PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.
6660-B Dobbin Road, Columbia, MD 21045 USA
Tel. 410.290.6652 / Fax 410.290.6554
http://www.pctestlab.com



HEARING AID COMPATIBILITY CERTIFICATE

Applicant Name:
Samsung Electronics, Co. Ltd.
3351 Michelson Drive, Suite 290
Irvine, CA 92612 USA

Date of Testing:
August 30 - 31, 2005
Test Site/Location:
PCTEST Lab, Columbia, MD, USA
Test Report Serial No.:
HAC.0508310611.A3L

FCC ID:	**A3LSGHX495H**
APPLICANT:	**SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS, CO. LTD.**

Application Type:	Certification
FCC Rule Part(s):	§ 20.19(b), §6.3(v), §7.3(v)
HAC Standard:	ANSI PC63.19-2005 D3.6
FCC Classification:	Licensed Transmitter Held to Ear (PCE)
EUT Type:	PCS GSM Phone
Model(s):	SGH-X495H
Tx Frequency:	1850.20 - 1909.80 MHz (PCS GSM)
Test Device Serial No.:	*Pre-Production Sample* [S/N: B]

PC63.19 HAC Rated Category: M3 (RF EMISSIONS)

This wireless portable device has been shown to be hearing-aid compatible under the above rated category, specified in ANSI/IEEE Std. PC63.19 and had been tested in accordance with the specified measurement procedures. Hearing-Aid Compatibility is based on the assumption that all production units will be designed electrically identical to the device tested in this report.

I attest to the accuracy of data. All measurements reported herein were performed by me or were made under my supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I assume full responsibility for the completeness of these measurements and vouch for the qualifications of all persons taking them.

PCTEST certifies that no party to this application has been denied the FCC benefits pursuant to Section 5301 of the Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1988, 21 U.S.C. 862.

Randy Ortanez
President

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION 3
2. TEST SITE LOCATION 4
3. EUT DESCRIPTION 5
4. ANSI/IEEE PC63.19 PERFORMANCE CATEGORIES 6
5. SYSTEM SPECIFICATIONS 7
6. TEST PROCEDURE 14
7. SYSTEM CHECK 16
8. MODULATION FACTOR 19
9. OVERALL MEASUREMENT SUMMARY 21
10. EQUIPMENT LIST 24
11. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY 25
12. TEST DATA 26
13. PROBE CALIBRATION 31
14. SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS 61
15. CONCLUSION 63
16. REFERENCES 64

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

1. INTRODUCTION

On July 10, 2003, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) adopted new rules requiring wireless manufacturers and service providers to provide digital wireless phones that are compatible with hearing aids. The FCC has modified the exemption for wireless phones under the Hearing Aid Compatibility Act of 1998 (HAC Act) in WT Docket 01-309 RM-8658[1] to extend the benefits of wireless telecommunications to individuals with hearing disabilities. These benefits encompass business, social and emergency communications, which increase the value of the wireless network for everyone. An estimated more than 10% of the population in the United States show signs of hearing impairment and of that fraction, almost 80% use hearing aids. Approximately 500 million people worldwide suffer from hearing loss.

Compatibility Tests Involved:

The standard calls for wireless communications devices to be measured for:

- RF Electric-field emissions
- RF Magnetic-field emissions
- T-coil mode, magnetic-signal strength in the audio band
- T-coil mode, magnetic-signal frequency response through the audio band
- T-coil mode, magnetic-signal and noise articulation index

The hearing aid must be measured for:

- RF immunity in microphone mode
- RF immunity in T-coil mode

In the following tests and results, this report includes the evaluation for a wireless communications device.

Figure 1 Hearing Aid *in-vitu*

[1] FCC Rule & Order, WT Docket 01-309 RM-8658

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

2. TEST SITE LOCATION

2.1 INTRODUCTION

The map at the right shows the location of the PCTEST LABORATORY in Columbia, Maryland. It is in proximity to the FCC Laboratory, the Baltimore-Washington International (BWI) airport, the city of Baltimore and Washington, DC (See Figure 2).

These measurement tests were conducted at the PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc. facility in New Concept Business Park, Guilford Industrial Park, Columbia, Maryland. The site address is 6660-B Dobbin Road, Columbia, MD 21045. The test site is one of the highest points in the Columbia area with an elevation of 390 feet above mean sea level. The site coordinates are 39° 11'15" N latitude and 76° 49' 38" W longitude. The facility is 1.5 miles North of the FCC laboratory, and the ambient signal and ambient signal strength are approximately equal to those of the FCC laboratory. There are no FM or TV transmitters within 15 miles of the site. The detailed description of the measurement facility was found to be in compliance with the requirements of § 2.948 according to ANSI C63.4 on October 19, 2002.



Figure 2
Map of the Greater Baltimore and Metropolitan Washington, D.C. area

2.2 Test Facility / NVLAP Accreditation:

Measurements were performed at an independent accredited PCTEST Engineering Lab located in Columbia, MD 21045, U.S.A.



- PCTEST facility is an FCC registered (PCTEST Reg. No. 90864) test facility with the site description report on file and has met all the requirements specified in Section 2.948 of the FCC Rules and Industry Canada (IC 2451).
- PCTEST Lab is accredited to ISO 17025 by U.S. National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) under the National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program (NVLAP Lab code: 100431-0) in EMC, FCC and Telecommunications.
- PCTEST Lab is accredited to ISO 17025 by the American Association for Laboratory Accreditation (A2LA) in Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) testing, CTIA Test Plans, and wireless testing for FCC, HAC, CTIA OTA and Industry Canada Rules.
- PCTEST Lab is a recognized U.S. Conformity Assessment Body (CAB) in EMC and R&TTE (n.b. 0982) under the U.S.-EU Mutual Recognition Agreement (MRA).
- PCTEST TCB is a Telecommunication Certification Body (TCB) accredited to ISO/IEC Guide 65 by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) in all scopes of FCC Rules.
- PCTEST facility is an IC registered (IC-2451) test laboratory with the site description on file at Industry Canada.
- PCTEST is a CTIA Authorized Test Laboratory (CATL) in AMPS and CDMA mobile phones.

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

3. EUT DESCRIPTION

FCC ID:	A3LSGHX495H
Manufacturer:	Samsung Electronics, Co. Ltd. 3351 Michelson Drive, Suite 290 Irvine, CA 92612 USA
Trade Name:	Samsung
Model(s):	SGH-X495H
Serial Number:	B
Tx Frequencies:	1850.20 - 1909.80 MHz (PCS GSM)
Antenna Configurations:	Internal Antenna
Maximum Conducted Power (EMC/SAR):	29.5 dBm (PCS GSM)
Maximum Conducted Power (HAC):	29.2 dBm (PCS GSM)
HAC Test Configurations:	PCS GSM, Channels 512, 661, 810
FCC Classification:	Licensed Transmitter Held to Ear (PCE)
EUT Type:	PCS GSM Phone

Figure 3
Device Under Test

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

4. ANSI/IEEE PC63.19 PERFORMANCE CATEGORIES

I. RF EMISSIONS

The ANSI Standard presents performance requirements for acceptable interoperability of hearing aids with wireless communications devices. When these parameters are met, a hearing aid operates acceptably in close proximity to a wireless communications device.

Category	Hearing aid RF Parameters		Telephone RF Parameters	
Near field Category	**E-field immunity CW dB(V/m)**	**H-field immunity CW dB(A/m)**	**E-field emissions CW dB(V/m)**	**H-field emissions CW dB(A/m)**
M1	30.0 to 35.0	–23.0 to –18.0	46–51 + 0.5 x AWF	–4.4 to 0.6 +0.5 x AWF
M2	35.0 to 40.0	–18.0 to –13.0	41–46 + 0.5 x AWF	–9.4 to –4.4 +0.5 x AWF
M3	40.0 to 45.0	–13.0 to –8.0	36–41 + 0.5 x AWF	–14.4 to –9.4 +0.5 x AWF
M4	> 45.0	> –8.0	< 36 + 0.5 x AWF	< –14.4 + 0.5 x AWF

Table 6.1
Hearing aid and WD near-field categories as defined in draft ANSI PC63.19. During testing, the hearing aid must maintain an input-referenced interference level of less than 55 dB and a gain compression of less than 6 dB.

II. Articulation Weighing Factor (AWF)

Standard	Technology	Articulation Weighing Factor (AWF)
T1/T1P1/3GPP	**UMTS (WCDMA)**	0
IS-95	**CDMA**	0
iDEN™	**TDMA (22 and 11 Hz)**	0
J-STD-007	**GSM (217 Hz)**	-5

Table 6.2
AWF has been developed from information presented to the committee regarding the interference potential of the various modulation types according to ANSI PC63.19

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

5. SYSTEM SPECIFICATIONS

ER3DV6 E-Field Probe Description

Construction:	One dipole parallel, two dipoles normal to probe axis Built-in shielding against static charges
Calibration:	In air from 100 MHz to 3.0 GHz (absolute accuracy ±6.0%, k=2)
Frequency:	100 MHz to > 6 GHz; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (100 MHz to 3 GHz)
Directivity	± 0.2 dB in air (rotation around probe axis) ± 0.4 dB in air (rotation normal to probe axis)
Dynamic Range	2 V/m to > 1000 V/m (M3 or better device readings fall well below diode compression point)
Linearity:	± 0.2 dB
Dimensions	Overall length: 330 mm (Tip: 16 mm) Tip diameter: 8 mm (Body: 12 mm) Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 2.5 mm



Figure 4
E-field Free-space Probe

H3DV6 H-Field Probe Description

Construction:	Three concentric loop sensors with 3.8 mm loop diameters Resistively loaded detector diodes for linear response Built-in shielding against static charges
Frequency:	200 MHz to 3 GHz (absolute accuracy ± 6.0%, k=2); Output linearized
Directivity:	± 0.25 dB (spherical isotropy error)
Dynamic Range:	10 mA/m to 2 A/m at 1 GHz (M3 or better device readings fall well below diode compression point)
Dimensions:	Overall length: 330 mm (Tip: 40 mm) Tip diameter: 6 mm (Body: 12 mm) Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 3 mm
E-Field Interference:	< 10% at 3 GHz (for plane wave)



Figure 5
H-Field Free-space Probe

Probe Tip Description

HAC field measurements take place in the close near field with high gradients. Increasing the measuring distance from the source will generally decrease the measured field values (in case of the validation dipole approx. 10% per mm).

Magnetic field sensors are measuring the integral of the H-field across their sensor area surrounded by the loop. They are calibrated in a precise, homogeneous field. When measuring a gradient field, the result will be very close to the field in the center of the loop which is equivalent to the value of a homogeneous field equivalent to the center value. But it will be different from the field at the border of the loop.

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

Consequently, two sensors with different loop diameters - both calibrated ideally - would give different results when measuring from the edge of the probe sensor elements. The behavior for electrically small E-field sensors is equivalent. See below for distance plots from a WD which show the conservative nature of field readings at the probe element center vs. measurements at the sensor end:



Figure 6 Z-axis scan at maximum point above a typical wireless device for E-field



Figure 7 Z-Axis Scan at maximum point above a typical wireless device for H-field

The magnetic field loops of the H3D probes are concentric, with the center 3mm from the tip for H3DV6. Their radius is 1.9mm.

The electric field probes have a more irregular internal geometry because it is physically not possible to have the 3 orthogonal sensors situated with the same center. The effect of the different sensor centers is accounted for in the HAC uncertainty budget ("sensor displacement"). Their geometric center is at 2.5mm from the tip, and the element ends are 1.1mm closer to the tip.

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.



The antistatic shielding inside the probe is connected to the probe connector case.

It is recommended to connect the probes with the amplifier using a short and well shielded cable and to connect the cable shielding with the connector case.

Instrumentation Chain

Equation 1

Conversion of Connector Voltage u_i to E-Field E_i

$$E_i = \sqrt{\frac{u_i + (u_i^2 \cdot CF)/(DCP)}{Norm_i \cdot ConvF}}$$

whereby

E_i:	electric field in V/m
u_i:	voltage of channel i at the connector in µV
$Norm_i$:	sensitivity of channel i in µV/(V/m)^2
ConvF:	enhancement factor in liquid (ConvF=1 for Air)
DCP:	diode compression point in µV
CF:	signal crest factor (peak power/average power)

Conditions of Calibration



Please note:
- a lower input impedance of the amplifier will result in different sensitivity factors $Norm_i$ and *DCP*
- larger bias currents will cause higher offset

Probe Response to Frequency

The E-field sensors have inherently a very flat frequency response. They are calibrated with a number of frequencies resulting in a common calibration factor, with the frequency behavior documented in the calibration certificate (See also below).

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.



Figure 8 E-Field Probe Frequency Response

H-field sensors have a frequency dependent sensitivity which is evaluated for a series of frequencies also visible in the probe calibration certificate. The calibration factors result from a fitting algorithm. The proper conversion is calculated by the DASY4 software depending on the frequency setting in the procedure. See below for H-field frequency response:



Figure 9 H-Field Probe Frequency Response

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

Conversion to Peak

Peak is defined as Peak Envelope Power. All raw measurements from the HAC measurement system are RMS values. The DASY4 system incorporates the crest factor of the signal in the computation of the RMS values (See Equation 1). Although the software also has capability to estimate the peak field by applying a square root of crest factor value to the readings, the probe modulation factor was applied manually instead per PC63.19 in the measurement tables in this report. The equation to convert the raw measurements in the data tables are:

$$\text{Peak Field} = 20 \cdot \log (\text{Raw} \cdot \text{PMF})$$

Where:

Peak Field = Peak field (in dBV/m or dBA/m)
Raw = Raw field measurement from the measurement system (in V/m or A/m).
PMF = Probe Modulation Factor (in linear units).

SPEAG Robotic System

E-field and H-field measurements are performed using the DASY4 automated dosimetric assessment system. The DASY4 is made by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG) in Zurich, Switzerland and consists of high precision robotics system (Staubli), robot controller, Pentium 4 computer, near-field probe, probe alignment sensor, and the HAC phantom. The robot is a six-axis industrial robot performing precise movements to position the probe to the location (points) of maximum electromagnetic field (EMF).

Figure 10
SPEAG Robotic System

Figure 11
PCTEST Lab Acoustics Facility

System Hardware

A cell controller system contains the power supply, robot controller, teach pendant (Joystick), and a remote control used to drive the robot motors. The PC consists of the Gateway Pentium 4 2.53 GHz computer with Windows XP system and RF Measurement Software DASY4 v4.5 (with HAC Extension), A/D interface card, monitor, mouse, and keyboard. The Staubli Robot is connected to the cell controller to allow software manipulation of the robot. A data acquisition electronic (DAE) circuit that performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. is connected to the Electro-optical coupler

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

(EOC). The EOC performs the conversion from the optical into digital electric signal of the DAE and transfers data to the PC plug-in card.

System Electronics

The DAE consists of a highly sensitive electrometer-grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16 bit AD-converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Transmission to the PC-card is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information and an optical uplink for commands and clock lines. The mechanical probe mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sidewise probe contacts. They are also used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection. The robot uses its own controller with a built in VME-bus computer.



Figure 12
SPEAG Robotic System Diagram

DASY4 Instrumentation Chain

The first step of the evaluation is a linearization of the filtered input signal to account for the compression characteristics of the detector diode. The compensation depends on the input signal, the diode type and the DC-transmission factor from the diode to the evaluation electronics. If the exciting field is pulsed, the crest factor of the signal must be known to correctly compensate for peak power. The formula for each channel can be given as:

$$V_i = U_i + U_i^2 \cdot \frac{cf}{dcp_i}$$

with	V_i	= compensated signal of channel i	(i = x, y, z)
	U_i	= input signal of channel i	(i = x, y, z)
	cf	= crest factor of exciting field	(DASY parameter)
	dcp_i	= diode compression point	(DASY parameter)

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

From the compensated input signals the primary field data for each channel can be evaluated:

$$\text{E} - \text{fieldprobes}: \qquad E_i = \sqrt{\frac{V_i}{Norm_i \cdot ConvF}}$$

$$\text{H} - \text{fieldprobes}: \qquad H_i = \sqrt{V_i} \cdot \frac{a_{i0} + a_{i1} f + a_{i2} f^2}{f}$$

with	V_i	= compensated signal of channel i	(i = x, y, z)
	$Norm_i$	= sensor sensitivity of channel i μV/(V/m)^2 for E-field Probes	(i = x, y, z)
	$ConvF$	= sensitivity enhancement in solution	
	a_{ij}	= sensor sensitivity factors for H-field probes	
	f	= carrier frequency [GHz]	
	E_i	= electric field strength of channel i in V/m	
	H_i	= magnetic field strength of channel i in A/m	

The RSS value of the field components gives the total field strength (Hermitian magnitude):

$$E_{tot} = \sqrt{E_x^2 + E_y^2 + E_z^2}$$

The primary field data are used to calculate the derived field units.

The measurement/integration time per point, as specified by the system manufacturer is >500 ms.

The signal response time is evaluated as the time required by the system to reach 90% of the expected final value after an on/off switch of the power source with an integration time of 500 ms and a probe response time of <5 ms. In the current implementation, DASY4 waits longer than 100 ms after having reached the grid point before starting a measurement, i.e., the response time uncertainty is negligible.

If the device under test does not emit a CW signal, the integration time applied to measure the electric field at a specific point may introduce additional uncertainties due to the discretization. The tolerances for the different systems had the worst-case of 2.6%.

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

6. TEST PROCEDURE

I. RF EMISSIONS

Per PC63.19-2005:



© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

Test Setup



Figure 13
E/H-Field Emissions Test Setup Diagram



Figure 14
HAC Phantom

RF Emissions Test Procedure:

The following illustrate a typical RF emissions test scan over a wireless communications device:

1. Proper operation of the field probe, probe measurement system, other instrumentation, and the positioning system was confirmed.

2. WD is positioned in its intended test position, acoustic output point of the device perpendicular to the field probe.

3. The WD operation for maximum rated RF output power was configured and confirmed with the base station simulator, at the test channel and other normal operating parameters as intended for the test. The battery was ensured to be fully charged before each test.

4. The center sub-grid was centered over the center of the acoustic output (also audio band magnetic output, if applicable). The WD audio output was positioned tangent (as physically possible) to the measurement plane.

5. A surface calibration was performed before each setup change to ensure repeatable spacing and proper maintenance of the measurement plane using the HAC Phantom.

6. The measurement system measured the field strength at the reference location.

7. Measurements at 2mm increments in the 5 x 5 cm region were performed and recorded. A 360° rotation about the azimuth axis at the maximum interpolated position was measured. For the worst-case condition, the peak reading from this rotation was used in re-evaluating the HAC category.

8. The system performed a drift evaluation by measuring the field at the reference location.

9. Steps 1-8 were done for both the E and H-Field measurements.

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

7. SYSTEM CHECK

I. System Check Parameters

The input signal was an unmodulated continuous wave. The following points were taken into consideration in performing this check:

- Average Input Power P = 100mW RMS (20dBm RMS) after adjustment for return loss
- The test fixture must meet the 2 wavelength separation criterion
- The proper measurement of the 1 cm probe to dipole separation, which is measured from top surface of the dipole to the calibration reference point of the sensor, defined by the probe manufacturer is shown in the following diagram:



Figure 15
Separation Distance from Dipole to Field Probe

RF power was recorded using both an average reading meter and a peak reading meter. Readings of the probe are provided by the measurement system.

To assure proper operation of the near-field measurement probe the input power to the dipole shall be commensurate with the full rated output power of the wireless device (e.g. - for a cellular phone wireless device the average peak antenna input power will be on the order of 100mW (i.e. - 20dBm) RMS after adjustment for any mismatch.

II. Validation Procedure

A dipole antenna meeting the requirements given in PC63.19 was placed in the position normally occupied by the WD.

The length of the dipole was scanned with both E-field and H-field probes and the maximum values for each were recorded.

Measurement of CW

Using the near-field measurement system, scan the antenna over the radiating dipole and record the greatest field reading observed. Due to the nature of E-fields about free-space dipoles, the two E-field peaks measured over the dipole are averaged to compensate for non-paralellity of the setup (

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

see manufacturer method on dipole calibration certificates, page 2. Field strength measurements shall be made only when the probe is stationary.

RF power was recorded using both an average and a peak power reading meter.



Figure 16
Setup for Desired Output Power to Dipole



Figure 17
Setup to Dipole

Using this setup configuration, the signal generator was adjusted for the desired output power (100mW) at a specified frequency. The reference power from the coupled port of the directional coupler is recorded. Next, the output cable is connected to the reference dipole, as shown in Figure 17.

The input signal level was adjusted until the reference power from the coupled port of the directional coupler was the same as previously recorded, to compensate for the impedance mismatch between the output cable and the reference dipole. To assure proper operation of the near-field measurement probe the input power to the reference dipole was verified to the full rated output power of the wireless device. The dipole was secured in a holder in a manner to meet the 20 dB reflection. The near-field measurement probe was positioned over the dipole. The antenna was scanned over the appropriate sized area to cover the dipole from end to end. SPEAG uses 2D interpolation algorithms between the measured points. Please see below two dimensional plots showing that the interpolated values interpolate smoothly between 5mm steps for a free-space RF dipole:



Figure 18
2-D Raw Data from scan along dipole axis



Figure 19
2-D Interpolated points from scan along dipole axis



Figure 20
2-D Raw Data from scan along transverse axis



Figure 21
2-D Interpolated points from scan along transverse axis

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

III. System Check Results

Validation Results

Frequency (MHz)	Input Power (dBm)	E-field Result (V/m)	Target Field (V/m)	% Deviation
835	20.0	179.2	**185.1**	**-3.2%**
1880	20.0	145.8	**145.8**	**0.0%**

Frequency (MHz)	Input Power (dBm)	H-field Result (A/m)	Target Field (A/m)	% Deviation
835	20.0	0.503	**0.470**	**7.0%**
1880	20.0	0.448	**0.450**	**-0.4%**



Figure 22
System Check Setup

<u>80% AM Expected Value Estimation:</u>
(From PC63.19 §I4.1.1)

(Eq I.3) **Calculation of AM Peak-to-Average Ratio (PAR)**

$$PAR_{dB} = 10\log(m+1)^2$$

Peak to Average Ratio of 80%AM signal (*m=0.8*) = 5.1 dB ≡ 1.8 (linear units).
Crest Factor = PAR = 1.8.
Modulation factor = $\sqrt{cf}$ = 1.34

$$\text{80\%AM Expected Value} \equiv \frac{U_{CW-target}}{mf} \equiv \frac{U_{CW-target}}{\sqrt{cf}}$$

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

8. MODULATION FACTOR

A calibration was made of the modulation response of the probe and its instrumentation chain. This calibration was performed with the field probe, attached to its instrumentation. The response of the probe system to a CW field at the frequency of interest is compared to its response to a modulated signal with equal peak amplitude to that of a CW signal. The field level of the test signals are ensured to be more than 10 dB above the ambient level and the noise floor of the instrumentation being used. The ratio of the CW reading to that taken with a modulated reading was applied to the DUT measurements.

This was done using the following procedure:

1. The probe was illuminated with a CW signal at the intended measurement frequency.
2. The probe was positioned at the field maxima over the dipole antenna (determined after an area scan over the dipole).
3. The reading of the probe measurement system of the CW signal at the maximum point was recorded.
4. Using a Spectrum Analyzer, the modulated signal adjusted with the same peak level of the CW signal was determined.
5. The probe measurement system reading was recorded with the modulated signal.
6. The ratio of the CW reading to modulated signal reading is the probe modulation factor (PMF) for the modulation and field probe combination.
7. Steps 1-6 were repeated at all frequency bands and for both E and H field probes.

The modulation factors obtained were applied to readings taken of the actual wireless device, in order to obtain an accurate peak field reading using the formula:

$$\text{Peak} = 20 \cdot \log(\text{Raw} \cdot \text{PMF})$$

Modulation Factors:

f (MHz)	Peak Power (dBm)	Protocol	E-Field (V/m)	H-Field (A/m)	E-Field Modulation Factor	H-Field Modulation Factor
1880	30.0	AM	296.5	1.323	1.35	1.12
1880	30.0	GSM	144.5	0.6907	2.77	2.15
1880	30.0	CW	400.5	1.482		

Figure 23
Modulation Factors

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

Spectrum Analyzer Plots of ESG-D Signal used for PMF measurements:



Figure 24 CW Signal



Figure 25 80% AM Signal



Figure 26 GSM Signal

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

9. OVERALL MEASUREMENT SUMMARY

FCC ID:	A3LSGHX495H
Model:	SGH-X495H
S/N:	B

I. E-FIELD EMISSIONS:

Table 1
HAC Data Summary for E-field

Mode	Channel	Backlight	Antenna	Conducted Power at BS (dBm)	Measured Drift (%)	Time Avg. Field (V/m)	Peak Field (dBV/m)	FCC Limit (dBV/m)	FCC MARGIN (dB)	RESULT
E-field Emissions										
GSM1900	512	off	Fixed	29.22	0.3%	26.08	37.2	**38.50**	**-1.32**	M3
GSM1900	661	off	Fixed	29.00	-0.6%	26.82	37.4	**38.50**	**-1.08**	M3
GSM1900	810	off	Fixed	28.40	2.2%	27.77	37.7	**38.50**	**-0.77**	M3
GSM1900	810	on	Fixed	28.40	2.2%	27.20	37.5	**38.50**	**-0.95**	M3

Figure 27
Sample E-field Scan Overlay

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

FCC ID:	A3LSGHX495H
Model:	SGH-X495H
S/N:	B

II. H-FIELD EMISSIONS:

Table 2
HAC Data Summary for H-field

Mode	Channel	Backlight	Antenna	Conducted Power at BS (dBm)	Measured Drift (%)	Time Avg. Field (A/m)	Peak Field (dBA/m)	FCC Limit (dBA/m)	FCC MARGIN (dB)	RESULT
H-field Emissions										
GSM1900	512	off	Fixed	29.22	0.2%	0.061	-17.6	**-11.9**	**-5.72**	M4
GSM1900	661	off	Fixed	29.00	-1.9%	0.060	-17.9	**-11.9**	**-5.97**	M4
GSM1900	810	off	Fixed	28.40	-0.8%	0.070	-16.4	**-11.9**	**-4.51**	M3

Figure 28
Sample H-field Scan Overlay

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

FCC ID:	A3LSGHX495H
Model:	SGH-X495H
S/N:	B

III. Worst-case Configuration Evaluation

Table 3
Peak Reading 360° Probe Rotation at Azimuth axis

Mode	Channel	Backlight	Antenna	Conducted Power at BS (dBm)	Measured Drift (%)	Time Avg. Field (V/m)	Peak Field (dBV/m)	FCC Limit (dBV/m)	FCC MARGIN (dB)	RESULT
Probe Rotation at Worst-Case										
GSM1900	810	off	Fixed	28.40	2.2%	27.76	37.7	38.50	-0.78	M3



Figure 29
Worst-Case Probe Rotation about Azimuth axis

* Note: Location of probe rotation is shown in Figure 27 or Figure 28

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

10. EQUIPMENT LIST

Manufacturer	Make / Equipment	Calibration Due	Asset No.
HP	437B Power Meter	May 2006	3125U24437
Amplifier Research	5S1G4 (5W, 800MHz-4.2GHz)	January 2006	22322
Gigatronics	80701A (0.05-18GHz) Power Sensor	April 2006	1833460
HP	8482H (30mW-3W) Power Sensor	February 2006	2237A02084
HP	8594A Spectrum Analyzer	February 2006	3051A00187
Gigatronics	8657A Universal Power Meter	April 2006	1835256
HP	8753E (30kHz-6GHz) Network Analyzer	February 2006	JP38020182
Agilent	8960 Base Station Simulator	January 2006	PCT080
Agilent	Base Station Simulator	May 2006	661
Rohde & Schwarz	CMD80 Base Station Simulator	June 2006	830805/005
Rohde & Schwarz	CMU200 Base Station Simulator	November 2005	650378
Agilent	ESG-D Signal Generator	October 2005	PCT800
Optix	Fiber-Optic Line	N/A	
SPEAG	Freespace 1880 MHz Dipole	February 2007	1002
SPEAG	Freespace 1900 MHz Dipole	February 2007	1002
SPEAG	Freespace 2450 MHz Dipole	February 2007	1004
SPEAG	Freespace H-field Probe	October 2005	6180
SPEAG	Freespace E-field Probe	January 2006	2332
Bruel & Kjaer	HATS System	December 2005	687
Hosa	High Precision TRS Cable	N/A	
EMCO	Model 3115 (1-18GHz) Horn Antenna	October 2006	9203-2178
EMCO	Model 3115 (1-18GHz) Horn Antenna	October 2006	9704-5182
Rohde & Schwarz	NRVS Power Meter	June 2006	
RF Lindgren Model 26-2/2-0	Shielded Screen Room	N/A	6710 (PCT270)
MicroCoax	(1.0-26.5GHz) Microwave Cables	N/A	N/A
HP	8648D (9kHz-4GHz) Signal Generator	October 2005	3613A00315
Rohde & Schwarz	(0.1-1000MHz) Signal Generator	September 2005	894215/012
Ray Proof Model S81	Shielded Semi-Anechoic Chamber	N/A	R2437 (PCT278)
Narda	3020A (50-1000MHz) Bi-Directional Coax Coupler	January 2006	
HP	8901A Modulation Analyzer	January 2006	2432A03467
HP	8903B Audio Analyzer	January 2006	3011A09025

Table 4
Equipment List

*Calibration traceable to the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST).

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

11. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

Wireless Communications Device Near-Field Measurement Uncertainty Estimation						
Uncertainty Component	Data (dB)	Data Type	Prob. Dist.	Divisor	Unc. (dB)	Notes/Comments
Measurement System						
RF System Reflections	0.50	Tolerance	R	1.73	0.30	* Refl. < -20 dB
RF Ambient Conditions	0.20	Tolerance	R	1.73	0.12	
Field Probe Conversion Factor	0.42	Tolerance	R	1.73	0.25	
Field Probe Isotropy	0.11	Tolerance	R	1.73	0.06	
Field Probe Frequency Response	0.135	Tolerance	R	1.73	0.08	
Field Probe Linearity	0.025	Tolerance	R	1.73	0.01	
Boundary Effects	0.105	Accuracy	R	1.73	0.06	
Sensor Displacement	0.66	Accuracy	R	1.73	0.39	*
Probe Positioning Accuracy	0.20	Accuracy	R	1.73	0.12	*
Probe Positioner	0.050	Accuracy	R	1.73	0.03	*
Extrapolation/Interpolation	0.045	Tolerance	R	1.73	0.03	*
System Detection Limit	0.05	Tolerance	R	1.73	0.03	*
Readout Electronics	0.015	Tolerance	N	1.00	0.02	*
Integration Time	0.11	Tolerance	R	1.73	0.06	*
Response Time	0.033	Tolerance	R	1.73	0.02	*
Phantom Thickness	0.10	Tolerance	R	1.73	0.06	*
Test Sample Related						
Device Positioning Vertical	0.4	Tolerance	R	1.73	0.24	*
Device Positioning Lateral	0.045	Tolerance	N	1	0.05	*
Device Holder and Phantom	0.1	Tolerance	R	1.73	0.06	*
Power Drift	0.21	Tolerance	N	1	0.21	
Combined Standard Uncertainty (k=1)					0.65	16.1%
Expanded Uncertainty (k=2) [95% confidence]					1.30	32.3%

Table 5
Uncertainty Estimation Table

Notes:
1. Test equipment are calibrated according to techniques outlined in NIS81, NIS3003 and NIST Tech Note 1297. All equipment have traceability according to NIST. Measurement Uncertainties are defined in further detail in NIS 81 and NIST Tech Note 1297 and UKAS M3003.
2. * Uncertainty specifications from Schmidt & Partner Engineering AG (not site specific)

Measurement uncertainty reflects the quality and accuracy of a measured result as compared to the true value. Such statements are generally required when stating results of measurements so that it is clear to the intended audience that the results may differ when reproduced by different facilities. Measurement results vary due to the measurement uncertainty of the instrumentation, measurement technique, and test engineer. Most uncertainties are calculated using the tolerances of the instrumentation used in the measurement, the measurement setup variability, and the technique used in performing the test. While not generally included, the variability of the equipment under test also figures into the overall measurement uncertainty. Another component of the overall uncertainty is based on the variability of repeated measurements (so-called Type A uncertainty). This may mean that the Hearing Aid immunity tests may have to be repeated by taking down the test setup and resetting it up so that there are a statistically significant number of repeat measurements to identify the measurement uncertainty. By combining the repeat measurement results with that of the instrumentation chain using the technique contained in NIS 81 and NIS 3003, the overall measurement uncertainty was estimated.

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

12. TEST DATA

See following Attached Pages for Test Data.

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

Date: 8/31/2005

PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatability Facility

DUT: HAC Dipole 1900 MHz

Type: CD1880V3
Serial: 1002

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1880 MHz;

Measurement Standard: DASY4 (High Precision Assessment)

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: H3DV6 - SN6180; Calibrated: 10/6/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn637; Calibrated: 6/28/2005
- Phantom: HAC Main; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19;

1880MHz, 100mW/20dBm/Hearing Aid Compatibility Test (101x451x1): Measurement grid: dx=2mm, dy=2mm
Maximum value of H_tot (interpolated) = 0.448 A/m



0 dB = 0.448A/m

© 2005 PCTEST LAB

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

Date: 8/31/2005

PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatability Facility

DUT: HAC Dipole 1900 MHz

Type: CD1880V3
Serial: 1002

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1880 MHz;

Measurement Standard: DASY4 (High Precision Assessment)

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ER3DV6 - SN2332; Calibrated: 1/31/2005
- Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn637; Calibrated: 6/28/2005
- Phantom: HAC Main; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19;

1880MHz, 100mW/20dBm/Hearing Aid Compatibility Test (101x451x1): Measurement grid: dx=2mm, dy=2mm
Average value of E_tot (interpolated) = 145.8 V/m



0 dB = 148.7V/m

© 2005 PCTEST LAB

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

Date: 8/31/2005

PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatability Facility

DUT: SGH-X495H

Type: Samsung PCS GSM Phone
Serial: B
Backlight off
Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Communication System: GSM1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz;

Measurement Standard: DASY4 (High Precision Assessment)

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ER3DV6 - SN2332; Calibrated: 1/31/2005
- Sensor-Surface: (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn637; Calibrated: 6/28/2005
- Phantom: HAC Main; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19;

Ch.661 3/Hearing Aid Compatibility Test (251x251x1): Measurement grid: dx=2mm, dy=2mm
Hearing Aid Near-Field Category: M3 (AWF -5 dB)

E in V/m (Time averaged)

Grid 1 **18.8**	Grid 2 **19.8**	Grid 3 **19.3**
Grid 4 **23.6**	Grid 5 **26.3**	Grid 6 **25.6**
Grid 7 **25.7**	Grid 8 **26.8**	Grid 9 **26.1**



0 dB = 26.8V/m

© 2005 PCTEST LAB

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

Date: 8/31/2005

PCTEST Hearing-Aid Compatability Facility

DUT: SGH-X495H

Type: Samsung PCS GSM Phone
Serial: B
Backlight off
Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Communication System: GSM1900; Frequency: 1909.8 MHz;

Measurement Standard: DASY4 (High Precision Assessment)

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: H3DV6 - SN6180; Calibrated: 10/6/2004
- Sensor-Surface: (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn637; Calibrated: 6/28/2005
- Phantom: HAC Main; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19;

Ch.810 2/Hearing Aid Compatibility Test (251x251x1): Measurement grid: dx=2mm, dy=2mm
Hearing Aid Near-Field Category: M3 (AWF -5 dB)

H in A/m (Time averaged)

Grid 1 **0.070**	Grid 2 **0.060**	Grid 3 **0.045**
Grid 4 **0.070**	Grid 5 **0.060**	Grid 6 **0.045**
Grid 7 **0.062**	Grid 8 **0.052**	Grid 9 **0.035**



0 dB = 0.070A/m

© 2005 PCTEST LAB

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

13. PROBE CALIBRATION

The following pages include the probe calibration used to evaluate HAC for the DUT.

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

Calibration Laboratory of
Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland

S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst
C Service suisse d'étalonnage
Servizio svizzero di taratura
S Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Federal Office of Metrology and Accreditation
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

Client PC test

Certificate No: ER3-2332_Jan05

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object	ER3DV6 - SN:2332
Calibration procedure(s)	QA CAL-02.v4 Calibration procedure for E-field probes optimized for close near field evaluations in air
Calibration date:	January 31, 2005
Condition of the calibrated item	In Tolerance

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).
The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Calibrated by, Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter E4419B	GB41293874	5-May-04 (METAS, No. 251-00388)	May-05
Power sensor E4412A	MY41495277	5-May-04 (METAS, No. 251-00388)	May-05
Reference 3 dB Attenuator	SN: S5054 (3c)	10-Aug-04 (METAS, No. 251-00403)	Aug-05
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: S5086 (20b)	3-May-04 (METAS, No. 251-00389)	May-05
Reference 30 dB Attenuator	SN: S5129 (30b)	10-Aug-04 (METAS, No. 251-00404)	Aug-05
Reference Probe ER3DV6	SN: 2328	6-Oct-04 (SPEAG, No. ER3-2328_Oct04)	Oct-05
DAE4	SN: 617	19-Jan-05 (SPEAG, No. DAE4-617_Jan05)	Jan-06

Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092180	18-Sep-02 (SPEAG, in house check Oct-03)	In house check: Oct 05
RF generator HP 8648C	US3642U01700	4-Aug-99 (SPEAG, in house check Dec-03)	In house check: Dec-05
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585	18-Oct-01 (SPEAG, in house check Nov-04)	In house check: Nov 05

	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Katja Pokovic	Technical Manager	
Approved by:	Niels Kuster	Quality Manager	

Issued: February 19, 2005

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

Glossary:

NORMx,y,z	sensitivity in free space
DCP	diode compression point
Polarization φ	φ rotation around probe axis
Polarization ϑ	ϑ rotation around an axis that is in the plane normal to probe axis (at measurement center), i.e., $\vartheta = 0$ is normal to probe axis
Connector Angle	information used in DASY system to align probe sensor X to the robot coordinate system

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

a) IEEE Std 1309-1996, " IEEE Standard for calibration of electromagnetic field sensors and probes, excluding antennas, from 9 kHz to 40 GHz", 1996.

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- *NORMx,y,z:* Assessed for E-field polarization $\vartheta = 0$ for XY sensors and $\vartheta = 90$ for Z sensor ($f \leq 900$ MHz in TEM-cell; $f > 1800$ MHz: R22 waveguide).
- *NORM(f)x,y,z = NORMx,y,z * frequency_response* (see Frequency Response Chart).
- *DCPx,y,z:* DCP are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep (no uncertainty required). DCP does not depend on frequency.
- *Spherical isotropy (3D deviation from isotropy)*: in a locally homogeneous field realized using an open waveguide setup.
- *Sensor Offset*: The sensor offset corresponds to the offset of virtual measurement center from the probe tip (on probe axis). No tolerance required.
- *Connector Angle*: The angle is assessed using the information gained by determining the *NORMx* (no uncertainty required).

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

Probe ER3DV6

SN:2332

Manufactured: September 9, 2003
Calibrated: January 31, 2005

Calibrated for DASY Systems

(Note: non-compatible with DASY2 system!)

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

DASY - Parameters of Probe: ER3DV6 SN:2332

Sensitivity in Free Space [μV/(V/m)^2]		Diode Compression[A]	
NormX	**1.34** ± 10.1 % (k=2)	DCP X	**95** mV
NormY	**1.47** ± 10.1 % (k=2)	DCP Y	**95** mV
NormZ	**1.64** ± 10.1 % (k=2)	DCP Z	**97** mV

Frequency Correction

X	**0.0**
Y	**0.0**
Z	**0.0**

Sensor Offset (Probe Tip to Sensor Center)

X	**2.5** mm
Y	**2.5** mm
Z	**2.5** mm

Connector Angle **139** °

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

[A] numerical linearization parameter: uncertainty not required

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

Frequency Response of E-Field

(TEM-Cell:ifi110 EXX, Waveguide R22)



Uncertainty of Frequency Response of E-field: ± 6.3% (k=2)

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

Receiving Pattern (ϕ), ϑ = 0°





Receiving Pattern (ϕ), ϑ = 90°





© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

Receiving Pattern (ϕ), ϑ = 0°



Uncertainty of Axial Isotropy Assessment: ± 0.5% (k=2)

Receiving Pattern (ϕ), ϑ = 90°



Uncertainty of Axial Isotropy Assessment: ± 0.5% (k=2)

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

Dynamic Range f(E-field)

(Waveguide R22, f = 1800 MHz)





Uncertainty of Linearity Assessment: ± 0.6% (k=2)

Certificate No: ER3-2332_Jan05 Page 8 of 9

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

Deviation from Isotropy in Air
Error (ϕ, ϑ) , f = 900 MHz



Uncertainty of Spherical Isotropy Assessment: ± 2.6% (k=2)

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

Calibration Laboratory of
Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland

S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst
C Service suisse d'étalonnage
Servizio svizzero di taratura
S Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Federal Office of Metrology and Accreditation
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

Client PC Test

Certificate No: H3-6180_Oct04

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object	H3DV6 - SN:6180
Calibration procedure(s)	QA CAL-03.v4 Calibration procedure for H-field probes optimized for close near field evaluations in air
Calibration date:	October 6, 2004
Condition of the calibrated item	In Tolerance

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI). The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Calibrated by, Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter E4419B	GB41293874	5-May-04 (METAS, No. 251-00388)	May-05
Power sensor E4412A	MY41495277	5-May-04 (METAS, No. 251-00388)	May-05
Reference 3 dB Attenuator	SN: S5054 (3c)	3-Apr-03 (METAS, No. 251-00403)	Aug-05
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: S5066 (20b)	3-May-04 (METAS, No. 251-00389)	May-05
Reference 30 dB Attenuator	SN: S5129 (30b)	3-Apr-03 (METAS, No. 251-00404)	Aug-05
Reference Probe H3DV6	SN:6065	17-Dec-03 (SPEAG, No. H3-6065_Dec03)	Dec-04
DAE4	SN: 617	26-May-04 (SPEAG, No. DAE4-617_May04)	May-05

Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092180	18-Sep-02 (SPEAG, in house check Oct-03)	In house check: Oct 05
RF generator HP 8648C	US3642U01700	4-Aug-99 (SPEAG, in house check Dec-03)	In house check: Dec-05
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585	18-Oct-01 (SPEAG, in house check Nov-03)	In house check: Nov 04

	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Katja Pokovic	Technical Manager	
Approved by:	Niels Kuster	Quality Manager	

Issued: October 23, 2004

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

Glossary:

NORMx,y,z	sensitivity in free space
DCP	diode compression point
Polarization φ	φ rotation around probe axis
Polarization ϑ	ϑ rotation around an axis that is in the plane normal to probe axis (at measurement center), i.e., ϑ = 0 is normal to probe axis
Connector Angle	information used in DASY system to align probe sensor X to the robot coordinate system

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

a) IEEE Std 1309-1996, " IEEE Standard for calibration of electromagnetic field sensors and probes, excluding antennas, from 9 kHz to 40 GHz", 1996.

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- *X,Y,Z_a0a1a2:* Assessed for E-field polarization ϑ = 90 for XY sensors and ϑ = 0 for Z sensor (f ≤ 900 MHz in TEM-cell; f > 1800 MHz: R22 waveguide).
- *X,Y,Z(f)_a0a1a2= X,Y,Z_a0a1a2* frequency_response* (see Frequency Response Chart).
- *DCPx,y,z:* DCP are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep (no uncertainty required). DCP does not depend on frequency.
- *Spherical isotropy (3D deviation from isotropy)*: in a locally homogeneous field realized using an open waveguide setup.
- *Sensor Offset*: The sensor offset corresponds to the offset of virtual measurement center from the probe tip (on probe axis). No tolerance required.
- *Connector Angle*: The angle is assessed using the information gained by determining the *X_a0a1a2* (no uncertainty required).

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

Probe H3DV6

SN:6180

Manufactured: July 6, 2004
Calibrated: October 6, 2004

Calibrated for DASY Systems

(Note: non-compatible with DASY2 system!)

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

DASY - Parameters of Probe: H3DV6 SN:6180

Sensitivity in Free Space [A/m / √(μV)]

	a0	a1	a2	
X	**2.490E-03**	**1.788E-05**	**-2.842E-05**	± 5.0 % (k=2)
Y	**2.681E-03**	**3.017E-05**	**-3.113E-05**	± 5.0 % (k=2)
Z	**2.912E-03**	**-1.610E-05**	**1.858E-05**	± 5.0 % (k=2)

Diode Compression[1]

DCP X	**85** mV
DCP Y	**85** mV
DCP Z	**87** mV

Sensor Offset (Probe Tip to Sensor Center)

X	**3.0** mm
Y	**3.0** mm
Z	**3.0** mm

Connector Angle **4** °

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

[1] numerical linearization parameter: uncertainty not required

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

Frequency Response of H-Field

(TEM-Cell:ifi110, Waveguide R22)



Uncertainty of Frequency Response of E-field: ± 6.3% (k=2)

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

Receiving Pattern (ϕ), ϑ = 90°





Receiving Pattern (ϕ), ϑ = 0°





© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

Receiving Pattern (ϕ), ϑ = 90°



Uncertainty of Axial Isotropy Assessment: ± 0.5% (k=2)

Receiving Pattern (ϕ), ϑ = 0°



Uncertainty of Axial Isotropy Assessment: ± 0.5% (k=2)

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

H3DV6 SN:6180 **October 6, 2004**

Dynamic Range f(H-field)

(Waveguide R22, f = 1800 MHz)





Uncertainty of Linearity Assessment: ± 0.6% (k=2)

Certificate No: H3-6180_Oct04 Page 8 of 8

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

Calibration Laboratory of
Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland

Client PG TEST

Certificate No: CD1880V3-1002_Feb05

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object	CD1880V3 - SN: 1002
Calibration procedure(s)	QA CAL-20.v2 Calibration procedure for dipoles in air
Calibration date:	February, 23, 2005
Condition of the calibrated item	In Tolerance

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).
All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Calibrated by, Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter EPM E442	GB37480704	12-Oct-04 (METAS, No. 251-00412)	Oct-05
Power sensor HP 8481A	US37292783	12-Oct-04 (METAS, No. 251-00412)	Oct-05
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: 5086 (20g)	10-Aug-04 (METAS, No 251-00402)	Aug-05
Reference 10 dB Attenuator	SN: 5047.2 (10r)	10-Aug-04 (METAS, No 251-00402)	Aug-05
Reference Probe ER3DV6	SN 2328	06-Oct-04 (SPEAG, No. ER3-2328_Oct04)	Oct-05
DAE4	SN 601	07-Jan-05 (SPEAG, No. DAE4-601_Jan05)	Jan-06

Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092312	10-Aug-03 (SPEAG, in house check Jan-04)	In house check: Oct-05
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41093315	10-Aug-03 (SPEAG, in house check Jan-04)	In house check: Oct-05
RF generator Agilent E8251A	US41140111	4-Aug-03 (Agilent)	In house check: Aug-05
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585 S4206	18-Oct-01 (SPEAG, in house check Nov-04)	In house check: Nov-05
Probe H3DV6	SN: 6065	10-Oct-04 (SPEAG, No. H3-6065-Oct04)	Calibration, Oct-05

	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Mike Meili	Laboratory Technician	M Meili
Approved by:	Fin Bomholt	Technical Director	F. Bomholt

Issued: February 27, 2005

This calibration certificate is issued as an intermediate solution until the specific calibration procedure is submitted and accepted in the frame of the accreditation of the Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (based on ISO/IEC 17025 International Standard)

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

References

[1] ANSI-PC63.19-2003 (Draft)
American National Standard for Methods of Measurement of Compatibility between Wireless Communications Devices and Hearing Aids.

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- *Coordinate System:* y-axis is in the direction of the dipole arms. z-axis is from the basis of the antenna (mounted on the table) towards its feed point between the two dipole arms. x-axis is normal to the other axes. In coincidence with standard [1], the measurement planes (probe sensor center) are selected to be at a distance of 10 mm above the the top edge of the dipole arms.
- *Measurement Conditions:* Further details are available from the hardcopies at the end of the certificate. All figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated. The forward power to the dipole connector is set with a calibrated power meter connected and monitored with an auxiliary power meter connected to a directional coupler. While the dipole under test is connected, the forward power is adjusted to the same level.
- *Antenna Positioning:* The dipole is mounted on a HAC Test Arch phantom using the matching dipole positioner with the arms horizontal and the feeding cable coming from the floor. The measurements are performed in a shielded room with absorbers around the setup to reduce the reflections.
  It is verified before the mounting of the dipole under the Test Arch phantom, that its arms are perfectly in a line. It is installed on the HAC dipole positioner with its arms parallel below the dielectric reference wire and able to move elastically in vertical direction without changing its relative position to the top center of the Test Arch phantom. The vertical distance to the probe is adjusted after dipole mounting with a DASY4 Surface Check job. Before the measurement, the distance between phantom surface and probe tip is verified. The proper measurement distance is selected by choosing the matching section of the HAC Test Arch phantom with the proper device reference point (upper surface of the dipole) and the matching grid reference point (tip of the probe) considering the probe sensor offset. The vertical distance to the probe is essential for the accuracy.
- *Feed Point Impedance and Return Loss:* These parameters are measured using a HP 8753E Vector Network Analyzer. The impedance is specified at the SMA connector of the dipole. The influence of reflections was eliminating by applying the averaging function while moving the dipole in the air, at least 70cm away from any obstacles.
- *E- field distribution:* E field is measured in the x-y-plane with an isotropic ER3D-field probe with 100 mW forward power to the antenna feed point. In accordance with [1], the scan area is 20mm wide, its length exceeds the dipole arm length (180 or 90mm). The sensor center is 10 mm (in z) above the top of the dipole arms. Two 3D maxima are available near the end of the dipole arms. Assuming the dipole arms are perfectly in one line, the average of these two maxima (in subgrid 2 and subgrid 8) is determined to compensate for any non-parallelity to the measurement plane as well as the sensor displacement. The E-field value stated as calibration value represents the maximum of the interpolated 3D-E-field, 10mm above the dipole surface.
- *H-field distribution:* H-field is measured with an isotropic H-field probe with 100mW forward power to the antenna feed point, in the x-y-plane. The scan area and sensor distance is equivalent to the E-field scan. The maximum of the field is available at the center (subgrid 5) above the feed point. The H-field value stated as calibration value represents the maximum of the interpolated H-field, 10mm above the dipole surface at the feed point.

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

1 Measurement Conditions

DASY system configuration, as far as not given on page 1.

DASY Version	DASY4	V4.5 B13
DASY PP Version	SEMCAD	V1.8 B144
Phantom	HAC Test Arch	SD HAC P01 BA, #1002
Distance Dipole Top - Probe Center	10 mm	
Scan resolution	dx, dy = 5 mm	area = 20 x 90 mm
Frequency	**1880 MHz** ± 1 MHz	
Forward power at dipole connector	20.0 dBm = 100mW	
Input power drift	< 0.05 dB	

2 Maximum Field values

H-field 10 mm above dipole surface	condition	**interpolated maximum**
Maximum measured	100 mW forward power	**0.450 A/m**

Uncertainty for H-field measurement: 19.5% (k=2)

E-field 10 mm above dipole surface	condition	**interpolated maximum**
Maximum measured above high end	100 mW forward power	146.0 V/m
Maximum measured above low end	100 mW forward power	145.6 V/m
Averaged maximum above arm	100 mW forward power	145.8 **V/m**

Uncertainty for E-field measurement: 21.7% (k=2)

3 Appendix

3.1 Antenna Parameters

Frequency	**Return Loss**	**Impedance**
1710 MHz	23.4 dB	(55.2 + j6.1) Ohm
1880 MHz	**21.4 dB**	**(53.9 + j7.4) Ohm**
1900 MHz	20.9 dB	(55.8 + j6.7) Ohm
1950 MHz	28.0 dB	(54.1 + j1.9) Ohm
2000 MHz	18.9 dB	(51.2 + j11.9) Ohm

3.2 Antenna Design and Handling

The calibration dipole has a symmetric geometry with a built-in two stub matching network, which leads to the enhanced bandwidth.
The dipole is built of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The internal matching line is open ended. The antenna is therefore open for DC signals.
Do not apply force to dipole arms, as they are liable to bend. The soldered connections near the feedpoint may be damaged. After excessive mechanical stress or overheating, check the impedance characteristics to ensure that the internal matching network is not affected.

After long term use with 40W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

3.3 Measurement Sheets

3.3.1 Return Loss and Smith Chart



3.3.2 DASY4 H-field result

See page 5

3.3.3 DASY4 E-Field result

See page 6

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

Date/Time: 23.02.2005 11:02:39

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland
File Name: H_CD1880_1002_050223.da4

DUT: HAC Dipole 1880 MHz; Type: CD1880V3; Serial: 1002
Program Name: HAC H Dipole

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1880 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium parameters used: $\sigma = 0$; mho/m, $\varepsilon_r = 1$; $\rho = 1$ kg/m^3
Phantom section: H Dipole Section

DASY4 Configuration:
- Probe: H3DV6 - SN6065; ; Calibrated: 10.12.2004
- Sensor-Surface: (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn901; Calibrated: 29.06.2004
- Phantom: HAC Phantom; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 13; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 144

H Scan 10mm above CD 1880 MHz/Hearing Aid Compatibility Test (41x181x1): Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5.5555mm
Maximum value of Total field (slot averaged) = 0.450 A/m
Hearing Aid Near-Field Category: M2 (AWF 0 dB)

H in A/m (Time averaged)

Grid 1	Grid 2	Grid 3
0.385	**0.413**	**0.395**
Grid 4	Grid 5	Grid 6
0.421	**0.450**	**0.432**
Grid 7	Grid 8	Grid 9
0.376	**0.401**	**0.386**

H in A/m (Slot averaged)

Grid 1	Grid 2	Grid 3
0.385	**0.413**	**0.395**
Grid 4	Grid 5	Grid 6
0.421	**0.450**	**0.432**
Grid 7	Grid 8	Grid 9
0.376	**0.401**	**0.386**



0 dB = 0.450A/m

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

Date/Time: 23.02.2005 16:30:33

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland
File Name: E_CD1880_1002_050223.da4

DUT: HAC Dipole 1880 MHz; Type: CD1880V3; Serial: 1002
Program Name: HAC E Dipole

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1880 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium parameters used: $\sigma = 0$; mho/m, $\varepsilon_r = 1$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m^3
Phantom section: E Dipole Section

DASY4 Configuration:
- Probe: ER3DV6 - SN2328; ConvF(1, 1, 1); Calibrated: 06.10.2004
- Sensor-Surface: (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn901; Calibrated: 29.06.2004
- Phantom: HAC Phantom; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 13; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 144

E Scan 10mm above CD 1880 MHz/Hearing Aid Compatibility Test (41x181x1): Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5.5555mm
Maximum value of Total field (slot averaged) = 146.0 V/m
Hearing Aid Near-Field Category: M2 (AWF 0 dB)

E in V/m (Time averaged)

Grid 1	Grid 2	Grid 3
128.7	**145.6**	**130.5**
Grid 4	Grid 5	Grid 6
90.1	**92.4**	**88.8**
Grid 7	Grid 8	Grid 9
126.7	**146.0**	**131.8**

E in V/m (Slot averaged)

Grid 1	Grid 2	Grid 3
128.7	**145.6**	**130.5**
Grid 4	Grid 5	Grid 6
90.1	**92.4**	**88.8**
Grid 7	Grid 8	Grid 9
126.7	**146.0**	**131.8**



0 dB = 146.0V/m

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

Calibration Laboratory of
Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland

Client PC TEST

Certificate No: CD835V3-1003_Feb05

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object	CD835V3 - SN: 1003
Calibration procedure(s)	QA CAL-20.v2 Calibration procedure for dipoles in air
Calibration date:	February, 23, 2005
Condition of the calibrated item	In Tolerance

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).
All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Calibrated by, Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter EPM E442	GB37480704	12-Oct-04 (METAS, No. 251-00412)	Oct-05
Power sensor HP 8481A	US37292783	12-Oct-04 (METAS, No. 251-00412)	Oct-05
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: 5086 (20g)	10-Aug-04 (METAS, No 251-00402)	Aug-05
Reference 10 dB Attenuator	SN: 5047.2 (10r)	10-Aug-04 (METAS, No 251-00402)	Aug-05
Reference Probe ER3DV6	SN 2328	06-Oct-04 (SPEAG, No. ER3-2328_Oct04)	Oct-05
DAE4	SN 601	07-Jan-05 (SPEAG, No. DAE4-601_Jan05)	Jan-06

Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092312	10-Aug-03 (SPEAG, in house check Jan-04)	In house check: Oct-05
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41093315	10-Aug-03 (SPEAG, in house check Jan-04)	In house check: Oct-05
RF generator Agilent E8251A	US41140111	4-Aug-03 (Agilent)	In house check: Aug-05
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585 S4206	18-Oct-01 (SPEAG, in house check Nov-04)	In house check: Nov-05
Probe H3DV6	SN: 6065	10-Oct-04 (SPEAG, No. H3-6065-Oct04)	Calibration, Oct-05

	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Mike Meili	Laboratory Technician	
Approved by:	Fin Bomholt	Technical Director	

Issued: February 27, 2005

This calibration certificate is issued as an intermediate solution until the specific calibration procedure is submitted and accepted in the frame of the accreditation of the Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (based on ISO/IEC 17025 International Standard)

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

Calibration Laboratory of
Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland

References

[1] ANSI-PC63.19-2003 (Draft)
American National Standard for Methods of Measurement of Compatibility between Wireless Communications Devices and Hearing Aids.

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- *Coordinate System:* y-axis is in the direction of the dipole arms. z-axis is from the basis of the antenna (mounted on the table) towards its feed point between the two dipole arms. x-axis is normal to the other axes. In coincidence with standard [1], the measurement planes (probe sensor center) are selected to be at a distance of 10 mm above the the top edge of the dipole arms.
- *Measurement Conditions:* Further details are available from the hardcopies at the end of the certificate. All figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated. The forward power to the dipole connector is set with a calibrated power meter connected and monitored with an auxiliary power meter connected to a directional coupler. While the dipole under test is connected, the forward power is adjusted to the same level.
- *Antenna Positioning:* The dipole is mounted on a HAC Test Arch phantom using the matching dipole positioner with the arms horizontal and the feeding cable coming from the floor. The measurements are performed in a shielded room with absorbers around the setup to reduce the reflections.
  It is verified before the mounting of the dipole under the Test Arch phantom, that its arms are perfectly in a line. It is installed on the HAC dipole positioner with its arms parallel below the dielectric reference wire and able to move elastically in vertical direction without changing its relative position to the top center of the Test Arch phantom. The vertical distance to the probe is adjusted after dipole mounting with a DASY4 Surface Check job. Before the measurement, the distance between phantom surface and probe tip is verified. The proper measurement distance is selected by choosing the matching section of the HAC Test Arch phantom with the proper device reference point (upper surface of the dipole) and the matching grid reference point (tip of the probe) considering the probe sensor offset. The vertical distance to the probe is essential for the accuracy.
- *Feed Point Impedance and Return Loss:* These parameters are measured using a HP 8753E Vector Network Analyzer. The impedance is specified at the SMA connector of the dipole. The influence of reflections was eliminating by applying the averaging function while moving the dipole in the air, at least 70cm away from any obstacles.
- *E- field distribution:* E field is measured in the x-y-plane with an isotropic ER3D-field probe with 100 mW forward power to the antenna feed point. In accordance with [1], the scan area is 20mm wide, its length exceeds the dipole arm length (180 or 90mm). The sensor center is 10 mm (in z) above the top of the dipole arms. Two 3D maxima are available near the end of the dipole arms. Assuming the dipole arms are perfectly in one line, the average of these two maxima (in subgrid 2 and subgrid 8) is determined to compensate for any non-parallelity to the measurement plane as well as the sensor displacement. The E-field value stated as calibration value represents the maximum of the interpolated 3D-E-field, 10mm above the dipole surface.
- *H-field distribution:* H-field is measured with an isotropic H-field probe with 100mW forward power to the antenna feed point, in the x-y-plane. The scan area and sensor distance is equivalent to the E-field scan. The maximum of the field is available at the center (subgrid 5) above the feed point. The H-field value stated as calibration value represents the maximum of the interpolated H-field, 10mm above the dipole surface at the feed point.

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

1 Measurement Conditions

DASY system configuration, as far as not given on page 1.

DASY Version	DASY4	V4.5 B13
DASY PP Version	SEMCAD	V1.8 B144
Phantom	HAC Test Arch	SD HAC P01 BA, #1002
Distance Dipole Top - Probe Center	10 mm	
Scan resolution	dx, dy = 5 mm	area = 20 x 180 mm
Frequency	**835 MHz** ± 1 MHz	
Forward power at dipole connector	20.0 dBm = 100mW	
Input power drift	< 0.05 dB	

2 Maximum Field values

H-field 10 mm above dipole surface	condition	**interpolated maximum**
Maximum measured	100 mW forward power	**0.470 A/m**

Uncertainty for H-field measurement: 19.5% (k=2)

E-field 10 mm above dipole surface	condition	**interpolated maximum**
Maximum measured above high end	100 mW forward power	187.0 V/m
Maximum measured above low end	100 mW forward power	183.2 V/m
Averaged maximum above arm	100 mW forward power	**185.1 V/m**

Uncertainty for E-field measurement: 21.7% (k=2)

3 Appendix

3.1 Antenna Parameters

Frequency	**Return Loss**	**Impedance**
800 MHz	16.6 dB	(40.5 - j9.6) Ohm
835 MHz	**25.2 dB**	**(55.3 + j2.4) Ohm**
900 MHz	16.6 dB	(52.7 - j15.2) Ohm
950 MHz	25.1 dB	(50.9 + j5.5) Ohm
960 MHz	17.2 dB	(61.0 + j10.9) Ohm

3.2 Antenna Design and Handling

The calibration dipole has a symmetric geometry with a built-in two stub matching network, which leads to the enhanced bandwidth.
The dipole is built of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The internal matching line is open ended. The antenna is therefore open for DC signals.
Do not apply force to dipole arms, as they are liable to bend. The soldered connections near the feedpoint may be damaged. After excessive mechanical stress or overheating, check the impedance characteristics to ensure that the internal matching network is not affected.

After long term use with 40W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

3.3 Measurement Sheets

3.3.1 Return Loss and Smith Chart



3.3.2 DASY4 H-field result

See page 5

3.3.3 DASY4 E-Field result

See page 6

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

Date/Time: 22.02.2005 17:22:00

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland
File Name: H_CD835_1003_050222.da4

DUT: HAC-Dipole 835 MHz; Type: D835V3; Serial: 1003
Program Name: HAC H Dipole

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 835 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium parameters used: $\sigma = 0$; mho/m, $\varepsilon_r = 1$; $\rho = 1$ kg/m^3
Phantom section: H Dipole Section

DASY4 Configuration:
- Probe: H3DV6 - SN6065; ; Calibrated: 10.12.2004
- Sensor-Surface: (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn901; Calibrated: 29.06.2004
- Phantom: HAC Phantom; Type: SD HAC P01 BA; Serial: 1002
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 13; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 144

H Scan 10mm above CD 835 MHz/Hearing Aid Compatibility Test (41x361x1): Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5.5555mm
Maximum value of Total field (slot averaged) = 0.470 A/m
Hearing Aid Near-Field Category: M2 (AWF 0 dB)

H in A/m (Time averaged)

Grid 1	Grid 2	Grid 3
0.365	**0.397**	**0.380**
Grid 4	Grid 5	Grid 6
0.408	**0.470**	**0.425**
Grid 7	Grid 8	Grid 9
0.350	**0.380**	**0.368**

H in A/m (Slot averaged)

Grid 1	Grid 2	Grid 3
0.365	**0.397**	**0.380**
Grid 4	Grid 5	Grid 6
0.408	**0.470**	**0.425**
Grid 7	Grid 8	Grid 9
0.350	**0.380**	**0.368**



0 dB = 0.470 A/m

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

Date/Time: 23.02.2005 14:29:23

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland
File Name: E_CD835_1003_050223.da4

DUT: HAC-Dipole 835 MHz; Type: D835V3; Serial: 1003
Program Name: HAC E Dipole

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 835 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium parameters used: $\sigma = 0$; mho/m, $\varepsilon_r = 1$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m^3
Phantom section: E Dipole Section

DASY4 Configuration:
- Probe: ER3DV6 - SN2328; ConvF(1, 1, 1); Calibrated: 06.10.2004
- Sensor-Surface: (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn901; Calibrated: 29.06.2004
- Phantom: HAC Phantom; Type: SD HAC P01 BA; Serial: 1002
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 13; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 144

E Scan 10mm above CD 835 MHz/Hearing Aid Compatibility Test (41x361x1): Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5.5555mm
Maximum value of Total field (slot averaged) = 187.0 V/m
Hearing Aid Near-Field Category: M2 (AWF 0 dB)

E in V/m (Time averaged)

Grid 1	Grid 2	Grid 3
156.0	**187.0**	**150.1**
Grid 4	Grid 5	Grid 6
83.6	**84.8**	**80.4**
Grid 7	Grid 8	Grid 9
148.0	**183.2**	**149.5**

E in V/m (Slot averaged)

Grid 1	Grid 2	Grid 3
156.0	**187.0**	**150.1**
Grid 4	Grid 5	Grid 6
83.6	**84.8**	**80.4**
Grid 7	Grid 8	Grid 9
148.0	**183.2**	**149.5**



0 dB = 187.0 V/m

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

15. CONCLUSION

The measurements indicate that the wireless communications device complies with the HAC limits specified in accordance with the ANSI PC63.19 Standard and FCC WT Docket No. 01-309 RM-8658. Precise laboratory measures were taken to assure repeatability of the tests. The tested device complies with the requirements in respect to all parameters specific to the test. The test results and statements relate only to the item(s) tested.

Please note that the M-rating for this equipment only represents the field interference possible against a hypothetical and typical hearing aid. The measurement system and techniques presented in this evaluation are proposed in the ANSI standard as a means of best approximating wireless device compatibility with a hearing-aid. The literature is under continual re-construction.

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

16. REFERENCES

1. ANSI PC63.19-2005 D3.6, American National Standard for Methods of Measurement of Compatibility between Wireless communication devices and Hearing Aids.", New York, NY, IEEE, April 2005.

2. Berger, H. S., "Compatibility Between Hearing Aids and Wireless Devices," Electronic Industries Forum, Boston, MA, May, 1997

3. Berger, H. S., "Hearing Aid and Cellular Phone Compatibility: Working Toward Solutions," Wireless Telephones and Hearing Aids: New Challenges for Audiology, Gallaudet University, Washington, D.C., May, 1997 (To be reprinted in the American Journal of Audiology).

4. Berger, H. S., "Hearing Aid Compatibility with Wireless Communications Devices, " IEEE International Symposium on Electromagnetic Compatibility, Austin, TX, August, 1997.

5. Bronaugh, E. L., "Simplifying EMI Immunity (Susceptibility) Tests in TEM Cells," in the 1990 IEEE International Symposium on Electromagnetic Compatibility Symposium Record, Washington, D.C., August 1990, pp. 488-491

6. Byme, D. and Dillon, H., The National Acoustics Laboratory (NAL) New Procedure for Selecting the Gain and Frequency Response of a Hearing Aid, Ear and Hearing 7:257-265, 1986.

7. Crawford, M. L., "Measurement of Electromagnetic Radiation from Electronic Equipment using TEM Transmission Cells, " U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, NBSIR 73-306, Feb. 1973.

8. Crawford, M. L., and Workman, J. L., "Using a TEM Cell for EMC Measurements of Electronic Equipment," U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards. Technical Note 1013, July 1981.

9. EHIMA GSM Project, Development phase, Project Report (1st part) Revision A. Technical-Audiological Laboratory and Telecom Denmark, October 1993.

10. EHIMA GSM Project, Development phase, Part II Project Report. Technical-Audiological Laboratory and Telecom Denmark, June 1994.

11. EHIMA GSM Project Final Report, Hearing Aids and GSM Mobile Telephones: Interference Problems, Methods of Measurement and Levels of Immunity. Technical-Audiological Laboratory and Telecom Denmark, 1995.

12. HAMPIS Report, Comparison of Mobile phone electromagnetic near field with an upscaled electromagnetic far field, using hearing aid as reference, 21 October 1999.

13. Hearing Aids/GSM, Report from OTWIDAM, Technical-Audiological Laboratory and Telecom Denmark, April 1993.

14. IEEE 100, The Authoritative Dictionary of IEEE Standards Terms, Seventh Edition.

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

15. Joyner, K. H, et. al., Interference to Hearing Aids by the New Digital Mobile Telephone System, Global System for Mobile (GSM) Communication Standard, National Acoustic Laboratory, Australian Hearing Series, Sydney 1993.

16. Joyner, K. H., et. al., Interference to Hearing Aids by the Digital Mobile Telephone System, Global System for Mobile Communications (GSM), NAL Report #131, National Acoustic Laboratory, Australian Hearing Series, Sydney, 1995.

17. Kecker, W. T., Crawford, M. L., and Wilson, W. A., "Contruction of a Transverse Electromagnetic Cell", U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, Technical Note 1011, Nov. 1978.

18. Konigstein, D., and Hansen, D., "A New Family of TEM Cells with enlarged bandwidth and Optimized working Volume," in the Proceedings of the 7th International Symposium on EMC, Zurich, Switzerland, March 1987; 50:9, pp. 127-132.

19. Kuk, F., and Hjorstgaard, N. K., "Factors affecting interference from digital cellular telephones," Hearing Journal, 1997; 50:9, pp 32-34.

20. Ma, M. A., and Kanda, M., "Electromagnetic Compatibility and Interference Metrology," U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, Technical Note 1099, July 1986, pp. 17-43.

21. Ma, M. A., Sreenivashiah, I. , and Chang, D. C., "A Method of Determining the Emission and Susceptibility Levels of Electrically Small Objects Using a TEM Cell," U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, Technial Note 1040, July 1981.

22. McCandless, G. A., and Lyregaard, P. E., Prescription of Gain/Output (POGO) for Hearing Aids, Hearing Instruments 1:16-21, 1983

23. Skopec, M., "Hearing Aid Electromagnetic Interference from Digital Wireless Telephones, "IEEE Transactions on Rehabilitation Engineering, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 235-239, June 1998.

24. Technical Report, GSM 05.90, GSM EMC Considerations, European Telecommunications Standards Institute, January 1993.

25. Victorian, T. A., "Digital Cellular Telephone Interference and Hearing Aid Compatibility—an Update," Hearing Journal 1998; 51:10, pp. 53-60

26. Wong, G. S. K., and Embleton, T. F. W., eds., AIP Handbook of Condenser Microphones: Theory, Calibration and Measurements, AIP Press.

© 2005 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.