PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.
7185 Oakland Mills Road, Columbia, MD 21046 USA
Tel. 410.290.6652 / Fax 410.290.6654
http://www.pctestlab.com



HEARING AID COMPATIBILITY

Applicant Name:
Samsung Electronics, Co. Ltd.
129, Samsung-ro, Maetan dong,
Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-si
Gyeonggi-do 443-742, Korea

Date of Testing:
12/22/2014
Test Site/Location:
PCTEST Lab, Columbia, MD, USA
Test Report Serial No.:
0Y1412232325-R1.A3L

FCC ID: **A3LSMG360V**

APPLICANT: **SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS, CO. LTD.**

Scope of Test: Audio Band Magnetic Testing (T-Coil)
Application Type: Class II Permissive Change
FCC Rule Part(s): CFR § 20.19(b)
HAC Standard: ANSI C63.19-2011
CTIA Test Plan for Hearing Aid Compatibility Rev 3.0, November 2013
EUT Type: Portable Handset
Model(s): SM-G360V
Test Device Serial No.: *Pre-Production Sample* [S/N: 2311-5]
Class II Permissive Change(s): *VoLTE Testing*
Original Grant Date: *12/30/2014*

C63.19-2011 HAC Category: T4 (SIGNAL TO NOISE CATEGORY, LTE ONLY)

This revised Test Report (S/N: 0Y1412232325-R1.A3L) supersedes and replaces the previously issued test report on the same subject device for the same type of testing as indicated. Please discard or destroy the previously issued test report(s) and dispose of it accordingly.

This report and category rating pertains only to the LTE bands supported by this wireless portable device. The overall category rating of the device is determined by the lowest rating obtained over all air interfaces supported by the device. This device has been shown to be hearing-aid compatible for the LTE air interface, under the above rated category, specified in ANSI/IEEE Std. C63.19-2011 and has been tested in accordance with the specified measurement procedures. Test results reported herein relate only to the item(s) tested. Hearing-Aid Compatibility is based on the assumption that all production units will be designed electrically identical to the device tested in this report. North American Bands only.

I attest to the accuracy of data. All measurements reported herein were performed by me or were made under my supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I assume full responsibility for the completeness of these measurements and vouch for the qualifications of all persons taking them.

Randy Ortanez
President

CTIA Authorized Test Lab
LAB CODE 20020221-00

ACCREDITED
TESTING LABORATORY
CERTIFICATE #2041.01

© 2015 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION 3
2. TEST SITE LOCATION 4
3. EUT DESCRIPTION 5
4. ANSI C63.19-2011 PERFORMANCE CATEGORIES 6
5. METHOD OF MEASUREMENT 8
6. VOLTE TEST SYSTEM SETUP AND DUT CONFIGURATION 17
7. TEST SUMMARY 19
8. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY 31
9. EQUIPMENT LIST 32
10. CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES 33
11. CONCLUSION 40
12. REFERENCES 41
13. TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS 43

© 2015 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

1. INTRODUCTION

On July 10, 2003, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) adopted new rules requiring wireless manufacturers and service providers to provide digital wireless phones that are compatible with hearing aids. The FCC has modified the exemption for wireless phones under the Hearing Aid Compatibility Act of 1998 (HAC Act) in WT Docket 01-309 RM-8658[1] to extend the benefits of wireless telecommunications to individuals with hearing disabilities. These benefits encompass business, social and emergency communications, which increase the value of the wireless network for everyone. Approximately 500 million people worldwide and 30 million people in the United States suffer from hearing loss.

Compatibility Tests Involved:

The standard calls for wireless communications devices to be measured for:

- RF Electric-field emissions
- RF Magnetic-field emissions
- T-coil mode, magnetic-signal strength in the audio band
- T-coil mode, magnetic-signal frequency response through the audio band
- T-coil mode, magnetic-signal and noise articulation index

The hearing aid must be measured for:

- RF immunity in microphone mode
- RF immunity in T-coil mode

In the following tests and results, this report includes the evaluation for a wireless communications device.

Figure 1-1 Hearing Aid *in-vitu*

[1] FCC Rule & Order, WT Docket 01-309 RM-8658

© 2015 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

2. TEST SITE LOCATION

I. Test Facility / Accreditations:

Measurements were performed at an independent accredited PCTEST Engineering Lab located in Columbia, MD 21046, U.S.A.

- PCTEST Lab is accredited to ISO 17025-2005 by the American Association for Laboratory Accreditation (A2LA) in Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) testing, Hearing-Aid Compatibility (HAC), CTIA Test Plans, and wireless testing for FCC and Industry Canada Rules.
- PCTEST Lab is accredited to ISO 17025 by U.S. National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) under the National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program (NVLAP Lab code: 100431-0) in EMC, FCC and Telecommunications.
- PCTEST facility is an FCC registered (PCTEST Reg. No. 90864) test facility with the site description report on file and has met all the requirements specified in Section 2.948 of the FCC Rules and Industry Canada (IC-2451).
- PCTEST Lab is a recognized U.S. Conformity Assessment Body (CAB) in EMC and R&TTE (n.b. 0982) under the U.S.-EU Mutual Recognition Agreement (MRA).
- PCTEST TCB is a Telecommunication Certification Body (TCB) accredited to ISO/IEC Guide 65 by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) in all scopes of FCC Rules and all Industry Canada Standards (RSS).

- PCTEST facility is an IC registered (IC-2451) test laboratory with the site description on file at Industry Canada.
- PCTEST is a CTIA Authorized Test Laboratory (CATL) for AMPS and CDMA, and EvDO mobile phones.
- PCTEST is a CTIA Authorized Test Laboratory (CATL) for Over-the-Air (OTA) Antenna Performance testing for AMPS, CDMA, GSM, GPRS, EGPRS, UMTS (W-CDMA), CDMA 1xEVDO Data, CDMA 1xRTT Data.

© 2015 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

3. EUT DESCRIPTION

FCC ID:	A3LSMG360V
Applicant:	Samsung Electronics, Co. Ltd. 129, Samsung-ro, Maetan dong, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-si Gyeonggi-do 443-742, Korea
Model(s):	SM-G360V
Serial Number:	2311-5
HW Version:	G360V.03
SW Version:	G360VVRE0ANK4
Antenna:	Internal Antenna
HAC Test Configurations:	LTE FDD B13; BW's: 10MHz, 5MHz; BT Off, WLAN Off LTE FDD B4; BW's: 20MHz, 15MHz, 10MHz, 5MHz; BT Off, WLAN Off LTE FDD B2; BW's: 20MHz, 15MHz, 10MHz, 5MHz; BT Off, WLAN Off * Note: LTE test channels for different bands and bandwidths can be found in Sect. 7.II
EUT Type:	Portable Handset

Air-Interface	Band (MHz)	Type Transport	HAC Tested	Simultaneous But Not Tested	Voice over Digital Transport OTT Capability	WIFI Low Power	Additional GSM Power Reduction
CDMA	835	VO	Yes[2]	Yes: WIFI or BT	N/A	N/A	N/A
	1900						
	EVDO	DT	No	Yes: WIFI or BT	Yes	N/A	N/A
LTE	700	VD[1]	Yes	Yes: WIFI or BT	Yes	N/A	N/A
	1700						
	1900						
WIFI	2450	DT	No	Yes: CDMA or LTE	Yes	N/A	N/A
	5200						
	5300						
	5500						
	5800						
BT	2450	DT	No	Yes: CDMA or LTE	N/A	N/A	N/A

Type Transport
VO = Voice Only
DT = Digital Data - Not intended for CMRS Service
VD = CMRS and Data Transport

Notes:
1. The 3GPP VoLTE CMRS service is defined by GSMA in PRD IR.92 for IP Voice Service and Digital Transport.
2. CDMA air interfaces are not within the scope of this test report. Please refer to appropriate test reports.

Table 3: SM-G360V HAC Air Interfaces

© 2015 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

4. ANSI C63.19-2011 PERFORMANCE CATEGORIES

I. MAGNETIC COUPLING

Axial and Radial Field Intensity

All orientations of the magnetic field, in the axial, horizontal and vertical position along the measurement plane shall be ≥ -18 dB(A/m) at 1 kHz in a 1/3 octave band filter per 7.3.1.

Frequency Response

The frequency response of the axial component of the magnetic field shall follow the response curve specified in EIA RS-504-1983, over the frequency range 300 Hz – 3000 Hz per 7.3.2.



Figure 4-1
Magnetic field frequency response for Wireless Devices with an axial field ≤ -15 dB(A/m) at 1 kHz



Figure 4-2
Magnetic Field frequency response for wireless devices with an axial field that exceeds -15 dB(A/m) at 1 kHz

© 2015 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

Signal Quality

The table below provides the signal quality requirement for the intended audio magnetic signal from a wireless device. Only the RF immunity of the hearing aid is measured in T-coil mode. It is assumed that a hearing aid can have no immunity to an interference signal in the audio band, which is the intended reception band for this mode. The only criterion that can be measured is the RF immunity in T-coil mode. This is measured using the same procedure as the audio coupling mode at the same levels.

The signal quality of the axial and radial components of the magnetic field was used to determine the T-coil mode category.

Category	Telephone RF Parameters
	Wireless Device Signal Quality (Signal + Noise-to-noise ratio in dB)
T1	0 to 10 dB
T2	10 to 20 dB
T3	20 to 30 dB
T4	> 30 dB

Table 4-1
Magnetic Coupling Parameters

© 2015 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

5. METHOD OF MEASUREMENT

I. Test Setup

The equipment was connected as shown in an acoustic/RF hemi-anechoic chamber:



Figure 5-1
Validation Setup with Helmholtz Coil



Figure 5-2
T-Coil Test Setup

© 2015 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

II. Scanning Mechanism

Manufacturer:	TEM
Accuracy:	± 0.83 cm/meter
Minimum Step Size:	0.1 mm
Maximum speed	6.1 cm/sec
Line Voltage:	115 VAC
Line Frequency:	60 Hz
Material Composite:	Delrin (Acetal)
Data Control:	Parallel Port
Dynamic Range (X-Y-Z):	45 x 31.75 x 47 cm
Dimensions:	36” x 25” x 38”
Operating Area:	36” x 49” x 55”
Reflections:	< -20 dB (in anechoic chamber)



Figure 5-3
RF Near-Field Scanner

III. 3GPP2 Normal Test Signal (speech)

Manufacturer:	3GPP2 (TIA 1042 §3.3.1)
Stimulus Type:	Modified-IRS weighted, multi-talker speech signal, 4 Male and 4 Female speakers (alternating)
Single Sample Duration:	51.62 seconds
Activity Level:	77.4%



Figure 5-4
Temporal Characteristic of Normal Test Signal

© 2015 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

ABM1 Measurement Block Diagram :



ABM2 Measurement Block Diagram :



Figure 5-5 Magnetic Measurement Processing Steps

IV. Test Procedure

1. Ambient Noise Check per C63.19 §6.2.1
   a. Ambient interference was monitored using a Real-Time Analyzer between 100-10,000 Hz with 1/3 octave filtering.
   b. "A-weighting" and Half-Band Integration was applied to the measurements.
   c. Since this measurement was measured in the same method as ABM2 measurements, this level was verified to be less than 10 dB below the lowest measurement signal (which is the highest ABM2 measurement for a T4 WD). Therefore the maximum noise level for a T4 WD with an ABM1 = -18 dBA/m is:

      -18 - 30 - 10 = -58 dBA/m

2. Measurement System Validation (See Figure 5-1)
   a. The measurement system including the probe, pre-amplifier and acquisition system were validated as an entire system to ensure the reliability of test measurements.

   b. ABM1 Validation
      The magnetic field at the center of the Helmholtz coil is given by the equation (per C63.19 Annex D.9.1):

$$H_c = \frac{NI}{r\sqrt{1.25^3}} = \frac{N(\frac{V}{R})}{r\sqrt{1.25^3}}$$

Where H_c = magnetic field strength in amperes per meter
N = number of turns per coil

For the Helmholtz Coil, N=20; r=0.08m; R=10.193Ω and using V=57mV:

$$H_c = \frac{20 \cdot (\frac{0.057}{10.193})}{0.08 \cdot \sqrt{1.25^3}} = 1.0003 A/m$$

Therefore a pure tone of 1kHz was applied into the coils such that 57 mV was observed across the 10 Ω resistor. The voltmeter used for measurement was verified to be capable of measurements in the audio band range. This theoretically generates an expected field of 1 A/m in the center of the Helmholtz coil which was used to validate the probe measurement at 1 A/m. This was verified to be within ± 0.5 dB of the 1 A/m value (see Page 21).

© 2015 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

c. Frequency Response Validation

The frequency response through the Helmholtz Coil was verified to be within 0.5 dB relative to 1 kHz, between 300 – 3000 Hz using the Normal speech signal as shown below:



Figure 5-6 Frequency Response Validation

d. ABM2 Measurement Validation

WD noise measurements are filtered with A-weighting and Half-Band Integration over a frequency range of 100Hz – 10kHz to process ABM2 measurements. Below is the verification of the system processing A-weighting and Half-Band integration between system input to output within 0.5 dB of the theoretical result:

Table 5-1
ABM2 Frequency Response Validation

f (Hz)	HBI, A - Measured (dB re 1kHz)	HBI, A - Theoretical (dB re 1kHz)	dB Var.
100	-16.180	-16.170	-0.010
125	-13.257	-13.250	-0.007
160	-10.347	-10.340	-0.007
200	-8.017	-8.010	-0.007
250	-5.925	-5.920	-0.005
315	-4.045	-4.040	-0.005
400	-2.405	-2.400	-0.005
500	-1.212	-1.210	-0.002
630	-0.349	-0.350	0.001
800	0.071	0.070	0.001
1000	0.000	0.000	0.000
1250	-0.503	-0.500	-0.003
1600	-1.513	-1.510	-0.003
2000	-2.778	-2.780	0.002
2500	-4.316	-4.320	0.004
3150	-6.166	-6.170	0.004
4000	-8.322	-8.330	0.008
5000	-10.573	-10.590	0.017
6300	-13.178	-13.200	0.022
8000	-16.241	-16.270	0.029
10000	-19.495	-19.520	0.025

© 2015 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.



Figure 5-7
ABM2 Frequency Response Validation

The ABM2 result is a power sum from 100 Hz to 10 kHz with half-band integration and A-weighting. To verify the power sum measurement, a power sum over the full band was measured and verified to track with the source level (See Figure 5-8). Therefore the setup in this step was used to verify the power sum post-processing for ABM2 measurements. See below block diagram:



Figure 5-8
ABM2 Validation Block Diagram

The power summed output results for a known input were compared to the multi-meter results to verify any deviation in the post-processing implemented with the power-sum.

Table 5-2
ABM2 Power Sum Validation

WN Input (dBV)	Power Sum (dBV)	Multimeter-Full (dBV)	Dev (dB)
-60	-60.36	-60.2	0.16
-50	-50.19	-50.13	0.06
-40	-40.14	-40.03	0.11
-30	-30.13	-30.01	0.12
-20	-20.12	-20	0.12
-10	-10.14	-10	0.14

© 2015 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.



Figure 5-9
ABM2 Power Sum Validation

3. Measurement Test Setup
   a. Fine scan above the WD (TEM)
      i. A multitone signal was applied to the handset such that the phone acoustic output was stable within 1dB over the probe settling time and with the acoustic output level at the C63.19 specified levels (below). The measurement step size was in 2 mm increments at a distance of 10 mm between the surface of the wireless device as shown below:



Figure 5-10
Measurement Distance

.

      ii. After scanning, the planar field maximum point was determined. The position of the probe was moved to this location to setup the test using the Sound Check system.
      iii. These steps were repeated for the other T-coil orientations (of axial or radial transverse) per Figure 5-13 after a T-coil orientation was fully measured with the Sound Check system.

   b. Speech Signal Setup to Base Station Simulator
      i. According to the C63 Committee, a speech input level of -16dBm0 shall be used for LTE T-Coil testing.
      ii. See Section 6 for more information regarding CMW500 audio level settings for Voice Over LTE (VoLTE) testing.
   c. Real-Time Analyzer (RTA)
      i. The Real-Time Analyzer was configured to analyze measurements using 1/3 Octave band weighted filtering.

© 2015 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

d. WD Radio Configuration Selection
    i. LTE configuration information can be found in Section 6

4. Signal Quality Data Analysis
    a. Narrow-band Magnetic Intensity
        i. The standard specifies a 1 kHz 1/3 octave band minimum field intensity for a sine tone. The ABM1 measurements were evaluated at 1 kHz with 1/3 octave band filtering over an averaged period of 10 seconds.
    b. Frequency Response
        i. The appropriate frequency response curve was measured to curves in Figure 4-1 or Figure 4-2 between 300 – 3000 Hz using digital linear averaging (limit lines chosen according to measurement found in step 3.d.ia.) A linear average over 3x the length of the speech signal (3x sampling) was performed. A 10 second delay was configured in the measurement process of the stimulus to ensure handset vocoder latency effects and echo cancellation devices (if any) were appropriately stabilized during measurements.
        ii. The appropriate post-processing was applied according to the system processing chain illustrated in Figure 5-11. All R10 frequencies were plotted with respect to 0dB at 1 kHz value and aligned with respect to the EIA-504 mask.



Figure 5-11 Frequency Response Block Diagram

        iii. The margin is represented by the closest measured data point on the curve to the EIA-504 limit lines, in dB.
    c. Signal Quality Index
        i. Ensuring the WD was at maximum RF power, maximum volume, backlight on, display on, maximum contrast setting, keypad lights on (when possible) with no audio signal through the vocoder, the WD was measured over at least 100 Hz – 10,000 Hz, maximized over 5 seconds with a 50ms sample time for the ABM2 measurement (5 second time period is used in noise measurements under standards such as IEEE 269, etc.)
        ii. After applying half-band integration and A-weighting to the result, a power sum was applied over each 1/3 octave bandwidth frequency to obtain an ABM2 value.
        iii. This result was subtracted from the ABM1 result in step a, to obtain the Signal Quality.

V. Deviation from C63.19 Test Procedure

Non-conducted RF connection to account for effects of metalic shielding in the battery cover.

VI. Air Interface Technologies Tested

This report covers only T-Coil testing for LTE. Other air interfaces supported by the device are not included in the test scope for this report.

© 2015 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

VII. Wireless Device Channels and Frequencies

For LTE testing, the middle channel for every band and bandwidth combination was tested for each probe orientation. The band and bandwidth combination from each probe orientation resulting in the worst-case SNNR was additionally tested using low and high channels for that band and bandwidth combination. See Tables 7-5 through 7-13 for LTE bandwidths and channels.

VIII. RF Emission Effect on T-coil Measurements



Figure 5-12
High power RF Emissions Effect with HAC Dipole on the T-coil Probe System 10mm between dipole maximum and magnetic probe

© 2015 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

IX. Test Flow

The flow diagram below was followed (From C63.19):



Figure 5-13
C63.19 T-Coil Signal Test Process

© 2015 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

6. VOLTE TEST SYSTEM SETUP AND DUT CONFIGURATION

I. Test System Setup for VoLTE T-coil Testing

1. Equipment Setup

The general test setup used for VoLTE is shown below (adopted from FCC KDB 285076 D02). The callbox used when performing VoLTE T-coil measurements is a CMW500. The Data Application Unit (DAU) of the CMW500 was used to simulate the IP Multimedia Subsystem (IMS) server.



Figure 6-1
Test Setup for VoLTE T-Coil Measurements

2. Audio Level Settings

According to the July 2012 interpretations by the C63 Committee regarding the appropriate audio levels to be used for LTE T-coil testing, -16dBm0 shall be used for the normal speech input level*. The CMW500 base station simulator was manually configured to ensure that the settings for speech input and full scale levels resulted in the -16dBm0 speech input level to the DUT for the VoLTE connection.

* http://c63.org/documents/misc/posting/new_interpretations.htm

© 2015 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

II. DUT Configuration for VoLTE T-coil Testing

1. Radio Configuration

An investigation was performed on the worst-case LTE Band and Bandwidth to determine the modulation and RB configuration to be used for testing. 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB offset was used for the testing as the worst-case configuration for the handset. See below table for SNNR comparison between different radio configurations:

Frequency [MHz]	Channel	Bandwidth [MHz]	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	ABM1 [dB(A/m)]	ABM2 [dB(A/m)]	SNNR [dB]
1880.0	18900	20	QPSK	1	0	4.83	-38.16	42.99
1880.0	18900	20	QPSK	1	50	4.76	-38.40	43.16
1880.0	18900	20	QPSK	1	99	4.78	-38.45	43.23
1880.0	18900	20	QPSK	50	0	4.72	-38.05	42.77
1880.0	18900	20	QPSK	50	25	4.80	-38.25	43.05
1880.0	18900	20	QPSK	50	50	4.77	-38.42	43.19
1880.0	18900	20	QPSK	100	0	4.72	-38.40	43.12
1880.0	18900	20	16-QAM	1	0	4.78	-36.99	**41.77**
1880.0	18900	20	16-QAM	1	50	4.71	-37.40	42.11
1880.0	18900	20	16-QAM	1	99	4.73	-37.53	42.26
1880.0	18900	20	16-QAM	50	0	4.76	-37.97	42.73
1880.0	18900	20	16-QAM	50	25	4.72	-38.27	42.99
1880.0	18900	20	16-QAM	50	50	4.70	-37.97	42.67
1880.0	18900	20	16-QAM	100	0	4.72	-37.97	42.69

Table 6-1
LTE SNNR by Radio Configuration

2. Codec Configuration

An investigation was performed on the worst-case LTE Band and Bandwidth to determine the audio codec configuration to be used for testing. The WB AMR 23.85kbps setting was used for the audio codec on the CMW500 for VoLTE T-coil testing. See below table for ABM1 and ABM2 comparisons between different codecs and codec data rates:

Codec Setting:	WB AMR 23.85kbps	WB AMR 6.60kbps	NB AMR 12.2kbps	NB AMR 4.75kbps	Orientation	Channel
ABM1 Pre-Test (dBA/m)	4.850	4.660	7.060	5.490	Axial	18900 (20MHz BW)
ABM2 Pre-Test (dBA/m) (A-weight, Half-Band Int.)	-37.44	-37.75	-37.55	-37.44		
S+N/N (dB)	**42.29**	42.41	44.61	42.93		

Table 6-2
VoLTE Codec Comparison for A3LSMG360V

- Mute on; Backlight on; Max Volume, Max Contrast
- TPC = "Max Power"

Figure 6-2
Audio Band Magnetic Curve Measurement Block Diagram

© 2015 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

7. TEST SUMMARY

I. T-Coil Test Summary

Table 7-1
Table of Results for LTE Band 13

C63.19 Sec.	Mode	Band/ BW	Test Description	Minimum Limit*	Measured	Verdict
				dBA/m	*dBA/m*	*PASS/FAIL*
8.3.1	LTE	Band 13/ 5MHz BW	Intensity, Axial	-18	4.7	PASS
8.3.1			Intensity, Radial	-18	-2.3	PASS
8.3.4			Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Axial	20	45.9	PASS
8.3.4			Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Radial	20	45.2	PASS
8.3.2			Frequency Response, Axial	0	0.9	PASS
8.3.1	LTE	Band 13/ 10MHz BW	Intensity, Axial	-18	4.7	PASS
8.3.1			Intensity, Radial	-18	-2.4	PASS
8.3.4			Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Axial	20	46.6	PASS
8.3.4			Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Radial	20	44.7	PASS
8.3.2			Frequency Response, Axial	0	0.9	PASS

Note: The above summary table represents the worst-case numerical values according to configurations in Table 7-4.

© 2015 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

Table 7-2
Table of Results for LTE Band 4

C63.19 Sec.	Mode	Band/ BW	Test Description	Minimum Limit*	Measured	Verdict
				dBA/m	*dBA/m*	*PASS/FAIL*
8.3.1	LTE	Band 4/ 1.4MHz BW	Intensity, Axial	-18	4.8	PASS
8.3.1			Intensity, Radial	-18	-2.4	PASS
8.3.4			Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Axial	20	45.3	PASS
8.3.4			Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Radial	20	43.7	PASS
8.3.2			Frequency Response, Axial	0	0.9	PASS
8.3.1	LTE	Band 4/ 3MHz BW	Intensity, Axial	-18	4.7	PASS
8.3.1			Intensity, Radial	-18	-2.4	PASS
8.3.4			Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Axial	20	45.7	PASS
8.3.4			Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Radial	20	44.4	PASS
8.3.2			Frequency Response, Axial	0	0.9	PASS
8.3.1	LTE	Band 4/ 5MHz BW	Intensity, Axial	-18	4.7	PASS
8.3.1			Intensity, Radial	-18	-2.4	PASS
8.3.4			Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Axial	20	45.9	PASS
8.3.4			Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Radial	20	44.8	PASS
8.3.2			Frequency Response, Axial	0	0.9	PASS
8.3.1	LTE	Band 4/ 10MHz BW	Intensity, Axial	-18	4.7	PASS
8.3.1			Intensity, Radial	-18	-2.3	PASS
8.3.4			Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Axial	20	45.5	PASS
8.3.4			Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Radial	20	44.8	PASS
8.3.2			Frequency Response, Axial	0	0.9	PASS
8.3.1	LTE	Band 4/ 15MHz BW	Intensity, Axial	-18	4.7	PASS
8.3.1			Intensity, Radial	-18	-2.3	PASS
8.3.4			Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Axial	20	41.8	PASS
8.3.4			Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Radial	20	44.4	PASS
8.3.2			Frequency Response, Axial	0	0.9	PASS
8.3.1	LTE	Band 4/ 20MHz BW	Intensity, Axial	-18	4.7	PASS
8.3.1			Intensity, Radial	-18	-2.4	PASS
8.3.4			Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Axial	20	42.0	PASS
8.3.4			Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Radial	20	44.4	PASS
8.3.2			Frequency Response, Axial	0	0.9	PASS

Note: The above summary table represents the worst-case numerical values according to configurations in Tables 7-5 through 7-7.

© 2015 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

Table 7-3
Table of Results for LTE Band 2

C63.19 Sec.	Mode	Band/ BW	Test Description	Minimum Limit*	Measured	Verdict
				dBA/m	*dBA/m*	*PASS/FAIL*
8.3.1	LTE	Band 2/ 1.4MHz BW	Intensity, Axial	-18	4.7	PASS
8.3.1			Intensity, Radial	-18	-2.4	PASS
8.3.4			Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Axial	20	45.2	PASS
8.3.4			Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Radial	20	45.9	PASS
8.3.2			Frequency Response, Axial	0	0.9	PASS
8.3.1	LTE	Band 2/ 3MHz BW	Intensity, Axial	-18	4.8	PASS
8.3.1			Intensity, Radial	-18	-2.4	PASS
8.3.4			Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Axial	20	46.5	PASS
8.3.4			Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Radial	20	46.6	PASS
8.3.2			Frequency Response, Axial	0	0.9	PASS
8.3.1	LTE	Band 2/ 5MHz BW	Intensity, Axial	-18	4.8	PASS
8.3.1			Intensity, Radial	-18	-2.4	PASS
8.3.4			Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Axial	20	46.2	PASS
8.3.4			Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Radial	20	46.9	PASS
8.3.2			Frequency Response, Axial	0	0.8	PASS
8.3.1	LTE	Band 2/ 10MHz BW	Intensity, Axial	-18	4.7	PASS
8.3.1			Intensity, Radial	-18	-2.4	PASS
8.3.4			Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Axial	20	47.6	PASS
8.3.4			Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Radial	20	46.1	PASS
8.3.2			Frequency Response, Axial	0	0.8	PASS
8.3.1	LTE	Band 2/ 15MHz BW	Intensity, Axial	-18	4.7	PASS
8.3.1			Intensity, Radial	-18	-2.4	PASS
8.3.4			Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Axial	20	43.1	PASS
8.3.4			Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Radial	20	46.0	PASS
8.3.2			Frequency Response, Axial	0	0.9	PASS
8.3.1	LTE	Band 2/ 20MHz BW	Intensity, Axial	-18	4.7	PASS
8.3.1			Intensity, Radial	-18	-2.4	PASS
8.3.4			Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Axial	20	41.8	PASS
8.3.4			Signal-to-Noise/Noise, Radial	20	45.5	PASS
8.3.2			Frequency Response, Axial	0	0.9	PASS

Note: The above summary table represents the worst-case numerical values according to configurations in Tables 7-8 through 7-10.

© 2015 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

II. Raw Handset Data

Table 7-4
Raw Data Results for LTE Band 13

	Volume	5MHz BW	
		Axial	Radial
		23230	23230
ABM1, dBA/m	Maximum	4.70	-2.34
ABM2, dBA/m		-41.21	-47.57
Ambient Noise, dBA/m		-58.28	-58.18
Freq. Response Margin (dB)		0.85	N/A
S+N/N (dB)		45.91	45.23
S+N/N per orientation (dB)		45.91	45.23
C63.19-2011 Rating per orientation		**T4**	**T4**
	Volume	10MHz BW	
		Axial	Radial
		23230	23230
ABM1, dBA/m	Maximum	4.73	-2.36
ABM2, dBA/m		-41.89	-47.03
Ambient Noise, dBA/m		-58.28	-58.18
Freq. Response Margin (dB)		0.91	N/A
S+N/N (dB)		46.62	44.67
S+N/N per orientation (dB)		46.62	44.67
C63.19-2011 Rating per orientation		**T4**	**T4**
T-coil Coordinates (cm)	[x,y] from bottom left	2.6,2.6	2.6,1.8

Notes:

1. Power Configuration: TPC = “Max Power”
2. Radio Configuration: 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB Offset
3. Phone Condition: Mute on; Backlight on; Max Volume, Max Contrast
4. Vocoder Configuration: WB AMR 23.85kbps (LTE)
5. 'Radial' orientation refers to radial transverse.
6. Speech Signal: 3GPP2 Normal Test Signal

© 2015 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

Table 7-5
Raw Data Results for LTE Band 4 (1.4MHz and 3MHz BW's)

	Volume	1.4MHz BW			
		Axial	Radial		
		20175	19957	20175	20393
ABM1, dBA/m	Maximum	4.79	-2.27	-2.36	-2.27
ABM2, dBA/m		-40.54	-45.96	-46.52	-47.40
Ambient Noise, dBA/m		-58.28	-58.18	-58.18	-58.18
Freq. Response Margin (dB)		0.86	N/A	N/A	N/A
S+N/N (dB)		45.33	43.69	44.16	45.13
S+N/N per orientation (dB)		45.33	43.69		
C63.19-2011 Rating per orientation		**T4**	**T4**		
	Volume	3MHz BW			
		Axial	Radial		
		20175	20175		
ABM1, dBA/m	Maximum	4.71	-2.35		
ABM2, dBA/m		-40.97	-46.76		
Ambient Noise, dBA/m		-58.28	-58.18		
Freq. Response Margin (dB)		0.87	N/A		
S+N/N (dB)		45.68	44.41		
S+N/N per orientation (dB)		45.68	44.41		
C63.19-2011 Rating per orientation		**T4**	**T4**		
T-coil Coordinates (cm)	[x,y] from bottom left	2.6,2.6	2.6,1.8		

Notes:

1. Power Configuration: TPC = "Max Power"
2. Radio Configuration: 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB Offset
3. Phone Condition: Mute on; Backlight on; Max Volume, Max Contrast
4. Vocoder Configuration: WB AMR 23.85kbps (LTE)
5. 'Radial' orientation refers to radial transverse.
6. Speech Signal: 3GPP2 Normal Test Signal
7. Since LTE Band 4 at 1.4MHz bandwidth was the worst-case configuration for the Radial probe orientation, low and high channels were additionally tested with this configuration.

© 2015 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

Table 7-6
Raw Data Results for LTE Band 4 (5MHz and 10MHz BW's)

	Volume	5MHz BW	
		Axial	Radial
		20175	20175
ABM1, dBA/m	Maximum	4.68	-2.36
ABM2, dBA/m		-41.18	-47.11
Ambient Noise, dBA/m		-58.28	-58.18
Freq. Response Margin (dB)		0.87	N/A
S+N/N (dB)		45.86	44.75
S+N/N per orientation (dB)		45.86	44.75
C63.19-2011 Rating per orientation		**T4**	**T4**
	Volume	10MHz BW	
		Axial	Radial
		20175	20175
ABM1, dBA/m	Maximum	4.73	-2.32
ABM2, dBA/m		-40.78	-47.07
Ambient Noise, dBA/m		-58.28	-58.18
Freq. Response Margin (dB)		0.85	N/A
S+N/N (dB)		45.51	44.75
S+N/N per orientation (dB)		45.51	44.75
C63.19-2011 Rating per orientation		**T4**	**T4**
T-coil Coordinates (cm)	[x,y] from bottom left	2.6,2.6	2.6,1.8

Notes:

1. Power Configuration: TPC = "Max Power"
2. Radio Configuration: 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB Offset
3. Phone Condition: Mute on; Backlight on; Max Volume, Max Contrast
4. Vocoder Configuration: WB AMR 23.85kbps (LTE)
5. 'Radial' orientation refers to radial transverse.
6. Speech Signal: 3GPP2 Normal Test Signal

© 2015 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

Table 7-7
Raw Data Results for LTE Band 4 (15MHz and 20MHz BW's)

	Volume	15MHz BW	
		Axial	Radial
		20175	20175
ABM1, dBA/m	Maximum	4.71	-2.33
ABM2, dBA/m		-37.12	-46.76
Ambient Noise, dBA/m		-58.28	-58.18
Freq. Response Margin (dB)		0.87	N/A
S+N/N (dB)		41.83	44.43
S+N/N per orientation (dB)		41.83	44.43
C63.19-2011 Rating per orientation		**T4**	**T4**
	Volume	20MHz BW	
		Axial	Radial
		20175	20175
ABM1, dBA/m	Maximum	4.67	-2.35
ABM2, dBA/m		-37.35	-46.76
Ambient Noise, dBA/m		-58.28	-58.18
Freq. Response Margin (dB)		0.91	N/A
S+N/N (dB)		42.02	44.41
S+N/N per orientation (dB)		42.02	44.41
C63.19-2011 Rating per orientation		**T4**	**T4**
T-coil Coordinates (cm)	[x,y] from bottom left	2.6,2.6	2.6,1.8

Notes:

1. Power Configuration: TPC = "Max Power"
2. Radio Configuration: 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB Offset
3. Phone Condition: Mute on; Backlight on; Max Volume, Max Contrast
4. Vocoder Configuration: WB AMR 23.85kbps (LTE)
5. 'Radial' orientation refers to radial transverse.
6. Speech Signal: 3GPP2 Normal Test Signal

© 2015 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

Table 7-8
Raw Data Results for LTE Band 2 (1.4MHz and 3MHz BW's)

	Volume	1.4MHz BW	
		Axial	Radial
		18900	18900
ABM1, dBA/m	Maximum	4.72	-2.36
ABM2, dBA/m		-40.52	-48.29
Ambient Noise, dBA/m		-58.28	-58.18
Freq. Response Margin (dB)		0.91	N/A
S+N/N (dB)		45.24	45.93
S+N/N per orientation (dB)		45.24	45.93
C63.19-2011 Rating per orientation		**T4**	**T4**
	Volume	3MHz BW	
		Axial	Radial
		18900	18900
ABM1, dBA/m	Maximum	4.75	-2.36
ABM2, dBA/m		-41.71	-48.96
Ambient Noise, dBA/m		-58.28	-58.18
Freq. Response Margin (dB)		0.87	N/A
S+N/N (dB)		46.46	46.60
S+N/N per orientation (dB)		46.46	46.60
C63.19-2011 Rating per orientation		**T4**	**T4**
T-coil Coordinates (cm)	[x,y] from bottom left	2.6,2.6	2.6,1.8

Notes:

1. Power Configuration: TPC = "Max Power"
2. Radio Configuration: 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB Offset
3. Phone Condition: Mute on; Backlight on; Max Volume, Max Contrast
4. Vocoder Configuration: WB AMR 23.85kbps (LTE)
5. 'Radial' orientation refers to radial transverse.
6. Speech Signal: 3GPP2 Normal Test Signal

© 2015 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

Table 7-9
Raw Data Results for LTE Band 2 (5MHz and 10MHz BW's)

	Volume	5MHz BW	
		Axial	Radial
		18900	18900
ABM1, dBA/m	Maximum	4.75	-2.36
ABM2, dBA/m		-41.43	-49.21
Ambient Noise, dBA/m		-58.28	-58.18
Freq. Response Margin (dB)		0.83	N/A
S+N/N (dB)		46.18	46.85
S+N/N per orientation (dB)		46.18	46.85
C63.19-2011 Rating per orientation		**T4**	**T4**
	Volume	10MHz BW	
		Axial	Radial
		18900	18900
ABM1, dBA/m	Maximum	4.70	-2.41
ABM2, dBA/m		-42.86	-48.52
Ambient Noise, dBA/m		-58.28	-58.18
Freq. Response Margin (dB)		0.82	N/A
S+N/N (dB)		47.56	46.11
S+N/N per orientation (dB)		47.56	46.11
C63.19-2011 Rating per orientation		**T4**	**T4**
T-coil Coordinates (cm)	[x,y] from bottom left	2.6,2.6	2.6,1.8

Notes:

1. Power Configuration: TPC = "Max Power"
2. Radio Configuration: 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB Offset
3. Phone Condition: Mute on; Backlight on; Max Volume, Max Contrast
4. Vocoder Configuration: WB AMR 23.85kbps (LTE)
5. 'Radial' orientation refers to radial transverse.
6. Speech Signal: 3GPP2 Normal Test Signal

© 2015 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

Table 7-10
Raw Data Results for LTE Band 2 (15MHz and 20MHz BW's)

	Volume	15MHz BW	
		Axial	Radial
		18900	18900
ABM1, dBA/m	Maximum	4.68	-2.39
ABM2, dBA/m		-38.39	-48.36
Ambient Noise, dBA/m		-58.28	-58.18
Freq. Response Margin (dB)		0.87	N/A
S+N/N (dB)		43.07	45.97
S+N/N per orientation (dB)		43.07	45.97
C63.19-2011 Rating per orientation		**T4**	**T4**

	Volume	20MHz BW			
		Axial			Radial
		18700	18900	19100	18900
ABM1, dBA/m	Maximum	4.70	4.70	4.72	-2.40
ABM2, dBA/m		-37.51	-37.11	-38.01	-47.85
Ambient Noise, dBA/m		-58.28	-58.28	-58.28	-58.18
Freq. Response Margin (dB)		0.88	0.89	0.91	N/A
S+N/N (dB)		42.21	41.81	42.73	45.45
S+N/N per orientation (dB)		41.81			45.45
C63.19-2011 Rating per orientation		**T4**			**T4**
T-coil Coordinates (cm)	[x,y] from bottom left	2.6,2.6			2.6,1.8

Notes:

1. Power Configuration: TPC = "Max Power"
2. Radio Configuration: 16QAM, 1RB, 0RB Offset
3. Phone Condition: Mute on; Backlight on; Max Volume, Max Contrast
4. Vocoder Configuration: WB AMR 23.85kbps (LTE)
5. 'Radial' orientation refers to radial transverse.
6. Speech Signal: 3GPP2 Normal Test Signal
7. Since LTE Band 2 at 20MHz bandwidth was the worst-case configuration for the Axial probe orientation, low and high channels were additionally tested with this configuration.

© 2015 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

III. Frequency Response Graph



Figure 7-1
Axial Frequency Response

Note: User T-coil Mode (**Settings→Call→Additional Settings→Hearing aids**) was set to ON for Frequency Response compliance. This frequency response represents the worst-case ABM2 test configuration according to Tables 7-4 through 7-10.

IV. 1 kHz Vocoder Application Check



This model was verified to be within the linear region for ABM1 measurements at -16 dBm0 for VoLTE. This measurement was taken in the axial configuration above the maximum location.

V. Undesirable Audio Magnetic Band Plots (ABM2)



Figure 7-2
Worst-case ABM2 Plot for WD, LTE

Note: This plot represents the data from the location/configuration resulting in the highest ABM2 result shown in Tables 7-4 through 7-10 (Axial configuration, LTE Band 2, 20MHz BW).

© 2015 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

VI. T-Coil Validation Test Results



Figure 7-3
Helmholtz Coil Validation for Frequency Response

Table 7-11
Helmholtz Coil Validation Table of Results

Item	Target	Result	Verdict
Signal Validation			
Frequency Response, from limits	*> 0 dB*	0.55	**PASS**
Magnetic Intensity, -10 dBA/m	*-10 ± 0.5 dB*	-9.711	**PASS**
Noise Validation			
Axial Environmental Noise	< - 58 dBA/m	-58.28	**PASS**
Radial Environmental Noise	< - 58 dBA/m	-58.18	**PASS**

© 2015 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

8. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

Table 8-1
Uncertainty Estimation Table

Contribution	Data +/- %	Data +/- dB	Data Type	Probability distribution	Divisor	Standard uncertainty	Standard Uncertainty (dB)
ABM Noise	7.0%	0.29	Std. Dev.	Normal k=1	1.00	7.0%	
RF Reflections	4.7%	0.20	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	2.7%	
Reference Signal Level	12.2%	0.50	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	7.0%	
Positioning Accuracy	10.0%	0.41	Uncertainty	Rectangular	1.73	5.8%	
Probe Coil Sensitivity	12.2%	0.50	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	7.0%	
Probe Linearity	2.4%	0.10	Std. Dev.	Normal k=1	1.00	2.4%	
Cable Loss	2.8%	0.12	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	1.6%	
Frequency Analyzer	5.0%	0.21	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	2.9%	
System Repeatability	5.0%	0.21	Std. Dev.	Normal k=1	1.00	5.0%	
WD Repeatability	9.0%	0.37	Std. Dev.	Normal k=1	1.00	9.0%	
Positioner Accuracy	1.0%	0.04	Specification	Rectangular	1.73	0.6%	
Combined standard uncertainty, uc (k=1)						17.7%	0.71
Expanded uncertainty (k=2), 95% confidence level						35.3%	1.31

Notes:
1. Test equipments are calibrated according to techniques outlined in NIS81, NIS3003 and NIST Tech Note 1297.
2. All equipments have traceability according to NIST. Measurement Uncertainties are defined in further detail in NIS 81 and NIST Tech Note 1297 and UKAS M3003.

Measurement uncertainty reflects the quality and accuracy of a measured result as compared to the true value. Such statements are generally required when stating results of measurements so that it is clear to the intended audience that the results may differ when reproduced by different facilities. Measurement results vary due to the measurement uncertainty of the instrumentation, measurement technique, and test engineer. Most uncertainties are calculated using the tolerances of the instrumentation used in the measurement, the measurement setup variability, and the technique used in performing the test. While not generally included, the variability of the equipment under test also figures into the overall measurement uncertainty. Another component of the overall uncertainty is based on the variability of repeated measurements (so-called Type A uncertainty). This may mean that the Hearing Aid compatibility tests may have to be repeated by taking down the test setup and resetting it up so that there are a statistically significant number of repeat measurements to identify the measurement uncertainty. By combining the repeat measurement results with that of the instrumentation chain using the technique contained in NIS 81 and NIS 3003, the overall measurement uncertainty was estimated.

© 2015 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

9. EQUIPMENT LIST

Table 9-1
Equipment List

Manufacturer	Model	Description	Cal Date	Cal Interval	Cal Due	Serial Number
Control Company	36934-158	Wall-Mounted Thermometer	4/29/2014	Biennial	4/29/2016	122014488
Listen	SoundCheck	Acoustic Analyzer System	10/17/2014	Annual	10/17/2015	01-20-03368
Listen	SoundConnect	Microphone Power Supply	3/14/2014	Annual	3/14/2015	PS2612
NI	4474	Data Acquisition Card	N/A		N/A	N/A
Rohde & Schwarz	CMU200	Base Station Simulator	4/24/2014	Annual	4/24/2015	836371/0079
TEM	Axial T-Coil Probe	Axial T-Coil Probe	2/17/2014	Annual	2/17/2015	TEM-1123
TEM	Radial T-Coil Probe	Radial T-Coil Probe	2/17/2014	Annual	2/17/2015	TEM-1129
TEM	C63.19	Helmholtz Coil	3/8/2014	Annual	3/8/2015	925
TEM		HAC System Controller with Software	N/A		N/A	N/A
TEM		HAC Positioner	N/A		N/A	N/A

© 2015 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

10. CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES

© 2015 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc.

Certificate of Calibration

for

Axial T Coil Probe

Manufactured by:	TEM CONSULTING
Model No:	Axial T Coil Probe
Serial No:	TEM-1123
Calibration Recall No:	23889

Submitted By:

Customer:	JUSTIN CHAO
Company:	PCTEST ENGINEERING LAB
Address:	6660-B DOBBIN ROAD COLUMBIA MD 21045

The subject instrument was calibrated to the indicated specification using standards traceable to the National Institute of Standards and Technology or to accepted values of natural physical constants. This document certifies that the instrument met the following specification upon its return to the submitter.

West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Procedure No. Axial T Coi TEM

Upon receipt for Calibration, the instrument was found to be:

Within (X) see attached Report of Calibration.

the tolerance of the indicated specification.

West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories' calibration control system meets the requirements, ISO 10012-1 MIL-STD-45662A, ANSI/NCSL Z540-1, IEC Guide 25, ISO 9001:2008 and ISO 17025.

JC
4/4/14

Note: With this Certificate, Report of Calibration is included.

Approved by:

Calibration Date: 17-Feb-14

FC

Certificate No: 23889 - 1

Felix Christopher (QA Mgr.)
ISO/IEC 17025:2005

QA Doc. #1051 Rev. 2.0 10/1/01

Certificate Page 1 of 1

West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories, Inc.
uncompromised calibration
1575 State Route 96, Victor, NY 14564, U.S.A.

ACCREDITED
Calibration Lab. Cert. # 1533.01

© 2015 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories, Inc.
uncompromised calibration

1575 State Route 96, Victor NY 14564

ISO/IEC 17025: 2005
ACCREDITED
Calibration Lab. Cert. # 1533.01

REPORT OF CALIBRATION

for

TEM Consulting LP Axial T Coil Probe **Model No.: Axial T Coil Probe** **Serial No.: TEM-1123**

Company : PCTEST Engineering Lab. **I. D. No: 80582**

Calibration results: **Before data:** ..... **After data:** .....

Probe Sensitivity measured with Helmholtz Coil

Before & after data same: ...X.....

Helmholtz Coil;					
the number of turns on each coil;	10	No.			
the radius of each coil, in meters;	0.204	m	Laboratory Environment:		
the current in the coils, in amperes.;	0.09	A	Ambient Temperature:	21.2	°C
Helmholtz Coil Constant;	7.09	A/m/V	Ambient Humidity:	29.1	% RH
Helmholtz Coil magnetic field;	5.98	A/m	Ambient Pressure:	100.7	kPa
			Calibration Date:	17-Feb-14	
Probe Sensitivity at	1000	Hz.	Re-calibration Due:	17-Feb-15	
was	-60.20	dBV/A/m	Report Number:	23889	-1
	0.977	mV/A/m	Control Number:	23889	
Probe resistance	894	Ohms			

The above listed instrument meets or exceeds the tested manufacturer's specifications.

This Calibration is traceable through NIST test numbers: ,287708

The expanded uncertainty of calibration: 0.30dB at 95% confidence level with a coverage factor of k=2.

Graph represents Probes Frequency Response.



The above listed instrument was checked using calibration procedure documented in West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc. procedure : **Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 HCATEMC**

Calibration was performed by West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc. under Operating Procedures intended to implement the requirements of ISO10012-1, IEC Guide 25, ANSI/NCSL Z540-1, (MIL-STD-45662A) and ISO 9001:2008, ISO 17025

Cal. Date: 17-Feb-2014 Measurements performed by: .....

Calibrated on WCCL system type 9700 **Felix Christopher**

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from West Caldwell Cal. Labs. Inc. Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 HCATEMC

© 2015 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

HCATEMC_TEM-1123_Feb-17-2014

West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc.

1575 State Route 96, Victor NY 14564
Tel. (585) 586-3900 FAX (585) 586-4327

Calibration Data Record

for

TEM Consulting LP Axial T Coil Probe **Model No.: Axial T Coil Probe** **Serial No.: TEM-1123**

Company : PCTEST Engineering Lab.

Test	Function	Tolerance		Measured values Before	Out	Remarks
1.0	Probe Sensitivity at	1000 Hz.	dBV/A/m	-60.20		
			dB			
2.0	Probe Level Linearity		6	6.03		
		Ref. (0 dB)	0	0.00		
			-6	-6.02		
			-12	-12.05		
			Hz			
3.0	Probe Frequency Response		100	-19.9		
			126	-17.9		
			158	-16.0		
			200	-13.9		
			251	-12.0		
			316	-10.0		
			398	-8.0		
			501	-6.0		
			631	-4.0		
			794	-2.0		
		Ref. (0 dB)	1000	0.0		
			1259	2.0		
			1585	4.0		
			1995	6.0		
			2512	7.9		
			3162	9.9		
			3981	11.9		
			5012	13.9		
			6310	15.9		
			7943	18.0		
			10000	20.2		

Instruments used for calibration:			Date of Cal.	Traceablity No.	Due Date
HP	34401A	S/N 36064102	8-Oct-2013	,287708	8-Oct-2014
HP	34401A	S/N 36102471	8-Oct-2013	,287708	8-Oct-2014
HP	33120A	S/N 36043716	8-Oct-2013	,287708	8-Oct-2014
Brüel & Kjær	2133	S/N 1583254	6-Jan-2014	683/284413-14	7-Jan-2015

Cal. Date: 17-Feb-2014 **Tested by: Felix Christopher**

Calibrated on WCCL system type 9700

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from West Caldwell Cal. Labs. Inc.

Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 HCATEMC

Page 2 of 2

© 2015 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc.

Certificate of Calibration

for

Radial T Coil Probe

Manufactured by:	TEM CONSULTING
Model No:	Radial T Coil Probe
Serial No:	TEM-1129
Calibration Recall No:	23889

Submitted By:

Customer:	JUSTIN CHAO
Company:	PCTEST ENGINEERING LAB
Address:	6660-B DOBBIN ROAD COLUMBIA MD 21045

The subject instrument was calibrated to the indicated specification using standards traceable to the National Institute of Standards and Technology or to accepted values of natural physical constants. This document certifies that the instrument met the following specification upon its return to the submitter.

West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Procedure No. Radial T C TEM

Upon receipt for Calibration, the instrument was found to be:

Within (X) see attached Report of Calibration.

the tolerance of the indicated specification.

West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories' calibration control system meets the requirements, ISO 10012-1 MIL-STD-45662A, ANSI/NCSL Z540-1, IEC Guide 25, ISO 9001:2008 and ISO 17025.

JJC
4/4/14

Note: With this Certificate, Report of Calibration is included.

Approved by:

Calibration Date: 17-Feb-14

FC

Certificate No: 23889 - 2

Felix Christopher (QA Mgr.)
ISO/IEC 17025:2005

QA Doc. #1051 Rev. 2.0 10/1/01

Certificate Page 1 of 1

West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories, Inc.
uncompromised calibration
1575 State Route 96, Victor, NY 14564, U.S.A.

ACCREDITED
Calibration Lab. Cert. # 1533.01

© 2015 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories, Inc.
uncompromised calibration

1575 State Route 96, Victor NY 14564

ISO/IEC 17025: 2005
ACCREDITED
Calibration Lab. Cert. # 1533.01

REPORT OF CALIBRATION

for

TEM Consulting LP Radial T Coil Probe **Model No.: Radial T Coil Probe** **Serial No.: TEM-1129**

Company : PCTEST Engineering Lab. **I. D. No: 80583**

Calibration results: **Before data:** ..... **After data:** .....

Probe Sensitivity measured with Helmholtz Coil

Before & after data same: ...X.....

Helmholtz Coil;					
the number of turns on each coil;	10	No.			
the radius of each coil, in meters;	0.204	m	Laboratory Environment:		
the current in the coils, in amperes.;	0.09	A	Ambient Temperature:	21.2	°C
Helmholtz Coil Constant;	7.09	A/m/V	Ambient Humidity:	29.1	% RH
Helmholtz Coil magnetic field;	5.98	A/m	Ambient Pressure:	100.7	kPa
			Calibration Date:	17-Feb-14	
Probe Sensitivity at	1000	Hz.	Re-calibration Due:	17-Feb-15	
was	-60.38	dBV/A/m	Report Number:	23889	-2
	0.957	mV/A/m	Control Number:	23889	
Probe resistance	900	Ohms			

The above listed instrument meets or exceeds the tested manufacturer's specifications.

This Calibration is traceable through NIST test numbers: ,287708

The expanded uncertainty of calibration: 0.30dB at 95% confidence level with a coverage factor of k=2.

Graph represents Probes Frequency Response.



The above listed instrument was checked using calibration procedure documented in West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc. procedure : **Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 HCRTEMC**

Calibration was performed by West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc. under Operating Procedures intended to implement the requirements of ISO10012-1, IEC Guide 25, ANSI/NCSL Z540-1, (MIL-STD-45662A) and ISO 9001:2008, ISO 17025

Cal. Date: 17-Feb-2014 Measurements performed by: .....

Calibrated on WCCL system type 9700 **Felix Christopher**

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from West Caldwell Cal. Labs. Inc. **Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 HCRTEMC**

Page 1 of 2

© 2015 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

HCRTEMC_TEM-1129_Feb-17-2014

West Caldwell Calibration Laboratories Inc.

1575 State Route 96, Victor NY 14564
Tel. (585) 586-3900 FAX (585) 586-4327

Calibration Data Record

for

TEM Consulting LP Radial T Coil Probe **Model No.: Radial T Coil Probe** **Serial No.: TEM-1129**

Company : PCTEST Engineering Lab.

Test	Function	Tolerance		Measured values Before	Out	Remarks
1.0	Probe Sensitivity at	1000 Hz.	dBV/A/m	-60.38		
			dB			
2.0	Probe Level Linearity		6	6.04		
		Ref. (0 dB)	0	0.00		
			-6	-6.03		
			-12	-12.05		
			Hz			
3.0	Probe Frequency Response		100	-19.9		
			126	-17.9		
			158	-15.9		
			200	-13.9		
			251	-12.0		
			316	-10.0		
			398	-8.0		
			501	-6.0		
			631	-4.0		
			794	-2.0		
		Ref. (0 dB)	1000	0.0		
			1259	2.0		
			1585	4.0		
			1995	6.0		
			2512	7.9		
			3162	9.9		
			3981	11.9		
			5012	13.9		
			6310	16.0		
			7943	18.0		
			10000	20.2		

Instruments used for calibration:			Date of Cal.	Traceability No.	Due Date
HP	34401A	S/N 36064102	8-Oct-2013	,287708	8-Oct-2014
HP	34401A	S/N 36102471	8-Oct-2013	,287708	8-Oct-2014
HP	33120A	S/N 36043716	8-Oct-2013	,287708	8-Oct-2014
Brüel & Kjær	2133	S/N 1583254	6-Jan-2014	683/284413-14	7-Jan-2015

Cal. Date: 17-Feb-2014 Tested by: Felix Christopher

Calibrated on WCCL system type 9700

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from West Caldwell Cal. Labs. Inc.

Rev. 7.0 Jan. 24, 2014 Doc. # 1038 HCRTEMC

Page 2 of 2

© 2015 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

11. CONCLUSION

The measurements taken in accordance with the procedures provided in the CTIA Test Plan for Hearing Aid Compatibility Rev 2.0, April 2010, indicate that the wireless communications device complies with the HAC limits specified in the ANSI C63.19 Standard and FCC WT Docket No. 01-309 RM-8658. Precise laboratory measures were taken to assure repeatability of the tests. The tested device complies with the requirements in respect to all parameters specific to the test. The test results and statements relate only to the item(s) tested.

The measurement system and techniques presented in this evaluation are proposed in the ANSI standard as a means of best approximating wireless device compatibility with a hearing-aid. The literature is under continual re-construction.

© 2015 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

12. REFERENCES

1. ANSI C63.19-2011, American National Standard for Methods of Measurement of Compatibility between Wireless communication devices and Hearing Aids.", New York, NY, IEEE, May 2011

2. FCC Office of Engineering and Technology KDB, "285076 D01 HAC Guidance v04," October 31, 2013

3. FCC Office of Engineering and Technology KDB, "285076 D02 T-Coil Testing for CMRS IP v01r01," October 31, 2013

4. FCC Public Notice DA 06-1215, *Wireless Telecommunications Bureau and Office of Engineering and Technology Clarify Use of Revised Wireless Phone Hearing Aid Compatibility Standard*, June 6, 2006

5. FCC 3G Review Guidance, Laboratory Division OET FCC, May/June 2006

6. Berger, H. S., "Compatibility Between Hearing Aids and Wireless Devices," Electronic Industries Forum, Boston, MA, May, 1997

7. Berger, H. S., "Hearing Aid and Cellular Phone Compatibility: Working Toward Solutions," Wireless Telephones and Hearing Aids: New Challenges for Audiology, Gallaudet University, Washington, D.C., May, 1997 (To be reprinted in the American Journal of Audiology).

8. Berger, H. S., "Hearing Aid Compatibility with Wireless Communications Devices, " IEEE International Symposium on Electromagnetic Compatibility, Austin, TX, August, 1997.

9. Bronaugh, E. L., "Simplifying EMI Immunity (Susceptibility) Tests in TEM Cells," in the 1990 IEEE International Symposium on Electromagnetic Compatibility Symposium Record, Washington, D.C., August 1990, pp. 488-491

10. Byme, D. and Dillon, H., The National Acoustics Laboratory (NAL) New Procedure for Selecting the Gain and Frequency Response of a Hearing Aid, Ear and Hearing 7:257-265, 1986.

11. Crawford, M. L., "Measurement of Electromagnetic Radiation from Electronic Equipment using TEM Transmission Cells, " U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, NBSIR 73-306, Feb. 1973.

12. Crawford, M. L., and Workman, J. L., "Using a TEM Cell for EMC Measurements of Electronic Equipment," U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards. Technical Note 1013, July 1981.

13. EHIMA GSM Project, Development phase, Project Report (1st part) Revision A. Technical-Audiological Laboratory and Telecom Denmark, October 1993.

14. EHIMA GSM Project, Development phase, Part II Project Report. Technical-Audiological Laboratory and Telecom Denmark, June 1994.

15. EHIMA GSM Project Final Report, Hearing Aids and GSM Mobile Telephones: Interference Problems, Methods of Measurement and Levels of Immunity. Technical-Audiological Laboratory and Telecom Denmark, 1995.

© 2015 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

16. HAMPIS Report, Comparison of Mobile phone electromagnetic near field with an upscaled electromagnetic far field, using hearing aid as reference, 21 October 1999.

17. Hearing Aids/GSM, Report from OTWIDAM, Technical-Audiological Laboratory and Telecom Denmark, April 1993.

18. IEEE 100, The Authoritative Dictionary of IEEE Standards Terms, Seventh Edition.

19. Joyner, K. H, et. al., Interference to Hearing Aids by the New Digital Mobile Telephone System, Global System for Mobile (GSM) Communication Standard, National Acoustic Laboratory, Australian Hearing Series, Sydney 1993.

20. Joyner, K. H., et. al., Interference to Hearing Aids by the Digital Mobile Telephone System, Global System for Mobile Communications (GSM), NAL Report #131, National Acoustic Laboratory, Australian Hearing Series, Sydney, 1995.

21. Kecker, W. T., Crawford, M. L., and Wilson, W. A., “Contruction of a Transverse Electromagnetic Cell”, U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, Technical Note 1011, Nov. 1978.

22. Konigstein, D., and Hansen, D., “A New Family of TEM Cells with enlarged bandwidth and Optimized working Volume,” in the Proceedings of the 7th International Symposium on EMC, Zurich, Switzerland, March 1987; 50:9, pp. 127-132.

23. Kuk, F., and Hjorstgaard, N. K., “Factors affecting interference from digital cellular telephones,” Hearing Journal, 1997; 50:9, pp 32-34.

24. Ma, M. A., and Kanda, M., ”Electromagnetic Compatibility and Interference Metrology,” U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, Technical Note 1099, July 1986, pp. 17-43.

25. Ma, M. A., Sreenivashiah, I. , and Chang, D. C., “A Method of Determining the Emission and Susceptibility Levels of Electrically Small Objects Using a TEM Cell,” U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, Technial Note 1040, July 1981.

26. McCandless, G. A., and Lyregaard, P. E., Prescription of Gain/Output (POGO) for Hearing Aids, Hearing Instruments 1:16-21, 1983

27. Skopec, M., “Hearing Aid Electromagnetic Interference from Digital Wireless Telephones, “IEEE Transactions on Rehabilitation Engineering, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 235-239, June 1998.

28. Technical Report, GSM 05.90, GSM EMC Considerations, European Telecommunications Standards Institute, January 1993.

29. Victorian, T. A., “Digital Cellular Telephone Interference and Hearing Aid Compatibility—an Update,” Hearing Journal 1998; 51:10, pp. 53-60

30. Wong, G. S. K., and Embleton, T. F. W., eds., AIP Handbook of Condenser Microphones: Theory, Calibration and Measurements, AIP Press.

© 2015 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.