TEST REPORT

APPLICANT	:	PLEN Robotics Inc.
PRODUCT NAME	:	PLEN Cube
MODEL NAME	:	PC020B
BRAND NAME	:	PLEN Cube
FCC ID	:	2AWGK-PC020BD
STANDARD(S)	:	FCC 47 CFR Part 2(2.1093)
RECEIPT DATE	:	2020-07-23
TEST DATE	:	2020-08-18 to 2020-08-19
ISSUE DATE	:	2020-09-15

Edited by: Gan Yueming (Rapporteur)

Approved by: Peng Huarui (Supervisor)

NOTE: This document is issued by MORLAB, the test report shall not be reproduced except in full without prior written permission of the company. The test results apply only to the particular sample(s) tested and to the specific tests carried out which is available on request for validation and information confirmed at our website.

MORLAB
SHENZHEN MORLAB COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY Co., Ltd.
FL1-3, Building A, FeiYang Science Park, No.8 LongChang Road,
Block67, BaoAn District, ShenZhen , GuangDong Province, P. R. China
Tel: 86-755-36698555 Fax: 86-755-36698525
Http://www.morlab.cn E-mail: service@morlab.cn

DIRECTORY

1. SAR Results Summary 4
2. Technical Information 5
2.1. Applicant and Manufacturer Information 5
2.2. Equipment Under Test (EUT) Description 5
2.3. Environment of Test Site 6
3. Introduction 7
3.1. Introduction 7
3.2. SAR Definition 7
4. RF Exposure Limits 8
4.1. Uncontrolled Environment 8
4.2. Controlled Environment 8
4.3. RF Exposure Limits 8
5. Applied Reference Documents 9
6. SAR Measurement System 10
6.1. E-Field Probe 11
6.2. Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE) 12
6.3. Robot 12
6.4. Measurement Server 12
6.5. Light Beam Unit 13
6.6. Phantom 13
6.7. Device Holder 13
6.8. Data Storage and Evaluation 14
6.9. Test Equipment List 17
7. Tissue Simulating Liquids 18
8. SAR System Verification 20
9. EUT Testing Position 22
9.1. SAR Evaluations near the Mouth/Jaw Regions of the SAM Phantom 22
9.2. Limbs-worn Accessory Configurations 22
9.3. Hotspot Mode Exposure Position Conditions 23
10. Measurement Procedures 24
10.1. Spatial Peak SAR Evaluation 25
10.2. Power Reference Measurement 25

10.3. Area & Zoom Scan Procedures 25
10.4. Volume Scan Procedures 26
10.5. SAR Averaged Methods 27
10.6. Power Drift Monitoring 27
11. Conducted RF Output Power 28
12. Exposure Positions Consideration 31
13. SAR Test Results Summary 32
14. SAR Simultaneous Transmission Analysis 34
15. Measurement Uncertainty 35
16. Measurement Conclusion 39
Annex A General Information 40
Annex B Test Setup Photos
Annex C Plots of System Performance Check
Annex D Plots of Maximum SAR Test Results
Annex E DASY Calibration Certificate

Changed History		
Version	**Date**	**Reason for Change**
1.0	2020-09-15	First edition

1. SAR Results Summary

The maximum results of Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) found during test as bellows:

Frequency Band		Highest SAR Summary	
		Body (Separation 5mm)	Limbs-worn (Separation 0mm)
		1g(W/kg)	10g (W/kg)
WLAN	WLAN 2.4GHz	0.107	0.117
	WLAN 5GHz	0.511	0.521
2.4GHz Band	Bluetooth (Estimated)	0.252	0.101

Max Scaled SAR	Body	0.532 W/Kg	Limit: 1.6 W/Kg
	Limbs-worn	0.521 W/Kg	Limit: 4.0 W/Kg

Note:

1. The highest simultaneous transmission is scalar summation of Reported standalone SAR per FCC KDB 690783 D01 v01r03, and scalar SAR summation of all possible simultaneous transmission scenarios are< 1.6W/kg(for body mode) or <4.0W/kg(for limbs-worn mode).
2. This device is compliance with Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for general population or uncontrolled exposure limits specified in FCC 47 CFR part 2 (2.1093) and ANSI/IEEE C95.1-2005, and had been tested in accordance with the measurement methods and procedures specified in IEEE 1528-2013.
3. When the test result is a critical value, we will use the measurement uncertainty give the judgment result based on the 95% risk level.

2. Technical Information

Note: Provide by Applicant.

2.1. Applicant and Manufacturer Information

Applicant:	PLEN Robotics Inc.
Applicant Address:	Crepis21 303,4-6-3,Toyosaki,Kita-Ku,Osaka-shi,Osaka,Japan
Manufacturer:	JENESIS(SHENZHEN) CO., LTD
Manufacturer Address:	401-1 Building 2nd, Runheng industrial factory building , No.1 Liu'xian 3rd Road, Xingdong Community, Xin'an Avenue, Bao'an District, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China

2.2. Equipment Under Test (EUT) Description

EUT Name:	PLEN Cube
Hardware Version:	1.0
Software Version:	1.0
Operation Frequency:	WLAN 2.4GHz: 2412 MHz ~ 2472 MHz WLAN 5.2GHz: 5180 MHz ~ 5240 MHz Bluetooth LE: 2402 MHz ~ 2480 MHz
Modulation Technology:	802.11b: DSSS 802.11a/g/n20/n40: OFDM Bluetooth: GFSK
Antenna Type:	WLAN: FPCB Antenna Bluetooth: FPCB Antenna

Note: For a more detailed description, please refer to specification or user's manual supplied by the applicant and/or manufacturer.

2.3. Environment of Test Site

Temperature:	18°C~25°C
Humidity:	35%~75% RH
Atmospheric Pressure:	1010 mbar
Test frequency:	WLAN 2.4GHz; WLAN 5GHz;
Operation mode:	Call established
Power Level:	WLAN 2.4GHz; WLAN 5GHz;

During SAR test, EUT is in Traffic Mode (Channel Allocated) at Normal Voltage Condition. A communication link is set up with a System Simulator (SS) by air link, and a call is established.

The EUT shall use its internal transmitter. The antenna(s), battery and accessories shall be those specified by the Factory. The EUT battery must be fully charged and checked periodically during the test to ascertain uniform power output. If a wireless link is used, the antenna connected to the output of the base station simulator shall be placed at least 50 cm away from the handset.
The signal transmitted by the simulator to the antenna feeding point shall be lower than the output power level of the handset by at least 35 dB.

3. Introduction

3.1. Introduction

SAR is related to the rate at which energy is absorbed per unit mass in an object exposed to a radio field. The SAR distribution in a biological body is complicated and is usually carried out by experimental techniques or numerical modeling. The standard recommends limits for two tiers of groups, occupational or controlled and general population/uncontrolled, based on a person's awareness and ability to exercise control over his or her exposure. In general, occupational or controlled exposure limits are higher than the limits for general population or uncontrolled.

3.2. SAR Definition

The SAR definition is the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dW) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dv) of a given density (ρ). The equation description is as below:

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{dU}{dm}\right) = \frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{dU}{\rho dv}\right)$$

SAR is expressed in units of Watts per kilogram (W/kg)

SAR measurement can be either related to the temperature elevation in tissue by

$$SAR = C\left(\frac{\delta T}{\delta t}\right)$$

Where: C is the specific heat capacity, δT is the temperature rise and δt is the exposure duration, or related to the electrical field in the tissue by

$$SAR = \frac{\sigma \cdot E^2}{\rho}$$

Where: σ is the conductivity of the tissue, ρ is the mass density of the tissue and E is the RMS electrical field strength. However for evaluating SAR of low power transmitter, electrical field measurement is typically applied.

4. RF Exposure Limits

4.1. Uncontrolled Environment

Uncontrolled Environments are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure. The general population or uncontrolled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Members of the general public would come under this category when exposure is not employment-related; for example, in the case of a wireless transmitter that exposes persons in its vicinity.

4.2. Controlled Environment

Controlled Environments are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by persons who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation). In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment, who have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. This exposure category is also applicable when the exposure is of a transient nature due to incidental passage through a location where the exposure levels may be higher than the general population or uncontrolled limits, but the exposed person is fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

4.3. RF Exposure Limits

SAR Human Exposure Specified in ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992 and Health Canada Safety Code 6

HUMAN EXPOSURE LIMITS		
	UNCONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT *General Population* (W/kg) or (mW/g)	CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT *Occupational* (W/kg) or (mW/g)
SPATIAL PEAK SAR Brain	1.6	8.0
SPATIAL AVERAGE SAR Whole Body	0.08	0.4
SPATIAL PEAK SAR Hands, Feet, Ankles, Wrists	4.0	20

Note:

1. The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 1 gram of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.
2. The Spatial Average value of the SAR averaged over the whole body.
3. The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 10 grams of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of acube) and over the appropriate averaging time.

5. Applied Reference Documents

Leading reference documents for testing:

No.	Identity	Document Title	Method Determination /Remark
1	47 CFR Part 2.1093	Radio Frequency Radiation Exposure Evaluation: Portable Devices	No deviation
2	IEEE 1528-2013	IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques	No deviation
3	KDB 447498 D01v06	General RF Exposure Guidance	No deviation
4	KDB 248227 D01v02r02	SAR Measurement Procedures for 802.11 Transmitters	No deviation
5	KDB 865664 D01v01r04	SAR Measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz	No deviation
6	KDB 865664 D02v01r02	RF Exposure Reporting	No deviation
7	KDB 941225 D06v02r01	SAR Evaluation Procedures For Portable Devices With Wireless Router Capabilities	No deviation

Note 1: The test item is not applicable.

Note 2: Additions to, deviation, or exclusions from the method shall be judged in the "method determination" column of add, deviate or exclude from the specific method shall be explained in the "Remark" of the above table.

6. SAR Measurement System



Fig.6.1 SPEAG DASY System Configurations

The DASY system for performance compliance tests is illustrated above graphically. This system consists of thefollowing items:

- A standard high precision 6-axis robot with controller, a teach pendant and software.
- A data acquisition electronic (DAE) attached to the robot arm extension.
- A dosimetric probe equipped with an optical surface detector system.
- The electro-optical converter (EOC) performs the conversion between optical and electrical signals.
- A measurement server performs the time critical tasks such as signal filtering, control of the robot operationand fast movement interrupts.
- A probe alignment unit which improves the accuracy of the probe positioning.
- A computer operating Windows XP.
- DASY software.
- Remove control with teach pendant and additional circuitry for robot safety such as warming lamps, etc.
- The SAM twin phantom.
- A device holder.
- Tissue simulating liquid.
- Dipole for evaluating the proper functioning of the system.

Component details are described in the following sub-sections.

6.1. E-Field Probe

The SAR measurement is conducted with the dosimetric probe (manufactured by SPEAG). The probe is specially designed and calibrated for use in liquid with high permittivity. The dosimetric probe has special calibration in liquid at different frequency. This probe has a built in optical surface detection system to prevent from collision with phantom.

➢ **E-Field Probe Specification**

<EX3DV3 Probe>

Construction	Symmetrical design with triangular core Built-in optical fiber for surface detection system. Built-in shielding against static charges. PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g., DGBE)	 **Fig 6.2 Photo of ES3DV3**
Frequency	10 MHz to 3 GHz; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB	
Directivity	± 0.2 dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis) ± 0.4 dB in HSL (rotation normal to probe axis)	
Dynamic Range	5 µW/g to 100 mW/g; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB	
Dimensions	Overall length: 330 mm (Tip: 16 mm) Tip diameter: 6.8 mm (Body: 12 mm) Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 2.7 mm	

<EX3DV4 Probe>

Construction	Symmetrical design with triangular core Built-in shielding against static charges PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g., DGBE)	 **Fig 6.3 Photo of EX3DV4**
Frequency	10 MHz to 6 GHz; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB	
Directivity	± 0.3 dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis) ± 0.5 dB in tissue material (rotation normal to probe axis)	
Dynamic Range	10 µW/g to 100 mW/g; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB	
Dimensions	Overall length: 330 mm (Tip: 20 mm) Tip diameter: 2.5 mm (Body: 12 mm) Typical distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 1 mm	

➢ **E-Field Probe Calibration**

Each probe needs to be calibrated according to a dosimetric assessment procedure with accuracy better than ±10%. The spherical isotropy shall be evaluated and within ±0.25 dB. The sensitivity parameters (Norm X, Norm Y and Norm Z), the diode compression parameter (DCP) and the conversion factor (ConvF) of the probe are tested. The calibration data can be referred to Annex E of this report.

6.2. Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE)

The Data acquisition electronics (DAE) consists of a highly sensitive electrometer-grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16 bit AD-converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Transmission to the measurement server is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information as well as an optical uplink for commands and the clock. The input impedance of the DAE is 200 MOhm; the inputs are symmetrical and floating. Common mode rejection is above 80 dB.

Fig. 6.4 Photo of DAE

6.3. Robot

The SPEAG DASY system uses the high precision robots (DASY5: TX60XL) type from Stäubli SA (France). For the 6-axis controller system, the robot controller version (DASY5: CS8c) from Stäubliis used. The Stäubli robot series have many features that are important for our application:

- High precision (repeatability 0.02 mm)
- High reliability (industrial design)
- Low maintenance costs (virtually maintenance free due to direct drive gears; nobelt drives)
- Jerk-free straight movements
- Low ELF interference (motor control fields shielded via the closed metallic construction shields)

Fig. 6.5 Photo of Robot

6.4. Measurement Server

The measurement server is based on a PC/104 CPU board with CPU (DASY5: 400MHz, Intel Celeron), chip-disk (DASY5: 128 MB), RAM (DASY5: 128 MB). The necessary circuits for communication with the DAE electronic box, as well as the 16 bit AD converter system for optical detection and digital I/O interface are contained on the DASY I/O board, which is directly connected to the PC/104 bus of the CPU board.

The measurement server performs all the real-time data evaluation for field measurements and surface detection, controls robot movements and handles safety operations.

Fig. 6.6 Photo of Server for DASY5

6.5. Light Beam Unit

The light beam switch allows automatic "tooling" of the probe. During the process, the actual position of the probe tip with respect to the robot arm is measured, as well as the probe length and the horizontal probe offset. The software then corrects all movements, such that the robot coordinates are valid for the probe tip.

The repeatability of this process is better than 0.1 mm. If a position has been taught with an aligned probe, the same position will be reached with another aligned probe within 0.1 mm, even if the other probe has different dimensions. During probe rotations, the probe tip will keep its actual position.

Fig. 6.7 Photo of Light Beam

6.6. Phantom

<SAM Twin Phantom>

Shell Thickness	2 ± 0.2 mm (sagging: <1%) Center ear point: 6 ± 0.2 mm	
Filling Volume Dimensions	Approx. 25 liters Length: 1000 mm; Width: 500 mm; Height: adjustable feet	
Measurement Areas	Left Head, Right Head, Flat phantom	

Fig. 6.8 Photo of SAM Phantom

The bottom plate contains three pair of bolts for locking the device holder. The device holder positions are adjusted to the standard measurement positions in the three sections. A white cover is provided to tap the phantom during off-periods to prevent water evaporation and changes in the liquid parameters. On the phantom top, three reference markers are provided to identify the phantom position with respect to the robot.

6.7. Device Holder

<Device Holder for SAM Twin Phantom>

The SAR in the phantom is approximately inversely proportional to the square of the distance between the source and the liquid surface. For a source at 5 mm distance, a positioning uncertainty of ±0.5 mm would produce a SAR uncertainty of ± 20 %. Accurate device positioning is therefore crucial for accurate and repeatable measurements. The positions in which the devices must be measured are defined by the standards.

The DASY device holder is designed to cope with different positions given in the standard. It has two scales for the device rotation (with respect to the body axis) and the device inclination (with respect to the line between the ear reference points). The rotation center for both scales is the ear reference point (ERP).

Thus the device needs no repositioning when changing the angles.
The DASY device holder is constructed of low-low POM material having the following dielectric parameters: relative permittivity ε = 3 and loss tangent δ = 0.02. The amount of dielectric material has been reduced in the closest vicinity of the device, since measurements have suggested that the influence of the clamp on the test results could thus be lowered.

<Laptop Extension Kit>

The extension is lightweight and made of POM, acrylic glass and foam. It fits easily on the upper part of the mounting device in place of the phone positioned. The extension is fully compatible with the SAM Twin and ELI phantoms.

Fig 6.9 Device Holder



Fig 6.10 Laptop Extension Kit

6.8. Data Storage and Evaluation

- **Data Storage**

The DASY software stores the assessed data from the data acquisition electronics as raw data (in microvolt readings from the probe sensors), together with all the necessary software parameters for the data evaluation (probe calibration data, liquid parameters and device frequency and modulation data) in measurement files. The post-processing software evaluates the desired unit and format for output each time the data is visualized or exported. This allows verifications of the complete software setup even after the measurement and allows correction of erroneous parameter settings. For example, if a measurement has been performed with an incorrect crest factor parameter in the device setup, the parameter can be corrected afterwards and the data can be reevaluated.

The measured data can be visualized or exported in different units or formats, depending on the selected probe type (e.g., [V/m], [mW/g]). Some of these units are not available in certain situations or give meaningless results, e.g., a SAR-output in a non-lose media, will always be zero. Raw data can also be exported to perform the evaluation with other software packages.

➢ **Data Evaluation**

The DASY post-processing software (SEMCAD) automatically executes the following procedures to calculate the field units from the microvolt readings at the probe connector. The parameters used in the evaluation are stored in the configuration modules of the software:

Probe Parameters:	- Sensitivity	$Norm_i$, a_{i0}, a_{i1}, a_{i2}
	- Conversion	$ConvF_i$
	- Diode compression point	dcp_i
Device Parameters:	- Frequency	f
	- Crest	cf
Media Parameters:	- Conductivity	σ
	- Density	ρ

These parameters must be set correctly in the software. They can be found in the component documents or they can be imported into the software from the configuration files issued for the DASY components. In the direct measuring mode of the multi-meter option, the parameters of the actual system setup are used. In the scan visualization and export modes, the parameters stored in the corresponding document files are used.

The first step of the evaluation is a linearization of the filtered input signal to account for the compression characteristics of the detector diode. The compensation depends on the input signal, the diode type and the DC-transmission factor from the diode to the evaluation electronics. If the exciting field is pulsed, the crest factor of the signal must be known to correctly compensate for peak power.

The formula for each channel can be given as:

$$V_i = U_i + U_i^2 \cdot \frac{cf}{dcp_i}$$

WithVi = compensated signal of channel i, (i = x, y, z)

Ui= input signal of channel i, (i = x, y, z)

cf = crest factor of exciting field (DASY parameter)

dcpi= diode compression point (DASY parameter)

From the compensated input signals, the primary field data for each channel can be evaluated:

E- Field Probes: $E_i = \sqrt{\frac{v_i}{Norm_i \cdot ConvF}}$

H-Field Probes: $H_i = \sqrt{V_i} \cdot \frac{a_{i0} + a_{i1} f + a_{i2} f^2}{f}$

WithVi = compensated signal of channel i, (i = x, y, z)

Normi= senor sensitivity of channel i, (i = x, y, z), μV/ (V/m) 2

ConvF = sensitivity enhancement in solution

aij = sensor sensitivity factors for H-field probes

f = carrier frequency (GHz)

Ei = electric field strength of channel i in V/m

Hi = magnetic field strength of channel i in A/m

The RSS value of the field components gives the total field strength (Hermitian magnitude):

$$E_{tot}=\sqrt{E_x^2+E_y^2+E_z^2}$$

The primary field data are used to calculate the derived field units.

$$SAR = E_{tot}^2 \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\rho \cdot 1000}$$

With SAR = local specific absorption rate in mW/g

Etot= total field strength in V/m

σ = conductivity in (mho/m) or (Siemens/m)

ρ= equipment tissue density in g/cm3

Note that the density is set to 1, to account for actual head tissue density rather than the density of the tissue simulating liquid.

6.9. Test Equipment List

Manufacturer	Name of Equipment	Type/Model	Serial Number	Calibration	
				Last Cal.	Due Date
SPEAG	2450MHz System Validation Kit	D2450V2	805	2018.10.26	2021.10.25
SPEAG	5000MHz System Validation Kit	D5GHzV2	1176	2018.11.06	2021.11.05
SPEAG	Dosimetric E-Field Probe	EX3DV4	3975	2020.05.20	2021.05.19
SPEAG	Data Acquisition Electronics	DAE4	480	2020.06.02	2021.06.01
SPEAG	Dielectric Assessment KIT	DAK-3.5	1279	2019.11.03	2020.11.02
SPEAG	SAM V8.0	QD 000 P41 AA	1922	NCR	NCR
SPEAG	Phone Positioner	N/A	N/A	NCR	NCR
R&S	Network Emulator	CMW500	124534	2020.04.01	2021.03.31
Agilent	Network Analyzer	E5071B	MY42404762	2020.04.01	2021.03.31
mini-circuits	Amplifier	ZHL-42W+	608501717	NCR	NCR
mini-circuits	Amplifier	ZVE-8G+	754401735	NCR	NCR
Agilent	Signal Generator	N5182B	MY53050509	2020.04.01	2021.03.31
Agilent	Power Senor	N8482A	MY41090849	2019.10.28	2020.10.27
Agilent	Power Meter	E4416A	MY45102093	2019.10.28	2020.10.27
Anritsu	Power Sensor	MA2411B	N/A	2019.10.28	2020.10.27
Anritsu	Power Meter	NRVD	101066	2019.10.28	2020.10.27
Agilent	Dual Directional Coupler	778D	50422	NA	NA
MCL	Attenuation1	351-218-010	N/A	NA	NA
THERMOMETER	Thermo meter	DC-803	N/A	2019.11.22	2020.11.21
N/A	Tissue Simulating Liquids	800-1800MHz	N/A	24H	

Note:

1. The calibration certificate of DASY can be referred to Annex C of this report.
2. Referring to KDB 865664 D01v01r04, the dipole calibration interval can be extended to 3 years with justification. The dipoles are also not physically damaged, or repaired during the interval.
3. The Insertion Loss calibration of Dual Directional Coupler and Attenuator were characterized via the network analyzer and compensated during system check.
4. The dielectric probe kit was calibrated via the network analyzer, with the specified procedure (calibrated in pure water) and calibration kit (standard) short circuit, before the dielectric measurement. The specific procedure and calibration kit are provided by Speag.
5. In system check we need to monitor the level on the power meter, and adjust the power amplifier level to have precise power level to the dipole; the measured SAR will be normalized to 1W input power according to the ratio of 1W to the input power to the dipole. For system check, the calibration of the power amplifier is deemed not critically required for correct measurement; the power meter is critical and we do have calibration for it.

6. Attenuator insertion loss is calibrated by the network Analyzer, which the calibration is valid, before system check.
7. N.C.R means No Calibration Requirement.

7. Tissue Simulating Liquids

For the measurement of the field distribution inside the SAM phantom with DASY, the phantom must be filled with around 25 liters of homogeneous body tissue simulating liquid. For head SAR testing, the liquid height from the ear reference point (ERP) of the phantom to the liquid top surface is larger than 15 cm, which is shown in Fig. 7.1, for body SAR testing, the liquid height from the centre of the flat phantom to liquid top surface is larger than 15 cm, which is shown in Fig. 7.2.

Fig 7.1 Photo of Liquid Height for Head SAR

Fig 7.2 Photo of Liquid Height for Body SAR

The following table gives the recipes for tissue simulating liquids

Frequency (MHz)	Water (%)	Sugar (%)	Cellulose (%)	Salt (%)	Preventol (%)	DGBE (%)	Conductivity (σ)	Permittivity (εr)
Head								
750	41.1	57.0	0.2	1.4	0.2	0	0.89	41.9
835	40.3	57.9	0.2	1.4	0.2	0	0.90	41.5
1800, 1900, 2000	55.2	0	0	0.3	0	44.5	1.40	40.0
2450	55.0	0	0	0	0	45.0	1.80	39.2
2600	54.8	0	0	0.1	0	45.1	1.96	39.0

Simulating Liquid for 5GHz, Manufactured by SPEAG

Ingredients	(% by weight)
Water	64~78%
Mineral oil	11~18%
Emulsifiers	9~15%
Additives and Salt	2~3%

The relative permittivity and conductivity of the tissue material should be within±5% of the values given in the table below recommended by the FCC OET 65supplement C and RSS 102 Issue 5.

Target Frequency	Head		Body	
(MHz)	ε r	σ (S/m)	ε r	σ (S/m)
150	52.3	0.76	61.9	0.80
300	45.3	0.87	58.2	0.92
450	43.5	0.87	56.7	0.94
835	41.5	0.90	55.2	0.97
900	41.5	0.97	55.0	1.05
915	41.5	0.98	55.0	1.06
1450	40.5	1.20	54.0	1.30
1610	40.3	1.29	53.8	1.40
1800-2000	40.0	1.40	53.3	1.52
2450	39.2	1.80	52.7	1.95
3000	38.5	2.40	52.0	2.73
5800	35.3	5.27	48.2	6.00

(ε r = relative permittivity, σ = conductivity and ρ = 1000 kg/m^3)

The dielectric parameters of liquids were verified prior to the SAR evaluation using a Speag Dielectric Probe Kit and an Agilent Network Analyzer.

The following table shows the measuring results for simulating liquid

Frequency (MHz)	**Tissue Type**	**Liquid Temp. (℃)**	**Conductivity (σ)**	**Conductivity Target (σ)**	**Delta (σ)(%)**	**Limit (%)**	**Date**
2450	HSL	21.6	1.808	1.80	0.44	±5	2020.08.18
5250	HSL	21.7	4.710	4.71	0.00	±5	2020.08.19

Frequency (MHz)	**Tissue Type**	**Liquid Temp. (℃)**	**Permittivity (εr)**	**Permittivity Target (εr)**	**Delta (εr) (%)**	**Limit (%)**	**Date**
2450	HSL	21.6	38.840	39.20	-0.92	±5	2020.08.18
5250	HSL	21.7	34.600	35.95	-3.76	±5	2020.08.19

8. SAR System Verification

Each DASY system is equipped with one or more system validation kits. These units, together with the predefined measurement procedures within the DASY software, enable the user to conduct the system performance check and system validation. System validation kit includes a dipole, tripod holder to fix it underneath the flat phantom and a corresponding distance holder.

- **Purpose of System Performance check**

The system performance check verifies that the system operates within its specifications. System and operator errors can be detected and corrected. It is recommended that the system performance check be performed prior to any usage of the system in order to guarantee reproducible results. The system performance check uses normal SAR measurements in a simplified setup with a well characterized source. This setup was selected to give a high sensitivity to all parameters that might fail or vary over time. The system check does not intend to replace the calibration of the components, but indicates situations where the system uncertainty is exceeded due to drift or failure.

- **System Setup**

In the simplified setup for system evaluation, the EUT is replaced by a calibrated dipole and the power source is replaced by a continuous wave that comes from a signal generator. The calibrated dipole must be placed beneath the flat phantom section of the SAM twin phantom with the correct distance holder. The distance holder should touch the phantom surface with a light pressure at the reference marking and be oriented parallel to the long side of the phantom. The equipment setup is shown below:



Fig.8.1 System Verification Setup Diagram



Fig.8.2 Photo of Dipole setup

➢ **System Verification Results**

Comparing to the original SAR value provided by SPEAG, the verification data should be within its specification of 10%. Below table shows the target SAR and measured SAR after normalized to 1W input power. The table as below indicates the system performance check can meet the variation criterion and the plots can be referred to Annex C of this report.

Frequency (MHz)	Tissue Type	Input Power (mW)	Dipole S/N	Probe S/N	DAE S/N
2450	HSL	250	D2450V2-805	3975	480
5250	HSL	250	D5GHzV2-1176	3975	480

<1g SAR>

Date	Freq. (MHz)	Tissue Type	Input Power (mW)	Measured 1g SAR (W/kg)	Targeted 1g SAR (W/kg)	Normalized 1g SAR (W/kg)	Deviation (%)
2020.08.18	2450	HSL	250	13.20	52.00	52.8	1.54
2020.08.19	5250	HSL	100	7.94	78.90	79.4	0.63

<10g SAR>

Date	Freq. (MHz)	Tissue Type	Input Power (mW)	Measured 10g SAR (W/kg)	Targeted 10g SAR (W/kg)	Normalized 10g SAR (W/kg)	Deviation (%)
2020.08.18	2450	HSL	250	6.15	24.10	24.6	2.07
2020.08.19	5250	HSL	100	2.27	22.50	22.7	0.89

Note: System checks the specific test data please see Annex C

9. EUT Testing Position

This EUT was tested in two different positions. They are front of face for head with phantom 10 mm gap, wrist-worn of the EUT with phantom 0 mm gap, as illustrated below, please refer to Annex B for the test setup photos.

9.1. SAR Evaluations near the Mouth/Jaw Regions of the SAM Phantom

Transmitters that are built-in within a wrist watch or similar wrist-worn devices typically operate in speaker mode for voice communication, with the device worn on the wrist and positioned next to the mouth. Next to the mouth exposure requires 1-g SAR and the wrist-worn condition requires 10-g extremity SAR. The 10-g extremity and 1-g SAR test exclusions may be applied to the wrist and face exposure conditions. When SAR evaluation is required, next to the mouth use is evaluated with the front of the device positioned at 10 mm from a flat phantom filled with head tissue-equivalent medium. The wrist bands should be strapped together to represent normal use conditions. SAR for wrist exposure is evaluated with the back of the device positioned in direct contact against a flat phantom filled with body tissue-equivalent medium. The wrist bands should be unstrapped and touching the phantom. The space introduced by the watch or wrist bands and the phantom must be representative of actual use conditions; otherwise, if applicable, the neck or a curved head region of the SAM phantom may be used, provided the device positioning and SAR probe access issues have been addressed through a KDB inquiry. When other device positioning and SAR measurement considerations are necessary, a KDB inquiry is also required for the test results to be acceptable; for example, devices with rigid wrist bands or electronic circuitry and/or antenna(s) incorporated in the wrist bands. These test configurations are applicable only to devices that are worn on the wrist and cannot support other use conditions; therefore, the operating restrictions must be fully demonstrated in both the test reports and user manuals.

9.2. Limbs-worn Accessory Configurations

- To position the device parallel to the phantom surface with either keypad up or down.
- To adjust the device parallel to the flat phantom.
- To adjust the distance between the device surface and the flat phantom to 5 mm or holster surface and the flat phantom to 0 mm.



Fig.9.1 Illustration for Limbs-worn Position

9.3. Hotspot Mode Exposure Position Conditions

For handsets that support hotspot mode operations, with wireless router capabilities and various web browsing functions, the relevant hand and body exposure conditions are tested according to the hotspot SAR procedures in KDB 941225. A test separation distance of 10 mm is required between the phantom and all surfaces and edges with a transmitting antenna located within 25 mm from that surface or edge. When the form factor of a handset is smaller than 9 cm x 5 cm, a test separation distance of 5 mm (instead of 10 mm) is required for testing hotspot mode. When the separation distance required for body-worn accessory testing is larger than or equal to that tested for hotspot mode, in the same wireless mode and for the same surface of the phone, the hotspot mode SAR data may be used to support body-worn accessory SAR compliance for that particular configuration (surface).



Fig 9.2 Illustration for Hotspot Position

10. Measurement Procedures

The measurement procedures are as bellows:

<Conducted power measurement>

- For WWAN power measurement, use base station simulator to configure EUT WWAN transition in conducted connection with RF cable, at maximum power in each supported wireless interface and frequency band.
- Read the WWAN RF power level from the base station simulator.
- For WLAN/BT power measurement, use engineering software to configure EUT WLAN/BT continuously transmission, at maximum RF power in each supported wireless interface and frequency band.
- Connect EUT RF port through RF cable to the power meter or spectrum analyzer, and measure WLAN/BT output power.

<Conducted power measurement>

- Use base station simulator to configure EUT WWAN transmission in radiated connection, and engineering software to configure EUT WLAN/BT continuously transmission, at maximum RF power, in the highest power channel.
- Place the EUT in positions as Annex B demonstrates.
- Set scan area, grid size and other setting on the DASY software.
- Measure SAR results for the highest power channel on each testing position.
- Find out the largest SAR result on these testing positions of each band.
- Measure SAR results for other channels in worst SAR testing position if the Reported SAR or highest power channel is larger than 0.8 W/kg.

According to the test standard, the recommended procedure for assessing the peak spatial-average SAR value consists of the following steps:

- Power reference measurement
- Area scan
- Zoom scan
- Power drift measurement

10.1. Spatial Peak SAR Evaluation

The procedure for spatial peak SAR evaluation has been implemented according to the test standard. It can be conducted for 1g and 10g, as well as for user-specific masses. The DASY software includes all numerical procedures necessary to evaluate the spatial peak SAR value.

The base for the evaluation is a “cube” measurement. The measured volume must include the 1g and 10 g cubes with the highest averaged SAR values. For that purpose, the center of the measured volume is aligned to the interpolated peak SAR value of a previously performed area scan.

The entire evaluation of the spatial peak values is performed within the post-processing engine (SEMCAD). The system always gives the maximum values for 1g and 10g cubes. The algorithm to find the cube with highest averaged SAR is divided into the following stages:

- Extraction of the measured data (grid and values) from the Zoom Scan.
- Calculation of the SAR value at every measurement point based on all stored data (A/D values and measurement parameters).
- Generation of a high-resolution mesh within the measured volume.
- Interpolation of all measured values form the measurement grid to the high-resolution grid
- Extrapolation of the entire 3-D field distribution to the phantom surface over the distance from sensor to surface
- Calculation of the averaged SAR within masses of 1g and 10g.

10.2. Power Reference Measurement

The Power Reference Measurement and Power Drift Measurement are for monitoring the power drift of the device under test in the batch process. The minimum distance of probe sensors to surface determines the closest measurement point to phantom surface. This distance cannot be smaller than the distance of sensor calibration points to probe tip as defined in the probe properties.

10.3. Area & Zoom Scan Procedures

First Area Scan is used to locate the approximate location(s) of the local peak SAR value(s). The measurement grid within an Area Scan is defined by the grid extent, grid step size and grid offset. Next, in order to determine the EM field distribution in a three-dimensional spatial extension, Zoom Scan is required. The Zoom Scan is performed around the highest E-field value to determine the averaged SAR-distribution over 10g. Area scan and zoom scan resolution setting follows KDB 865664 D01v01r04 quoted below.

			≤ 3 GHz	> 3 GHz
Maximum distance from closest measurement point (geometric center of probe sensors) to phantom surface			5 ± 1 mm	½·δ·ln(2) ± 0.5 mm
Maximum probe angle from probe axis to phantom surface normal at the measurement location			30° ± 1°	20° ± 1°
Maximum area scan spatial resolution: Δx_{Area}, Δy_{Area}			≤ 2 GHz: ≤ 15 mm 2 – 3 GHz: ≤ 12 mm	3 – 4 GHz: ≤ 12 mm 4 – 6 GHz: ≤ 10 mm
			When the x or y dimension of the test device, in the measurement plane orientation, is smaller than the above, the measurement resolution must be ≤ the corresponding x or y dimension of the test device with at least one measurement point on the test device.	
Maximum zoom scan spatial resolution: Δx_{Zoom}, Δy_{Zoom}			≤ 2 GHz: ≤ 8 mm 2 – 3 GHz: ≤ 5 mm*	3 – 4 GHz: ≤ 5 mm* 4 – 6 GHz: ≤ 4 mm*
Maximum zoom scan spatial resolution, normal to phantom surface	uniform grid: $\Delta z_{Zoom}(n)$		≤ 5 mm	3 – 4 GHz: ≤ 4 mm 4 – 5 GHz: ≤ 3 mm 5 – 6 GHz: ≤ 2 mm
	graded grid	$\Delta z_{Zoom}(1)$: between 1st two points closest to phantom surface	≤ 4 mm	3 – 4 GHz: ≤ 3 mm 4 – 5 GHz: ≤ 2.5 mm 5 – 6 GHz: ≤ 2 mm
		$\Delta z_{Zoom}(n>1)$: between subsequent points	≤ 1.5·$\Delta z_{Zoom}(n-1)$	
Minimum zoom scan volume	x, y, z		≥ 30 mm	3 – 4 GHz: ≥ 28 mm 4 – 5 GHz: ≥ 25 mm 5 – 6 GHz: ≥ 22 mm

Note: δ is the penetration depth of a plane-wave at normal incidence to the tissue medium; see draft standard IEEE P1528-2011 for details.

* When zoom scan is required and the *reported* SAR from the *area scan based 1-g SAR estimation* procedures of KDB 447498 is ≤ 1.4 W/kg, ≤ 8 mm, ≤ 7 mm and ≤ 5 mm zoom scan resolution may be applied, respectively, for 2 GHz to 3 GHz, 3 GHz to 4 GHz and 4 GHz to 6 GHz.

10.4. Volume Scan Procedures

The volume scan is used for assess overlapping SAR distributions for antennas transmitting in different frequency bands. It is equivalent to an oversized zoom scan used in standalone measurements. The measurement volume will be used to enclose all the simultaneous transmitting antennas. For antennas transmitting simultaneously in different frequency bands, the volume scan is measured separately in each frequency band. In order to sum correctly to compute the 1g aggregate SAR, the EUT remain in the same test position for all measurements and all volume scan use the same spatial resolution and grid spacing. When all volume scan were completed, the software, SEMCAD post-processor scan combine and subsequently superpose these measurement data to calculating the multiband SAR.

10.5. SAR Averaged Methods

In DASY, the interpolation and extrapolation are both based on the modified Quadratic Shepard's method. The interpolation scheme combines a least-square fitted function method and a weighted average method which are the two basic types of computational interpolation and approximation.

Extrapolation routines are used to obtain SAR values between the lowest measurement points and the inner phantom surface. The extrapolation distance is determined by the surface detection distance and the probe sensor offset. The uncertainty increases with the extrapolation distance. To keep the uncertainty within 1% for the 1g and 10g cubes, the extrapolation distance should not be larger than 5 mm.

10.6. Power Drift Monitoring

All SAR testing is under the EUT install full charged battery and transmit maximum output power. In DASY measurement software, the power reference measurement and power drift measurement procedures are used for monitoring the power drift of EUT during SAR test. Both these procedures measure the field at a specified reference position before and after the SAR testing. The software will calculate the field difference in dB. If the power drifts more than 5%, the SAR will be retested.

11. Conducted RF Output Power

➢ **WLAN 2.4GHz Band Conducted Power**

	Mode	Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Average power (dBm)	Tune-Up Limit	Duty Cycle %
2.4GHz WLAN	802.11b 1Mbps	CH 1	2412	13.12	14.0	89.45
		CH 7	2442	13.71	14.5	
		CH 13	2472	13.72	14.5	
	802.11g 6Mbps	CH 1	2412	13.01	14.0	60.16
		CH 7	2442	12.76	13.5	
		CH 13	2472	12.65	13.5	
	802.11n-HT20 MCS0	CH 1	2412	13.98	14.5	89.21
		CH 7	2442	13.75	14.5	
		CH 13	2472	13.44	14.5	
	802.11n-HT40 MCS0	CH 3	2422	7.57	8.0	87.15
		CH 7	2442	7.68	8.0	
		CH 11	2462	7.63	8.0	

Note:

1. Per KDB 447498 D01v06, the 1-g SAR test exclusion thresholds for 100 MHz to 6 GHz at *test separation distances* ≤50 mm are determined by:
   [(*max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW*)/ (*min. test separation distance, mm*)] · [√f(GHz)] ≤ 3.0 for1-g SAR, where
   - f(GHz) is the RF channel transmit frequency in GHz
   - Power and distance are rounded to the nearest mW and mm before calculation
   - The result is rounded to one decimal place for comparison

Channel	Frequency (GHz)	Max. Tune-up Power (dBm)	Max. Power (mW)	Test distance (mm)	Result	exclusion thresholds for 1-g SAR
b/CH 13	2.472	14.5	28.0	5	8.79	3.0
g/CH 7	2.442	13.5	32.0	5	6.87	3.0

2. Base on the result of note1, RF exposure evaluation of 802.11 b mode is required.
3. Per KDB 248227 D01v02r02, choose the highest output power channel to test SAR and determine further SAR exclusion.
4. Per KDB 248227 D01v02r02, In the 2.4 GHz band, separate SAR procedures are applied to DSSS and OFDM configurations to simplify DSSS test requirements.SAR is not required for the following 2.4 GHz OFDM conditions:
   1) When KDB Publication 447498 SAR test exclusion applies to the OFDM configuration.
   2) When the highest reported SAR for DSSS is adjusted by the ratio of OFDM to DSSS specified maximum output power and the adjusted SAR is ≤ 1.2 W/kg.

5. The output power of all data rate were pre-scan, just the worst case (the lowest data rate) of all mode were shown in report.
6. Per KDB 248227 D01V02r02 section 2.2, when the EUT in continuously transmitting mode, the actual duty cycle is 89.45%, so the duty cycle factor is 1.118.

➢ **WLAN 5GHz Band Conducted Power**

	Mode	Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Average power (dBm)	Tune-Up Limit	Duty Cycle %
5.2GHz WLAN	802.11a 6Mbps	CH 36	5180	7.59	8.0	90.83
		CH 40	5200	7.42	8.0	
		CH 48	5240	7.25	8.0	
	802.11n-HT20 MCS0	CH 36	5180	7.74	8.0	90.41
		CH 40	5200	7.47	8.0	
		CH 48	5240	7.27	8.0	
	802.11n-HT40 MCS0	CH 38	5190	2.33	3.0	80.97
		CH 46	5230	1.77	3.0	

Note:

1. Per KDB 447498 D01v06, the 1-g SAR test exclusion thresholds for 100 MHz to 6 GHz at *test separation distances* ≤50 mm are determined by:

   [(*max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW*)/ (*min. test separation distance, mm*)] · [√f(GHz)] ≤ 3.0 for1-g SAR, where
   - f(GHz) is the RF channel transmit frequency in GHz
   - Power and distance are rounded to the nearest mW and mm before calculation
   - The result is rounded to one decimal place for comparison

Channel	Frequency (GHz)	Max. Tune-up Power (dBm)	Max. Power (mW)	Test distance (mm)	Result	exclusion thresholds for 1-g SAR
36	5.180	8.0	6.0	5	2.73	3.0

2. Per KDB 248227 D01v02r02, choose the highest output power channel to test SAR and determine further SAR exclusion.
3. The output power of all data rate were pre-scan, just the worst case (the lowest data rate) of all of modes were shown in report.
4. Per KDB 248227 D01V02r02 section 2.2, when the EUT in continuously transmitting mode, the actual duty cycle is 90.41%, so the duty cycle factor is 1.106.

➢ **Bluetooth Conducted Power**

Mode	Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Average power (dBm)
			GFSK
LE	CH 00	2402	5.84
	CH 19	2440	6.20
	CH 39	2480	6.90
Tune-up Limit			7.5

Note:

1. Per KDB 447498 D01v06, the 1-g SAR test exclusion thresholds for 100 MHz to 6 GHz at *test separation distances* ≤50 mm are determined by:

   [(*max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW*)/ (*min. test separation distance, mm*)] · [√f(GHz)] ≤ 3.0 for1-g SAR, where
   - f(GHz) is the RF channel transmit frequency in GHz
   - Power and distance are rounded to the nearest mW and mm before calculation
   - The result is rounded to one decimal place for comparison

Channel	Frequency (GHz)	Max. Tune-up Power (dBm)	Max. Power (mW)	Test distance (mm)	Result	exclusion thresholds for 1-g SAR
39	2.480	7.5	6.0	5	1.89	3.0

2. Per KDB 248227 D01v02r02, choose the highest output power channel to test SAR and determine further SAR exclusion.
3. The output power of all data rate were pre-scan, just the worst case (the lowest data rate) of all mode were shown in report.
4. The Bluetooth SAR measurement is not required for the exclusion thresholds for 1-g SAR is less than 3.0

12. Exposure Positions Consideration

➢ **EUT Antenna Locations**



Fig.12.1 EUT Antenna Locations

Note:

1. EUT size: 74mm×74mm×74mm
2. The hotspot and limbs-worn mode SAR assessments are required.
3. Per KDB 447498 D01v06, When SAR evaluation is required, the body is evaluated with the front of the device positioned at 5mm from a flat phantom filled with head tissue-equivalent medium. SAR for limbs-worn exposure is evaluated with the back of the devices positioned in direct contact against a flat phantom fill with head tissue-equivalent medium.

13. SAR Test Results Summary

➢ **Body SAR Data**

Plot No.	Band/Mode	Test Position	Gap.	CH.	Ave. Power (dBm)	Tune-Up Limit (dBm)	Tune-Up Scaling Factor	Meas. SAR_{1g} (W/kg)	Reported SAR_{1g} (W/kg)
1#	2.4GHz/802.11b	Front	5mm	13	13.72	14.5	1.197	0.08	**0.107**
	2.4GHz/802.11b	Top	5mm	13	13.72	14.5	1.197	0.002	0.003
2#	5.2GHz/802.11n20	Front	5mm	36	7.74	8.0	1.062	0.435	**0.511**
	5.2GHz/802.11n20	Top	5mm	36	7.74	8.0	1.062	0.011	0.013

Note:

1. Per KDB 447498 D01v06, for each exposure position, if the highest output power channel Reported SAR ≤0.8W/kg, other channels SAR testing is not necessary.
2. Per KDB 447498 D01v06, the front side exposure requires 1-g SAR, and the limbs-worn condition requires 10-g extremity SAR.
3. Per KDB248227 D01v02r02, OFDM SAR is not required when the highest reported SAR for DSSS is adjusted by the ratio of OFDM to DSSS specified maximum output power and the adjusted SAR is ⩽ 1.2 W/kg.

➢ **Limbs-worn SAR Data**

Plot No.	Band/Mode	Test Position	Gap.	CH.	Ave. Power (dBm)	Tune-Up Limit (dBm)	Tune-Up Scaling Factor	Meas. SAR_{10g} (W/kg)	Reported SAR_{10g} (W/kg)
3#	2.4GHz/802.11b	Front	0mm	13	13.72	14.5	1.197	0.117	**0.157**
	2.4GHz/802.11b	Top	0mm	13	13.72	14.5	1.197	0.001	0.001
4#	5.2GHz/802.11n20	Front	0mm	36	7.74	8.0	1.062	0.444	**0.521**
	5.2GHz/802.11n20	Top	0mm	36	7.74	8.0	1.062	0.005	0.006

Note:

1. Per KDB 447498 D01v06, for each exposure position, if the highest output channel Reported SAR ≤2.0W/kg, other channels SAR testing is not necessary.
2. Per KDB 447498 D01v06, When SAR evaluation is required, SAR for limbs-worn exposure is evaluated with the back of the devices positioned in direct contact against a flat phantom fill with head tissue-equivalent medium.
3. Per KDB 447498 D01v06, body exposure requires 1-g SAR, and the limbs-worn condition requires 10-g extremity SAR.

➢ **Bluetooth SAR Assessment**

The Bluetooth SAR measurement is not required in this report, per FCC KDB 447498 D01v06 4.3.2), the following equation must be used to estimate the standalone 1-g SAR.

$$\text{Estimated 1-g SAR} = \frac{\sqrt{f(GHz)}}{7.5} \cdot \frac{\text{Max. power of channel, mW}}{\text{Min. Separation Distance, mm}}$$

Mode	Max. Tune-up Power (dBm)	Exposure Position	Body
		Test Distance (mm)	5
Bluetooth	7.5	Estimated SAR (W/kg)	0.252

$$\text{Estimated 10-g SAR} = \frac{\sqrt{f(GHz)}}{18.75} \cdot \frac{\text{Max. power of channel, mW}}{\text{Min. Separation Distance, mm}}$$

Mode	Max. Tune-up Power (dBm)	Exposure Position	Body
		Test Distance (mm)	0
Bluetooth	7.5	Estimated SAR (W/kg)	0.101

14. SAR Simultaneous Transmission Analysis

According to the network signal conditions that both the WLAN and Bluetooth transmitter share the same antenna, therefore it will not transmit simultaneously for this device.

15. Measurement Uncertainty

The component of uncertainly may generally be categorized according to the methods used to evaluate them. The evaluation of uncertainly by the statistical analysis of a series of observations is termed a Type A evaluation of uncertainty. The evaluation of uncertainty by means other than the statistical analysis of a series of observation is termed a Type B evaluation of uncertainty. Each component of uncertainty, however evaluated, is represented by an estimated standard deviation, termed standard uncertainty, which is determined by the positive square root of the estimated variance.

A Type A evaluation of standard uncertainty may be based on any valid statistical method for treating data. This includes calculating the standard deviation of the mean of a series of independent observations; using the method of least squares to fit a curve to the data in order to estimate the parameter of the curve and their standard deviations; or carrying out an analysis of variance in order to identify and quantify random effects in certain kinds of measurement.

A Type B evaluation of standard uncertainty is typically based on scientific judgment using all of the relevant information available. These may include previous measurement data, experience, and knowledge of the behavior and properties of relevant materials and instruments, manufacture's specification, data provided in calibration reports and uncertainties assigned to reference data taken from handbooks. Broadly speaking, the uncertainty is either obtained from an outdoor source or obtained from an assumed distribution, such as the normal distribution, rectangular or triangular distributions indicated in below Table.

Uncertainty Distributions	Normal	Rectangular	Triangular	U-Shape
Multi-plying Factor	1/k(b)	$1/\sqrt{3}$	$1/\sqrt{6}$	$1/\sqrt{2}$

Standard Uncertainty for Assumed Distribution

The combined standard uncertainty of the measurement result represents the estimated standard deviation of the result. It is obtained by combining the individual standard uncertainties of both Type A and Type B evaluation using the usual "root-sum-squares" (RSS) methods of combining standard deviations by taking the positive square root of the estimated variances.

Expanded uncertainty is a measure of uncertainty that defines an interval about the measurement result within which the measured value is confidently believed to lie. It is obtained by multiplying the combined standard uncertainty by a coverage factor. Typically, the coverage factor ranges from 2 to 3. Using a coverage factor allows the true value of a measured quantity to be specified with a defined probability within the specified uncertainty range. For purpose of this document, a coverage factor two is used, which corresponds to confidence interval of about 95%.

Uncertainty Evaluation For Handset SAR Test

a	b	c	d	e= f(d,k)	f	g	h= c*f/e	i= c*g/e	j
Uncertainty Component	Sec.	Tol (+- %)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	Ci (1g)	Ci (10g)	1g Ui (+-%)	10g Ui (+-%)	Vi
Measurement System									
Probe calibration	E.2.1	5.83	N	1	1	1	5.83	5.83	∞
Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	3.5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.02	2.02	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	E.2.2	5.9	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	3.41	3.41	∞
Boundary effect	E.2.3	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.58	∞
Linearity	E.2.4	4.7	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.71	2.71	∞
System detection limits	E.2.5	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.58	∞
Modulation Response	E.2.4	4.1	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.4	2.4	∞
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	0.5	N	1	1	1	0.5	0.5	∞
Reponse Time	E.2.7	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	3.0	3.0	∞
Integration Time	E.2.8	1.4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.81	0.81	∞
RF ambient Conditions	E.6.1	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.73	1.73	∞
Probe positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E.6.2	1.4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.81	0.81	∞
Probepositioning with respect to Phantom Shell	E.6.3	1.4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.81	0.81	∞
Extrapolation, interpolation and integration Algoritms for Max. SAR Evaluation	E.5.2	2.3	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.33	1.33	∞
Test sample Related									
Test sample positioning	E.4.2.1	2.6	N	1	1	1	2.6	2.6	N-1
Device Holder Uncertainty	E.4.1.1	3.0	N	1	1	1	3.0	3.0	N-1
Output power Power drift - SAR drift measurement	6.6.2	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.89	2.89	∞
Phantom and Tissue Parameters									
Phantom Uncertainty (Shape and thickness tolerances)	E.3.1	4.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.31	2.31	∞
Liquid conductivity - deviation from target value	E.3.2	2.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	0.43	1.69	1.13	∞
Liquid conductivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	2.5	N	1	0.64	0.43	3.20	2.15	M
Liquid permittivity - deviation from target value	E.3.2	2.5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	0.49	1.28	1.04	∞
Liquid permittivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	5.0	N	1	0.6	0.49	6.00	4.90	M
Liquid conductivity –temperature uncertainty	E.3.4		R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.78	0.41			∞

Liquid permittivity –temperature uncertainty	E.3.4		R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.23	0.26			∞
Combined Standard Uncertainty			RSS				11.55	12.07	
Expanded Uncertainty (95% Confidence interval)			K=2				±23.20	±24.17	

Uncertainty For System Performance Check

a	b	c	d	e= f(d,k)	f	g	h= c*f/e	i= c*g/e	k
Uncertainty Component	Sec.	Tol (+- %)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	Ci (1g)	Ci (10g)	1g Ui (+-%)	10g Ui (+-%)	Vi
Measurement System									
Probe calibration	E.2.1	4.76	N	1	1	1	4.76	4.76	∞
Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	2.5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.44	1.41	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	E.2.2	4.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.31	2.32	∞
Boundary effect	E.2.3	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.58	∞
Linearity	E.2.4	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.89	2.89	∞
System detection limits	E.2.5	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.58	∞
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	0.02	N	1	1	1	0.02	0.02	∞
Reponse Time	E.2.7	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.73	1.73	∞
Integration Time	E.2.8	2.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.15	1.15	∞
RF ambient Conditions	E.6.1	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.73	1.73	∞
Probe positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E.6.2	2.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.15	1.15	∞
Probe positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	E.6.3	0.05	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.03	0.03	∞
Extrapolation, interpolation and integration Algoritms for Max. SAR Evaluation	E.5.2	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.89	2.89	∞
Dipole									
Dipole axis to liquid Distance	8,E.4.2	1.00	N	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.58	∞
Input power and SAR drift measurement	8,6.6.2	4.04	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.33	2.33	∞
Phantom and Tissue Parameters									
Phantom Uncertainty (Shape and thickness tolerances)	E.3.1	0.05	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.03	0.03	∞
Liquid conductivity - deviation from target value	E.3.2	4.57	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	0.43	1.69	1.13	∞

Liquid conductivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	5.00	N	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	0.43	1.85	1.24	M
Liquid permittivity - deviation from target value	E.3.2	3.69	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	0.49	1.28	1.04	∞
Liquid permittivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	10.00	N	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	0.49	3.46	2.83	M
Combined Standard Uncertainty			RSS				8.83	8.37	
Expanded Uncertainty (95% Confidence interval)			K=2				17.66	16.73	

16. Measurement Conclusion

The SAR evaluation indicates that the EUT complies with the RF radiation exposure limits of FCC, with respect to all parameters subject to this test. These measurements were taken to simulate the RF effects of RF exposure under worst-case conditions. Precise laboratory measures were taken to assure repeatability of the tests. The results and statements relate only to the item(s) tested. Please note that the absorption and distribution of electromagnetic energy in the body are very complex phenomena that depend on the mass, shape, and size of the body, the orientation of the body with respect to the field vectors, and the electrical properties of both the body and the environment. Other variables that may play a substantial role in possible biological effects are those that characterize the environment (e.g. ambient temperature, air velocity, relative humidity, and body insulation) and those that characterize the individual (e.g. age, gender, activity level, debilitation, or disease). Because various factors may interact with one another to vary the specific biological outcome of an exposure to electromagnetic fields, any protection guide should consider maximal amplification of biological effects as a result of field-body interactions, environmental conditions, and physiological variables.

Annex A General Information

1. Identification of the Responsible Testing Laboratory

Laboratory Name:	Shenzhen Morlab Communications Technology Co., Ltd.Morlab Laboratory
Laboratory Address:	FL.3, Building A, FeiYang Science Park, No.8 LongChang Road, Block 67, BaoAn District, ShenZhen, GuangDong Province, P. R. China
Telephone:	+86 755 36698555
Facsimile:	+86 755 36698525

2. Identification of the Responsible Testing Location

Name:	Shenzhen Morlab Communications Technology Co., Ltd. Morlab Laboratory
Address:	FL.3, Building A, FeiYang Science Park, No.8 LongChang Road, Block 67, BaoAn District, ShenZhen, GuangDong Province, P. R. China

3. Facilities and Accreditations

The FCC designation number is CN1192, the test firm registration number is 226174.

Note:

The main report is end here and the other Annex (B,C,D,E) will be submitted separately.

****** END OF MAIN REPORT ******