the area scan, after interpolation, are used as the centers of the zoom scans.

After the zoom-scan measurement, extrapolations from the closest measured points to the surface, along lines parallel to the zoom-scan centerline, and interpolations to a finer resolution between all measured and extrapolated points are performed. Extrapolation algorithm considerations are described in 7.2.3.

The peak spatial-average SAR is finally determined by a numerical averaging of the local SAR values in the interpolation grid, using for example a trapezoidal algorithm for the integration (averaging). During a zoom scan, the angle of the probe with respect to the line normal to the phantom surface shall be within the value specified in following table. In some areas of the phantom, such as the jaw and upper head regions, this angle can become larger than the specified value, which would increase the boundary effect error to a larger level. In these cases, the zoom scan shall be repeated with a change in the orientation of the probe, the phantom, or both for the duration of the zoom scan, so that this angle is within the value for all measurement points.

Zoom scan parameters

	DUT transmit frequency being tested	
	$f \leq 3\text{GHz}$	$3\text{ GHz} < f \leq 6\text{ GHz}$
Maximum distance between the closest measured points and the phantom surface	5	$\delta \ln(2)/2^a$
Maximum angle between the probe axis and the phantom surface normal	30°	20°
Tolerance in the probe angle	1°	1°
Maximum spacing between measured points in the x and y directions	8	$24 / f^b$
For uniform grids: Maximum spacing between measured points in the direction normal to the phantom shell	5	$8 - f$
For graded grids: Maximum spacing between the two closest measured	4	$12 / f$

points in the direction normal to the phantom shell		
For graded grids: Maximum incremental increase R_z in the spacing between measured points in the direction normal to the phantom shell	1.5	1.5
Minimum edge length of the zoom scan volume in the x and y directions	30	22
Minimum edge length of the zoom scan volume in the direction normal to the phantom shell	30	22
Note: 1. δ is the penetration depth for a plane-wave incident normally on a planar half-space. 2. The probe angle with respect to the phantom surface normal is restricted due to the degradation in the measurement accuracy in fields with steep spatial gradients. The measurement accuracy decreases with increasing probe angle and increasing frequency. This is the reason for the tighter probe angle restriction at frequencies above 3 GHz.		

7.2.3 Zoom scan extrapolation

It is not possible to directly measure the SAR at the phantom surface. E-field probes are constructed with the detectors and dipole centers located typically 0.5 mm to 4 mm behind the physical tip of the probe case. In addition, the probe tip cannot touch the phantom shell due to boundary effects. Therefore, SAR values at the phantom surface are extrapolated from the measured points closest to the phantom surface based on the local field attenuation characteristics to determine the peak spatial-average SAR.


SAR values at the inner surface of a phantom (liquid-shell interface) are extrapolated from measured values along a line away from the surface. The points along this line shall be separated by no more than the distance specified in section 7.2.2 table. Curve-fitting methods based on local field attenuation are used to extrapolate SAR values to the surface. Each grid line will have a set of curve-fit coefficients to extrapolate SAR values such that SAR values near and at the surface are included in the volume averaging.

8 CONDUCTED RF OUPUT POWER

1.1 Bluetooth

Mode	GFSK			$\pi/4$ -DQPSK		
Channel	0	39	78	0	39	78
Frequency (MHz)	2402	2441	2480	2402	2441	2480
Peak Power (dBm)	8.28	8.64	7.67	10.30	11.08	9.92
Tune-up Power (dBm)	9.50			11.50		
Mode	8-DPSK			BLE		
Channel	0	39	78	0	19	39
Frequency (MHz)	2402	2441	2480	2402	2440	2480
Peak Power (dBm)	10.95	11.51	10.56	8.10	8.27	7.30
Tune-up Power (dBm)	12.00			9.00		

9 EUT ANTENNA LOCATION SKETCH



9.1 FCC SAR Test Exclusion Consider Table

According with FCC KDB 447498 D01, Appendix A, <SAR Test Exclusion Thresholds for 100 MHz – 6 GHz and ≤ 50 mm> Table, this Device SAR test configurations consider as following :

Band	Mode	Max. Peak Power		Test Position Configurations
		dBm	mW	Headset
Bluetooth	Distance to User			<5mm
	Bluetooth BR/EDR	12.00	15.85	Yes
	Bluetooth BLE	9.00	7.94	No

Note:

- Maximum power is the source-based time-average power and represents the maximum RF output power among production units.
- Per KDB 447498 D01, for larger devices, the test separation distance of adjacent edge configuration is determined by the closest separation between the antenna and the user.
- Per KDB 447498 D01, standalone SAR test exclusion threshold is applied; If the distance of the antenna to the user is < 5mm, 5mm is used to determine SAR exclusion threshold
- Per KDB 447498 D01, the 1-g and 10-g SAR test exclusion thresholds for 100 MHz to 6 GHz at test separation distances ≤ 50 mm are determined by:

$$[(\text{max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW}) / (\text{min. test separation distance, mm})] \cdot [\sqrt{f(\text{GHz})}] \leq 3.0 \text{ for 1-g SAR and } \leq 7.5 \text{ for 10-g extremity SAR}$$
 - f(GHz) is the RF channel transmit frequency in GHz
 - Power and distance are rounded to the nearest mW and mm before calculation
 - The result is rounded to one decimal place for comparison
 - For < 50 mm distance, we just calculate mW of the exclusion threshold value (3.0) to do compare.

This formula is $[3.0] / [\sqrt{f(\text{GHz})}] \cdot [(\text{min. test separation distance, mm})] = \text{exclusion threshold of mW.}$

9.2 ISED SAR Test Exclusion Consider Table

According with section 2.5.1 of RSS-102 Issue 5, SAR evaluation is required if the separation distance between the user and/or bystander and the antenna and/or radiating element of the device is less than or equal to 20 cm, except when the device operates at or below the applicable output power level (adjusted for tune-up tolerance) for the specified separation distance defined in Table.

Exemption Limits (mW)					
Frequency (MHz)	At separation distance of ≤ 5 mm	At separation distance of 10 mm	At separation distance of 15 mm	At separation distance of 20 mm	At separation distance of 25 mm
≤ 300	71 mW	101 mW	132 mW	162 mW	193 mW
450	52 mW	70 mW	88 mW	106 mW	123 mW
835	17 mW	30 mW	42 mW	55 mW	67 mW
1900	7 mW	10 mW	18 mW	34 mW	60 mW
2450	4 mW	7 mW	15 mW	30 mW	52 mW
3500	2 mW	6 mW	16 mW	32 mW	55 mW
5800	1 mW	6 mW	15 mW	27 mW	41 mW
Frequency (MHz)	At separation distance of 30 mm	At separation distance of 35 mm	At separation distance of 40 mm	At separation distance of 45 mm	At separation distance of ≥ 50 mm
≤ 300	223 mW	254 mW	284 mW	315 mW	345 mW
450	141 mW	159 mW	177 mW	195 mW	213 mW
835	80 mW	92 mW	105 mW	117 mW	130 mW
1900	99 mW	153 mW	225 mW	315 mW	431 mW
2450	83 mW	123 mW	173 mW	235 mW	309 mW
3500	86 mW	124 mW	170 mW	225 mW	290 mW
5800	56 mW	71 mW	85 mW	97 mW	106 mW

Note: The EUT is Bluetooth headset, which closes to the ear (at separation distance of ≤ 5 mm). The maximum power of Bluetooth is: 12.00 (dBm) = 15.85 (mW), which is greater than the exclusion threshold limit (4mW), the evaluation for Bluetooth SAR test is required.

10 TEST RESULTS

1.2 Bluetooth (1g SAR)

Mode	Position	Dist. (mm)	Ch.	Freq. (MHz)	Power Drift (%)	1 g Meas. SAR (W/Kg)	Meas. Power (dBm)	Max. tune-up Power (dBm)	Scaling Factor	1 g Scaled SAR (W/Kg)	Meas. No.
Head											
Bluetooth (3DH5)	Headset	0	39	2441	-3.33	0.132	11.51	12.0	1.119	0.148	/
		0	0	2402	-3.15	0.148	10.95	12.0	1.274	0.187	/
		0	78	2480	-4.12	0.135	10.56	12.0	1.393	0.188	1#

10.1 SAR Measurement Variability

According to KDB 865664 D01 v01r03, SAR measurement variability was assessed for each frequency band, which is determined by the SAR probe calibration point and tissue-equivalent medium used for the device measurements. When both head and body tissue-equivalent media are required for SAR measurements in a frequency band, the variability measurement procedures should be applied to the tissue medium with the highest measured SAR, using the highest measured SAR configuration for that tissue-equivalent medium. Alternatively, if the highest measured SAR for both head and body tissue-equivalent media are ≤ 1.45 W/kg and the ratio of these highest SAR values, i.e., largest divided by smallest value, is ≤ 1.10 , the highest SAR configuration for either head or body tissue-equivalent medium may be used to perform the repeated measurement. These additional measurements are repeated after the completion of all measurements requiring the same head or body tissue-equivalent medium in a frequency band. The test device should be returned to ambient conditions (normal room temperature) with the battery fully charged before it is re-mounted on the device holder for the repeated measurement(s) to minimize any unexpected variations in the repeated results.

SAR repeated measurement procedure:

1. When the highest measured SAR is < 0.80 W/kg, repeated measurement is not required.
2. When the highest measured SAR is ≥ 0.80 W/kg, repeat that measurement once.
3. If the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original and first repeated measurements is > 1.20 , or when the original or repeated measurement is ≥ 1.45 W/kg, perform a second repeated measurement.
4. If the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original, first and second repeated measurements is > 1.20 , and the original, first or second repeated measurement is ≥ 1.5 W/kg, perform a third repeated measurement.

Note: The highest measured SAR is 0.188 W/kg, which is less than 0.80 W/kg, repeated measurement is not required.

11 TEST EQUIPMENTS LIST

Description	Manufacturer	Model	Serial No.	Cal. Date	Cal. Due
2450MHz Dipole	SATIMO	SID 2450	S/N 11/17 DIP 2G450-452	2017/03/22	2020/03/21
E-Field Probe	MVG	SSE2	S/N 34/15 EPGO 265	2019/03/19	2020/03/18
MultiMeter	Keithley	MultiMeter 2000	4024022	2018/06/15	2019/06/14
Signal Generator	R&S	SMBV100A	260592	2018/06/15	2019/06/14
Power Meter	Agilent	E4419B	GB40201833	2018/06/02	2019/06/01
Power Sensor	Agilent	E9300A	MY41498012	2018/07/02	2019/07/01
Power Sensor	Agilent	E9300A	MY41499891	2018/07/02	2019/07/01
Network Analyzer	R&S	ZVL-6	101380	2018/06/15	2019/06/14
Thermometer	Elitech	RC-4HC	N/A	2018/11/05	2019/11/04
Power Amplifier	SATIMO	6552B	22374	N/A	N/A
Dielectric Probe Kit	SATIMO	SCLMP	SN 25/13 OCPG56	N/A	N/A
Phantom1	SATIMO	SAM	SN 30/13 SAM103	N/A	N/A
Phantom2	SATIMO	SAM	SN 30/13 SAM104	N/A	N/A
Attenuator	COM-MW	ZA-S1-31	1305003187	N/A	N/A
Directional coupler	AA-MCS	AAMCS-UDC	000272	N/A	N/A

Note: Per KDB 865664 Dipole SAR Validation Verification, BALUN LAB has adopted 3 years calibration intervals. On annual basis, every measurement dipole has been evaluated and is in compliance with the following criteria:

1. There is no physical damage on the dipole;
2. System validation with specific dipole is within 10% of calibrated value;
3. Return-loss in within 20% of calibrated measurement.
4. Impedance (real or imaginary parts) in within 5 Ohms of calibrated measurement.

ANNEX A SIMULATING LIQUID VERIFICATION RESULT

The dielectric parameters of the liquids were verified prior to the SAR evaluation using an SATIMO Dielectric Probe Kit.

Date	Fre. (MHz)	Temp. (°C)	Meas. Conductivity(σ) (S/m)	Meas. Permittivity(ϵ)	Target Conductivity(σ) (S/m)	Target Permittivity(ϵ)	Conductivity Tolerance (%)	Permittivity Tolerance (%)
2019.04.23	2402	21.1	1.74	38.59	1.76	39.29	-1.14	-1.78
2019.04.23	2441	21.1	1.78	38.28	1.79	39.22	-0.56	-2.40
2019.04.23	2450	21.1	1.80	38.23	1.80	39.20	0.00	-2.47
2019.04.23	2480	21.1	1.85	37.82	1.83	39.16	1.09	-3.42

Note:

1. The tolerances limit of Conductivity and Permittivity is $\pm 5\%$.
2. These target Conductivity and Permittivity values were determined by linearly interpolated.

ANNEX B SYSTEM CHECK RESULT

Comparing to the original SAR value provided by SATIMO, the validation data should be within its specification of 10 % (for 1 g).

Date	Liquid Type	Freq. (MHz)	Power (mW)	Measured SAR (W/kg)	Normalized SAR (W/kg)	Dipole SAR (W/kg)	Tolerance (%)	Targeted SAR(W/kg)	Tolerance (%)
2019.04.23	Head	2450	100	5.595	55.95	54.31	3.02	52.40	6.77
Note: The tolerance limit of System validation $\pm 10\%$.									

System Performance Check Data(2450MHz Head)

Type: Phone measurement (Complete)

E-Field Probe: SN 34/15 SSE2 EPGO265

Area scan resolution: dx=8mm,dy=8mm


Zoom scan resolution: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Date of measurement: 2019.04.23

Measurement duration: 18 minutes 41 seconds

Experimental conditions.

Phantom File	surf_sam_plan.txt
Phantom	Validation plane
Band	2450MHz
Signal	CW
Frequency (MHz)	2450.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	38.232045
Conductivity (S/m)	1.796216
Power drift (%)	-0.280000
Ambient Temperature:	22.4°C
Liquid Temperature:	21.1°C
ConvF:	2.55
Crest factor:	1:1




Maximum location: X=1.00, Y=-1.00


SAR Peak: 10.29W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	2.537892
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	5.595073


Z Axis Scan



3D screen shot



Hot spot position




ANNEX C TEST DATA


MEAS. 1 Body Plane with Headset 0mm on Low Channel in Bluetooth 3DH5

mode

Test Date:	23/4/2019
Measurement duration:	15 minutes 58 seconds
Signal:	Bluetooth, f=2480.0 MHz, Duty Cycle: 1:1.30
Liquid Parameters:	Permittivity: 37.82; Conductivity: 1.85 S/m
Test condition:	Ambient Temperature: 22.4°C, Liquid Temperature: 22.1°C
Probe:	SN 34/15 SSE2 EPGO265, ConvF: 2.55
Area Scan:	sam_direct_droit2_surf10mm.txt, h= 5.00 mm
Zoom Scan:	5x5x7,dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm,Complete
Maximum location:	X=10.000000, Y=-12.000000
SAR 10g (W/Kg):	0.056794
SAR 1g (W/Kg):	0.134849
Power drift (%):	-4.12
3D screen shot	



Z Axis Scan



ANNEX D EUT EXTERNAL PHOTOS

Please refer the document "BL-SZ1940038-AW.PDF".

ANNEX E EUT INTERNAL PHOTOS

Please refer the document "BL-SZ1940038-AI.PDF".

ANNEX F CALIBRATION REPORT

F.1 E-Field Probe



COMOSAR E-Field Probe Calibration Report

Ref : ACR.78.5.19.SATU.A

SHENZHEN BALUN TECHNOLOGY CO.,LTD.
BLOCK B, FL 1, BAISHA SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
PARK, SHAHE XI ROAD,
NANSHAN DISTRICT, SHENZHEN, GUANGDONG
PROVINCE, P.R. CHINA 518055
MVG COMOSAR DOSIMETRIC E-FIELD PROBE
SERIAL NO.: SN 34/15 EPGO265

Calibrated at MVG US
2105 Barrett Park Dr. - Kennesaw, GA 30144



Calibration Date: 03/19/2019

Summary:

This document presents the method and results from an accredited COMOSAR Dosimetric E-Field Probe calibration performed in MVG USA using the CALISAR / CALIBAIR test bench, for use with a COMOSAR system only. All calibration results are traceable to national metrology institutions.



COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.78.5.19.SATU.A

	Name	Function	Date	Signature
Prepared by :	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	3/19/2019	<i>JS</i>
Checked by :	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	3/19/2019	<i>JS</i>
Approved by :	Kim RUTKOWSKI	Quality Manager	3/19/2019	<i>Kim Rutkowski</i>

	Customer Name
Distribution :	SHENZHEN BALUN TECHNOLOGY Co.,Ltd.

Issue	Date	Modifications
A	3/19/2019	Initial release

Page: 2/10

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MTVA.
The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MTVA.



COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.78.5.19.SATU.A

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Device Under Test	4
2	Product Description	4
2.1	General Information	4
3	Measurement Method	4
3.1	Linearity	4
3.2	Sensitivity	5
3.3	Lower Detection Limit	5
3.4	Isotropy	5
3.5	Boundary Effect	5
4	Measurement Uncertainty	5
5	Calibration Measurement Results	6
5.1	Sensitivity in air	6
5.2	Linearity	7
5.3	Sensitivity in liquid	7
5.4	Isotropy	8
6	List of Equipment	10

Page: 3/10

*This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG.
The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.*



COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.78.5.19.SATU/A

1 DEVICE UNDER TEST

Device Under Test	
Device Type	COMOSAR DOSIMETRIC E FIELD PROBE
Manufacturer	MVG
Model	SSE2
Serial Number	SN 34/15 EPGO265
Product Condition (new / used)	Used
Frequency Range of Probe	0.15 GHz-6GHz
Resistance of Three Dipoles at Connector	Dipole 1: R1=0.193 MΩ Dipole 2: R2=0.231 MΩ Dipole 3: R3=0.205 MΩ

A yearly calibration interval is recommended.

2 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

2.1 GENERAL INFORMATION

MVG's COMOSAR E field Probes are built in accordance to the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards.



Figure 1 – MVG COMOSAR Dosimetric E field Dipole

Probe Length	330 mm
Length of Individual Dipoles	2 mm
Maximum external diameter	8 mm
Probe Tip External Diameter	2.5 mm
Distance between dipoles / probe extremity	1 mm

3 MEASUREMENT METHOD

The IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C, CENELEC EN50361 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards provide recommended practices for the probe calibrations, including the performance characteristics of interest and methods by which to assess their affect. All calibrations / measurements performed meet the fore mentioned standards.

3.1 LINEARITY

The evaluation of the linearity was done in free space using the waveguide, performing a power sweep to cover the SAR range 0.01W/kg to 100W/kg.

Page: 4/10

*This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG.
The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.*



COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.78.5.19.SATU.A

3.2 SENSITIVITY

The sensitivity factors of the three dipoles were determined using a two step calibration method (air and tissue simulating liquid) using waveguides as outlined in the standards.

3.3 LOWER DETECTION LIMIT

The lower detection limit was assessed using the same measurement set up as used for the linearity measurement. The required lower detection limit is 10 mW/kg.

3.4 ISOTROPY

The axial isotropy was evaluated by exposing the probe to a reference wave from a standard dipole with the dipole mounted under the flat phantom in the test configuration suggested for system validations and checks. The probe was rotated along its main axis from 0 - 360 degrees in 15 degree steps. The hemispherical isotropy is determined by inserting the probe in a thin plastic box filled with tissue-equivalent liquid, with the plastic box illuminated with the fields from a half wave dipole. The dipole is rotated about its axis (0°–180°) in 15° increments. At each step the probe is rotated about its axis (0°–360°).

3.5 BOUNDARY EFFECT

The boundary effect is defined as the deviation between the SAR measured data and the expected exponential decay in the liquid when the probe is oriented normal to the interface. To evaluate this effect, the liquid filled flat phantom is exposed to fields from either a reference dipole or waveguide. With the probe normal to the phantom surface, the peak spatial average SAR is measured and compared to the analytical value at the surface.

4 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

The guidelines outlined in the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C, CENELEC EN50361 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards were followed to generate the measurement uncertainty associated with an E-field probe calibration using the waveguide technique. All uncertainties listed below represent an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of k=2, traceable to the Internationally Accepted Guides to Measurement Uncertainty.

Uncertainty analysis of the probe calibration in waveguide					
ERROR SOURCES	Uncertainty value (%)	Probability Distribution	Divisor	ci	Standard Uncertainty (%)
Incident or forward power	3.00%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.732%
Reflected power	3.00%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.732%
Liquid conductivity	5.00%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	2.887%
Liquid permittivity	4.00%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	2.309%
Field homogeneity	3.00%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.732%
Field probe positioning	5.00%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	2.887%

Page: 5/10

*This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG.
The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.*



COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.78.5.19.SATU.A

Field probe linearity	3,00%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1,732%
Combined standard uncertainty					5,831%
Expanded uncertainty 95 % confidence level k = 2					12,0%

5 CALIBRATION MEASUREMENT RESULTS

Calibration Parameters	
Liquid Temperature	21 °C
Lab Temperature	21 °C
Lab Humidity	45 %


5.1 SENSITIVITY IN AIR

Normx dipole 1 ($\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$)	Normy dipole 2 ($\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$)	Normz dipole 3 ($\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$)
0.70	0.78	0.82

DCP dipole 1 (mV)	DCP dipole 2 (mV)	DCP dipole 3 (mV)
90	91	97

Calibration curves $e_i = f(V)$ ($i=1,2,3$) allow to obtain H-field value using the formula:

$$E = \sqrt{E_1^2 + E_2^2 + E_3^2}$$



Page: 6/10


This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG.
The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.



COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.78.5.19.SATU.A

5.2 LINEARITY



Linearity: $\pm 1.98\%$ ($\pm 0.09\text{dB}$)

5.3 SENSITIVITY IN LIQUID

Liquid	Frequency (MHz $\pm$ 100MHz)	Permittivity	Epsilon (S/m)	ConvF
HL750	750	40.03	0.93	1.89
BL750	750	56.83	1.00	1.96
HL850	835	42.19	0.90	1.93
BL850	835	54.67	1.01	1.98
HL900	900	42.08	1.01	1.95
BL900	900	55.25	1.08	2.02
HL1800	1800	41.68	1.46	2.18
BL1800	1800	53.86	1.46	2.25
HL1900	1900	38.45	1.45	2.46
BL1900	1900	53.32	1.56	2.57
HL2000	2000	38.26	1.38	2.24
BL2000	2000	52.70	1.51	2.31
HL2300	2300	39.44	1.62	2.58
BL2300	2300	54.52	1.77	2.65
HL2450	2450	37.50	1.80	2.55
BL2450	2450	53.22	1.89	2.63
HL2600	2600	39.80	1.99	2.38
BL2600	2600	52.52	2.23	2.46
HL5200	5200	35.64	4.67	2.09
BL5200	5200	48.64	5.51	2.14
HL5400	5400	36.44	4.87	2.04
BL5400	5400	46.52	5.77	2.12
HL5600	5600	36.66	5.17	2.20
BL5600	5600	46.79	5.77	2.27
HL5800	5800	35.31	5.31	2.17
BL5800	5800	47.04	6.10	2.22

LOWER DETECTION LIMIT: 8mW/kg

Page: 7/10

*This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG.
The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.*




COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.78.5.19.SATU.A

5.4 ISOTROPY


HL900 MHz

- Axial isotropy: 0.05 dB
- Hemispherical isotropy: 0.06 dB



HL1800 MHz

- Axial isotropy: 0.05 dB
- Hemispherical isotropy: 0.08 dB



Page: 8/10

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG.
The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.




COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.78.5.19.SATU.A

HL5600 MHz

- Axial isotropy: 0.06 dB
- Hemispherical isotropy: 0.11 dB



Page: 9/10

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG.
The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.



COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.78.5.19.SATU.A

6 LIST OF EQUIPMENT

Equipment Summary Sheet				
Equipment Description	Manufacturer / Model	Identification No.	Current Calibration Date	Next Calibration Date
Flat Phantom	MVG	SN-20/09-SAM71	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
COMOSAR Test Bench	Version 3	NA	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Network Analyzer	Rhode & Schwarz ZVA	SN100132	02/2019	02/2022
Reference Probe	MVG	EP 94 SN 37/08	10/2018	10/2019
Multimeter	Keithley 2000	1188656	01/2017	01/2020
Signal Generator	Agilent E4438C	MY49070581	01/2017	01/2020
Amplifier	Aethercomm	SN 046	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Power Meter	HP E4418A	US38261498	01/2017	01/2020
Power Sensor	HP ECP-E26A	US37181460	01/2017	01/2020
Directional Coupler	Narda 4216-20	01386	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Waveguide	Mega Industries	069Y7-158-13-712	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Waveguide Transition	Mega Industries	069Y7-158-13-701	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Waveguide Termination	Mega Industries	069Y7-158-13-701	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Temperature / Humidity Sensor	Control Company	150798832	11/2017	11/2020

Page: 10/10

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG.
The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.



SAR Reference Dipole Calibration Report

Ref : ACR.93.8.17.SATU.A

SHENZHEN BALUN TECHNOLOGY CO.,LTD.
BLOCK B, FL 1, BAISHA SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
PARK, SHAHE XI ROAD,
NANSHAN DISTRICT, SHENZHEN, GUANGDONG
PROVINCE, P.R. CHINA 518055
MVG COMOSAR REFERENCE DIPOLE
FREQUENCY: 2450 MHZ
SERIAL NO.: SN 11/17 DIP 2G450-452

Calibrated at MVG US
2105 Barrett Park Dr. - Kennesaw, GA 30144



Calibration Date: 03/22/2017

Summary:

This document presents the method and results from an accredited SAR reference dipole calibration performed in MVG USA using the COMOSAR test bench. All calibration results are traceable to national metrology institutions.



SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.93.8.17.SATU.A

	Name	Function	Date	Signature
Prepared by :	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	4/3/2017	<i>JS</i>
Checked by :	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	4/3/2017	<i>JS</i>
Approved by :	Kim RUTKOWSKI	Quality Manager	4/3/2017	<i>Kim Rutkowski</i>

	Customer Name
Distribution :	SHENZHEN BALUN TECHNOLOGY Co.,Ltd.

Issue	Date	Modifications
A	4/3/2017	Initial release

Page: 2/11

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG.
The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.



SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.93.8.17.SATU.A

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Introduction.....	4
2	Device Under Test	4
3	Product Description	4
3.1	General Information	4
4	Measurement Method	5
4.1	Return Loss Requirements	5
4.2	Mechanical Requirements	5
5	Measurement Uncertainty.....	5
5.1	Return Loss	5
5.2	Dimension Measurement	5
5.3	Validation Measurement	5
6	Calibration Measurement Results.....	6
6.1	Return Loss and Impedance In Head Liquid	6
6.2	Return Loss and Impedance In Body Liquid	6
6.3	Mechanical Dimensions	6
7	Validation measurement	7
7.1	Head Liquid Measurement	7
7.2	SAR Measurement Result With Head Liquid	8
7.3	Body Liquid Measurement	9
7.4	SAR Measurement Result With Body Liquid	10
8	List of Equipment	11

Page: 3/11

*This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG.
The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.*



SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.93.8.17.SATU.A

1 INTRODUCTION

This document contains a summary of the requirements set forth by the IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards for reference dipoles used for SAR measurement system validations and the measurements that were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

2 DEVICE UNDER TEST

Device Under Test	
Device Type	COMOSAR 2450 MHz REFERENCE DIPOLE
Manufacturer	MVG
Model	SID2450
Serial Number	SN 11/17 DIP 2G450-452
Product Condition (new / used)	New

A yearly calibration interval is recommended.

3 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

3.1 GENERAL INFORMATION

MVG's COMOSAR Validation Dipoles are built in accordance to the IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards. The product is designed for use with the COMOSAR test bench only.



Figure 1 – MVG COMOSAR Validation Dipole

Page: 4/11

*This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG.
The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.*



SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.93.8.17.SATU.A

4 MEASUREMENT METHOD

The IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards provide requirements for reference dipoles used for system validation measurements. The following measurements were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

4.1 RETURN LOSS REQUIREMENTS

The dipole used for SAR system validation measurements and checks must have a return loss of -20 dB or better. The return loss measurement shall be performed against a liquid filled flat phantom, with the phantom constructed as outlined in the fore mentioned standards.

4.2 MECHANICAL REQUIREMENTS

The IEEE Std. 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards specify the mechanical components and dimensions of the validation dipoles, with the dimensions frequency and phantom shell thickness dependent. The COMOSAR test bench employs a 2 mm phantom shell thickness therefore the dipoles sold for use with the COMOSAR test bench comply with the requirements set forth for a 2 mm phantom shell thickness.

5 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

All uncertainties listed below represent an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of k=2, traceable to the Internationally Accepted Guides to Measurement Uncertainty.

5.1 RETURN LOSS

The following uncertainties apply to the return loss measurement:

Frequency band	Expanded Uncertainty on Return Loss
400-6000MHz	0.1 dB

5.2 DIMENSION MEASUREMENT

The following uncertainties apply to the dimension measurements:

Length (mm)	Expanded Uncertainty on Length
3 - 300	0.05 mm

5.3 VALIDATION MEASUREMENT

The guidelines outlined in the IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs, CENELEC EN50361 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards were followed to generate the measurement uncertainty for validation measurements.

Scan Volume	Expanded Uncertainty
1 g	20.3 %

Page: 5/11

*This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG.
The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.*



SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT


Ref: ACR.93.8.17.SATU.A

10 g

20.1 %


6 CALIBRATION MEASUREMENT RESULTS

6.1 RETURN LOSS AND IMPEDANCE IN HEAD LIQUID



Frequency (MHz)	Return Loss (dB)	Requirement (dB)	Impedance
2450	-24.82	-20	$44.3 \Omega + 0.2 j\Omega$

6.2 RETURN LOSS AND IMPEDANCE IN BODY LIQUID



Frequency (MHz)	Return Loss (dB)	Requirement (dB)	Impedance
2450	-31.92	-20	$47.5 \Omega - 0.4 j\Omega$

6.3 MECHANICAL DIMENSIONS

Frequency MHz	L mm		h mm		d mm	
	required	measured	required	measured	required	measured
300	$420.0 \pm 1 \%$		$250.0 \pm 1 \%$		$6.35 \pm 1 \%$	

Page: 6/11

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG.
The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.



SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.93.8.17.SATU.A

450	290.0 ±1 %		166.7 ±1 %		6.35 ±1 %	
750	176.0 ±1 %		100.0 ±1 %		6.35 ±1 %	
835	161.0 ±1 %		89.8 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
900	149.0 ±1 %		83.3 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
1450	89.1 ±1 %		51.7 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
1500	80.5 ±1 %		50.0 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
1640	79.0 ±1 %		45.7 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
1750	75.2 ±1 %		42.9 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
1800	72.0 ±1 %		41.7 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
1900	68.0 ±1 %		39.5 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
1950	66.3 ±1 %		38.5 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
2000	64.5 ±1 %		37.5 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
2100	61.0 ±1 %		35.7 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
2300	55.5 ±1 %		32.6 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
2450	51.5 ±1 %	PASS	30.4 ±1 %	PASS	3.6 ±1 %	PASS
2600	48.5 ±1 %		28.8 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
3000	41.5 ±1 %		25.0 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
3500	37.0 ±1 %		26.4 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
3700	34.7 ±1 %		26.4 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	

7 VALIDATION MEASUREMENT

The IEEE Std. 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards state that the system validation measurements must be performed using a reference dipole meeting the fore mentioned return loss and mechanical dimension requirements. The validation measurement must be performed against a liquid filled flat phantom, with the phantom constructed as outlined in the fore mentioned standards. Per the standards, the dipole shall be positioned below the bottom of the phantom, with the dipole length centered and parallel to the longest dimension of the flat phantom, with the top surface of the dipole at the described distance from the bottom surface of the phantom.

7.1 HEAD LIQUID MEASUREMENT

Frequency MHz	Relative permittivity (ϵ_r)		Conductivity (σ) S/m	
	required	measured	required	measured
300	45.3 ±5 %		0.87 ±5 %	
450	43.5 ±5 %		0.87 ±5 %	
750	41.9 ±5 %		0.89 ±5 %	
835	41.5 ±5 %		0.90 ±5 %	
900	41.5 ±5 %		0.97 ±5 %	
1450	40.5 ±5 %		1.20 ±5 %	
1500	40.4 ±5 %		1.23 ±5 %	
1640	40.2 ±5 %		1.31 ±5 %	
1750	40.1 ±5 %		1.37 ±5 %	

Page: 7/11

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG.
The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.



SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.93.8.17.SATU.A

1800	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
1900	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
1950	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
2000	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
2100	39.8 ±5 %		1.49 ±5 %	
2300	39.5 ±5 %		1.67 ±5 %	
2450	39.2 ±5 %	PASS	1.80 ±5 %	PASS
2600	39.0 ±5 %		1.96 ±5 %	
3000	38.5 ±5 %		2.40 ±5 %	
3500	37.9 ±5 %		2.91 ±5 %	

7.2 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULT WITH HEAD LIQUID

The IEEE Std. 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards state that the system validation measurements should produce the SAR values shown below (for phantom thickness of 2 mm), within the uncertainty for the system validation. All SAR values are normalized to 1 W forward power. In bracket, the measured SAR is given with the used input power.

Software	OPENSAR V4
Phantom	SN 20/09 SAM71
Probe	SN 18/11 EPG122
Liquid	Head Liquid Values: ϵ_{ps} : 37.5 sigma : 1.80
Distance between dipole center and liquid	10.0 mm
Area scan resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm
Zoon Scan Resolution	dx=5mm/dy=5mm/dz=5mm
Frequency	2450 MHz
Input power	20 dBm
Liquid Temperature	21 °C
Lab Temperature	21 °C
Lab Humidity	45 %

Frequency MHz	1 g SAR (W/kg/W)		10 g SAR (W/kg/W)	
	required	measured	required	measured
300	2.85		1.94	
450	4.58		3.06	
750	8.49		5.55	
835	9.56		6.22	
900	10.9		6.99	
1450	29		16	
1500	30.5		16.8	
1640	34.2		18.4	
1750	36.4		19.3	
1800	38.4		20.1	

Page: 8/11


This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MTVG.
The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MTVG.



SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.93.8.17.SATU.A

1900	39.7		20.5	
1950	40.5		20.9	
2000	41.1		21.1	
2100	43.6		21.9	
2300	48.7		23.3	
2450	52.4	54.31 (5.43)	24	24.20 (2.42)
2600	55.3		24.6	
3000	63.8		25.7	
3500	67.1		25	
3700	67.4		24.2	



7.3 BODY LIQUID MEASUREMENT

Frequency MHz	Relative permittivity (ϵ_r)		Conductivity (σ) S/m	
	required	measured	required	measured
150	61.9 $\pm$ 5 %		0.80 $\pm$ 5 %	
300	58.2 $\pm$ 5 %		0.92 $\pm$ 5 %	
450	56.7 $\pm$ 5 %		0.94 $\pm$ 5 %	
750	55.5 $\pm$ 5 %		0.96 $\pm$ 5 %	
835	55.2 $\pm$ 5 %		0.97 $\pm$ 5 %	
900	55.0 $\pm$ 5 %		1.05 $\pm$ 5 %	
915	55.0 $\pm$ 5 %		1.06 $\pm$ 5 %	
1450	54.0 $\pm$ 5 %		1.30 $\pm$ 5 %	
1610	53.8 $\pm$ 5 %		1.40 $\pm$ 5 %	
1800	53.3 $\pm$ 5 %		1.52 $\pm$ 5 %	
1900	53.3 $\pm$ 5 %		1.52 $\pm$ 5 %	
2000	53.3 $\pm$ 5 %		1.52 $\pm$ 5 %	
2100	53.2 $\pm$ 5 %		1.62 $\pm$ 5 %	

Page: 9/11

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG.
The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.



SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT


Ref: ACR.93.8.17.SATU.A

2300	52.9 ±5 %		1.81 ±5 %	
2450	52.7 ±5 %	PASS	1.95 ±5 %	PASS
2600	52.5 ±5 %		2.16 ±5 %	
3000	52.0 ±5 %		2.73 ±5 %	
3500	51.3 ±5 %		3.31 ±5 %	
3700	51.0 ±5 %		3.55 ±5 %	
5200	49.0 ±10 %		5.30 ±10 %	
5300	48.9 ±10 %		5.42 ±10 %	
5400	48.7 ±10 %		5.53 ±10 %	
5500	48.6 ±10 %		5.65 ±10 %	
5600	48.5 ±10 %		5.77 ±10 %	
5800	48.2 ±10 %		6.00 ±10 %	

7.4 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULT WITH BODY LIQUID

Software	OPENSAR V4
Phantom	SN 20/09 SAM71
Probe	SN 18/11 EPG122
Liquid	Body Liquid Values: eps' : 53.2 sigma : 1.89
Distance between dipole center and liquid	10.0 mm
Area scan resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm
Zoon Scan Resolution	dx=5mm/dy=5mm/dz=5mm
Frequency	2450 MHz
Input power	20 dBm
Liquid Temperature	21 °C
Lab Temperature	21 °C
Lab Humidity	45 %

Frequency MHz	1 g SAR (W/kg/W)	10 g SAR (W/kg/W)
	measured	measured
2450	53.67 (5.37)	24.37 (2.44)



Page: 10/11

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MTVG.
The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MTVG.



SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.93.8.17.SATU.A

8 LIST OF EQUIPMENT

Equipment Summary Sheet				
Equipment Description	Manufacturer / Model	Identification No.	Current Calibration Date	Next Calibration Date
SAM Phantom	MVG	SN-20/09-SAM71	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
COMOSAR Test Bench	Version 3	NA	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Network Analyzer	Rhode & Schwarz ZVA	SN100132	02/2016	02/2019
Calipers	Carrera	CALIPER-01	01/2017	01/2020
Reference Probe	MVG	EPG122 SN 18/11	10/2016	10/2017
Multimeter	Keithley 2000	1188656	01/2017	01/2020
Signal Generator	Agilent E4438C	MY49070581	01/2017	01/2020
Amplifier	Aethercomm	SN 046	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Power Meter	HP E4418A	US38261498	01/2017	01/2020
Power Sensor	HP ECP-E26A	US37181460	01/2017	01/2020
Directional Coupler	Narda 4216-20	01386	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Temperature and Humidity Sensor	Control Company	150798832	10/2015	10/2017

Page: 11/11

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG.
The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.

--END OF REPORT--