



SAR EVALUATION REPORT

Applicant Name:

Samsung Electronics, Co. Ltd.
129, Samsung-ro, Maetan dong,
Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-si
Gyeonggi-do 16677, Korea

Date of Testing:

08/17/15-09/18/15

Test Site/Location:

PCTEST Lab, Columbia, MD, USA

Document Serial No.:

OY1508201570-R1.A3L

FCC ID:

A3LSMG928T

APPLICANT:

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS, CO. LTD.

DUT Type:

Portable Handset

Application Type:

Class II Permissive change

FCC Rule Part(s):

CFR §2.1093

Model(s):

SM-G928T

Original Grant Date:

07/16/2015

Permissive Change(s):

Adding Wireless Charging Battery Cover

Equipment Class	Band & Mode	Tx Frequency	SAR			
			1 gm Head (W/kg)	1 gm Body-Worn (W/kg)	1 gm Hotspot (W/kg)	10 gm Phablet (W/kg)
PCE	GSM/GPRS/EDGE 850	824.20 - 848.80 MHz	0.14	0.24	0.55	2.92
PCE	GSM/GPRS/EDGE 1900	1850.20 - 1909.80 MHz				
PCE	UMTS 850	826.40 - 846.60 MHz				
PCE	UMTS 1750	1712.4 - 1752.5 MHz				
PCE	UMTS 1900	1852.4 - 1907.6 MHz				
PCE	LTE Band 12	699.7 - 715.3 MHz				
PCE	LTE Band 5 (Cell)	824.7 - 848.3 MHz				
PCE	LTE Band 4 (AWS)	1710.7 - 1754.3 MHz				
PCE	LTE Band 2 (PCS)	1850.7 - 1909.3 MHz	0.23	< 0.1	0.10	
DTS	2.4 GHz WLAN	2412 - 2462 MHz				
NII	U-NII-1	5180 - 5240 MHz				
NII	U-NII-2A	5260 - 5320 MHz				
NII	U-NII-2C	5500 - 5720 MHz				
NII	U-NII-3	5745 - 5825 MHz	0.45	< 0.1	< 0.1	0.52
DSS/DTS	Bluetooth	2402 - 2480 MHz				
N/A						

Note: This revised Test Report (S/N: OY1508201570-R1.A3L) supersedes and replaces the previously issued test report on the same subject device for the same type of testing as indicated. Please discard or destroy the previously issued test report(s) and dispose of it accordingly.

Note: The table above shows the maximum SAR data for the device evaluated with the additional accessory only and may not represent the maximum SAR values for other use conditions without the accessory. Please refer to RF Exposure Technical Report S/N: OY1506101142-R1.A3L for original compliance evaluation.

This wireless portable device has been shown to be capable of compliance for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) for uncontrolled environment/general population exposure limits specified in ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992 and has been tested in accordance with the measurement procedures specified in Section 1.4 of this report; for North American frequency bands only.

I attest to the accuracy of data. All measurements reported herein were performed by me or were made under my supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I assume full responsibility for the completeness of these measurements and vouch for the qualifications of all persons taking them. Test results reported herein relate only to the item(s) tested.


Randy Ortanez
President



The SAR Tick is an initiative of the Mobile Manufacturers Forum (MMF). While a product may be considered eligible, use of the SAR Tick logo requires an agreement with the MMF. Further details can be obtained by emailing: sartick@mmfai.info.

FCC ID: A3LSMG928T		SAR EVALUATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: OY1508201570-R1.A3L	Test Dates: 08/17/15- 09/18/15	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 1 of 24

T A B L E O F C O N T E N T S

1	DEVICE UNDER TEST	3
2	INTRODUCTION	5
3	DOSIMETRIC ASSESSMENT	6
4	DEFINITION OF REFERENCE POINTS	7
5	TEST CONFIGURATION POSITIONS FOR HANDSETS	8
6	RF EXPOSURE LIMITS	11
7	SYSTEM VERIFICATION.....	12
8	SAR DATA SUMMARY	14
9	FCC MULTI-TX AND ANTENNA SAR CONSIDERATIONS.....	17
10	SAR MEASUREMENT VARIABILITY	18
11	EQUIPMENT LIST	19
12	MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES	20
13	CONCLUSION.....	22
14	REFERENCES	23

FCC ID: A3LSMG928T	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: OY1508201570-R1.A3L	Test Dates: 08/17/15- 09/18/15	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 2 of 24

1 DEVICE UNDER TEST

1.1 Device Overview

Band & Mode	Operating Modes	Tx Frequency
GSM/GPRS/EDGE 850	Voice/Data	824.20 - 848.80 MHz
GSM/GPRS/EDGE 1900	Voice/Data	1850.20 - 1909.80 MHz
UMTS 850	Voice/Data	826.40 - 846.60 MHz
UMTS 1750	Voice/Data	1712.4 - 1752.5 MHz
UMTS 1900	Voice/Data	1852.4 - 1907.6 MHz
LTE Band 12	Voice/Data	699.7 - 715.3 MHz
LTE Band 5 (Cell)	Voice/Data	824.7 - 848.3 MHz
LTE Band 4 (AWS)	Voice/Data	1710.7 - 1754.3 MHz
LTE Band 2 (PCS)	Voice/Data	1850.7 - 1909.3 MHz
2.4 GHz WLAN	Voice/Data	2412 - 2462 MHz
U-NII-1	Voice/Data	5180 - 5240 MHz
U-NII-2A	Voice/Data	5260 - 5320 MHz
U-NII-2C	Voice/Data	5500 - 5720 MHz
U-NII-3	Voice/Data	5745 - 5825 MHz
Bluetooth	Data	2402 - 2480 MHz
NFC	Data	13.56 MHz
ANT+	Data	2402 - 2480 MHz
MST	Data	1 - 8.3 kHz

Note: This permissive change is to reflect the addition of the wireless charging battery cover only. There are no changes made to the host device – all transmission modes/bands, output power levels, antenna locations, and simultaneous transmission scenarios remain identical to the original certification.

1.2 Wireless Charging Battery Cover

This DUT may be used with an optional wireless charging battery cover that is used on the back of the device. Per FCC KDB Publication 648474 D04v01r02 and April 2014 TCB Workshop slides, SAR with the additional wireless charging cover was measured for the configuration with the highest reported SAR (amongst all modes) for each frequency band and each exposure condition. SAR tests were additionally performed for any test configurations in the original equipment authorization with 1g SAR >1.2 W/kg or 10g SAR > 3.0 W/kg. In addition, SAR measurements without the accessory were repeated to confirm the host test samples produced same range of SAR as in the original filing.

1.3 SAR Evaluation Exclusion

Per FCC Guidance and FCC KDB Publication 680106 D01, RF exposure for the portable wireless charging operations due to the cover was evaluated using MPE to confirm numerical SAR evaluation exclusion. Since the field strength levels were < 25% of the MPE limit ~ 5 cm, no numerical SAR analysis was required.

1.4 Guidance Applied

- IEEE 1528-2003
- FCC KDB Publication 941225 D01v03, D05v02r03, D05Av01, D06v02 (2G/3G/4G and Hotspot)
- FCC KDB Publication 248227 D01V02r01 (SAR Considerations for 802.11 Devices)
- FCC KDB Publication 447498 D01v05r02 (General SAR Guidance)

FCC ID: A3LSMG928T	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: OY1508201570-R1.A3L	Test Dates: 08/17/15- 09/18/15	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 3 of 24

- FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r03, D02v01r01 (SAR Measurements up to 6 GHz)
- FCC KDB Publication 648474 D03-D04 (Phablet Procedures, Wireless Charging Cover)
- October 2013 TCB Workshop Notes (GPRS Testing Considerations)
- April 2014 TCB Workshop Notes (Wireless Charging Cover)

1.5 Device Serial Numbers

Several samples with identical hardware were used to support SAR testing. The manufacturer has confirmed that the device(s) tested have the same physical, mechanical and thermal characteristics and are within operational tolerances expected for production units.

Mode	Serial Number
GSM/GPRS/EDGE 850	95B11
GSM/GPRS/EDGE 1900	95B11
UMTS 850	95BE8
UMTS 1750	94D94, 95B11
UMTS 1900	95BE8, 95BDC
LTE Band 12	95C05
LTE Band 5 (Cell)	95C05
LTE Band 4 (AWS)	95C05
LTE Band 2 (PCS)	95C05
2.4 GHz WLAN	95BE4, 95C04
5 GHz WLAN	95BE4

FCC ID: A3LSMG928T	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: OY1508201570-R1.A3L	Test Dates: 08/17/15- 09/18/15	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 4 of 24

2 INTRODUCTION

The FCC and Industry Canada have adopted the guidelines for evaluating the environmental effects of radio frequency (RF) radiation in ET Docket 93-62 on Aug. 6, 1996 and Health Canada Safety Code 6 to protect the public and workers from the potential hazards of RF emissions due to FCC-regulated portable devices. [1]

The safety limits used for the environmental evaluation measurements are based on the criteria published by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) in IEEE/ANSI C95.1-1992 Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz [3] and Health Canada RF Exposure Guidelines Safety Code 6 [22]. The measurement procedure described in IEEE/ANSI C95.3-2002 Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic Fields - RF and Microwave [4] is used for guidance in measuring the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) due to the RF radiation exposure from the Equipment Under Test (EUT). These criteria for SAR evaluation are similar to those recommended by the International Committee for Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP) in Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields," Report No. Vol 74. SAR is a measure of the rate of energy absorption due to exposure to an RF transmitting source. SAR values have been related to threshold levels for potential biological hazards.

2.1 SAR Definition

Specific Absorption Rate is defined as the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dU) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dV) of a given density (ρ). It is also defined as the rate of RF energy absorption per unit mass at a point in an absorbing body (see Equation 2-1).

Equation 2-1
SAR Mathematical Equation

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dU}{dm} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dU}{\rho dv} \right)$$

SAR is expressed in units of Watts per Kilogram (W/kg).

$$SAR = \frac{\sigma \cdot E^2}{\rho}$$

where:

- σ = conductivity of the tissue-simulating material (S/m)
- ρ = mass density of the tissue-simulating material (kg/m³)
- E = Total RMS electric field strength (V/m)

NOTE: The primary factors that control rate of energy absorption were found to be the wavelength of the incident field in relation to the dimensions and geometry of the irradiated organism, the orientation of the organism in relation to the polarity of field vectors, the presence of reflecting surfaces, and whether conductive contact is made by the organism with a ground plane.[6]

FCC ID: A3LSMG928T	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: OY1508201570-R1.A3L	Test Dates: 08/17/15- 09/18/15	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 5 of 24

3 DOSIMETRIC ASSESSMENT

3.1 Measurement Procedure

The evaluation was performed using the following procedure compliant to FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v01 and IEEE 1528-2013:

1. The SAR distribution at the exposed side of the head or body was measured at a distance no greater than 5.0 mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covered the entire dimension of the device-head and body interface and the horizontal grid resolution was determined per FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v01 (See Table 3-1) and IEEE 1528-2013.
2. The point SAR measurement was taken at the maximum SAR region determined from Step 1 to enable the monitoring of SAR fluctuations/drifts during the 1g/10g cube evaluation. SAR at this fixed point was measured and used as a reference value.
3. Based on the area scan data, the peak of the region with maximum SAR was determined by spline interpolation. Around this point, a volume was assessed according to the measurement resolution and volume size requirements of FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v01 (See Table 3-1) and IEEE 1528-2013. On the basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value was evaluated with the following procedure (see references or the DASY manual online for more details):
 - a. SAR values at the inner surface of the phantom are extrapolated from the measured values along the line away from the surface with spacing no greater than that in Table 3-1. The extrapolation was based on a least-squares algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order was calculated through the points in the z-axis (normal to the phantom shell).
 - b. After the maximum interpolated values were calculated between the points in the cube, the SAR was averaged over the spatial volume (1g or 10g) using a 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-spline is composed of three one-dimensional splines with the "Not a knot" condition (in x, y, and z directions). The volume was then integrated with the trapezoidal algorithm. One thousand points (10 x 10 x 10) were obtained through interpolation, in order to calculate the averaged SAR.
 - c. All neighboring volumes were evaluated until no neighboring volume with a higher average value was found.
4. The SAR reference value, at the same location as step 2, was re-measured after the zoom scan was complete to calculate the SAR drift. If the drift deviated by more than 5%, the SAR test and drift measurements were repeated.




Figure 1
Sample SAR Area Scan

Table 3-1
Area and Zoom Scan Resolutions per FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v01*

Frequency	Maximum Area Scan Resolution (mm) ($\Delta x_{\text{area}}, \Delta y_{\text{area}}$)	Maximum Zoom Scan Resolution (mm) ($\Delta x_{\text{zoom}}, \Delta y_{\text{zoom}}$)	Maximum Zoom Scan Spatial Resolution (mm)			Minimum Zoom Scan Volume (mm) (x,y,z)
			Uniform Grid	Graded Grid		
			$\Delta z_{\text{zoom}}(n)$	$\Delta z_{\text{zoom}}(1)^*$	$\Delta z_{\text{zoom}}(n>1)^*$	
≤2 GHz	≤15	≤8	≤5	≤4	≤1.5* $\Delta z_{\text{zoom}}(n-1)$	≥30
2-3 GHz	≤12	≤5	≤5	≤4	≤1.5* $\Delta z_{\text{zoom}}(n-1)$	≥30
3-4 GHz	≤12	≤5	≤4	≤3	≤1.5* $\Delta z_{\text{zoom}}(n-1)$	≥28
4-5 GHz	≤10	≤4	≤3	≤2.5	≤1.5* $\Delta z_{\text{zoom}}(n-1)$	≥25
5-6 GHz	≤10	≤4	≤2	≤2	≤1.5* $\Delta z_{\text{zoom}}(n-1)$	≥22

*Also compliant to IEEE 1528-2013 Table 6

FCC ID: A3LSMG928T	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT			Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: OY1508201570-R1.A3L	Test Dates: 08/17/15- 09/18/15	DUT Type: Portable Handset			Page 6 of 24

4

DEFINITION OF REFERENCE POINTS

4.1 EAR REFERENCE POINT

Figure 3 shows the front, back and side views of the SAM Twin Phantom. The point “M” is the reference point for the center of the mouth, “LE” is the left ear reference point (ERP), and “RE” is the right ERP. The ERP is 15mm posterior to the entrance to the ear canal (EEC) along the B-M line (Back-Mouth), as shown in Figure 2. The plane passing through the two ear canals and M is defined as the Reference Plane. The line N-F (Neck-Front), also called the Reference Pivoting Line, is not perpendicular to the reference plane (see Figure 2). Line B-M is perpendicular to the N-F line. Both N-F and B-M lines are marked on the external phantom shell to facilitate handset positioning [5].




Figure 2
Close-Up Side view
of ERP

4.2 HANDSET REFERENCE POINTS

Two imaginary lines on the handset were established: the vertical centerline and the horizontal line. The test device was placed in a normal operating position with the acoustic output located along the “vertical centerline” on the front of the device aligned to the “ear reference point” (See Figure 4). The acoustic output was then located at the same level as the center of the ear reference point. The test device was positioned so that the “vertical centerline” was bisecting the front surface of the handset at its top and bottom edges, positioning the “ear reference point” on the outer surface of the both the left and right head phantoms on the ear reference point.



Figure 3
Front, back and side view of SAM Twin Phantom




Figure 4
Handset Vertical Center & Horizontal Line Reference Points

FCC ID: A3LSMG928T	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: OY1508201570-R1.A3L	Test Dates: 08/17/15- 09/18/15	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 7 of 24

5 TEST CONFIGURATION POSITIONS FOR HANDSETS

5.1 Device Holder

The device holder is made out of low-loss POM material having the following dielectric parameters: relative permittivity $\epsilon = 3$ and loss tangent $\delta = 0.02$.

5.2 Positioning for Cheek

1. The test device was positioned with the device close to the surface of the phantom such that point A is on the (virtual) extension of the line passing through points RE and LE on the phantom (see Figure 5), such that the plane defined by the vertical center line and the horizontal line of the phone is approximately parallel to the sagittal plane of the phantom.




Figure 5 Front, Side and Top View of Cheek Position

2. The handset was translated towards the phantom along the line passing through RE & LE until the handset touches the pinna.
3. While maintaining the handset in this plane, the handset was rotated around the LE-RE line until the vertical centerline was in the reference plane.
4. The phone was then rotated around the vertical centerline until the phone (horizontal line) was symmetrical with respect to the line NF.
5. While maintaining the vertical centerline in the reference plane, keeping point A on the line passing through RE and LE, and maintaining the device contact with the ear, the device was rotated about the NF line until any point on the handset made contact with a phantom point below the ear (cheek) (See Figure 6).

5.3 Positioning for Ear / 15° Tilt

With the test device aligned in the “Cheek Position”:

1. While maintaining the orientation of the phone, the phone was retracted parallel to the reference plane far enough to enable a rotation of the phone by 15 degrees.
2. The phone was then rotated around the horizontal line by 15 degrees.
3. While maintaining the orientation of the phone, the phone was moved parallel to the reference plane until any part of the handset touched the head. (In this position, point A was located on the line RE-LE). The tilted position is obtained when the contact is on the pinna. If the contact was at any location other than the pinna, the angle of the phone would then be reduced. In this situation, the tilted position was obtained when any part of the phone was in contact of the ear as well as a second part of the phone was in contact with the head (see Figure 6).

FCC ID: A3LSMG928T	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: OY1508201570-R1.A3L	Test Dates: 08/17/15- 09/18/15	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 8 of 24




Figure 6
Front, Side and Top View of Ear/15° Tilt Position




Figure 7
Side view w/ relevant markings

5.4 Body-Worn Accessory Configurations

Body-worn operating configurations are tested with the belt-clips and holsters attached to the device and positioned against a flat phantom in a normal use configuration (see Figure 8). Per FCC KDB Publication 648474 D04v01, Body-worn accessory exposure is typically related to voice mode operations when handsets are carried in body-worn accessories. The body-worn accessory procedures in FCC KDB Publication 447498 D01v05 should be used to test for body-worn accessory SAR compliance, without a headset connected to it. This enables the test results for such configuration to be compatible with that required for hotspot mode when the body-worn accessory test separation distance is greater than or equal to that required for hotspot mode, when applicable. When the reported SAR for a body-worn accessory, measured without a headset connected to the handset, is $> 1.2 \text{ W/kg}$, the highest reported SAR configuration for that wireless mode and frequency band should be repeated for that body-worn accessory with a headset attached to the handset.




Figure 8
Sample Body-Worn Diagram

Accessories for Body-worn operation configurations are divided into two categories: those that do not contain metallic components and those that do contain metallic components. When multiple accessories that do not contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device is tested with only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body. Then multiple accessories that contain metallic components are tested with the device with each accessory. If multiple accessories share an identical metallic component (i.e. the same metallic belt-clip used with different holsters with no other metallic components) only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body is tested.

Body-worn accessories may not always be supplied or available as options for some devices intended to be authorized for body-worn use. In this case, a test configuration with a separation distance between the back of the device and the flat phantom is used. Test position spacing was documented. Transmitters that are designed to operate in front of a person's face, as in push-to-talk configurations, are tested for SAR compliance with the front of the device positioned to face the flat phantom in head fluid. For devices that are carried next to the body such as a shoulder, waist or chest-worn transmitters, SAR compliance is tested with the accessories, including headsets and microphones, attached to the device and positioned against a flat phantom in a normal use configuration.

5.5 Extremity Exposure Configurations

Devices that are designed or intended for use on extremities or mainly operated in extremity only exposure conditions; i.e., hands, wrists, feet and ankles, may require extremity SAR evaluation. When the device also operates in close proximity to the user's body, SAR compliance for the body is also required. The 1-g body and 10-g extremity SAR Exclusion Thresholds found in KDB Publication 447498 D01v05 should be applied to determine SAR test requirements.

Per KDB Publication 447498 D01v05, Cell phones (handsets) are not normally designed to be used on extremities or operated in extremity only exposure conditions. The maximum output power levels of

FCC ID: A3LSMG928T	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: OY1508201570-R1.A3L	Test Dates: 08/17/15- 09/18/15	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 9 of 24

handsets generally do not require extremity SAR testing to show compliance. Therefore, extremity SAR was not evaluated for this device.

5.6 Wireless Router Configurations

Some battery-operated handsets have the capability to transmit and receive user data through simultaneous transmission of WIFI simultaneously with a separate licensed transmitter. The FCC has provided guidance in FCC KDB Publication 941225 D06v02 where SAR test considerations for handsets ($L \times W \geq 9 \text{ cm} \times 5 \text{ cm}$) are based on a composite test separation distance of 10 mm from the front, back and edges of the device containing transmitting antennas within 2.5 cm of their edges, determined from general mixed use conditions for this type of devices. Since the hotspot SAR results may overlap with the body-worn accessory SAR requirements, the more conservative configurations can be considered, thus excluding some body-worn accessory SAR tests.

When the user enables the personal wireless router functions for the handset, actual operations include simultaneous transmission of both the WIFI transmitter and another licensed transmitter. Both transmitters often do not transmit at the same transmitting frequency and thus cannot be evaluated for SAR under actual use conditions due to the limitations of the SAR assessment probes. Therefore, SAR must be evaluated for each frequency transmission and mode separately and spatially summed with the WIFI transmitter according to FCC KDB Publication 447498 D01v05 publication procedures. The "Portable Hotspot" feature on the handset was NOT activated during SAR assessments, to ensure the SAR measurements were evaluated for a single transmission frequency RF signal at a time.

5.7 Additional Test Positions due to Proximity Conditions

This device uses a sensor to reduce output powers in phablet (hand-held) use conditions.

When the sensor detects a user is touching the device on or near to the antenna the device reduces the maximum allowed output power. However, the proximity sensor is not active when the device is moved beyond the sensor triggering distance and the maximum output power is no longer limited. Therefore, an additional exposure condition is needed in the vicinity of the triggering distance to ensure SAR is compliant when the device is allowed to operate at a non-reduced output power level.

FCC KDB 616217 D04 Section 6 was used as a guideline for selecting SAR test distances for this device at these additional exposure conditions. The smallest separation distance determined by the sensor triggering and sensor coverage for each applicable edge, minus 1 mm, was used as the test separation distance for SAR testing.

The proximity sensor is designed to support sufficient detection range and sensitivity to cover regions of the sensors in all applicable directions.

5.8 Phablet Configurations

For smart phones with a display diagonal dimension $> 15.0 \text{ cm}$ or an overall diagonal dimension $> 16.0 \text{ cm}$ that provide similar mobile web access and multimedia support found in mini-tablets or UMPC minitables that support voice calls next to the ear, the phablets procedures outlined in KDB Publication 648474 D04 v01r01DR04 should be applied to evaluate SAR compliance. A device marketed as phablets, regardless of form factors and operating characteristics must be tested as a phablet to determine SAR compliance. In addition to the normally required head and body-worn accessory SAR test procedures required for handsets, the UMPC mini-tablet procedures must also be applied to test the SAR of all surfaces and edges with an antenna $\leq 25 \text{ mm}$ from that surface or edge, in direct contact with the phantom, for 10-g SAR. The UMPC mini-tablet 1-g SAR at 5 mm is not required. When hotspot mode applies, 10-g SAR is required only for the surfaces and edges with hotspot mode scaled to the maximum output power (including tolerance) is 1-g SAR $> 1.2 \text{ W/kg}$.

FCC ID: A3LSMG928T	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: OY1508201570-R1.A3L	Test Dates: 08/17/15- 09/18/15	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 10 of 24

6 RF EXPOSURE LIMITS

6.1 Uncontrolled Environment

UNCONTROLLED ENVIRONMENTS are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure. The general population/uncontrolled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Members of the general public would come under this category when exposure is not employment-related; for example, in the case of a wireless transmitter that exposes persons in its vicinity.

6.2 Controlled Environment

CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENTS are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by persons who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation). In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment, who have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. This exposure category is also applicable when the exposure is of a transient nature due to incidental passage through a location where the exposure levels may be higher than the general population/uncontrolled limits, but the exposed person is fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

Table 6-1
SAR Human Exposure Specified in ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992 and Health Canada Safety Code 6

HUMAN EXPOSURE LIMITS		
	UNCONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT <i>General Population</i> (W/kg) or (mW/g)	CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT <i>Occupational</i> (W/kg) or (mW/g)
Peak Spatial Average SAR Head	1.6	8.0
Whole Body SAR	0.08	0.4
Peak Spatial Average SAR Hands, Feet, Ankle, Wrists, etc.	4.0	20

1. The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 1 gram of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.
2. The Spatial Average value of the SAR averaged over the whole body.
3. The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 10 grams of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.

FCC ID: A3LSMG928T	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: OY1508201570-R1.A3L	Test Dates: 08/17/15- 09/18/15	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 11 of 24

7 SYSTEM VERIFICATION

7.1 Tissue Verification

Table 7-1
Measured Tissue Properties

Calibrated for Tests Performed on:	Tissue Type	Tissue Temp During Calibration (C°)	Measured Frequency (MHz)	Measured Conductivity, σ (S/m)	Measured Dielectric Constant, ϵ	TARGET Conductivity, σ (S/m)	TARGET Dielectric Constant, ϵ	% dev σ	% dev ϵ
8/20/2015	750H	21.8	700	0.860	41.491	0.889	42.201	-3.26%	-1.68%
			710	0.871	41.264	0.890	42.149	-2.13%	-2.10%
			740	0.895	40.944	0.893	41.994	0.22%	-2.50%
			755	0.910	40.688	0.894	41.916	1.79%	-2.93%
8/20/2015	835H	22.5	820	0.905	42.292	0.899	41.578	0.67%	1.72%
			835	0.920	42.100	0.900	41.500	2.22%	1.45%
			850	0.935	41.916	0.916	41.500	2.07%	1.00%
8/17/2015	1750H	22.2	1710	1.341	40.341	1.348	40.142	-0.52%	0.50%
			1750	1.380	40.189	1.371	40.079	0.66%	0.27%
			1790	1.429	40.024	1.394	40.016	2.51%	0.02%
8/20/2015	1900H	23.2	1850	1.379	40.031	1.400	40.000	-1.50%	0.08%
			1880	1.408	39.897	1.400	40.000	0.57%	-0.26%
			1910	1.439	39.816	1.400	40.000	2.79%	-0.46%
8/22/2015	2400H	23.4	2400	1.819	38.628	1.756	39.289	3.59%	-1.68%
			2450	1.874	38.403	1.800	39.200	4.11%	-2.03%
			2500	1.932	38.197	1.855	39.136	4.15%	-2.40%
09/18/2015	5200H-5800H	22.2	5500	4.728	34.887	4.963	35.643	-4.74%	-2.12%
8/20/2015	750B	22.3	700	0.918	55.858	0.959	55.726	-4.28%	0.24%
			710	0.928	55.731	0.960	55.687	-3.33%	0.08%
			740	0.955	55.367	0.963	55.570	-0.83%	-0.37%
			755	0.971	55.315	0.964	55.512	0.73%	-0.35%
8/20/2015	835B	23.0	820	0.997	52.904	0.969	55.258	2.89%	-4.26%
			835	1.010	52.693	0.970	55.200	4.12%	-4.54%
			850	1.029	52.519	0.988	55.154	4.15%	-4.78%
8/17/2015	1750B	22.2	1710	1.433	52.690	1.463	53.537	-2.05%	-1.58%
			1750	1.479	52.542	1.488	53.432	-0.60%	-1.67%
			1790	1.526	52.399	1.514	53.326	0.79%	-1.74%
8/26/2015	1750B	21.8	1710	1.476	52.094	1.463	53.537	0.89%	-2.70%
			1750	1.521	51.948	1.488	53.432	2.22%	-2.78%
			1790	1.564	51.770	1.514	53.326	3.30%	-2.92%
8/19/2015	1900B	22.0	1850	1.510	52.455	1.520	53.300	-0.66%	-1.59%
			1880	1.543	52.352	1.520	53.300	1.51%	-1.78%
			1910	1.579	52.258	1.520	53.300	3.88%	-1.95%
8/22/2015	2400B	21.8	2400	1.960	51.584	1.902	52.767	3.05%	-2.24%
			2450	2.026	51.366	1.950	52.700	3.90%	-2.53%
			2500	2.096	51.168	2.021	52.636	3.71%	-2.79%
8/24/2015	5200B-5800B	23.0	5785	5.968	46.114	5.982	48.220	-0.23%	-4.37%
			5800	6.023	46.178	6.000	48.200	0.38%	-4.20%
09/14/2015	5200B-5800B	21.4	5300	5.386	47.039	5.416	48.879	-0.55%	-3.76%
			5320	5.456	46.980	5.439	48.851	0.31%	-3.83%

The above measured tissue parameters were used in the DASY software. The DASY software was used to perform interpolation to determine the dielectric parameters at the SAR test device frequencies (per KDB Publication 865664 and IEEE 1528-2013 6.6.1.2). The tissue parameters listed in the SAR test plots may slightly differ from the table above due to significant digit rounding in the software.

FCC ID: A3LSMG928T		SAR EVALUATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: OY1508201570-R1.A3L	Test Dates: 08/17/15- 09/18/15	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 12 of 24

7.2 Test System Verification

Prior to SAR assessment, the system is verified to $\pm 10\%$ of the SAR measurement on the reference dipole at the time of calibration by the calibration facility. Full system validation status and result summary can be found in Appendix E.

Table 7-2
System Verification Results – 1g

System Verification TARGET & MEASURED												
SAR System #	Tissue Frequency (MHz)	Tissue Type	Date:	Amb. Temp (°C)	Liquid Temp (°C)	Input Power (W)	Dipole SN	Probe SN	Measured SAR _{1g} (W/kg)	1 W Target SAR _{1g} (W/kg)	1 W Normalized SAR _{1g} (W/kg)	Deviation _{1g} (%)
B	750	HEAD	08/20/2015	24.6	22.7	0.200	1046	3334	1.640	8.040	8.200	1.99%
J	835	HEAD	08/20/2015	22.0	22.5	0.200	4d119	3319	1.800	9.380	9.000	-4.05%
K	1750	HEAD	08/17/2015	23.0	22.2	0.100	1051	3288	3.680	36.200	36.800	1.66%
J	1900	HEAD	08/20/2015	21.8	22.3	0.100	5d141	3319	3.940	39.900	39.400	-1.25%
J	2450	HEAD	08/22/2015	22.0	22.5	0.100	882	3319	5.200	52.300	52.000	-0.57%
D	5500	HEAD	09/18/2015	23.5	22.2	0.050	1057	7357	4.200	84.300	84.000	-0.36%
E	750	BODY	08/20/2015	23.0	22.3	0.200	1003	3332	1.720	8.460	8.600	1.65%
B	835	BODY	08/20/2015	23.9	23.2	0.200	4d132	3334	1.970	9.140	9.850	7.77%
I	1750	BODY	08/17/2015	23.3	22.0	0.100	1051	3213	3.710	37.100	37.100	0.00%
K	1900	BODY	08/19/2015	23.0	22.0	0.100	5d141	3288	3.900	40.000	39.000	-2.50%
G	2450	BODY	08/22/2015	21.9	21.8	0.100	882	3318	5.380	50.700	53.800	6.11%
A	5800	BODY	08/24/2015	23.2	23.0	0.050	1191	3914	3.620	78.000	72.400	-7.18%

Table 7-3
System Verification Results – 10g

System Verification TARGET & MEASURED												
SAR System #	Tissue Frequency (MHz)	Tissue Type	Date:	Amb. Temp (°C)	Liquid Temp (°C)	Input Power (W)	Dipole SN	Probe SN	Measured SAR _{10g} (W/kg)	1 W Target SAR _{10g} (W/kg)	1 W Normalized SAR _{10g} (W/kg)	Deviation _{10g} (%)
C	1750	BODY	08/26/2015	23.7	21.7	0.100	1008	3333	2.090	20.400	20.900	2.45%
K	1900	BODY	08/19/2015	23.0	22.0	0.100	5d141	3288	2.040	21.200	20.400	-3.77%
A	5300	BODY	09/14/2015	23.5	21.7	0.050	1120	3914	1.090	21.100	21.800	3.32%




Figure 9
System Verification Setup Diagram



Figure 10
System Verification Setup Photo

FCC ID: A3LSMG928T	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: OY1508201570-R1.A3L	Test Dates: 08/17/15- 09/18/15	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 13 of 24

8

SAR DATA SUMMARY

8.1 Standalone Head SAR Data

Table 8-1
PCE Head SAR

MEASUREMENT RESULTS																					
FREQUENCY			Mode/Band	Service/Modulation	LTE Bandwidth [MHz]	Maximum Allowed Power [dBm]	Conducted Power [dBm]	Power Drift [dB]	MPR [dB]	Side	Test Position	RB Size	RB Offset	Device Serial Number	Duty Cycle	Accessory SAR (1g)	Scaling Factor	Accessory Scaled SAR (1g)	Original Scaled SAR	Re-Verified Scaled SAR	Plot #
																(W/kg)		(W/kg)			
MHz	Ch.																				
836.60	190	Mid	GSM 850	GSM	N/A	33.5	33.49	-0.04	N/A	Right	Cheek	N/A	N/A	95B11	1.8.3	0.112	1.002	0.112	0.208	0.199	A1
1880.00	9400	Mid	UMTS 1900	RMC	N/A	24.5	23.24	0.08	N/A	Left	Cheek	N/A	N/A	95BE8	1:1	0.046	1.337	0.062	0.143	0.132	A2
707.50	23095	Mid	LTE Band 12	QPSK	10	24.8	24.50	0.00	0	Right	Cheek	1	0	95C05	1:1	0.086	1.072	0.092	0.137	0.131	A3
1732.50	20175	Mid	LTE Band 4 (AWS)	QPSK	20	24.5	23.80	0.00	0	Left	Cheek	1	50	95C05	1:1	0.120	1.175	0.141	0.186	0.179	A4
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population											Head 1.6 W/kg (mW/g) averaged over 1 gram										

Table 8-2
DTS Head SAR Data

MEASUREMENT RESULTS																				
FREQUENCY		Mode	Service	Bandwidth [MHz]	Maxim um Allowed Power [dBm]	Conducted Power [dBm]	Power Drift [dB]	Side	Test Position	Antenna Config.	Device Serial Number	Data Rate (Mbps)	Duty Cycle (%)	Accessory SAR (1g)	Scaling Factor (Power)	Scaling Factor (Duty Cycle)	Accessory Scaled SAR (1g)	Original Scaled SAR	Re-Verified Scaled SAR	Plot #
MHz	Ch.													(W/kg)			(W/kg)			
2462	11	802.11b	DSSS	22	14.5	14.12	0.10	Right	Cheek	1	95BE4	1	99.7	0.206	1.091	1.003	0.226	0.426	0.429	A5
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population									Head 1.6 W/kg (mW/g) averaged over 1 gram											

Table 8-3
NII Head SAR Data

MEASUREMENT RESULTS																				
FREQUENCY		Mode	Service	Bandwidth [MHz]	Maximum Allowed Power [dBm]	Conducted Power [dBm]	Power Drift [dB]	Side	Test Position	Antenna Config.	Device Serial Number	Data Rate (Mbps)	Duty Cycle (%)	Accessory SAR (1g)	Scaling Factor (Power)	Scaling Factor (Duty Cycle)	Accessory Scaled SAR (1g)	Original Scaled SAR	Re-Verified Scaled SAR	Plot #
MHz	Ch.													(W/kg)			(W/kg)	W/kg	W/kg	
5500	100	802.11a	OFDM	20	10.5	9.53	0.18	Right	Tilt	2	95BE4	6	99.4	0.361	1.250	1.006	0.454	0.488	0.434	A6
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population									Head 1.6 W/kg (mW/g) averaged over 1 gram											

8.2 Standalone Body-Worn SAR Data

Table 8-4
PCE Body-Worn SAR Data

MEASUREMENT RESULTS																					
FREQUENCY			Mode	Service/ Modulation	LTE Bandwidth [MHz]	Maximum Allowed Power [dBm]	Conducte d Power [dBm]	Power Drift [dB]	MPR [dB]	Device Serial Number	RB Size	RB Offset	Spacing	Side	Duty Cycle	Accessory SAR (1g)	Scaling Factor	Accessory Scaled SAR (1g)	Original Scaled SAR	Re-Verified Scaled SAR	Plot #
																(W/kg)		(W/kg)	W/kg	W/kg	
MHz	Ch.																				
1732.40	1412	Mid	UMTS 1750	RMC	N/A	24.5	23.44	-0.09	N/A	94D94	N/A	N/A	15 mm	back	1:1	0.190	1.276	0.242	1.054	1.125	A7
1880.00	9400	Mid	UMTS 1900	RMC	N/A	24.5	23.24	0.04	N/A	95BDC	N/A	N/A	15 mm	back	1:1	0.081	1.337	0.108	0.694	0.746	A8
707.50	23095	Mid	LTE Band 12	QPSK	10	24.8	24.50	0.08	0	95C05	1	0	15 mm	back	1:1	0.079	1.072	0.085	0.324	0.317	A9
836.50	20525	Mid	LTE Band 5 (Cell)	QPSK	10	24.8	24.48	0.00	0	95C05	1	0	15 mm	back	1:1	0.060	1.076	0.065	0.413	0.383	A10
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak										Body 1.6 W/kg (mW/g) averaged over 1 gram											
Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population																					

FCC ID: A3LSMG928T		SAR EVALUATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: OY1508201570-R1.A3L	Test Dates: 08/17/15- 09/18/15	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 14 of 24

Table 8-5
DTS Body-Worn SAR Data

MEASUREMENT RESULTS																				
FREQUENCY		Mode	Service	Bandwidth [MHz]	Maximum Allowed Power [dBm]	Conducted Power [dBm]	Power Drift [dB]	Spacing	Antenna Config.	Device Serial Number	Data Rate (Mbps)	Side	Duty Cycle (%)	Accessory SAR (1g)	Scaling Factor (Power)	Scaling Factor (Duty Cycle)	Accessory Scaled SAR (1g)	Original Scaled SAR	Re-Verified Scaled SAR	Plot #
MHz	Ch.													(W/kg)			(W/kg)			
2437	6	802.11b	DSSS	22	17.5	17.48	-0.07	10 mm	2	95C04	1	back	99.7	0.040	1.005	1.003	0.040	0.226	0.219	A11
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population								Body 1.6 W/kg (mW/g) averaged over 1 gram												

Table 8-6
NII Body-Worn SAR Data

MEASUREMENT RESULTS																				
FREQUENCY		Mode	Service	Bandwidth [MHz]	Maximum Allowed Power [dBm]	Conducted Power [dBm]	Power Drift [dB]	Spacing	Antenna Config.	Device Serial Number	Data Rate (Mbps)	Side	Duty Cycle (%)	Accessory SAR (1g)	Scaling Factor (Power)	Scaling Factor (Duty Cycle)	Accessory Scaled SAR (1g)	Original Scaled SAR	Re-Verified Scaled SAR	Plot #
MHz	Ch.													(W/kg)			(W/kg)			
5785	157	802.11a	OFDM	20	13.5	12.72	0.12	10 mm	2	95BE4	6	back	99.4	0.009	1.197	1.006	0.011	0.042	0.039	A12
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT								Body												
Spatial Peak								1.6 W/kg (mW/g)												
Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population								averaged over 1 gram												

8.3 Standalone Wireless Router SAR Data

Table 8-7
PCE Hotspot SAR Data

MEASUREMENT RESULTS																						
FREQUENCY			Mode	Service/Modulation	LTE Bandwidth [MHz]	Maximum Allowed Power [dBm]	Conducted Power [dBm]	Power Drift [dB]	MPR [dB]	Device Serial Number	# of GPRS Slots	RB Size	RB Offset	Spacing	Side	Duty Cycle	Accessory SAR (1g)	Scaling Factor	Accessory Scaled SAR (1g)	Original Scaled SAR	Re-Verified Scaled	Plot #
																	MHz		Ch.	(W/kg)	(W/kg)	
1880.00	661	Mid	GSM 1900	GPRS	N/A	27.0	25.59	0.09	N/A	95B11	3	N/A	N/A	10 mm	front	1.276	0.399	1.384	0.552	1.074	1.002	A13
836.60	4183	Mid	UMTS 850	RMC	N/A	24.8	23.96	-0.01	N/A	95BE8	N/A	N/A	N/A	10 mm	back	1.1	0.075	1.213	0.091	0.655	0.662	A14
1732.40	1412	Mid	UMTS 1750	RMC	N/A	20.5	19.98	0.17	N/A	95B11	N/A	N/A	N/A	10 mm	back	1.1	0.079	1.127	0.089	0.884	0.753	A15
707.50	23095	Mid	LTE Band 12	QPSK	10	24.8	24.50	0.02	0	95C05	N/A	1	0	10 mm	front	1.1	0.399	1.072	0.428	0.623	0.566	A16
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT																						
Spatial Peak																						
Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population																						

Table 8-8
DTS Hotspot SAR Data

MEASUREMENT RESULTS																				
FREQUENCY		Mode	Service	Bandwidth [MHz]	Maximum Allowed Power [dBm]	Conducted Power [dBm]	Power Drift [dB]	Spacing	Antenna Config.	Device Serial Number	Data Rate (Mbps)	Side	Duty Cycle (%)	Accessory SAR (1g)	Scaling Factor (Power)	Scaling Factor (Duty Cycle)	Accessory Scaled SAR (1g)	Original Scaled SAR	Re-Verified Scaled SAR	Plot #
MHz	Ch.													(W/kg)			(W/kg)	W/kg	W/kg	
2437	6	802.11b	DSSS	22	17.5	17.48	-0.05	10 mm	2	95C04	1	top	99.7	0.101	1.005	1.003	0.102	0.255	0.238	A17
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT								Body												
Spatial Peak								1.6 W/kg (mW/g)												
Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population								averaged over 1 gram												

Table 8-9
NII Hotspot SAR Data

MEASUREMENT RESULTS																				
FREQUENCY		Mode	Service	Bandwidth [MHz]	Maximum Allowed Power [dBm]	Conducted Power [dBm]	Power Drift [dB]	Spacing	Antenna Config.	Device Serial Number	Data Rate (Mbps)	Side	Duty Cycle (%)	Accessory SAR (1g)	Scaling Factor (Power)	Scaling Factor (Duty Cycle)	Accessory Scaled SAR (1g)	Original Scaled SAR	Re-Verified Scaled SAR	Plot #
MHz	Ch.													(W/kg)			(W/kg)	W/kg	W/kg	
5785	157	802.11a	OFDM	20	13.5	12.72	0.12	10 mm	2	95BE4	6	front	99.4	0.037	1.197	1.006	0.044	0.103	0.102	A18
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT								Body												
Spatial Peak								1.6 W/kg (mW/g)												
Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population								averaged over 1 gram												

FCC ID: A3LSMG928T	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: OY1508201570-R1.A3L	Test Dates: 08/17/15- 09/18/15	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 15 of 24

8.4 Standalone Phablet SAR Data

Table 8-10
PCE Phablet SAR

MEASUREMENT RESULTS																					
FREQUENCY		Mode	Service/Modulation	LTE Bandwidth [MHz]	Maximum Allowed Power [dBm]	Conducted Power [dBm]	Power Drift [dB]	MPR [dB]	Device Serial Number	RB Size	RB Offset	Spacing	Side	Duty Cycle	Accessory SAR (1g)	Scaling Factor	Accessory Scaled SAR (1g)	Original Scaled SAR	Re-Verified Scaled	Plot #	
MHz	Ch.														(W/kg)		(W/kg)				W/kg
1732.40	1412	Mid	UMTS 1750	RMC	N/A	24.5	23.44	0.18	N/A	94D94	N/A	N/A	4 mm	front	1:1	2.290	1.276	2.922	3.024	3.228	A19
1732.40	1412	Mid	UMTS 1750	RMC	N/A	24.5	23.44	0.07	N/A	94D94	N/A	N/A	4 mm	front	1:1	2.070	1.276	2.641	N/A	N/A	
1860.00	18700	Low	LTE Band 2 (PCS)	QPSK	20	24.5	23.72	-0.16	0	95C05	1	50	4 mm	front	1:1	1.140	1.197	1.365	3.208	2.801	A20
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT										Phablet											
Spatial Peak										4.0 W/kg (mW/g)											
Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population										averaged over 10 grams											

Blue entry represents variability data.

Table 8-11
NII Phablet SAR

MEASUREMENT RESULTS																				
FREQUENCY		Mode	Service	Bandwidth [MHz]	Maximum Allowed Power [dBm]	Conducted Power [dBm]	Power Drift [dB]	Spacing	Antenna Config.	Device Serial Number	Data Rate (Mbps)	Side	Duty Cycle (%)	SAR (10g)	Scaling Factor (Power)	Scaling Factor (Duty Cycle)	Accessory SAR (1g)	Original Scaled SAR	Re-Verified Scaled SAR	Plot #
MHz	Ch.													(W/kg)			(W/kg)	W/kg		
5320	64	802.11a	OFDM	20	13.5	13.11	0.02	0 mm	2	95BE4	6	front	99.4	0.471	1.094	1.006	0.518	0.555	0.480	A21
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT									Phablet											
Spatial Peak									4.0 W/kg (mW/g)											
Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population									averaged over 10 grams											

8.5 SAR Test Notes

- The test data reported are the worst-case SAR values according to test procedures specified in IEEE 1528-2003, and FCC KDB Publication 447498 D01v05.
- Liquid tissue depth was at least 15.0 cm for all frequencies.
- The manufacturer has confirmed that the device(s) tested have the same physical, mechanical and thermal characteristics and are within operational tolerances expected for production units.
- SAR results were scaled to the maximum allowed power to demonstrate compliance per FCC KDB Publication 447498 D01v05.
- Device was tested using a fixed spacing for body-worn accessory testing. A separation distance of 15 mm was considered because the manufacturer has determined that there will be body-worn accessories available in the marketplace for users to support this separation distance. A separation distance of 10 mm was used for WLAN body-worn measurements because it is more conservative.
- Per FCC KDB Publication 648474 D04v01, body-worn SAR was evaluated without a headset connected to the device. Since the standalone reported body-worn SAR was ≤ 1.2 W/kg, no additional body-worn SAR evaluations using a headset cable were required.
- Per FCC KDB 865664 D01 v01, variability SAR tests were performed when the measured SAR results for a frequency band were greater than 0.8 W/kg for 1 g SAR results and greater than 2.0 W/kg for 10 g SAR results. Repeated SAR measurements are highlighted in the tables above for clarity. Please see Section 10 for variability analysis.
- Per FCC KDB Publication 648474 D04v01r02 and April 2014 TCB Workshop slides, SAR with the additional wireless charging cover was measured for the configuration with the highest reported SAR (amongst all modes) for each frequency band and each exposure condition. SAR tests were additionally performed for any test configurations in the original equipment authorization with 1g SAR > 1.2 W/kg or 10g SAR > 3.0 W/kg.
- To confirm any SAR variation was solely due to additional wireless charging cover, the SAR results of the host device were re-verified against the results in the original filing. There were no noticeable changes in the SAR distributions between the host measured with wireless charging accessory attached and without the charging accessory.

FCC ID: A3LSMG928T	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: OY1508201570-R1.A3L	Test Dates: 08/17/15- 09/18/15	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 16 of 24

9.1 Introduction

The following procedures adopted from FCC KDB Publication 447498 D01v05 are applicable to handsets with built-in unlicensed transmitters such as 802.11a/b/g/n/ac and Bluetooth devices which may simultaneously transmit with the licensed transmitter.

9.2 Simultaneous Transmission Procedures

This device contains transmitters that may operate simultaneously. Therefore simultaneous transmission analysis is required. Per FCC KDB 447498 D01v05 IV.C.1.iii and IEEE 1528-2013 Section 6.3.4.1.2, simultaneous transmission SAR test exclusion may be applied when the sum of the 1-g SAR for all the simultaneous transmitting antennas in a specific a physical test configuration is ≤ 1.6 W/kg.

9.3 Simultaneous Transmission Analysis

All SAR results with the wireless charging cover attached were less than the results without the additional accessory. Therefore, no further analysis was required to determine that the SAR limit would not be exceeded for all possible simultaneous transmission scenarios with the accessory. Please see the original compliance report for complete simultaneous transmission analysis for the device without the accessory.

FCC ID: A3LSMG928T	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: OY1508201570-R1.A3L	Test Dates: 08/17/15- 09/18/15	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 17 of 24

10 SAR MEASUREMENT VARIABILITY

10.1 Measurement Variability

Per FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v01, SAR measurement variability was assessed for each frequency band, which was determined by the SAR probe calibration point and tissue-equivalent medium used for the device measurements. When both head and body tissue-equivalent media were required for SAR measurements in a frequency band, the variability measurement procedures were applied to the tissue medium with the highest measured SAR, using the highest measured SAR configuration for that tissue-equivalent medium. These additional measurements were repeated after the completion of all measurements requiring the same head or body tissue-equivalent medium in a frequency band. The test device was returned to ambient conditions (normal room temperature) with the battery fully charged before it was re-mounted on the device holder for the repeated measurement(s) to minimize any unexpected variations in the repeated results.

Per FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v01, SAR measurement variability was assessed since measured 1g SAR for some frequency band was above 0.8 W/kg and measured 10g SAR for some frequency band was above 2.0 W/kg.

SAR Measurement Variability was assessed using the following procedures for each frequency band:

- 1) When the original highest measured SAR is ≥ 0.80 W/kg, the measurement was repeated once.
- 2) A second repeated measurement was performed only if the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original and first repeated measurements was > 1.20 or when the original or repeated measurement was ≥ 1.45 W/kg ($\sim 10\%$ from the 1-g SAR limit).
- 3) A third repeated measurement was performed only if the original, first or second repeated measurement was ≥ 1.5 W/kg and the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original, first and second repeated measurements is > 1.20 .
- 4) Repeated measurements are not required when the original highest measured SAR is < 0.80 W/kg
- 5) 10g Phablet SAR measurement variability analysis applies a factor of 2.5 to the procedures outlined above.

Table 10-2
Phablet SAR Measurement Variability Results

EXTREMITY VARIABILITY RESULTS													
Band	FREQUENCY		Mode	Service	Side	Spacing	Measured SAR (10g)	1st Repeated SAR (10g)	Ratio	2nd Repeated SAR (10g)	Ratio	3rd Repeated SAR (10g)	Ratio
	MHz	Ch.					(W/kg)	(W/kg)		(W/kg)		(W/kg)	
1750	1732.40	1412	UMTS 1750	RMC	front	4 mm	2.290	2.070	1.11	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT						Phablet							
Spatial Peak						4.0 W/kg (mW/g)							
Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population						averaged over 10 grams							

10.2 Measurement Uncertainty

The measured 1g SAR was <1.5 W/kg and 10g SAR was <3.75 W/kg for all frequency bands. Therefore, per KDB Publication 865664 D01v01, the extended measurement uncertainty analysis per IEEE 1528-2003 was not required.

FCC ID: A3LSMG928T	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: OY1508201570-R1.A3L	Test Dates: 08/17/15- 09/18/15	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 18 of 24

11 EQUIPMENT LIST

Manufacturer	Model	Description	Cal Date	Cal Interval	Cal Due	Serial Number
Agilent	8648D	(9kHz-4GHz) Signal Generator	3/15/2015	Annual	3/15/2016	3629400687
Agilent	8753E	(30kHz-6GHz) Network Analyzer	12/30/2014	Annual	12/30/2015	JP38020182
Agilent	8753ES	5-Parameter Network Analyzer	3/12/2015	Annual	3/12/2016	MY40000670
Agilent	8753ES	Network Analyzer	3/20/2015	Annual	3/20/2016	MY40001472
Agilent	8753ES	S-Parameter Network Analyzer	1/20/2015	Annual	1/20/2016	US39170122
Agilent	E4438C	ESG Vector Signal Generator	3/13/2015	Annual	3/13/2016	MY42082385
Agilent	E4438C	ESG Vector Signal Generator	3/13/2015	Annual	3/13/2016	MY42082659
Agilent	E5515C	Wireless Communications Test Set	11/20/2014	Annual	11/20/2015	GB42361078
Agilent	E5515C	Wireless Communications Test Set	5/22/2015	Annual	5/22/2016	GB43304278
Agilent	E8257D	(250kHz-20GHz) Signal Generator	3/15/2015	Annual	3/15/2016	MY45470194
Agilent	N5182A	MXG Vector Signal Generator	10/27/2014	Annual	10/27/2015	MY47420603
Agilent	N9020A	MXA Signal Analyzer	10/27/2014	Annual	10/27/2015	US46470561
Amplifier Research	1551G6	Amplifier	CBT	N/A	CBT	433971
Amplifier Research	1551G6	Amplifier	CBT	N/A	CBT	433972
Anritsu	MA24106A	USB Power Sensor	5/29/2015	Annual	5/29/2016	1231535
Anritsu	MA24106A	USB Power Sensor	5/29/2015	Annual	5/29/2016	1231538
Anritsu	MA24106A	USB Power Sensor	5/29/2015	Annual	5/29/2016	1244512
Anritsu	MA24111B	Pulse Power Sensor	8/3/2015	Annual	8/3/2016	1248515
Anritsu	MA24111B	Pulse Power Sensor	11/17/2014	Annual	11/17/2015	1207364
Anritsu	MA24111B	Pulse Power Sensor	3/13/2015	Annual	3/13/2016	1207470
Anritsu	MA24111B	Pulse Power Sensor	11/13/2014	Annual	11/13/2015	1339018
Anritsu	ML2496A	Power Meter	3/13/2015	Annual	3/13/2016	1306009
Anritsu	ML2496A	Power Meter	3/13/2015	Annual	3/13/2016	1351001
Anritsu	MT8820C	Radio Communication Analyzer	7/24/2015	Annual	7/24/2016	6200901190
Anritsu	MT8820C	Radio Communication Analyzer	9/19/2014	Annual	9/19/2015	6201144418
COMTECH	AR85729-5	Solid State Amplifier	CBT	N/A	CBT	M155A00-009
COMTECH	AR85729-5/57598	Solid State Amplifier	CBT	N/A	CBT	M3W1A00-1002
Control Company	4040	Digital Thermometer	3/18/2015	Biennial	3/18/2017	150194895
Control Company	4040	Digital Thermometer	3/18/2015	Biennial	3/18/2017	150194896
Control Company	4353	Long Stem Thermometer	1/22/2015	Biennial	1/22/2017	150053029
Control Company	4353	Long Stem Thermometer	1/22/2015	Biennial	1/22/2017	150053036
Keyight	772D	Dual Directional Coupler	CBT	N/A	CBT	MY52180215
MCL	BW-N6W5+	6dB Attenuator	CBT	N/A	CBT	1139
MiniCircuits	SUP-2400+	Low Pass Filter	CBT	N/A	CBT	R897950903
MiniCircuits	VLF-6000+	Low Pass Filter	CBT	N/A	CBT	N/A
MiniCircuits	VLF-6000+	Low Pass Filter	CBT	N/A	CBT	N/A
Mini-Circuits	BW-N20W5	Power Attenuator	CBT	N/A	CBT	1226
Mini-Circuits	BW-N20W5+	DC to 18 GHz Precision Fixed 20 dB Attenuator	CBT	N/A	CBT	N/A
Mini-Circuits	NLP-1200+	Low Pass Filter DC to 1000 MHz	CBT	N/A	CBT	N/A
Mini-Circuits	NLP-2950+	Low Pass Filter DC to 2700 MHz	CBT	N/A	CBT	N/A
Mitutoyo	CD-6°CSX	Digital Caliper	5/8/2014	Biennial	5/8/2016	13264162
Mitutoyo	CD-6°CSX	Digital Caliper	5/8/2014	Biennial	5/8/2016	13264165
Narda	4014C-6	4 - 8 GHz SMA 6 dB Directional Coupler	CBT	N/A	CBT	N/A
Narda	4772-3	Attenuator (3dB)	CBT	N/A	CBT	9406
Narda	BW-53W2	Attenuator (3dB)	CBT	N/A	CBT	120
Pasternack	NC-100	Torque Wrench	5/21/2015	Biennial	5/21/2017	N/A
Pasternack	NC-100	Torque Wrench	5/21/2015	Biennial	5/21/2017	N/A
Pasternack	NC-100	Torque Wrench	5/21/2015	Biennial	5/21/2017	N/A
Pasternack	NC-100	Torque Wrench	5/21/2015	Biennial	5/21/2017	N/A
Pasternack	PE2208-6	Bidirectional Coupler	CBT	N/A	CBT	N/A
Pasternack	PE2209-10	Bidirectional Coupler	CBT	N/A	CBT	N/A
Rohde & Schwarz	CMU200	Base Station Simulator	6/3/2015	Annual	6/3/2016	109892
Rohde & Schwarz	CMU200	Base Station Simulator	12/4/2014	Annual	12/4/2015	833855/0010
Rohde & Schwarz	CMW500	Radio Communication Tester	10/3/2014	Annual	10/3/2015	100976
Rohde & Schwarz	CMW500	Radio Communication Tester	4/22/2015	Annual	4/22/2016	101699
Seakont	NC-100	Torque Wrench	3/18/2014	Biennial	3/18/2016	22313
SPEAG	D1750V2	1750 MHz SAR Dipole	4/15/2015	Annual	4/15/2016	1051
SPEAG	D1765V2	1765 MHz SAR Dipole	5/13/2015	Annual	5/13/2016	1008
SPEAG	D1900V2	1900 MHz SAR Dipole	4/14/2015	Annual	4/14/2016	5d141
SPEAG	D2450V2	2450 MHz SAR Dipole	2/18/2015	Annual	2/18/2016	882
SPEAG	D5GHzV2	5 GHz SAR Dipole	1/21/2015	Annual	1/21/2016	1057
SPEAG	D5GHzV2	5 GHz SAR Dipole	2/17/2015	Annual	2/17/2016	1120
SPEAG	D5GHzV2	5 GHz SAR Dipole	9/25/2014	Annual	9/25/2015	1191
SPEAG	D750V3	750 MHz Dipole	1/16/2015	Annual	1/16/2016	1003
SPEAG	D750V3	750 MHz Dipole	2/19/2015	Annual	2/19/2016	1046
SPEAG	D835V2	835 MHz SAR Dipole	4/13/2015	Annual	4/13/2016	4d119
SPEAG	D835V2	835 MHz SAR Dipole	1/16/2015	Annual	1/16/2016	4d132
SPEAG	DAE4	Dasy Data Acquisition Electronics	1/14/2015	Annual	1/14/2016	1272
SPEAG	DAE4	Dasy Data Acquisition Electronics	9/17/2014	Annual	9/17/2015	1323
SPEAG	DAE4	Dasy Data Acquisition Electronics	10/31/2014	Annual	10/31/2015	1333
SPEAG	DAE4	Dasy Data Acquisition Electronics	3/13/2015	Annual	3/13/2016	1334
SPEAG	DAE4	Dasy Data Acquisition Electronics	9/18/2014	Annual	9/18/2015	1364
SPEAG	DAE4	Dasy Data Acquisition Electronics	3/13/2015	Annual	3/13/2016	1368
SPEAG	DAE4	Dasy Data Acquisition Electronics	4/20/2015	Annual	4/20/2016	1407
SPEAG	DAE4	Dasy Data Acquisition Electronics	10/23/2014	Annual	10/23/2015	1408
SPEAG	DAE4	Dasy Data Acquisition Electronics	12/12/2014	Annual	12/12/2015	1415
SPEAG	DAK-3.5	Dielectric Assessment Kit	5/12/2015	Annual	5/12/2016	1070
SPEAG	DAK-3.5	Dielectric Assessment Kit	10/21/2014	Annual	10/21/2015	1091
SPEAG	ES30V3	SAR Probe	1/20/2015	Annual	1/20/2016	3213
SPEAG	ES30V3	SAR Probe	9/24/2014	Annual	9/24/2015	3288
SPEAG	ES30V3	SAR Probe	1/23/2015	Annual	1/23/2016	3318
SPEAG	ES30V3	SAR Probe	3/19/2015	Annual	3/19/2016	3319
SPEAG	ES30V3	SAR Probe	9/18/2014	Annual	9/18/2015	3332
SPEAG	ES30V3	SAR Probe	10/24/2014	Annual	10/24/2015	3333
SPEAG	ES30V3	SAR Probe	12/16/2014	Annual	12/16/2015	3334
SPEAG	EX30V4	SAR Probe	2/10/2015	Annual	2/10/2016	3914
SPEAG	EX30V4	SAR Probe	4/23/2015	Annual	4/23/2016	7357

Note:

1. CBT (Calibrated Before Testing). Prior to testing, the measurement paths containing a cable, amplifier, attenuator, coupler or filter were connected to a calibrated source (i.e. a signal generator) to determine the losses of the measurement path. The power meter offset was then adjusted to compensate for the measurement system losses. This level offset is stored within the power meter before measurements are made. This calibration verification procedure applies to the system verification and output power measurements. The calibrated reading is then taken directly from the power meter after compensation of the losses for all final power measurements.
2. Each equipment item was used solely within its respective calibration period.

FCC ID: A3LSMG928T		SAR EVALUATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: OY1508201570-R1.A3L	Test Dates: 08/17/15- 09/18/15	DUT Type: Portable Handset	Page 19 of 24	

© 2015 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.

REV 14.0 M

07/21/2014

© 2015 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc. All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this report may be reproduced or utilized in any part, form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc. If you have any questions about this international copyright or have an enquiry about obtaining additional rights to this report or assembly of contents thereof, please contact INFO@PCTESTLAB.COM.

12 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES

Applicable for frequencies less than 3000 MHz.

a	b	c	d	e= f(d,k)	f	g	h = c x f/e	i = c x g/e	k
Uncertainty Component	IEEE 1528 Sec.	Tol. (± %)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	c _i 1gm	c _i 10 gms	1gm u _i (± %)	10gms u _i (± %)	v _i
Measurement System									
Probe Calibration	E.2.1	6.0	N	1	1.0	1.0	6.0	6.0	∞
Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	0.25	N	1	0.7	0.7	0.2	0.2	∞
Hemishperical Isotropy	E.2.2	1.3	N	1	1.0	1.0	1.3	1.3	∞
Boundary Effect	E.2.3	0.4	N	1	1.0	1.0	0.4	0.4	∞
Linearity	E.2.4	0.3	N	1	1.0	1.0	0.3	0.3	∞
System Detection Limits	E.2.5	5.1	N	1	1.0	1.0	5.1	5.1	∞
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	1.0	N	1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	∞
Response Time	E.2.7	0.8	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	0.5	0.5	∞
Integration Time	E.2.8	2.6	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	1.5	1.5	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	E.6.1	3.0	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	1.7	1.7	∞
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E.6.2	0.4	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	0.2	0.2	∞
Probe Positioning w/ respect to Phantom	E.6.3	2.9	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	1.7	1.7	∞
Extrapolation, Interpolation & Integration algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	E.5	1.0	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	0.6	0.6	∞
Test Sample Related									
Test Sample Positioning	E.4.2	6.0	N	1	1.0	1.0	6.0	6.0	287
Device Holder Uncertainty	E.4.1	3.32	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	1.9	1.9	∞
Output Power Variation - SAR drift measurement	6.6.2	5.0	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	2.9	2.9	∞
Phantom & Tissue Parameters									
Phantom Uncertainty (Shape & Thickness tolerances)	E.3.1	4.0	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	2.3	2.3	∞
Liquid Conductivity - deviation from target values	E.3.2	5.0	R	1.73	0.64	0.43	1.8	1.2	∞
Liquid Conductivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	3.8	N	1	0.64	0.43	2.4	1.6	6
Liquid Permittivity - deviation from target values	E.3.2	5.0	R	1.73	0.60	0.49	1.7	1.4	∞
Liquid Permittivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	4.5	N	1	0.60	0.49	2.7	2.2	6
Combined Standard Uncertainty (k=1)							RSS	12.1	11.7
Expanded Uncertainty (95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL)							k=2	24.2	23.5

The above measurement uncertainties are according to IEEE Std. 1528-2003

FCC ID: A3LSMG928T		SAR EVALUATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: OY1508201570-R1.A3L	Test Dates: 08/17/15- 09/18/15	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 20 of 24

Applicable for frequencies up to 6 GHz.

a	b	c	d	e= f(d,k)	f	g	h = c x f/e	i = c x g/e	k
Uncertainty Component	IEEE 1528 Sec.	Tol. (± %)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	c _f 1gm	c _g 10 gms	1gm u _f (± %)	10gms u _g (± %)	v _i
Measurement System									
Probe Calibration	E.2.1	6.55	N	1	1.0	1.0	6.6	6.6	∞
Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	0.25	N	1	0.7	0.7	0.2	0.2	∞
Hemishperical Isotropy	E.2.2	1.3	N	1	1.0	1.0	1.3	1.3	∞
Boundary Effect	E.2.3	0.4	N	1	1.0	1.0	0.4	0.4	∞
Linearity	E.2.4	0.3	N	1	1.0	1.0	0.3	0.3	∞
System Detection Limits	E.2.5	5.1	N	1	1.0	1.0	5.1	5.1	∞
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	1.0	N	1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	∞
Response Time	E.2.7	0.8	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	0.5	0.5	∞
Integration Time	E.2.8	2.6	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	1.5	1.5	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	E.6.1	3.0	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	1.7	1.7	∞
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E.6.2	0.4	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	0.2	0.2	∞
Probe Positioning w/ respect to Phantom	E.6.3	2.9	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	1.7	1.7	∞
Extrapolation, Interpolation & Integration algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	E.5	1.0	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	0.6	0.6	∞
Test Sample Related									
Test Sample Positioning	E.4.2	6.0	N	1	1.0	1.0	6.0	6.0	287
Device Holder Uncertainty	E.4.1	3.32	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	1.9	1.9	∞
Output Power Variation - SAR drift measurement	E.6.2	5.0	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	2.9	2.9	∞
Phantom & Tissue Parameters									
Phantom Uncertainty (Shape & Thickness tolerances)	E.3.1	4.0	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	2.3	2.3	∞
Liquid Conductivity - deviation from target values	E.3.2	5.0	R	1.73	0.64	0.43	1.8	1.2	∞
Liquid Conductivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	3.8	N	1	0.64	0.43	2.4	1.6	6
Liquid Permittivity - deviation from target values	E.3.2	5.0	R	1.73	0.60	0.49	1.7	1.4	∞
Liquid Permittivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	4.5	N	1	0.60	0.49	2.7	2.2	6
Combined Standard Uncertainty (k=1)							RSS	12.4	12.0
Expanded Uncertainty (95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL)							k=2	24.7	24.0

The above measurement uncertainties are according to IEEE Std. 1528-2003

FCC ID: A3LSMG928T		SAR EVALUATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: OY1508201570-R1.A3L	Test Dates: 08/17/15- 09/18/15	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 21 of 24

13 CONCLUSION

13.1 Measurement Conclusion

The SAR evaluation indicates that the EUT complies with the RF radiation exposure limits of the FCC and Industry Canada, with respect to all parameters subject to this test. These measurements were taken to simulate the RF effects of RF exposure under worst-case conditions. Precise laboratory measures were taken to assure repeatability of the tests. The results and statements relate only to the item(s) tested.

Please note that the absorption and distribution of electromagnetic energy in the body are very complex phenomena that depend on the mass, shape, and size of the body, the orientation of the body with respect to the field vectors, and the electrical properties of both the body and the environment. Other variables that may play a substantial role in possible biological effects are those that characterize the environment (e.g. ambient temperature, air velocity, relative humidity, and body insulation) and those that characterize the individual (e.g. age, gender, activity level, debilitation, or disease). Because various factors may interact with one another to vary the specific biological outcome of an exposure to electromagnetic fields, any protection guide should consider maximal amplification of biological effects as a result of field-body interactions, environmental conditions, and physiological variables. [3]

FCC ID: A3LSMG928T	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: OY1508201570-R1.A3L	Test Dates: 08/17/15- 09/18/15	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 22 of 24

14 REFERENCES

- [1] Federal Communications Commission, ET Docket 93-62, Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of Radiofrequency Radiation, Aug. 1996.
- [2] ANSI/IEEE C95.1-2005, American National Standard safety levels with respect to human exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic fields, 3kHz to 300GHz, New York: IEEE, 2006.
- [3] ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992, American National Standard safety levels with respect to human exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic fields, 3kHz to 300GHz, New York: IEEE, Sept. 1992.
- [4] ANSI/IEEE C95.3-2002, IEEE Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic Fields - RF and Microwave, New York: IEEE, December 2002.
- [5] IEEE Standards Coordinating Committee 39 –Standards Coordinating Committee 34 – IEEE Std. 1528-2003, Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head Due to Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques.
- [6] NCRP, National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements, Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for RadioFrequency Electromagnetic Fields, NCRP Report No. 86, 1986. Reprinted Feb. 1995.
- [7] T. Schmid, O. Egger, N. Kuster, Automated E-field scanning system for dosimetric assessments, IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, Jan. 1996, pp. 105-113.
- [8] K. Pokovic, T. Schmid, N. Kuster, Robust setup for precise calibration of E-field probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies, ICECOM97, Oct. 1997, pp. 1-124.
- [9] K. Pokovic, T. Schmid, and N. Kuster, E-field Probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids, Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, Croatia, June 23-25, 1996, pp. 172-175.
- [10] Schmid & Partner Engineering AG, Application Note: Data Storage and Evaluation, June 1998, p2.
- [11] V. Hombach, K. Meier, M. Burkhardt, E. Kuhn, N. Kuster, The Dependence of EM Energy Absorption upon Human Modeling at 900 MHz, IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44 no. 10, Oct. 1996, pp. 1865-1873.
- [12] N. Kuster and Q. Balzano, Energy absorption mechanism by biological bodies in the near field of dipole antennas above 300MHz, IEEE Transaction on Vehicular Technology, vol. 41, no. 1, Feb. 1992, pp. 17-23.
- [13] G. Hartsgrrove, A. Kraszewski, A. Surowiec, Simulated Biological Materials for Electromagnetic Radiation Absorption Studies, University of Ottawa, Bioelectromagnetics, Canada: 1987, pp. 29-36.
- [14] Q. Balzano, O. Garay, T. Manning Jr., Electromagnetic Energy Exposure of Simulated Users of Portable Cellular Telephones, IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol. 44, no.3, Aug. 1995.
- [15] W. Gander, Computermathematick, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1992.
- [16] W.H. Press, S.A. Teukolsky, W.T. Vetterling, and B.P. Flannery, Numerical Recipes in C, The Art of Scientific Computing, Second edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992.
- [17] N. Kuster, R. Kastle, T. Schmid, Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with known precision, IEEE Transaction on Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, May 1997, pp. 645-652.

FCC ID: A3LSMG928T	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: OY1508201570-R1.A3L	Test Dates: 08/17/15- 09/18/15	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 23 of 24

- [18] CENELEC CLC/SC111B, European Prestandard (prENV 50166-2), Human Exposure to Electromagnetic Fields High-frequency: 10kHz-300GHz, Jan. 1995.
- [19] Prof. Dr. Niels Kuster, ETH, Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich, Dosimetric Evaluation of the Cellular Phone.
- [20] IEC 62209-1, Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices - Human models, instrumentation, and procedures - Part 1: Procedure to determine the specific absorption rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz), Feb. 2005.
- [21] Industry Canada RSS-102 Radio Frequency Exposure Compliance of Radiocommunication Apparatus (All Frequency Bands) Issue 4, March 2010.
- [22] Health Canada Safety Code 6 Limits of Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields in the Frequency Range from 3 kHz – 300 GHz, 2009
- [23] FCC SAR Test Procedures for 2G-3G Devices, Mobile Hotspot and UMPC Devices KDB Publications 941225, D01-D07
- [24] SAR Measurement Guidance for IEEE 802.11 Transmitters, KDB Publication 248227 D01V02r01
- [25] FCC SAR Considerations for Handsets with Multiple Transmitters and Antennas, KDB Publications 648474 D03-D04
- [26] FCC SAR Evaluation Considerations for Laptop, Notebook, Netbook and Tablet Computers, FCC KDB Publication 616217 D04
- [27] FCC SAR Measurement and Reporting Requirements for 100MHz – 6 GHz, KDB Publications 865664 D01-D02
- [28] FCC General RF Exposure Guidance and SAR Procedures for Dongles, KDB Publication 447498, D01-D02
- [29] Anexo à Resolução No. 533, de 10 de Setembro de 2009.
- [30] IEC 62209-2, Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices - Human models, instrumentation, and procedures - Part 2: Procedure to determine the specific absorption rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz), Mar. 2010.

FCC ID: A3LSMG928T		SAR EVALUATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: OY1508201570-R1.A3L	Test Dates: 08/17/15- 09/18/15	DUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 24 of 24

APPENDIX A: SAR TEST DATA

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: A3LSMG928T; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: 95B11

Communication System: UID 0, GSM; Frequency: 836.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: 835 Head Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 836.6 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.922 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 42.08$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Right Section

Test Date: 08-20-2015; Ambient Temp: 22.0°C; Tissue Temp: 22.5°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3319; ConvF(6.41, 6.41, 6.41); Calibrated: 3/19/2015;

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1368; Calibrated: 3/13/2015

Phantom: SAM with CRP v4.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1800

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

Mode: GSM 850, Right Head, Cheek, Mid.ch, Wireless Charging Battery Cover


Area Scan (9x15x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$

Zoom Scan (6x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 11.40 V/m; Power Drift = -0.04 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.144 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.112 W/kg



0 dB = 0.123 W/kg = -9.10 dBW/kg

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: A3LSMG928T; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: 95BE8

Communication System: UID 0, UMTS; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium: 1900 Head; Medium parameters used:
 $f = 1880 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.408 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 39.897$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$
Phantom section: Left Section

Test Date: 08-20-2015; Ambient Temp: 21.8°C; Tissue Temp: 22.3°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3319; ConvF(5.1, 5.1, 5.1); Calibrated: 3/19/2015;
Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
Electronics: DAE4 Sn1368; Calibrated: 3/13/2015

Phantom: SAM with CRP v4.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1800
Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

Mode: UMTS 1900, Left Head, Cheek, Mid.ch, Wireless Charging Battery Cover


Area Scan (9x15x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$

Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 6.001 V/m; Power Drift = 0.08 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.0700 W/kg

SAR (1 g) = 0.0455 W/kg



0 dB = 0.0541 W/kg = -12.67 dBW/kg

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: A3LSMG928T; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: 95C05

Communication System: UID 0, LTE Band 12; Frequency: 707.5 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium: 750 Head; Medium parameters used (interpolated):
 $f = 707.5 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.868 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 41.321$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$
Phantom section: Right Section

Test Date: 08-20-2015; Ambient Temp: 24.6°C; Tissue Temp: 22.7°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3334; ConvF(6.51, 6.51, 6.51); Calibrated: 12/16/2014;
Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
Electronics: DAE4 Sn1415; Calibrated: 12/12/2014
Phantom: Sub Twin Sam v5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1626
Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

**Mode: LTE Band 12, Right Head, Cheek, Mid.ch, 10 MHz Bandwidth,
QPSK, 1 RB, 0 RB Offset, Wireless Charging Battery Cover**


Area Scan (8x13x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$

Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 10.62 V/m; Power Drift = 0.00 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.108 W/kg

SAR (1 g) = 0.086 W/kg



0 dB = 0.0930 W/kg = -10.32 dBW/kg

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: A3LSMG928T; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: 95C05

Communication System: UID 0, LTE Band 4 (AWS); Frequency: 1732.5 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium: 1750 Head Medium parameters used (interpolated):
 $f = 1732.5 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.363 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 40.255$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$
Phantom section: Left Section

Test Date: 08-17-2015; Ambient Temp: 23.0°C; Tissue Temp: 22.2°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3288; ConvF(5.38, 5.38, 5.38); Calibrated: 9/24/2014;

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1364; Calibrated: 9/18/2014

Phantom: SAM with CRP v4.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1797

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

**Mode: LTE Band 4 (AWS), Left Head, Cheek, Mid.ch, 20 MHz Bandwidth,
QPSK, 1 RB, 50 RB Offset, Wireless Charging Cover**


Area Scan (9x15x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$

Zoom Scan (5x6x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 10.10 V/m; Power Drift = 0.00 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.181 W/kg

SAR (1 g) = 0.120 W/kg



0 dB = 0.146 W/kg = -8.36 dBW/kg

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: A3LSMG928T; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: 95BE4

Communication System: UID 0, IEEE 802.11b; Frequency: 2462 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium: 2450 Head; Medium parameters used (interpolated):
 $f = 2462 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.888 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 38.354$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$
Phantom section: Right Section

Test Date: 08-22-2015; Ambient Temp: 22.0°C; Tissue Temp: 22.5°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3319; ConvF(4.55, 4.55, 4.55); Calibrated: 3/19/2015;
Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
Electronics: DAE4 Sn1368; Calibrated: 3/13/2015

Phantom: SAM with CRP v4.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1800
Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

**Mode: IEEE 802.11b, 22 MHz Bandwidth, Right Head, Cheek,
Ch 11, 1 Mbps, Antenna 1, Wireless Charging Battery Cover**


Area Scan (11x18x1): Measurement grid: $dx=12\text{mm}$, $dy=12\text{mm}$

Zoom Scan (8x9x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=5\text{mm}$, $dy=5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 9.728 V/m; Power Drift = 0.10 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.460 W/kg

SAR (1 g) = 0.206 W/kg



0 dB = 0.269 W/kg = -5.70 dBW/kg

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: A3LSMG928T; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: 95BE4

Communication System: UID 0, 802.11a 5.2-5.8 GHz Band; Frequency: 5500 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 5 GHz Head Medium parameters used:

$f = 5500 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 4.728 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 34.887$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Right Section

Test Date: 09-18-2015; Ambient Temp: 23.5°C; Tissue Temp: 22.2°C

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7357; ConvF(4.7, 4.7, 4.7); Calibrated: 4/23/2015;

Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1334; Calibrated: 3/13/2015

Phantom: SAM v5.0 front; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP-1646

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

**Mode: IEEE 802.11a, U-NII-2C, 20 MHz Bandwidth, Right Head, Tilt
Ch 100, 6 Mbps, Antenna 2, Wireless Charging Battery Cover**


Area Scan (13x19x1): Measurement grid: $dx=10\text{mm}$, $dy=10\text{mm}$

Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=4\text{mm}$, $dy=4\text{mm}$, $dz=1.4\text{mm}$; Graded Ratio: 1.4

Reference Value = 10.28 V/m; Power Drift = 0.18 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.47 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.361 W/kg



PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: A3LSMG928T; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: 94D94

Communication System: UID 0, UMTS; Frequency: 1732.4 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 1750 Body Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 1732.4 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.459 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 52.607$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.5 cm

Test Date: 08-17-2015; Ambient Temp: 23.3°C; Tissue Temp: 22.0°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3213; ConvF(4.93, 4.93, 4.93); Calibrated: 1/20/2015;

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1407; Calibrated: 4/20/2015

Phantom: ELI Left v6.0; Type: QDOVA001BB; Serial: TP:1202

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

Mode: UMTS 1750, Body SAR, Back side, Mid.ch, Wireless Charging Battery Cover


Area Scan (9x14x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$

Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 11.83 V/m; Power Drift = -0.09 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.299 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.190 W/kg



0 dB = 0.227 W/kg = -6.44 dBW/kg

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: A3LSMG928T; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: 95BDC

Communication System: UID 0, UMTS; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 1900 Body Medium parameters used:

$f = 1880 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.543 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 52.352$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.5 cm

Test Date: 08-19-2015; Ambient Temp: 23.0°C; Tissue Temp: 22.0°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3288; ConvF(4.82, 4.82, 4.82); Calibrated: 9/24/2014;

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1364; Calibrated: 9/18/2014

Phantom: ELI v5.0; Type: QDOVA001BB; Serial: 1229

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

Mode: UMTS 1900, Body SAR, Back side, Mid.ch, Wireless Charging Battery Cover


Area Scan (9x15x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$

Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 7.575 V/m; Power Drift = 0.04 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.128 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.081 W/kg



0 dB = 0.0968 W/kg = -10.14 dBW/kg

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: A3LSMG928T; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: 95C05

Communication System: UID 0, LTE Band 12; Frequency: 707.5 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium: 750 Body; Medium parameters used (interpolated):
 $f = 707.5 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.925 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 55.763$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$
Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.5 cm

Test Date: 08-20-2015; Ambient Temp: 23.0°C; Tissue Temp: 22.3°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3332; ConvF(6.24, 6.24, 6.24); Calibrated: 9/18/2014;

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1323; Calibrated: 9/17/2014

Phantom: SAM V5.0 Right; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: 1647

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

**Mode: LTE Band 12, Body SAR, Back side, Mid.ch, 10 MHz Bandwidth,
QPSK, 1 RB, 0 RB Offset, Wireless Charging Battery Cover**


Area Scan (9x14x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$

Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 9.577 V/m; Power Drift = 0.08 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.100 W/kg

SAR (1 g) = 0.079 W/kg



0 dB = 0.0871 W/kg = -10.60 dBW/kg

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: A3LSMG928T; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: 95C05

Communication System: UID 0, LTE Band 5; Frequency: 836.5 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium: 850 Body; Medium parameters used (interpolated):
 $f = 836.5 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.012 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 52.676$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$
Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.5 cm

Test Date: 08-20-2015; Ambient Temp: 23.9°C; Tissue Temp: 23.2°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3334; ConvF(6.14, 6.14, 6.14); Calibrated: 12/16/2014;
Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
Electronics: DAE4 Sn1415; Calibrated: 12/12/2014
Phantom: ELI v5.0; Type: QDOVA001BB; Serial: 1158
Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

**Mode: LTE Band 5 (Cell.), Body SAR, Back side, Mid.ch, 10 MHz Bandwidth,
QPSK, 1 RB, 0 RB Offset, Wireless Charging Battery Cover**


Area Scan (9x15x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$

Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 7.991 V/m; Power Drift = 0.00 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.0800 W/kg

SAR (1 g) = 0.060 W/kg



0 dB = 0.0664 W/kg = -11.78 dBW/kg

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: A3LSMG928T; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: 95C04

Communication System: UID 0, IEEE 802.11b; Frequency: 2437 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium: 2450 Body; Medium parameters used (interpolated):
 $f = 2437 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 2.009 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 51.423$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$
Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 08-22-2015; Ambient Temp: 21.9°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3318; ConvF(4.37, 4.37, 4.37); Calibrated: 1/23/2015;
Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
Electronics: DAE4 Sn1272; Calibrated: 1/14/2015
Phantom: SAM Front; Type: SAM; Serial: 1686
Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

**Mode: IEEE 802.11b, 22 MHz Bandwidth, Body SAR, Ch 06, 1 Mbps,
Back Side, Antenna 2, Wireless Charging Battery Cover**


Area Scan (11x17x1): Measurement grid: $dx=12\text{mm}$, $dy=12\text{mm}$

Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=5\text{mm}$, $dy=5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 4.639 V/m; Power Drift = -0.07 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.0700 W/kg

SAR (1 g) = 0.040 W/kg



PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: A3LSMG928T; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: 95BE4

Communication System: UID 0, 802.11a 5.2-5.8 GHz Band; Frequency: 5785 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium: 5 GHz Body; Medium parameters used:
 $f = 5785 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 5.968 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 46.114$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$
Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 08-24-2015; Ambient Temp: 23.2°C; Tissue Temp: 23.0°C

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3914; ConvF(4.01, 4.01, 4.01); Calibrated: 2/10/2015;

Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1333; Calibrated: 10/31/2014

Phantom: SAM Sub ; Type: QD000P40CC; Serial: TP:1357

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

**Mode: IEEE 802.11a, U-NII-3, 20 MHz Bandwidth, Body SAR, Ch 157, 6 Mbps,
Back Side, Antenna 2, Wireless Charging Battery Cover**


Area Scan (13x22x1): Measurement grid: $dx=10\text{mm}$, $dy=10\text{mm}$

Zoom Scan (9x9x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=4\text{mm}$, $dy=4\text{mm}$, $dz=1.4\text{mm}$; Graded Ratio: 1.4

Reference Value = 1.161 V/m; Power Drift = 0.12 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.120 W/kg

SAR (1 g) = 0.00924 W/kg



PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: A3LSMG928T; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: 95B11

Communication System: UID 0, GSM GPRS; 3 Tx slots; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:2.76

Medium: 1900 Body Medium parameters used:

$f = 1880 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.543 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 52.352$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 08-19-2015; Ambient Temp: 23.0°C; Tissue Temp: 22.0°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3288; ConvF(4.82, 4.82, 4.82); Calibrated: 9/24/2014;

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1364; Calibrated: 9/18/2014

Phantom: ELI v5.0; Type: QDOVA001BB; Serial: 1229

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

**Mode: GPRS 1900, Body SAR, Front side, Mid.ch, 3 Tx Slots,
Wireless Charging Battery Cover**


Area Scan (9x15x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 17.96 V/m; Power Drift = 0.09 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.751 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.399 W/kg



0 dB = 0.537 W/kg = -2.70 dBW/kg

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: A3LSMG928T; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: 95BE8

Communication System: UID 0, UMTS; Frequency: 836.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 850 Body Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 836.6 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.012 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 52.674$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 08-20-2015; Ambient Temp: 23.9°C; Tissue Temp: 23.2°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3334; ConvF(6.14, 6.14, 6.14); Calibrated: 12/16/2014;

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1415; Calibrated: 12/12/2014

Phantom: ELI v5.0; Type: QDOVA001BB; Serial: 1158

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

Mode: UMTS 850, Body SAR, Back side, Mid.ch, Wireless Charging Battery Cover


Area Scan (9x15x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 9.010 V/m; Power Drift = -0.01 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.107 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.075 W/kg



0 dB = 0.0839 W/kg = -10.76 dBW/kg

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: A3LSMG928T; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: 95B11

Communication System: UID 0, UMTS; Frequency: 1732.4 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 1750 Body Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 1732.4 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.459 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 52.607$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 08-17-2015; Ambient Temp: 23.3°C; Tissue Temp: 22.0°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3213; ConvF(4.93, 4.93, 4.93); Calibrated: 1/20/2015;

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1407; Calibrated: 4/20/2015

Phantom: ELI Left v6.0; Type: QDOVA001BB; Serial: TP:1202

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

Mode: UMTS 1750, Body SAR, Back side, Mid.ch, Wireless Charging Battery Cover


Area Scan (9x14x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$

Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 7.536 V/m; Power Drift = 0.17 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.128 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.079 W/kg



0 dB = 0.0953 W/kg = -10.21 dBW/kg

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: A3LSMG928T; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: 95C05

Communication System: UID 0, LTE Band 12; Frequency: 707.5 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium: 750 Body; Medium parameters used (interpolated):
 $f = 707.5 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.925 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 55.763$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$
Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 08-20-2015; Ambient Temp: 23.0°C; Tissue Temp: 22.3°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3332; ConvF(6.24, 6.24, 6.24); Calibrated: 9/18/2014;

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1323; Calibrated: 9/17/2014

Phantom: SAM V5.0 Right; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: 1647

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

**Mode: LTE Band 12, Body SAR, Front side, Mid.ch, 10 MHz Bandwidth,
QPSK, 1 RB, 0 RB Offset, Wireless Charging Battery Cover**


Area Scan (9x14x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$

Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 20.13 V/m; Power Drift = 0.02 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.685 W/kg

SAR (1 g) = 0.399 W/kg



0 dB = 0.466 W/kg = -3.32 dBW/kg

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: A3LSMG928T; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: 95C04

Communication System: UID 0, IEEE 802.11b; Frequency: 2437 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium: 2450 Body; Medium parameters used (interpolated):
 $f = 2437 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 2.009 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 51.423$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$
Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 08-22-2015; Ambient Temp: 21.9°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3318; ConvF(4.37, 4.37, 4.37); Calibrated: 1/23/2015;
Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
Electronics: DAE4 Sn1272; Calibrated: 1/14/2015
Phantom: SAM Front; Type: SAM; Serial: 1686
Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

**Mode: IEEE 802.11b, 22 MHz Bandwidth, Body SAR, Ch 06, 1 Mbps,
Top Edge, Antenna 2, Wireless Charging Battery Cover**


Area Scan (10x9x1): Measurement grid: $dx=5\text{mm}$, $dy=12\text{mm}$

Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=5\text{mm}$, $dy=5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 7.545 V/m; Power Drift = -0.05 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.198 W/kg

SAR (1 g) = 0.101 W/kg



0 dB = 0.129 W/kg = -8.89 dBW/kg

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: A3LSMG928T; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: 95BE4

Communication System: UID 0, 802.11a 5.2-5.8 GHz Band; Frequency: 5785 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 5 GHz Body Medium parameters used:

$f = 5785 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 5.968 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 46.114$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 08-24-2015; Ambient Temp: 23.2°C; Tissue Temp: 23.0°C

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3914; ConvF(4.01, 4.01, 4.01); Calibrated: 2/10/2015;

Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1333; Calibrated: 10/31/2014

Phantom: SAM Sub ; Type: QD000P40CC; Serial: TP:1357

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

**Mode: IEEE 802.11a, U-NII-3, 20 MHz Bandwidth, Body SAR, Ch 157, 6 Mbps,
Front Side, Antenna 2, Wireless Charging Battery Cover**


Area Scan (13x22x1): Measurement grid: $dx=10\text{mm}$, $dy=10\text{mm}$

Zoom Scan (8x9x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=4\text{mm}$, $dy=4\text{mm}$, $dz=1.4\text{mm}$; Graded Ratio: 1.4

Reference Value = 2.054 V/m; Power Drift = 0.12 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.259 W/kg

SAR (1 g) = 0.037 W/kg



0 dB = 0.123 W/kg = -9.10 dBW/kg

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: A3LSMG928T; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: 94D94

Communication System: UID 0, UMTS; Frequency: 1732.4 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 1750 Body Medium parameters used:

$f = 1732.4 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.501 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 52.012$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 0.4 cm

Test Date: 08-26-2015; Ambient Temp: 23.7°C; Tissue Temp: 21.7°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3333; ConvF(4.89, 4.89, 4.89); Calibrated: 10/24/2014;

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1408; Calibrated: 10/23/2014

Phantom: Sub TWIN SAM; Type: QD000P40CC; Serial: TP-1357

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

Mode: UMTS 1750, Phablet SAR, Front side, Mid ch, Wireless Charging Battery Cover


Area Scan (9x14x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$

Zoom Scan (6x6x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 8.305 V/m; Power Drift = 0.18 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 10.2 W/kg

SAR(10 g) = 2.29 W/kg



0 dB = 6.64 W/kg = 8.22 dBW/kg

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: A3LSMG928T; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: 95C05

Communication System: UID 0, LTE Band 2 (PCS); Frequency: 1860 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium: 1900 Body; Medium parameters used (interpolated):
 $f = 1860 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.521 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 52.421$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$
Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 0.4 cm

Test Date: 08-19-2015; Ambient Temp: 23.0°C; Tissue Temp: 22.0°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3288; ConvF(4.82, 4.82, 4.82); Calibrated: 9/24/2014;
Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
Electronics: DAE4 Sn1364; Calibrated: 9/18/2014
Phantom: ELI v5.0; Type: QDOVA001BB; Serial: 1229
Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

**Mode: LTE Band 2 (PCS), Phablet SAR, Front side, Low.ch, QPSK,
20 MHz Bandwidth, 1 RB, 50 RB Offset, Wireless Charging Battery Cover**


Area Scan (9x15x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$

Zoom Scan (6x6x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 42.40 V/m; Power Drift = -0.16 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 5.26 W/kg

SAR (10 g) = 1.14 W/kg



0 dB = 3.31 W/kg = 5.20 dBW/kg

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: A3LSMG928T; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: 95BE4

Communication System: UID 0, 802.11a 5.2-5.8 GHz Band; Frequency: 5320 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 5 GHz Body Medium parameters used:

$f = 5320 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 5.456 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 46.98$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 0.0 cm

Test Date: 09-14-2015; Ambient Temp: 23.5°C; Tissue Temp: 21.7°C

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3914; ConvF(4.33, 4.33, 4.33); Calibrated: 2/10/2015;

Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1333; Calibrated: 10/31/2014

Phantom: SAM Sub ; Type: QD000P40CC; Serial: TP:1357

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

**Mode: IEEE 802.11a, U-NII-2, 20 MHz Bandwidth, Phablet SAR
Ch 64, 6 Mbps, Front Side, Antenna 2, Wireless Charging Battery Cover**


Area Scan (13x22x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm

Zoom Scan (8x8x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=4mm, dy=4mm, dz=1.4mm; Graded Ratio: 1.4

Reference Value = 16.91 V/m; Power Drift = 0.02 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 10.5 W/kg

SAR(10 g) = 0.471 W/kg



0 dB = 5.15 W/kg = 7.12 dBW/kg

APPENDIX B: SYSTEM VERIFICATION

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: Dipole 750 MHz; Type: D750V3; Serial: 1046

Communication System: UID 0, CW; Frequency: 750 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 750 Head Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 750 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.905 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 40.773$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.5 cm

Test Date: 08-20-2015; Ambient Temp: 24.6°C; Tissue Temp: 22.7°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3334; ConvF(6.51, 6.51, 6.51); Calibrated: 12/16/2014;

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1415; Calibrated: 12/12/2014

Phantom: Sub Twin Sam v5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1626

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

750 MHz System Verification

Area Scan (7x15x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$


Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Input Power = 23.0 dBm (200 mW)

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 2.45 W/kg

SAR (1 g) = 1.64 W/kg

Deviation = 1.99 %



0 dB = 1.92 W/kg = 2.83 dBW/kg

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: Dipole 835 MHz; Type: D835V2; Serial: 4d119

Communication System: UID 0, CW; Frequency: 835 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 835 Head Medium parameters used:

$f = 835 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.92 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 42.1$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.5 cm

Test Date: 08-20-2015; Ambient Temp: 22.0°C; Tissue Temp: 22.5°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3319; ConvF(6.41, 6.41, 6.41); Calibrated: 3/19/2015;

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1368; Calibrated: 3/13/2015

Phantom: SAM with CRP v4.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1800

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

835 MHz System Verification

Area Scan (7x14x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$


Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Input Power = 23.0 dBm (200 mW)

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 2.64 W/kg

SAR (1 g) = 1.8 W/kg

Deviation = -4.05 %



0 dB = 2.11 W/kg = 3.24 dBW/kg

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: Dipole 1750 MHz; Type: D1750V2; Serial: 1051

Communication System: UID 0, CW; Frequency: 1750 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 1750 Head Medium parameters used:

$f = 1750 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.38 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 40.189$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 08-17-2015; Ambient Temp: 23.0°C; Tissue Temp: 22.2°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3288; ConvF(5.38, 5.38, 5.38); Calibrated: 9/24/2014;

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1364; Calibrated: 9/18/2014

Phantom: SAM with CRP v4.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1797

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

1750 MHz System Verification

Area Scan (7x9x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$


Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Input Power = 20.0 dBm (100 mW)

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 6.69 W/kg

SAR (1 g) = 3.68 W/kg

Deviation = 1.66 %



0 dB = 4.60 W/kg = 6.63 dBW/kg

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: D1900V2; Serial: 5d141

Communication System: UID 0, CW; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 1900 Head; Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 1900 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.429 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 39.843$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 08-20-2015; Ambient Temp: 21.8°C; Tissue Temp: 22.3°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3319; ConvF(5.1, 5.1, 5.1); Calibrated: 3/19/2015;

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1368; Calibrated: 3/13/2015

Phantom: SAM with CRP v4.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1800

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

1900 MHz System Verification

Area Scan (7x10x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$


Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Input Power = 20.0 dBm (100 mW)

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 7.17 W/kg

SAR (1 g) = 3.94 W/kg

Deviation = -1.25%



0 dB = 4.96 W/kg = 6.95 dBW/kg

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: D2450V2; Serial: 882

Communication System: UID 0, CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 2450 Head Medium parameters used:

$f = 2450 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.874 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 38.403$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 08-22-2015; Ambient Temp: 22.0°C; Tissue Temp: 22.5°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3319; ConvF(4.55, 4.55, 4.55); Calibrated: 3/19/2015;

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1368; Calibrated: 3/13/2015

Phantom: SAM with CRP v4.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1800

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

2450 MHz System Verification

Area Scan (8x9x1): Measurement grid: $dx=12\text{mm}$, $dy=12\text{mm}$


Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=5\text{mm}$, $dy=5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Input Power = 20.0 dBm (100 mW)

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 10.9 W/kg

SAR (1 g) = 5.2 W/kg

Deviation = -0.57%



0 dB = 6.90 W/kg = 8.39 dBW/kg

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: Dipole 5 GHz; Type: D5GHzV2; Serial: 1057

Communication System: UID 0, CW; Frequency: 5500 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 5 GHz Head Medium parameters used:

$f = 5500 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 4.728 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 34.887$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 09-18-2015; Ambient Temp: 23.5°C; Tissue Temp: 22.2°C

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7357; ConvF(4.7, 4.7, 4.7); Calibrated: 4/23/2015;

Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1334; Calibrated: 3/13/2015

Phantom: SAM v5.0 front; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP-1646

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

5500 MHz System Verification

Area Scan (7x7x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm


Zoom Scan (8x8x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=4mm, dy=4mm, dz=1.4mm; Graded Ratio: 1.4

Input Power = 17 dBm (50 mW)

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 18.0 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 4.2 W/kg

Deviation = -0.36%



0 dB = 10.1 W/kg = 10.04 dBW/kg

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: Dipole 750 MHz; Type: D750V3; Serial: 1003


Communication System: UID 0, CW; Frequency: 750 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium: 750 Body; Medium parameters used (interpolated):
 $f = 750 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.966 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 55.332$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$
Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.5 cm

Test Date: 08-20-2015; Ambient Temp: 23.0°C; Tissue Temp: 22.3°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3332; ConvF(6.24, 6.24, 6.24); Calibrated: 9/18/2014;
Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
Electronics: DAE4 Sn1323; Calibrated: 9/17/2014
Phantom: SAM V5.0 Right; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: 1647
Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

750 MHz System Verification

Area Scan (7x15x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$
Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$
Input Power = 23.0 dBm (200 mW)
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 2.48 W/kg
SAR (1 g) = 1.72 W/kg
Deviation = 1.65%



0 dB = 2.00 W/kg = 3.01 dBW/kg

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: Dipole 835 MHz; Type: D835V2; Serial: 4d132

Communication System: UID 0, CW; Frequency: 835 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 850 Body; Medium parameters used:

$f = 835 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.01 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 52.693$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.5 cm

Test Date: 08-20-2015; Ambient Temp: 23.9°C; Tissue Temp: 23.2°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3334; ConvF(6.14, 6.14, 6.14); Calibrated: 12/16/2014;

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1415; Calibrated: 12/12/2014

Phantom: ELI v5.0; Type: QDOVA001BB; Serial: 1158

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

835 MHz System Verification

Area Scan (7x14x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$


Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Input Power = 23.0 dBm (200 mW)

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 2.88 W/kg

SAR (1 g) = 1.97 W/kg

Deviation = 7.77%



0 dB = 2.28 W/kg = 3.58 dBW/kg

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: Dipole 1750 MHz; Type: D1750V2; Serial: 1051

Communication System: UID 0, CW; Frequency: 1750 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 1750 Body Medium parameters used:

$f = 1750 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.479 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 52.542$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 08-17-2015; Ambient Temp: 23.3°C; Tissue Temp: 22.0°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3213; ConvF(4.93, 4.93, 4.93); Calibrated: 1/20/2015;

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1407; Calibrated: 4/20/2015

Phantom: ELI Left v6.0; Type: QDOVA001BB; Serial: TP:1202

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

1750 MHz System Verification

Area Scan (7x9x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$


Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Input Power = 20 dBm (100 mW)

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 6.54 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 3.71 W/kg

Deviation = 0.00%



0 dB = 4.60 W/kg = 6.63 dBW/kg

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: Dipole 1750 MHz; Type: D1765V2; Serial: 1008

Communication System: UID 0, CW; Frequency: 1750 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 1750 Body Medium parameters used:

$f = 1750 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.521 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 51.948$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 08-26-2015; Ambient Temp: 23.7°C; Tissue Temp: 21.7°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3333; ConvF(4.89, 4.89, 4.89); Calibrated: 10/24/2014;

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1408; Calibrated: 10/23/2014

Phantom: Sub TWIN SAM; Type: QD000P40CC; Serial: TP-1357

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

1750 MHz System Verification

Area Scan (7x9x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$


Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Input Power = 20 dBm (100 mW)

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 7.15 W/kg

SAR(10 g) = 2.09 W/kg

Deviation = 2.45%



0 dB = 4.96 W/kg = 6.95 dBW/kg

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: D1900V2; Serial: 5d141

Communication System: UID 0, CW; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 1900 Body; Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 1900 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.567 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 52.289$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 08-19-2015; Ambient Temp: 23.0°C; Tissue Temp: 22.0°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3288; ConvF(4.82, 4.82, 4.82); Calibrated: 9/24/2014;

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1364; Calibrated: 9/18/2014

Phantom: ELI v5.0; Type: QDOVA001BB; Serial: 1229

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

1900 MHz System Verification

Area Scan (7x10x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$


Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Input Power = 20.0 dBm (100 mW)

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 6.95 W/kg

SAR (1 g) = 3.9 W/kg; SAR (10 g) = 2.04 W/kg

Deviation (1 g) = -2.50%; Deviation (10 g) = -3.77%



0 dB = 4.93 W/kg = 6.93 dBW/kg

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: D2450V2; Serial: 882

Communication System: UID 0, CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 2450 Body; Medium parameters used:

$f = 2450 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 2.026 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 51.366$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 08-22-2015; Ambient Temp: 21.9°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3318; ConvF(4.37, 4.37, 4.37); Calibrated: 1/23/2015;

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1272; Calibrated: 1/14/2015

Phantom: SAM Front; Type: SAM; Serial: 1686

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

2450 MHz System Verification

Area Scan (8x9x1): Measurement grid: $dx=12\text{mm}$, $dy=12\text{mm}$


Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=5\text{mm}$, $dy=5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Input Power = 20.0 dBm (100 mW)

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 11.4 W/kg

SAR (1 g) = 5.38 W/kg

Deviation = 6.11%



0 dB = 7.11 W/kg = 8.52 dBW/kg

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: Dipole 5 GHz; Type: D5GHzV2; Serial: 1120

Communication System: UID 0, CW; Frequency: 5300 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 5 GHz Body Medium parameters used:

$f = 5300 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 5.386 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 47.039$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 09-14-2015; Ambient Temp: 23.5°C; Tissue Temp: 21.7°C

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3914; ConvF(4.33, 4.33, 4.33); Calibrated: 2/10/2015;

Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1333; Calibrated: 10/31/2014

Phantom: SAM Sub ; Type: QD000P40CC; Serial: TP:1357

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

5300 MHz System Verification

Area Scan (7x9x1): Measurement grid: $dx=10\text{mm}$, $dy=10\text{mm}$


Zoom Scan (8x8x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=4\text{mm}$, $dy=4\text{mm}$, $dz=1.4\text{mm}$; Graded Ratio: 1.4

Input Power = 17.0 dBm (50 mW)

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 17.3 W/kg

SAR(10 g) = 1.09 W/kg

Deviation(10 g) = 3.32%



0 dB = 9.59 W/kg = 9.82 dBW/kg

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: Dipole 5800 MHz; Type: D5GHzV2; Serial: 1191

Communication System: UID 0, CW; Frequency: 5800 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 5 GHz Body; Medium parameters used:

$f = 5800 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 6.023 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 46.178$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 08-24-2015; Ambient Temp: 23.2°C; Tissue Temp: 23.0°C

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3914; ConvF(4.01, 4.01, 4.01); Calibrated: 2/10/2015;

Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1333; Calibrated: 10/31/2014

Phantom: SAM Sub ; Type: QD000P40CC; Serial: TP:1357

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

5800 MHz System Verification

Area Scan (7x7x1): Measurement grid: $dx=10\text{mm}$, $dy=10\text{mm}$


Zoom Scan (8x8x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=4\text{mm}$, $dy=4\text{mm}$, $dz=1.4\text{mm}$; Graded Ratio: 1.4

Input Power = 17.0 dBm (50 mW)

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 16.2 W/kg

SAR (1 g) = 3.62 W/kg

Deviation = -7.18%



0 dB = 4.00 W/kg = 6.02 dBW/kg

APPENDIX C: PROBE CALIBRATION



Accreditation No.: **SCS 0108**

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Certificate No: **D750V3-1003_Jan15**

Client **PC Test**

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object **D750V3 - SN: 1003**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-05.v9**
Calibration procedure for dipole validation kits above 700 MHz

CC
2/3/15

Calibration date: **January 16, 2015**

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).
The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter EPM-442A	GB37480704	07-Oct-14 (No. 217-02020)	Oct-15
Power sensor HP 8481A	US37292783	07-Oct-14 (No. 217-02020)	Oct-15
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092317	07-Oct-14 (No. 217-02021)	Oct-15
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: 5058 (20k)	03-Apr-14 (No. 217-01918)	Apr-15
Type-N mismatch combination	SN: 5047.2 / 06327	03-Apr-14 (No. 217-01921)	Apr-15
Reference Probe ES3DV3	SN: 3205	30-Dec-14 (No. ES3-3205_Dec14)	Dec-15
DAE4	SN: 601	18-Aug-14 (No. DAE4-601_Aug14)	Aug-15
Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
RF generator R&S SMT-06	100005	04-Aug-99 (in house check Oct-13)	In house check: Oct-16
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585 S4206	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-14)	In house check: Oct-15

Calibrated by: **Name** Michael Weber **Function** Laboratory Technician

Signature

Approved by: **Katja Pokovic** **Technical Manager**

Issued: January 19, 2015

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.



Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 0108**

Glossary:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM x,y,z
N/A	not applicable or not measured

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- IEEE Std 1528-2013, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", June 2013
- IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005
- KDB 865664, "SAR Measurement Requirements for 100 MHz to 6 GHz"

Additional Documentation:

- DASY4/5 System Handbook

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- Measurement Conditions:* Further details are available from the Validation Report at the end of the certificate. All figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated.
- Antenna Parameters with TSL:* The dipole is mounted with the spacer to position its feed point exactly below the center marking of the flat phantom section, with the arms oriented parallel to the body axis.
- Feed Point Impedance and Return Loss:* These parameters are measured with the dipole positioned under the liquid filled phantom. The impedance stated is transformed from the measurement at the SMA connector to the feed point. The Return Loss ensures low reflected power. No uncertainty required.
- Electrical Delay:* One-way delay between the SMA connector and the antenna feed point. No uncertainty required.
- SAR measured:* SAR measured at the stated antenna input power.
- SAR normalized:* SAR as measured, normalized to an input power of 1 W at the antenna connector.
- SAR for nominal TSL parameters:* The measured TSL parameters are used to calculate the nominal SAR result.

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor $k=2$, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

Measurement Conditions

DASY system configuration, as far as not given on page 1.

DASY Version	DASY5	V52.8.8
Extrapolation	Advanced Extrapolation	
Phantom	Modular Flat Phantom	
Distance Dipole Center - TSL	15 mm	with Spacer
Zoom Scan Resolution	dx, dy, dz = 5 mm	
Frequency	750 MHz $\pm$ 1 MHz	

Head TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Head TSL parameters	22.0 °C	41.9	0.89 mho/m
Measured Head TSL parameters	(22.0 $\pm$ 0.2) °C	41.7 $\pm$ 6 %	0.91 mho/m $\pm$ 6 %
Head TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	----	----

SAR result with Head TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of Head TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	2.06 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	8.09 W/kg $\pm$ 17.0 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of Head TSL	condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	1.35 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	5.32 W/kg $\pm$ 16.5 % (k=2)

Body TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Body TSL parameters	22.0 °C	55.5	0.96 mho/m
Measured Body TSL parameters	(22.0 $\pm$ 0.2) °C	56.0 $\pm$ 6 %	0.99 mho/m $\pm$ 6 %
Body TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	----	----

SAR result with Body TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of Body TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	2.16 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	8.46 W/kg $\pm$ 17.0 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of Body TSL	condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	1.42 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	5.58 W/kg $\pm$ 16.5 % (k=2)

Appendix (Additional assessments outside the scope of SCS0108)

Antenna Parameters with Head TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	53.7 Ω - 1.4 j Ω
Return Loss	- 28.5 dB

Antenna Parameters with Body TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	48.3 Ω - 3.8 j Ω
Return Loss	- 27.5 dB

General Antenna Parameters and Design

Electrical Delay (one direction)	1.043 ns
----------------------------------	----------

After long term use with 100W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals. On some of the dipoles, small end caps are added to the dipole arms in order to improve matching when loaded according to the position as explained in the "Measurement Conditions" paragraph. The SAR data are not affected by this change. The overall dipole length is still according to the Standard.

No excessive force must be applied to the dipole arms, because they might bend or the soldered connections near the feedpoint may be damaged.

Additional EUT Data

Manufactured by	SPEAG
Manufactured on	January 21, 2009

DASY5 Validation Report for Head TSL

Date: 16.01.2015

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole 750 MHz; Type: D750V3; Serial: D750V3 - SN: 1003

Communication System: UID 0 - CW; Frequency: 750 MHz

Medium parameters used: $f = 750 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.91 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 41.7$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

DASY52 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3205; ConvF(6.44, 6.44, 6.44); Calibrated: 30.12.2014;
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 18.08.2014
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 4.9L; Type: QD000P49AA; Serial: 1001
- DASY52 52.8.8(1222); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7331)

Dipole Calibration for Head Tissue/Pin=250 mW, d=15mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:


Measurement grid: $dx=5\text{mm}$, $dy=5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 53.08 V/m; Power Drift = 0.00 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.05 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 2.06 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 1.35 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.41 W/kg




0 dB = 2.41 W/kg = 3.82 dBW/kg


Impedance Measurement Plot for Head TSL

16 Jan 2015 16:07:22
[CH1] S11 1 U FS 1: 53.666 Ω -1.3730 Δ 154.55 pF 750.000 000 MHz

*
Del
CA



Avg
16
H1d



DASY5 Validation Report for Body TSL

Date: 16.01.2015

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole 750 MHz; Type: D750V3; Serial: D750V3 - SN: 1003

Communication System: UID 0 - CW; Frequency: 750 MHz

Medium parameters used: $f = 750 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.99 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 56$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

DASY52 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3205; ConvF(6.21, 6.21, 6.21); Calibrated: 30.12.2014;
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 18.08.2014
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 4.9L; Type: QD000P49AA; Serial: 1001
- DASY52 52.8.8(1222); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7331)

Dipole Calibration for Body Tissue/Pin=250 mW, d=15mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:


Measurement grid: $dx=5\text{mm}$, $dy=5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 52.21 V/m; Power Drift = -0.00 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.16 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 2.16 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 1.42 W/kg


Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.52 W/kg



Impedance Measurement Plot for Body TSL

16 Jan 2015 13:37:35
CH1 S11 1 U FS 1: 48.268 Ω -3.7676 Ω 56.324 pF 750.000 000 MHz

*
De1
CA




Avg
16
H1d

CH2 S11 LOG 5 dB/REF -20 dB 1: -27.498 dB 750.000 000 MHz

CA

Avg
16
H1d



START 550.000 000 MHz STOP 950.000 000 MHz



Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)
 The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
 Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 0108**

Client **PC Test**

Certificate No: **D750V3-1046_Feb15**

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object **D750V3 - SN: 1046**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-05.v9**
Calibration procedure for dipole validation kits above 700 MHz

BN ✓
 3/6/2015

Calibration date: **February 19, 2015**

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).
 The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature $(22 \pm 3)^{\circ}\text{C}$ and humidity $< 70\%$.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter EPM-442A	GB37480704	07-Oct-14 (No. 217-02020)	Oct-15
Power sensor HP 8481A	US37292783	07-Oct-14 (No. 217-02020)	Oct-15
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092317	07-Oct-14 (No. 217-02021)	Oct-15
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: 5058 (20k)	03-Apr-14 (No. 217-01918)	Apr-15
Type-N mismatch combination	SN: 5047.2 / 06327	03-Apr-14 (No. 217-01921)	Apr-15
Reference Probe ES3DV3	SN: 3205	30-Dec-14 (No. ES3-3205_Dec14)	Dec-15
DAE4	SN: 601	18-Aug-14 (No. DAE4-601_Aug14)	Aug-15
Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
RF generator R&S SMT-06	100005	04-Aug-99 (in house check Oct-13)	In house check: Oct-16
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585 S4206	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-14)	In house check: Oct-15

	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Jeton Kastrati	Laboratory Technician	
Approved by:	Katja Pokovic	Technical Manager	

Issued: February 19, 2015

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.



Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 0108**

Glossary:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM x,y,z
N/A	not applicable or not measured

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- IEEE Std 1528-2013, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", June 2013
- IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005
- KDB 865664, "SAR Measurement Requirements for 100 MHz to 6 GHz"

Additional Documentation:

- DASY4/5 System Handbook

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- Measurement Conditions:** Further details are available from the Validation Report at the end of the certificate. All figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated.
- Antenna Parameters with TSL:** The dipole is mounted with the spacer to position its feed point exactly below the center marking of the flat phantom section, with the arms oriented parallel to the body axis.
- Feed Point Impedance and Return Loss:** These parameters are measured with the dipole positioned under the liquid filled phantom. The impedance stated is transformed from the measurement at the SMA connector to the feed point. The Return Loss ensures low reflected power. No uncertainty required.
- Electrical Delay:** One-way delay between the SMA connector and the antenna feed point. No uncertainty required.
- SAR measured:** SAR measured at the stated antenna input power.
- SAR normalized:** SAR as measured, normalized to an input power of 1 W at the antenna connector.
- SAR for nominal TSL parameters:** The measured TSL parameters are used to calculate the nominal SAR result.

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor $k=2$, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

Measurement Conditions

DASY system configuration, as far as not given on page 1.

DASY Version	DASY5	V52.8.8
Extrapolation	Advanced Extrapolation	
Phantom	Modular Flat Phantom	
Distance Dipole Center - TSL	15 mm	with Spacer
Zoom Scan Resolution	dx, dy, dz = 5 mm	
Frequency	750 MHz $\pm$ 1 MHz	

Head TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Head TSL parameters	22.0 °C	41.9	0.89 mho/m
Measured Head TSL parameters	(22.0 $\pm$ 0.2) °C	41.6 $\pm$ 6 %	0.90 mho/m $\pm$ 6 %
Head TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	----	----

SAR result with Head TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of Head TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	2.03 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	8.04 W/kg $\pm$ 17.0 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of Head TSL	condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	1.33 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	5.28 W/kg $\pm$ 16.5 % (k=2)

Body TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Body TSL parameters	22.0 °C	55.5	0.96 mho/m
Measured Body TSL parameters	(22.0 $\pm$ 0.2) °C	53.9 $\pm$ 6 %	0.98 mho/m $\pm$ 6 %
Body TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	----	----

SAR result with Body TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of Body TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	2.12 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	8.29 W/kg $\pm$ 17.0 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of Body TSL	condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	1.39 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	5.47 W/kg $\pm$ 16.5 % (k=2)

Appendix (Additional assessments outside the scope of SCS0108)

Antenna Parameters with Head TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	$55.8 \Omega + 1.5 j\Omega$
Return Loss	- 24.9 dB

Antenna Parameters with Body TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	$51.4 \Omega - 1.3 j\Omega$
Return Loss	- 34.5 dB

General Antenna Parameters and Design

Electrical Delay (one direction)	1.038 ns
----------------------------------	----------

After long term use with 100W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals. On some of the dipoles, small end caps are added to the dipole arms in order to improve matching when loaded according to the position as explained in the "Measurement Conditions" paragraph. The SAR data are not affected by this change. The overall dipole length is still according to the Standard.

No excessive force must be applied to the dipole arms, because they might bend or the soldered connections near the feedpoint may be damaged.

Additional EUT Data

Manufactured by	SPEAG
Manufactured on	September 02, 2011

DASY5 Validation Report for Head TSL

Date: 18.02.2015

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole 750 MHz; Type: D750V3; Serial: D750V3 - SN: 1046

Communication System: UID 0 - CW; Frequency: 750 MHz

Medium parameters used: $f = 750 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.9 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 41.6$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

DASY52 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3205; ConvF(6.44, 6.44, 6.44); Calibrated: 30.12.2014;
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 18.08.2014
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 4.9L; Type: QD000P49AA; Serial: 1001
- DASY52 52.8.8(1222); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7331)

Dipole Calibration for Head Tissue/ $P_{in}=250 \text{ mW}$, $d=15\text{mm}$ /Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:


Measurement grid: $dx=5\text{mm}$, $dy=5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 52.99 V/m ; Power Drift = 0.01 dB


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.02 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 2.03 W/kg ; SAR(10 g) = 1.33 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.37 W/kg



Impedance Measurement Plot for Head TSL



DASY5 Validation Report for Body TSL

Date: 19.02.2015

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole 750 MHz; Type: D750V3; Serial: D750V3 - SN: 1046

Communication System: UID 0 - CW; Frequency: 750 MHz

Medium parameters used: $f = 750 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.98 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 53.9$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

DASY52 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3205; ConvF(6.21, 6.21, 6.21); Calibrated: 30.12.2014;
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 18.08.2014
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 4.9L; Type: QD000P49AA; Serial: 1001
- DASY52 52.8.8(1222); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7331)

Dipole Calibration for Body Tissue/ $P_{in}=250 \text{ mW}$, $d=15\text{mm}$ /Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:



Measurement grid: $dx=5\text{mm}$, $dy=5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 52.00 V/m ; Power Drift = -0.04 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.10 W/kg


SAR(1 g) = 2.12 W/kg ; SAR(10 g) = 1.39 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.46 W/kg



0 dB = 2.46 W/kg = 3.91 dBW/kg

Impedance Measurement Plot for Body TSL





Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)
 The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
 Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 0108**

Client **PC Test**

Certificate No: **D835V2-4d119_Apr15**

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object **D835V2 - SN:4d119**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-05.v9**
 Calibration procedure for dipole validation kits above 700 MHz

Calibration date: **April 13, 2015**

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).
 The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter EPM-442A	GB37480704	07-Oct-14 (No. 217-02020)	Oct-15
Power sensor HP 8481A	US37292783	07-Oct-14 (No. 217-02020)	Oct-15
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092317	07-Oct-14 (No. 217-02021)	Oct-15
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: 5058 (20k)	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02131)	Mar-16
Type-N mismatch combination	SN: 5047.2 / 06327	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02134)	Mar-16
Reference Probe ES3DV3	SN: 3205	30-Dec-14 (No. ES3-3205_Dec14)	Dec-15
DAE4	SN: 601	18-Aug-14 (No. DAE4-601_Aug14)	Aug-15
Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
RF generator R&S SMT-06	100005	04-Aug-99 (in house check Oct-13)	In house check: Oct-16
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585 S4206	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-14)	In house check: Oct-15

Calibrated by: **Israe Elnaouq** **Laboratory Technician**

Signature

Approved by: **Katja Pokovic** **Technical Manager**

Issued: April 13, 2015

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.



Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 0108**

Glossary:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM x,y,z
N/A	not applicable or not measured

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- IEEE Std 1528-2013, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", June 2013
- IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005
- KDB 865664, "SAR Measurement Requirements for 100 MHz to 6 GHz"

Additional Documentation:

- DASY4/5 System Handbook

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- Measurement Conditions:** Further details are available from the Validation Report at the end of the certificate. All figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated.
- Antenna Parameters with TSL:** The dipole is mounted with the spacer to position its feed point exactly below the center marking of the flat phantom section, with the arms oriented parallel to the body axis.
- Feed Point Impedance and Return Loss:** These parameters are measured with the dipole positioned under the liquid filled phantom. The impedance stated is transformed from the measurement at the SMA connector to the feed point. The Return Loss ensures low reflected power. No uncertainty required.
- Electrical Delay:** One-way delay between the SMA connector and the antenna feed point. No uncertainty required.
- SAR measured:** SAR measured at the stated antenna input power.
- SAR normalized:** SAR as measured, normalized to an input power of 1 W at the antenna connector.
- SAR for nominal TSL parameters:** The measured TSL parameters are used to calculate the nominal SAR result.

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor $k=2$, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

Measurement Conditions

DASY system configuration, as far as not given on page 1.

DASY Version	DASY5	V52.8.8
Extrapolation	Advanced Extrapolation	
Phantom	Modular Flat Phantom	
Distance Dipole Center - TSL	15 mm	with Spacer
Zoom Scan Resolution	dx, dy, dz = 5 mm	
Frequency	835 MHz $\pm$ 1 MHz	

Head TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Head TSL parameters	22.0 °C	41.5	0.90 mho/m
Measured Head TSL parameters	(22.0 $\pm$ 0.2) °C	40.9 $\pm$ 6 %	0.94 mho/m $\pm$ 6 %
Head TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	----	----

SAR result with Head TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of Head TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	2.43 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	9.38 W/kg $\pm$ 17.0 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of Head TSL	condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	1.57 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	6.11 W/kg $\pm$ 16.5 % (k=2)

Body TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Body TSL parameters	22.0 °C	55.2	0.97 mho/m
Measured Body TSL parameters	(22.0 $\pm$ 0.2) °C	55.4 $\pm$ 6 %	1.01 mho/m $\pm$ 6 %
Body TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	----	----

SAR result with Body TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of Body TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	2.37 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	9.20 W/kg $\pm$ 17.0 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of Body TSL	condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	1.55 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	6.06 W/kg $\pm$ 16.5 % (k=2)

Appendix (Additional assessments outside the scope of SCS 0108)

Antenna Parameters with Head TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	50.2 Ω - 2.2 j Ω
Return Loss	- 33.3 dB

Antenna Parameters with Body TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	47.7 Ω - 4.9 j Ω
Return Loss	- 25.1 dB

General Antenna Parameters and Design

Electrical Delay (one direction)	1.386 ns
----------------------------------	----------

After long term use with 100W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals. On some of the dipoles, small end caps are added to the dipole arms in order to improve matching when loaded according to the position as explained in the "Measurement Conditions" paragraph. The SAR data are not affected by this change. The overall dipole length is still according to the Standard.

No excessive force must be applied to the dipole arms, because they might bend or the soldered connections near the feedpoint may be damaged.

Additional EUT Data

Manufactured by	SPEAG
Manufactured on	June 29, 2010

DASY5 Validation Report for Head TSL

Date: 13.04.2015

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole 835 MHz; Type: D835V2; Serial: D835V2 - SN:4d119

Communication System: UID 0 - CW; Frequency: 835 MHz

Medium parameters used: $f = 835 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.94 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 40.9$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

DASY52 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3205; ConvF(6.2, 6.2, 6.2); Calibrated: 30.12.2014;
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 18.08.2014
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 4.9L; Type: QD000P49AA; Serial: 1001
- DASY52 52.8.8(1222); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7331)

Dipole Calibration for Head Tissue/Pin=250 mW, d=15mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:


Measurement grid: $dx=5\text{mm}$, $dy=5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 56.77 V/m ; Power Drift = 0.01 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.64 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 2.43 W/kg ; SAR(10 g) = 1.57 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.85 W/kg



0 dB = 2.85 W/kg = 4.55 dBW/kg


Impedance Measurement Plot for Head TSL

CH1 S11 1 U FS 13 Apr 2015 13:42:59
 2: 50.213 Ω -2.1602 Ω 88.237 pF 835.000 000 MHz

*
 Del
 CA

Avg
 16

H1d




CH2 S11 LOG 5 dB/REF -20 dB 2: -33.275 dB 835.000 000 MHz

CA

Avg
 16

H1d



DASY5 Validation Report for Body TSL

Date: 13.04.2015

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole 835 MHz; Type: D835V2; Serial: D835V2 - SN:4d119

Communication System: UID 0 - CW; Frequency: 835 MHz

Medium parameters used: $f = 835 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.01 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 55.4$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

DASY52 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3205; ConvF(6.17, 6.17, 6.17); Calibrated: 30.12.2014;
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 18.08.2014
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 4.9L; Type: QD000P49AA; Serial: 1001
- DASY52 52.8.8(1222); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7331)

Dipole Calibration for Body Tissue/Pin=250 mW, $d=15\text{mm}$ /Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:


Measurement grid: $dx=5\text{mm}$, $dy=5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 54.44 V/m; Power Drift = -0.06 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.52 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 2.37 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 1.55 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.77 W/kg



0 dB = 2.77 W/kg = 4.42 dBW/kg



Impedance Measurement Plot for Body TSL

CH1 S11 1 U FS 13 Apr 2015 10:53:33
 2: 47.658 Ω -4.9043 Ω 38.865 pF 835.000 000 MHz

*
 Del
 CA

Avg
 16

H1d





Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)
 The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
 Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 0108**

Client **PC Test**

Certificate No: **D835V2-4d132_Jan15**

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object **D835V2 - SN: 4d132**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-05.v9**
Calibration procedure for dipole validation kits above 700 MHz

CC
 2/3/15

Calibration date: **January 16, 2015**

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).
 The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature $(22 \pm 3)^\circ\text{C}$ and humidity $< 70\%$.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter EPM-442A	GB37480704	07-Oct-14 (No. 217-02020)	Oct-15
Power sensor HP 8481A	US37292783	07-Oct-14 (No. 217-02020)	Oct-15
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092317	07-Oct-14 (No. 217-02021)	Oct-15
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: 5058 (20k)	03-Apr-14 (No. 217-01918)	Apr-15
Type-N mismatch combination	SN: 5047.2 / 06327	03-Apr-14 (No. 217-01921)	Apr-15
Reference Probe ES3DV3	SN: 3205	30-Dec-14 (No. ES3-3205_Dec14)	Dec-15
DAE4	SN: 601	18-Aug-14 (No. DAE4-601_Aug14)	Aug-15
Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
RF generator R&S SMT-06	100005	04-Aug-99 (in house check Oct-13)	In house check: Oct-16
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585 S4206	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-14)	In house check: Oct-15

Calibrated by: **Michael Weber** Function: **Laboratory Technician**

Signature

Approved by: **Katja Pokovic** Technical Manager

Issued: January 19, 2015

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.



Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

Accreditation No.: **SCS 0108**

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Glossary:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM x,y,z
N/A	not applicable or not measured

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- IEEE Std 1528-2013, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", June 2013
- IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005
- KDB 865664, "SAR Measurement Requirements for 100 MHz to 6 GHz"

Additional Documentation:

- DASY4/5 System Handbook

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- Measurement Conditions:** Further details are available from the Validation Report at the end of the certificate. All figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated.
- Antenna Parameters with TSL:** The dipole is mounted with the spacer to position its feed point exactly below the center marking of the flat phantom section, with the arms oriented parallel to the body axis.
- Feed Point Impedance and Return Loss:** These parameters are measured with the dipole positioned under the liquid filled phantom. The impedance stated is transformed from the measurement at the SMA connector to the feed point. The Return Loss ensures low reflected power. No uncertainty required.
- Electrical Delay:** One-way delay between the SMA connector and the antenna feed point. No uncertainty required.
- SAR measured:** SAR measured at the stated antenna input power.
- SAR normalized:** SAR as measured, normalized to an input power of 1 W at the antenna connector.
- SAR for nominal TSL parameters:** The measured TSL parameters are used to calculate the nominal SAR result.

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor $k=2$, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

Measurement Conditions

DASY system configuration, as far as not given on page 1.

DASY Version	DASY5	V52.8.8
Extrapolation	Advanced Extrapolation	
Phantom	Modular Flat Phantom	
Distance Dipole Center - TSL	15 mm	with Spacer
Zoom Scan Resolution	dx, dy, dz = 5 mm	
Frequency	835 MHz $\pm$ 1 MHz	

Head TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Head TSL parameters	22.0 °C	41.5	0.90 mho/m
Measured Head TSL parameters	(22.0 $\pm$ 0.2) °C	41.5 $\pm$ 6 %	0.93 mho/m $\pm$ 6 %
Head TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	----	----

SAR result with Head TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of Head TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	2.37 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	9.25 W/kg $\pm$ 17.0 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of Head TSL	condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	1.54 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	6.04 W/kg $\pm$ 16.5 % (k=2)

Body TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Body TSL parameters	22.0 °C	55.2	0.97 mho/m
Measured Body TSL parameters	(22.0 $\pm$ 0.2) °C	55.8 $\pm$ 6 %	1.01 mho/m $\pm$ 6 %
Body TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	----	----

SAR result with Body TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of Body TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	2.35 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	9.14 W/kg $\pm$ 17.0 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of Body TSL	condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	1.53 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	5.98 W/kg $\pm$ 16.5 % (k=2)

Appendix (Additional assessments outside the scope of SCS0108)

Antenna Parameters with Head TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	51.8 Ω - 2.3 j Ω
Return Loss	- 30.8 dB

Antenna Parameters with Body TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	47.5 Ω - 4.3 j Ω
Return Loss	- 25.9 dB

General Antenna Parameters and Design

Electrical Delay (one direction)	1.385 ns
----------------------------------	----------

After long term use with 100W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals. On some of the dipoles, small end caps are added to the dipole arms in order to improve matching when loaded according to the position as explained in the "Measurement Conditions" paragraph. The SAR data are not affected by this change. The overall dipole length is still according to the Standard.

No excessive force must be applied to the dipole arms, because they might bend or the soldered connections near the feedpoint may be damaged.

Additional EUT Data

Manufactured by	SPEAG
Manufactured on	July 22, 2011

DASY5 Validation Report for Head TSL

Date: 16.01.2015

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole 835 MHz; Type: D835V2; Serial: D835V2 - SN: 4d132

Communication System: UID 0 - CW; Frequency: 835 MHz

Medium parameters used: $f = 835 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.93 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 41.5$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

DASY52 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3205; ConvF(6.2, 6.2, 6.2); Calibrated: 30.12.2014;
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 18.08.2014
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 4.9L; Type: QD000P49AA; Serial: 1001
- DASY52 52.8.8(1222); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7331)

Dipole Calibration for Head Tissue/Pin=250 mW, d=15mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:


Measurement grid: $dx=5\text{mm}$, $dy=5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 56.27 V/m; Power Drift = -0.00 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.51 W/kg


SAR(1 g) = 2.37 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 1.54 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.77 W/kg



0 dB = 2.77 W/kg = 4.42 dBW/kg

Impedance Measurement Plot for Head TSL



DASY5 Validation Report for Body TSL

Date: 16.01.2015

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole 835 MHz; Type: D835V2; Serial: D835V2 - SN: 4d132

Communication System: UID 0 - CW; Frequency: 835 MHz

Medium parameters used: $f = 835 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.01 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 55.8$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

DASY52 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3205; ConvF(6.17, 6.17, 6.17); Calibrated: 30.12.2014;
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 18.08.2014
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 4.9L; Type: QD000P49AA; Serial: 1001
- DASY52 52.8.8(1222); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7331)

Dipole Calibration for Body Tissue/Pin=250 mW, d=15mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:


Measurement grid: $dx=5\text{mm}$, $dy=5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 54.27 V/m; Power Drift = -0.03 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.47 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 2.35 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 1.53 W/kg


Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.75 W/kg



Impedance Measurement Plot for Body TSL

16 Jan 2015 13:51:19
 CH1 S11 1 U FS 1: 47.498 Ω -4.2520 Ω 44.828 pF 835.000 000 MHz

*
 Del
 CA




Avg
 16
 H1d

CH2 S11 LOG 5 dB/REF -20 dB 1:-25.931 dB 835.000 000 MHz

CA

Avg
 16

H1d





Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

Accreditation No.: **SCS 0108**

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
 Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Client **PC Test**

Certificate No: **D1750V2-1051_Apr15**

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object **D1750V2 - SN:1051**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-05.v9**
Calibration procedure for dipole validation kits above 700 MHz

PM ✓
 4/29/15

Calibration date: **April 15, 2015**

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).
 The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature $(22 \pm 3)^{\circ}\text{C}$ and humidity $< 70\%$.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter EPM-442A	GB37480704	07-Oct-14 (No. 217-02020)	Oct-15
Power sensor HP 8481A	US37292783	07-Oct-14 (No. 217-02020)	Oct-15
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092317	07-Oct-14 (No. 217-02021)	Oct-15
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: 5058 (20k)	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02131)	Mar-16
Type-N mismatch combination	SN: 5047.2 / 06327	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02134)	Mar-16
Reference Probe ES3DV3	SN: 3205	30-Dec-14 (No. ES3-3205_Dec14)	Dec-15
DAE4	SN: 601	18-Aug-14 (No. DAE4-601_Aug14)	Aug-15
Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
RF generator R&S SMT-06	100005	04-Aug-99 (in house check Oct-13)	In house check: Oct-16
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585 S4206	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-14)	In house check: Oct-15

Calibrated by: **Jeton Kastrati** **Laboratory Technician**

Signature

Approved by: **Katja Pokovic** **Technical Manager**

Issued: April 15, 2015

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.



Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 0108**

Glossary:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM x,y,z
N/A	not applicable or not measured

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- IEEE Std 1528-2013, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", June 2013
- IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005
- KDB 865664, "SAR Measurement Requirements for 100 MHz to 6 GHz"

Additional Documentation:

- DASY4/5 System Handbook

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- Measurement Conditions:* Further details are available from the Validation Report at the end of the certificate. All figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated.
- Antenna Parameters with TSL:* The dipole is mounted with the spacer to position its feed point exactly below the center marking of the flat phantom section, with the arms oriented parallel to the body axis.
- Feed Point Impedance and Return Loss:* These parameters are measured with the dipole positioned under the liquid filled phantom. The impedance stated is transformed from the measurement at the SMA connector to the feed point. The Return Loss ensures low reflected power. No uncertainty required.
- Electrical Delay:* One-way delay between the SMA connector and the antenna feed point. No uncertainty required.
- SAR measured:* SAR measured at the stated antenna input power.
- SAR normalized:* SAR as measured, normalized to an input power of 1 W at the antenna connector.
- SAR for nominal TSL parameters:* The measured TSL parameters are used to calculate the nominal SAR result.

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor $k=2$, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

Measurement Conditions

DASY system configuration, as far as not given on page 1.

DASY Version	DASY5	V52.8.8
Extrapolation	Advanced Extrapolation	
Phantom	Modular Flat Phantom	
Distance Dipole Center - TSL	10 mm	with Spacer
Zoom Scan Resolution	dx, dy, dz = 5 mm	
Frequency	1750 MHz $\pm$ 1 MHz	

Head TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Head TSL parameters	22.0 °C	40.1	1.37 mho/m
Measured Head TSL parameters	(22.0 $\pm$ 0.2) °C	38.9 $\pm$ 6 %	1.35 mho/m $\pm$ 6 %
Head TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	----	----

SAR result with Head TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of Head TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	9.04 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	36.2 W/kg $\pm$ 17.0 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of Head TSL	condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	4.80 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	19.2 W/kg $\pm$ 16.5 % (k=2)

Body TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Body TSL parameters	22.0 °C	53.4	1.49 mho/m
Measured Body TSL parameters	(22.0 $\pm$ 0.2) °C	51.5 $\pm$ 6 %	1.48 mho/m $\pm$ 6 %
Body TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	----	----

SAR result with Body TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of Body TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	9.32 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	37.1 W/kg $\pm$ 17.0 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of Body TSL	condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	5.01 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	20.0 W/kg $\pm$ 16.5 % (k=2)

Appendix (Additional assessments outside the scope of SCS 0108)

Antenna Parameters with Head TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	51.3 Ω - 0.2 j Ω
Return Loss	- 37.5 dB

Antenna Parameters with Body TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	46.9 Ω + 0.3 j Ω
Return Loss	- 29.9 dB

General Antenna Parameters and Design

Electrical Delay (one direction)	1.221 ns
----------------------------------	----------

After long term use with 100W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals. On some of the dipoles, small end caps are added to the dipole arms in order to improve matching when loaded according to the position as explained in the "Measurement Conditions" paragraph. The SAR data are not affected by this change. The overall dipole length is still according to the Standard.

No excessive force must be applied to the dipole arms, because they might bend or the soldered connections near the feedpoint may be damaged.

Additional EUT Data

Manufactured by	SPEAG
Manufactured on	February 19, 2010

DASY5 Validation Report for Head TSL

Date: 15.04.2015

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole 1750 MHz; Type: D1750V2; Serial: D1750V2 - SN:1051

Communication System: UID 0 - CW; Frequency: 1750 MHz

Medium parameters used: $f = 1750$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.35$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 38.9$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

DASY52 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3205; ConvF(5.2, 5.2, 5.2); Calibrated: 30.12.2014;
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 18.08.2014
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 5.0 (front); Type: QD000P50AA; Serial: 1001
- DASY52 52.8.8(1222); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7331)

Dipole Calibration for Head Tissue/Pin=250 mW, d=10mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:



Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 94.99 V/m; Power Drift = 0.06 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 16.3 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 9.04 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 4.8 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 11.5 W/kg




0 dB = 11.5 W/kg = 10.61 dBW/kg

Impedance Measurement Plot for Head TSL

CH1 S11 1 U FS 15 Apr 2015 12:25:31
 3: 51.330 Ω -248.05 m Ω 365.65 pF 1 750.000 000 MHz

*
 Del
 C Δ



Avg
 15


H1d

CH2 S11 LOG 5 dB/REF -20 dB 3:-37.470 dB 1 750.000 000 MHz

C Δ

Avg
 15

H1d



DASY5 Validation Report for Body TSL

Date: 15.04.2015

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole 1750 MHz; Type: D1750V2; Serial: D1750V2 - SN:1051

Communication System: UID 0 - CW; Frequency: 1750 MHz

Medium parameters used: $f = 1750$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.48$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 51.5$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

DASY52 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3205; ConvF(4.88, 4.88, 4.88); Calibrated: 30.12.2014;
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 18.08.2014
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 5.0 (back); Type: QD000P50AA; Serial: 1002
- DASY52 52.8.8(1222); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7331)

Dipole Calibration for Body Tissue/Pin=250 mW, d=10mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:



Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 92.87 V/m; Power Drift = 0.01 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 16.0 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 9.32 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 5.01 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 11.7 W/kg




0 dB = 11.7 W/kg = 10.68 dBW/kg

Impedance Measurement Plot for Body TSL


15 Apr 2015 12:23:57
 [CH1] S11 1 U FS 3: 46.930 Ω 0.3242 Ω 29.486 pH 1 750.000 000 MHz

*
 Del
 Ca
 Avg
 16
 H1 d



CH2 S11 LOG 5 dB/REF -20 dB 3:-29.939 dB 1 750.000 000 MHz

Ca
 Avg
 16
 H1 d





Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)
 The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
 Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 0108**

Client **PC Test**

Certificate No: **D1765V2-1008_May15**

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object **D1765V2 - SN: 1008**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-05.v9**
Calibration procedure for dipole validation kits above 700 MHz

CCV
 5/28/15

Calibration date: **May 13, 2015**

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).
 The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter EPM-442A	GB37480704	07-Oct-14 (No. 217-02020)	Oct-15
Power sensor HP 8481A	US37292783	07-Oct-14 (No. 217-02020)	Oct-15
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092317	07-Oct-14 (No. 217-02021)	Oct-15
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: 5058 (20k)	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02131)	Mar-16
Type-N mismatch combination	SN: 5047.2 / 06327	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02134)	Mar-16
Reference Probe ES3DV3	SN: 3205	30-Dec-14 (No. ES3-3205_Dec14)	Dec-15
DAE4	SN: 601	18-Aug-14 (No. DAE4-601_Aug14)	Aug-15
Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
RF generator R&S SMT-06	100005	04-Aug-99 (in house check Oct-13)	In house check: Oct-16
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585 S4206	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-14)	In house check: Oct-15

Calibrated by: **Name** **Michael Weber** **Function** **Laboratory Technician**

Signature

M. Weber

Approved by: **Katja Pokovic** **Technical Manager**

Katja Pokovic

Issued: May 15, 2015

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.



Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 0108**

Glossary:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM x,y,z
N/A	not applicable or not measured

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- IEEE Std 1528-2013, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", June 2013
- IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005
- KDB 865664, "SAR Measurement Requirements for 100 MHz to 6 GHz"

Additional Documentation:

- DASY4/5 System Handbook

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- Measurement Conditions:** Further details are available from the Validation Report at the end of the certificate. All figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated.
- Antenna Parameters with TSL:** The dipole is mounted with the spacer to position its feed point exactly below the center marking of the flat phantom section, with the arms oriented parallel to the body axis.
- Feed Point Impedance and Return Loss:** These parameters are measured with the dipole positioned under the liquid filled phantom. The impedance stated is transformed from the measurement at the SMA connector to the feed point. The Return Loss ensures low reflected power. No uncertainty required.
- Electrical Delay:** One-way delay between the SMA connector and the antenna feed point. No uncertainty required.
- SAR measured:** SAR measured at the stated antenna input power.
- SAR normalized:** SAR as measured, normalized to an input power of 1 W at the antenna connector.
- SAR for nominal TSL parameters:** The measured TSL parameters are used to calculate the nominal SAR result.

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor $k=2$, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

Measurement Conditions

DASY system configuration, as far as not given on page 1.

DASY Version	DASY5	V52.8.8
Extrapolation	Advanced Extrapolation	
Phantom	Modular Flat Phantom	
Distance Dipole Center - TSL	10 mm	with Spacer
Zoom Scan Resolution	dx, dy, dz = 5 mm	
Frequency	1750 MHz $\pm$ 1 MHz	

Head TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Head TSL parameters	22.0 °C	40.1	1.38 mho/m
Measured Head TSL parameters	(22.0 $\pm$ 0.2) °C	39.0 $\pm$ 6 %	1.37 mho/m $\pm$ 6 %
Head TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	----	----

SAR result with Head TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of Head TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	9.45 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	37.7 W/kg $\pm$ 17.0 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of Head TSL	condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	5.02 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	20.1 W/kg $\pm$ 16.5 % (k=2)

Body TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Body TSL parameters	22.0 °C	53.4	1.50 mho/m
Measured Body TSL parameters	(22.0 $\pm$ 0.2) °C	51.5 $\pm$ 6 %	1.49 mho/m $\pm$ 6 %
Body TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	----	----

SAR result with Body TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of Body TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	9.55 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	38.0 W/kg $\pm$ 17.0 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of Body TSL	condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	5.12 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	20.4 W/kg $\pm$ 16.5 % (k=2)

Appendix (Additional assessments outside the scope of SCS 0108)

Antenna Parameters with Head TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	48.5 Ω - 4.6 j Ω
Return Loss	- 26.2 dB

Antenna Parameters with Body TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	44.8 Ω - 5.3 j Ω
Return Loss	- 22.1 dB

General Antenna Parameters and Design

Electrical Delay (one direction)	1.211 ns
----------------------------------	----------

After long term use with 100W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals. On some of the dipoles, small end caps are added to the dipole arms in order to improve matching when loaded according to the position as explained in the "Measurement Conditions" paragraph. The SAR data are not affected by this change. The overall dipole length is still according to the Standard.

No excessive force must be applied to the dipole arms, because they might bend or the soldered connections near the feedpoint may be damaged.

Additional EUT Data

Manufactured by	SPEAG
Manufactured on	October 06, 2005

DASY5 Validation Report for Head TSL

Date: 13.05.2015

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole 1765 MHz; Type: D1765V2; Serial: D1765V2 - SN: 1008

Communication System: UID 0 - CW; Frequency: 1750 MHz

Medium parameters used: $f = 1750$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.37$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 39$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2007)

DASY52 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3205; ConvF(5.2, 5.2, 5.2); Calibrated: 30.12.2014;
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 18.08.2014
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 5.0 (front); Type: QD000P50AA; Serial: 1001
- DASY52 52.8.8(1222); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7331)

Dipole Calibration for Head Tissue/Pin=250 mW, d=10mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:



Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 96.56 V/m; Power Drift = 0.00 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 17.0 W/kg


SAR(1 g) = 9.45 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 5.02 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 11.9 W/kg



0 dB = 11.9 W/kg = 10.76 dBW/kg

Impedance Measurement Plot for Head TSL



DASY5 Validation Report for Body TSL

Date: 13.05.2015

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole 1765 MHz; Type: D1765V2; Serial: D1765V2 - SN: 1008

Communication System: UID 0 - CW; Frequency: 1750 MHz

Medium parameters used: $f = 1750$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.49$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 51.5$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2007)

DASY52 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3205; ConvF(4.88, 4.88, 4.88); Calibrated: 30.12.2014;
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 18.08.2014
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 5.0 (back); Type: QD000P50AA; Serial: 1002
- DASY52 52.8.8(1222); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7331)

Dipole Calibration for Body Tissue/Pin=250 mW, d=10mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:



Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 93.70 V/m; Power Drift = -0.00 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 16.5 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 9.55 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 5.12 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 12.0 W/kg




0 dB = 12.0 W/kg = 10.79 dBW/kg

Impedance Measurement Plot for Body TSL

13 May 2015 09:57:07
 [CH1] S11 1 U FS 1: 44.771 Ω -5.3477 Ω 17.007 pF 1 750.000 000 MHz

*
 Del
 CA



Av9
 16

H1d


CH2 S11 LOG 5 dB/REF -20 dB 1:-22.073 dB 1 750.000 000 MHz

Del

CA

Av9
 16

H1d



START 1 550.000 000 MHz

STOP 1 950.000 000 MHz