



SAR TEST CERTIFICATION REPORT

Applicant Name:

Samsung Electronics, Co. Ltd.
18600 Broadwick St.
Rancho Dominguez, CA 90220
United States

Date of Testing:

09/15/09

Test Site/Location:

PCTEST Lab, Columbia, MD, USA

Test Report Serial No.:

0909081671.A3L

FCC ID:

A3LSLCU100

APPLICANT:

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS, CO. LTD.

EUT Type:

LTE 700 MHz USB Modem

Application Type:

Certification

FCC Rule Part(s):

CFR §2.1093; FCC/OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C [July 2001]

FCC Classification:

Non-Broadcast Transmitter (TNB)

Model(s):

SLC-U100

Tx Frequency:

777 – 787 MHz (10 MHz BW LTE)

Conducted Power:

23.04 dBm LTE-750

Max. SAR Measurement:

1.09 W/kg LTE-750 Body SAR

Test Device Serial No.:

Pre-Production [S/N: #6]

This wireless portable device has been shown to be capable of compliance for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) for uncontrolled environment/general population exposure limits specified in ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992 and has been tested in accordance with the measurement procedures specified in FCC/OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C (2001), IEEE 1528-2003 and in applicable Industry Canada Radio Standards Specifications (RSS); for North American frequency bands only.

I attest to the accuracy of data. All measurements reported herein were performed by me or were made under my supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I assume full responsibility for the completeness of these measurements and vouch for the qualifications of all persons taking them. Test results reported herein relate only to the item(s) tested.

PCTEST certifies that no party to this application has been denied the FCC benefits pursuant to Section 5301 of the Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1988, 21 U.S.C. 862.


Randy Ortanez
President

FCC ID: A3LSLCU100		CERTIFICATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename: 0909081671.A3L	Test Dates: 09/15/09	EUT Type: LTE 700 MHz USB Modem		Page 1 of 21

T A B L E O F C O N T E N T S

1	INTRODUCTION	3
2	TEST SITE LOCATION	4
3	SAR MEASUREMENT SETUP	5
4	DASY E-FIELD PROBE SYSTEM	7
5	PROBE CALIBRATION PROCESS	8
6	PHANTOM AND EQUIVALENT TISSUES.....	9
7	DOSIMETRIC ASSESSMENT & PHANTOM SPECS.....	10
8	TEST CONFIGURATION POSITIONS	11
9	RF EXPOSURE LIMITS	12
10	MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES	13
11	SYSTEM VERIFICATION.....	14
12	LTE TEST CONFIGURATION.....	16
13	SAR DATA SUMMARY	17
14	EQUIPMENT LIST.....	18
15	CONCLUSION.....	19
16	REFERENCES	20

FCC ID: A3LSLCU100		CERTIFICATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename: 0909081671.A3L	Test Dates: 09/15/09	EUT Type: LTE 700 MHz USB Modem		Page 2 of 21

1 INTRODUCTION

The FCC has adopted the guidelines for evaluating the environmental effects of radio frequency (RF) radiation in ET Docket 93-62 on Aug. 6, 1996 to protect the public and workers from the potential hazards of RF emissions due to FCC-regulated portable devices.[1]

The safety limits used for the environmental evaluation measurements are based on the criteria published by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) in IEEE/ANSI C95.1-1992 Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz[2] and Health Canada RF Exposure Guidelines Safety Code 6 [26]. The measurement procedure described in IEEE/ANSI C95.3-2002 Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic Fields - RF and Microwave [3] is used for guidance in measuring the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) due to the RF radiation exposure from the Equipment Under Test (EUT). These criteria for SAR evaluation are similar to those recommended by the International Committee for Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP) in Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields," Report No. Vol 74. SAR is a measure of the rate of energy absorption due to exposure to an RF transmitting source. SAR values have been related to threshold levels for potential biological hazards.

1.1 SAR Definition

Specific Absorption Rate is defined as the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dU) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dV) of a given density (ρ). It is also defined as the rate of RF energy absorption per unit mass at a point in an absorbing body (see Fig. 1-1).

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dU}{dm} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dU}{\rho dV} \right)$$

Figure 1-1
SAR Mathematical Equation

SAR is expressed in units of Watts per Kilogram (W/kg).

$$SAR = \frac{\sigma \cdot E^2}{\rho}$$

where:

- σ = conductivity of the tissue-simulating material (S/m)
- ρ = mass density of the tissue-simulating material (kg/m^3)
- E = Total RMS electric field strength (V/m)

NOTE: The primary factors that control rate of energy absorption were found to be the wavelength of the incident field in relation to the dimensions and geometry of the irradiated organism, the orientation of the organism in relation to the polarity of field vectors, the presence of reflecting surfaces, and whether conductive contact is made by the organism with a ground plane.[6]


FCC ID: A3LSLCU100		CERTIFICATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename: 0909081671.A3L	Test Dates: 09/15/09	EUT Type: LTE 700 MHz USB Modem		Page 3 of 21

2 TEST SITE LOCATION

2.1 INTRODUCTION

The map at the right shows the location of the PCTEST LABORATORY in Columbia, Maryland. It is in proximity to the FCC Laboratory, the Baltimore-Washington International (BWI) airport, the city of Baltimore and Washington, DC (See Figure 2).

These measurement tests were conducted at the PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc. facility in New Concept Business Park, Guilford Industrial Park, Columbia, Maryland. The site address is 6660-B Dobbin Road, Columbia, MD 21045. The test site is one of the highest points in the Columbia area with an elevation of 390 feet above mean sea level. The site coordinates are 39° 11'15" N latitude and 76° 49' 38" W longitude. The facility is 1.5 miles north of the FCC laboratory, and the ambient signal and ambient signal strength are approximately equal to those of the FCC laboratory. There are no FM or TV transmitters within 15 miles of the site. The detailed description of the measurement facility was found to be in compliance with the requirements of § 2.948 according to ANSI C63.4 on January 27, 2006 and Industry Canada.



3 SAR MEASUREMENT SETUP

3.1 Robotic System

Measurements are performed using the DASY4 automated dosimetric assessment system. The DASY4 is made by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG) in Zurich, Switzerland and consists of high precision robotics system (Staubli), robot controller, Pentium 4 computer, near-field probe, probe alignment sensor, and the generic twin phantom containing the brain equivalent material. The robot is a six-axis industrial robot performing precise movements to position the probe to the location (points) of maximum electromagnetic field (EMF) (see Figure 3-1).

3.2 System Hardware

A cell controller system contains the power supply, robot controller, teach pendant (Joystick), and a remote control used to drive the robot motors. The PC consists of the Gateway Pentium 4 2.53 GHz computer with Windows XP system and SAR Measurement Software DASY4, A/D interface card, monitor, mouse, and keyboard. The Staubli Robot is connected to the cell controller to allow software manipulation of the robot. A data acquisition electronic (DAE) circuit that performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. is connected to the Electro-optical coupler (EOC). The EOC performs the conversion from the optical into digital electric signal of the DAE and transfers data to the PC plug-in card.

3.3 System Electronics




Figure 3-1
SAR Measurement System Setup

The DAE4 consists of a highly sensitive electrometer-grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16 bit AD-converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Transmission to the PC-card is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information and an optical uplink for commands and clock lines. The mechanical probe mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sidewise probe contacts. They are also used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection. The robot uses its own controller with a built in VME-bus computer. The system is described in detail in [7].

FCC ID: A3LSLCU100	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	CERTIFICATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename: 0909081671.A3L	Test Dates: 09/15/09	EUT Type: LTE 700 MHz USB Modem		Page 5 of 21

3.4 Automated Test System Specifications

Positioner

Robot: Stäubli Unimation Corp. Robot RX60L
Repeatability: 0.02 mm
No. of Axes: 6

Data Acquisition Electronic System (DAE)

Cell Controller

Processor: Pentium 4
Clock Speed: 2.53 GHz
Operating System: Windows XP Professional

Data Converter

Features: Signal Amplifier, multiplexer, A/D converter & control logic
Software: DASY4, SEMCAD software
Connecting Lines: Optical Downlink for data and status info
Optical upload for commands and clock

PC Interface Card

Function: 166MHz low power Pentium MMX 32MB chipdisk
Link to DAE
16-bit A/D converter for surface detection system
Two Serial & Ethernet link to robotics
Direct emergency stop output for robot

Phantom

Type: SAM Twin Phantom (V4.0)
Shell Material: Composite
Thickness: 2.0 ± 0.2 mm



Figure 3-2
DASY4 SAR Measurement System

FCC ID: A3LSLCU100		CERTIFICATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename: 0909081671.A3L	Test Dates: 09/15/09	EUT Type: LTE 700 MHz USB Modem		Page 6 of 21

4.1 Probe Measurement System



Figure 4-1
SAR System

The SAR measurements were conducted with the dosimetric probe EX3DV4, designed in the classical triangular configuration [7] (see Figure 4-3) and optimized for dosimetric evaluation. The probe is constructed using the thick film technique; with printed resistive lines on ceramic substrates. The probe is equipped with an optical multi-fiber line ending at the front of the probe tip. It is connected to the EOC box on the robot arm and provides an automatic detection of the phantom surface. Half of the fibers are connected to a pulsed infrared transmitter, the other half to a synchronized receiver. As the probe approaches the surface, the reflection from the surface produces a coupling from the transmitting to the receiving fibers. This reflection increases first during the approach, reaches maximum and then decreases. If the probe is flatly touching the surface, the coupling is zero. The distance of the coupling maximum to the surface is independent of the surface reflectivity and largely independent of the surface to probe angle. The DASY4 software reads the reflection during a software approach and looks for the maximum using a 2nd order fitting (see Figure 5-1). The approach is stopped at reaching the maximum.

4.2 Probe Specifications

Model:	ES3DV3, EX3DV4
Frequency Range:	10 MHz – 6.0 GHz (EX3DV4) 10 MHz – 4 GHz (ES3DV3)
Calibration:	In brain and muscle simulating tissue at Frequencies from 835 up to 5800MHz
Linearity:	± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 6 GHz) for EX3DV4 ± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 4 GHz) for ES3DV3
Dynamic Range:	10 mW/kg – 100 W/kg
Probe Length:	330 mm
Probe Tip Length:	20 mm
Body Diameter:	12 mm
Tip Diameter:	2.5 mm (3.9mm for ES3DV3)
Tip-Center:	1 mm (2.0 mm for ES3DV3)
Application:	SAR Dosimetry Testing Compliance tests of mobile phones Dosimetry in strong gradient fields



Figure 4-2
Near-Field Probe



Figure 4-3
Triangular Probe Configuration

FCC ID: A3LSLCU100		CERTIFICATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename: 0909081671.A3L	Test Dates: 09/15/09	EUT Type: LTE 700 MHz USB Modem		Page 7 of 21

5 PROBE CALIBRATION PROCESS

5.1 Dosimetric Assessment Procedure

Each E-Probe/Probe amplifier combination has unique calibration parameters. A TEM cell calibration procedure is conducted to determine the proper amplifier settings to enter in the probe parameters. The amplifier settings are determined for a given frequency by subjecting the probe to a known E-field density (1 mW/cm²) using an RF Signal generator, TEM cell, and RF Power Meter.

5.2 Free Space Assessment

The free space E-field from amplified probe outputs is determined in a test chamber. This calibration can be performed in a TEM cell if the frequency is below 1 GHz and in a waveguide or other methodologies above 1 GHz for free space. For the free space calibration, the probe is placed in the volumetric center of the cavity and at the proper orientation with the field. The probe is rotated 360 degrees until the three channels show the maximum reading. The power density readings equates to 1 mW/cm².

5.3 Temperature Assessment

E-field temperature correlation calibration is performed in a flat phantom filled with the appropriate simulated brain tissue. The E-field in the medium correlates with the temperature rise in the dielectric medium. For temperature correlation calibration a RF transparent thermistor-based temperature probe is used in conjunction with the E-field probe.

$$SAR = \frac{C \Delta T}{\Delta t}$$

where:

Δt = exposure time (30 seconds),

C = heat capacity of tissue (brain or muscle),

ΔT = temperature increase due to RF exposure.

$$SAR = \frac{|E|^2 \cdot \sigma}{\rho}$$

where:

σ = simulated tissue conductivity,

ρ = Tissue density (1.25 g/cm³ for brain tissue)

SAR is proportional to $\Delta T/\Delta t$, the initial rate of tissue heating, before thermal diffusion takes place. Now it's possible to quantify the electric field in the simulated tissue by equating the thermally derived SAR to the E- field;




Figure 5-1 E-Field and Temperature measurements at 900MHz [7]




Figure 5-2 E-Field and temperature measurements at 1.9GHz [7]

FCC ID: A3LSLCU100	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	CERTIFICATION REPORT	 SAMSUNG	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename: 0909081671.A3L	Test Dates: 09/15/09	EUT Type: LTE 700 MHz USB Modem		Page 8 of 21

6 PHANTOM AND EQUIVALENT TISSUES

6.1 SAM Phantoms



**Figure 6-1
SAM Phantoms**

The SAM Twin Phantom V4.0 is constructed of a fiberglass shell integrated in a wooden table. The shape of the shell is based on data from an anatomical study designed to determine the maximum exposure in at least 90% of all users [11][12]. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right hand phone usage as well as body mounted usage at the flat phantom region. A cover prevents the evaporation of the liquid. Reference markings on the Phantom allow the complete setup of all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids by manually teaching three points in the robot. (see Fig. 5.1)

6.2 Brain & Muscle Simulating Mixture Characterization



**Figure 6-2
Head Simulated**

The brain and muscle mixtures consist of a viscous gel using hydroxyethylcellulose (HEC) gelling agent and saline solution (see **Error! Reference source not found.**). Preservation with a bactericide is added and visual inspection is made to make sure air bubbles are not trapped during the mixing process. The mixture is calibrated to obtain proper dielectric constant (permittivity) and conductivity of the desired tissue. The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by the IEEE SCC-34/SC-2 have been incorporated in the following table. Other head and body tissue parameters that have not been specified in IEEE-1528 are derived from the tissue dielectric parameters computed from the 4-Cole-Cole equations. The mixture characterizations used for the brain and muscle tissue simulating liquids are according to the data by C. Gabriel and G. Hartsgrrove [13].

Table 6-1
Composition of the 750MHz Muscle Tissue Equivalent Matter

=

2 Composition / Information on ingredients	
The Item is composed of the following ingredients:	
H ₂ O	Water, 35 – 58%
Sucrose	Sugar, white, refined, 40 – 60%
NaCl	Sodium Chloride, 0 – 8%
Hydroxyethyl-cellulose	Medium Viscosity (CAS# 9004-82-0), <0.3%
Preventol-D7	Preservative: aqueous preparation, (CAS# 55965-84-9), containing 5-chloro-2-methyl-3(2H)-isothiazolone and 2-methyl-3(2H)-isothiazolone, 0.1 – 0.7%
Relevant for safety; Refer to the respective Safety Data Sheet*.	

Note: 750MHz Muscle liquid recipe is proprietary SPEAG. The composition is approximate to the actual liquids utilized.

FCC ID: A3LSLCU100	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	CERTIFICATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename: 0909081671.A3L	Test Dates: 09/15/09	EUT Type: LTE 700 MHz USB Modem		Page 9 of 21

7.1 Measurement Procedure

The evaluation was performed using the following procedure:

1. The SAR measurement was taken at a selected spatial reference point to monitor power variations during testing. This fixed point was measured and used as a reference value.
2. The SAR distribution at the exposed side of the head was measured at a distance of 3.0mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covered the entire dimension of the head and the horizontal grid spacing was 15mm x 15mm.
3. Based on the area scan data, the area of the maximum absorption was determined by spline interpolation. Around this point, a volume of 32mm x 32mm x 30mm (fine resolution volume scan, zoom scan) was assessed by measuring 5 x 5 x 7 points. On this basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value was evaluated with the following procedure (see Figure 7-1):
 - a. The data at the surface was extrapolated, since the center of the dipoles is 2.7mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 1.2mm. The extrapolation was based on a least square algorithm [15]. A polynomial of the fourth order was calculated through the points in z-axes. This polynomial was then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.
 - b. The maximum interpolated value was searched with a straight-forward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1g or 10g) were computed using the 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-spline is composed of three one-dimensional splines with the "Not a knot" condition (in x, y, and z directions) [15][16]. The volume was integrated with the trapezoidal algorithm. One thousand points (10 x 10 x 10) were interpolated to calculate the average.
 - c. All neighboring volumes were evaluated until no neighboring volume with a higher average value was found.
4. The SAR reference value, at the same location as step 1, was re-measured. If the value changed by more than 5%, the evaluation is repeated.




Figure 7-1
Sample SAR Area Scan

7.2 Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM) Specifications

The phantom for handset SAR assessment testing is a low-loss dielectric shell, with shape and dimensions derived from the anthropometric data of the 90th percentile adult male head dimensions as tabulated by the US Army. The SAM Twin Phantom shell is bisected along the mid-sagittal plane into right and left halves (see Figure 7-2). The perimeter sidewalls of each phantom halves are extended to allow filling with liquid to a depth that is sufficient to minimized reflections from the upper surface. The liquid depth is maintained at a minimum depth of 15cm to minimize reflections from the upper surface.



Figure 7-2
SAM Twin Phantom Shell

FCC ID: A3LSLCU100		CERTIFICATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename: 0909081671.A3L	Test Dates: 09/15/09	EUT Type: LTE 700 MHz USB Modem		Page 10 of 21

8 TEST CONFIGURATION POSITIONS

8.1 SAR for Notebooks and Lap-touching Devices

Lap-touching devices that have transmitting antennas located less than 20 cm from the lap of the user require routine SAR evaluation. Such devices are considered portable and are capable of being held to the body. Devices are to be setup touching the phantom and are configured with maximum output power during SAR assessment for a worst-case SAR evaluation.



Figure 8-1
Notebook Setup for SAR

8.2 Positioning for Convertible and Slate Tablet Computers



Figure 8-2
Tablet Computer Form Factors





Figure 8-3
Tablet PC Body SAR

KDB 447498. Tablet (notepad) computers are tested in a lap-held position with the bottom of the computer in direct contact against a flat phantom for all user-enabled portrait and landscape positions.

8.3 SAR test procedure for USB Dongles



Note: these are USB connector orientations on laptop computers; USB dongles have the reverse configuration for plugging into the corresponding laptop computers.

Figure 8-4
USB Dongle Test Configurations

KDB 447498. USB orientations (see Figure 8-4) with a device to phantom separation distance of 5 mm or less, according to KDB 447498 requirements. Current generation laptop computers should be used to ensure proper measurement distances. The same test separation distance should be used for all frequency bands and modes in each USB orientation. The typical Horizontal-Up USB connection (A), found in the majority of laptop computers, must be tested using an appropriate laptop computer. A laptop with either Vertical-Front (C) or Vertical-Back (D) USB connection should be used to test one of the vertical USB orientations. If laptop computers are not available for testing the Horizontal-Down (B) or the remaining Vertical USB orientation, a short and high quality USB cable (12 inches or less) may be used for testing these other orientations. It should be ensured that the USB cable does not affect device radiating characteristics and output power of the dongle.

FCC ID: A3LSLCU100		CERTIFICATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename: 0909081671.A3L	Test Dates: 09/15/09	EUT Type: LTE 700 MHz USB Modem		Page 11 of 21

9 RF EXPOSURE LIMITS

9.1 Uncontrolled Environment

UNCONTROLLED ENVIRONMENTS are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure. The general population/uncontrolled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Members of the general public would come under this category when exposure is not employment-related; for example, in the case of a wireless transmitter that exposes persons in its vicinity.

9.2 Controlled Environment

CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENTS are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by persons who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation). In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment, who have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. This exposure category is also applicable when the exposure is of a transient nature due to incidental passage through a location where the exposure levels may be higher than the general population/uncontrolled limits, but the exposed person is fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

Table 9-1
SAR Human Exposure Specified in ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992 and Health Canada Safety Code 6

HUMAN EXPOSURE LIMITS		
	UNCONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT <i>General Population</i> (W/kg) or (mW/g)	CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT <i>Occupational</i> (W/kg) or (mW/g)
SPATIAL PEAK SAR Brain	1.6	8.0
SPATIAL AVERAGE SAR Whole Body	0.08	0.4
SPATIAL PEAK SAR Hands, Feet, Ankles, Wrists	4.0	20

The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 1 gram of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.

2 The Spatial Average value of the SAR averaged over the whole body.

3 The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 10 grams of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.

FCC ID: A3LSLCU100	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	CERTIFICATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename: 0909081671.A3L	Test Dates: 09/15/09	EUT Type: LTE 700 MHz USB Modem		Page 12 of 21

10 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES

a	b	c	d	e= f(d,k)	f	g	h = c x f/e	i = c x g/e	k
Uncertainty Component	IEEE 1528 Sec.	Tol. (± %)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	c _i 1gm	c _i 10 gms	1gm u _i (± %)	10gms u _i (± %)	v _i
Measurement System									
Probe Calibration	E.2.1	7.0	N	1	1.0	1.0	7.0	7.0	∞
Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	0.25	N	1	0.7	0.7	0.2	0.2	∞
Hemishperical Isotropy	E.2.2	1.3	N	1	1.0	1.0	1.3	1.3	∞
Boundary Effect	E.2.3	0.4	N	1	1.0	1.0	0.4	0.4	∞
Linearity	E.2.4	0.3	N	1	1.0	1.0	0.3	0.3	∞
System Detection Limits	E.2.5	5.1	N	1	1.0	1.0	5.1	5.1	∞
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	1.0	N	1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	∞
Response Time	E.2.7	0.8	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	0.5	0.5	∞
Integration Time	E.2.8	2.6	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	1.5	1.5	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	E.6.1	3.0	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	1.7	1.7	∞
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E.6.2	0.4	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	0.2	0.2	∞
Probe Positioning w/ respect to Phantom	E.6.3	2.9	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	1.7	1.7	∞
Extrapolation, Interpolation & Integration algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	E.5	1.0	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	0.6	0.6	∞
Test Sample Related									
Test Sample Positioning	E.4.2	6.0	N	1	1.0	1.0	6.0	6.0	287
Device Holder Uncertainty	E.4.1	3.32	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	1.9	1.9	∞
Output Power Variation - SAR drift measurement	6.6.2	5.0	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	2.9	2.9	∞
Phantom & Tissue Parameters									
Phantom Uncertainty (Shape & Thickness tolerances)	E.3.1	4.0	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	2.3	2.3	∞
Liquid Conductivity - deviation from target values	E.3.2	5.0	R	1.73	0.64	0.43	1.8	1.2	∞
Liquid Conductivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	3.8	N	1	0.64	0.43	2.4	1.6	6
Liquid Permittivity - deviation from target values	E.3.2	5.0	R	1.73	0.60	0.49	1.7	1.4	∞
Liquid Permittivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	4.5	N	1	0.60	0.49	2.7	2.2	6
Combined Standard Uncertainty (k=1)							RSS	12.6	12.3
Expanded Uncertainty (95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL)							k=2	25.2	24.5
									299

The above measurement uncertainties are according to IEEE Std. 1528-2003

FCC ID: A3LSLCU100		CERTIFICATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename: 0909081671.A3L	Test Dates: 09/15/09	EUT Type: LTE 700 MHz USB Modem		Page 13 of 21

11 SYSTEM VERIFICATION

11.1 Tissue Verification

Table 11-1
Measured Tissue Properties

Calibrated Date:	Tissue Type	Measured Frequency (MHz)	Measured Conductivity, σ (S/m)	Measured Dielectric Constant, ϵ	TARGET Conductivity, σ (S/m)	TARGET Dielectric Constant, ϵ	% dev σ	% dev ϵ
09/14/2009	750M	760	0.966	55.88	0.96	55.50	0.63%	0.68%
		775	0.980	55.71	0.96	55.50	2.08%	0.38%
		790	1.001	55.63	0.96	55.50	4.27%	0.23%

Note: KDB 450824 was ensured to be applied for probe calibration frequencies greater than or equal to 50 MHz of the DUT frequencies.

The above measured tissue parameters were used in the DASY software to perform interpolation via the DASY software to determine actual dielectric parameters at the test frequencies (per IEEE 1528 6.6.1.2).

11.2 Measurement Procedure for Tissue verification

- 1) The network analyzer and probe system was configured and calibrated.
- 2) The probe was immersed in the sample which was placed in a nonmetallic container. Trapped air bubbles beneath the flange were minimized by placing the probe at a slight angle.
- 3) The complex admittance with respect to the probe aperture was measured
- 4) The complex relative permittivity , for example from the below equation (Pournaropoulos and Misra):

$$Y = \frac{j2\omega\epsilon'_r\epsilon_0}{[\ln(b/a)]^2} \int_a^b \int_a^b \int_0^\pi \cos\phi' \frac{\exp[-j\omega r(\mu_0\epsilon'_r\epsilon_0)^{1/2}]}{r} d\phi' d\rho' d\rho$$

where Y is the admittance of the probe in contact with the sample, the primed and unprimed coordinates refer to source and observation points, respectively, $r^2 = \rho^2 + \rho'^2 - 2\rho\rho'\cos\phi'$, ω is the angular frequency, and $j = \sqrt{-1}$.

FCC ID: A3LSLCU100		CERTIFICATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename: 0909081671.A3L	Test Dates: 09/15/09	EUT Type: LTE 700 MHz USB Modem		Page 14 of 21

11.3 Test System Verification

Prior to assessment, the system is verified to $\pm 10\%$ of the manufacturer SAR result on the reference dipole at the time of calibration, by using the below system validation kit(s).

Table 11-2
System Verification Results

System Verification TARGET & MEASURED									
Date:	Amb. Temp (°C)	Liquid Temp (°C)	Input Power (W)	Tissue Frequency (MHz)	Dipole SN	Tissue Type	Targeted SAR _{1g} (mW)	Measured SAR _{1g} (mW)	Deviation (%)
09/15/2009	23.5	22.8	0.250	750	1003	Muscle	2.19	2.4	9.84%




Figure 11-1
System Verification Setup Diagram



Figure 11-2
System Verification Setup Photo

FCC ID: A3LSLCU100		CERTIFICATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename: 0909081671.A3L	Test Dates: 09/15/09	EUT Type: LTE 700 MHz USB Modem		Page 15 of 21

12 LTE TEST CONFIGURATION

12.1 Frequency of Operation per 27.5

Per FCC Rule 27.5(b)(3): “(3) Two paired channels of 11 megahertz each are available for assignment in Block C in the 746-757 MHz and 776-787 MHz bands.”

12.2 Test Conditions

Testing was performed using a Vector Signal Generator and programmed UL synchronization signal from the device necessary to establish a live connection. Test software was used to set the device into test configurations. Resource Block 50 configuration was configured as below for SAR evaluation per 9/14/09 meeting with FCC.


Table 12-1 Device Conducted Powers

	Frequency	MCS Level	Modulation	Resource Block Offset	Maximum Average Power [dBm]
Resource Block 50	782 MHz	0	QPSK	0	23.04
		5	QPSK	0	23.01
		10	QPSK	0	23.00
		11	16QAM	0	22.91
		15	16QAM	0	22.94
		20	16QAM	0	22.87

12.3 Probe Linearity Analysis

Probe linearity was analyzed after SAR test scans were completed. The EUT device was placed in the test configuration that resulted in the highest SAR value and the probe was moved to the location of maximum SAR. The EUT RF output power was set to a minimum value of 5dB and incrementally increased up to the maximum value of 23dB, with point SAR measurements made at each increment. The resulting SAR vs. RF Output Power plot indicates the continuity of probe linearity at maximum EUT output power.

Linearity of SAR Probe Used for FCC ID: A3LSLCU100



FCC ID: A3LSLCU100		CERTIFICATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename: 0909081671.A3L	Test Dates: 09/15/09	EUT Type: LTE 700 MHz USB Modem		Page 16 of 21

13 SAR DATA SUMMARY

13.1 LTE-750 Body SAR Results

MEASUREMENT RESULTS								
FREQUENCY	RB Size	RB Offset	MCS Index	Mode	Device Test Position	BW	Spacing	SAR (W/kg)
MHz								
782	50	0	6	QPSK	Horizontal 1	10MHz	0.5 cm	1.09
782	50	0	20	16QAM	Horizontal 1	10MHz	0.5 cm	1.09
782	50	0	6	QPSK	Horizontal 2	10MHz	0.5 cm	0.882
782	50	0	20	16QAM	Horizontal 2	10MHz	0.5 cm	0.854
782	50	0	6	QPSK	Vertical 3	10MHz	0.5 cm	0.317
782	50	0	20	16QAM	Vertical 3	10MHz	0.5 cm	0.300
782	50	0	6	QPSK	Vertical 4	10MHz	0.5 cm	0.554
782	50	0	20	16QAM	Vertical 4	10MHz	0.5 cm	0.553
782	50	0	6	QPSK	Dongle Tip	10MHz	0.5 cm	0.39
782	50	0	20	16QAM	Dongle Tip	10MHz	0.5 cm	0.404
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992- SAFETY LIMIT							Body	
Spatial Peak							1.6 W/kg (mW/g)	
Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population							averaged over 1 gram	

Notes:

1. The test data reported are the worst-case SAR value with the position set in a typical configuration. Test procedures used are according to FCC/OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C [July 2001].
2. All modes of operation were investigated, and worst-case results are reported.
3. Tissue parameters and temperatures are listed on the SAR plots.
4. Liquid tissue depth is 15.1 cm. ± 0.1 .
5. EUT was tested using a fixed spacing using Lenovo ThinkPad notebooks greater than 12".
6. MPR or A-MPR is not applicable for this device.

FCC ID: A3LSLCU100		CERTIFICATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename: 0909081671.A3L	Test Dates: 09/15/09	EUT Type: LTE 700 MHz USB Modem		Page 17 of 21

14 EQUIPMENT LIST

Manufacturer	Model	Description	Cal Date	Cal Interval	Cal Due	Serial Number
Agilent	8648D	(9kHz-4GHz) Signal Generator	9/19/2009	Biennial	9/19/2011	3613A00315
Agilent	8753E	(30kHz-6GHz) Network Analyzer	3/25/2009	Annual	3/25/2010	JP38020182
Agilent	E5515C	Wireless Communications Test Set	8/25/2009	Biennial	8/25/2011	GB41450275
Agilent	E8257D	(250kHz-20GHz) Signal Generator	3/25/2009	Biennial	3/25/2011	MY45470194
Gigatronics	80701A	(0.05-18GHz) Power Sensor	9/9/2009	Annual	9/9/2010	1833460
Gigatronics	8651A	Universal Power Meter	9/9/2009	Annual	9/9/2010	8650319
Index SAR	IXTL-010	Dielectric Measurement Kit	N/A		N/A	N/A
Index SAR	IXTL-030	30MM TEM line for 6 GHz	N/A		N/A	N/A
Rohde & Schwarz	CMU200	Base Station Simulator	9/11/2009	Annual	9/11/2010	836371/0079
Rohde & Schwarz	CMU200	Base Station Simulator	4/6/2009	Annual	4/6/2010	833855/0010
Rohde & Schwarz	CMU200	Base Station Simulator	9/4/2009	Annual	9/4/2010	109892
Rohde & Schwarz	NRVD	Dual Channel Power Meter	8/20/2008	Biennial	8/20/2010	101695
Rohde & Schwarz	NRV-Z32	Peak Power Sensor (100uW-2W)	12/5/2008	Biennial	12/5/2010	100155
Rohde & Schwarz	NRV-Z33	Peak Power Sensor (1mW-20W)	12/5/2008	Biennial	12/5/2010	100004
SPEAG	D1450V2	1450 MHz SAR Dipole	5/20/2009	Biennial	5/20/2011	1025
SPEAG	D1765V2	1765 MHz SAR Dipole	5/19/2009	Biennial	5/19/2011	1008
SPEAG	D1900V2	1900 MHz SAR Dipole	1/20/2009	Biennial	1/20/2011	502
SPEAG	D1900V2	1900 MHz SAR Dipole	8/18/2009	Biennial	8/18/2011	5d080
SPEAG	D2300V2	2300 MHz SAR Dipole	3/6/2008	Biennial	3/6/2010	1008
SPEAG	D2450V2	2450 MHz SAR Dipole	8/27/2009	Biennial	8/27/2011	719
SPEAG	D2450V2	2450 MHz SAR Dipole	1/8/2009	Biennial	1/8/2011	797
SPEAG	D2600V2	2600 MHz SAR Dipole	8/12/2009	Biennial	8/12/2011	1004
SPEAG	D5GHzV2	5 GHz SAR Dipole	8/19/2009	Biennial	8/19/2011	1007
SPEAG	D5GHzV2	5 GHz SAR Dipole	1/15/2009	Biennial	1/15/2011	1057
SPEAG	D835V2	835 MHz SAR Dipole	1/19/2009	Biennial	1/19/2011	4d047
SPEAG	D835V2	835 MHz SAR Dipole	8/24/2009	Biennial	8/24/2011	4d026
SPEAG	DAE3	Dasy Data Acquisition Electronics	10/17/2008	Annual	10/17/2009	455
SPEAG	DAE4	Dasy Data Acquisition Electronics	5/14/2009	Annual	5/14/2010	704
SPEAG	DAE4	Dasy Data Acquisition Electronics	5/25/2009	Annual	5/25/2010	665
SPEAG	DAE4	Dasy Data Acquisition Electronics	1/21/2009	Annual	1/21/2010	649
SPEAG	ES3DV2	SAR Probe	9/18/2009	Annual	9/18/2010	3022
SPEAG	EX3DV4	SAR Probe	1/21/2009	Annual	1/21/2010	3550
SPEAG	DAE4	Dasy Data Acquisition Electronics	7/21/2009	Annual	7/21/2010	859
SPEAG	D750V3	750 MHz Dipole	2/19/2009	Biennial	2/19/2011	1003
Rohde & Schwarz	CMU200	Base Station Simulator	6/12/2009	Annual	6/12/2010	836536/0005
Speag	ES3DV3	SAR Probe	4/15/2009	Annual	4/15/2010	3213
Speag	ES3DV3	SAR Probe	4/15/2009	Annual	4/15/2010	3209
Speag	D1640V2	1640 MHz Dipole	8/21/2008	Biennial	8/21/2010	321
Rohde & Schwarz	CMW500	LTE Base Station Simulator	8/25/2009	Annual	8/25/2010	100976

Notes:

The E-field probe was calibrated by SPEAG, by the waveguide technique procedure. Dipole Validation measurement is performed by PCTEST prior to SAR evaluation. The brain simulating material is calibrated by PCTEST using the dielectric probe system and network analyzer to determine the conductivity and permittivity (dielectric constant) of the brain-equivalent material.

FCC ID: A3LSLCU100		CERTIFICATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename: 0909081671.A3L	Test Dates: 09/15/09	EUT Type: LTE 700 MHz USB Modem		Page 18 of 21

15 CONCLUSION

15.1 Measurement Conclusion

The SAR evaluation indicates that the EUT complies with the RF radiation exposure limits of the FCC and Industry Canada, with respect to all parameters subject to this test. These measurements were taken to simulate the RF effects of RF exposure under worst-case conditions. Precise laboratory measures were taken to assure repeatability of the tests. The results and statements relate only to the item(s) tested.

Please note that the absorption and distribution of electromagnetic energy in the body are very complex phenomena that depend on the mass, shape, and size of the body, the orientation of the body with respect to the field vectors, and the electrical properties of both the body and the environment. Other variables that may play a substantial role in possible biological effects are those that characterize the environment (e.g. ambient temperature, air velocity, relative humidity, and body insulation) and those that characterize the individual (e.g. age, gender, activity level, debilitation, or disease). Because various factors may interact with one another to vary the specific biological outcome of an exposure to electromagnetic fields, any protection guide should consider maximal amplification of biological effects as a result of field-body interactions, environmental conditions, and physiological variables. [3]

FCC ID: A3LSLCU100		CERTIFICATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename: 0909081671.A3L	Test Dates: 09/15/09	EUT Type: LTE 700 MHz USB Modem		Page 19 of 21

16 REFERENCES

- [1] Federal Communications Commission, ET Docket 93-62, Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of Radiofrequency Radiation, Aug. 1996.
- [2] ANSI/IEEE C95.1-2005, American National Standard safety levels with respect to human exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic fields, 300kHz to 100GHz, New York: IEEE, 2006.
- [3] ANSI/IEEE C95.3-2002, IEEE Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic Fields - RF and Microwave, New York: IEEE, December 2002.
- [4] Federal Communications Commission, OET Bulletin 65 (Edition 97-01), Supplement C (Edition 01-01), Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields, July 2001.
- [5] IEEE Standards Coordinating Committee 34 – IEEE Std. 1528-2003, Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Body Due to Wireless Communications Devices.
- [6] NCRP, National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements, Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for RadioFrequency Electromagnetic Fields, NCRP Report No. 86, 1986. Reprinted Feb. 1995.
- [7] T. Schmid, O. Egger, N. Kuster, Automated E-field scanning system for dosimetric assessments, IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, Jan. 1996, pp. 105-113.
- [8] K. Pokovic, T. Schmid, N. Kuster, Robust setup for precise calibration of E-field probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies, ICECOM97, Oct. 1997, pp. 120-124.
- [9] K. Pokovic, T. Schmid, and N. Kuster, E-field Probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids, Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, Croatia, June 23-25, 1996, pp. 172-175.
- [10] Schmid & Partner Engineering AG, Application Note: Data Storage and Evaluation, June 1998, p2.
- [11] V. Hombach, K. Meier, M. Burkhardt, E. Kuhn, N. Kuster, The Dependence of EM Energy Absorption upon Human Head Modeling at 900 MHz, IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44 no. 10, Oct. 1996, pp. 1865-1873.
- [12] N. Kuster and Q. Balzano, Energy absorption mechanism by biological bodies in the near field of dipole antennas above 300MHz, IEEE Transaction on Vehicular Technology, vol. 41, no. 1, Feb. 1992, pp. 17-23.
- [13] G. Hartsgrrove, A. Kraszewski, A. Surowiec, Simulated Biological Materials for Electromagnetic Radiation Absorption Studies, University of Ottawa, Bioelectromagnetics, Canada: 1987, pp. 29-36.
- [14] Q. Balzano, O. Garay, T. Manning Jr., Electromagnetic Energy Exposure of Simulated Users of Portable Cellular Telephones, IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol. 44, no.3, Aug. 1995.
- [15] W. Gander, Computermathematick, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1992.
- [16] W.H. Press, S.A. Teukolsky, W.T. Vetterling, and B.P. Flannery, Numerical Recepies in C, The Art of Scientific Computing, Second edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992.

FCC ID: A3LSLCU100		CERTIFICATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename: 0909081671.A3L	Test Dates: 09/15/09	EUT Type: LTE 700 MHz USB Modem		Page 20 of 21

- [17] Federal Communications Commission, OET Bulletin 65, Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields. Supplement C, Dec. 1997.
- [18] N. Kuster, R. Kastle, T. Schmid, Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with known precision, IEEE Transaction on Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, May 1997, pp. 645-652.
- [19] CENELEC CLC/SC111B, European Prestandard (prENV 50166-2), Human Exposure to Electromagnetic Fields High-frequency: 10kHz-300GHz, Jan. 1995.
- [20] Prof. Dr. Niels Kuster, ETH, Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich, Dosimetric Evaluation of the Cellular Phone.
- [21] FCC SAR Measurement Procedures for 3G Devices v2.0, October 2007
- [22] SAR Measurement procedures for IEEE 802.11a/b/g rev 1.2, May 2007
- [23] IEC 62209-1, Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices - Human models, instrumentation, and procedures - Part 1: Procedure to determine the specific absorption rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz), Feb. 2005.
- [24] FCC Public Notice DA-02-1438. Office of Engineering and Technology Announces a Transition Period for the Phantom Requirements of Supplement C to OET Bulletin 65, June 19, 2002
- [25] Industry Canada RSS-102 Radio Frequency Exposure Compliance of Radiocommunication Apparatus (All Frequency Bands) Issue 2, November 2005
- [26] Health Canada Safety Code 6 Limits of Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields in the Frequency Range from 3 kHz – 300 GHz, 1999
- [27] FCC SAR Considerations for Handsets with Multiple Transmitters and Antennas v01r05 #648474, September 2008
- [28] FCC Application Note for SAR Probe Calibration and System Verification Consideration for Measurements at 150 MHz – 3 GHz, Rev 1.1, January 2007
- [29] FCC SAR Evaluation Considerations for Laptop Computers with Antennas Built-in on Display Screens, #616217, v01r01, April 2008
- [30] FCC SAR Measurement Requirements for 3 – 6 GHz Rev1.1, October 2006
- [31] FCC Mobile Portable RF Exposure Procedure D01 v03r03, KDB 447498, Jan. 2009
- [32] FCC SAR Procedures for Dongle Transmitters D02 v01, KDB 447498, Dec. 2008
- [33] ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992, American National Standard safety levels with respect to human exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic fields, 300kHz to 100GHz, New York: IEEE, Sept. 1992.

FCC ID: A3LSLCU100		CERTIFICATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename: 0909081671.A3L	Test Dates: 09/15/09	EUT Type: LTE 700 MHz USB Modem		Page 21 of 21

f [MHz]	HP-e'	HP-e''	sigma
300	61.02	35.43	0.59
350	60.21	32.13	0.63
400	59.50	29.71	0.66
450	58.79	28.00	0.70
500	58.16	26.60	0.74
550	57.57	25.54	0.78
600	56.99	24.68	0.82
650	56.43	23.97	0.87
700	55.88	23.46	0.91
750	55.35	22.91	0.96
800	55.02	22.56	1.00
850	54.50	22.31	1.06
900	54.02	22.08	1.11
950	53.55	21.89	1.16
1000	53.05	21.70	1.21
1050	52.62	21.50	1.26
1100	52.31	21.36	1.31
1150	51.89	21.38	1.37
1200	51.38	21.33	1.42
1250	50.94	21.28	1.48
1300	50.48	21.19	1.53
1350	50.04	21.06	1.58
1400	49.65	20.91	1.63
1450	49.35	20.76	1.67
1500	49.13	20.70	1.73
1550	48.81	20.75	1.79
1600	48.52	20.77	1.85
1650	48.18	20.86	1.91
1700	47.79	20.88	1.97
1750	47.37	20.86	2.03
1800	47.01	20.79	2.08
1850	46.68	20.72	2.13
1900	46.39	20.61	2.18
1950	46.15	20.52	2.23
2000	45.99	20.55	2.29
2050	45.73	20.62	2.35
2100	45.41	20.67	2.41
2150	45.14	20.65	2.47
2200	44.92	20.69	2.53
2250	44.69	20.75	2.60
2300	44.38	20.80	2.66
2350	44.05	20.85	2.73
2400	43.76	20.81	2.78
2450	43.50	20.84	2.84
2500	43.29	20.82	2.90
2550	43.04	20.88	2.96
2600	42.79	20.92	3.03
2650	42.51	20.96	3.09
2700	42.24	21.01	3.16
2750	41.94	21.00	3.21
2800	41.71	21.04	3.28
2850	41.42	21.02	3.33
2900	41.13	21.03	3.39
2950	40.89	20.99	3.44
3000	40.63	20.98	3.50

P/N:	SL AAM 075	TARGET PARAMETERS		
Charge:	090224-1	f [MHz]	eps	sigma
Mea Date:	05-Mrz-09	700	55.7	0.96
Temp [°C]	22	750	55.5	0.96
		800	55.3	0.97

f [MHz]	Difference to Target [%]	
	Δ -eps	Δ -sigma
700	0.3	-4.8
750	-0.3	-0.8
800	-0.6	3.8

APPENDIX A: SAR TEST DATA

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: SLC-U100; Type: LTE USB Dongle; Serial: #6

Communication System: LTE RF; Frequency: 782 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 750 Muscle Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 782 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.99 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 55.7$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 0.5 cm

Test Date: 09-15-2009; Ambient Temp: 23.5 °C; Tissue Temp: 22.8 °C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3209; ConvF(6.17, 6.17, 6.17); Calibrated: 4/15/2009

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 5/25/2009

Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP1375

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 71; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 172

Mode: LTE, Body SAR, Horizontal 1, Mid.ch, PUSCH, MCS6 (QPSK), RB SIZE 50, OFFSET 0


Area Scan (7x10x1): Measurement grid: $dx=10\text{mm}$, $dy=10\text{mm}$

Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 33.6 V/m

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.58 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 1.09 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.728 mW/g



0 dB = 1.27mW/g

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: SLC-U100; Type: LTE USB Dongle; Serial: #6

Communication System: LTE RF; Frequency: 782 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 750 Muscle Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 782 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.99 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 55.7$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 0.5 cm

Test Date: 09-15-2009; Ambient Temp: 23.5 °C; Tissue Temp: 22.8 °C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3209; ConvF(6.17, 6.17, 6.17); Calibrated: 4/15/2009

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 5/25/2009

Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP1375

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 71; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 172

Mode: LTE, Body SAR, Horizontal 2, Mid.ch, PUSCH, MCS6 (QPSK), RB SIZE 50, OFFSET 0


Area Scan (7x10x1): Measurement grid: $dx=10\text{mm}$, $dy=10\text{mm}$

Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 31.7 V/m

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.34 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.882 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.556 mW/g



0 dB = 0.996mW/g

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: SLC-U100; Type: LTE USB Dongle; Serial: #6

Communication System: LTE RF; Frequency: 782 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 750 Muscle Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 782 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.99 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 55.7$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 0.5 cm

Test Date: 09-15-2009; Ambient Temp: 23.5 °C; Tissue Temp: 22.8 °C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3209; ConvF(6.17, 6.17, 6.17); Calibrated: 4/15/2009

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 5/25/2009

Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP1375

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 71; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 172

Mode: LTE, Body SAR, Vertical 3, Mid.ch, PUSCH, MCS6 (QPSK), RB SIZE 50, OFFSET 0


Area Scan (5x10x1): Measurement grid: $dx=10\text{mm}$, $dy=10\text{mm}$

Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 18.5 V/m

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.734 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.317 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.166 mW/g



0 dB = 0.433mW/g

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: SLC-U100; Type: LTE USB Dongle; Serial: #6

Communication System: LTE RF; Frequency: 782 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 750 Muscle Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 782 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.99 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 55.7$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 0.5 cm

Test Date: 09-15-2009; Ambient Temp: 23.5 °C; Tissue Temp: 22.8 °C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3209; ConvF(6.17, 6.17, 6.17); Calibrated: 4/15/2009

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 5/25/2009

Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP1375

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 71; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 172

Mode: LTE, Body SAR, Vertical 4, Mid.ch, PUSCH, MCS6 (QPSK), RB SIZE 50, OFFSET 0


Area Scan (5x10x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm

Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 24.7 V/m

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.04 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.554 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.336 mW/g



0 dB = 0.668mW/g

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: SLC-U100; Type: LTE USB Dongle; Serial: #6

Communication System: LTE RF; Frequency: 782 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 750 Muscle Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 782 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.99 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 55.7$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 0.5 cm

Test Date: 09-15-2009; Ambient Temp: 23.5 °C; Tissue Temp: 22.8 °C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3209; ConvF(6.17, 6.17, 6.17); Calibrated: 4/15/2009

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 5/25/2009

Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP1375

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 71; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 172

Mode: LTE, Body SAR, Back, Mid.ch, PUSCH, MCS20 (16QAM), RB SIZE 50, OFFSET 0


Area Scan (5x7x1): Measurement grid: $dx=10\text{mm}$, $dy=10\text{mm}$

Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 20.8 V/m

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.889 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.404 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.224 mW/g



0 dB = 0.526mW/g

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: SLC-U100; Type: LTE USB Dongle; Serial: #6

Communication System: LTE RF; Frequency: 782 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 750 Muscle Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 782 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.99 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 55.7$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 0.5 cm

Test Date: 09-15-2009; Ambient Temp: 23.5 °C; Tissue Temp: 22.8 °C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3209; ConvF(6.17, 6.17, 6.17); Calibrated: 4/15/2009

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 5/25/2009

Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP1375

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 71; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 172

Mode: LTE, Body SAR, Horizontal 1, Mid.ch, PUSCH, MCS6 (QPSK), RB SIZE 50, OFFSET 0


Area Scan (7x10x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm

Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 33.6 V/m

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.58 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 1.09 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.728 mW/g



APPENDIX B: DIPOLE VALIDATION

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: Dipole 750 MHz; Type: D750V3; Serial: 1003

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 760 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 750 Muscle Medium parameters used:

$f = 760 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.996 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 55.88$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.5 cm

Test Date: 09-15-2009; Ambient Temp: 23.5 °C; Tissue Temp: 22.8 °C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3209; ConvF(6.17, 6.17, 6.17); Calibrated: 4/15/2009

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 5/25/2009

Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP1375

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 71; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 172

750MHz Dipole Validation


Area Scan (7x14x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$

Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Input Power = 24.0 dBm (250 mW)

SAR(1 g) = 2.4 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.58 mW/g

Deviation = 9.84 %



0 dB = 2.80mW/g

APPENDIX C: PROBE CALIBRATION



Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)
 The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
 Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 108**

Client **PC Test**

Certificate No: **ES3-3209_Apr09**

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object **ES3DV3 - SN:3209**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-01.v6 and QA CAL-23.v3
 Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes**

Calibration date: **April 15, 2009**

Condition of the calibrated item **In Tolerance**

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).
 The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter E4419B	GB41293874	1-Apr-09 (No. 217-01030)	Apr-10
Power sensor E4412A	MY41495277	1-Apr-09 (No. 217-01030)	Apr-10
Power sensor E4412A	MY41498087	1-Apr-09 (No. 217-01030)	Apr-10
Reference 3 dB Attenuator	SN: S5054 (3c)	31-Mar-09 (No. 217-01026)	Mar-10
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: S5086 (20b)	31-Mar-09 (No. 217-01028)	Mar-10
Reference 30 dB Attenuator	SN: S5129 (30b)	31-Mar-09 (No. 217-01027)	Mar-10
Reference Probe ES3DV2	SN: 3013	2-Jan-09 (No. ES3-3013_Jan09)	Jan-10
DAE4	SN: 660	9-Sep-08 (No. DAE4-660_Sep08)	Sep-09

Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
RF generator HP 8648C	US3642U01700	4-Aug-99 (in house check Oct-07)	In house check: Oct-09
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-08)	In house check: Oct-09

	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Katja Pokovic	Technical Manager	
Approved by:	Fin Bomholt	R&D Director	

Issued: April 15, 2009

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.



Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)
 The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
 Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 108**

Glossary:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
NORM _{x,y,z}	sensitivity in free space
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM _{x,y,z}
DCP	diode compression point
Polarization ϕ	ϕ rotation around probe axis
Polarization ϑ	ϑ rotation around an axis that is in the plane normal to probe axis (at measurement center), i.e., $\vartheta = 0$ is normal to probe axis

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- IEEE Std 1528-2003, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", December 2003
- IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- NORM_{x,y,z}**: Assessed for E-field polarization $\vartheta = 0$ ($f \leq 900$ MHz in TEM-cell; $f > 1800$ MHz: R22 waveguide). NORM_{x,y,z} are only intermediate values, i.e., the uncertainties of NORM_{x,y,z} does not effect the E^2 -field uncertainty inside TSL (see below *ConvF*).
- NORM(f)_{x,y,z}** = NORM_{x,y,z} * *frequency_response* (see Frequency Response Chart). This linearization is implemented in DASY4 software versions later than 4.2. The uncertainty of the frequency response is included in the stated uncertainty of *ConvF*.
- DCP_{x,y,z}**: DCP are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep (no uncertainty required). DCP does not depend on frequency nor media.
- ConvF and Boundary Effect Parameters**: Assessed in flat phantom using E-field (or Temperature Transfer Standard for $f \leq 800$ MHz) and inside waveguide using analytical field distributions based on power measurements for $f > 800$ MHz. The same setups are used for assessment of the parameters applied for boundary compensation (alpha, depth) of which typical uncertainty values are given. These parameters are used in DASY4 software to improve probe accuracy close to the boundary. The sensitivity in TSL corresponds to NORM_{x,y,z} * *ConvF* whereby the uncertainty corresponds to that given for *ConvF*. A frequency dependent *ConvF* is used in DASY version 4.4 and higher which allows extending the validity from ± 50 MHz to ± 100 MHz.
- Spherical isotropy (3D deviation from isotropy)**: in a field of low gradients realized using a flat phantom exposed by a patch antenna.
- Sensor Offset**: The sensor offset corresponds to the offset of virtual measurement center from the probe tip (on probe axis). No tolerance required.

Probe ES3DV3

SN:3209

Manufactured:	October 14, 2008
Calibrated:	April 15, 2009

Calibrated for DASY Systems

(Note: non-compatible with DASY2 system!)

DASY - Parameters of Probe: ES3DV3 SN:3209

Sensitivity in Free Space^A

Diode Compression^B

NormX	1.33 ± 10.1%	$\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$	DCP X	91 mV
NormY	1.34 ± 10.1%	$\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$	DCP Y	93 mV
NormZ	1.14 ± 10.1%	$\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$	DCP Z	92 mV

Sensitivity in Tissue Simulating Liquid (Conversion Factors)

Please see Page 8.

Boundary Effect

TSL **835 MHz** Typical SAR gradient: 5 % per mm

Sensor Center to Phantom Surface Distance		3.0 mm	4.0 mm
SAR _{be} [%]	Without Correction Algorithm	9.5	5.5
SAR _{be} [%]	With Correction Algorithm	0.8	0.5

TSL **1750 MHz** Typical SAR gradient: 10 % per mm

Sensor Center to Phantom Surface Distance		3.0 mm	4.0 mm
SAR _{be} [%]	Without Correction Algorithm	8.6	5.1
SAR _{be} [%]	With Correction Algorithm	0.8	0.3

Sensor Offset

Probe Tip to Sensor Center **2.0 mm**


The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

^A The uncertainties of NormX,Y,Z do not affect the E²-field uncertainty inside TSL (see Page 8).

^B Numerical linearization parameter: uncertainty not required.


Frequency Response of E-Field

(TEM-Cell:ifi110 EXX, Waveguide: R22)




Uncertainty of Frequency Response of E-field: $\pm 6.3\%$ ($k=2$)

Receiving Pattern (ϕ), $\vartheta = 0^\circ$





Uncertainty of Axial Isotropy Assessment: $\pm 0.5\%$ ($k=2$)

Dynamic Range f(SAR_{head})
(Waveguide R22, f = 1800 MHz)



Uncertainty of Linearity Assessment: $\pm 0.6\%$ ($k=2$)


Conversion Factor Assessment



f [MHz]	Validity [MHz] ^c	TSL	Permittivity	Conductivity	Alpha	Depth	ConvF Uncertainty
835	± 50 / ± 100	Head	41.5 ± 5%	0.90 ± 5%	0.84	1.10	6.10 ± 11.0% (k=2)
1750	± 50 / ± 100	Head	40.1 ± 5%	1.37 ± 5%	0.40	1.74	5.29 ± 11.0% (k=2)
1900	± 50 / ± 100	Head	40.0 ± 5%	1.40 ± 5%	0.36	1.83	5.10 ± 11.0% (k=2)
835	± 50 / ± 100	Body	55.2 ± 5%	0.97 ± 5%	0.78	1.17	6.07 ± 11.0% (k=2)
1750	± 50 / ± 100	Body	53.4 ± 5%	1.49 ± 5%	0.33	2.21	4.83 ± 11.0% (k=2)
1900	± 50 / ± 100	Body	53.3 ± 5%	1.52 ± 5%	0.31	2.41	4.55 ± 11.0% (k=2)

^c The validity of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2). The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band.

Deviation from Isotropy in HSL
Error (ϕ, ϑ), $f = 900 \text{ MHz}$



Uncertainty of Spherical Isotropy Assessment: $\pm 2.6\%$ ($k=2$)

Additional Conversion Factors

for Dosimetric E-Field Probe

Type:

ES3DV3

Serial Number:

3209

Place of Assessment:

Zurich

Date of Assessment:

September 17, 2009

Probe Calibration Date:

April 15, 2009

Schmid & Partner Engineering AG hereby certifies that conversion factor(s) of this probe have been evaluated on the date indicated above. The assessment was performed using the FDTD numerical code SEMCAD of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG. The evaluation is coupled with measured conversion factors (probe calibration date indicated above). The uncertainty of the numerical assessment is based on the extrapolation from measured value at 835 MHz or at 1750 MHz.

Assessed by:

Dosimetric E-Field Probe ES3DV3 SN:3209

Conversion factor ($\pm$ standard deviation)

750 $\pm$ 50 MHz

ConvF

6.17 $\pm$ 7%

$\epsilon_r = 55.5 \pm 5\%$

$\sigma = 0.96 \pm 5\%$ mho/m

(body tissue)

Important Note:

For numerically assessed probe conversion factors, parameters Alpha and Delta in the DASY software must have the following entries: Alpha = 0 and Delta = 1.

Please see also Section 4.7 of the DASY4 Manual.



Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)
 The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
 Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 108**

Client **PC Test**

Certificate No: **D750V3-1003_Feb09**

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object **D750V3 - SN: 1003**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-05.v7**
Calibration procedure for dipole validation kits

Calibration date: **February 19, 2009**

Condition of the calibrated item **In Tolerance**

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).
 The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter EPM-442A	GB37480704	08-Oct-08 (No. 217-00898)	Oct-09
Power sensor HP 8481A	US37292783	08-Oct-08 (No. 217-00898)	Oct-09
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: 5086 (20g)	01-Jul-08 (No. 217-00864)	Jul-09
Type-N mismatch combination	SN: 5047.2 / 06327	01-Jul-08 (No. 217-00867)	Jul-09
Reference Probe ES3DV2	SN: 3025	28-Apr-08 (No. ES3-3025_Apr08)	Apr-09
DAE4	SN: 601	14-Mar-08 (No. DAE4-601_Mar08)	Mar-09

Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092317	18-Oct-02 (in house check Oct-07)	In house check: Oct-09
RF generator R&S SMT-06	100005	4-Aug-99 (in house check Oct-07)	In house check: Oct-09
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585 S4206	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-08)	In house check: Oct-09

	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Jeton Kastrati	Laboratory Technician	

Approved by:	Katja Pokovic	Technical Manager
--------------	---------------	-------------------

Issued: February 20, 2009

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.



Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 108**

Glossary:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM x,y,z
N/A	not applicable or not measured

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- a) IEEE Std 1528-2003, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", December 2003
- b) IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005
- c) Federal Communications Commission Office of Engineering & Technology (FCC OET), "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields; Additional Information for Evaluating Compliance of Mobile and Portable Devices with FCC Limits for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Emissions", Supplement C (Edition 01-01) to Bulletin 65

Additional Documentation:

- d) DASY4/5 System Handbook

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- *Measurement Conditions:* Further details are available from the Validation Report at the end of the certificate. All figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated.
- *Antenna Parameters with TSL:* The dipole is mounted with the spacer to position its feed point exactly below the center marking of the flat phantom section, with the arms oriented parallel to the body axis.
- *Feed Point Impedance and Return Loss:* These parameters are measured with the dipole positioned under the liquid filled phantom. The impedance stated is transformed from the measurement at the SMA connector to the feed point. The Return Loss ensures low reflected power. No uncertainty required.
- *Electrical Delay:* One-way delay between the SMA connector and the antenna feed point. No uncertainty required.
- *SAR measured:* SAR measured at the stated antenna input power.
- *SAR normalized:* SAR as measured, normalized to an input power of 1 W at the antenna connector.
- *SAR for nominal TSL parameters:* The measured TSL parameters are used to calculate the nominal SAR result.

Measurement Conditions

DASY system configuration, as far as not given on page 1.

DASY Version	DASY5	V5.0
Extrapolation	Advanced Extrapolation	
Phantom	Modular Flat Phantom V4.9	
Distance Dipole Center - TSL	15 mm	with Spacer
Zoom Scan Resolution	dx, dy, dz = 5 mm	
Frequency	750 MHz $\pm$ 1 MHz	

Head TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Head TSL parameters	22.0 °C	41.9	0.89 mho/m
Measured Head TSL parameters	(22.0 $\pm$ 0.2) °C	41.9 $\pm$ 6 %	0.90 mho/m $\pm$ 6 %
Head TSL temperature during test	(21.6 $\pm$ 0.2) °C	----	----

SAR result with Head TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of Head TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	2.15 mW / g
SAR normalized	normalized to 1W	8.60 mW / g
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters ¹	normalized to 1W	8.52 mW /g $\pm$ 17.0 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of Head TSL	condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	1.41 mW / g
SAR normalized	normalized to 1W	5.64 mW / g
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters ¹	normalized to 1W	5.60 mW /g $\pm$ 16.5 % (k=2)

¹ Correction to nominal TSL parameters according to d), chapter "SAR Sensitivities"

Body TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Body TSL parameters	22.0 °C	55.5	0.96 mho/m
Measured Body TSL parameters	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	56.0 ± 6 %	0.96 mho/m ± 6 %
Body TSL temperature during test	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	----	----

SAR result with Body TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm³ (1 g) of Body TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	2.18 mW / g
SAR normalized	normalized to 1W	8.72 mW / g
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters ²	normalized to 1W	8.74 mW / g ± 17.0 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm³ (10 g) of Body TSL	condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	1.45 mW / g
SAR normalized	normalized to 1W	5.80 mW / g
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters ²	normalized to 1W	5.81 mW / g ± 16.5 % (k=2)

² Correction to nominal TSL parameters according to d), chapter "SAR Sensitivities"

Appendix

Antenna Parameters with Head TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	53.0 Ω - 2.6 j Ω
Return Loss	- 28.3 dB

Antenna Parameters with Body TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	48.9 Ω - 4.9 j Ω
Return Loss	- 26.0 dB

General Antenna Parameters and Design

Electrical Delay (one direction)	1.044 ns
----------------------------------	----------

After long term use with 100W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals.

No excessive force must be applied to the dipole arms, because they might bend or the soldered connections near the feedpoint may be damaged.

Additional EUT Data

Manufactured by	SPEAG
Manufactured on	January 21, 2009

DASY5 Validation Report for Head TSL

Date/Time: 19.02.2009 09:26:26

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole 750 MHz; Type: D750V3; Serial: D750V3 - SN:1003

Communication System: CW-750; Frequency: 750 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: HSL 750 MHz

Medium parameters used: $f = 750$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.9$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 41.7$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC)

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV2 - SN3025; ConvF(6.09, 6.09, 6.09); Calibrated: 28.04.2008
- Sensor-Surface: 3.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 14.03.2008
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 4.9L; Type: QD000P49AA; Serial: 1001
- Measurement SW: DASY5, V5.0 Build 120; SEMCAD X Version 13.4 Build 45

Pin=250mW; dip=15mm; dist=3.4mm/Max Search (41x41x1): Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 2.44 mW/g


Pin=250mW; dip=15mm; dist=3.4mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 52.9 V/m; Power Drift = -0.00345 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.17 W/kg


SAR(1 g) = 2.15 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.41 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.44 mW/g



0 dB = 2.44mW/g

Impedance Measurement Plot for Head TSL



DASY5 Validation Report for Body TSL

Date/Time: 19.02.2009 10:32:36

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole 750 MHz; Type: D750V3; Serial: D750V3 - SN:1003

Communication System: CW-750; Frequency: 750 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: MSL750

Medium parameters used: $f = 750$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.96$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 56$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC)

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV2 - SN3025; ConvF(5.9, 5.9, 5.9); Calibrated: 28.04.2008
- Sensor-Surface: 3.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 14.03.2008
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 4.9L; Type: QD000P49AA; Serial: 1001
- Measurement SW: DASY5, V5.0 Build 120; SEMCAD X Version 13.4 Build 45

Pin=250mW; dip=15mm; dist=3.4mm/Max Search (41x41x1): Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 2.46 mW/g


Pin=250mW; dip=15mm; dist=3.4mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 51.5 V/m; Power Drift = 0.040 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.13 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 2.18 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.45 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.46 mW/g




0 dB = 2.46mW/g

Impedance Measurement Plot for Body TSL

19 Feb 2009 10:57:49
[CH1] S11 1 U FS 1: 48.861 Ω -4.8555 Ω 43.705 pF 750.000 000 MHz

*
De1
Cor




Avg
16

CH2 S11 LOG 5 dB/REF -20 dB 1:-25.955 dB 750.000 000 MHz

Cor

Avg
16



START 550.000 000 MHz

STOP 950.000 000 MHz