

SAR Compliance Test Report

Date of Report	22/02/2024	Client's Contact person:	Manu Niukkanen
Number of pages:	44	Responsible Test engineer:	Jesper Varis
Testing laboratory:	Verkotan Oy Elektroniikkatie 17 90590 Oulu Finland	Client:	Noiseless Acoustics Ltd. Hiomotie 3, 2 nd floor 00380 Helsinki Finland
Tested device	Acoustic Camera		
Related reports:	-		
Testing has been carried out in accordance with:	47CFR §2.1093 Radiofrequency Radiation Exposure Evaluation: Portable Devices FCC published RF exposure KDB procedures IEC/IEEE 62209-1528, 2020 Measurement procedure for the assessment of specific absorption rate of human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices RSS-102, Issue 6, 2023 Radio Frequency (RF) Exposure Compliance of Radiocommunication Apparatus (All Frequency Bands)		
Documentation:	The test report must always be reproduced in full; reproduction of an excerpt only is subject to written approval of the testing laboratory		
Test Results:	The EUT complies with the requirements in respect of all parameters subject to the test. The test results relate only to devices specified in this document		

Date and signatures:

22.02.2024

Laboratory Manager

Miia Nurkkala

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. SUMMARY OF SAR TEST REPORT	3
1.1 TEST DETAILS	3
1.2 MAXIMUM RESULTS	4
1.2.1 Standalone SAR	4
1.2.2 Maximum Drift	4
1.2.3 Measurement Uncertainty	4
2. DESCRIPTION OF THE DEVICE UNDER TEST (DUT)	5
2.1 SUPPORTED FREQUENCY BANDS AND OPERATIONAL MODES	6
3. OUTPUT POWER	7
3.1 MAXIMUM SPECIFIED CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER	7
3.2 TESTED CONDUCTED POWER	8
4. TEST EQUIPMENT	9
4.1 TEST EQUIPMENT LIST	10
4.1.1 Isotropic E-field Probe Type EX3DV4	11
4.2 PHANTOMS	11
4.3 TISSUE SIMULANTS	11
4.4 SYSTEM VALIDATION STATUS	12
4.5 SYSTEM CHECK	12
4.5.1 Tissue Simulant Verification	13
5. TEST PROCEDURE	14
5.1 TEST POSITIONS	15
5.1.1 Body-worn Configuration, 0mm/5mm separation distance	15
5.1.2 Extremity Configuration, 0mm separation distance	15
5.2 SCAN PROCEDURES	16
5.3 SAR AVERAGING METHODS	16
6. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	17
7. TEST RESULTS	19
7.1 SAR RESULTS FOR BODY EXPOSURE CONDITION WITH 0MM/5MM SEPARATION DISTANCE	19
7.2 SAR RESULTS FOR EXTREMITY CONDITION WITH 0MM SEPARATION	20
7.3 ZOOM SCAN COMPLIANCE	21
APPENDIX A: PHOTOS OF THE DUT	22
APPENDIX B: SYSTEM CHECK SCAN	25
APPENDIX C: MEASUREMENT SCANS	29
APPENDIX D: RELEVANT PAGES FROM PROBE CALIBRATION REPORTS	35
APPENDIX E: RELEVANT PAGES FROM DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORTS	39

1. SUMMARY OF SAR TEST REPORT

1.1 Test Details

Equipment under Test (DUT):

Product:	Acoustic Camera
Manufacturer:	Noiseless Acoustics Ltd.
Model:	Si2 Series
Serial Number:	Conducted sample: AC2023H8, Radiated sample: AC2023H9
FCC ID Number:	Contains 2AVNR-60SIPT
ISED ID Number:	Contains 26486-602230C
DUT Number:	20848 (conducted sample), 20849 (radiated sample)
Battery Type used in testing:	Li-Ion Battery
State of the Sample:	Production sample

Testing information:

Testing performed:	13.02.2024 – 19.02.2024
Notes:	-
Document history:	Initial
Document ID:	FCC ISED_SAR report_Acoustic Camera ID6497_22022024.docx
Temperature °C	22±2 / Controlled
Humidity RH%	30±20 / Controlled
Measurement performed by:	Jesper Varis
FCC Test Firm Designation Number:	F10005
ISED Company Number:	22218

1.2 Maximum Results

The maximum reported* SAR values for Body-worn and Extremity-configuration for transmitting systems are shown in a table below. The device conforms to the requirements of the standards when the maximum reported SAR value is less than or equal to the limit. The SAR limit specified in FCC 47 CFR part 2 (2.1093) and Health Canada's RF exposure guideline, Safety Code 6 for Head/Body SAR_{1g} is 1.6 W/kg and for Extremity SAR_{10g} is 4.0 W/kg.

1.2.1 Standalone SAR

System	Highest Reported* SAR _{1g} (W/kg) in Body-Worn Exposure Condition, 0mm/5mm separation distance	Highest Reported* SAR _{10g} (W/kg) in Extremity Exposure Condition, 0mm separation distance	Result
2.4 GHz WLAN	0.17	0.08	PASS
5 GHz WLAN	1.50	0.62	PASS
Bluetooth	0.002**	0.001**	PASS

*Reported SAR Values are scaled to upper limit of power tuning tolerance.

**Measured result of the area scan was below the dynamic range of the E-field Probe Type EX3DV4 thus zoom scan could not be measured. Area scan result was presented.

1.2.2 Maximum Drift

Maximum Drift During Measurements	-0.92dB*
-----------------------------------	----------

*Larger than 5% drifts included to scaling factors

1.2.3 Measurement Uncertainty

SAR 1g: 0.3 – 3 GHz:

Expanded Uncertainty (k=2) 95 %	±22.1 %
---------------------------------	---------

SAR 1g: 3 – 6 GHz:

Expanded Uncertainty (k=2) 95 %	±24.0 %
---------------------------------	---------

SAR 10g: 0.3 – 3 GHz:

Expanded Uncertainty (k=2) 95 %	±21.9 %
---------------------------------	---------

SAR10g: 3 – 6 GHz:

Expanded Uncertainty (k=2) 95 %	±23.8 %
---------------------------------	---------

2. DESCRIPTION OF THE DEVICE UNDER TEST (DUT)

The DUT is a handheld Acoustic Camera that supports Bluetooth 5.1, WLAN 2.4GHz and WLAN 5GHz technologies. The intended use cases are handheld or carried with a neck strap.



Figure 1 Back side of the DUT



Figure 2 Front side of the DUT

Device Category	Portable
Exposure Environment	General population uncontrolled

2.1 Supported Frequency Bands and Operational Modes

TX Frequency bands	Modes of Operation	Transmitter Frequency Range [MHz]
	2.4 GHz WLAN	2412 – 2462
	5GHz WLAN	5180 – 5825
	Bluetooth	2402 – 2480

3. OUTPUT POWER

3.1 Maximum specified conducted output power

From the customer, including tune-up tolerances;

2.4GHz WLAN	Channel	Frequency	Max Output Power [dBm]
802.11b	1	2412	16
	6	2437	18
	11	2462	17

5GHz WLAN	Channel	Frequency	Max Output Power [dBm]
802.11a	52	5260	16
	56	5280	16
	60	5300	16
	64	5320	14
	100	5500	13
	112	5560	18
	116	5580	18
	128	5640	18
	132	5660	16
	149	5745	18
	165	5825	18

Bluetooth	Max Output Power [dBm]
Bluetooth BR	11

3.2 Tested conducted power

Measured conducted output power at transmitting antenna connector;

2.4 GHz WLAN:

Standard	Transmission mode	Data rate [Mbps]	Output power [dBm]		
			CH 1 2412 MHz	CH 6 2437 MHz	CH 11 2462 MHz
802.11b	DSSS	1	15.69	17.58	16.54

5GHz WLAN:

Standard	Channel	Frequency [MHz]	Transmission mode	Data Rate [Mbps]	Output power [dBm]
802.11a	52	5260	OFDM	6	15.59
802.11a	56	5280	OFDM	6	15.59
802.11a	60	5300	OFDM	6	15.64
802.11a	64	5320	OFDM	6	13.59
802.11a	100	5500	OFDM	6	11.02
802.11a	112	5560	OFDM	6	16.59
802.11a	116	5580	OFDM	6	16.62
802.11a	128	5640	OFDM	6	16.4
802.11a	132	5660	OFDM	6	14.49
802.11a	149	5745	OFDM	6	16.08
802.11a	165	5825	OFDM	6	15.95

Bluetooth:

Standard	Output power [dBm]		
	CH 0 2402 MHz	CH 39 2441 MHz	CH 78 2480 MHz
Bluetooth BR	11.49*	11.16*	10.77

*Due to maximum Bluetooth TX power set by TX software, the maximum measured output power is higher than maximum specified output power, thus the measured SAR values are conservative

4. TEST EQUIPMENT

Dasy52 near field scanning system, manufactured by SPEAG was used for SAR testing. The test system consists of high precision robotics system (Staubli), robot controller, computer, near-field probe, probe alignment sensor, and a phantom containing the tissue equivalent material. The robot is a six-axis industrial robot performing precise movements to position the probe to the location of maximum electromagnetic field.




Figure 2 Schematic Laboratory Picture

4.1 Test Equipment List

Main used test system components are listed below. For full equipment list and calibration intervals, please contact the testing laboratory.

Test Equipment	Model	Serial Number	Calibration Date	Interval [years]
Amplifier, 800MHz-4200MHz, 10W	10S1G4A	320421	NA	NA
Amplifier, 500 MHz – 8000 MHz	ZX60-83LN12+	-	NA	NA
DAE4, converter	DAE4	705	04/2023	1
Inline Peak Power Sensor	MA24105A	2102058	10/2023	1
Isotropic DOS probe	EX3DV4	3852	10/2023	1
Network Analyzer	E5071C	MY46102812	05/2023	1
Power Sensor	NRP-Z11	100265	01/2024	2
System validation dipole	D2450V2	729	07/2022	3
System validation dipole	D5GHzV2	1045	03/2023	3
Vector Signal Generator	MG3710E	6262028676	11/2023	1
DASY5 Software	52.8.8.1258	-	NA	NA

Dipole calibration period supporting data:

Dipole and serial number	Frequency (MHz)	Measured on 09/2023			Calibrated		
		Return loss (dB)	Impedance [Ω]		Return loss [dB]	Impedance [Ω]	
D2450V2-729	2450	-30.1	53.1	0.8	-24.7	54.3	4.3

4.1.1 Isotropic E-field Probe Type EX3DV4

Construction	Symmetrical design with triangular core Built-in shielding against static charges PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g., DGBE)
Calibration	Calibration certificate in Appendix D
Frequency	10 MHz to > 6 GHz (dosimetry); Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 6 GHz)
Directivity	± 0.3 dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis) ± 0.5 dB in tissue material (rotation normal to probe axis)
Dynamic Range	10 μ W/g to > 100 mW/g, Linearity: ± 0.2 dB
Dimensions	Overall length: 330 mm Tip length: 10 mm Body diameter: 12 mm
Application	General dosimetry up to 6 GHz Compliance tests of mobile phones Fast automatic scanning in arbitrary phantoms

4.2 Phantoms

Eli Phantom:

The phantom used in SAR tests was an ELI phantom, manufactured by SPEAG. ELI phantom is used for compliance testing of handheld and body-mounted wireless devices in the frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz. The phantom conforms to the requirements of IEC/IEEE 62209-1528 and FCC published RF Exposure KDB Procedures.

4.3 Tissue Simulants

Recommended values for the dielectric parameters of the tissue simulants are given in IEC/IEEE 62209-1528 and FCC published RF Exposure KDB Procedures. The dielectric parameters of the used tissue simulants were within $\pm 10\%$ of the recommended values at frequencies under 3GHz and $\pm 5\%$ at frequencies above 3GHz. A liquid compensation algorithm was used in DASY5 with which measured peak average SAR values were corrected for the deviation of used liquid. Depth of the tissue simulant was at least 15.0 cm from the inner surface of the flat phantom.

Tissue simulant liquid Ingredients
Deionized Water, tween, salt

4.4 System Validation Status

Frequency [MHz]	Dipole Type / SN	Probe Type / SN	Calibrated Signal Type	DAE Unit / SN	Dielectric Constant [ϵ']	Conductivity σ [S/m]	Date
2450	D2450V2 - SN: 758	EX3DV4 - SN: 3852	CW	DAE 4 / 710	38.16	1.68	11/2023
5250	D5GHzV2 - SN: 1014	EX3DV4 - SN: 3852	CW	DAE 4 / 710	37.55	4.49	12/2023
5600	D5GHzV2 - SN: 1014	EX3DV4 - SN: 3852	CW	DAE 4 / 710	36.93	4.89	12/2023
5750	D5GHzV2 - SN: 1014	EX3DV4 - SN: 3852	CW	DAE 4 / 710	36.55	4.99	12/2023

4.5 System Check

Date	Tissue Type	Tissue Temp. [°C]	Frequency [MHz]	Input Power [mW]	Measured SAR _{1g} [W/kg]	1 W Target SAR _{1g} [W/kg]	1 W Normalized SAR _{1g} [W/kg]	Deviation [%]	Plot #
14.02.2024	WB Head	22	2450	250	12.3	52.3	49.2	-5.93	1
15.02.2024	WB Head	22	5250	100	7.32	73.09	73.2	0.15	2
15.02.2024	WB Head	22	5600	100	7.76	72.92	77.6	6.42	3
19.02.2024	WB Head	22	5750	100	7.47	69.05	74.7	8.18	4

4.5.1 Tissue Simulant Verification

				Target		Measured		Deviation	
Date	Tissue Type	Tissue Temp [°C]	Frequency [MHz]	Dielectric Constant [ε] Target	Conductivity σ [S/m] Target	Dielectric Constant [ε]	Conductivity σ [S/m]	ε [%]	σ [%]
14.02.2024	WB Head	22	2402	39.28	1.76	38.86	1.71	-1.1	-2.5
14.02.2024	WB Head	22	2412	39.27	1.77	38.85	1.72	-1.1	-2.7
14.02.2024	WB Head	22	2437	39.22	1.79	38.8	1.74	-1.1	-2.9
14.02.2024	WB Head	22	2441	39.22	1.79	38.8	1.74	-1.1	-3.0
14.02.2024	WB Head	22	2450	39.2	1.8	38.79	1.74	-1.0	-3.1
14.02.2024	WB Head	22	2462	39.18	1.81	38.77	1.75	-1.1	-3.3
14.02.2024	WB Head	22	2480	39.16	1.83	38.75	1.76	-1.1	-3.8
14.02.2024	WB Head	22	5250	35.95	4.71	34.6	4.89	-3.8	3.9
14.02.2024	WB Head	22	5260	35.94	4.72	34.58	4.9	-3.8	3.9
14.02.2024	WB Head	22	5300	35.9	4.76	34.51	4.94	-3.9	3.7
14.02.2024	WB Head	22	5320	35.88	4.78	34.49	4.96	-3.9	3.7
15.02.2024	WB Head	22	5500	35.65	4.96	34.8	5.19	-2.4	4.5
15.02.2024	WB Head	22	5580	35.53	5.05	34.68	5.26	-2.4	4.2
15.02.2024	WB Head	22	5600	35.5	5.07	34.65	5.28	-2.4	4.2
15.02.2024	WB Head	22	5640	35.46	5.11	34.58	5.32	-2.5	4.1
19.02.2024	WB Head	22	5660	35.44	5.13	34.27	5.3	-3.3	3.2
19.02.2024	WB Head	22	5745	35.35	5.22	34.14	5.38	-3.4	3.1
19.02.2024	WB Head	22	5750	35.35	5.22	34.14	5.38	-3.4	3.1
19.02.2024	WB Head	22	5825	35.28	5.3	34.03	5.45	-3.5	2.9

5. TEST PROCEDURE

Testing was carried out in accordance with FCC KDB Publications 447498 D04 Interim General RF Exposure Guidance v01, 248227 D01 802.11 Wi-Fi SAR and RSS-102, Issue 6.

Low, mid and high frequency channels for the configuration with the highest SAR value were tested as per ISED notice 2016-DRS001.

The DUT was set to transmit at maximum power and duty cycle using test software.

A control software for WLAN and Bluetooth was used to set the DUT to transmit at maximum power.

The WLAN transmission modes for testing were selected according to largest channel bandwidth configuration, lowest order modulation and lowest data rate.

2.4GHz WLAN was tested with 802.11b standard with data rate of 1Mbit/s and 5GHz WLAN was tested with 802.11a standard with data rate of 6Mbit/s.

5.1 Test Positions

5.1.1 Body-worn Configuration, 0mm/5mm separation distance

The device was placed on the top of a Rohacell and lifted towards the phantom until the distance between the phantom and the device was 0mm/5mm.

The DUT can be carried with a neck strap thus Body SAR was tested from the front, back and right side where the antenna is located.

The 0mm separation distance was used to show compliance to 5mm separation distance Body-worn Exposure Condition, resulting in overestimated Body SAR. The results that are near the limit of the body-worn configuration were measured with 5 mm separation distance.

Photos of the test positions are presented in appendix A

5.1.2 Extremity Configuration, 0mm separation distance

Extremity SAR was tested from the back and right side where the antenna is located. The device was placed on the top of a Rohacell and lifted towards the phantom until the distance between the phantom and the device was 0mm.

Due to irregular shape of the DUT, the handlebar could not be measured at 0mm separation distance thus the right side of the device, where the antenna is located, was measured to show compliance against the worst-case configuration. The separation distance between the measured right side's surface and the antenna is 2.5cm and separation distance between the handlebar and antenna is >3cm. Thus, the antenna side was measured to provide conservative SAR.




Figure 3 Antenna location

Photos of the test positions are presented in Appendix A.

5.2 Scan Procedures

First, area scans were used for determination of the field distribution. Next, a zoom scan was performed around the highest E-field value to determine the averaged SAR value. Drift was determined by measuring the same point at the start of the area scan and again at the end of the zoom scan.

5.3 SAR Averaging Methods

The maximum SAR value was averaged over a cube of tissue using interpolation and extrapolation.

The interpolation, extrapolation and maximum search routines within Dasy52 are all based on the modified Quadratic Shepard's method (Robert J. Renka, "Multivariate Interpolation of Large Sets of Scattered Data", University of North Texas ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software, vol. 14, no. 2, June 1988, pp. 139-148).

The interpolation scheme combines a least-square fitted function method with a weighted average method. A trivariate 3-D / bivariate 2-D quadratic function is computed for each measurement point and fitted to neighboring points by a least-square method. For the zoom scan, inverse distance weighting is incorporated to fit distant points more accurately. The interpolating function is finally calculated as a weighted average of the quadratics.

In the zoom scan, the interpolation function is used to extrapolate the Peak SAR from the deepest measurement points to the inner surface of the phantom.

6. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

DASY5 Uncertainty Budget According to IEC/IEEE 62209-1528 (Frequency band: 3GHz - 6GHz range)								
Symbol	Error Description	Uncert. value	Prob. Dist.	Div.	(c) ₁ 1g	(c) ₂ 10g	Std. Unc. (1g)	Std. Unc. (10g)
Measurement System Errors								
CF	Probe Calibration	±14.0%	N	√2	1	1	±7.0%	±7.0%
CF _{drift}	Probe Calibration Drift	±1.7%	N	√3	1	1	±1.0%	±1.0%
LIN	Probe Linearity	±4.7%	R	√3	1	1	±2.7%	±2.7%
BBS	Broadband Signal	±2.6%	R	√3	1	1	±1.5%	±1.5%
ISO	Probe Isotropy	±7.6%	R	3	1	1	±4.4%	±4.4%
DAE	Data Acquisition	±0.3%	N	1	1	1	±0.3%	±0.3%
AMB	RF Ambient	±1.8%	N	1	1	1	±1.8%	±1.8%
Δ _{sys}	Probe Positioning	±3.9%	N	1	0.33	0.33	±1.3%	±1.3%
DAT	Data Processing	±2.3%	N	1	1	1	±2.3%	±2.3%
Phantom and Device Errors								
LIQ(σ)	Conductivity (meas.) ^{DAK}	±2.5%	N	√1	0.78	0.71	±2.0%	±1.8%
LIQ(T _σ)	Conductivity (temp.) ^{BB}	±3.4%	R	√3	0.78	0.71	±1.5%	±1.4%
EPS	Phantom Permittivity	±14.0%	R	3	0.25	0.25	±2.0%	±2.0%
DIS	Distance DUT - TSL	±2.0%	N	1	2	2	±4.0%	±4.0%
D _{xyz}	Device Positioning (±0.5mm)	±1.0%	N	1	1	1	±1.0%	±1.0%
H	Device Holder	±3.6%	N	√1	1	1	±3.6%	±3.6%
MOD	DUT Modulation ^m	±2.4%	R	√3	1	1	±1.4%	±1.4%
TAS	Time-average SAR	±2.6%	R	3	1	1	±1.5%	±1.5%
RF _{drift}	DUT drift	±2.5%	N	1	1	1	±2.5%	±2.5%
VAL	Val Antenna Unc. ^{val}	±0.0%	N	1	1	1	±0%	±0%
RF _{in}	Unc. Input Power ^{val}	±0.0%	N	1	1	1	±0%	±0%
Correction to the SAR results								
C(ε, σ)	Deviation to Target	±1.9%	N	√1	1	0.84	±1.9%	±1.6%
C(R)	SAR scaling ^p	±0%	R	3	1	1	±0%	±0%
u(ΔSAR)	Combined Uncertainty						±12.0%	±11.9%
U	Expanded Uncertainty						±24.0%	±23.8%

DASY5 Uncertainty Budget According to IEC/IEEE 62209-1528 (Frequency band: 300MHz - 3GHz range)								
Symbol	Error Description	Uncert. value	Prob. Dist.	Div.	(c) 1g	(c) 10g	Std. Unc. (1g)	Std. Unc. (10g)
Measurement System Errors								
CF	Probe Calibration	±12.0%	N	√2	1	1	±6.0%	±6.0%
CF _{drift}	Probe Calibration Drift	±1.7%	R	√3	1	1	±1.0%	±1.0%
LIN	Probe Linearity	±4.7%	R	√3	1	1	±2.7%	±2.7%
BBS	Broadband Signal	±3.0%	R	√3	1	1	±1.7%	±1.7%
ISO	Probe Isotropy	±7.6%	R	3	1	1	±4.4%	±4.4%
DAE	Data Acquisition	±0.3%	N	1	1	1	±0.3%	±0.3%
AMB	RF Ambient	±1.8%	N	1	1	1	±1.8%	±1.8%
Δ _{sys}	Probe Positioning	±3.9%	N	1	0.14	0.14	±0.5%	±0.5%
DAT	Data Processing	±1.2%	N	1	1	1	±1.2%	±1.2%
Phantom and Device Errors								
LIQ(σ)	Conductivity (meas.) ^{DAK}	±2.5%	N	√1	0.78	0.71	±2.0%	±1.8%
LIQ(T _a)	Conductivity (temp.) ^{BB}	±3.3%	R	√3	0.78	0.71	±1.5%	±1.4%
EPS	Phantom Permittivity	±14.0%	R	3	0	0	±0%	±0%
DIS	Distance DUT - TSL	±2.0%	N	1	2	2	±4.0%	±4.0%
D _{xyz}	Device Positioning (±0.5mm)	±1.0%	N	1	1	1	±1.0%	±1.0%
H	Device Holder	±3.6%	N	√1	1	1	±3.6%	±3.6%
MOD	DUT Modulation ^m	±2.4%	R	√3	1	1	±1.4%	±1.4%
TAS	Time-average SAR	±2.6%	R	3	1	1	±1.5%	±1.5%
RF _{drift}	DUT drift	±2.5%	N	1	1	1	±2.5%	±2.5%
VAL	Val Antenna Unc. ^{val}	±0.0%	N	1	1	1	±0%	±0%
RF _{in}	Unc. Input Power ^{val}	±0.0%	N	1	1	1	±0%	±0%
Correction to the SAR results								
C(ε, σ)	Deviation to Target	±1.9%	N	√1	1	0.84	±1.9%	±1.6%
C(R)	SAR scaling ^p	±0%	R	3	1	1	±0%	±0%
u(ΔSAR)	Combined Uncertainty						±11.0%	±10.9%
U	Expanded Uncertainty						±22.1%	±21.9%

7. TEST RESULTS

7.1 SAR Results for Body Exposure Condition with 0mm/5mm separation distance

Bluetooth:

Mode	Packet Type	Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Test position	Maximum Power [dBm]	Conducted Power [dBm]	Measured SAR _{1g} [W/kg]	Power Drift*** [dB]	Scaling Factor	Duty Cycle	Reported SAR _{1g} [W/kg]	Plot #
Bluetooth BR	DH5	2402	0	Front 0mm	11	11.49****	0**	NA	1.00	1:1	0**	
Bluetooth BR	DH5	2402	0	Back 0mm	11	11.49****	0.00032*	NA	1.00	1:1	0.0003	
Bluetooth BR	DH5	2402	0	Right 0mm	11	11.49****	0**	NA	1.00	1:1	0**	
Bluetooth BR	DH5	2441	39	Back 0mm	11	11.16****	0**	NA	1.00	1:1	0**	
Bluetooth BR	DH5	2480	78	Back 0mm	11	10.77	0.002*	NA	1.05	1:1	0.002	5

*Zoom scan not applicable due to low e-field, area scan value reported.

**Due to low e-field generated by DUT, the measurements are not applicable.

***Due to low e-field generated by DUT at the location of drift measurement, the measurements are not applicable.

****Due to maximum Bluetooth TX power set by TX software, the maximum measured output power is higher than maximum specified output power, thus the measured SAR values are conservative

2.4GHz WLAN:

Mode	Data Rate [Mbps]	Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Test position	Maximum Power [dBm]	Conducted Power [dBm]	Measured SAR _{1g} [W/kg]	Power Drift* [dB]	Scaling Factor	Duty Cycle	Reported SAR _{1g} [W/kg]	Plot #
802.11b	1	2437	6	Front 0mm	18	17.58	0.0037	0.18	1.10	1:1	0.004	
802.11b	1	2437	6	Back 0mm	18	17.58	0.027	-0.92	1.36	1:1	0.04	
802.11b	1	2437	6	Right 0mm	18	17.58	0.115	0.50	1.24	1:1	0.14	
802.11b	1	2412	1	Right 0mm	16	15.69	0.045	-0.33	1.16	1:1	0.05	
802.11b	1	2462	11	Right 0mm	17	16.54	0.140	0.36	1.21	1:1	0.17	6

*Larger than 5% drifts included to scaling factors

5GHz WLAN:

Mode	Data Rate [Mbps]	Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Test position	Maximum Power [dBm]	Conducted Power [dBm]	Measured SAR _{1g} [W/kg]	Power Drift* [dB]	Scaling Factor	Duty Cycle	Reported SAR _{1g} [W/kg]	Plot #
802.11a	6	5300	60	Front 0mm	16	15.64	0*	0**	1.09	1:1	0*	
802.11a	6	5300	60	Back 0mm	16	15.64	0.096	0**	1.09	1:1	0.11	
802.11a	6	5300	60	Right 0mm	16	15.64	0.669	-0.12	1.09	1:1	0.73	
802.11a	6	5260	52	Right 0mm	16	15.59	0.717	0.11	1.10	1:1	0.79	7
802.11a	6	5320	64	Right 0mm	14	13.59	0.408	0.02	1.10	1:1	0.45	

*Due to low e-field generated by DUT, the measurements are not applicable

**Due to low e-field generated by DUT at the location of drift measurement, the measurements are not applicable.

Mode	Data Rate [Mbps]	Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Test position	Maximum Power [dBm]	Conducted Power [dBm]	Measured SAR _{1g} [W/kg]	Power Drift* [dB]	Scaling Factor	Duty Cycle	Reported SAR _{1g} [W/kg]	Plot #
802.11a	6	5580	116	Front 0mm	18	16.62	0.0024	0**	1.37	1:1	0.003	
802.11a	6	5580	116	Back 0mm	18	16.62	0.075	-0.85	1.67	1:1	0.13	
802.11a	6	5580	116	Right 0mm	18	16.62	0.923	-0.24	1.45	1:1	1.34	
802.11a	6	5500	100	Right 0mm	13	11.02	0.237	0.37	1.72	1:1	0.41	
802.11a	6	5640	128	Right 0mm	18	16.4	0.977	0.12	1.45	1:1	1.41	8
802.11a	6	5640	128	Right 0mm Repeat	18	16.4	0.923	-0.04	1.45	1:1	1.33	

*Larger than 5% drifts included to scaling factors

*Due to low e-field generated by DUT at the location of drift measurements, the measurements are not applicable

Mode	Data Rate [Mbps]	Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Test position	Maximum Power [dBm]	Conducted Power [dBm]	Measured SAR _{1g} [W/kg]	Power Drift*** [dB]	Scaling Factor	Duty Cycle	Reported SAR _{1g} [W/kg]	Plot #
802.11a	6	5745	149	Front 0mm	18	16.08	0.07*	0**	1.56	1:1	0.10*	
802.11a	6	5745	149	Back 0mm	18	16.08	0.147	-0.77	1.86	1:1	0.27	
802.11a	6	5745	149	Right 0mm	18	16.08	0.921	-0.16	1.56	1:1	1.43	
802.11a	6	5660	132	Right 0mm	16	14.49	0.605	0.22	1.49	1:1	0.90	
802.11a	6	5825	165	Right 5mm	18	15.95	0.535	-0.44	1.77	1:1	0.95	
802.11a	6	5745	149	Right 0mm Repeat	18	16.08	0.961	-0.20	1.56	1:1	1.50	9

*Zoom scan not applicable due to low e-field, area scan value reported

**Due to low e-field generated by DUT at the location of drift measurement, the measurements are not applicable.

***Larger than 5% drifts included to scaling factors

7.2 SAR Results for Extremity Condition with 0mm separation

Bluetooth:

Mode	Packet Type	Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Test position	Maximum Power [dBm]	Conducted Power [dBm]	Measured SAR _{10g} [W/kg]	Power Drift*** [dB]	Scaling Factor	Duty Cycle	Reported SAR _{10g} [W/kg]	Plot #
Bluetooth BR	DH5	2402	0	Back 0mm	11	11.49****	0***	NA	1.00	1:1	0**	
Bluetooth BR	DH5	2402	0	Right 0mm	11	11.49****	0.00006*	NA	1.00	1:1	0.00006*	
Bluetooth BR	DH5	2441	39	Back 0mm	11	11.16****	0***	NA	1.00	1:1	0**	
Bluetooth BR	DH5	2480	78	Back 0mm	11	10.77****	0.001*	NA	1.05	1:1	0.001*	5

* Zoom scan not applicable due to low e-field, area scan value reported.

** Due to low e-field generated by DUT, the measurements are not applicable.

*** Due to low e-field generated by DUT at the location of drift measurement, the measurements are not applicable.

****Due to maximum Bluetooth TX power set by TX software, the maximum measured output power is higher than maximum specified output power, thus the measured SAR values are conservative

2.4GHz WLAN:

Mode	Data Rate [Mbps]	Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Test position	Maximum Power [dBm]	Conducted Power [dBm]	Measured SAR _{10g} [W/kg]	Power Drift* [dB]	Scaling Factor	Duty Cycle	Reported SAR _{10g} [W/kg]	Plot #
802.11b	1	2437	6	Back 0mm	18	17.58	0.013	-0.92	1.36	1:1	0.018	
802.11b	1	2437	6	Right 0mm	18	17.58	0.055	0.50	1.24	1:1	0.068	
802.11b	1	2412	1	Right 0mm	16	15.69	0.020	-0.33	1.16	1:1	0.023	
802.11b	1	2462	11	Right 0mm	17	16.54	0.067	0.36	1.21	1:1	0.081	6

*Larger than 5% drifts included to scaling factors

5GHz WLAN:

Mode	Data Rate [Mbps]	Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Test position	Maximum Power [dBm]	Conducted Power [dBm]	Measured SAR _{10g} [W/kg]	Power Drift [dB]	Scaling Factor	Duty Cycle	Reported SAR _{10g} [W/kg]	Plot #
802.11a	6	5300	60	Back 0mm	16	15.64	0.036*	0.00**	1.09	1:1	0.039*	
802.11a	6	5300	60	Right 0mm	16	15.64	0.252	-0.12	1.09	1:1	0.274	
802.11a	6	5260	52	Right 0mm	16	15.59	0.270	0.11	1.10	1:1	0.297	7
802.11a	6	5320	64	Right 0mm	14	13.59	0.151	0.02	1.10	1:1	0.166	

*Zoom Scan not applicable due to low e-field, area scan value reported.

**Due to low e-field generated by DUT at the location of drift measurement, the measurements are not applicable.

Mode	Data Rate [Mbps]	Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Test position	Maximum Power [dBm]	Conducted Power [dBm]	Measured SAR _{10g} [W/kg]	Power Drift* [dB]	Scaling Factor	Duty Cycle	Reported SAR _{10g} [W/kg]	Plot #
802.11a	6	5580	116	Back 0mm	18	16.62	0.032	-0.85	1.67	1:1	0.053	
802.11a	6	5580	116	Right 0mm	18	16.62	0.360	-0.24	1.45	1:1	0.523	
802.11a	6	5500	100	Right 0mm	13	11.02	0.089	0.37	1.72	1:1	0.152	
802.11a	6	5640	128	Right 0mm	18	16.4	0.375	0.12	1.45	1:1	0.542	8

*Larger than 5% drifts included to scaling factors

Mode	Data Rate [Mbps]	Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Test position	Maximum Power [dBm]	Conducted Power [dBm]	Measured SAR _{10g} [W/kg]	Power Drift* [dB]	Scaling Factor	Duty Cycle	Reported SAR _{10g} [W/kg]	Plot #
802.11a	6	5745	149	Back 0mm	18	16.08	0.059	-0.77	1.86	1:1	0.109	
802.11a	6	5745	149	Right 0mm	18	16.08	0.351	-0.16	1.56	1:1	0.546	
802.11a	6	5660	132	Right 0mm	16	14.49	0.233	0.22	1.49	1:1	0.347	
802.11a	6	5825	165	Right 0mm	18	15.95	0.386	-0.15	1.60	1:1	0.619	10

*Larger than 5% drifts included to scaling factors

7.3 Zoom Scan Compliance

According to IEC 62209-1528, the zoom scan complies if the peak spatial-average SAR is below 0.1 W/kg, or if the following criteria is met:

1. The smallest horizontal distance from the local SAR peaks to all points 3 dB below the SAR peak is larger than the horizontal grid step.
2. Ratio of SAR at the second measured point (M2) to the SAR at the closest measured point (M1) at the x-y location of the measured maximum is at least 30%.

Zoom scan compliance according to IEC 62209-1528 is automatically verified by DASYS5 software and all zoom scans in this test report do pass the criteria. The smallest horizontal distance and Ratio between measurement points M2 and M1 of the highest SAR results is available in Appendix C.

APPENDIX A: PHOTOS OF THE DUT

Size of the DUT is: 285 x 185 x 153 mm



Figure 4 Overview of the DUT




Figure 5 Antenna location



Figure 6 Front side of the DUT against the phantom, 0mm separation distance



Figure 7 Back side of the DUT against the phantom, 0mm separation distance




Figure 8 Right side (antenna side) of the DUT against the phantom, 0mm separation distance




Figure 9 Right side (antenna side) of the DUT against the phantom, 5mm separation distance

APPENDIX B: SYSTEM CHECK SCAN

Plot 1

Date/Time: 14.2.24 09:22:08

Test Laboratory: Verkotan Oy

DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz D2450V2; Type: D2450V2; Serial: D2450V2 - SN:729

Communication System: UID 0, CW (0); Communication System Band: D2450 (2450.0 MHz); Frequency: 2450 MHz;

Communication System PAR: 0 dB;

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 2450$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.745$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 38.794$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASYS (IEEE/IEC)

DASY Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3852; ConvF(7.07, 7.09, 7.16) @ 2450 MHz; Calibrated: 23.10.23
 - Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection), $z = 31.0, -4.0$
 - Electronics: DAE4 Sn705; Calibrated: 14.4.23
 - Phantom: SAR2_Phantom1_EL1; Type: QD OVA 002 AA;
 - DASYS2 52.10.4(1527); SEMCAD X 14.6.14(7483)

Configuration/System Check 2450MHz/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=5\text{mm}$, $dy=5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 97.37 V/m; Power Drift = 0.23 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 24.5 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 12.3 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 5.78 W/kg (SAR corrected for target medium)


Smallest distance from peaks to all points 3 dB below = 8 mm

Ratio of SAR at M2 to SAR at M1 = 50.7%

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 20.0 W/kg

Configuration/System Check 2450MHz/Area Scan (91x61x1): Interpolated grid: $dx=1.200$ mm, $dy=1.200$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 20.7 W/kg



Plot 2

Date/Time: 15.2.24 09:26:24

Test Laboratory: Verkotan Oy

DUT: D5GHzV2 - SN1045; Type: D5GHzV2; Serial: SN1045

Communication System: UID 0, CW (0); Communication System Band: D5GHz (5000.0 - 6000.0 MHz); Frequency: 5250 MHz;

Communication System PAR: 0 dB;

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 5250$ MHz; $\sigma = 4.894$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 34.598$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASYS (IEEE/IEC)

DASY Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3852; ConvF(4.99, 4.95, 5.05) @ 5250 MHz; Calibrated: 23.10.23
 - Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection), $z = 25.0, -4.0$
 - Electronics: DAE4 Sn705; Calibrated: 14.4.23
 - Phantom: SAR2_Phantom1_ELI; Type: QD OVA 002 AA;
 - DASY52 52.10.4(1527); SEMCAD X 14.6.14(7483)

Configuration/system check 5250MHz/Zoom Scan (7x7x8)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=4$ mm, $dy=4$ mm, $dz=1.4$ mm

Reference Value = 39.94 V/m; Power Drift = -0.01 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 35.4 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 7.32 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 2.1 W/kg (SAR corrected for target medium)


Smallest distance from peaks to all points 3 dB below = 5.6 mm

Ratio of SAR at M2 to SAR at M1 = 63.1%

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 18.9 W/kg

Configuration/system check 5250MHz/Area Scan (81x81x1): Interpolated grid: $dx=1.000$ mm, $dy=1.000$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 20.0 W/kg



Plot 3

Date/Time: 15.2.24 16:39:17

Test Laboratory: Verkotan Oy

DUT: D5GHzV2 - SN1045; Type: D5GHzV2; Serial: SN1045

Communication System: UID 0, CW (0); Communication System Band: D5GHz (5000.0 - 6000.0 MHz); Frequency: 5600 MHz;

Communication System PAR: 0 dB;

Medium parameters used: $f = 5600$ MHz; $\sigma = 5.284$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 34.645$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASYS (IEEE/IEC)

DASY Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3852; ConvF(4.54, 4.5, 4.6) @ 5600 MHz; Calibrated: 23.10.23
 - Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection (Locations From Previous Scan Used)), Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection), $z = -4.0, 25.0$
 - Electronics: DAE4 Sn705; Calibrated: 14.4.23
 - Phantom: SAR2_Phantom1_EL1; Type: QD OVA 002 AA;
 - DASY52 52.10.4(1527); SEMCAD X 14.6.14(7483)

Configuration/system check 5600MHz/Area Scan (81x81x1): Interpolated grid: $dx=1.000$ mm, $dy=1.000$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 17.7 W/kg

Configuration/system check 5600MHz/Zoom Scan (7x7x8)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=4$ mm, $dy=4$ mm, $dz=1.4$ mm

Reference Value = 39.52 V/m; Power Drift = 0.06 dB


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 37.8 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 7.76 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 2.18 W/kg (SAR corrected for target medium)

Smallest distance from peaks to all points 3 dB below = 7.2 mm

Ratio of SAR at M2 to SAR at M1 = 61.4%

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 20.3 W/kg



27 (44)

Plot 4

Date/Time: 19.2.24 08:42:29

Test Laboratory: Verkotan Oy

DUT: D5GHzV2 - SN1045; Type: D5GHzV2; Serial: SN1045

Communication System: UID 0, CW (0); Communication System Band: D5GHz (5000.0 - 6000.0 MHz); Frequency: 5750 MHz;

Communication System PAR: 0 dB;

Medium parameters used: $f = 5750$ MHz; $\sigma = 5.382$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 34.136$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASYS (IEEE/IEC)

DASY Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3852; ConvF(4.62, 4.57, 4.67) @ 5750 MHz; Calibrated: 23.10.23
 - Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection), $z = 25.0, -4.0$
 - Electronics: DAE4 Sn705; Calibrated: 14.4.23
 - Phantom: SAR2_Phantom1_ELI; Type: QD OVA 002 AA;
 - DASY52 52.10.4(1527); SEMCAD X 14.6.14(7483)

Configuration/system check 5750MHz/Zoom Scan (7x7x8)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=4$ mm, $dy=4$ mm, $dz=1.4$ mm

Reference Value = 36.70 V/m; Power Drift = -0.05 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 39.5 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 7.47 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 2.11 W/kg (SAR corrected for target medium)


Smallest distance from peaks to all points 3 dB below = 5.6 mm

Ratio of SAR at M2 to SAR at M1 = 59.5%

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 19.7 W/kg

Configuration/system check 5750MHz/Area Scan (81x81x1): Interpolated grid: $dx=1.000$ mm, $dy=1.000$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 20.2 W/kg



APPENDIX C: MEASUREMENT SCANS

Plot 5

Date/Time: 14.2.24 21:35:39

Test Laboratory: Verkotan Oy

DUT: Acoustic Camera

Communication System: UID 0, Bluetooth (0); Communication System Band: Bluetooth; Frequency: 2480 MHz;

Communication System PAR: 4.771 dB;

Medium parameters used: $f = 2480$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.763$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 38.748$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASYS (IEEE/IEC/)

DASY Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3852; ConvF(7.07, 7.09, 7.16) @ 2480 MHz; Calibrated: 23.10.23
 - Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection), $z = 31.0, -4.0$
 - Electronics: DAE4 Sn705; Calibrated: 14.4.23
 - Phantom: SAR2_Phantom1_ELI; Type: QD OVA 002 AA;
 - DASYS2 52.10.4(1527); SEMCAD X 14.6.14(7483)

BT Data Rate DH5 CH 78 Back 0mm/Zoom Scan (9x9x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=5$ mm, $dy=5$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 0 V/m; Power Drift = NA

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = n.a. ; SAR(10 g) = n.a. (SAR corrected for target medium)


Smallest distance from peaks to all points 3 dB below: Larger than measurement grid

Ratio of SAR at M2 to SAR at M1 = 17.9%

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.00521 W/kg

BT Data Rate DH5 CH 78 Back 0mm/Area Scan (171x121x1): Interpolated grid: $dx=1.200$ mm, $dy=1.200$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.00767 W/kg



Plot 6

Date/Time: 14.2.24 19:12:50

Test Laboratory: Verkotan Oy

DUT: Acoustic Camera

Communication System: UID 0, WLAN 2.4 (0); Communication System Band: WLAN2.4GHz; Frequency: 2462 MHz;

Communication System PAR: 0 dB;

Medium parameters used: $f = 2462$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.753$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 38.77$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASYS (IEEE/IEC)

DASY Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3852; ConvF(7.07, 7.09, 7.16) @ 2462 MHz; Calibrated: 23.10.23
 - Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection (Locations From Previous Scan Used)), Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection), $z = -4.0, 31.0$
 - Electronics: DAE4 Sn705; Calibrated: 14.4.23
 - Phantom: SAR2_Phantom1_EL1; Type: QD OVA 002 AA;
 - DASYS2 52.10.4(1527); SEMCAD X 14.6.14(7483)

WLAN 2.4GHz 802.11b Data Rate 1 CH 11 Right side 0mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=5$ mm, $dy=5$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 3.194 V/m; Power Drift = 0.36 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.257 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.140 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.067 W/kg (SAR corrected for target medium)


Smallest distance from peaks to all points 3 dB below = 10 mm

Ratio of SAR at M2 to SAR at M1 = 57%

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.207 W/kg

WLAN 2.4GHz 802.11b Data Rate 1 CH 11 Right side 0mm/Area Scan (101x171x1): Interpolated grid: $dx=1.200$ mm, $dy=1.200$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.221 W/kg



Plot 7

Date/Time: 15.2.24 13:34:34

Test Laboratory: Verkotan Oy

DUT: Acoustic Camera

Communication System: UID 0, WLAN5GHz (0); Communication System Band: 5GHz; Frequency: 5260 MHz;

Communication System PAR: 0 dB;

Medium parameters used: $f = 5260$ MHz; $\sigma = 4.903$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 34.583$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASYS (IEEE/IEC)

DASY Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3852; ConvF(4.99, 4.95, 5.05) @ 5260 MHz; Calibrated: 23.10.23
 - Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection (Locations From Previous Scan Used)), Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection), $z = -4.0, 15.0$
 - Electronics: DAE4 Sn705; Calibrated: 14.4.23
 - Phantom: SAR2_Phantom1_EL1; Type: QD OVA 002 AA;
 - DASYS2 52.10.4(1527); SEMCAD X 14.6.14(7483)

WLAN 5GHz 802.11a Data Rate 6 CH 52 Right side 0mm/Area Scan (101x201x1): Interpolated grid: $dx=1.000$ mm, $dy=1.000$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.84 W/kg

WLAN 5GHz 802.11a Data Rate 6 CH 52 Right side 0mm/Zoom Scan (9x10x8)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=4$ mm, $dy=4$ mm, $dz=1.4$ mm

Reference Value = 8.849 V/m; Power Drift = 0.11 dB


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 2.44 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.717 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.270 W/kg (SAR corrected for target medium)

Smallest distance from peaks to all points 3 dB below = 9.9 mm

Ratio of SAR at M2 to SAR at M1 = 65.4%

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.58 W/kg



Plot 8

Date/Time: 16.2.24 12:43:42

Test Laboratory: Verkotan Oy

DUT: Acoustic Camera

Communication System: UID 0, WLAN5GHz (0); Communication System Band: 5GHz; Frequency: 5640 MHz;

Communication System PAR: 0 dB;

Medium parameters used: $f = 5640$ MHz; $\sigma = 5.321$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 34.583$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASYS (IEEE/IEC)

DASY Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3852; ConvF(4.54, 4.5, 4.6) @ 5640 MHz; Calibrated: 23.10.23
 - Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection (Locations From Previous Scan Used)), Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection), $z = -4.0, 15.0$
 - Electronics: DAE4 Sn705; Calibrated: 14.4.23
 - Phantom: SAR2_Phantom1_EL1; Type: QD OVA 002 AA;
 - DASYS2 52.10.4(1527); SEMCAD X 14.6.14(7483)

WLAN 5GHz 802.11a Data Rate 6 CH 128 Right side 0mm/Area Scan (101x201x1): Interpolated grid: $dx=1.000$ mm, $dy=1.000$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 2.49 W/kg

WLAN 5GHz 802.11a Data Rate 6 CH 128 Right side 0mm/Zoom Scan (9x9x8)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=4$ mm, $dy=4$ mm, $dz=1.4$ mm

Reference Value = 9.171 V/m; Power Drift = 0.12 dB


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.56 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.977 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.375 W/kg (SAR corrected for target medium)

Smallest distance from peaks to all points 3 dB below = 10 mm

Ratio of SAR at M2 to SAR at M1 = 63.5%

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.20 W/kg



Plot 9

Date/Time: 20.2.24 08:10:33

Test Laboratory: Verkotan Oy

DUT: Acoustic Camera

Communication System: UID 0, WLAN5GHz (0); Communication System Band: 5GHz; Frequency: 5745 MHz;

Communication System PAR: 0 dB;

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 5745$ MHz; $\sigma = 5.377$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 34.144$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASYS (IEEE/IEC)

DASY Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3852; ConvF(4.62, 4.57, 4.67) @ 5745 MHz; Calibrated: 23.10.23
 - Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection), Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection (Locations From Previous Scan Used)), $z = -4.0, 15.0$
 - Electronics: DAE4 Sn705; Calibrated: 14.4.23
 - Phantom: SAR2_Phantom1_EL1; Type: QD OVA 002 AA;
 - DASYS2 52.10.4(1527); SEMCAD X 14.6.14(7483)

WLAN 5GHz 802.11a Data Rate 6 CH 149 Right side 0mm/Area Scan (101x201x1): Interpolated grid: $dx=1.000$ mm, $dy=1.000$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 2.32 W/kg

WLAN 5GHz 802.11a Data Rate 6 CH 149 Right side 0mm/Zoom Scan (9x9x8)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=4$ mm, $dy=4$ mm, $dz=1.4$ mm

Reference Value = 12.10 V/m; Power Drift = -0.20 dB


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.77 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.961 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.372 W/kg (SAR corrected for target medium)

Smallest distance from peaks to all points 3 dB below = 9.9 mm

Ratio of SAR at M2 to SAR at M1 = 61.5%

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.18 W/kg



Plot 10

Date/Time: 19.2.24 13:13:34

Test Laboratory: Verkotan Oy

DUT: Acoustic Camera; Type

Communication System: UID 0, WLAN5GHz (0); Communication System Band: 5GHz; Frequency: 5825 MHz;

Communication System PAR: 0 dB;

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 5825$ MHz; $\sigma = 5.45$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 34.031$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASYS (IEEE/IEC)

DASY Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3852; ConvF(4.62, 4.57, 4.67) @ 5825 MHz; Calibrated: 23.10.23
 - Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection (Locations From Previous Scan Used)), Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection), $z = -4.0, 15.0$
 - Electronics: DAE4 Sn705; Calibrated: 14.4.23
 - Phantom: SAR2_Phantom1_EL1; Type: QD OVA 002 AA;
 - DASYS2 52.10.4(1527); SEMCAD X 14.6.14(7483)

WLAN 5GHz 802.11a Data Rate 6 CH 165 Right side 0mm/Zoom Scan (8x8x8)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=4$ mm, $dy=4$ mm, $dz=1.4$ mm

Reference Value = 9.128 V/m; Power Drift = -0.15 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 4.04 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 1.02 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.386 W/kg (SAR corrected for target medium)


Smallest distance from peaks to all points 3 dB below = 9.6 mm

Ratio of SAR at M2 to SAR at M1 = 61.4%

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.32 W/kg

WLAN 5GHz 802.11a Data Rate 6 CH 165 Right side 0mm/Area Scan (101x201x1): Interpolated grid: $dx=1.000$ mm, $dy=1.000$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 2.41 W/kg



APPENDIX D: RELEVANT PAGES FROM PROBE CALIBRATION REPORTS

Calibration Laboratory of
Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland




S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst
C Service suisse d'étalonnage
S Servizio svizzero di taratura
S Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 0108**

Client

Verkotan
Oulu, Finland

Certificate No.

EX-3852_Oct23

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object **EX3DV4 - SN:3852**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-01.v10, QA CAL-12.v10, QA CAL-14.v7, QA CAL-23.v6,
QA CAL-25.v8
Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes**

Calibration date **October 23, 2023**

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).
The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.
All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3) °C and humidity < 70%.
Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter NRP2	SN: 104778	30-Mar-23 (No. 217-03804/03805)	Mar-24
Power sensor NRP-291	SN: 103244	30-Mar-23 (No. 217-03804)	Mar-24
OCF DAK-3.5 (weighted)	SN: 1249	05-Oct-23 (OCF-DAK3.5-1249_Oct23)	Oct-24
OCF DAK-12	SN: 1016	05-Oct-23 (OCF-DAK12-1016_Oct23)	Oct-24
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: CC2552 (20x)	30-Mar-23 (No. 217-03809)	Mar-24
DAE4	SN: 660	16-Mar-23 (No. DAE4-660_Mar23)	Mar-24
Reference Probe ES3DV2	SN: 3013	06-Jan-23 (No. ES3-3013_Jan23)	Jan-24

Secondary Standards	ID	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
Power meter E4419B	SN: GB41293874	06-Apr-16 (in house check Jun-22)	In house check: Jun-24
Power sensor E4412A	SN: MY41498067	06-Apr-16 (in house check Jun-22)	In house check: Jun-24
Power sensor E4412A	SN: 000110210	06-Apr-16 (in house check Jun-22)	In house check: Jun-24
Rf generator HP 8649C	SN: US3642U01700	04-Aug-99 (in house check Jun-22)	In house check: Jun-24
Network Analyzer E8358A	SN: US41080477	31-Mar-14 (in house check Oct-22)	In house check: Oct-24

	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by	Johanna Lieshaj	Laboratory Technician	
Approved by	Sven Kühn	Technical Manager	

Issued: October 23, 2023

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

EX3DV4 - SN:3852

October 23, 2023

Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 - SN:3852

Basic Calibration Parameters

	Sensor X	Sensor Y	Sensor Z	Unc (k = 2)
Norm ($\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$) ^A	0.42	0.40	0.47	$\pm 10.1\%$
DCP (mV) ^B	102.6	101.5	101.2	$\pm 4.7\%$

Calibration Results for Modulation Response

UID	Communication System Name		A dB	B dB $\sqrt{\mu\text{V}}$	C	D dB	VR mV	Max dev.	Max Unc ^E k = 2
D	CW	X	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	148.1	$\pm 1.2\%$	$\pm 4.7\%$
		Y	0.00	0.00	1.00		135.0		
		Z	0.00	0.00	1.00		125.7		
10352	Pulse Waveform (200Hz, 10%)	X	20.00	88.23	18.81	10.00	60.0	$\pm 2.9\%$	$\pm 9.6\%$
		Y	15.83	85.04	18.58		60.0		
		Z	20.00	89.60	19.58		60.0		
10353	Pulse Waveform (200Hz, 20%)	X	20.00	89.81	18.49	6.99	80.0	$\pm 1.6\%$	$\pm 9.6\%$
		Y	20.00	89.05	18.11		80.0		
		Z	20.00	91.94	19.69		80.0		
10354	Pulse Waveform (200Hz, 40%)	X	20.00	94.09	19.25	3.98	95.0	$\pm 1.2\%$	$\pm 9.6\%$
		Y	20.00	88.35	16.15		95.0		
		Z	20.00	93.97	19.35		95.0		
10355	Pulse Waveform (200Hz, 60%)	X	20.00	100.39	20.91	2.22	120.0	$\pm 1.2\%$	$\pm 9.6\%$
		Y	2.38	71.76	9.70		120.0		
		Z	20.00	97.37	19.68		120.0		
10387	QPSK Waveform, 1 MHz	X	1.64	66.74	15.13	1.00	150.0	$\pm 2.8\%$	$\pm 9.6\%$
		Y	1.57	65.66	14.38		150.0		
		Z	1.68	66.38	15.01		150.0		
10388	QPSK Waveform, 10 MHz	X	2.18	68.09	15.84	0.00	150.0	$\pm 0.9\%$	$\pm 9.6\%$
		Y	2.13	67.56	15.26		150.0		
		Z	2.26	68.28	15.80		150.0		
10396	64-QAM Waveform, 100 kHz	X	2.80	70.45	18.83	3.01	150.0	$\pm 0.7\%$	$\pm 9.6\%$
		Y	2.67	68.53	17.69		150.0		
		Z	2.75	69.32	18.17		150.0		
10399	64-QAM Waveform, 40 MHz	X	3.46	67.10	15.80	0.00	150.0	$\pm 2.2\%$	$\pm 9.6\%$
		Y	3.46	66.99	15.61		150.0		
		Z	3.55	67.36	15.87		150.0		
10414	WLAN CCDF, 64-QAM, 40 MHz	X	4.78	65.85	15.56	0.00	150.0	$\pm 4.1\%$	$\pm 9.6\%$
		Y	4.86	65.73	15.56		150.0		
		Z	4.73	65.20	15.31		150.0		

Note: For details on UID parameters see Appendix

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor $k=2$, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

^A The uncertainties of Norm X,Y,Z do not affect the E^2 -field uncertainty inside TSL (see Page 5).

^B Uncertainty parameter uncertainty for maximum specified field strength.

^E Uncertainty is determined using the max. deviation from linear response applying rectangular distribution and is expressed for the square of the field value.

EX3DV4 - SN:3852

October 23, 2023

Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 - SN:3852

Sensor Model Parameters

	C1 fF	C2 fF	α V^{-1}	T1 $ms V^{-2}$	T2 $ms V^{-1}$	T3 ms	T4 V^{-2}	T5 V^{-1}	T6
x	40.1	298.39	35.39	12.42	0.00	5.06	1.30	0.18	1.01
y	45.2	342.83	36.51	8.80	0.45	5.06	0.00	0.49	1.01
z	44.9	336.32	35.73	13.60	0.00	5.08	0.53	0.35	1.01

Other Probe Parameters

Sensor Arrangement	Triangular
Connector Angle	-56.9°
Mechanical Surface Detection Mode	enabled
Optical Surface Detection Mode	disabled
Probe Overall Length	337 mm
Probe Body Diameter	10 mm
Tip Length	9 mm
Tip Diameter	2.5 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor X Calibration Point	1 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor Y Calibration Point	1 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor Z Calibration Point	1 mm
Recommended Measurement Distance from Surface	1.4 mm

Note: Measurement distance from surface can be increased to 3-4 mm for an Area Scan job.

EX3DV4 - SN:3852

October 23, 2023

Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 - SN:3852

Calibration Parameter Determined in Head Tissue Simulating Media

f (MHz) ^C	Relative Permittivity ^F	Conductivity ^F (S/m)	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha ^G	Depth ^G (mm)	Unc (k = 2)
6	55.0	0.75	14.87	14.87	14.87	0.00	1.25	±13.3%
13	55.0	0.75	13.94	13.94	13.94	0.00	1.25	±13.3%
30	55.0	0.75	12.97	12.97	12.97	0.00	1.25	±13.3%
64	54.2	0.75	11.54	11.54	11.54	0.00	1.25	±13.3%
128	52.8	0.76	11.14	11.14	11.14	0.00	1.25	±13.3%
220	49.0	0.81	10.56	10.56	10.56	0.00	1.25	±13.3%
450	43.5	0.87	9.99	9.99	9.99	0.16	1.30	±13.3%
1300	40.8	1.14	8.19	7.92	8.28	0.48	1.27	±12.0%
1450	40.5	1.20	8.04	7.77	8.01	0.46	1.27	±12.0%
1640	40.2	1.31	8.04	7.69	8.00	0.43	1.27	±12.0%
1810	40.0	1.40	7.69	7.64	7.66	0.28	1.27	±12.0%
1900	40.0	1.40	7.75	7.66	7.73	0.28	1.27	±12.0%
2450	39.2	1.80	7.07	7.09	7.16	0.31	1.27	±12.0%
3300	38.2	2.71	6.76	6.56	6.80	0.32	1.27	±14.0%
3500	37.9	2.91	6.75	6.56	6.76	0.33	1.27	±14.0%
3700	37.7	3.12	6.56	6.40	6.58	0.34	1.27	±14.0%
3900	37.5	3.32	6.52	6.37	6.54	0.34	1.27	±14.0%
4100	37.2	3.53	6.23	6.14	6.27	0.35	1.27	±14.0%
5250	35.9	4.71	4.99	4.95	5.05	0.37	1.53	±14.0%
5600	35.5	5.07	4.54	4.50	4.60	0.37	1.75	±14.0%
5750	35.4	5.22	4.62	4.57	4.67	0.38	1.84	±14.0%

^C Frequency validity above 300 MHz of ±100 MHz only applies for DAS7 v4.4 and higher (see Page 2), else it is restricted to ±50 MHz. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band. Frequency validity below 300 MHz is ±10, 25, 40, 50 and 70 MHz for ConvF assessments at 30, 64, 128, 150 and 220 MHz respectively. Validity of ConvF assessed at 6 MHz is 4–8 MHz, and ConvF assessed at 13 MHz is 9–19 MHz. Above 5 GHz frequency validity can be extended to ±110 MHz.

^F The probes are calibrated using tissue simulating liquids (TSL) that deviate for ϵ and σ by less than ±5% from the target values (typically better than ±3%) and are valid for TSL with deviations of up to ±10%. If TSL with deviations from the target of less than ±5% are used, the calibration uncertainties are 11.1% for 0.7–3 GHz and 13.1% for 3–6 GHz.

^G Alpha/Depth are determined during calibration. SPEAG warrants that the remaining deviation due to the boundary effect after compensation is always less than ±1% for frequencies below 3 GHz and below ±2% for frequencies between 3–6 GHz at any distance larger than half the probe tip diameter from the boundary.

APPENDIX E: RELEVANT PAGES FROM DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORTS

**Calibration Laboratory of
Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG**
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland



S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst
C Service suisse d'étalonnage
S Servizio svizzero di taratura
S Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 0108**

Client **Verkotan**

Certificate No: **D2450V2-729_Jul22**

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object **D2450V2 - SN:729**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-05.v11
Calibration Procedure for SAR Validation Sources between 0.7-3 GHz**

Calibration date: **July 15, 2022**

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).
The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature $(22 \pm 3)^{\circ}\text{C}$ and humidity $< 70\%$.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter NRP	SN: 104778	04-Apr-22 (No. 217-03525/03524)	Apr-23
Power sensor NRP-Z91	SN: 103244	04-Apr-22 (No. 217-03524)	Apr-23
Power sensor NRP-Z91	SN: 103245	04-Apr-22 (No. 217-03525)	Apr-23
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: BH9394 (20k)	04-Apr-22 (No. 217-03527)	Apr-23
Type-N mismatch combination	SN: 310982 / 06327	04-Apr-22 (No. 217-03528)	Apr-23
Reference Probe EX3DV4	SN: 7349	31-Dec-21 (No. EX3-7349_Dec21)	Dec-22
DAE4	SN: 601	02-May-22 (No. DAE4-601_May22)	May-23
Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
Power meter E4419B	SN: G839512475	30-Oct-14 (in house check Oct-20)	In house check: Oct-22
Power sensor HP 8481A	SN: US37292783	07-Oct-15 (in house check Oct-20)	In house check: Oct-22
Power sensor HP 8481A	SN: MY41093315	07-Oct-15 (in house check Oct-20)	In house check: Oct-22
RF generator R&S SMT-06	SN: 100972	15-Jun-15 (in house check Oct-20)	In house check: Oct-22
Network Analyzer Agilent E8358A	SN: US41080477	31-Mar-14 (in house check Oct-20)	In house check: Oct-22

Calibrated by: **Name** **Function**
Aldona Georgiadou **Laboratory Technician**

Approved by: **Name** **Function**
Niels Kuster **Quality Manager**

Issued: July 19, 2022

Certificate No: D2450V2-729_Jul22

Page 1 of 6

Measurement Conditions

DASY system configuration, as far as not given on page 1.

DASY Version	DASY52	V52.10.4
Extrapolation	Advanced Extrapolation	
Phantom	Modular Flat Phantom	
Distance Dipole Center - TSL	10 mm	with Spacer
Zoom Scan Resolution	dx, dy, dz = 5 mm	
Frequency	2450 MHz $\pm$ 1 MHz	

Head TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Head TSL parameters	22.0 °C	39.2	1.80 mho/m
Measured Head TSL parameters	(22.0 $\pm$ 0.2) °C	37.9 $\pm$ 6 %	1.85 mho/m $\pm$ 6 %
Head TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C		

SAR result with Head TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of Head TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	13.4 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	52.3 W/kg $\pm$ 17.0 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of Head TSL	condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	6.21 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	24.5 W/kg $\pm$ 16.5 % (k=2)



SAR Reference Dipole Calibration Report

Ref : ACR.68.8.23.BES.A

VERKOTAN OY
ELEKTRONIikkATIE 17
90590, OULU, FINLAND
SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE
FREQUENCY: 5200-5800 MHZ
SERIAL NO.: 1045

Calibrated at MVG
Z.I. de la pointe du diable
Technopôle Brest Iroise – 295 avenue Alexis de Rochon
29280 PLOUZANE - FRANCE

Calibration date: 03/09/2023



Accreditations #2-6789 and #2-6814
Scope available on www.cofrac.fr

The use of the Cofrac brand and the accreditation references is prohibited from any reproduction.

Summary:

This document presents the method and results from an accredited SAR reference dipole calibration performed in MVG using the COMOSAR test bench. All calibration results are traceable to national metrology institutions.



SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.66.5.23.BES.A

	Name	Function	Date	Signature
Prepared by :	Cyrille ONNEE	Measurement Responsible	3/9/2023	
Checked & approved by:	Jérôme Luc	Technical Manager	3/9/2023	
Authorized by:	Yann Toutain	Laboratory Director	3/9/2023	

Yann
Toutain ID

Signature
numérique de Yann
Toutain ID
Date : 2023.03.09
15:05:03 +01'00'

	Customer Name
Distribution :	Verkotan Oy

Issue	Name	Date	Modifications
A	Cyrille ONNEE	3/9/2023	Initial release

Page: 2/9

Template: ACR.DDD.N.YI.MVGR.ISSUE_SAR Reference Dipole v1.

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG. The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.



SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.08.1.23.BES.A


6 CALIBRATION RESULTS

6.1 MECHANICAL DIMENSIONS

L mm		h mm		d mm	
Measured	Required	Measured	Required	Measured	Required
-	20.60 +/- 2%	-	40.30 +/- 2%	-	3.60 +/- 2%

6.2 S11 PARAMETER

6.2.1 S11 parameter in Head Liquid



Frequency (MHz)	S11 parameter (dB)	Requirement (dB)	Impedance
5250	-23.25	-20	$47.8\Omega + 6.4j\Omega$
5600	-28.23	-20	$48.5\Omega - 3.5j\Omega$
5750	-22.86	-20	$46.7\Omega + 6.1j\Omega$

6.3 SAR

The IEC/IEEE 62209-1528 and FCC KDB865664 D01 standards state that the system validation measurements must be performed using a reference dipole meeting the fore mentioned return loss and mechanical dimension requirements. The validation measurement must be performed against a liquid filled flat phantom, with the phantom constructed as outlined in the fore mentioned standards. Per the standards, the dipole shall be positioned below the bottom of the phantom, with the dipole length centered and parallel to the longest dimension of the flat phantom, with the top surface of the dipole at the described distance from the bottom surface of the phantom.

6.3.1 SAR with Head Liquid

The IEC/IEEE 62209-1528 and FCC KDB865664 D01 standards state that the system validation measurements should produce the SAR values shown below (for phantom thickness of 2 mm), within the uncertainty for the system validation. All SAR values are normalized to 1 W forward power. In bracket, the measured SAR is given with the used input power.

Page: 6/9

Template: ACR.DDD.N.YY.MVGB.ISSUE_SAR Reference Dipole v1.

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG. The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.




SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.08.23.BES.A

Software	OPENSAR V5
Phantom	SN 13/09 SAM68
Probe	SN 41/18 EPG0333
Liquid	Head Liquid Values @ 5250 MHz: ϵ_p' : 34.3 sigma : 4.67 Head Liquid Values @ 5600 MHz: ϵ_p' : 33.6 sigma : 5.05 Head Liquid Values @ 5750 MHz: ϵ_p' : 32.9 sigma : 5.46
Distance between dipole center and liquid	10.0 mm
Area scan resolution	$dx=8mm/dy=8mm$
Zoom Scan Resolution	$dx=4mm/dy=4mm/dz=2mm$
Frequency	5250 MHz 5600 MHz 5750 MHz
Input power	20 dBm
Liquid Temperature	20 +/- 1 °C
Lab Temperature	20 +/- 1 °C
Lab Humidity	30-70 %

Frequency	1g SAR (W/kg)			10g SAR (W/kg)		
	Measured	Measured normalized to 1W	Target normalized to 1W	Measured	Measured normalized to 1W	Target normalized to 1W
5250 MHz	7.31	73.09	-	2.11	21.12	-
5600 MHz	7.29	72.92	78.30	2.13	21.29	23.20
5750 MHz	6.91	69.05	-	2.03	20.27	-

SAR MEASUREMENT PLOTS @ 5250 MHz



Page: 7/9

Template: ACR.DDD.N.YT.MVGB.ISSUE_SAR Reference Dipole v1.

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MTG. The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MTG.