



+82-31-563-9661 SAMSUNG
ELECTRONICS Co., Ltd.,
Regulatory Compliance Group
IT R&D Center

416, Maetan-3dong,
Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-si,
Gyeonggi-do, Korea 443-742

TEST REPORT ON SAR

Model Tested:	SCH-B619
FCC ID (Requested):	A3LSCHB619
Job No:	FG-211
Report No:	FG-211-S1
Date issued:	Aug.05, 2009

- Abstract -

This document reports on SAR Tests carried out in accordance with FCC/OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C(July 2001).

Prepared By

BH JEON - Test Engineer

Authorized By

JD JANG - Technical Manager



Contents

1. GENERAL INFORMATION	3
2. DESCRIPTION OF DEVICE.....	3
3. DESCRIPTION OF TEST EQUIPMENT	4
3.1 SAR Measurement Setup	4
3.2 E-field Probe	6
3.3 Phantom	8
3.4 Brain & Muscle Simulating Mixture Characterization	9
3.5 Device Holder for Transmitters	10
3.6 Validation Dipole	10
3.7 Equipment Calibration	11
4. SAR MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE	12
5. DESCRIPTION OF TEST POSITION	13
5.1 SAM Phantom Shape.....	13
5.2 Cheek/Touch Position.....	13
5.3 EAR/Tilt 15° Position	15
5.4 Body Holster/Belt Clip Configurations	16
6. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	18
7. SYSTEM VERIFICATION.....	19
7.1 Tissue Verification	19
7.2 Test System Validation	19
8. SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS.....	20
8.1 Measurement Results(CDMA Right Head SAR - Touch)	23
8.2 Measurement Results(CDMA Right Head SAR - Tilt)	24
8.3 Measurement Results(CDMA Left Head SAR - Touch)	25
8.4 Measurement Results(CDMA Left Head SAR - Tilt).....	26
8.5 Measurement Results(CDMA Body SAR without Holster)	27
9. CONCLUSION.....	28
10. REFERENCES	29



1. GENERAL INFORMATION

Test Sample : Single-Mode Cellular Phone
Model Number : SCH-B619
Serial Number : Identical prototype (S/N : # FG-211-B)

Manufacturer : SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS Co., Ltd.
Address : 416 Maetan3-Dong, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon City
Gyeonggi-Do, Korea 443-742

Test Standard : §2.1093; FCC/OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C(July 2001)
FCC Classification : Licensed Non-Broadcast Transmitter Held to Ear (TNE)
Test Dates : Jul.22, 2009
Tested for : FCC/TCB Certification

2. DESCRIPTION OF DEVICE

Tx Freq. Range : 824.70 ~ 848.31 MHz (CDMA)
Rx Freq. Range : 869.70 ~ 893.31 MHz (CDMA)
Antenna Manufacturer : Furukawa
Model No.: SCH-B619

Antenna Dimensions : 37.91 X 16.63 X 7.38 (mm)
CDMA 2000 1x Support

3. DESCRIPTION OF TEST EQUIPMENT

3.1 SAR Measurement Setup

Robotic System

Measurements are performed using the DASY4 automated dosimetric assessment system. Which is made by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG) in Zurich, Switzerland and consists of high precision robotics system (Stäubli), robot controller, measurement server, Samsung computer, near-field probe, probe alignment sensor, and the SAM twin phantom containing the brain equivalent material. The robot is a six-axis industrial robot performing precise movements to position the probe to the location (points) of maximum electromagnetic field (EMF) (see Fig. 3.1).




Figure 3.1 SAR Measurement System Setup

System Hardware

A cell controller system contains the power supply, robot controller, teach pendant (Joystick), and a remote control is used to drive the robot motors. The PC consists of the Samsung computer with Windows XP system and SAR Measurement Software DASY4, LCD monitor, mouse and keyboard. The Stäubli Robot is connected to the cell controller to allow software manipulation of the robot. A



data acquisition electronic (DAE) circuit that performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. is connected to the Electro-optical coupler (EOC). The EOC performs the conversion from the optical into digital electric signal of the DAE and transfers data to the measurement server

System Electronics

The DAE4(or DAE3) consists of a highly sensitive electrometer-grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16-bit AD-converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Transmission to the measurement server is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information and an optical uplink for commands and clock lines. The mechanical probe mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sidewise probe contacts. They are also used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection. The robot uses its own controller with a built in VME-bus computer.

3.2 E-field Probe



The SAR measurement were conducted with the dosimetric probe ES3DV3, designed in the classical triangular configuration (see Fig.3.3) and optimized for dosimetric evaluation. The probe is constructed using the thick film technique; with printed resistive lines on ceramic substrates. If the probe is flatly touching the surface, the coupling is zero. The distance of the coupling maximum to the surface is independent of the surface reflectivity and largely independent of the surface to probe angle. The DASY4 software reads the reflection during a software approach and looks for the maximum using a 2nd order fitting (see Fig.3.2). The approach is stopped at reaching the maximum.

Figure 3.2 DAE System

Probe Specifications

Construction	Symmetrical design with triangular core Interleaved sensors Built-in shielding against static charges PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g., DGBE)
Calibration	Basic Broad Band Calibration in air: 10-3000 MHz Conversion Factors (CF) for HSL 900 and HSL 1800 Additional CF for other liquids and frequencies upon request
Frequency	10 MHz to > 6 GHz; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 3 GHz)
Directivity	± 0.2 dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis) ± 0.3 dB in tissue material (rotation normal to probe axis)



Dynamic Range $5\mu\text{W/g}$ to $> 100\text{mW/g}$; Linearity: $\pm 0.2\text{dB}$

Dimensions Overall length: 330 mm (Tip: 20 mm)
Tip diameter: 3.9 mm (Body: 12 mm)
Distance from probe tip to dipole centers:
2.1 mm

Application General dosimetry up to 5 GHz
Dosimetry in strong gradient fields
Compliance tests of mobile phones




Figure 3.3 Triangular Probe Configuration



Figure 3.4 Probe Thick-Film Technique

3.3 Phantom

SAM Twin Phantom

The SAM Twin Phantom V4.0 is constructed of a fiberglass shell integrated in a wooden table. The shape of the shell is based on data from an anatomical study designed to determine the maximum exposure in at least 90% of all users. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right hand phone usage as well as body mounted usage at the flat phantom region. A cover prevents the evaporation of the liquid.

Reference markings on the phantom allow the complete setup of all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids by manually teaching three points in the robot. (See Figure 3.5)



Figure 3.5 SAM Twin Phantom

SAM Twin Phantom Specification

Construction	The shell corresponds to the specifications of the Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM) phantom defined in IEEE 1528-2003, CENELEC 50361 and IEC 62209-1. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right hand phone usage as well as body mounted usage at the flat phantom region. A cover prevents evaporation of the liquid.
Shell Thickness	2 ± 0.2 mm
Filling Volume	Approx. 25 liters
Dimensions	Height: 810 mm; Length: 1000 mm; Width: 500 mm

Modular Flat Phantom

The Modular Flat Phantom V5.1 is constructed of a fiberglass shell integrated in a wooden table. Also It consists of three identical flat phantoms (modules) which can be installed and removed separately without emptying the liquid, as well as a wooden support.. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of body mounted usage at the flat phantom region. A cover prevents the evaporation of the liquid.

Reference markings on the phantom allow the complete setup of all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids by manually teaching three points in the robot. (See Figure 3.6)



Figure 3.6 Modular Flat Phantom

Modular Flat Phantom Specification

Construction	The shell corresponds to the specifications of IEEE 1528-2003. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of body mounted usage above 800 MHz at the flat phantom region. A cover prevents evaporation of the liquid.
Shell Thickness	2 ± 0.2 mm
Filling Volume	Approx. 10 liters
Dimension	Wooden support - Height: 810 mm; Length: 830 mm; Width: 500 mm Each Module - Height: 190 mm; Length: 200 mm; width: 300 mm

3.4 Brain & Muscle Simulating Mixture Characterization

The brain and muscle mixtures consist of a viscous gel using hydroxethylcellulose (HEC) gelling agent and saline solution (see Table 3.1). Preservation with a bactericide is added and visual inspection is made to make sure air bubbles are not trapped during the mixing process. The mixture is calibrated to obtain proper dielectric constant (permittivity) and conductivity of the desired tissue. The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by the IEEE SCC-34/SC-2 have been incorporated in P1528 are derived from the tissue dielectric parameters computed from the 4-Cole-Cole equations.





Figure 3.7 Simulated Tissue

Table 3.1 Composition of the Brain & Muscle Tissue Equivalent Matter

INGREDIENTS	835MHz Brain	835MHz Muscle
WATER	40.29%	50.75%
SUGAR	57.90%	48.21%
SALT	1.38%	0.94%
DGBE	-	-
BACTERIACIDE	0.18%	0.10%
HEC	0.24%	-
Dielectric Constant Target	41.50	55.20
Conductivity Target (S/m)	0.900	0.970

3.5 Device Holder for Transmitters

In combination with the Twin SAM Phantom V4.0, the Mounting Device (see Fig. 3.7) enables the rotation of the mounted transmitter in spherical coordinates whereby the rotation points is the ear



opening. The devices can be easily, accurately and repeatedly be positioned according to the FCC specifications. The device holder can be locked at different phantom locations (left head, right head, flat phantom).

*Note: A simulating human hand is not used due to the complex anatomical and geometrical structure of the hand that may produced infinite number of configuration. To produce worst-case condition (the hand absorbs antenna output power), the hand is omitted during the tests.

Figure 3.8 Device Holder

3.6 Validation Dipole

The reference dipole should have a return loss better than -20 dB (measured in the setup) at the resonant frequency to reduce the uncertainty in the power measurement.

Frequency	835 MHz
Return Loss	< -20 dB at specified validation position
Dimensions	D835V2: dipole length: 161 mm; overall height: 330 mm



3.7 Equipment Calibration

Table 3.2 Test Equipment Calibration

Type	Calibration Due Date	Serial No.
SPEAG DAE4 V1	Apr.01, 2010	486
SPEAG E-Field Probe ES3DV3	Jan.20, 2010	3080
SPEAG Validation Dipole D835V2	Mar.16, 2011	4d050
Stäubli Robot RX90BL	Not Required	F02/5R79A1/A/01
SPEAG SAM Twin Phantom V4.0	Not Required	TP-1247
SPEAG Modular Phantom	Not Required	MP-1003
E4421B Signal Generator	Oct.23, 2009	MY41000654
BBS3Q7ECK Power Amp	Dec.09, 2009	1024
HP-8753ES Network Analyzer	Apr.22, 2010	US39173712
HP85070C Dielectric Probe Kit	Not Required	US99360087
NRVD Power Meter	Mar.04, 2010	836416/030
NRV-Z55 Power Sensor	Mar.04, 2010	834558/012
NRV-Z55 Power Sensor	Mar.04, 2010	834558/014
E4419B Power Meter	Dec.15, 2009	GB43312299
E9300B Power Sensor	May.08, 2010	MY41495872
DASY4 S/W (ver 4.7)	Not Required	-
Directional Coupler	May.22, 2010	18862
Base Station Simulator	Feb.02, 2010	GB43460148

NOTE:

The E-field probe was calibrated by SPEAG, by temperature measurement procedure. Dipole Validation measurement is performed by Samsung Lab. before each test. (see § 7.2) The brain simulating material is calibrated by Samsung using the dielectric probe system and network analyzer to determine the conductivity and permittivity (dielectric constant) of the brain-equivalent material. (see § 7.1)



4. SAR MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE

The evaluation was performed using the following procedure.

STEP 1

The SAR measurement was taken at a selected spatial reference point to monitor power variations during testing. This fixed location point was measured and used as a reference value.

STEP 2

The SAR distribution at the exposed side of the head was measured at a distance of 3.9mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covered the entire dimension of the head and the horizontal grid spacing was 20mm x 20mm. Based on the area scan data, the area of the maximum absorption was determined by spline interpolation.

STEP 3

Around this point, a volume of 32mm x 32mm x 30mm (fine resolution volume scan, zoom scan) was assessed by measuring 5 x 5 x 7 points. On this basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value was evaluated with the following procedure:

The data at the surface was extrapolated, since the center of the dipoles is 2.7mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 1.2mm. (This can be variable. Refer to the probe specification) The extrapolation was based on a least square algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order was calculated through the points in z-axes. This polynomial was then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip. The maximum interpolated value was searched with a straight-forward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1g or 10g) were computed using the 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-spline is composed of three one-dimensional splines with the "Not a knot" condition (in x, y, and z directions). The volume was integrated with the trapezoidal algorithm. One thousand points (10 x 10 x 10) were interpolated to calculate the average. All neighboring volumes were evaluated until no neighboring volume with a higher average value was found.

STEP 4

The SAR value at the same location as in step 1 was again measured. (If the value changed by more than 5%, the evaluation is repeated.)

5. DESCRIPTION OF TEST POSITION

5.1 SAM Phantom Shape

Figure 5.1 shows the front, back and side views of SAM. The point “M” is the reference point for the center of mouth, “LE” is the left ear reference point (ERP), and “RE” is the right ERP. The ERPs are 15 mm posterior to the entrance to ear canal (EEC) along the B-M line (Back-Mouth), as shown in Figure 5.2.



Figure 5.1 Front, back and side view of SAM

The plane passing through the two ear canals and M is defined as the Reference Plane. The line N-F (Neck-Front) perpendicular to the reference plane and passing through the RE (or LE) is called the Reference Pivoting Line (see Figure 5.3). Line B-M is perpendicular to the N-F line. Both N-F and B-M lines should be marked on the external phantom shell to facilitate handset positioning. Posterior to the N-F line, the thickness of the phantom shell with the shape of an ear is a flat surface 6 mm thick at the ERPs.




Figure 5.2 Close up side view

5.2 Cheek/Touch Position

Two imaginary lines on the handset were established: the vertical centerline and the horizontal line. The test device was placed in a normal operating position with the “test device reference point” located along the “vertical centerline” on the front of the device aligned to the “ear reference point” (see Fig. 5.4). The “test device reference point” was then located at the same level as the center of

the ear reference point. The test device was positioned so that the “vertical centerline” was bisecting the front surface of the handset at its tip and bottom edges, positioning the “ear reference point” on the outer surface of the both the left and right head phantoms on the ear reference point




Figure 5.4 Handset vertical and horizontal reference lines





Figure 5.3 Side view of the phantom showing relevant markings



Step 1

The test device was positioned with the handset close to the surface of the phantom such that point A is on the (virtual) extension of the line passing through points RE and LE on the phantom (see Figure 5.5), such that the plane defined by the vertical center line and the horizontal line of the phone is approximately parallel to the sagittal plane of the phantom




Figure 5.5 Front, Side and Top View of Cheek/Touch Position

Step 2

The handset was translated towards the phantom along the line passing through RE & LE until the handset touches the ear.

Step 3



While maintaining the handset in this plane, the handset was rotated around the LE-RE line until the vertical centerline was in the plane normal to MB-NF including the line MB (reference plane).

Step 4

Rotate the handset around the vertical centerline until the phone (horizontal line) was symmetrical was respect to the line NF.

Step 5

While maintaining the vertical centerline in the reference plane, keeping point A on the line passing through RE and LE, and maintaining the phone contact with the ear, the handset was rotated about the line NF until any point on the handset made contact with a phantom point below the ear (cheek). See Figure 5.2.

5.3 EAR/Tilt 15° Position

With the test device aligned in the “Cheek/Touch Position”:

Step 1

Repeat steps 1 to 5 of 5.2 to place the device in the “Cheek/Touch Position”




Figure 5.6 Front, side and Top View of Ear/Tilt 15° Position

Step 2

While maintaining the orientation of the phone, the phone was retracted parallel to the reference plane far enough to enable a rotation of the phone by 15 degree.

Step 3

The phone was then rotated around the horizontal line by 15 degree.

Step 4

While maintaining the orientation of the phone, the phone was moved parallel to the reference plane until any part of the phone touches the head. (In this position, point A was located on the line RE-LE). The tilted position is obtained when the contact is on the pinna. If the contact was at any location other than the pinna, the angle of the phone would then be reduced. The tilted position was obtained when any part of the phone was in contact of the ear as well as a second part of the phone was in contact with the head.

5.4 Body Holster/Belt Clip Configurations

Body-worn operating configurations are tested with the belt-clips and holsters attached to the device and positioned against a flat phantom in a normal use configuration (see Figure 5.7). A device with a headset output is tested with a headset connected to the device. Body dielectric parameters are used.



Figure 5.7 Body Belt Clip and Holster Configurations

Accessories for Body-worn operation configurations are divided into two categories: those that do not contain metallic components and those that contain metallic components. When multiple accessories that do not contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device is tested with only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body. Then multiple accessories that contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device is tested with each accessory that contains unique metallic component. If multiple accessory share an identical metallic component (i.e. the same metallic belt-clip used with different holsters with no other metallic



components) only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body is tested.

Body-worn accessories may not always be supplied or available as options for some Devices intended to be authorized for body-worn use. In this case, a test configuration where a separation distance between the back of the device and the flat phantom is used.

Transmitters that are designed to operate in front of a person's face, as in push-to-talk configurations, are tested for SAR compliance with the front of the device positioned to face the flat phantom. For devices that are carried next to the body such as a shoulder, waist or chest-worn transmitters, SAR compliance is tested with the accessory(ies), including headsets and microphones, attached to the device and positioned against a flat phantom in a normal use configuration.

In all cases SAR measurements are performed to investigate the worst-case positioning. Worst-case positioning is then documented and used to perform Body SAR testing.

In order for users to be aware of the body-worn operating requirements for meeting RF exposure compliance, operating instructions and cautions statements must be included in the user's manual.



6. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

Table 6.1 Uncertainty Budget at 835MHz (June 2009)

Error Description	Uncertainty Value (±%)	Probability Distribution	Divisor	c _i	Standard uncertainty (±%)	v _i ² or v _{eff}
Measurement System						
Probe Calibration	11.00	normal	2.000	1	5.50	∞
Axial Isotropy	4.70	rectangular	1.732	0.7	1.90	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	9.60	rectangular	1.732	0.7	3.88	∞
Linearity	4.70	rectangular	1.732	1	2.71	∞
System Detection Limits	0.25	rectangular	1.732	1	0.14	∞
Boundary effects	1.00	rectangular	1.732	1	0.58	∞
Readout electronics	0.30	normal	1.000	1	0.30	∞
Response time	0.80	rectangular	1.732	1	0.46	∞
RF ambient conditions	3.00	rectangular	1.732	1	1.73	∞
Integration time	1.73	rectangular	1.732	1	1.00	∞
Mechanical constrains of robot	1.50	rectangular	1.732	1	0.87	∞
Probe positioning	2.90	rectangular	1.732	1	1.67	∞
Extrapolation and integration	1.00	rectangular	1.732	1	0.58	∞
Test Sample Related						
Test Sample positioning	1.12	normal	1.000	1	1.12	14
Device holded uncertainty	3.44	normal	1.000	1	3.44	∞
Power Drift	5.00	rectangular	1.732	1	2.89	∞
Phantom and Setup						
Modular Phantom uncertainty	5.62	normal	1.000	1	5.62	2
Phantom uncertainty	4.00	rectangular	1.732	1	2.31	∞
Liquid conductivity (deviation from target)	5.00	rectangular	1.732	0.64	1.85	∞
Liquid conductivity (measurement error)	0.38	normal	1.000	0.64	0.24	∞
Liquid permittivity (deviation from target)	5.00	rectangular	1.732	0.6	1.73	∞
Liquid permittivity (measurement error)	5.44	normal	1.000	0.6	3.26	∞
Combined Standard Uncertainty		Normal	-	-	11.84	172776
Extended Standard Uncertainty(K=2.00)					23.69	172776

7. SYSTEM VERIFICATION

7.1 Tissue Verification

Table 7.1 MEASURED TISSUE PARAMETERS

	835MHz Brain		835MHz Muscle	
	Target	Measured	Target	Measured
Date	-	Jul22, 2009	-	Jul22, 2009
Liquid Temperature(°C)	-	22.2	-	22.1
Dielectric Constant: ϵ'	41.5	41.0	55.2	55.0
Conductivity:	0.90	0.91	0.97	0.99

The measured value must be within $\pm 5\%$ of the target value.

7.2 Test System Validation

Prior to assessment, the system is verified to the $\pm 10\%$ of the specification at 835MHz by using the system validation kit(s). (see Appendix E, Graphic Plot Attached)

Table 7.2 System Validation Results

System Validation Kit	Tissue	Targeted SAR_{1g} (mW/g)	Measured SAR_{1g} (mW/g)	Deviation (%)	Date	Liquid Temperature(°C)	Ambient Temperature(°C)
4d050	835MHz Brain	9.49	9.4	-0.95	Jul.22, 2009	22.1	22.3

*Validation was measured with input power 250 mW and normalized to 1W.




Figure 7.1 Dipole Validation Test Setup



8. SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS

Procedures Used To Establish Test Signal

The handset was placed into a simulated call using a base station simulator in a shielded chamber. Such test signals offer a consistent means for testing SAR and are recommended for evaluating SAR. SAR measurements were taken with a fully charged battery. In order to verify that the device was tested and maintained at full power, this was configured with the base station simulator. The SAR measurement software calculates a reference point at the start and end of the test to check for power drifts. If conducted power deviations of more than 5% occurred, the tests were repeated.

SAR Measurement Conditions for CDMA2000 1x

These procedures were followed according to FCC "SAR Measurement Procedures for 3G Devices", Oct 2007.

Output Power Verification

See 3GPP2 C.S0011/TIA-98-E as recommended by "SAR Measurement Procedures for 3G Devices", Oct 2007.

Maximum output power is verified on the High, Middle and Low channels according to procedures defined in section 4.4.5.2 of 3GPP2 C.S0011/TIA-98-E. SO55 tests were measured with power control bits in "All Up" condition.

1. If the mobile station supports Reverse TCH RC 1 and Forward TCH RC 1, set up a call using Fundamental Channel Test Mode 1 (RC=1/1) with 9600 bps data rate only.
2. Under RC1, C.S0011 Table 4.4.5.2-1 (Table 8-1) parameters were applied.
3. If the MS supports the RC 3 Reverse FCH, RC3 Reverse SCH0 and demodulation of RC 3, 4, or 5, set up a call using Supplemental Channel Test Mode 3 (RC 3/3) with 9600 bps Fundamental Channel and 9600 bps SCH0 data rate.
4. Under RC3, C.S0011 Table 4.4.5.2-2 (Table 8-2) was applied.
5. FCHs were configured at full rate for maximum SAR with "All Up" power control bits.

Parameter	Units	Value
$\bar{I}_{or}$	dBm/1.23 MHz	-104
$\frac{Pilot E_c}{I_{or}}$	dB	-7
$\frac{Traffic E_c}{I_{or}}$	dB	-7.4

Table 8.1
Parameters for Max. Power for RC1

Parameter	Units	Value
$\bar{I}_{or}$	dBm/1.23 MHz	-86
$\frac{Pilot E_c}{I_{or}}$	dB	-7
$\frac{Traffic E_c}{I_{or}}$	dB	-7.4

Table 8-2
Parameters for Max. Power for RC3

Head SAR Measurement

SAR for head exposure configurations is measured in RC3 with the DUT configured to transmit at full rate using Loopback Service Option SO55. SAR for RC1 is not required when the maximum average output of each channel is less than ¼ dB higher than that measured in RC3. Otherwise, SAR is measured on the maximum output channel in RC1 using the exposure configuration that results in the highest SAR for that channel in RC3.

Body SAR Measurements

SAR for body exposure configurations is measured in RC3 with the DUT configured to transmit at full rate on FCH with all other code channels disabled using TDSO / SO32. SAR for multiple code



channels (FCH + SCHn) is not required when the maximum average output of each RF channel is less than ¼ dB higher than that measured with FCH only. Otherwise, SAR is measured on the maximum output channel (FCH + SCHn) with FCH at full rate and SCH0 enabled at 9600 bps using the exposure configuration that results in the highest SAR for that channel with FCH only. When multiple code channels are enabled, the DUT output may shift by more than 0.5 dB and lead to higher SAR drifts and SCH dropouts.

Body SAR in RC1 is not required when the maximum average output of each channel is less than ¼ dB higher than that measured in RC3. Otherwise, SAR is measured on the maximum output channel in RC1; with Loopback Service Option SO55, at full rate, using the body exposure configuration that results in the highest SAR for that channel in RC3.

Table 8-3 Max. Power Output Table for SCH-B619

Band	Channel	CDMA2000 RC	SO2 Loopback	SO55 Loopback
CDMA	1013	RC1	24.78	24.80
		RC3	24.71	24.81
	384	RC1	24.97	24.98
		RC3	24.93	24.92
	777	RC1	24.94	24.93
		RC3	24.80	24.93

*The EUT does not support TDSO/SO32 Mode.

Simultaneous Transmission

Refer to the FCC OET document, 'SAR Evaluation Considerations for Handsets with Multiple Transmitters and Antennas' (Feb 2008)

Table 8.4 Output Power Thresholds for Unlicensed Transmitters

	2.45	5.15 - 5.35	5.47 - 5.85	GHz
P Ref	12	6	5	mW
Device output power should be rounded to the nearest mW to compare with values specified in this table				



Table 8.5 Summary of SAR Evaluation Requirements for Cell phones with Multiple Transmitters

	Individual Transmitter	Simultaneous Transmission
Licensed Transmitters	<u>Routine evaluation required</u>	SAR not required: <u>Unlicensed only</u> o when stand-alone 1-g SAR is not required and antenna is > 5 cm from other antennas <u>Licensed & Unlicensed</u> o when the sum of the 1-g SAR is <1.6 W/kg for all simultaneous transmitting antennas o when SAR to antenna separation ratio of simultaneous transmitting antenna pair is < 0.3
Unlicensed Transmitters	When there is no simultaneous transmission – - o output < 60/f: SAR not required - o output ≥ 60/f: stand-alone SAR required When there is simultaneous transmission – <u>Stand-alone SAR not required when</u> - o output ≤ 2.P_{Ref} and antenna is > 5.0 cm from other antennas - o output ≤ P_{Ref} and antenna is > 2.5 cm from other antennas, each either output power output ≤ P_{Ref} or 1-g SAR < 1.2 W/Kg <u>Otherwise stand-alone SAR is required</u> When stand-alone SAR is required - o test SAR on highest output channel for each wireless mode and exposure condition - o if SAR for highest output channel is > 50% of SAR limit, evaluate all channels according to normal procedures	SAR required: <u>Licensed & Unlicensed</u> antenna pairs with SAR to antenna separation ratio ≥ 0.3; test is only required for the configuration that results in the highest SAR in standalone configuration for each wireless mode and exposure condition Note: simultaneous transmission exposure conditions for head and body can be different for different style phones; therefore, different test requirements may apply



8.1 Measurement Results(CDMA Right Head SAR - Touch)

Mixture Type : 835 MHz Brain

FREQUENCY		Modulation	Begin/End POWER*			Device Test Position	Antenna Position	SAR (W/kg)
MHz	Ch.		(dBm)		Battery			
824.70	1013	CDMA	24.84	24.83	Standard	Cheek/Touch	Intenna	0.729
836.52	384	CDMA	24.93	24.95	Standard	Cheek/Touch	Intenna	1.06
848.31	777	CDMA	24.91	24.92	Standard	Cheek/Touch	Intenna	0.995
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 2005- SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure / General Population						1.6W/kg (mW/g) averaged over 1 gram		

NOTES:

- The test data reported are the worst-case SAR value with the antenna-head position set in a typical configuration. Test procedures used are according to FCC/OET Bulletin 65, Supp.C [July 2001].
- All modes of operation were investigated, and the worst-case results are reported.
- Tissue parameters and temperatures are listed on the SAR plot.
- Liquid tissue depth is $15.2 \pm 0.2\text{cm}$
- Battery is fully charged for all readings.
- *Power Measured ☒ Conducted
- Battery Option ☒ Standard ☐ Extended ☐ Slim
- Phantom Configuration ☐ Left Head ☐ Flat Phantom ☒ Right Head
- SAR Configuration ☒ Head ☐ Body ☐ Hand
- Test Signal Call Mode ☐ Manu. Test Codes ☒ Base Station Simulator
- Head SAR was tested under RC3/SO55.



8.2 Measurement Results(CDMA Right Head SAR - Tilt)

Mixture Type : 835 MHz Brain

FREQUENCY		Modulation	Begin/End POWER*			Device Test Position	Antenna Position	SAR (W/kg)
MHz	Ch.		(dBm)		Battery			
836.52	384	CDMA	24.93	24.93	Standard	Ear/Tilt 15 °	Intenna	0.584
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 2005– SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure / General Population						1.6W/kg (mW/g) averaged over 1 gram		

NOTES:

- The test data reported are the worst-case SAR value with the antenna-head position set in a typical configuration. Test procedures used are according to FCC/OET Bulletin 65, Supp.C [July 2001].
- All modes of operation were investigated, and the worst-case results are reported.
- Tissue parameters and temperatures are listed on the SAR plot.
- Liquid tissue depth is $15.2 \pm 0.2\text{cm}$
- Battery is fully charged for all readings.
*Power Measured ☒ Conducted
- Battery Option ☒ Standard ☐ Extended ☐ Slim
- Phantom Configuration ☐ Left Head ☐ Flat Phantom ☒ Right Head
- SAR Configuration ☒ Head ☐ Body ☐ Hand
- Test Signal Call Mode ☐ Manu. Test Codes ☒ Base Station Simulator
- Head SAR was tested under RC3/SO55.
- Justification for reduced test configurations: Per FCC/OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C (July, 2001), if the SAR measured at the middle channel for each test configuration (left, right, cheek/touch, tilt/ear, extended and retracted) is least 3.0 dB lower than the SAR limit, testing at the high and low channels is optional for such test configuration(s).



8.3 Measurement Results(CDMA Left Head SAR - Touch)

Mixture Type : 835 MHz Brain

FREQUENCY		Modulation	Begin/End POWER*			Device Test Position	Antenna Position	SAR (W/kg)
MHz	Ch.		(dBm)		Battery			
824.70	1013	CDMA	24.84	24.87	Standard	Cheek/Touch	Intenna	0.655
836.52	384	CDMA	24.92	24..94	Standard	Cheek/Touch	Intenna	0.953
848.31	777	CDMA	24.93	24.93	Standard	Cheek/Touch	Intenna	1.05
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 2005– SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure / General Population						1.6W/kg (mW/g) averaged over 1 gram		

NOTES:

- The test data reported are the worst-case SAR value with the antenna-head position set in a typical configuration. Test procedures used are according to FCC/OET Bulletin 65, Supp.C [July 2001].
- All modes of operation were investigated, and the worst-case results are reported.
- Tissue parameters and temperatures are listed on the SAR plot.
- Liquid tissue depth is $15.2 \pm 0.2\text{cm}$
- Battery is fully charged for all readings.
*Power Measured ☒ Conducted
- Battery Option ☒ Standard ☐ Extended ☐ Slim
- Phantom Configuration ☒ Left Head ☐ Flat Phantom ☐ Right Head
- SAR Configuration ☒ Head ☐ Body ☐ Hand
- Test Signal Call Mode ☐ Manu. Test Codes ☒ Base Station Simulator
- Head SAR was tested under RC3/SO55.



8.4 Measurement Results(CDMA Left Head SAR - Tilt)

Mixture Type : 835 MHz Brain

FREQUENCY		Modulation	Begin/End POWER*			Device Test Position	Antenna Position	SAR (W/kg)
MHz	Ch.		(dBm)		Battery			
836.52	384	CDMA	24.92	24.93	Standard	Ear/Tilt 15 °	Intenna	0.581
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 2005– SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure / General Population						1.6W/kg (mW/g) averaged over 1 gram		

NOTES:

- The test data reported are the worst-case SAR value with the antenna-head position set in a typical configuration. Test procedures used are according to FCC/OET Bulletin 65, Supp.C [July 2001].
- All modes of operation were investigated, and the worst-case results are reported.
- Tissue parameters and temperatures are listed on the SAR plot.
- Liquid tissue depth is 15.2 ± 0.2 cm
- Battery is fully charged for all readings.
*Power Measured ☒ Conducted
- Battery Option ☒ Standard ☐ Extended ☐ Slim
- Phantom Configuration ☒ Left Head ☐ Flat Phantom ☐ Right Head
- SAR Configuration ☒ Head ☐ Body ☐ Hand
- Test Signal Call Mode ☐ Manu. Test Codes ☒ Base Station Simulator
- Head SAR was tested under RC3/SO55.
- Justification for reduced test configurations: Per FCC/OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C (July, 2001), if the SAR measured at the middle channel for each test configuration (left, right, cheek/touch, tilt/ear, extended and retracted) is least 3.0 dB lower than the SAR limit, testing at the high and low channels is optional for such test configuration(s).



8.5 Measurement Results(CDMA Body SAR without Holster)

Mixture Type : 835 MHz Muscle

FREQUENCY		Modulation	Begin/End POWER*			Device Test Position	Antenna Position	SAR (W/kg)
MHz	Ch.		(dBm)		Battery			
824.70	1013	CDMA	24.93	24.92	Standard	1.5 cm [w/o Holster]	Intenna	0.703
836.52	384	CDMA	24.92	24.93	Standard	1.5 cm [w/o Holster]	Intenna	0.996
848.31	777	CDMA	24.95	24.96	Standard	1.5 cm [w/o Holster]	Intenna	1.06
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 2005– SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure / General Population						1.6W/kg (mW/g) averaged over 1 gram		

NOTES:

- The test data reported are the worst-case SAR value with the antenna-head position set in a typical configuration. Test procedures used are according to FCC/OET Bulletin 65, Supp.C [July 2001].
- All modes of operation were investigated, and the worst-case results are reported.
- Tissue parameters and temperatures are listed on the SAR plot.
- Liquid tissue depth is $15.2 \pm 0.2\text{cm}$
- Battery is fully charged for all readings.
- *Power Measured ☒ Conducted
- Battery Option ☒ Standard ☐ Extended ☐ Slim
- Phantom Configuration ☐ Left Head ☒ Flat Phantom ☐ Right Head
- SAR Configuration ☐ Head ☒ Body ☐ Hand
- Test Signal Call Mode ☐ Manu. Test Codes ☒ Base Station Simulator
- Body SAR was tested under RC3/SO55.
(The EUT does not support TDSO/SO32 Mode.)
- Test Configuration ☐ With Holster ☒ Without Holster



9. CONCLUSION

The SAR measurement indicates that the EUT complies with the RF radiation exposure limits of the FCC. These measurements are taken to simulate the RF effects exposure under worst-case conditions. Precise laboratory measures were taken to assure repeatability of the tests. The test results and statements relate only to the item(s) tested.

Please note that the absorption and distribution of electromagnetic energy in the body are very complex phenomena that depend on the mass, shape, and size of the body, the orientation of the body with respect to the field vectors, and the electrical properties of both the body and the environment. Other variables that may play a substantial role in possible biological effects are those that characterize the environment (e.g. ambient temperature, air velocity, relative humidity, and body insulation) and those that characterize the individual (e.g. age, gender, activity level, debilitation, or disease). Because innumerable factors may interact to determine the specific biological outcome of an exposure to electromagnetic fields, any protection guide shall consider maximal amplification of biological effects as a result of field-body interactions, environmental conditions, and physiological variables.

The highest reported SAR values are as follows:

CDMA: Head: 1.06 W/Kg : Body-worn: 1.06 W/Kg



10. REFERENCES

- [1] IEEE Standards Coordinating Committee 34 – IEEE Std. 1528-2003 (Draft 6.1 – July 2001), *IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques*.
- [2] Federal Communications Commission, OET Bulletin 65 (Edition 97-01), Supplement C (Edition 01-01), *Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields*, July 2001.
- [3] ANSI/IEEE C95.3 – 1991, *IEEE Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic Fields – RF and Microwave*, New York: IEEE, 1992.
- [4] Federal Communications Commission, OET Bulletin 65, Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields. Supplement C, Dec. 1997.
- [5] ANSI/IEEE C95.1 – 1991, *American National Standard Safety levels with respect to human exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic fields, 300kHz to 100GHz*, New York: IEEE, Aug. 1992.
- [6] Federal Communications Commission, ET Docket 93-62, Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of Radiofrequency Radiation, Aug. 1996.
- [7] NCRP, National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements, *Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for RadioFrequency Electromagnetic Fields*, NCRP Report No. 86, 1986. Reprinted Feb. 1995.
- [8] T. Schmid, O. Egger, N. Kuster, *Automated E-field scanning system for dosimetric assessments*, IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, Jan. 1996, pp. 105-113.
- [9] K. Pokovic, T.Schmid, N. Kuster, *Robust setup for precise calibration of E-field probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies*, ICECOM97, Oct. 1997, pp. 120-124.
- [10] G.Hartsgrove, A. raszewski, A. Surowiec, *Simulated Biological Materials for Electromagnetic Radiation Absorption Studies*, University of Ottawa, Bioelectromagnetics, Canada: 1987, pp. 29-36
- [11] Q. Balzano, O. Garay, T. Manning Jr., *Electromagnetic Energy Exposure of Simulated Users of Portable Cellular Telephones*, IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol. 44, no.3, Aug. 1995.
- [12] W.H. Press, S.A. Teukolsky, W.T. Vetterling, and B.P. Flannery, *Numerical Recipes in C*, The Art of Scientific Computing, Second edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992.
- [13] K. Pokovic, T.Schmid, N. Kuster, *E-field Probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids*, Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, June 23-25, 1996, pp. 172-175.
- [14] Schmid & Partner Engineering AG, Application Note: Data Storage and Evaluation, June 1998, p2.
- [15] V. Hombach, K.Meier, M. Burkhardt, E. Kuhn, N. Kuster, *The Dependence of EM Energy Absorption upon Human Head Modeling at 900MHz*, IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol 44 no. 10, Oct. 1996, pp. 1865-1873.
- [16] N. Kuster and Q. Balzano, *Energy absorption mechanism by biological bodies in the near field of dipole antennas above 300MHz*, IEEE Transaction on Vehicular Technology, vol. 41, no.1, Feb.1992, pp. 17-23.
- [17] N. Kuster, R. Kastle, T. Schmid, *Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with known precision*, IEEE Transaction on Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, May 1997, pp.645-652.



[18] ANSI/IEEE C95.1-2005, American National Standard safety levels with respect to human exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic fields, 300kHz to 100GHz, New York: IEEE, April 2006.

[19] CENELEC CLC/SC111B, European Prestandard (prENV 50166-2), Human Exposure to Electromagnetic Fields High-frequency: 10kHz-300GHz, Jan. 1995.

[20] Prof. Dr. Niels Kuster, ETH, Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich, Dosimetric Evaluation of the Cellular Phone.

[21] FCC SAR Measurement Procedures for 3G Devices, June 2006

[22] SAR Measurement procedures for IEEE 802.11a/b/g rev 1.1, Oct 2006

[23] IEC 62209-1, Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices - Human models, instrumentation, and procedures - Part 1: Procedure to determine the specific absorption rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz), Feb. 2005.

[24] FCC Public Notice DA-02-1438. Office of Engineering and Technology Announces a Transition Period for the Phantom Requirements of Supplement C to OET Bulletin 65, June 19, 2002.

[25] FCC SAR Evaluation Considerations for Handsets with Multiple Transmitters and Antennas. Feb 2008

APPENDIX A

SAR Definition

Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) is defined as the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dU) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dV) of a given density (ρ). It is also defined as the rate of RF energy absorption per unit mass at a point in an absorbing body (see Fig. A.1).

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dU}{dm} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dU}{\rho dv} \right)$$

Figure A.1 SAR Mathematical Equation

SAR is expressed in units of Watts per Kilogram (W/kg).

$$SAR = \sigma E^2 / \rho$$

Where :

- σ = conductivity of the tissue-simulant material (S/m)
- ρ = mass density of the tissue-simulant material (kg/m³)
- E = Total RMS electric field strength (V/m)

Note: The primary factors that control rate or energy absorption were found to be the wavelength of the incident field in relations to the dimensions and geometry of the irradiated organism, the orientation of the organism in relation to the polarity of field vectors, the presence of reflecting surfaces, and whether conductive contact is made by the organism with a ground plane.

APPENDIX B

Probe Calibration Process

Dosimetric Assessment Procedure

Each probe is calibrated according to a dosimetric assessment procedure described in **K. Pokovic, T.Schmid, N. Kuster, *Robust setup for precise calibration of E-field probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies*, ICECOM97, Oct. 1997, pp. 120-124** with an accuracy better than +/-10%. The spherical isotropy was evaluated with the procedure described in **K. Pokovic, T.Schmid, N. Kuster, *E-field Probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids*, Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, June 23-25, 1996, pp. 172-175** and found to be better than +/-0.25dB. The sensitivity parameters (NormX, NormY, NormZ), the diode compression parameter (DCP) and the conversion factor (ConvF) of the probe is tested.

Free Space Assessment

The free space E-field from amplified probe outputs is determined in a test chamber. This is performed in a TEM cell for frequencies below 1 GHz (see Fig. B.1), and in a waveguide above 1 GHz for free space. For the free space calibration, the probe is placed in the volumetric center of the cavity and at the proper orientation with the field. The probe is then rotated 360 degrees.

Temperature Assessment

E-field temperature correlation calibration is performed in a flat phantom filled with the appropriate simulated brain tissue. The measured free space E-field in the medium correlates to temperature rise in a dielectric medium. For temperature correlation calibration a RF transparent thermistor-based temperature probe is used in conjunction with the E-field probe (see Fig. B.2).

$$SAR = C \frac{\Delta T}{\Delta t}$$

where:

Δt = exposure time (30 seconds)

C = heat capacity of tissue (brain or muscle).

ΔT = temperature increase due to RF exposure.

SAR is proportional to $\Delta T / \Delta t$, the initial rate of tissue heating, before thermal diffusion takes place. Now it's possible to quantify the electric field in the simulated tissue by equating the thermally derived SAR to the E-field;

$$SAR = \frac{|E|^2 \cdot \sigma}{p}$$

where:

σ = simulated tissue conductivity

p = Tissue density (1.25 g/cm³ for brain tissue)




Figure B.1. E-Field and Temperature measurements at 900MHz




Figure B.2. E-Field and temperature measurements at 1.9GHz

APPENDIX C

ANSI/IEEE C95.1 – 2005 RF EXPOSURE LIMITS

Uncontrolled Environment

UNCONTROLLED ENVIRONMENTS are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure. The general population/uncontrolled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Members of the general public would come under this category when exposure is not employment-related; for example, in the case of a wireless transmitter that exposes persons in its vicinity.

Controlled Environment

CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENTS are defined as locations where there is the exposure that may be incurred by persons who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation). In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment, who have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. This exposure category is also applicable when the exposure is of a transient nature due to incidental passage through a location where the exposure levels may be higher than the general population/uncontrolled limits, but the exposed person is fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

Table C.1 Safety Limits for Partial Body Exposure

	UNCONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT General Population (W/kg) or (mW/g)	CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT General Population (W/kg) or (mW/g)
SPATIAL PEAK SAR ¹ Brain	1.60	8.00
SPATIAL PEAK SAR ² Whole Body	0.08	0.40
SPATIAL PEAK SAR ³ Hands, Feet, Ankles, Wrists	4.00	20.00

¹ The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 1 gram of tissue (defined as tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.

² The Spatial Average value of the SAR averaged over the whole body.

³ The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 10 grams of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.

APPENDIX D

Test Setup Photographs

APPENDIX E

The Validation Measurements

DUT: Dipole 835 MHz; Serial: 4d050

Program Name: 835MHz Dipole Validation 2009.07.22

Procedure Name: 835MHz @ 250mW

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 835 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 835$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.91$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 41$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3080; ConvF(5.7, 5.7, 5.7); Calibrated: 2009-01-20
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn486; Calibrated: 2009-04-01
- Phantom: SAM PHANTOM #1; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1247
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 71; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 184

835MHz @ 250mW/Area Scan (51x51x1): Measurement grid: dx=20mm, dy=20mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 2.48 mW/g


835MHz @ 250mW/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 53.2 V/m; Power Drift = 0.055 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.52 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 2.35 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.53 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.55 mW/g



0 dB = 2.55mW/g

APPENDIX F

Plots of The SAR Measurements

SAMSUNG FCC ID : A3LSCHB619 CDMA Head SAR

DUT: SCH-B619; Serial: FG-211-B

Program Name: SCH-B619 CDMA Right (Job No. : FG-211)

Procedure Name: Cheek/Touch, Ch.0384, Intenna, Bat. Standard

Meas. Ambient Temp(celsius)-22.3; Tissue Temp(celsius)-22.1; Test Date-22/Jul/2009

Communication System: CDMA; Frequency: 836.52 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 836.52 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.91 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 41$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Right Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3080; ConvF(5.7, 5.7, 5.7); Calibrated: 2009-01-20

- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

- Electronics: DAE4 Sn486; Calibrated: 2009-04-01

- Phantom: SAM PHANTOM #1; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1247

- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 71; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 184

Cheek/Touch, Ch.0384, Intenna, Bat. Standard/Area Scan (51x71x1): Measurement grid:

$dx=20\text{mm}$, $dy=20\text{mm}$

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.13 mW/g

Cheek/Touch, Ch.0384, Intenna, Bat. Standard/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement


grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 33.8 V/m; Power Drift = -0.127 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.38 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 1.06 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.761 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.11 mW/g



0 dB = 1.11mW/g

SAMSUNG FCC ID : A3LSCHB619 CDMA Head SAR

DUT: SCH-B619; Serial: FG-211-B

Program Name: SCH-B619 CDMA Right (Job No. : FG-211)

Procedure Name: Ear/Tilt, Ch.0384, Intenna, Bat. Standard

Meas. Ambient Temp(celsius)-22.3; Tissue Temp(celsius)-22.1; Test Date-22/Jul/2009

Communication System: CDMA; Frequency: 836.52 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 836.52 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.91 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 41$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Right Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3080; ConvF(5.7, 5.7, 5.7); Calibrated: 2009-01-20
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn486; Calibrated: 2009-04-01
- Phantom: SAM PHANTOM #1; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1247
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 71; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 184

Ear/Tilt, Ch.0384, Intenna, Bat. Standard/Area Scan (51x71x1): Measurement grid:

$dx=20\text{mm}$, $dy=20\text{mm}$

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.628 mW/g

Ear/Tilt, Ch.0384, Intenna, Bat. Standard/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid:


$dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 20.5 V/m; Power Drift = -0.114 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.775 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.584 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.420 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.607 mW/g



0 dB = 0.607mW/g

SAMSUNG FCC ID : A3LSCHB619 CDMA Head SAR

DUT: SCH-B619; Serial: FG-211-B

Program Name: SCH-B619 CDMA Left (Job No. : FG-211)

Procedure Name: Cheek/Touch, Ch.0777, Intenna, Bat. Standard

Meas. Ambient Temp(celsius)-22.3; Tissue Temp(celsius)-22.1; Test Date-22/Jul/2009

Communication System: CDMA; Frequency: 848.31 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 848.31 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.91 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 41$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3080; ConvF(5.7, 5.7, 5.7); Calibrated: 2009-01-20
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn486; Calibrated: 2009-04-01
- Phantom: SAM PHANTOM #1; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1247
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 71; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 184

Cheek/Touch, Ch.0777, Intenna, Bat. Standard/Area Scan (51x71x1): Measurement grid:

$dx=20\text{mm}$, $dy=20\text{mm}$

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.23 mW/g

Cheek/Touch, Ch.0777, Intenna, Bat. Standard/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement


grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 34.3 V/m; Power Drift = 0.022 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.38 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 1.05 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.744 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.12 mW/g



0 dB = 1.12mW/g

SAMSUNG FCC ID : A3LSCHB619 CDMA Head SAR

DUT: SCH-B619; Serial: FG-211-B

Program Name: SCH-B619 CDMA Left (Job No. : FG-211)

Procedure Name: Ear/Tilt, Ch.0384, Intenna, Bat. Standard

Meas. Ambient Temp(celsius)-22.3; Tissue Temp(celsius)-22.1; Test Date-22/Jul/2009

Communication System: CDMA; Frequency: 836.52 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 836.52 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.91 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 41$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3080; ConvF(5.7, 5.7, 5.7); Calibrated: 2009-01-20
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn486; Calibrated: 2009-04-01
- Phantom: SAM PHANTOM #1; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1247
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 71; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 184

Ear/Tilt, Ch.0384, Intenna, Bat. Standard/Area Scan (51x71x1): Measurement grid:

$dx=20\text{mm}$, $dy=20\text{mm}$

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.602 mW/g

Ear/Tilt, Ch.0384, Intenna, Bat. Standard/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid:


$dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 18.2 V/m; Power Drift = 0.003 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.771 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.581 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.416 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.617 mW/g



0 dB = 0.617mW/g

SAMSUNG FCC ID : A3LSCHB619 CDMA Head SAR

DUT: SCH-B619; Serial: FG-211-B

Program Name: SCH-B619 CDMA Right (Job No. : FG-211)

Procedure Name: Cheek/Touch, Ch.0384, Intenna, Bat. Standard

Meas. Ambient Temp(celsius)-22.3; Tissue Temp(celsius)-22.1; Test Date-22/Jul/2009

Communication System: CDMA; Frequency: 836.52 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 836.52 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.91 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 41$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Right Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3080; ConvF(5.7, 5.7, 5.7); Calibrated: 2009-01-20

- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

- Electronics: DAE4 Sn486; Calibrated: 2009-04-01

- Phantom: SAM PHANTOM #1; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1247

- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 71; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 184

Cheek/Touch, Ch.0384, Intenna, Bat. Standard/Area Scan (51x71x1): Measurement grid:

$dx=20\text{mm}$, $dy=20\text{mm}$

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.13 mW/g

Cheek/Touch, Ch.0384, Intenna, Bat. Standard/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement


grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 33.8 V/m; Power Drift = -0.127 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.38 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 1.06 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.761 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.11 mW/g



SAMSUNG FCC ID : A3LSCHB619 CDMA Body SAR

DUT: SCH-B619; Serial: FG-211-B

Program Name: SCH-B619 CDMA Body (Job No. : FG-211)

Procedure Name: Body, Ch. 0777, Ant. Intenna, Bat. Standard

Meas. Ambient Temp(celsius)-22.3; Tissue Temp(celsius)-22.1; Test Date-22/Jul/2009

Communication System: CDMA; Frequency: 848.31 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 848.31$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.99$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 55$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3080; ConvF(5.5, 5.5, 5.5); Calibrated: 2009-01-20
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn486; Calibrated: 2009-04-01
- Phantom: Triple Flat Phantom 5.1; Type: Triple Flat Phantom 5.1; Serial: 1001
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 71; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 184

Body, Ch. 0777, Ant. Intenna, Bat. Standard/Area Scan (71x51x1): Measurement grid:

dx=20mm, dy=20mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.18 mW/g

Body, Ch. 0777, Ant. Intenna, Bat. Standard/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement


grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 33.6 V/m; Power Drift = 0.053 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.41 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 1.06 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.750 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.12 mW/g



0 dB = 1.12mW/g

SAMSUNG FCC ID : A3LSCHB619 CDMA Body SAR

DUT: SCH-B619; Serial: FG-211-B

Program Name: SCH-B619 CDMA Body (Job No. : FG-211)

Procedure Name: Body, Ch. 0777, Ant. Intenna, Bat. Standard

Meas. Ambient Temp(celsius)-22.3; Tissue Temp(celsius)-22.1; Test Date-22/Jul/2009

Communication System: CDMA; Frequency: 848.31 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 848.31$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.99$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 55$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Left Section

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3080; ConvF(5.5, 5.5, 5.5); Calibrated: 2009-01-20

- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

- Electronics: DAE4 Sn486; Calibrated: 2009-04-01

- Phantom: Triple Flat Phantom 5.1; Type: Triple Flat Phantom 5.1; Serial: 1001

- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 71; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 184

Body, Ch. 0777, Ant. Intenna, Bat. Standard/Area Scan (71x51x1): Measurement grid:

dx=20mm, dy=20mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.18 mW/g

Body, Ch. 0777, Ant. Intenna, Bat. Standard/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement


grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 33.6 V/m; Power Drift = 0.053 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.41 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 1.06 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.750 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.12 mW/g



APPENDIX G

Probe Calibration



Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)
 The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
 Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 108**

Client **Samsung (Dymstec)**

Certificate No: **ES3-3080_Jan09**

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object **ES3DV3 - SN:3080**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-01.v6 and QA CAL-23.v3**
Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes

Calibration date: **January 20, 2009**

Condition of the calibrated item **In Tolerance**

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).
 The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature $(22 \pm 3)^{\circ}\text{C}$ and humidity $< 70\%$.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter E4419B	GB41293874	1-Apr-08 (No. 217-00788)	Apr-09
Power sensor E4412A	MY41495277	1-Apr-08 (No. 217-00788)	Apr-09
Power sensor E4412A	MY41498087	1-Apr-08 (No. 217-00788)	Apr-09
Reference 3 dB Attenuator	SN: S5054 (3c)	1-Jul-08 (No. 217-00865)	Jul-09
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: S5086 (20b)	31-Mar-08 (No. 217-00787)	Apr-09
Reference 30 dB Attenuator	SN: S5129 (30b)	1-Jul-08 (No. 217-00866)	Jul-09
Reference Probe ES3DV2	SN: 3013	2-Jan-09 (No. ES3-3013_Jan09)	Jan-10
DAE4	SN: 660	9-Sep-08 (No. DAE4-660_Sep08)	Sep-09
Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
RF generator HP 8648C	US3642U01700	4-Aug-99 (in house check Oct-07)	In house check: Oct-09
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-08)	In house check: Oct-09

	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Katja Pokovic	Technical Manager	
Approved by:	Niels Kuster	Quality Manager	

Issued: January 20, 2009

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

2009. 2. 9



Glossary:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
NORM _{x,y,z}	sensitivity in free space
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM _{x,y,z}
DCP	diode compression point
Polarization φ	φ rotation around probe axis
Polarization ϑ	ϑ rotation around an axis that is in the plane normal to probe axis (at measurement center), i.e., $\vartheta = 0$ is normal to probe axis

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- IEEE Std 1528-2003, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", December 2003
- IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- NORM_{x,y,z}**: Assessed for E-field polarization $\vartheta = 0$ ($f \leq 900$ MHz in TEM-cell; $f > 1800$ MHz: R22 waveguide). NORM_{x,y,z} are only intermediate values, i.e., the uncertainties of NORM_{x,y,z} does not effect the E²-field uncertainty inside TSL (see below ConvF).
- NORM(f)_{x,y,z}** = NORM_{x,y,z} * frequency_response (see Frequency Response Chart). This linearization is implemented in DASY4 software versions later than 4.2. The uncertainty of the frequency response is included in the stated uncertainty of ConvF.
- DCP_{x,y,z}**: DCP are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep (no uncertainty required). DCP does not depend on frequency nor media.
- ConvF and Boundary Effect Parameters**: Assessed in flat phantom using E-field (or Temperature Transfer Standard for $f \leq 800$ MHz) and inside waveguide using analytical field distributions based on power measurements for $f > 800$ MHz. The same setups are used for assessment of the parameters applied for boundary compensation (alpha, depth) of which typical uncertainty values are given. These parameters are used in DASY4 software to improve probe accuracy close to the boundary. The sensitivity in TSL corresponds to NORM_{x,y,z} * ConvF whereby the uncertainty corresponds to that given for ConvF. A frequency dependent ConvF is used in DASY version 4.4 and higher which allows extending the validity from ± 50 MHz to ± 100 MHz.
- Spherical isotropy (3D deviation from isotropy)**: in a field of low gradients realized using a flat phantom exposed by a patch antenna.
- Sensor Offset**: The sensor offset corresponds to the offset of virtual measurement center from the probe tip (on probe axis). No tolerance required.

Probe ES3DV3

SN:3080

Manufactured:	March 14, 2005
Last calibrated:	January 29, 2008
Recalibrated:	January 20, 2009

Calibrated for DASY Systems

(Note: non-compatible with DASY2 system!)

DASY - Parameters of Probe: ES3DV3 SN:3080**Sensitivity in Free Space^A****Diode Compression^B**

NormX	1.23 ± 10.1%	$\mu V/(V/m)^2$	DCP X	92 mV
NormY	1.13 ± 10.1%	$\mu V/(V/m)^2$	DCP Y	94 mV
NormZ	1.18 ± 10.1%	$\mu V/(V/m)^2$	DCP Z	91 mV

Sensitivity in Tissue Simulating Liquid (Conversion Factors)

Please see Page 8.

Boundary Effect**TSL 900 MHz Typical SAR gradient: 5 % per mm**

Sensor Center to Phantom Surface Distance		3.0 mm	4.0 mm
SAR _{be} [%]	Without Correction Algorithm	10.8	6.2
SAR _{be} [%]	With Correction Algorithm	0.8	0.3

TSL 1810 MHz Typical SAR gradient: 10 % per mm

Sensor Center to Phantom Surface Distance		3.0 mm	4.0 mm
SAR _{be} [%]	Without Correction Algorithm	11.9	7.3
SAR _{be} [%]	With Correction Algorithm	0.9	0.6


Sensor OffsetProbe Tip to Sensor Center **2.0 mm**

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.




^A The uncertainties of NormX,Y,Z do not affect the E²-field uncertainty inside TSL (see Page 8).^B Numerical linearization parameter: uncertainty not required.

Frequency Response of E-Field


(TEM-Cell:ifi110 EXX, Waveguide: R22)



Uncertainty of Frequency Response of E-field: $\pm 6.3\%$ ($k=2$)



Receiving Pattern (ϕ), $\vartheta = 0^\circ$ Uncertainty of Axial Isotropy Assessment: $\pm 0.5\%$ ($k=2$)

Dynamic Range $f(\text{SAR}_{\text{head}})$ (Waveguide R22, $f = 1800 \text{ MHz}$)



Uncertainty of Linearity Assessment: $\pm 0.6\%$ ($k=2$)

Conversion Factor Assessment




f [MHz]	Validity [MHz] ^c	TSL	Permittivity	Conductivity	Alpha	Depth	ConvF Uncertainty
900	± 50 / ± 100	Head	41.5 ± 5%	0.97 ± 5%	0.95	1.13	5.60 ± 11.0% (k=2)
1810	± 50 / ± 100	Head	40.0 ± 5%	1.40 ± 5%	0.73	1.31	4.81 ± 11.0% (k=2)
2000	± 50 / ± 100	Head	40.0 ± 5%	1.40 ± 5%	0.66	1.35	4.66 ± 11.0% (k=2)
2450	± 50 / ± 100	Head	39.2 ± 5%	1.80 ± 5%	0.61	1.51	4.40 ± 11.0% (k=2)
900	± 50 / ± 100	Body	55.0 ± 5%	1.05 ± 5%	0.99	1.13	5.58 ± 11.0% (k=2)
1810	± 50 / ± 100	Body	53.3 ± 5%	1.52 ± 5%	0.59	1.48	4.70 ± 11.0% (k=2)
2000	± 50 / ± 100	Body	53.3 ± 5%	1.52 ± 5%	0.54	1.56	4.57 ± 11.0% (k=2)
2450	± 50 / ± 100	Body	52.7 ± 5%	1.95 ± 5%	0.89	1.23	4.10 ± 11.0% (k=2)

^c The validity of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2). The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band.

Deviation from Isotropy in HSL

Error (ϕ , θ), $f = 900$ MHz



Uncertainty of Spherical Isotropy Assessment: $\pm 2.6\%$ ($k=2$)

Additional Conversion Factors

for Dosimetric E-Field Probe

Type:

ES3DV3

Serial Number:

3080

Place of Assessment:

Zurich

Date of Assessment:

June 27, 2009

Probe Calibration Date:

January 20, 2009

Schmid & Partner Engineering AG hereby certifies that conversion factor(s) of this probe have been evaluated on the date indicated above. The assessment was performed using the FDTD numerical code SEMCAD of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG. Since the evaluation is coupled with measured conversion factors, it has to be recalculated yearly, i.e., following the re-calibration schedule of the probe. The uncertainty of the numerical assessment is based on the extrapolation from measured value at 900 MHz or at 1810 MHz.

Assessed by:



Dosimetric E-Field Probe ES3DV3 - SN:3080

Conversion factor ($\pm$ standard deviation)

850 $\pm$ 50 MHz	<i>ConvF</i>	5.70 $\pm$ 7 %	$\epsilon_r = 41.5 \pm 5 \%$ $\sigma = 0.92 \pm 5 \%$ mho/m (head tissue)
1860 $\pm$ 50 MHz	<i>ConvF</i>	4.74 $\pm$ 7 %	$\epsilon_r = 40.0 \pm 5 \%$ $\sigma = 1.40 \pm 5 \%$ mho/m (head tissue)
850 $\pm$ 50 MHz	<i>ConvF</i>	5.50 $\pm$ 7 %	$\epsilon_r = 55.2 \pm 5 \%$ $\sigma = 0.99 \pm 5 \%$ mho/m (body tissue)
1860 $\pm$ 50 MHz	<i>ConvF</i>	4.50 $\pm$ 7 %	$\epsilon_r = 53.3 \pm 5 \%$ $\sigma = 1.52 \pm 5 \%$ mho/m (body tissue)

Important Note:

For numerically assessed probe conversion factors, parameters Alpha and Delta in the DASY software must have the following entries: Alpha = 0 and Delta = 1.

Please see also Section 4.7 of the DASY4 Manual.

APPENDIX H

Calibration of The Validation Dipole



Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)
 The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
 Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 108**

Client **Samsung (Dymstec)**

Certificate No: **D835V2-4d050_Mar09**

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object **D835V2 - SN: 4d050**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-05.v7**
Calibration procedure for dipole validation kits

Calibration date: **March 16, 2009**

Condition of the calibrated item **In Tolerance**

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).
 The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter EPM-442A	GB37480704	08-Oct-08 (No. 217-00898)	Oct-09
Power sensor HP 8481A	US37292783	08-Oct-08 (No. 217-00898)	Oct-09
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: 5086 (20g)	01-Jul-08 (No. 217-00864)	Jul-09
Type-N mismatch combination	SN: 5047.2 / 06327	01-Jul-08 (No. 217-00867)	Jul-09
Reference Probe ES3DV2	SN: 3025	28-Apr-08 (No. ES3-3025_Apr08)	Apr-09
DAE4	SN: 601	07-Mar-09 (No. DAE4-601_Mar09)	Mar-10

Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092317	18-Oct-02 (in house check Oct-07)	In house check: Oct-09
RF generator R&S SMT-06	100005	4-Aug-99 (in house check Oct-07)	In house check: Oct-09
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585 S4206	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-08)	In house check: Oct-09

	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Jeton Kastrati	Laboratory Technician	
Approved by:	Katja Pokovic	Technical Manager	

Issued: March 17, 2009

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

2009.4.8



Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 108**

Glossary:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM x,y,z
N/A	not applicable or not measured

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- a) IEEE Std 1528-2003, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", December 2003
- b) IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005
- c) Federal Communications Commission Office of Engineering & Technology (FCC OET), "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields; Additional Information for Evaluating Compliance of Mobile and Portable Devices with FCC Limits for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Emissions", Supplement C (Edition 01-01) to Bulletin 65

Additional Documentation:

- d) DASY4/5 System Handbook

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- *Measurement Conditions:* Further details are available from the Validation Report at the end of the certificate. All figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated.
- *Antenna Parameters with TSL:* The dipole is mounted with the spacer to position its feed point exactly below the center marking of the flat phantom section, with the arms oriented parallel to the body axis.
- *Feed Point Impedance and Return Loss:* These parameters are measured with the dipole positioned under the liquid filled phantom. The impedance stated is transformed from the measurement at the SMA connector to the feed point. The Return Loss ensures low reflected power. No uncertainty required.
- *Electrical Delay:* One-way delay between the SMA connector and the antenna feed point. No uncertainty required.
- *SAR measured:* SAR measured at the stated antenna input power.
- *SAR normalized:* SAR as measured, normalized to an input power of 1 W at the antenna connector.
- *SAR for nominal TSL parameters:* The measured TSL parameters are used to calculate the nominal SAR result.

Measurement Conditions

DASY system configuration, as far as not given on page 1.

DASY Version	DASY5	V5.0
Extrapolation	Advanced Extrapolation	
Phantom	Modular Flat Phantom V4.9	
Distance Dipole Center - TSL	15 mm	with Spacer
Zoom Scan Resolution	dx, dy, dz = 5 mm	
Frequency	835 MHz $\pm$ 1 MHz	

Head TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Head TSL parameters	22.0 °C	41.5	0.90 mho/m
Measured Head TSL parameters	(22.0 $\pm$ 0.2) °C	40.3 $\pm$ 6 %	0.87 mho/m $\pm$ 6 %
Head TSL temperature during test	(21.5 $\pm$ 0.2) °C	---	---

SAR result with Head TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of Head TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	2.33 mW / g
SAR normalized	normalized to 1W	9.32 mW / g
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters ¹	normalized to 1W	9.49 mW / g $\pm$ 17.0 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of Head TSL	condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	1.53 mW / g
SAR normalized	normalized to 1W	6.12 mW / g
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters ¹	normalized to 1W	6.21 mW / g $\pm$ 16.5 % (k=2)

¹ Correction to nominal TSL parameters according to d), chapter "SAR Sensitivities"

Appendix

Antenna Parameters with Head TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	51.5 Ω - 4.5 j Ω
Return Loss	- 26.7 dB

General Antenna Parameters and Design

Electrical Delay (one direction)	1.387 ns
----------------------------------	----------

After long term use with 100W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals.

No excessive force must be applied to the dipole arms, because they might bend or the soldered connections near the feedpoint may be damaged.

Additional EUT Data

Manufactured by	SPEAG
Manufactured on	August 16, 2006

DASY5 Validation Report for Head TSL

Date/Time: 16.03.2009 11:58:06

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole 835 MHz; Type: D835V2; Serial: D835V2 - SN:4d050

Communication System: CW-835; Frequency: 835 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: HSL 900 MHz

Medium parameters used: $f = 835$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.87$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 40.1$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC)

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV2 - SN3025; ConvF(5.97, 5.97, 5.97); Calibrated: 28.04.2008
- Sensor-Surface: 3.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 07.03.2009
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 4.9L; Type: QD000P49AA; Serial: 1001
- Measurement SW: DASY5, V5.0 Build 120; SEMCAD X Version 13.4 Build 45

Pin=250mW; dip=15mm; dist=3.4mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid:


$dx=5mm$, $dy=5mm$, $dz=5mm$

Reference Value = 56.4 V/m; Power Drift = -0.032 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.41 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 2.33 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.53 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.63 mW/g



0 dB = 2.63mW/g


Impedance Measurement Plot for Head TSL

CH1 S11 1 U FS 16 Mar 2009 11:19:34
 1: 51.510 Ω -4.4707 Ω 42.634 pF 835.000 000 MHz

*
 Del
 Cor

Avg
 16

↑




CH1 Markers
 2: 63.172 Ω
 30.328 Ω
 900.000 MHz

CH2 S11 LOG 5 dB/REF -20 dB 1:-26.665 dB 835.000 000 MHz

Cor

Avg
 16

↑



CH2 Markers
 2: -10.988 dB
 900.000 MHz

START 635.000 000 MHz

STOP 1 100.000 000 MHz