Qualcomm Technologies, Inc.

Samsung portable handset (FCC ID: A3LSMG986U) RF Exposure Compliance Test Report

(Part 2: Test Under Dynamic Transmission Condition)

80-W5681-4 Rev. B

January 15, 2020

All Qualcomm products mentioned herein are products of Qualcomm Technologies, Inc. and/or its subsidiaries. Qualcomm Reference Design is a program of Qualcomm Technologies, Inc. and/or its subsidiaries.

Qualcomm is a trademark of Qualcomm Incorporated, registered in the United States and other countries. Other product and brand names may be trademarks or registered trademarks of their respective owners.

This technical data may be subject to U.S. and international export, re-export, or transfer (“export”) laws. Diversion contrary to U.S. and international law is strictly prohibited.

Qualcomm Technologies, Inc.
5775 Morehouse Drive
San Diego, CA 92121
U.S.A.

© 2020 Qualcomm Technologies, Inc. and/or its subsidiaries. All rights reserved.

Revision history

Revision	Date	Description
A	January 3, 2020	Initial release
B	January 15, 2020	FCC ID revised (A3LSMG986U) Editorial changes for consistency between Part 1 and Part 2 reports. Added 2300MHz SAR system validation result in Appendix C. Revised Section 3.2.7 to cover SAR exposure switch in different time windows.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

Contents

1 Introduction 9

2 Tx Varying Transmission Test Cases and Test Proposal 10

3 SAR Time Averaging Validation Test Procedures 13

3.1 Test sequence determination for validation 13
3.2 Test configuration selection criteria for validating Smart Transmit feature 13
3.2.1 Test configuration selection for time-varying Tx power transmission 13
3.2.2 Test configuration selection for change in call 14
3.2.3 Test configuration selection for change in technology/band 14
3.2.4 Test configuration selection for change in antenna 15
3.2.5 Test configuration selection for change in DSI 15
3.2.6 Test configuration selection for change in time window 15
3.2.7 Test configuration selection for SAR exposure switching 15
3.3 Test procedures for conducted power measurements 16
3.3.1 Time-varying Tx power transmission scenario 16
3.3.2 Change in call scenario 18
3.3.3 Change in technology and band 19
3.3.4 Change in antenna 20
3.3.5 Change in DSI 20
3.3.6 Change in time window 20
3.3.7 SAR exposure switching 22
3.4 Test procedure for time-varying SAR measurements 23

4 PD Time Averaging Validation Test Procedures 25

4.1 Test sequence for validation in mmW NR transmission 25
4.2 Test configuration selection criteria for validating Smart Transmit feature 25
4.2.1 Test configuration selection for time-varying Tx power transmission 25
4.2.2 Test configuration selection for change in antenna configuration (beam) 25
4.2.3 Test configuration selection for SAR vs. PD exposure switch during transmission 25
4.3 Test procedures for mmW radiated power measurements 26
4.3.1 Time-varying Tx power scenario 26
4.3.2 Switch in SAR vs. PD exposure during transmission 27
4.3.3 Change in antenna configuration (beam) 29
4.4 Test procedure for time-varying PD measurements 31

5 Test Configurations 33

5.1 WWAN (sub-6) transmission 33
5.2 LTE + mmW NR transmission 35

6 Conducted Power Test Results for Sub-6 Smart Transmit Feature Validation 37

6.1 Measurement setup 37
6.2 P_{limit} and P_{max} measurement results 40
6.3 Time-varying Tx power measurement results 41
6.3.1 LTE Band 30 (test case 1 in Table 5-2) 43

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

6.3.2 LTE Band 48 (test case 2 in Table 5-2) 45
6.3.3 WCDMA Band 2 (test case 3 in Table 5-2) 47
6.3.4 WCDMA Band 4 (test case 4 in Table 5-2) 49
6.3.5 CDMA/EVDO BC1 (test case 5 in Table 5-2) 51
6.3.6 GSM1900 (test case 6 in Table 5-2) 53
6.3.7 Sub6 NR Band n66 (test case 7 in Table 5-2) 55
6.3.8 Sub6 NR Band n2 (test case 8 in Table 5-2) 57
6.4 Change in Call Test Results (test case 9 in Table 5-2) 59
6.5 Change in technology/band test results (test case 10 in Table 5-2) 61
6.6 Change in DSI test results (test case 11 in Table 5-2) 63
6.7 Change in Time window / antenna switch test results (test case 12 in Table 5-2) 65
6.7.1 Test case 1: transition from LTE B30 to LTE B48 (i.e., 100s to 60s), then back to LTE B30 65
6.7.2 Test case 2: transition from LTE B48 to LTE B30 (i.e., 60s to 100s), then back to LTE B48 67
6.8 Switch in SAR exposure test results (test case 13 in Table 5-2) 69

7 SAR Test Results for Sub-6 Smart Transmit Feature Validation 71

7.1 Measurement setup 71
7.2 SAR measurement results for time-varying Tx power transmission scenario 72
7.2.1 LTE Band 30 SAR test results 73
7.2.2 LTE Band 48 SAR test results 75
7.2.3 WCDMA Band 2 SAR test results 77
7.2.4 WCDMA Band 4 SAR test results 79
7.2.5 CDMA/EVDO BC1 SAR test results 81
7.2.6 GSM1900 SAR test results 83
7.2.7 Sub6 NR Band n66 SAR test results 85
7.2.8 Sub6 NR Band n2 SAR test results 87

8 Radiated Power Test Results for mmW Smart Transmit Feature Validation 89

8.1 Measurement Setup 89
8.2 mmW NR radiated power test results 91
8.2.1 Maximum Tx power test results for n261 94
8.2.2 Maximum Tx power test results for n260 95
8.2.3 Switch in SAR vs. PD exposure test results for n261 97
8.2.4 Switch in SAR vs. PD exposure test results for n260 99
8.2.5 Change in Beam test results for n261 100
8.2.6 Change in Beam test results for n260 102

9 PD Test Results for mmW Smart Transmit Feature Validation 104

9.1 Measurement setup 104
9.2 PD measurement results for maximum power transmission scenario 105
9.2.1 PD test results for n261 106
9.2.2 PD test results for n260 107

10 Conclusions 109

A Test Sequences 110

B Test Procedures for sub6 NR + LTE Radio 113

B.1 Time-varying Tx power test for sub6 NR in NSA mode 113
B.2 Switch in SAR exposure between LTE vs. Sub6 NR during transmission 113

C cDASY6 System Validation 115

C.1 SAR system verification and validation 115
C.2 Power density measurement system verification 133

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

C.2.1 Power density probe 133
C.2.2 Power density system verification 133

D SPEAG Certificates of cDASY6 SAR Probe, DAE, Dipole, mmW Probe and mmW Verification Source 136

E Test Setup Photos 137

Figures

Figure 3-1 100s running average illustration 17
Figure 6-1 Conducted power measurement setup 39
Figure 7-1 SAR measurement setup 71
Figure 8-1 mmW NR radiated power measurement setup (see Appendix E for missing figures) 90
Figure 8-2: 4cm2-averaged power density distribution measured at input.power.limit of 8.4dBm on the back surface for n261 beam 0 92
Figure 8-3: 4cm2-averaged power density distribution measured at target power of 8.0dBm on the back surface for n260 beam 0 93
Figure 9-1 Worst-surface of EUT positioned facing up for the mmW beam being tested (see Appendix E for missing figures) 104
Figure 9-2 PD measurement setup 105
Figure A-1 Test sequence 1 waveform 110
Figure C-1 SAR measurement system verification plot for 1800MHz performed on 11/18/2019. Input power = 10.0mW. 118
Figure C-2 SAR measurement system verification plot for 1800MHz performed on 12/1/2019. Input power = 10.0mW. 119
Figure C-3 SAR measurement system verification plot for 2450MHz performed on 12/2/2019. Input power = 10.0mW. 120
Figure C-4 SAR measurement system verification plot for 1800MHz performed on 12/5/2019. Input power = 10.0mW. 121
Figure C-5 SAR measurement system verification plot for 3700MHz performed on 12/11/2019. Input power = 10.0mW. 122
Figure C-6 SAR measurement system verification plot for 1800MHz performed on 12/13/2019. Input power = 10.0mW. 123
Figure C-7 SAR measurement system verification plot for 2450MHz performed on 12/14/2019. Input power = 10.0mW. 124
Figure C-8 SAR measurement system verification plot for 3700MHz performed on 12/14/2019. Input power = 10.0mW. 125
Figure C-9 SAR measurement system verification plot for 1800MHz performed on 12/15/2019. Input power = 10.0mW. 126
Figure C-10 SAR measurement system verification plot for 1800MHz performed on 12/19/2019. Input power = 10.0mW. 127
Figure C-11 SAR measurement system verification plot for 1800MHz performed on 12/20/2019. Input power = 10.0mW. 128
Figure C-12 SAR measurement system verification plot for 1800MHz performed on 12/26/2019. Input power = 10mW. 130
Figure C-13 SAR measurement system verification plot for 3700MHz performed on 01/08/2020. Input power = 10.0mW. 131
Figure C-14 SAR measurement system verification plot for 2300MHz performed on 01/13/2020. Input power = 10.0mW. 132
Figure C-15 4cm^{2}PD for source validation on 12/10/2019 134

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

Figure C-16 1cm^2 PD distributions: (a) Qualcomm validation performed on 12/10/2019; (b) SPEAG 5G verification source 30GHz – SN:1040 calibration plot for 1cm^2 averaged PD. 135

Tables

Table 5-1: P_{limit} for supported technologies and bands (P_{limit} in EFS file) 33
Table 5-2: Radio configurations selected for Part 2 test.....34
Table 5-3 Selections for LTE + mmW NR validation measurements 35
Table 5-4: Test configuration for LTE + mmW NR validation 36
Table 6-1: Measured P_{limit} and P_{max} of selected radio configurations 40
Table 8-1: Worst-case 1gSAR, 4cm^2 avg. PD and EIRP measured at *input.power.limit* for the selected configurations 91
Table A-1 Test Sequence 2 111
Table C-1 List of calibrated equipment.....115
Table C-2 System validation results.....115
Table C-3 Tissue dielectric properties at the time of testing.....116
Table C-4 List of calibrated equipment.....133
Table C-5 System validation results.....134

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

5775 Morehouse Dr.
San Diego CA 92121

Overview

Test Report Reference:	80-W5681-4 Rev. B
Responsible Engineer:	Lin Lu / Jagadish Nadakuduti
Signature:	
Test Engineer:	Pete Pereira / Neil Primero / Gary Johnson / Cang Nguyen / Sushant Kadimdivan / Daniel Wong
Signature:	
Date(s) of lab activity:	14 November 2019 – 13 January 2020
Date of issue:	15 January 2020
Test Location	Qualcomm Incorporated 5665 Morehouse Dr Building QRC, Room 513. San Diego CA 92121 (General Telephone) 1 858 845 7428
Temperature Range	18-25 °C (21.1°C actual)
Humidity Range	25-75% (54% actual)
Customer:	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD 40th floor Samsung Electronics Building, 11, Seocho-daero 74-Gil, Seocho District, Seoul, South Korea (Head office telephone) +91 124 488 1234

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

Model Tested:	A3LSMG986U
Test Specification Standard(s):	FCC CFR §2.1093
Results:	Passed

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

1 Introduction

The equipment under test (EUT) is Samsung portable handset (FCC ID: A3LSMG986U), it contains the Qualcomm SM8250 modem supporting 2G/3G/4G technologies and SDX55 modem supporting mmW 5G NR bands. Both of these modems are enabled with Qualcomm Smart Transmit feature to control and manage transmitting power in real time and to ensure at all times the time-averaged RF exposure is in compliance with the FCC requirement.

This purpose of the Part 2 report is to demonstrate the EUT complies with FCC RF exposure requirement under Tx varying transmission scenarios, thereby validity of Qualcomm Smart Transmit feature for FCC equipment authorization of Samsung portable handset (FCC ID: A3LSMG986U).

The P_{limit} and *input.power.limit* used in this report is determined in Part 0 and Part 1 reports.

Refer to Compliance summary report for product description and terminology used in this report.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

2 Tx Varying Transmission Test Cases and Test Proposal

To validate time averaging feature and demonstrate the compliance in Tx varying transmission conditions, the following transmission scenarios are covered in Part 2 test:

1. During a time-varying Tx power transmission: To prove that the Smart Transmit feature accounts for Tx power variations in time accurately.
2. During a call disconnect and re-establish scenario: To prove that the Smart Transmit feature accounts for history of past Tx power transmissions accurately.
3. During technology/band handover: To prove that the Smart Transmit feature functions correctly during transitions in technology/band.
4. During DSI (Device State Index) change: To prove that the Smart Transmit feature functions correctly during transition from one device state (DSI) to another.
5. During antenna (or beam) switch: To prove that the Smart Transmit feature functions correctly during transitions in antenna (such as AsDiv scenario) or beams (different antenna array configurations).
6. SAR vs. PD exposure switching during sub-6+mmW transmission: To prove that the Smart Transmit feature functions correctly and ensures total RF exposure compliance during transitions in SAR dominant exposure, SAR+PD exposure, and PD dominant exposure scenarios.
7. During time window switch: To prove that the Smart Transmit feature correctly handles the transition from one time window to another specified by FCC, and maintains the normalized time-averaged RF exposure to be less than normalized FCC limit of 1.0 at all times.
8. SAR exposure switching between two active radios (radio1 and radio2): To prove that the Smart Transmit feature functions correctly and ensures total RF exposure compliance when exposure varies among SAR_radio1 only, SAR_radio1 + SAR_radio2, and SAR_radio2 only scenarios.

As described in Part 0 report, the RF exposure is proportional to the Tx power for a SAR- and PD-characterized wireless device. Thus, feature validation in Part 2 can be effectively performed through conducted (for $f < 6$GHz) and radiated (for $f \geq 6$GHz) power measurement. Therefore, the compliance demonstration under dynamic transmission conditions and feature validation are done in conducted/radiated power measurement setup for transmission scenario 1 through 8.

To add confidence in the feature validation, the time-averaged SAR and PD measurements are also performed but only performed for transmission scenario 1 to avoid the complexity in SAR and PD measurement (such as, for scenario 3 requiring change in SAR probe calibration file to accommodate different bands and/or tissue simulating liquid).

The strategy for testing in Tx varying transmission condition is outlined as follows:

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

- Demonstrate the total RF exposure averaged over FCC defined time windows does not exceed FCC's SAR and PD limits, through time-averaged power measurements
  - Measure conducted Tx power (for f < 6GHz) versus time, and radiated Tx power (EIRP for f > 10GHz) versus time.
  - Convert it into RF exposure and divide by respective FCC limits to get normalized exposure versus time.
  - Perform running time-averaging over FCC defined time windows.
  - Demonstrate that the total normalized time-averaged RF exposure is less than 1 for all transmission scenarios (i.e., transmission scenarios 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8) at all times.

    Mathematical expression:

    - For sub-6 transmission only:

$$1g_or_10gSAR(t) = \frac{conducted_Tx_power(t)}{conducted_Tx_power_P_{limit}} * 1g_or_10gSAR_P_{limit} \quad (1a)$$

$$\frac{\frac{1}{T_{SAR}}\int_{t-T_{SAR}}^{t} 1g_or_10gSAR(t)dt}{FCC\ SAR\ limit} \leq 1 \quad (1b)$$

    - For sub-6+mmW transmission:

$$1g_or_10gSAR(t) = \frac{conducted_Tx_power(t)}{conducted_Tx_power_P_{limit}} * 1g_or_10gSAR_P_{limit} \quad (2a)$$

$$4cm^2PD(t) = \frac{radiated_Tx_power(t)}{radiated_Tx_power_input.power.limit} * 4cm^2PD_input.power.limit \quad (2b)$$

$$\frac{\frac{1}{T_{SAR}}\int_{t-T_{SAR}}^{t} 1g_or_10gSAR(t)dt}{FCC\ SAR\ limit} + \frac{\frac{1}{T_{PD}}\int_{t-T_{PD}}^{t} 4cm^2PD(t)dt}{FCC\ 4cm^2\ PD\ limit} \leq 1 \quad (2c)$$

    where, $conducted_Tx_power(t)$, $conducted_Tx_power_P_{limit}$, and $1g_or_10gSAR_P_{limit}$ correspond to the measured instantaneous conducted Tx power, measured conducted Tx power at P_{limit}, and measured *1gSAR or 10gSAR* values at P_{limit} corresponding to sub-6 transmission. Similarly, $radiated_Tx_power(t)$, $radiated_Tx_power_input.power.limit$, and $4cm^2PD_input.power.limit$ correspond to the measured instantaneous radiated Tx power, radiated Tx power at *input.power.limit* (i.e., radiated power limit), and $4cm^2$PD value at *input.power.limit* corresponding to mmW transmission. Both P_{limit} and *input.power.limit* are the parameters pre-defined in Part 0 and loaded via Embedded File System (EFS) onto the EUT. T_{SAR} is the FCC defined time window for sub-6 radio; T_{PD} is the FCC defined time window for mmW radio.

- Demonstrate the total RF exposure averaged over FCC defined time windows does not exceed FCC's SAR and PD limits, through time-averaged SAR and PD measurements. Note as mentioned earlier, this measurement is performed for transmission scenario 1 only.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

- For sub-6 transmission only, measure instantaneous SAR versus time; for LTE+sub6 NR transmission, request low power (or all-down bits) on LTE so that measured SAR predominantly corresponds to sub6 NR.
- For LTE + mmW transmission, measure instantaneous E-field versus time for mmW radio and instantaneous conducted power versus time for LTE radio.
- Convert it into RF exposure and divide by respective FCC limits to obtain normalized exposure versus time.
- Perform time averaging over FCC defined time window.
- Demonstrate that the total normalized time-averaged RF exposure is less than 1 for transmission scenario 1 at all times.

  Mathematical expression:

  - For sub-6 transmission only:

$$1g_or_10gSAR(t) = \frac{pointSAR(t)}{pointSAR_P_{limit}} * 1g_or_10gSAR(t)_P_{limit} \qquad (3a)$$

$$\frac{\frac{1}{T_{SAR}}\int_{t-T_{SAR}}^{t} 1g_or_10gSAR(t)dt}{FCC\ SAR\ limit} \leq 1 \qquad (3b)$$

  - For LTE+mmW transmission:

$$1g_or_10gSAR(t) = \frac{conducted_Tx_power(t)}{conducted_Tx_power_P_{limit}} * 1g_or_10gSAR_P_{limit} \qquad (4a)$$

$$4cm^2PD(t) = \frac{[pointE(t)]^2}{[pointE_input.power.limit]^2} * 4cm^2PD_input.power.limit \qquad (4b)$$

$$\frac{\frac{1}{T_{SAR}}\int_{t-T_{SAR}}^{t} 1g_or_10gSAR(t)dt}{FCC\ SAR\ limit} + \frac{\frac{1}{T_{PD}}\int_{t-T_{PD}}^{t} 4cm^2PD(t)dt}{FCC\ 4cm^2PD\ limit} \leq 1 \qquad (4c)$$

  where, $pointSAR(t)$, $pointSAR_P_{limit}$, and $1g_or_10gSAR_P_{limit}$ correspond to the measured instantaneous point SAR, measured point SAR at P_{limit}, and measured *1gSAR* or 10gSAR values at P_{limit} corresponding to sub-6 transmission. Similarly, $pointE(t)$, $pointE_input.power.limit$, and $4cm^2PD_input.power.limit$ correspond to the measured instantaneous E-field, E-field at *input.power.limit*, and $4cm^2PD$ value at *input.power.limit* corresponding to mmW transmission.

NOTE: cDASY6 measurement system by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG) of Zurich, Switzerland measures relative E-field, and provides ratio of $\frac{[pointE(t)]^2}{[pointE_input.power.limit]^2}$ versus time.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

3 SAR Time Averaging Validation Test Procedures

This chapter provides the test plan and test procedure for validating Qualcomm Smart Transmit feature for sub-6 transmission. The 100 seconds time window for operating f < 3GHz is used as an example to detail the test procedures in this chapter. The same test plan and test procedures described in this chapter apply to 60 seconds time window for operating $f \geq$ 3GHz.

3.1 Test sequence determination for validation

Following the FCC recommendation, two test sequences having time-variation in Tx power are predefined for sub-6 (f < 6 GHz) validation:

- Test sequence 1: request EUT's Tx power to be at maximum power, measured P_{max}†, for 80s, then requesting for half of the maximum power, i.e., measured $P_{max}/2$, for the rest of the time.
- Test sequence 2: request EUT's Tx power to vary with time. This sequence is generated relative to measured P_{max}, measured P_{limit} and calculated $P_{reserve}$ (= measured P_{limit} in dBm - *Reserve_power_margin* in dB) of EUT based on measured P_{limit}.

The details for generating these two test sequences is described and listed in Appendix A.

NOTE: For test sequence generation, "measured P_{limit}" and "measured P_{max}" are used instead of the "P_{limit}" specified in EFS entry and "P_{max}" specified for the device, because Smart Transmit feature operates against the actual power level of the "P_{limit}" that was calibrated for the EUT. The "measured P_{limit}" accurately reflects what the feature is referencing to, therefore, it should be used during feature validation testing. The RF tune up and device-to-device variation are already considered in Part 0 report prior to determining P_{limit}.

3.2 Test configuration selection criteria for validating Smart Transmit feature

For validating Smart Transmit feature, this section provides a general guidance to select test cases. In practice, an adjustment can be made in test case selection. The justification/clarification may be provided.

3.2.1 Test configuration selection for time-varying Tx power transmission

The Smart Transmit time averaging feature operation is independent of bands, modes, and channels for a given technology. Hence, validation of Smart Transmit in one band/mode/channel

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

per technology is sufficient. Two bands per technology are proposed and selected for this testing to provide high confidence in this validation.

The criteria for the selection are based on the P_{limit} values determined in Part 0 report. Select two bands* in each supported technology that correspond to least** and highest*** P_{limit} values that are less than P_{max} for validating Smart Transmit.

* If one P_{limit} level applies to all the bands within a technology, then only one band needs to be tested. In this case, within the bands having the same P_{limit}, the radio configuration (e.g., # of RBs, channel#) and device position that correspond to the highest *measured* 1gSAR at P_{limit} shown in Part 1 report is selected.

** In case of multiple bands having the same least P_{limit} within the technology, then select the band having the highest *measured* 1gSAR at P_{limit}.

*** The band having a higher P_{limit} needs to be properly selected so that the power limiting enforced by Smart Transmit can be validated using the pre-defined test sequences. If the highest P_{limit} in a technology is too high where the power limiting enforcement is not needed when testing with the pre-defined test sequences, then the next highest level is checked. This process is continued within the technology until the second band for validation testing is determined.

3.2.2 Test configuration selection for change in call

The criteria to select a test configuration for call-drop measurement is:

- Select technology/band with least P_{limit} among all supported technologies/bands, and select the radio configuration (e.g., # of RBs, channel#) in this technology/band that corresponds to the highest *measured* 1gSAR at P_{limit} listed in Part 1 report.
- In case of multiple bands having same least P_{limit}, then select the band having the highest *measured* 1gSAR at P_{limit} in Part 1 report.

This test is performed with the EUT's Tx power requested to be at maximum power, the above band selection will result in Tx power enforcement (i.e., EUT forced to have Tx power at $P_{reserve}$) for longest duration in one FCC defined time window. The call change (call drop/reestablish) is performed during the Tx power enforcement duration (i.e., during the time when EUT is forced to have Tx power at $P_{reserve}$). One test is sufficient as the feature operation is independent of technology and band.

3.2.3 Test configuration selection for change in technology/band

The selection criteria for this measurement is, for a given antenna, to have EUT switch from a technology/band with lowest P_{limit} within the technology group (in case of multiple bands having the same P_{limit}, then select the band with highest *measured* 1gSAR at P_{limit}) to a technology/band with highest P_{limit} within the technology group, in case of multiple bands having the same P_{limit}, then select the band with lowest *measured* 1gSAR at P_{limit} in Part 1 report, or vice versa.

This test is performed with the EUT's Tx power requested to be at maximum power, the technology/band switch is performed during Tx power enforcement duration (i.e., during the time when EUT is forced to have Tx power at $P_{reserve}$).

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

3.2.4 Test configuration selection for change in antenna

The criteria to select a test configuration for antenna switch measurement is:

- Whenever possible and supported by the EUT, first select antenna switch configuration within the same technology/band (i.e., same technology and band combination).
- Then, select any technology/band that supports multiple Tx antennas, and has the highest difference in P_{limit} among all supported antennas.
- In case of multiple bands having same difference in P_{limit} among supported antennas, then select the band having the highest *measured* 1gSAR at P_{limit} in Part 1 report.

This test is performed with the EUT's Tx power requested to be at maximum power in selected technology/band, and antenna change is conducted during Tx power enforcement duration (i.e., during the time when EUT is forced to have Tx power at $P_{reserve}$).

3.2.5 Test configuration selection for change in DSI

The criteria to select a test configuration for DSI change test is

- Select a technology/band having the $P_{limit} < P_{max}$ within any technology and DSI group, and for the same technology/band having a different P_{limit} in any other DSI group. Note that the selected DSI transition need to be supported by the device.

This test is performed with the EUT's Tx power requested to be at maximum power in selected technology/band, and DSI change is conducted during Tx power enforcement duration (i.e., during the time when EUT is forced to have Tx power at $P_{reserve}$).

3.2.6 Test configuration selection for change in time window

FCC specifies different time window for time averaging based on operation frequency. The criteria to select a test configuration for validating Smart Transmit feature and demonstrating the compliance during the change in time window is

- Select any technology/band that has operation frequency classified in one time window defined by FCC (such as 100-seconds time window), and its corresponding P_{limit} is less than P_{max} if possible.
- Select the 2nd technology/band that has operation frequency classified in a different time window defined by FCC (such as 60-seconds time window), and its corresponding P_{limit} is less than P_{max} if possible.
- Note it is preferred both P_{limit} values of two selected technology/band less than corresponding P_{max}, but if not possible, at least one of technologies/bands has its P_{limit} less than P_{max}.

This test is performed with the EUT's Tx power requested to be at maximum power in selected technology/band. Test for one pair of time windows selected is sufficient as the feature operation is the same.

3.2.7 Test configuration selection for SAR exposure switching

If supported, the test configuration for SAR exposure switching should cover

1. SAR exposure switch when two active radios are in the same time window

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

2. SAR exposure switch when two active radios are in different time windows. One test with two active radios in any two different time windows is sufficient as Smart Transmit operation is the same for RF exposure switch in any combination of two different time windows. For device supporting LTE + mmW NR, this test is covered in Section 8.2.3 and 8.2.4.

The Smart Transmit time averaging operation is independent of the source of SAR exposure (for example, LTE vs. Sub6 NR) and ensures total time-averaged RF exposure compliance. Hence, validation of Smart Transmit in any one simultaneous SAR transmission scenario (i.e., one combination for LTE + Sub6 NR transmission) is sufficient, where the SAR exposure varies among SAR_{radio1} only, SAR_{radio1} + SAR_{radio2}, and SAR_{radio2} only scenarios.

The criteria to select a test configuration for validating Smart Transmit feature during SAR exposure switching scenarios is

- Select any two < 6GHz technologies/bands that the EUT supports simultaneous transmission (for example, LTE+Sub6 NR).
- Among all supported simultaneous transmission configurations, the selection order is
  1. select one configuration where both P_{limit} of radio1 and radio2 is less than their corresponding P_{max}, preferably, with different P_{limits}. If this configuration is not available, then,
  2. select one configuration that has P_{limit} less than its P_{max} for at least one radio. If this can not be found, then,
  3. select one configuration that has P_{limit} of radio1 and radio2 greater than P_{max} but with least ($P_{limit} – P_{max}$) delta.

Test for one simultaneous transmission scenario is sufficient as the feature operation is the same.

3.3 Test procedures for conducted power measurements

This section provides general conducted power measurement procedures to perform compliance test under dynamic transmission scenarios described in Section 2. In practice, an adjustment can be made in these procedures. The justification/clarification may be provided.

3.3.1 Time-varying Tx power transmission scenario

This test is performed with the two pre-defined test sequences described in Section 3.1 for all the technologies and bands selected in Section 3.2.1. The purpose of the test is to demonstrate the effectiveness of power limiting enforcement and that the time-averaged SAR (corresponding time-averaged Tx power) does not exceed the FCC limit at all times (see Eq. (1a) and (1b)).

Test procedure

1. Measure P_{max}, measure P_{limit} and calculate $P_{reserve}$ (= measured P_{limit} in dBm – *Reserve_power_margin* in dB) and follow Section 3.1 to generate the test sequences for all the technologies and bands selected in Section 3.2.1. Both test sequence 1 and test sequence 2 are created based on measured P_{max} and measured P_{limit} of the EUT. Test condition to measure P_{max} and P_{limit} is:
   - □ Measure P_{max} with Smart Transmit <u>disabled</u> and callbox set to request maximum power.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

- Measure P_{limit} with Smart Transmit enabled and *Reserve_power_margin* set to 0 dB, callbox set to request maximum power.

2. Set *Reserve_power_margin* to actual (intended) value (3dB for this EUT based on Part 1 report) and reset power on EUT to enable Smart Transmit, establish radio link in desired radio configuration, with callbox requesting the EUT's Tx power to be at pre-defined test sequence 1, measure and record Tx power versus time, and then convert the conducted Tx power into 1gSAR or 10gSAR value (see Eq. (1a)) using measured P_{limit} from above Step 1. Perform running time average to determine time-averaged power and 1gSAR or 10gSAR versus time as illustrated in Figure 3-1 where using 100-seconds time window as an example.

NOTE: In Eq.(1a), instantaneous Tx power is converted into instantaneous 1gSAR or 10gSAR value by applying the measured worst-case 1gSAR or 10gSAR value at P_{limit} for the corresponding technology/band/antenna/DSI reported in Part 1 report.

NOTE: For an easier computation of the running time average, 0 dBm can be added at the beginning of the test sequences the length of the responding time window, for example, add 0dBm for 100-seconds so the running time average can be directly performed starting with the first 100-seconds data using excel spreadsheet. This technique applies to all tests performed in this Part 2 report for easier time-averaged computation using excel spreadsheet.



Figure 3-1 100s running average illustration

3. Make one plot containing:
   a. Instantaneous Tx power versus time measured in Step 2,
   b. Requested Tx power used in Step 2 (test sequence 1),
   c. Computed time-averaged power versus time determined in Step 2,
   d. Time-averaged power limit (corresponding to FCC SAR limit of 1.6 W/kg for 1gSAR or 4.0W/kg for 10gSAR) given by

$$Time\ avearged\ power\ limit = meas.P_{limit} + 10 \times \log\left(\frac{FCC\ SAR\ limit}{meas.SAR_Plimit}\right) \qquad (5a)$$

   where $meas.P_{limit}$ and $meas.SAR_Plimit$ correspond to measured power at P_{limit} and measured SAR at P_{limit}.

4. Make another plot containing:
   a. Computed time-averaged 1gSAR or 10gSAR versus time determined in Step 2

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

b. FCC $1gSAR_{limit}$ of 1.6W/kg or FCC $10gSAR_{limit}$ of 4.0W/kg.

5. Repeat Steps 2 ~ 4 for pre-defined test sequence 2 and replace the requested Tx power (test sequence 1) in Step 2 with test sequence 2.
6. Repeat Steps 2 ~ 5 for all the selected technologies and bands.

The validation criteria are, at all times, the time-averaged power versus time shown in Step 3 plot shall not exceed the time-averaged power limit (defined in Eq. (5a)), in turn, the time-averaged 1gSAR or 10gSAR versus time shown in Step 4 plot shall not exceed the FCC limit of 1.6 W/kg for 1gSAR or 4.0 W/kg for 10gSAR (i.e., Eq. (1b)).

3.3.2 Change in call scenario

This test is to demonstrate that Smart Transmit feature accurately accounts for the past Tx powers during time-averaging when a new call is established.

The call disconnect and re-establishment needs to be performed during power limit enforcement, i.e., when the EUT's Tx power is at $P_{reserve}$ level, to demonstrate the continuity of RF exposure management and limiting in call change scenario. In other words, the RF exposure averaged over any FCC defined time window (including the time windows containing the call change) doesn't exceed FCC limit of 1.6 W/kg for 1gSAR or 4.0 W/kg for 10gSAR.

Test procedure

1. Measure P_{limit} for the technology/band selected in Section 3.2.2. Measure P_{limit} with Smart Transmit <u>enabled</u> and *Reserve_power_margin* set to 0 dB, callbox set to request maximum power.
2. Set *Reserve_power_margin* to actual (intended) value and reset power on EUT to enable Smart Transmit.
3. Establish radio link with callbox in the selected technology/band.
4. Request EUT's Tx power at 0 dBm for at least one time window specified for the selected technology/band, followed by requesting EUT's Tx power to be at maximum power for about ~60 seconds, and then drop the call for ~10 seconds. Afterwards, re-establish another call in the same radio configuration (i.e., same technology/band/channel) and continue callbox requesting EUT's Tx power to be at maximum power for the remaining time of at least another full duration of the specified time window. Measure and record Tx power versus time. Once the measurement is done, extract instantaneous Tx power versus time, convert the measured conducted Tx power into 1gSAR or 10gSAR value using Eq. (1a), and then perform the running time average to determine time-averaged power and 1gSAR or 10gSAR versus time.

   NOTE: In Eq.(1a), instantaneous Tx power is converted into instantaneous 1gSAR or 10gSAR value by applying the measured worst-case 1gSAR or 10gSAR value at P_{limit} for the corresponding technology/band/antenna/DSI reported in Part 1 report.

5. Make one plot containing: (a) instantaneous Tx power versus time, (b) requested power, (c) computed time-averaged power, (d) time-averaged power limit calculated using Eq.(5a).
6. Make another plot containing: (a) computed time-averaged 1gSAR or 10gSAR versus time, and (b) FCC limit of 1.6 W/kg for 1gSAR or 4.0 W/kg for 10gSAR.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

The validation criteria are, at all times, the time-averaged power versus time shall not exceed the time-averaged power limit (defined in Eq.(5a)), in turn, the time-averaged 1gSAR or 10gSAR versus time shall not exceed the FCC limit of 1.6 W/kg for 1gSAR or 4.0 W/kg for 10gSAR (i.e., Eq. (1b)).

3.3.3 Change in technology and band

This test is to demonstrate the correct power control by Smart Transmit during technology switches and/or band handovers.

Similar to the change in call test in Section 3.3.2, to validate the continuity of RF exposure limiting during the transition, the technology and band handover needs to be performed when EUT's Tx power is at $P_{reserve}$ level (i.e., during Tx power enforcement) to make sure that the EUT's Tx power from previous $P_{reserve}$ level to the new $P_{reserve}$ level (corresponding to new technology/band). Since the P_{limit} could vary with technology and band, Eq. (1a) can be written as follows to convert the instantaneous Tx power in 1gSAR or 10gSAR exposure for the two given radios, respectively:

$$1g_or_10gSAR_1(t) = \frac{conducted_Tx_power_1(t)}{conducted_Tx_power_P_{limit_1}} * 1g_or_10gSAR_P_{limit_1} \qquad (6a)$$

$$1g_or_10gSAR_2(t) = \frac{conducted_Tx_power_2(t)}{conducted_Tx_power_P_{limit_2}} * 1g_or_10gSAR_P_{limit_2} \qquad (6b)$$

$$\frac{1}{T_{SAR}}\left[\int_{t-T_{SAR}}^{t_1} \frac{1g_or_10gSAR_1(t)}{FCC\ SAR\ limit} dt + \int_{t-T_{SAR}}^{t} \frac{1g_or_10gSAR_2(t)}{FCC\ SAR\ limit} dt\right] \leq 1 \qquad (6c)$$

where, *conducted_Tx_power_1(t)*, *conducted_Tx_power_*P_{limit_1}, and *1g_or_10gSAR_*P_{limit_1} correspond to the measured instantaneous conducted Tx power, measured conducted Tx power at P_{limit}, and measured *1gSAR* or *10gSAR* value at P_{limit} of technology1/band1; *conducted_Tx_power_2(t)*, *conducted_Tx_power_*P_{limit_2}*(t)*, and *1g_or_10gSAR_*P_{limit_2} correspond to the measured instantaneous conducted Tx power, measured conducted Tx power at P_{limit}, and measured *1gSAR* or *10gSAR* value at P_{limit} of technology2/band2. Transition from technology1/band1 to the technology2/band2 happens at time-instant 't_1'.

Test procedure

1. Measure P_{limit} for both the technologies and bands selected in Section 3.2.3. Measure P_{limit} with Smart Transmit <u>enabled</u> and *Reserve_power_margin* set to 0 dB, callbox set to request maximum power.
2. Set *Reserve_power_margin* to actual (intended) value and reset power on EUT to enable Smart Transmit
3. Establish radio link with callbox in first technology/band selected.
4. Request EUT's Tx power at 0 dBm for at least one time window specified for the selected technology/band, followed by requesting EUT's Tx power to be at maximum power for about ~60 seconds, and then switch to second technology/band selected. Continue with callbox requesting EUT's Tx power to be at maximum power for the remaining time of at least another full duration of the specified time window. Measure and record Tx power versus time for the full duration of the test.
5. Once the measurement is done, extract instantaneous Tx power versus time, and convert the conducted Tx power into 1gSAR or 10gSAR value using Eq. (6a) and (6b) and corresponding measured P_{limit} values from Step 1 of this section. Perform the running time average to determine time-averaged power and 1gSAR or 10gSAR versus time.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

NOTE: In Eq.(6a) & (6b), instantaneous Tx power is converted into instantaneous 1gSAR or 10gSAR value by applying the measured worst-case 1gSAR or 10gSAR value at P_{limit} for the corresponding technology/band/antenna/DSI reported in Part 1 report.

6. Make one plot containing: (a) instantaneous Tx power versus time, (b) requested power, (c) computed time-averaged power, (d) time-averaged power limit calculated using Eq.(5a).
7. Make another plot containing: (a) computed time-averaged 1gSAR or 10gSAR versus time, and (b) FCC limit of 1.6 W/kg for 1gSAR or 4.0 W/kg for 10gSAR.

The validation criteria are, at all times, the time-averaged 1gSAR or 10gSAR versus time shall not exceed the FCC limit of 1.6 W/kg for 1gSAR or 4.0 W/kg for 10gSAR (i.e., Eq. (6c)).

3.3.4 Change in antenna

This test is to demonstrate the correct power control by Smart Transmit during antenna switches from one antenna to another. The test procedure is identical to Section 3.3.3, by replacing technology/band switch operation with antenna switch. The validation criteria are, at all times, the time-averaged 1gSAR or 10gSAR versus time shall not exceed FCC limit of 1.6 W/kg for 1gSAR or 4.0 W/kg for 10gSAR.

NOTE: If the EUT does not support antenna switch within the same technology/band, but has multiple antennas to support different frequency bands, then the antenna switch test is included as part of change in technology and band (Section 3.3.3) test.

3.3.5 Change in DSI

This test is to demonstrate the correct power control by Smart Transmit during DSI switches from one DSI to another. The test procedure is identical to Section 3.3.3, by replacing technology/band switch operation with DSI switch. The validation criteria are, at all times, the time-averaged 1gSAR or 10gSAR versus time shall not exceed FCC limit of 1.6 W/kg for 1gSAR or 4.0 W/kg for 10gSAR.

3.3.6 Change in time window

This test is to demonstrate the correct power control by Smart Transmit during the change in averaging time window when a specific band handover occurs. FCC specifies time-averaging windows of 100s for Tx frequency < 3GHz, and 60s for Tx frequency between 3GHz and 6GHz.

To validate the continuity of RF exposure limiting during the transition, the band handover test needs to be performed when EUT handovers from operation band less than 3GHz to greater than 3GHz and vice versa. The equations (3a) and (3b) in Section 2 can be written as follows for transmission scenario having change in time window,

$$1gSAR_1(t) = \frac{conducted_Tx_power_1(t)}{conducted_Tx_power_P_{limit_1}} * 1g_or\ 10g_SAR_P_{limit_1} \qquad (7a)$$

$$1gSAR_2(t) = \frac{conducted_Tx_power_2(t)}{conducted_Tx_power_P_{limit_2}} * 1g_or\ 10g_SAR_P_{limit_2} \qquad (7b)$$

$$\frac{1}{T1_{SAR}}\left[\int_{t-T1_{SAR}}^{t_1} \frac{1g_or\ 10g_SAR_1(t)}{FCC\ SAR\ limit} dt\right] + \frac{1}{T2_{SAR}}\left[\int_{t-T2_{SAR}}^{t} \frac{1g_{or}10g_SAR_2(t)}{FCC\ SAR\ limit} dt\right] \leq 1 \qquad (7c)$$

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

where, *conducted_Tx_power_1(t)*, *conducted_Tx_power_*P_{limit_1}*(t)*, and *1g_ or 10g_SAR_*P_{limit_1} correspond to the instantaneous Tx power, conducted Tx power at P_{limit}, and compliance *1g_ or 10g_SAR* values at P_{limit_1} of band1 with time-averaging window '$T1_{SAR}$'; *conducted_Tx_power_2(t)*, *conducted_Tx_power_*P_{limit_2}*(t)*, and *1g_ or 10g_SAR_*P_{limit_2} correspond to the instantaneous Tx power, conducted Tx power at P_{limit}, and compliance *1g_ or 10g_SAR* values at P_{limit_2} of band2 with time-averaging window '$T2_{SAR}$'. One of the two bands is less than 3GHz, another is greater than 3GHz. Transition from first band with time-averaging window '$T1_{SAR}$' to the second band with time-averaging window '$T2_{SAR}$' happens at time-instant 't_1'.

Test procedure

1. Measure P_{limit} for both the technologies and bands selected in Section 3.2.6. Measure P_{limit} with Smart Transmit enabled and *Reserve_power_margin* set to 0 dB, callbox set to request maximum power.
2. Set *Reserve_power_margin* to actual (intended) value and enable Smart Transmit

Transition from 100s time window to 60s time window, and vice versa

3. Establish radio link with callbox in the technology/band having 100s time window selected in Section 3.2.6.
4. Request EUT's Tx power to be at 0 dBm for at least 100 seconds, followed by requesting EUT's Tx power to be at maximum power for about ~140 seconds, and then switch to second technology/band (having 60s time window) selected in Section 3.2.6. Continue with callbox requesting EUT's Tx power to be at maximum power for about ~60s in this second technology/band, and then switch back to the first technology/band. Continue with callbox requesting EUT's Tx power to be at maximum power for at least another 100s. Measure and record Tx power versus time for the entire duration of the test.
5. Once the measurement is done, extract instantaneous Tx power versus time, and convert the conducted Tx power into 1gSAR or 10gSAR value (see Eq. (7a) and (7b)) using corresponding technology/band Step 1 result, and then perform 100s running average to determine time-averaged 1gSAR or 10gSAR versus time. Note that in Eq.(7a) & (7b), instantaneous Tx power is converted into instantaneous 1gSAR or 10gSAR value by applying the worst-case 1gSAR or 10gSAR value tested in Part 1 for the selected technologies/bands at P_{limit}.
6. Make one plot containing: (a) instantaneous Tx power versus time measured in Step 4.
7. Make another plot containing: (a) instantaneous 1gSAR versus time determined in Step 5, (b) computed time-averaged 1gSAR versus time determined in Step 5, and (c) corresponding regulatory $1gSAR_{limit}$ of 1.6W/kg or $10gSAR_{limit}$ of 4.0W/kg.

Transition from 60s time window to 100s time window, and vice versa

8. Establish radio link with callbox in the technology/band having 60s time window selected in Section 3.2.6.
9. Request EUT's Tx power to be at 0 dBm for at least 60 seconds, followed by requesting EUT's Tx power to be at maximum power for about ~80 seconds, and then switch to second technology/band (having 100s time window) selected in Section 3.2.6. Continue with callbox requesting EUT's Tx power to be at maximum power for about ~100s in this second technology/band, and then switch back to the first technology/band. Continue with callbox requesting EUT's Tx power to be at maximum power for the remaining time for a total test

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

time of 500 seconds. Measure and record Tx power versus time for the entire duration of the test.

10. Repeat above Step 5~7 to generate the plots

The validation criteria is, at all times, the time-averaged 1gSAR or 10gSAR versus time shall not exceed the regulatory $1gSAR_{limit}$ of 1.6W/kg or $10gSAR_{limit}$ of 4.0W/kg.

3.3.7 SAR exposure switching

This test is to demonstrate that Smart Transmit feature is accurately accounts for switching in exposures among SAR from radio1 only, SAR from both radio1 and radio2, and SAR from radio2 only scenarios, and ensures total time-averaged RF exposure complies with the FCC limit. The detailed test procedure for SAR exposure switching in the case of LTE+Sub6 NR non-standalone mode transmission scenario is provided in Appendix B.2.

Test procedure:

1. Measure conducted Tx power corresponding to P_{limit} for radio1 and radio2 in selected band. Test condition to measure conducted P_{limit} is:
   - □ Establish device in call with the callbox for radio1 technology/band. Measure conducted Tx power corresponding to radio1 P_{limit} with Smart Transmit <u>enabled</u> and *Reserve_power_margin* set to 0 dB, callbox set to request maximum power.
   - □ Repeat above step to measure conducted Tx power corresponding to radio2 $\underline{P_{limit}}$. If radio2 is dependent on radio1 (for example, non-standalone mode of Sub6 NR requiring radio1 LTE as anchor), then establish radio1 + radio2 call with callbox, and request all down bits for radio1 LTE. In this scenario, with callbox requesting maximum power from radio2 Sub6 NR, measured conducted Tx power corresponds to radio2 $\underline{P_{limit}}$ (as radio1 LTE is at all-down bits)
2. Set *Reserve_power_margin* to actual (intended) value, with EUT setup for radio1 + radio2 call. In this description, it is assumed that radio2 has lower priority than radio1. Establish device in radio1+radio2 call, and request all-down bits or low power on radio1, with callbox requesting EUT's Tx power to be at maximum power in radio2 for at least one time window. After one time window, set callbox to request EUT's Tx power to be at maximum power on radio1, i.e., all-up bits. Continue radio1+radio2 call with both radios at maximum power for at least one time window, and drop (or request all-down bits on) radio2. Continue radio1 at maximum power for at least one time window. Record the conducted Tx power for both radio1 and radio2 for the entire duration of this test.
3. Once the measurement is done, extract instantaneous Tx power versus time for both radio1 and radio2 links. Convert the conducted Tx power for both these radios into 1gSAR or 10gSAR value (see Eq. (6a) and (6b)) using corresponding technology/band P_{limit} measured in Step 1, and then perform the running time average to determine time-averaged 1gSAR or 10gSAR versus time.
4. Make one plot containing: (a) instantaneous Tx power versus time measured in Step 2.
5. Make another plot containing: (a) instantaneous 1gSAR versus time determined in Step 3, (b) computed time-averaged 1gSAR versus time determined in Step 3, and (c) corresponding regulatory $1gSAR_{limit}$ of 1.6W/kg or $10gSAR_{limit}$ of 4.0W/kg.

The validation criteria is, at all times, the time-averaged 1gSAR or 10gSAR versus time shall not exceed the regulatory $1gSAR_{limit}$ of 1.6W/kg or $10gSAR_{limit}$ of 4.0W/kg.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

3.4 Test procedure for time-varying SAR measurements

This section provides general time-varying SAR measurement procedures to perform compliance test under dynamic transmission scenarios described in Section 2. In practice, an adjustment can be made in these procedures. The justification/clarification may be provided.

To perform the validation through SAR measurement for transmission scenario 1 described in Section 2, the "path loss" between callbox antenna and EUT needs to be calibrated to ensure that the EUT Tx power reacts to the requested power from callbox in a radiated call. It should be noted that when signaling in closed loop mode, protocol-level power control is in play, resulting in EUT not solely following callbox TPC (Tx power control) commands. In other words, EUT response has many dependencies (RSSI, quality of signal, path loss variation, fading, etc.,) other than just TPC commands. These dependencies have less impact in conducted setup (as it is a controlled environment and the path loss can be very well calibrated) but have significant impact on radiated testing in an uncontrolled environment, such as SAR test setup. Therefore, the deviation in EUT Tx power from callbox requested power is expected, however the time-averaged SAR should not exceed FCC SAR requirement at all times as Smart Transmit controls Tx power at EUT.

The following steps are for time averaging feature validation through SAR measurement:

1. "Path Loss" calibration: Place the EUT against the phantom in the worst-case position determined based on Section 3.2.1. For each band selected, prior to SAR measurement, perform "path loss" calibration between callbox antenna and EUT. Since the SAR test environment is not controlled and well calibrated for OTA (Over the Air) test, extreme care needs to be taken to avoid the influence from reflections. The test setup is described in Section 7.1.

2. Time averaging feature validation:

   i For a given radio configuration (technology/band) selected in Section 3.2.1, enable Smart Transmit and set *Reserve_power_margin* to 0 dB, with callbox to request maximum power, perform area scan, conduct pointSAR measurement at peak location of the area scan. This point SAR value, $pointSAR_P_{limit}$, corresponds to point SAR at the measured P_{limit} (i.e., measured P_{limit} from the EUT in Step 1 of Section 3.3.1).

   ii Set *Reserve_power_margin* to actual (intended) value and reset power on EUT to enable Smart Transmit. Note, if *Reserve_power_margin* cannot be set wirelessly, care must be taken to re-position the EUT in the exact same position relative to the SAM phantom as in above Step 2.i. Establish radio link in desired radio configuration, with callbox requesting the EUT's Tx power at power levels described by test sequence 1 generated in Step 1 of Section 3.3.1, conduct point SAR measurement versus time at peak location of the area scan determined in Step 2.i of this section. Once the measurement is done, extract instantaneous point SAR vs time data, *pointSAR(t)*, and convert it into instantaneous 1gSAR or 10gSAR vs. time using Eq. (3a), re-written below:

   $$1g_or_10gSAR(t) = \frac{pointSAR(t)}{pointSAR_P_{limit}} * 1g_or_10gSAR_P_{limit}$$

   where, $pointSAR_P_{limit}$ is the value determined in Step 2.i, and *pointSAR(t)* is the instantaneous point SAR measured in Step 2.ii, $1g_or_10gSAR_P_{limit}$ is the measured 1gSAR or 10gSAR value listed in Part 1 report.

   iii Perform 100s running average to determine time-averaged 1gSAR or 10gSAR versus time.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

iv Make one plot containing: (a) time-averaged 1gSAR or 10gSAR versus time determined in Step 2.iii of this section, (b) FCC limit of 1.6 W/kg for 1gSAR or 4.0 W/kg for 10gSAR.

v Repeat 2.ii ~ 2.iv for test sequence 2 generated in Step 1 of Section 3.3.1.

vi Repeat 2.i ~ 2.v for all the technologies and bands selected in Section 3.2.1.

The time-averaging validation criteria for SAR measurement is that, at all times, the time-averaged 1gSAR or 10gSAR versus time shall not exceed FCC limit of 1.6 W/kg for 1gSAR or 4.0 W/kg for 10gSAR (i.e., Eq. (3b)).

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

4 PD Time Averaging Validation Test Procedures

This chapter provides the test plan and test procedures for validating Qualcomm Smart Transmit feature for mmW transmission. For this EUT, millimeter wave (mmW) transmission is only in non-standalone mode, i.e., it requires an LTE link as anchor.

4.1 Test sequence for validation in mmW NR transmission

In 5G mmW NR transmission, the test sequence for validation is with the callbox requesting EUT's Tx power in 5G mmW NR at maximum power all the time.

4.2 Test configuration selection criteria for validating Smart Transmit feature

4.2.1 Test configuration selection for time-varying Tx power transmission

The Smart Transmit time averaging feature operation is independent of bands, modes, channels, and antenna configurations (beams) for a given technology. Hence, validation of Smart Transmit in any one band/mode/channel per technology is sufficient.

4.2.2 Test configuration selection for change in antenna configuration (beam)

The Smart Transmit time averaging feature operation is independent of bands, modes, channels, and antenna configurations (beams) for a given technology. Hence, validation of Smart Transmit with beam switch between any two beams is sufficient.

4.2.3 Test configuration selection for SAR vs. PD exposure switch during transmission

The Smart Transmit time averaging feature operation is independent of the nature of exposure (SAR vs. PD) and ensures total time-averaged RF exposure compliance. Hence, validation of Smart Transmit in any one band/mode/channel/beam for mmW + sub-6 (LTE) transmission is sufficient, where the exposure varies among SAR dominant scenario, SAR+PD scenario, and PD dominant scenario.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

4.3 Test procedures for mmW radiated power measurements

Perform conducted power measurement (for f < 6GHz) and radiated power measurement (for f > 6GHz) for LTE + mmW transmission to validate Smart Transmit time averaging feature in the various transmission scenarios described in Section 2.

This section provides general conducted power measurement procedures to perform compliance test under dynamic transmission scenarios described in Section 2. In practice, an adjustment can be made in these procedures. The justification/clarification may be provided.

4.3.1 Time-varying Tx power scenario

The purpose of the test is to demonstrate the effectiveness of power limiting enforcement and that the time-averaged Tx power when converted into RF exposure values does not exceed the FCC limit at all times (see Eq. (2a), (2b) & (2c) in Section 2).

Test procedure:

1. Measure conducted Tx power corresponding to P_{limit} for LTE in selected band, and measure radiated Tx power corresponding to *input.power.limit* in desired mmW band/channel/beam by following below steps:
   a. Measure radiated power corresponding to mmW *input.power.limit* by setting up the EUT's Tx power in desired band/channel/beam at *input.power.limit* in Factory Test Mode (FTM). This test is performed in a calibrated anechoic chamber. Rotate the EUT to obtain maximum radiated Tx power, keep the EUT in this position and do not disturb the position of the EUT inside the anechoic chamber for the rest of this test.
   b. Reset EUT to place in online mode and establish radio link in LTE, measure conducted Tx power corresponding to LTE P_{limit} with Smart Transmit enabled and *Reserve_power_margin* set to 0 dB, callbox set to request maximum power.
2. Set *Reserve_power_margin* to actual (intended) value and reset power on EUT to enable Smart Transmit. With EUT setup for a mmW NR call in the desired/selected LTE band and mmW NR band, perform the following steps:
   a. Establish LTE and mmW NR connection in desired band/channel/beam used in Step 1. As soon as the mmW connection is established, immediately request all-down bits on LTE link. With callbox requesting EUT's Tx power to be at maximum mmW power to test predominantly PD exposure scenario (as SAR exposure is less when LTE's Tx power is at low power).
   b. After 120s, request LTE to go all-up bits for at least 100s. SAR exposure is dominant. There are two scenarios:
      i If $P_{limit} < P_{max}$ for LTE, then the RF exposure margin (provided to mmW NR) gradually runs out (due to high SAR exposure). This results in gradual reduction in the 5G mmW NR transmission power and eventually seized 5G mmW NR transmission when LTE goes to $P_{reserve}$ level.
      ii If $P_{limit} \geq P_{max}$ for LTE, then the 5G mmW NR transmission's averaged power should gradually reduce but the mmW NR connection can sustain all the time (assuming TxAGC uncertainty = 0dB).
   c. Record the conducted Tx power of LTE and radiated Tx power of mmW for the full duration of this test of at least 300s.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

3. Once the measurement is done, extract instantaneous Tx power versus time for both LTE and mmW links. Convert the conducted Tx power for LTE into 1gSAR or 10gSAR value using Eq. (2a) and P_{limit} measured in Step 1.b, and then divide by FCC limit of 1.6 W/kg for 1gSAR or 4.0 W/kg for 10gSAR to obtain instantaneous normalized 1gSAR or 10gSAR versus time. Perform 100s running average to determine normalized 100s-averaged 1gSAR or 10gSAR versus time.

   NOTE: In Eq.(2a), instantaneous Tx power is converted into instantaneous 1gSAR or 10gSAR value by applying the measured worst-case 1gSAR or 10gSAR value at P_{limit} for the corresponding technology/band/antenna/DSI reported in Part 1 report.

4. Similarly, convert the radiated Tx power for mmW into 4cm^{2}PD value using Eq. (2b) and the radiated Tx power limit (i.e., radiated Tx power at *input.power.limit*) measured in Step 1.a, then divide by FCC 4cm^{2}PD limit of 10W/m^2 to obtain instantaneous normalized 4cm^{2}PD versus time. Perform 4s running average to determine normalized 4s-averaged 4cm^{2}PD versus time.

   NOTE: In Eq.(2b), instantaneous radiated Tx power is converted into instantaneous 4cm^{2}PD by applying the worst-case 4cm^{2}PD value measured at *input.power.limit* for the selected band/beam in Part 1 report.

5. Make one plot containing: (a) instantaneous conducted Tx power for LTE versus time, (b) computed 100s-averaged conducted Tx power for LTE versus time, (c) instantaneous radiated Tx power for mmW versus time, as measured in Step 2, (d) computed 4s-averaged radiated Tx power for mmW versus time, and (e) time-averaged conducted and radiated power limits for LTE and mmW radio using Eq. (5a) & (5b), respectively:

   $$Time\ avearged\ LTE\ power\ limit = meas.P_{limit} + 10 \times \log(\frac{FCC\ SAR\ limit}{meas.SAR_Plimit}) \qquad (5a)$$

   $$Time\ avearged\ mmW\ NR\ power\ limit = meas.EIRP_{input.power.limit} + 10 \times \log(\frac{FCC\ PD\ limit}{meas.PD_input.power.limit}) \qquad (5b)$$

   where $meas.EIRP_{input.power.limit}$ and $meas.PD_input.power.limit$ correspond to measured EIRP at *input.power.limit* and measured power density at *input.power.limit*.

6. Make another plot containing: (a) computed normalized 100s-averaged 1gSAR or 10gSAR versus time determined in Step 3, (b) computed normalized 4s-averaged 4cm^{2}PD versus time determined in Step 4, and (c) corresponding total normalized time-averaged RF exposure (sum of steps (6.a) and (6.b)) versus time.

The validation criteria are, at all times, the total normalized time-averaged RF exposure versus time determined in Step 6.c shall not exceed the normalized limit of 1.0 of FCC requirement (i.e., Eq. (2c)).

4.3.2 Switch in SAR vs. PD exposure during transmission

This test is to demonstrate that Smart Transmit feature is independent of the nature of exposure (SAR vs. PD), accurately accounts for switching in exposures among SAR dominant, SAR+PD, and PD dominant scenarios, and ensures total time-averaged RF exposure compliance.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

Test procedure:

1. Measure conducted Tx power corresponding to P_{limit} for LTE in selected band, and measure radiated Tx power corresponding to *input.power.limit* in desired mmW band/channel/beam by following below steps:
   a. Measure radiated power corresponding to *input.power.limit* by setting up the EUT's Tx power in desired band/channel/beam at *input.power.limit* in FTM. This test is performed in a calibrated anechoic chamber. Rotate the EUT to obtain maximum radiated Tx power, keep the EUT in this position and do not disturb the position of the EUT inside the anechoic chamber for the rest of this test.
   b. Reset EUT to place in online mode and establish radio link in LTE, measure conducted Tx power corresponding to LTE P_{limit} with Smart Transmit enabled and *Reserve_power_margin* set to 0 dB, callbox set to request maximum power.
2. Set *Reserve_power_margin* to actual (intended) value and reset power in EUT, with EUT setup for LTE + mmW call, perform the following steps:
   a. Establish LTE (sub-6) and mmW NR connection with callbox.
   b. As soon as the mmW connection is established, immediately request all-down bits on LTE link. Continue LTE (all-down bits) + mmW transmission for more than 100s duration to test predominantly PD exposure scenario (as SAR exposure is negligible from all-down bits in LTE).
   c. After 120s, request LTE to go all-up bits, mmW transmission should gradually run out of RF exposure margin if LTE's $P_{limit} < P_{max}$ and seize mmW transmission (SAR only scenario); or mmW transmission should gradually reduce in Tx power and will sustain the connection if LTE's $P_{limit} > P_{max}$.
   d. After 75s, request LTE to go all-down bits, mmW transmission should start getting back RF exposure margin and resume transmission again.
   e. Record the conducted Tx power of LTE and radiated Tx power of mmW for the entire duration of this test of at least 300s.
3. Once the measurement is done, extract instantaneous Tx power versus time for both LTE and mmW links. Convert the conducted Tx power for LTE into 1gSAR or 10gSAR value using Eq. (2a) and P_{limit} measured in Step 1.b, and then divide by FCC limit of 1.6 W/kg for 1gSAR or 4.0 W/kg for 10gSAR to obtain instantaneous normalized 1gSAR or 10gSAR versus time. Perform 100s running average to determine normalized 100s-averaged 1gSAR or 10gSAR versus time.

   NOTE: In Eq.(2a), instantaneous Tx power is converted into instantaneous 1gSAR or 10gSAR value by applying the measured worst-case 1gSAR or 10gSAR value at P_{limit} for the corresponding technology/band/antenna/DSI reported in Part 1 report.

4. Similarly, convert the radiated Tx power for mmW into 4cm^{2}PD value using Eq. (2b) and the radiated Tx power limit (i.e., radiated Tx power at *input.power.limit*) measured in Step 1.a, then divide this by FCC 4cm^{2}PD limit of 10W/m^2 to obtain instantaneous normalized 4cm^{2}PD versus time. Perform 4s running average to determine normalized 4s-averaged 4cm^{2}PD versus time.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

NOTE: In Eq.(2b), instantaneous radiated Tx power is converted into instantaneous 4cm^{2}PD by applying the worst-case 4cm^{2}PD value measured at *input.power.limit* for the selected band/beam in Part 1 report.

5. Make one plot containing: (a) instantaneous conducted Tx power for LTE versus time, (b) computed 100s-averaged conducted Tx power for LTE versus time, (c) instantaneous radiated Tx power for mmW versus time, as measured in Step 2, (d) computed 4s-averaged radiated Tx power for mmW versus time, and (e) time-averaged conducted and radiated power limits for LTE and mmW radio using Eq. (5a) & (5b), respectively.
6. Make another plot containing: (a) computed normalized 100s-averaged 1gSAR or 10gSAR versus time determined in Step 3, (b) computed normalized 4s-averaged 4cm^{2}PD versus time determined in Step 4, and (c) corresponding total normalized time-averaged RF exposure (sum of steps (6.a) and (6.b)) versus time.

The validation criteria are, at all times, the total normalized time-averaged RF exposure versus time determined in Step 6.c shall not exceed the normalized limit of 1.0 of FCC requirement (i.e., Eq. (2c)).

4.3.3 Change in antenna configuration (beam)

This test is to demonstrate the correct power control by Smart Transmit during changes in antenna configuration (beam). Since the *input.power.limit* varies with beam, the Eq. (2a), (2b) and (2c) in Section 2 are written as below for transmission scenario having change in beam,

$$1g_or_10gSAR(t) = \frac{conducted_Tx_power(t)}{conducted_Tx_power_P_{limit}} * 1g_or_10gSAR_P_{limit} \qquad (8a)$$

$$4cm^2PD_1(t) = \frac{radiated_Tx_power_1(t)}{radiated_Tx_power_input.power.limit_1} * 4cm^2PD_input.power.limit_1 \qquad (8b)$$

$$4cm^2PD_2(t) = \frac{radiated_Tx_power_2(t)}{radiated_Tx_power_input.power.limit_2} * 4cm^2PD_input.power.limit_2 \qquad (8c)$$

$$\frac{\frac{1}{T_{SAR}}\int_{t-T_{SAR}}^{t} 1g_or_10gSAR(t)dt}{FCC\ SAR\ limit} + \frac{\frac{1}{T_{PD}}\left[\int_{t-T_{PD}}^{t_1} 4cm^2\mathrm{PD}_1(t)dt + \int_{t1}^{t} 4cm^2\mathrm{PD}_2(t)dt\right]}{FCC4cm^2\ PD\ limit} \leq 1 \qquad (8d)$$

where, $conducted_Tx_power(t)$, $conducted_Tx_power_P_{limit}$, and $1g_or_10gSAR_P_{limit}$ correspond to the measured instantaneous conducted Tx power, measured conducted Tx power at P_{limit}, and measured *1gSAR or 10gSAR* values at P_{limit} corresponding to LTE transmission. Similarly, *radiated_Tx_power_1(t)*, *radiated_Tx_power_input.power.limit_1*, and *4cm^{2}PD_input.power.limit_1* correspond to the measured instantaneous radiated Tx power, radiated Tx power at *input.power.limit*, and *4cm^{2}PD* value at *input.power.limit* of beam 1; *radiated_Tx_power_2(t)*, *radiated_Tx_power_input.power.limit_2*, and *4cm^{2}PD_input.power.limit_2* correspond to the measured instantaneous radiated Tx power, radiated Tx power at *input.power.limit*, and *4cm^{2}PD* value at *input.power.limit* of beam 2 corresponding to mmW transmission.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

Test procedure:

1. Measure conducted Tx power corresponding to P_{limit} for LTE in selected band, and measure radiated Tx power corresponding to *input.power.limit* in desired mmW band/channel/beam by following below steps:
    a. Measure radiated power corresponding to mmW *input.power.limit* by setting up the EUT's Tx power in desired band/channel at *input.power.limit* of beam 1 in FTM. Do not disturb the position of the EUT inside the anechoic chamber for the rest of this test. Repeat this Step 1.a for beam 2.
    b. Reset EUT to place in online mode and establish radio link in LTE, measure conducted Tx power corresponding to LTE P_{limit} with Smart Transmit enabled and *Reserve_power_margin* set to 0 dB, callbox set to request maximum power.
2. Set *Reserve_power_margin* to actual (intended) value and reset power in EUT, With EUT setup for LTE + mmW connection, perform the following steps:
    a. Establish LTE (sub-6) and mmW NR connection in beam 1. As soon as the mmW connection is established, immediately request all-down bits on LTE link with the callbox requesting EUT's Tx power to be at maximum mmW power.
    b. After beam 1 continues transmission for at least 20s, request the EUT to change from beam 1 to beam 2, and continue transmitting with beam 2 for at least 20s.
    c. Record the conducted Tx power of LTE and radiated Tx power of mmW for the entire duration of this test.
3. Once the measurement is done, extract instantaneous Tx power versus time for both LTE and mmW links. Convert the conducted Tx power for LTE into 1gSAR or 10gSAR value using the similar approach described in Step 3 of Section 4.3.2. Perform 100s running average to determine normalized 100s-averaged 1gSAR versus time.
4. Similarly, convert the radiated Tx power for mmW NR into 4cm^{2}PD value using Eq. (8b), (8c) and the radiated Tx power limits (i.e., radiated Tx power at *input.power.limit*) measured in Step 1.a for beam 1 and beam 2, respectively, and then divide the resulted PD values by FCC 4cm^{2}PD limit of 10W/m^2 to obtain instantaneous normalized 4cm^{2}PD versus time for beam 1 and beam 2. Perform 4s running average to determine normalized 4s-averaged 4cm^{2}PD versus time.

    NOTE: In Eq.(8b) and (8c), instantaneous radiated Tx power of beam 1 and beam 2 is converted into instantaneous 4cm^{2}PD by applying the worst-case 4cm^{2}PD value measured at the *input.power.limit* of beam 1 and beam 2 in Part 1 report, respectively.

5. Since the measured radiated powers for beam 1 and beam 2 in Step 1.a were performed at an arbitrary rotation of EUT in anechoic chamber, repeat Step 1.a of this procedure by rotating the EUT to determine maximum radiated power at *input.power.limit* in FTM mode for both beams separately. Re-scale the measured instantaneous radiated power in Step 2.c by the delta in radiated power measured in Step 5 and the radiated power measured in Step 1.a for plotting purposes in next Step. In other words, this step essentially converts measured instantaneous radiated power during the measurement in Step 2 into maximum instantaneous radiated power for both beams. Perform 4s running average to compute 4s-avearged radiated Tx power. Additionally, use these EIRP values measured at *input.power.limit* at respective peak locations to determine the EIRP limits (using Eq. (5b)) for both these beams.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

6. Make one plot containing: (a) instantaneous conducted Tx power for LTE versus time, (b) computed 100s-averaged conducted Tx power for LTE versus time, (c) instantaneous radiated Tx power for mmW versus time, as obtained in Step 5, (d) computed 4s-averaged radiated Tx power for mmW versus time, as obtained in Step 5, and (e) time-averaged conducted and radiated power limits for LTE and mmW radio, respectively.
7. Make another plot containing: (a) computed normalized 100s-averaged 1gSAR versus time determined in Step 3, (b) computed normalized 4s-averaged $4cm^2$PD versus time determined in Step 4, and (c) corresponding total normalized time-averaged RF exposure (sum of steps (6.a) and (6.b)) versus time.

The validation criteria are, at all times, the total normalized time-averaged RF exposure versus time determined in Step 6.c shall not exceed the normalized limit of 1.0 of FCC requirement (i.e., (8d)).

4.4 Test procedure for time-varying PD measurements

The following steps are used to perform the validation through PD measurement for transmission scenario 1 described in Section 2:

1. Place the EUT on the cDASY6 platform to perform PD measurement in the worst-case position/surface for the selected mmW band/beam. In PD measurement, the callbox is set to request maximum Tx power from EUT all the time. Hence, "path loss" calibration between callbox antenna and EUT is not needed in this test.
2. Time averaging feature validation:
   a. Measure conducted Tx power corresponding to P_{limit} for LTE in selected band, and measure point E-field corresponding to *input.power.limit* in desired mmW band/channel/beam by following the below steps:
      i. Measure conducted Tx power corresponding to LTE P_{limit} with Smart Transmit <u>enabled</u> and *Reserve_power_margin* set to 0 dB, with callbox set to request maximum power.
      ii. Measure point E-field at peak location of fast area scan corresponding to *input.power.limit* by setting up the EUT's Tx power in desired mmW band/channel/beam at *input.power.limit* in FTM. Do not disturb the position of EUT and mmW cDASY6 probe.
   b. Set *Reserve_power_margin* to actual value (i.e., intended value) and reset power on EUT, place EUT in online mode. With EUT setup for LTE (sub-6) + mmW NR call, as soon as the mmW NR connection is established, request all-down bits on LTE link. Continue LTE (all-down bits) + mmW transmission for more than 100s duration to test predominantly PD exposure scenario. After 120s, request LTE to go all-up bits, mmW transmission should gradually reduce. Simultaneously, record the conducted Tx power of LTE transmission using power meter and point E-field (in terms of ratio of $\frac{[pointE(t)]^2}{[pointE_input.power.limit]^2}$) of mmW transmission using cDASY6 E-field probe at peak location identified in Step 2.a.ii for the entire duration of this test of at least 300s.
   c. Once the measurement is done, extract instantaneous conducted Tx power versus time for LTE transmission and $\frac{[pointE(t)]^2}{[pointE_input.power.limit]^2}$ ratio versus time from cDASY6 system for mmW transmission. Convert the conducted Tx power for LTE into 1gSAR or

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

10gSAR value using Eq. (4a) and P_{limit} measured in Step 2.a.i, and then divide this by FCC limit of 1.6 W/kg for 1gSAR or 4.0 W/kg for 10gSAR to obtain instantaneous normalized 1gSAR or 10gSAR versus time. Perform 100s running average to determine normalized 100s-averaged 1gSAR or 10gSAR versus time

NOTE: In Eq.(4a), instantaneous Tx power is converted into instantaneous 1gSAR or 10gSAR value by applying the measured worst-case 1gSAR or 10gSAR value at P_{limit} for the corresponding technology/band reported in Part 1 report.

d. Similarly, convert the point E-field for mmW transmission into 4cm^{2}PD value using Eq. (4b) and radiated power limit measured in Step 2.a.ii, and then divide this by FCC 4cm^{2}PD limit of 10W/m^2 to obtain instantaneous normalized 4cm^{2}PD versus time. Perform 4s running average to determine normalized 4s-averaged 4cm^{2}PD versus time.

e. Make one plot containing: (i) computed normalized 100s-averaged 1gSAR or 10gSAR versus time determined in Step 2.c, (ii) computed normalized 4s-averaged 4cm^{2}PD versus time determined in Step 2.d, and (iii) corresponding total normalized time-averaged RF exposure (sum of steps (2.e.i) and (2.e.ii)) versus time.

The validation criteria are, at all times, the total normalized time-averaged RF exposure versus time determined in Step 2.e.iii shall not exceed the normalized limit of 1.0 of FCC requirement (i.e., Eq. (4c)).

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

5 Test Configurations

5.1 WWAN (sub-6) transmission

The P_{limit} values, corresponding to 1.0 W/kg (1gSAR) and 2.5 W/kg (10gSAR) of *SAR_design_target*, for technologies and bands supported by EUT are derived in Part 0 report and summarized in Table 5-1. Note all P_{limit} power levels entered in Table 5-1 correspond to average power levels after accounting for duty cycle in the case of TDD modulation schemes (for e.g., GSM, LTE TDD & Sub6 NR TDD).

Table 5-1: P_{limit} for supported technologies and bands (P_{limit} in EFS file)

Exposure scenario		head	body worn / extremity	hotspot	extremity	earPhone	Maximum tune up target power*
avg. vol:		1g	1g / 10g	1g	10g	10g	
spacing:		0mm	15mm / 6,8,11mm	10mm	0mm	0mm	
DSI:		2	0	3	1	4	
Tech/Band	Antenna	**Plimit corresponding to 1mW/g (SAR_design_target)**					
GSM/GPRS/EDGE 850 MHz	A	31.1	30.9	26.1	26.1	26.1	24.8
GSM/GPRS/EDGE 1900 MHz	A	34.0	25.5	18.8	**18.8**	18.8	21.3
UMTS B5	A	31.6	30.6	26.0	26.0	26.0	24.0
UMTS B4	A	32.6	25.0	19.0	**19.0**	19.0	23.5
UMTS B2	A	32.9	24.9	**18.5**	18.5	18.5	23.5
CDMA/EVDO BC0	A	31.1	30.8	26.2	26.2	26.2	24.8
CDMA/EVDO BC10	A	32.0	31.6	26.2	26.2	26.2	24.8
CDMA/EVDO BC1	A	32.6	24.8	**18.5**	19.0	19.0	23.5
LTE FDD B71	A	34.9	32.6	29.8	29.8	29.8	24.5
LTE FDD B12	A	34.1	32.2	29.6	29.6	29.6	24.8
LTE FDD B13	A	32.9	30.9	27.2	27.2	27.2	24.8
LTE FDD B14	A	31.5	30.3	26.7	26.7	26.7	24.8
LTE FDD B5	A	31.8	30.9	26.1	26.1	26.1	24.8
LTE FDD B26	A	31.6	30.5	25.8	25.8	25.8	24.8
LTE FDD B66/4	A	32.7	24.8	19.5	19.8	19.8	24.0
LTE FDD B2	A	33.0	25.2	18.5	18.5	18.5	23.5
LTE FDD B25	A	33.0	25.2	18.5	18.5	18.5	23.5
LTE FDD B30	A	32.8	24.7	18.2	**20.5**	20.5	22.0
LTE FDD B7	B	32.6	27.5	19.5	20.5	20.5	23.0
LTE TDD B41 PC3	B	34.6	29.5	19.0	21.5	21.5	22.0
LTE TDD B41 PC2	B	34.6	29.5	19.0	21.5	21.5	23.1
LTE TDD B38	B	28.0	28.0	19.0	19.0	19.0	22.0
LTE TDD B48	G	**16.5**	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	21.0
SUB6 NR FDD n71	A	34.3	31.9	29.4	29.4	29.4	24.5
SUB6 NR FDD n5	A	31.7	31.0	25.8	25.8	25.8	24.8
SUB6 NR FDD n66	A	32.8	25.4	19.8	**19.8**	19.8	24.0
SUB6 NR FDD n2	A	32.5	26.1	**18.5**	18.5	18.5	23.5
SUB6 NR TDD n41	F	18.2	22.9	22.9	22.9	22.9	17.5

* Maximum tune up target power, P_{max}, is configured in NV settings in EUT to limit maximum transmitting power. This power is converted into peak power in NV settings for TDD schemes. The EUT maximum allowed output power is equal to P_{max} + 1dB device uncertainty.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

Based on selection criteria described in Section 3.2.1, the selected technologies/bands for testing time-varying test sequences are highlighted in yellow in Table 5-1. As per Part 1 report, the *Reserve_power_margin* (dB) for Samsung portable handset (FCC ID: A3LSMG986U) is set to 3dB in EFS, and is used in Part 2 test.

The radio configurations used in Part 2 test for selected technologies, bands, DSIs and antennas are listed in Table 5-2. The corresponding worst-case radio configuration 1gSAR or 10gSAR values for selected technology/band/DSI are extracted from Part 1 report and are listed in the last column of Table 5-2.

Based on equations (1a), (2a), (3a) and (4a), it is clear that Part 2 testing outcome is normalized quantity, which implies that it can be applied to any radio configuration within a selected technology/band/DSI. Thus, as long as applying the worst-case SAR obtained from the worst radio configuration in Part 1 testing to calculate time-varying SAR exposure in equations (1a), (2a), (3a) and (4a), the accuracy in compliance demonstration remains the same.

Table 5-2: Radio configurations selected for Part 2 test

Part 2 test configurations												Part 1 worst-case radio config 1g or 10g SAR measured at Plimit (W/kg)
Test case#	Test scenario	Tech	Band	Ant	DSI	Channel	Freq (MHz)	RB/offset	Mode	Device position	Detail	
1	time-varying Tx power transmission	LTE	B30	A	1	27710	2310	1/0/10 MHz	QPSK	bottom	extremity-grip-sensor/10g/0mm	2.210
2			B48	G	2	55990	3625	1/0/20 MHz	QPSK	head RT	head RT/1g	1.040
3		WCDMA	B2	A	3	9400	1880	-	RMC	bottom	hotspot/1g/10mm	0.868
4			B4	A	1	1412	1732.4	-	RMC	bottom	extremity-grip-sensor/10g/0mm	2.670
5		CDMA	BC1	A	3	600	1880	-	EVDO Rev. 0	bottom	hotspot/1g/10mm	0.941
6		GSM	1900	A	1	661	1880	-	GPRS/ 3 slots	bottom	extremity-grip-sensor/10g/0mm	2.510
7		sub6 NR	n66	A	1	349000	1745	1/53/20 MHz	QPSK	bottom	extremity-grip-sensor/10g/0mm	2.770
8			n2	A	3	380000	1900	1/1/20 MHz	QPSK	bottom	hotspot/1g/10mm	0.784
9	change in call	LTE	B48	G	2	55990	3625	1/0/20 MHz	QPSK	head RT	head RT/1g	1.040
10	Tech/band switch	LTE	B30	A	3	27710	2310	1/0/10 MHz	QPSK	bottom	hotspot/1g/10mm	1.020
		WCDMA	B4	A	3	1412	1732.4	-	RMC	bottom	hotspot/1g/10mm	0.893
11	DSI switch	LTE	B30	A	3	27710	2310	1/0/10 MHz	QPSK	bottom	hotspot/1g/10mm	1.020
		LTE	B30	A	1	27710	2310	1/0/10 MHz	QPSK	bottom	extremity-grip-sensor/10g/0mm	2.210
12	Time window/ antenna switch	LTE	B30	A	3	27710	2310	1/0/10 MHz	QPSK	bottom	hotspot/1g/10mm	1.020
		LTE	B48	G	3	55990	3625	1/0/20 MHz	QPSK	bottom	hotspot/1g/10mm	0.838
13	SAR1 vs SAR2	LTE	B5	A	3	20525	836.5	1/0/10 MHz	QPSK	bottom	hotspot/1g/10mm	0.664
		sub6 NR	n2	A	3	380000	1900	1/1/20 MHz	QPSK	bottom	hotspot/1g/10mm	0.784

Note that the EUT has a proximity sensor to manage extremity exposure, which is represented using DSI = 1; the head exposure can be distinguished through audio receiver mode, represented as DSI = 2; similarly, the hotspot exposure is distinguished via hotspot mode, represented as DSI = 3; the exposure for headset jack active scenario is represented using DSI = 4 and is managed as the same exposure condition as extremity exposure at 0 mm; DSI = 0 represents all other exposures which cannot be distinguished, thus, in this case, the maximum 1gSAR and/or 10gSAR among all remaining exposure scenarios or the minimum P_{limit} among all remaining exposure scenarios (i.e., body worn 1gSAR evaluation at 15mm spacing, phablet 10gSAR extremity evaluation at 6~11mm spacing, phablet 10gSAR extremity evaluation at 0mm spacing for left and right surfaces) is used in Smart Transmit feature for time averaging operation.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

Based on the selection criteria described in Section 3.2, the radio configurations for the Tx varying transmission test cases listed in Section 2 are:

1. Technologies and bands for time-varying Tx power transmission: The test case 1~8 listed in Table 5-2 are selected to test with the test sequences defined in Section 3.1 in both time-varying conducted power measurement and time-varying SAR measurement. Note that only one GSM and one CDMA EVDO bands were selected as the second band for these technologies has P_{limit} greater than P_{max}, requiring no Tx power limitation.
2. Technology and band for change in call test: LTE B48 having the lowest P_{limit} among all technologies and bands (test case 9 in Table 5-2) is selected for performing the call drop test in conducted power setup.
3. Technologies and bands for change in technology/band test: Following the guidelines in Section 3.2.3 and 3.2.4, test case 10 in Table 5-2 is selected for handover test from a technology/band/antenna with highest P_{limit} within one technology group (WCDMA B4, DSI=3 hotspot mode, antenna A), to a technology/band in the same DSI with lowest P_{limit} within another technology group (LTE B30, DSI=3, antenna A) in conducted power setup.
4. Technologies and bands for change in DSI: Based on selection criteria in Section 3.2.5, for a given technology and band, test case 11 in Table 5-2 is selected for DSI switch test by establishing a call in LTE B30 in hotspot condition (i.e., DSI=3), and then handing over to DSI = 1 with grip sensor triggered exposure scenario in conducted power setup.
5. Technologies and bands for change in time-window/antenna: Based on selection criteria in Section 3.2.6, for a given DSI=3 hotspot mode, test case 12 in Table 5-2 is selected for time window switch between 60s window (LTE B48, Antenna G) and 100s window (LTE B30, Antenna A) in conducted power setup.
6. Technologies and bands for switch in SAR exposure: Based on selection criteria in Section 3.2.7 Scenario 1, test case 13 in Table 5-2 is selected for SAR exposure switching test in one of the supported simultaneous WWAN transmission scenario, i.e., LTE + Sub6 NR active in the same 100s time window, in conducted power setup. Since this device supports LTE+mmW NR, test for Section 3.2.7 Scenario 2 for RF exposure switch is covered in Sections 8.2.3 and 8.2.4 between LTE (100s window) and mmW NR (4s window).

5.2 LTE + mmW NR transmission

Based on the selection criteria described in Section 4.2, the selections for LTE and mmW NR validation test are listed in Table 5-3. The radio configurations used in this test are listed in Table 5-4.

Table 5-3 Selections for LTE + mmW NR validation measurements

Transmission Scenario	Test	Technology and Band	mmW Beam
Time-varying Tx power test	1. Cond. & Rad. Power meas. 2. PD meas.	LTE Band 2 and n261	Beam ID 41
		LTE Band 2 and n260	Beam ID 41
Switch in SAR vs. PD	1. Cond. & Rad. Power meas.	LTE Band 2 and n261	Beam ID 41
		LTE Band 2 and n260	Beam ID 41
Beam switch test	1. Cond. & Rad. Power meas.	LTE Band 2 and n261	Beam ID 25 to Beam ID 0
		LTE Band 2 and n260	Beam ID 25 to Beam ID 0

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

Table 5-4: Test configuration for LTE + mmW NR validation

Tech	Band	Antenna	DSI	Channel	RB/Offset	Freq (MHz)	Mode	UL Duty Cycle
LTE	B2	A	3	18900	50	1880	QPSK	100%
mmW NR	N261	J	--	2084035	66/0	28292.16	CP-OFDM, QPSK	75.6%*
	N260	J	--	2278331	66/0	39949.92	CP-OFDM, QPSK	75.6%*

* mmW NR callbox UL duty cycle should be configured to be greater than 75% for all LTE+mmW NR Part 2 tests.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

6 Conducted Power Test Results for Sub-6 Smart Transmit Feature Validation

6.1 Measurement setup

The Rohde & Schwarz CMW500 callbox is used in this test. The test setup picture and schematic are shown in Figures 6-1a & 6-1c for measurements with a single antenna of EUT, and in Figures 6-1b & 6-1d for measurements involving antenna switch (see Appendix E for missing figures). For single antenna measurement, one port (RF1 COM) of the callbox is connected to the RF port of the EUT using a directional coupler. For antenna & technology switch measurement, two ports (RF1 COM and RF3 COM) of the callbox used for signaling two different technologies are connected to a combiner, which is in turn connected to a directional coupler. The other end of the directional coupler is connected to a splitter to connect to two RF ports of the EUT corresponding to the two antennas of interest. In both the setups, power meter is used to tap the directional coupler for measuring the conducted output power of the EUT. For time averaging validation test (Section 3.3.1), call drop test (Section 3.3.2), and DSI switch test (Section 3.3.4), only RF1 COM port of the callbox is used to communicate with the EUT. For technology/band switch measurement (Section. 3.3.3), both RF1 COM and RF3 COM port of callbox are used to switch from one technology communicating on RF1 COM port to another technology communicating on RF3 COM port. Note that for this EUT, antenna switch test (Section 3.3.4) is included within time-window switch test (Section 3.3.6) as the selected technology/band combinations for the time-window switch test are on two different antennas. All the path losses from RF port of EUT to the callbox RF COM port and to the power meter are calibrated and automatically entered as offsets in the callbox and the power meter via test scripts on the PC used to control callbox and power meter.

<u>LTE+Sub6 NR test setup:</u>

If LTE conducted port and Sub6 NR conducted port are same on this EUT (i.e., they share the same antenna), then low-/high-pass filter is used to separate LTE and Sub6 NR signals for power meter measurement via directional couplers, as shown in below Figures 6-1e & 6-1f.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

Figure

(a)

Figure

(b)



(c)

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION



(d)



(e)



(f)

Figure 6-1 Conducted power measurement setup

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

Both the callbox and power meter are connected to the PC using GPIB cables. Two test scripts are custom made for automation, and the test duration set in the test scripts is 500 seconds.

For time-varying Tx power measurement, the PC runs the 1st test script to send GPIB commands to control the callbox's requested power versus time, while at the same time to record the conducted power measured at EUT RF port using the power meter. The commands sent to the callbox to request power are:

- 0dBm for 100 seconds
- test sequence 1 or test sequence 2 (defined in Section 3.1 and generated in Section 3.2.1), for 360 seconds
- stay at the last power level of test sequence 1 or test sequence 2 for the remaining time.

Power meter readings are periodically recorded every 100ms. A running average of this measured Tx power over 100 seconds is performed in the post-data processing to determine the 100s-time averaged power.

For call drop, technology/band/antenna switch, and DSI switch tests, after the call is established, the callbox is set to request the EUT's Tx power at 0dBm for 100 seconds while simultaneously starting the 2nd test script runs at the same time to start recording the Tx power measured at EUT RF port using the power meter. After the initial 100 seconds since starting the Tx power recording, the callbox is set to request maximum power from the EUT for the rest of the test. Note that the call drop/re-establish, or technology/band/antenna switch or DSI switch is manually performed when the Tx power of EUT is at $P_{reserve}$ level. See Section 3.3 for detailed test procedure of call drop test, technology/band/antenna switch test and DSI switch test.

6.2 P_{limit} and P_{max} measurement results

The measured P_{limit} for all the selected radio configurations given in Table 5-2 are listed in below Table 6-1. P_{max} was also measured for radio configurations selected for testing time-varying Tx power transmission scenarios in order to generate test sequences following the test procedures in Section 3.1.

Table 6-1: Measured P_{limit} and P_{max} of selected radio configurations

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

Test case#	Test scenario	Tech	Band	Ant	DSI	Channel	Freq (MHz)	RB/offset	Mode	Detail	Plimit EFS setting (dBm)	Tune up target power Pmax (dBm) (avg power)	measured Plimit (dBm)	measured Pmax (dBm)
1	time-varying Tx power transmission	LTE	B30	A	1	27710	2310	1/0/10 MHz	QPSK	extremity-grip-sensor/10g/0mm	20.50	22.20	20.96	22.55
2			B48	G	2	55990	3625	1/0/20 MHz	QPSK	head RT/1g	16.50	21.01	17.28	20.24
3		WCDMA	B2	A	3	9400	1880	-	RMC	hotspot/1g/10mm	18.50	23.50	18.82	23.95
4			B4	A	1	1412	1732.4	-	RMC	extremity-grip-sensor/10g/0mm	19.00	23.50	19.41	23.66
5		CDMA	BC1	A	3	600	1880	-	EVDO Rev. 0	hotspot/1g/10mm	18.50	24.00	18.44	23.52
6		GSM	1900	A	1	661	1880	-	GPRS/ 3 slots	extremity-grip-sensor/10g/0mm	18.80	21.09*	18.50	20.27
7		sub6 NR	n66	A	1	349000	1745	1/53/20 MHz	QPSK	extremity-grip-sensor/10g/0mm	19.80	24.00	20.30	23.70
8			n2	A	3	380000	1900	1/1/20 MHz	QPSK	hotspot/1g/10mm	18.50	23.50	18.55	22.70
9	change in call	LTE	B48	G	2	55990	3625	1/0/20 MHz	QPSK	head RT/1g	16.50	21.01	17.28	
10	Tech/band switch	LTE	B30	A	3	27710	2310	1/0/10 MHz	QPSK	hotspot/1g/10mm	18.20	22.20	18.20	
		WCDMA	B4	A	3	1412	1732.4	-	RMC	hotspot/1g/10mm	19.00	23.50	19.38	
11	DSI switch	LTE	B30	A	3	27710	2310	1/0/10 MHz	QPSK	hotspot/1g/10mm	18.20	22.20	18.20	
		LTE	B30	A	1	27710	2310	1/0/10 MHz	QPSK	extremity-grip-sensor/10g/0mm	20.50	22.20	20.96	
12	Time window / antenna switch	LTE	B30	A	3	27710	2310	1/0/10 MHz	QPSK	hotspot/1g/10mm	18.20	22.20	18.20	
		LTE	B48	G	3	55990	3625	1/0/20 MHz	QPSK	hotspot/1g/10mm	22.50	21.01	20.24	
13	SAR exposure switch	LTE	B5	A	3	20525	836.5	1/0/10 MHz	QPSK	hotspot/1g/10mm	26.10	24.80	23.88	
		sub6 NR	n2	A	3	380000	1900	1/1/20 MHz	QPSK	hotspot/1g/10mm	18.50	23.50	18.55	

* Maximum tune up target power corresponding to 3 Tx slots

Note: the device uncertainty of P_{max} is +1dB/-1.5dB as provided by manufacturer.

6.3 Time-varying Tx power measurement results

The measurement setup is shown in Figures 6-1(a) and 6-1(c). The purpose of the time-varying Tx power measurement is to demonstrate the effectiveness of power limiting enforcement and that the time-averaged Tx power when represented in time-averaged 1gSAR or 10gSAR values does not exceed FCC limit as shown in Eq. (1a) and (1b), rewritten below:

$$1g_or_10gSAR(t) = \frac{conducted_Tx_power(t)}{conducted_Tx_power_P_{limit}} * 1g_or_10gSAR_P_{limit} \qquad (1a)$$

$$\frac{\frac{1}{T_{SAR}}\int_{t-T_{SAR}}^{t} 1g_or_10gSAR(t)dt}{FCC\ SAR\ limit} \leq 1 \qquad (1b)$$

where, $conducted_Tx_power(t)$, $conducted_Tx_power_P_{limit}$, and $1g_or_10gSAR_P_{limit}$ correspond to the measured instantaneous conducted Tx power, measured conducted Tx power at P_{limit}, and measured *1gSAR* and *10gSAR* values at P_{limit} reported in Part 1 test (listed in Table 5-2 of this report as well).

Following the test procedure in Section 3.3, the conducted Tx power measurement for all selected configurations are reported in this section. In all the conducted Tx power plots, the dotted line represents the requested power by callbox (test sequence 1 or test sequence 2), the blue curve represents the instantaneous conducted Tx power measured using power meter, the green curve represents time-averaged power and red line represents the conducted power limit that corresponds to FCC limit of 1.6 W/kg for 1gSAR or 4.0 W/kg for 10gSAR.

Similarly, in all the 1g or 10gSAR plots (when converted using Eq. (1a)), the green curve represents the 100s/60s-time averaged 1gSAR or 10gSAR value calculated based on instantaneous 1gSAR or 10gSAR; and the red line limit represents the FCC limit of 1.6 W/kg for 1gSAR or 4.0 W/kg for 10gSAR.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

Time-varying Tx power measurements were conducted on test cases #1 ~ #8 in Table 5-2, by generating test sequence 1 and test sequence 2 given in Appendix A using measured P_{limit} and measured P_{max} (last two columns of Table 6-1) for each of these test cases. Measurement results for test cases #1 ~ #8 are given in Sections 6.3.1 - 6.3.8.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

6.3.1 LTE Band 30 (test case 1 in Table 5-2)

Test result for test sequence 1:



Above time-averaged conducted Tx power is converted/calculated into time-averaged 10gSAR using Equation (1a) and plotted below to demonstrate that the time-averaged 10gSAR versus time does not exceed the FCC limit of 4.0 W/kg for 10gSAR:



	(W/kg)
FCC 10gSAR limit	4.0
Max 100s-time averaged 10gSAR (green curve)	2.05
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured SAR at P_{limit} (last column in Table 5-2).	

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

Test result for test sequence 2:



Above time-averaged conducted Tx power is converted/calculated into time-averaged 10gSAR using Equation (1a) and plotted below to demonstrate that the time-averaged 10gSAR versus time does not exceed the FCC limit of 4.0 W/kg for 10gSAR:



	(W/kg)
FCC 10gSAR limit	4
Max 100s-time averaged 10gSAR (green curve)	2.05
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured SAR at P_{limit} (last column in Table 5-2).	

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

6.3.2 LTE Band 48 (test case 2 in Table 5-2)

Test result for test sequence 1:



Above time-averaged conducted Tx power is converted/calculated into time-averaged 1gSAR using Equation (1a) and plotted below to demonstrate that the time-averaged 1gSAR versus time does not exceed the FCC limit of 1.6 W/kg for 1gSAR:



	(W/kg)
FCC 1gSAR limit	1.6
Max 60s-time averaged 1gSAR (green curve)	1.034
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured SAR at P_{limit} (last column in Table 5-2).	

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

Test result for test sequence 2:



Above time-averaged conducted Tx power is converted/calculated into time-averaged 1gSAR using Equation (1a) and plotted below to demonstrate that the time-averaged 1gSAR versus time does not exceed the FCC limit of 1.6 W/kg for 1gSAR:



	(W/kg)
FCC 1gSAR limit	1.6
Max 60s-time averaged 1gSAR (green curve)	1.021
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured SAR at P_{limit} (last column in Table 5-2).	

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

6.3.3 WCDMA Band 2 (test case 3 in Table 5-2)

Test result for test sequence 1:



Above time-averaged conducted Tx power is converted/calculated into time-averaged 1gSAR using Equation (1a) and plotted below to demonstrate that the time-averaged 1gSAR versus time does not exceed the FCC limit of 1.6 W/kg for 1gSAR:



	(W/kg)
FCC 1gSAR limit	1.6
Max 100s-time averaged 1gSAR (green curve)	0.909
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured SAR at P_{limit} (last column in Table 5-2).	

Test result for test sequence 2:



Above time-averaged conducted Tx power is converted/calculated into time-averaged 1gSAR using Equation (1a) and plotted below to demonstrate that the time-averaged 1gSAR versus time does not exceed the FCC limit of 1.6 W/kg for 1gSAR:



	(W/kg)
FCC 1gSAR limit	1.6
Max 100s-time averaged 1gSAR (green curve)	0.898
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured SAR at P_{limit} (last column in Table 5-2).	

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

6.3.4 WCDMA Band 4 (test case 4 in Table 5-2)

Test result for test sequence 1:



Above time-averaged conducted Tx power is converted/calculated into time-averaged 10gSAR using Equation (1a) and plotted below to demonstrate that the time-averaged 10gSAR versus time does not exceed the FCC limit of 4.0 W/kg for 10gSAR:



	(W/kg)
FCC 10gSAR limit	4.0
Max 100s-time averaged 10gSAR (green curve)	2.795
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured SAR at P_{limit} (last column in Table 5-2).	

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

Test result for test sequence 2:



Above time-averaged conducted Tx power is converted/calculated into time-averaged 10gSAR using Equation (1a) and plotted below to demonstrate that the time-averaged 10gSAR versus time does not exceed the FCC limit of 4.0 W/kg for 10gSAR:



	(W/kg)
FCC 10gSAR limit	4.0
Max 100s-time averaged 10gSAR (green curve)	2.774
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured SAR at P_{limit} (last column in Table 5-2).	

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

6.3.5 CDMA/EVDO BC1 (test case 5 in Table 5-2)

Test result for test sequence 1:



Above time-averaged conducted Tx power is converted/calculated into time-averaged 1gSAR using Equation (1a) and plotted below to demonstrate that the time-averaged 1gSAR versus time does not exceed the FCC limit of 1.6 W/kg for 1gSAR:



	(W/kg)
FCC 1gSAR limit	1.6
Max 100s-time averaged 1gSAR (green curve)	0.929
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured SAR at P_{limit} (last column in Table 5-2).	

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

Test result for test sequence 2:



Above time-averaged conducted Tx power is converted/calculated into time-averaged 1gSAR using Equation (1a) and plotted below to demonstrate that the time-averaged 1gSAR versus time does not exceed the FCC limit of 1.6 W/kg for 1gSAR:



	(W/kg)
FCC 1gSAR limit	1.6
Max 100s-time averaged 1gSAR (green curve)	0.928
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured SAR at P_{limit} (last column in Table 5-2).	

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

6.3.6 GSM1900 (test case 6 in Table 5-2)

Test result for test sequence 1:



Above time-averaged conducted Tx power is converted/calculated into time-averaged 10gSAR using Equation (1a) and plotted below to demonstrate that the time-averaged 10gSAR versus time does not exceed the FCC limit of 4.0 W/kg for 10gSAR:



	(W/kg)
FCC 10gSAR limit	4.0
Max 100s-time averaged 10gSAR (green curve)	2.535
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured SAR at P_{limit} (last column in Table 5-2).	

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

Test result for test sequence 2:



Above time-averaged conducted Tx power is converted/calculated into time-averaged 10gSAR using Equation (1a) and plotted below to demonstrate that the time-averaged 10gSAR versus time does not exceed the FCC limit of 4.0 W/kg for 10gSAR:



	(W/kg)
FCC 10gSAR limit	4.0
Max 100s-time averaged 10gSAR (green curve)	2.364
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured SAR at P_{limit} (last column in Table 5-2).	

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

6.3.7 Sub6 NR Band n66 (test case 7 in Table 5-2)

Test result for test sequence 1:



Above time-averaged conducted Tx power is converted/calculated into time-averaged 10gSAR using Equation (1a) and plotted below to demonstrate that the time-averaged 10gSAR versus time does not exceed the FCC limit of 4.0 W/kg for 10gSAR:



	(W/kg)
FCC 10gSAR limit	4.0
Max 100s-time averaged 10gSAR (green curve)	2.226
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of 75% (with 3dB *Reserve_power_margin* setting) of measured SAR at P_{limit} (last column in Table 5-2).	

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

Test result for test sequence 2:



Above time-averaged conducted Tx power is converted/calculated into time-averaged 10gSAR using Equation (1a) and plotted below to demonstrate that the time-averaged 10gSAR versus time does not exceed the FCC limit of 4.0 W/kg for 10gSAR:



	(W/kg)
FCC 10gSAR limit	4.0
Max 100s-time averaged 10gSAR (green curve)	2.161
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of 75% (with 3dB *Reserve_power_margin* setting) of measured SAR at P_{limit} (last column in Table 5-2).	

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

6.3.8 Sub6 NR Band n2 (test case 8 in Table 5-2)

Test result for test sequence 1:



Above time-averaged conducted Tx power is converted/calculated into time-averaged 1gSAR using Equation (1a) and plotted below to demonstrate that the time-averaged 1gSAR versus time does not exceed the FCC limit of 1.6 W/kg for 1gSAR:



	(W/kg)
FCC 1gSAR limit	1.6
Max 100s-time averaged 1gSAR (green curve)	0.598
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of 75% (with 3dB *Reserve_power_margin* setting) of measured SAR at P_{limit} (last column in Table 5-2).	

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

Test result for test sequence 2:



Above time-averaged conducted Tx power is converted/calculated into time-averaged 1gSAR using Equation (1a) and plotted below to demonstrate that the time-averaged 1gSAR versus time does not exceed the FCC limit of 1.6 W/kg for 1gSAR:



	(W/kg)
FCC 1gSAR limit	1.6
Max 100s-time averaged 1gSAR (green curve)	0.622
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of 75% (with 3dB *Reserve_power_margin* setting) of measured SAR at P_{limit} (last column in Table 5-2).	

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

6.4 Change in Call Test Results (test case 9 in Table 5-2)

This test was measured with LTE B48, Antenna G, DSI=2, and with callbox requesting maximum power. The call drop was manually performed when the EUT is transmitting at $P_{reserve}$ level as shown in the plot below (dotted black region). The measurement setup is shown in Figure 6-1(a) and (c). The detailed test procedure is described in Section 3.3.2.

<u>Call drop test result:</u>

Plot 1: Since the call was dropped around ~160s (i.e., one 60s time-window since the beginning of maximum power transmission at 100s), after the call was re-established, EUT starts transmitting again at maximum power as it is in the new time window instead of continuing transmission at $P_{reserve}$ level.



Note: The power level after the change in call resumed at maximum power as the new call was established after a new consecutive 60s time window. The conducted power plot shows expected Tx transition.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

Plot 2: Above time-averaged conducted Tx power is converted/calculated into time-averaged 1gSAR using Equation (1a) and plotted below to demonstrate that the time-averaged 1gSAR versus time does not exceed the FCC limit of 1.6 W/kg for 1gSAR:



	(W/kg)
FCC 1gSAR limit	1.6
Max 60s-time averaged 1gSAR (green curve)	1.024
Validated	

The test result validated the continuity of power limiting in call change scenario.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

6.5 Change in technology/band test results (test case 10 in Table 5-2)

This test was conducted with callbox requesting maximum power, and with antenna & technology switch from LTE B30, Antenna A, DSI = 3 (hotspot) to WCDMA B4, Antenna A, DSI =3 (hotspot). Following procedure detailed in Section 3.3.3, and using the measurement setup shown in Figure 6-1(a) and (c), the technology/band switch was performed when the EUT is transmitting at $P_{reserve}$ level as shown in the plot below (dotted black region).

Test result for change in technology/band:

Plot 1: Measured Tx power (dBm) versus time shows that the transmitting power changed from LTE B30, Antenna A, DSI =3 (hotspot) $P_{reserve}$ level to WCDMA B4, Antenna A, DSI = 3 (hotspot) $P_{reserve}$ level (within 1dB device uncertainty):



Note: As per Part 1 report, *Reserve_power_margin* = 3dB. Based on Table 5-1, EFS P_{limit} = 18.2dBm for LTE B30 (DSI=3), and EFS P_{limit} = 19.0dBm for WCDMA B4 (DSI=3), it can be seen from above plot that the difference in $P_{reserve}$ (= P_{limit} – *3dB Reserve_power_margin*) power level corresponds to the expected difference in P_{limit} levels of 0.8dB (within 1dB of sub6 radio design related uncertainty). Therefore, the conducted power plot shows expected transition in Tx power.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

Plot 2: All the time-averaged conducted Tx power measurement results were converted into time-averaged normalized SAR values using Equation (6a), (6b) and (6c), and plotted below to demonstrate that the time-averaged normalized SAR versus time does not exceed the normalized FCC limit of 1.0:



	(W/kg)
FCC normalized SAR limit	1.0
Max 100s-time averaged normalized SAR (green curve)	0.609
Validated	

The test result validated the continuity of power limiting in technology/band switch scenario.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

6.6 Change in DSI test results (test case 11 in Table 5-2)

This test was conducted with callbox requesting maximum power, and with DSI switch from LTE B30 DSI = 3 (hotspot) to DSI = 1 (extremity sensor triggered). Following procedure detailed in Section 3.3.5 using the measurement setup shown in Figure 6-1(a) and (c), the DSI switch was performed when the EUT is transmitting at $P_{reserve}$ level as shown in the plot below (dotted black circle).

Test result for change in DSI:

Plot 1: Measured Tx power (dBm) versus time shows that the transmitting power changed when DSI = 3 switches to DSI = 1:



Note: As per Part 1 report, *Reserve_power_margin* = 3dB. Based on Table 5-1, EFS P_{limit} = 18.2dBm for LTE B30 hotspot DSI = 3, and EFS P_{limit} = 20.5dBm for extremity DSI = 1. During the call, DSI switch was accomplished by going into the user menu on the device and turning off hotspot mode (DSI=3) at ~142s time stamp in above plot, and by placing the device on the table for the extremity grip sensor (DSI=1) to trigger at ~149s. During this transition time, i.e., between ~142s and ~149s, DSI is equal to '0' with EFS P_{limit} = 24.7dBm resulting in device transmitting at maximum power during the transition. The conducted power plot shows expected Tx power transition, i.e., from $P_{reserve}$ for the first DSI (=3) before transition (~142s) to the $P_{reserve}$ for the second DSI (=1) after transition (~149s) in the plot above. The difference in $P_{reserve}$ (= P_{limit} – *3dB Reserve_power_margin*) level corresponds to the expected different in P_{limit} levels of 2.3dB (within 1dB of sub6 radio design related uncertainty). Therefore, the conducted power plot shows expected transition in Tx power.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

Plot 2: All the time-averaged conducted Tx power measurement results were converted into time-averaged normalized SAR values using Equation (6a), (6b) and (6c), and plotted below to demonstrate that the time-averaged normalized SAR versus time does not exceed the FCC limit of 1 unit.



	(W/kg)
FCC normalized total exposure limit	1.0
Max 100s-time averaged normalized SAR (green curve)	0.649
Validated	

The test result validated the continuity of power limiting in DSI switch scenario.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

6.7 Change in Time window / antenna switch test results (test case 12 in Table 5-2)

This test was conducted with callbox requesting maximum power, and with time-window/antenna switch between LTE B30, Antenna A, DSI = 3 (100s window) and LTE B48, Antenna G, DSI = 3 (60s window). Following procedure detailed in Section 3.3.6, and using the measurement setup shown in Figure 6-1(b) and (d), the time-window switch via tech/band/antenna switch was performed when the EUT is transmitting at $P_{reserve}$ level.

6.7.1 Test case 1: transition from LTE B30 to LTE B48 (i.e., 100s to 60s), then back to LTE B30

Test result for change in time-window (from 100s to 60s to 100s):

Plot 1: Measured Tx power (dBm) versus time shows that the transmitting power changed when LTE B30 switches to LTE B48 (~245s timestamp) and switches back to LTE B30 (~310s timestamp):



Note: As per Part 1 report, *Reserve_power_margin* = 3dB. Based on Table 5-1, EFS P_{limit} = 18.2dBm for LTE B30 DSI = 3 (100s window), and EFS P_{limit} = 22.5dBm (P_{max} = 21dBm) for LTE B48 DSI = 3 (60s window). The conducted power plot shows expected transitions in Tx power at ~245s (100s-to-60s transition) and at ~310s (60s-to-100s transition) in order to maintain total time-averaged RF exposure compliance across time windows, as show in next plot.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

Plot 2: All the conducted Tx power measurement results were converted into time-averaged normalized SAR values using Equation (7a), (7b) and (7c), and plotted below to demonstrate that the time-averaged normalized SAR versus time does not exceed the FCC limit of 1 unit. Equation (7a) is used to convert the Tx power of device to obtain 100s-averaged normalized SAR in LTE B30 as shown in black curve. Similarly, equation (7b) is used to obtain 60s-averaged normalized SAR in LTE B48 as shown in orange curve. Equation (7c) is used to obtain total time-averaged normalized SAR as shown in green curve (i.e., sum of black and orange curves).



	(W/kg)
FCC normalized total exposure limit	1.0
Max time averaged normalized SAR (green curve)	0.666
Validated	

Plot Notes: Maximum power is requested by callbox for the entire duration of the test, with tech/band switches from 100s-to-60s window at ~245s time stamp, and from 60s-to-100s window at ~310s time stamp. Smart Transmit controls the Tx power during these time-window switches to ensure total time-averaged RF exposure, i.e., sum of black and orange curves given by equation (7c), is always compliant. In time-window switch test, at all times the total time-averaged normalized RF exposure (green curve) should not exceed normalized *SAR_design_target* + 1dB device uncertainty. In this test, with a maximum normalized SAR of 0.666 being ≤ 0.79 (= 1.0/1.6 + 1dB device uncertainty), the above test result validated the continuity of power limiting in time-window switch scenario.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

6.7.2 Test case 2: transition from LTE B48 to LTE B30 (i.e., 60s to 100s), then back to LTE B48

Test result for change in time-window (from 60s to 100s to 60s):

Plot 1: Measured Tx power (dBm) versus time shows that the transmitting power changed when LTE B48 switches to LTE B30 (~182s timestamp) and switches back to LTE B48 (~285s timestamp):



Note: As per Part 1 report, *Reserve_power_margin* = 3dB. Based on Table 5-1, EFS P_{limit} = 22.5dBm (P_{max} = 21dBm) for LTE B48 DSI = 3 (60s window), and EFS P_{limit} = 18.2dBm for LTE B30 DSI = 3 (100s window). The conducted power plot shows expected transitions in Tx power at ~182s (60s-to-100s transition) and at ~285s (100s-to-60s transition) in order to maintain total time-averaged RF exposure compliance across time windows, as show in next plot.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

Plot 2: All the conducted Tx power measurement results were converted into time-averaged normalized SAR values using Equation (7a), (7b) and (7c), and plotted below to demonstrate that the time-averaged normalized SAR versus time does not exceed the FCC limit of 1 unit. Equation (7a) is used to convert the Tx power of device to obtain 60s-averaged normalized SAR in LTE B48 as shown in black curve. Similarly, equation (7b) is used to obtain 100s-averaged normalized SAR in LTE B30 as shown in orange curve. Equation (7c) is used to obtain total time-averaged normalized SAR as shown in green curve (i.e., sum of black and orange curves).



	(W/kg)
FCC normalized total exposure limit	1.0
Max time averaged normalized SAR (green curve)	0.730
Validated	

Plot Notes: Maximum power is requested by callbox for the entire duration of the test, with tech/band switches from 60s-to-100s window at ~182s time stamp, and from 100s-to-60s window at ~285s time stamp. Smart Transmit controls the Tx power during these time-window switches to ensure total time-averaged RF exposure, i.e., sum of black and orange curves given by equation (7c), is always compliant. In time-window switch test, at all times the total time-averaged normalized RF exposure (green curve) should not exceed normalized *SAR_design_target* + 1dB device uncertainty. In this test, with a maximum normalized SAR of 0.730 being ≤ 0.79 (= 1.0/1.6 + 1dB device uncertainty), the above test result validated the continuity of power limiting in time-window switch scenario.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

6.8 Switch in SAR exposure test results (test case 13 in Table 5-2)

This test was conducted with callbox requesting maximum power, and with the EUT in LTE B5 + Sub6 NR Band n2 call. Here, LTE B5, Antenna A, DSI = 3 (100s window, EFS P_{limit} = 26.10 dBm, P_{max} = 24.80 dBm, measured P_{limit} = 23.88 dBm), and Sub6 NR Band n2, Antenna A, DSI = 3 (100s window, P_{limit} = 18.5dBm in EFS setting, EUT's average P_{max} = 23.5 dBm, measured P_{limit} = 18.55dBm). Following procedure detailed in Section 3.3.7 and Appendix B.2, and using the measurement setup shown in Figure 6-1(a) and (c) since LTE and Sub6 NR are sharing the same antenna port (otherwise, it should be Figure 6-1(b) and (d) for different antenna ports), the SAR exposure switch measurement is performed with the EUT in various SAR exposure scenarios, i.e., in SAR_{sub6NR} only scenario (t =10s ~125s), SAR_{su6NR} + SAR_{LTE} scenario (t =125s ~ 235s) and SAR_{LTE} only scenario (t > 235s).



Plot 2: All the conducted Tx power measurement results were converted into time-averaged normalized SAR values using Equation (7a), (7b) and (7c), and plotted below to demonstrate that the time-averaged normalized SAR versus time does not exceed the FCC limit of 1 unit. Equation (7a) is used to convert the LTE Tx power of device to obtain 100s-averaged normalized SAR in LTE B5 as shown in black curve. Similarly, equation (7b) is used to obtain 100s-averaged normalized SAR in Sub6 NR n2 as shown in orange curve. Equation (7c) is used to obtain total time-averaged normalized SAR as shown in green curve (i.e., sum of black and orange curves).

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION



	(W/kg)
FCC normalized total exposure limit	1.0
Max time averaged normalized SAR (green curve)	0.534
Validated	

Plot Notes: Device starts predominantly in Sub6 NR SAR exposure scenario between 5s and 125s, and in LTE SAR + Sub6 NR SAR exposure scenario between 125s and 235s, and in predominantly in LTE SAR exposure scenario after t=235s. Here, Smart Transmit allocates a maximum of 75% of exposure margin (based on 3dB reserve margin setting) for Sub6 NR. This corresponds to a normalized 1gSAR exposure value = 75% * 0.784W/kg measured SAR at Sub6 NR P_{limit} / 1.6W/kg limit = 0.368 ± 1dB device related uncertainty (see orange curve between 5s~120s). For predominantly LTE SAR exposure scenario, maximum normalized 1gSAR exposure should correspond to 100% exposure margin = 0.664W/kg measured SAR at LTE P_{limit} / 1.6W/kg limit = 0.415 ± 1dB device related uncertainty (see black curve after t = 235s). Additionally, in SAR exposure switch test, at all times the total time-averaged normalized RF exposure (green curve) should not exceed normalized *SAR_design_target* + 1dB device uncertainty. In this test, with a maximum normalized SAR of 0.534 being ≤ 0.79 (= 1.0/1.6 + 1dB device uncertainty), the above test result validated the continuity of power limiting in SAR exposure switch scenario.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

7 SAR Test Results for Sub-6 Smart Transmit Feature Validation

7.1 Measurement setup

The measurement setup in Figure 7-1 is similar to normal SAR measurements (see Appendix E for missing figures). The difference in SAR measurement setup for time averaging feature validation is that the callbox is signaling in close loop power control mode (instead of requesting maximum power in open loop control mode) and callbox is connected to the PC using GPIB so that the test script executed on PC can send GPIB commands to control the callbox's requested power over time (test sequence). The same test script used in conducted setup for time-varying Tx power measurements is also used in this section for running the test sequences during SAR measurements, and the recorded values from the disconnected power meter by the test script were discarded.

As mentioned in Section 3.4, for EUT to follow TPC command sent from the callbox wirelessly, the "path loss" between callbox antenna and the EUT needs to be very well calibrated. Since the SAR chamber is in uncontrolled environment, precautions must be taken to minimize the environmental influences on "path loss". Similarly, in the case of time-varying SAR measurements in Sub6 NR (with LTE as anchor), "path loss" between callbox antenna and the EUT needs to be carefully calibrated for both LTE link as well as for Sub6 NR link.

The EUT is placed in worst-case position according to Table 5-2.

Figure

Figure 7-1 SAR measurement setup

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

7.2 SAR measurement results for time-varying Tx power transmission scenario

Following Section 3.4 procedure, time-averaged SAR measurements are conducted using EX3DV4 probe at peak location of area scan over 500 seconds. cDASY6 system validation for SAR measurement is provided in Appendix C, and the associated SPEAG certificates are attached in Appendix D.

SAR probe integration times depend on the communication signal being tested. Integration times used by SPEAG for their probe calibrations can be downloaded from here (integration time is listed on the bottom of the first page for each tech):

https://www.speag.com/assets/downloads/services/cs/UIDSummary171205.pdf

Since the sampling rate used by cDASY6 for pointSAR measurements is not in user control, the number of points in 100s or 60s interval is determined from the scan duration setting in cDASY6 time-average pointSAR measurement by (100s or 60s / cDASY6_scan_duration * total number of pointSAR values recorded). Running average is performed over these number of points in excel spreadsheet to obtain 100s-/60s-averaged pointSAR.

Following Section 3.4, for each of selected technology/band (listed in Table 5-2):

1. With *Reserve_power_margin* set to 0 dB, area scan is performed at P_{limit}, and time-averaged pointSAR measurements are conducted to determine the pointSAR at P_{limit} at peak location, denoted as $point\mathrm{SAR}_{Plimit}$.
2. With *Reserve_power_margin* set to actual (intended) value, two more time-averaged pointSAR measurements are performed at the same peak location for test sequences 1 and 2.

To demonstrate compliance, all the pointSAR measurement results were converted into 1gSAR or 10gSAR values by using Equation (3a), rewritten below:

$$1g_or_10gSAR(t) = \frac{pointSAR(t)}{pointSAR_P_{limit}} * 1g_or_10gSAR_P_{limit} \qquad (3a)$$

where, $pointSAR(t)$, $pointSAR_P_{limit}$, and $1g_or_10gSAR_P_{limit}$ correspond to the measured instantaneous point SAR, measured point SAR at P_{limit} from above step 1 and 2, and measured *1gSAR* or 10gSAR values at P_{limit} obtained from Part 1 report and listed in Table 5-2 in Section 5.1 of this report.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

7.2.1 LTE Band 30 SAR test results

SAR test results for test sequence 1:



	(W/kg)
FCC 10gSAR limit	4.0
Max 100s-time averaged point 10gSAR (green curve)	2.41
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured SAR at P_{limit} (last column in Table 5-2).	

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

SAR test results for test sequence 2:



	(W/kg)
FCC 10gSAR limit	4.0
Max 100s-time averaged 10gSAR (green curve)	2.40
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured SAR at P_{limit} (last column in Table 5-2).	

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

7.2.2 LTE Band 48 SAR test results

SAR test results for test sequence 1:



	(W/kg)
FCC 1gSAR limit	1.6
Max 60s-time averaged point 1gSAR (green curve)	1.124
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured SAR at P_{limit} (last column in Table 5-2).	

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

SAR test results for test sequence 2:



	(W/kg)
FCC 1gSAR limit	1.6
Max 60s-time averaged 1gSAR (green curve)	1.074
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured SAR at P_{limit} (last column in Table 5-2).	

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

7.2.3 WCDMA Band 2 SAR test results

SAR test results for test sequence 1:



	(W/kg)
FCC 1gSAR limit	1.6
Max 100s-time averaged point 1gSAR (green curve)	0.866
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured SAR at P_{limit} (last column in Table 5-2).	

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

SAR test results for test sequence 2:



	(W/kg)
FCC 1gSAR limit	1.6
Max 100s-time averaged point 1gSAR (green curve)	0.865
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured SAR at P_{limit} (last column in Table 5-2).	

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

7.2.4 WCDMA Band 4 SAR test results

SAR test results for test sequence 1:



	(W/kg)
FCC 10gSAR limit	4.0
Max 100s-time averaged point 10gSAR (green curve)	2.81
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured SAR at P_{limit} (last column in Table 5-2).	

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

SAR test results for test sequence 2:



	(W/kg)
FCC 10gSAR limit	4.0
Max 100s-time averaged point 10gSAR (green curve)	2.789
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured SAR at P_{limit} (last column in Table 5-2).	

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

7.2.5 CDMA/EVDO BC1 SAR test results

SAR test results for test sequence 1:



	(W/kg)
FCC 1gSAR limit	1.6
Max 100s-time averaged point 1gSAR (green curve)	0.902
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured SAR at P_{limit} (last column in Table 5-2).	

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

SAR test results for test sequence 2:



	(W/kg)
FCC 1gSAR limit	1.6
Max 100s-time averaged point 1gSAR (green curve)	0.901
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured SAR at P_{limit} (last column in Table 5-2).	

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

7.2.6 GSM1900 SAR test results

SAR test results for test sequence 1:



	(W/kg)
FCC 10gSAR limit	4.0
Max 100s-time averaged point 10gSAR (green curve)	2.098
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured SAR at P_{limit} (last column in Table 5-2).	

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

SAR test results for test sequence 2:



	(W/kg)
FCC 10gSAR limit	4.0
Max 100s-time averaged point 10gSAR (green curve)	2.433
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of measured SAR at P_{limit} (last column in Table 5-2).	

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

7.2.7 Sub6 NR Band n66 SAR test results

SAR test results for test sequence 1:



	(W/kg)
FCC 10gSAR limit	4.0
Max 100s-time averaged point 10gSAR (green curve)	2.273
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of 75% (with 3dB *Reserve_power_margin* setting) of measured SAR at P_{limit} (last column in Table 5-2).	

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

SAR test results for test sequence 2:



	(W/kg)
FCC 10gSAR limit	4.0
Max 100s-time averaged 10gSAR (green curve)	2.028
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of 75% (with 3dB *Reserve_power_margin* setting) of measured SAR at P_{limit} (last column in Table 5-2).	

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

7.2.8 Sub6 NR Band n2 SAR test results

SAR test results for test sequence 1:



	(W/kg)
FCC 1gSAR limit	1.6
Max 100s-time averaged point 1gSAR (green curve)	0.655
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of 75% (with 3dB *Reserve_power_margin* setting) of measured SAR at P_{limit} (last column in Table 5-2).	

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

SAR test results for test sequence 2:



	(W/kg)
FCC 1gSAR limit	1.6
Max 100s-time averaged 1gSAR (green curve)	0.612
Validated: Max time averaged SAR (green curve) is within 1dB device uncertainty of 75% (with 3dB *Reserve_power_margin* setting) of measured SAR at P_{limit} (last column in Table 5-2).	

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

8 Radiated Power Test Results for mmW Smart Transmit Feature Validation

8.1 Measurement Setup

The Keysight Technologies E7515B UXM callbox is used in this test. The test setup is shown in Figure 8-1a and the schematic of the setup is shown in Figure 8-1b (see Appendix E for missing figures). The UXM callbox has two RF radio heads to up/down convert IF to mmW frequencies, which in turn are connected to two horn antennas for V- and H-polarizations for downlink communication. In the uplink, a directional coupler is used in the path of one of the horn antennas to measure and record radiated power using a Rohde & Schwarz NR50S power sensor and NRP2 power meter. Note here that the isolation of the directional coupler may not be sufficient to attenuate the downlink signal from the callbox, which will result in high noise floor masking the recording of radiated power from EUT. In that case, either lower the downlink signal strength emanating from the RF radio heads of callbox or add an attenuator between callbox radio heads and directional coupler. Additionally, note that since the measurements performed in this validation are all relative, measurement of EUT's radiated power in one polarization is sufficient. The EUT is placed inside an anechoic chamber with V- and H-pol horn antennas to establish the radio link as shown in Figure 8-1. The callbox's LTE port is directly connected to the EUT's RF port via a directional coupler to measure the EUT's conducted Tx power using a Rohde & Schwarz NR8S power sensor and NRP2 power meter. Additionally, EUT is connected to the PC via USB connection for sending beam switch command. Care is taken to route the USB cable and RF cable (for LTE connection) away from the EUT's mmW antenna modules.

Setup in Figure 8-1 is used for the test scenario 1, 4 and 5 described in Section 2. The test procedures described in Section 4 are followed. The path losses from the EUT to both the power meters are calibrated and used as offset in the power meter.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

Figure

(a)



(b)

Figure 8-1 mmW NR radiated power measurement setup (see Appendix E for missing figures)

Both the callbox and power meters are connected to the PC using USB cables. Test scripts are custom made for automation of establishing LTE + mmW call, conducted Tx power recording for LTE and radiated Tx power recording for mmW. These tests are manually stopped after desired time duration. Test script is programmed to set LTE Tx power to all-down bits on the callbox immediately after the mmW link is established, and programmed to set toggle between all-up and all-down bits depending on the transmission scenario being evaluated. Similarly, test script is also programmed to send beam switch command manually to the EUT via USB connection. For all the tests, the callbox is set to request maximum Tx power in mmW NR radio from EUT all the time.

Test configurations for this validation are detailed in Section 5.2. Test procedures are listed in Section 4.3.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

8.2 mmW NR radiated power test results

To demonstrate the compliance, the conducted Tx power of LTE B2 in DSI = 3 (hotspot mode) is converted to 1gSAR exposure by applying the corresponding worst-case 1gSAR value at P_{limit} as reported in Part 1 report and listed in Table 5-2 of this report.

Similarly, following Step 4 in Section 4.3.1, radiated Tx power of mmW Band n261 and n260 for the beams tested is converted by applying the corresponding worst-case 4cm^{2}PD values measured in Qualcomm lab, and listed in below Table 8-1. Qualcomm Smart Transmit feature operates based on time-averaged Tx power reported on a per symbol basis, which is independent of modulation, channel and bandwidth (RBs), therefore the worst-case 4cm^{2}PD was conducted with the EUT in FTM mode, with CW modulation and 100% duty cycle. cDASY6 system verification for power density measurement is provided in Appendix C, and the associated SPEAG certificates are attached in Appendix D.

Both the worst-case 1gSAR and 4cm^{2}PD values used in this section are listed in Table 8-1. The measured EIRP at *input.power.limit* for the beams tested in this section are also listed in Table 8-1.

Table 8-1: Worst-case 1gSAR, 4cm^2 avg. PD and EIRP measured at *input.power.limit* for the selected configurations

					meas. 4cm2PD		
Tech	**Band**	**Antenna**	**Beam ID**	**input.power.limit (dBm)**	**at input.power.limit (W/m2)**	**configuration**	**meas. EIRP at input.power.limit (dBm)**
mmW NR	n261	J	41	2.1	4.7	back	15.0
			25	2.0	4.0	back	15.1
			0	8.4	**5.36**	back	13.0
mmW NR	n260	J	41	3.7	3.46	back	16.0
			25	3.9	4.01	back	16.7
			0	8.0*	**4.11**	back	10.5
					meas. 1gSAR		
		Antenna	**DSI**	**meas. Plimit (dBm)**	**at Plimit (W/kg)**	**configuration**	
LTE	B2	A	3	19.2	0.885	bottom	

* The *input.power.limit* for n260 beam 0 is 9.7dBm. However, the maximum input power of SDX55/QTM525 for n260 CP-OFDM modulation is 8.0dBm, thus, the *input.power.limit* was adjusted to 8dBm in the static PD measurement via FTM for n260 beam 0 to obtain the maximum PD exposure for CP-OFDM modulation.

The 4cm2-averaged PD distributions for the highest PD value per band, as listed in Table 8-1, are plotted below:

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION



Figure 8-2: 4cm2-averaged power density distribution measured at input.power.limit of 8.4dBm on the back surface for n261 beam 0

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION



***Figure 8-3*: 4cm2-averaged power density distribution measured at target power of 8.0dBm on the back surface for n260 beam 0**

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

8.2.1 Maximum Tx power test results for n261

This test was measured with LTE B2 (DSI = 3) and mmW Band n261 Beam ID 41, by following the detailed test procedure described in Section 4.3.1.

Instantaneous and 100s-averaged conducted LTE Tx power versus time, instantaneous and 4s-averaged radiated mmW Tx power versus time, time-averaged conducted LTE Tx power limit and time-averaged radiated mmW Tx power limit:



Above time-averaged conducted Tx power for LTE B2 and radiated Tx power for mmW NR n261 beam 41 are converted into time-averaged 1gSAR and time-averaged 4cm^{2}PD using Equation (2a) and (2b), which are divided by FCC 1gSAR limit of 1.6 W/kg and 4cm^{2}PD limit of 10 W/m^2, respectively, to obtain normalized exposures versus time. Below plot shows (a) normalized time-averaged 1gSAR versus time, (b) normalized time-averaged 4cm2-avg.PD versus time, (c) sum of normalized time-averaged 1gSAR and normalized time-averaged 4cm^2-avg.PD:

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION



FCC requirement for total RF exposure (normalized)	1.0
Max total normalized time-averaged RF exposure (green curve)	0.523
Validated	

Plot notes: 5G mmW NR call was established at ~10s time mark and LTE was placed in all-down bits immediately after 5G mmW NR call was established. Between 10s~130s, mmW exposure is the dominant contributor. Here, Smart Transmit feature allocates a maximum of 75% for mmW (based on the 3dB reserve setting in Part 1 report). From Table 8-1, this corresponds to a normalized 4cm^{2}PD exposure value for Beam ID 41 of (75% * 4.70 W/m^2)/(10 W/m^2) = 35.2% ± 2.1dB device related uncertainty (see green/orange curve between 10s~130s). At ~130s time mark, LTE is set to all-up bits, taking away margin from mmW exposure gradually. Towards the end of test, LTE is the dominant contributor towards RF exposure, i.e., corresponding normalized 1gSAR exposure value of (100% * 0.885 W/kg)/(1.6 W/kg) = 55.3% ± 1dB design related uncertainty (see black curve approaching this level towards end of the test).

As can be seen, the power limiting enforcement is effective and the total normalized time-averaged RF exposure does not exceed 1.0. Therefore, Qualcomm® Smart Transmit time averaging feature is validated.

8.2.2 Maximum Tx power test results for n260

This test was measured with LTE B2 (DSI = 3) and mmW Band n260 Beam ID 41, by following the detailed test procedure described in Section 4.3.1.

Instantaneous and 100s-averaged conducted LTE Tx power versus time, instantaneous and 4s-averaged radiated mmW Tx power versus time, time-averaged conducted LTE Tx power limit and time-averaged radiated mmW Tx power limit:

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION



Above time-averaged conducted Tx power for LTE B2 and radiated Tx power for mmW NR n260 beam 41 are converted into time-averaged 1gSAR and time-averaged 4cm^{2}PD using Equation (2a) and (2b), which are divided by FCC 1gSAR limit of 1.6 W/kg and 4cm^{2}PD limit of 10 W/m^2, accordingly, to obtain normalized exposures versus time. Below plot shows (a) normalized time-averaged 1gSAR versus time, (b) normalized time-averaged 4cm2-avg.PD versus time, (c) sum of normalized time-averaged 1gSAR and normalized time-averaged 4cm^2-avg.PD:



FCC requirement for total RF exposure (normalized)	1.0
Max total normalized time-averaged RF exposure (green curve)	0.516
Validated	

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

Plot notes: 5G mmW NR call was established at ~10s time mark and LTE was placed in all-down bits immediately after 5G mmW NR call was established. Between 10s~130s, mmW exposure is the dominant contributor. Here, Smart Transmit feature allocates a maximum of 75% for mmW (based on the 3dB reserve setting in Part 1 report). From Table 8-1, this corresponds to a normalized 4cm^{2}PD exposure value for Beam ID 41 of (75% * 3.46 W/m^2)/(10 W/m^2) = 26% ± 2.1dB device related uncertainty (see orange/green curve between 10s~130s). At ~130s time mark, LTE is set to all-up bits, taking away margin from mmW exposure gradually. Towards the end of test, LTE is the dominant contributor towards RF exposure, i.e., corresponding normalized 1gSAR exposure value of (100% * 0.885 W/kg)/(1.6 W/kg) = 55.3% ± 1dB design related uncertainty (see black curve approaching this level towards end of the test).

As can be seen, the power limiting enforcement is effective and the total normalized time-averaged RF exposure does not exceed 1.0. Therefore, Qualcomm® Smart Transmit time averaging feature is validated

8.2.3 Switch in SAR vs. PD exposure test results for n261

This test was measured with LTE Band 2 (DSI =3) and mmW Band n261 Beam ID 41, by following the detailed test procedure is described in Section 4.3.2.

Instantaneous and 100s-averaged conducted LTE Tx power versus time, instantaneous and 4s-averaged radiated mmW Tx power versus time, time-averaged conducted LTE Tx power limit and time-averaged radiated mmW Tx power limit:



From the above plot, it is predominantly instantaneous PD exposure between 0s ~ 130s, it is instantaneous SAR+PD exposure between 130s ~ 180s, it is predominantly instantaneous SAR exposure between 180s ~ 200s, and above 200s, it is predominantly instantaneous PD exposure.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

Normalized time-averaged exposures for LTE (1gSAR) and mmW (4cm^{2}PD), as well as total normalized time-averaged exposure versus time:



FCC requirement for total RF exposure (normalized)	1.0
Max total normalized time-averaged RF exposure (green curve)	0.499
Validated	

<u>Plot notes:</u> 5G mmW NR call was established at ~0s time mark and LTE was placed in all-down bits immediately after 5G mmW NR call was established. Between 0s~130s, mmW exposure is the dominant contributor. Here, Smart Transmit feature allocates a maximum of 75% for mmW (based on 3dB reserve setting in Part 1 report). From Table 8-1, this corresponds to a normalized 4cm^{2}PD exposure value for Beam ID 41 of (75% * 4.7 W/m^2)/(10 W/m^2) = 35.2% ± 2.1dB device related uncertainty (see orange/green curve between 0s~130s). At ~130s time mark, LTE is set to all-up bits, taking away margin from mmW exposure gradually (orange curve for mmW exposure goes down while black curve for LTE exposure goes up). At ~200s time mark, LTE is set to all-down bits, which results in mmW getting back RF margin slowly as seen by gradual increase in mmW exposure (orange curve for mmW exposure goes up while black curve for LTE exposure goes down). The calculated maximum RF exposure from LTE corresponds to normalized 1gSAR exposure value of (100% * 0.885 W/kg)/(1.6 W/kg) = 55.3% ± 1dB design related uncertainty (note that this level will be achieved by green and black curves if LTE remains in all-up bits for longer time duration which was already demonstrated in maximum Tx power test in Section 8.2.1). Total normalized time-averaged exposure (green curve) for this test should be within the calculated range between 35.2% ± 2.1dB device related uncertainty (only PD exposure) and 55.3% ± 1dB design related uncertainty (only SAR exposure).

As can be seen, the power limiting enforcement is effective during transmission when SAR and PD exposures are switched, and the total normalized time-averaged RF exposure does not exceed 1.0. Therefore, Qualcomm® Smart Transmit time averaging feature is validated.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

8.2.4 Switch in SAR vs. PD exposure test results for n260

This test was measured with LTE Band 2 (DSI =3) and mmW Band n260 Beam ID 41, by following the detailed test procedure is described in Section 4.3.2.

Instantaneous and 100s-averaged conducted LTE Tx power versus time, instantaneous and 4s-averaged radiated mmW Tx power versus time, time-averaged conducted LTE Tx power limit and time-averaged radiated mmW Tx power limit:



From the above plot, it is predominantly instantaneous PD exposure between 15s ~ 140s, it is instantaneous SAR+PD exposure between 140s ~ 190s, it is predominantly instantaneous SAR exposure between 190s ~ 220s, and above 220s, it is predominantly instantaneous PD exposure.

Normalized time-averaged exposures for LTE (1gSAR) and mmW (4cm^{2}PD), as well as total normalized time-averaged exposure versus time:

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION



FCC requirement for total RF exposure (normalized)	1.0
Max total normalized time-averaged RF exposure (green curve)	0.489
Validated	

Plot notes: 5G mmW NR call was established at ~15s time mark and LTE was placed in all-down bits immediately after 5G mmW NR call was established. Between 15s~140s, mmW exposure is the dominant contributor. Here, Smart Transmit feature allocates a maximum of 75% for mmW (based on 3dB reserve setting in Part 1 report). From Table 8-1, this corresponds to a normalized 4cm^{2}PD exposure value for Beam ID 41 of (75% * 3.46 W/m^2)/(10 W/m^2) = 26% ± 2.1dB device related uncertainty (see orange/green curve between 15s~140s). At ~140s time mark, LTE is set to all-up bits, taking away margin from mmW exposure gradually (orange curve for mmW exposure goes down while black curve for LTE exposure goes up). At ~220s time mark, LTE is set to all-down bits, which results in mmW getting back RF margin slowly as seen by gradual increase in mmW exposure (orange curve for mmW exposure goes up while black curve for LTE exposure goes down). The calculated maximum RF exposure from LTE corresponds to normalized 1gSAR exposure value of (100% * 0.885 W/kg)/(1.6 W/kg) = 55.3% ± 1dB design related uncertainty (note that this level will be achieved by green and black curves if LTE remains in all-up bits for longer time duration which was already demonstrated in maximum Tx power test in Section 8.2.2). Total normalized time-averaged exposure (green curve) for this test should be within the calculated range between 26% ± 2.1dB device related uncertainty (only PD exposure) and 55.3% ± 1dB design related uncertainty (only SAR exposure).

As can be seen, the power limiting enforcement is effective during transmission when SAR and PD exposures are switched, and the total normalized time-averaged RF exposure does not exceed 1.0. Therefore, Qualcomm® Smart Transmit time averaging feature is validated

8.2.5 Change in Beam test results for n261

This test was measured with LTE Band 2 (DSI = 3) and mmW Band n261, with beam switch from Beam ID 25 to Beam ID 0, by following the test procedure is described in Section 4.3.3.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

Instantaneous conducted LTE Tx power versus time, instantaneous and 4s-averaged radiated mmW Tx power versus time, time-averaged radiated mmW Tx power limits for beam 25 and beam 0:



Normalized time-averaged exposures for LTE and mmW (4cm^{2}PD), as well as total normalized time-averaged exposure versus time:



FCC requirement for total RF exposure (normalized)	1.0
Max total normalized time-averaged RF exposure (green curve)	0.358
Validated	

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

Plot notes: 5G mmW NR call was established at ~10s time mark and LTE was placed in all-down bits immediately after 5G mmW NR call was established. For the rest of this test, mmW exposure is the dominant contributor as LTE is left in all-down bits. Here, Smart Transmit feature allocates a maximum of 75% for mmW (based on 3dB reserve setting in Part 1 report). From Table 8-1, exposure between 10s ~110s corresponds to a normalized 4cm^{2}PD exposure value for Beam ID 25 of (75% * 4.0 W/m^2)/(10 W/m^2) = 30% ± 2.1dB device related uncertainty. At ~110s time mark (shown in black dotted ellipse), beam was switched to Beam ID 0 resulting in a normalized 4cm^{2}PD exposure value of (75% * 5.36 W/m^2)/(10 W/m^2) = 40.2% ± 2.1dB device related uncertainty. Additionally, during the switch, the ratio between the averaged radiated powers of the two beams (yellow curve) should correspond to the difference in EIRPs measured at each corresponding *input.power.limit* for these beams listed in Table 8-1, i.e., 2.1dB ± 2.1dB device uncertainty.

8.2.6 Change in Beam test results for n260

This test was measured with LTE Band 2 (DSI = 3) and mmW Band n260, with beam switch from Beam ID 25 to Beam ID 0, by following the test procedure is described in Section 4.3.3.

Instantaneous conducted LTE Tx power versus time, instantaneous and 4s-averaged radiated mmW Tx power versus time, time-averaged radiated mmW Tx power limits for beam 25 and beam 0:



Normalized time-averaged exposures for LTE (1gSAR) and mmW (4cm^{2}PD), as well as total normalized time-averaged exposure versus time:

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION



FCC requirement for total RF exposure (normalized)	1.0
Max total normalized time-averaged RF exposure (green curve)	0.378
Validated	

Plot notes: 5G mmW NR call was established at ~20s time mark and LTE was placed in all-down bits immediately after 5G mmW NR call was established. For the rest of this test, mmW exposure is the dominant contributor as LTE is left in all-down bits. Here, Smart Transmit feature allocates a maximum of 75% for mmW (based on 3dB reserve setting in Part 1 report). From Table 8-1, exposure between 20s ~120s corresponds to a normalized 4cm^{2}PD exposure value for Beam ID 25 of (75% * 4.01 W/m^2)/(10 W/m^2) = 30.1% ± 2.1dB device related uncertainty between 20s~120s). At ~120s time mark (shown in black dotted ellipse), beam was switched to Beam ID 0. Note that the *input.power.limit* for Beam ID 0 is 9.7dBm, however the maximum input power for n260 CP-OFDM modulation is capped at 8.0dBm, therefore, there is no power limiting required when in n260 Beam ID 0, resulting in flat line in power plot for instantaneous radiated power after switch. Note that at 8.0dBm max power, it is 1.7dB (0.676 in linear units) lower than input.power.limit, so, percentage of RF exposure utilized by Beam ID 0 is only 67.6% (less than 75% allocated margin for mmW by Smart Transmit). Therefore, Smart Transmit allows Beam ID 0 to transmit at maximum power continuously. Therefore, the normalized 4cm^{2}PD exposure value for n260 Beam ID 0 = (100% * 75.6% callbox duty cycle * 4.11 W/m^2)/(10 W/m^2) = 30.8% ± 2.1dB device related uncertainty. Additionally, during the switch, the ratio between the averaged radiated powers of the two beams (yellow curve) should correspond to the difference in EIRPs measured at each corresponding *input.power.limit* for these beams listed in Table 8-1, i.e., 6.2dB ± 2.1dB device uncertainty.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

9 PD Test Results for mmW Smart Transmit Feature Validation

9.1 Measurement setup

The measurement setup is similar to normal PD measurements, the EUT is positioned on cDASY6 platform, and is connected with the callbox (conducted for LTE and wirelessly for mmW). Keysight UXM callbox is set to request maximum mmW Tx power from EUT all the time. Hence, “path loss” calibration between callbox antenna and EUT is not needed in this test. The callbox’s LTE port is directly connected to the EUT’s RF port via a directional coupler to measure the EUT’s conducted Tx power using a Rohde & Schwarz NR8S power sensor and NRP2 power meter. Additionally, EUT is connected to the PC via USB connection for toggling between FTM and online mode with Smart Transmit enabled following the test procedures described Section 4.4.

Worst-surface of EUT (for the mmW beam being tested) is positioned facing up for PD measurement with cDASY6 mmW probe as shown in Figure 9-1 (see Appendix E for missing figures). Figure 9-2 shows the schematic of this measurement setup.

Figure

Figure 9-1 Worst-surface of EUT positioned facing up for the mmW beam being tested (see Appendix E for missing figures)

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION



Figure 9-2 PD measurement setup

Both callbox and power meters are connected to the PC using USB cables. Test scripts are custom made for automation of establishing LTE + mmW call, and for conducted Tx power recording of LTE transmission. These tests are manually stopped after desired time duration. Once the mmW link is established, LTE Tx power is programmed to toggle between all-up and all-down bits on the callbox. For all the tests, the callbox is set to request maximum Tx power in mmW NR radio from EUT all the time. Therefore, the calibration for the pathloss between the EUT and the horn antenna connected to the remote radio head of the callbox is not required.

Power meter readings are periodically recorded every 10ms on NR8S power sensor for LTE conducted Tx power. Time-averaged E-field measurements are performed using EUmmWV2 mmW probe at peak location of fast area scan. The distance between EUmmWV2 mmW probe tip to EUT surface is ~0.5 mm, and the distance between EUmmWV2 mmW probe sensor to probe tip is 1.5 mm. cDASY6 records relative point E-field (i.e., ratio $\frac{[pointE(t)]^2}{[pointE_input.power.limit]^2}$) versus time for mmW NR transmission.

9.2 PD measurement results for maximum power transmission scenario

The following configurations were measured by following the detailed test procedure is described in Section 4.4:

1. LTE Band 2 (DSI =3) and mmW Band n261 Beam ID 41
2. LTE Band 2 (DSI =3) and mmW Band n260 Beam ID 41

The measured conducted Tx power of LTE and ratio of $\frac{[pointE(t)]^2}{[pointE_input.power.limit]^2}$ of mmW is converted into 1gSAR and 4cm^{2}PD value, respectively, using Eq. (4a) and (4b), rewritten below:

$$1g_or_10gSAR(t) = \frac{conducted_Tx_power(t)}{conducted_Tx_power_P_{limit}} * 1g_or_10gSAR_P_{limit} \quad (4a)$$

$$4cm^2PD(t) = \frac{[pointE(t)]^2}{[pointE_input.power.limit]^2} * 4cm^2PD_input.power.limit \quad (4b)$$

$$\frac{\frac{1}{T_{SAR}}\int_{t-T_{SAR}}^{t} 1g_or_10gSAR(t)dt}{FCC\ SAR\ limit} + \frac{\frac{1}{T_{PD}}\int_{t-T_{PD}}^{t} 4cm^2PD(t)dt}{FCC\ 4cm^2PD\ limit} \leq 1 \quad (4c)$$

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

where, $conducted_Tx_power(t)$, $conducted_Tx_power_P_{limit}$, and $1g_or_10gSAR_P_{limit}$ correspond to the measured instantaneous conducted Tx power, measured conducted Tx power at P_{limit}, and measured 1gSAR or 10gSAR values at P_{limit} corresponding to LTE transmission. Similarly, $pointE(t)$, $pointE_input.power.limit$, and $4cm^2PD@input.power.limit$ correspond to the measured instantaneous E-field, E-field at *input.power.limit*, and 4cm^{2}PD value at *input.power.limit*. corresponding to mmW transmission.

NOTE: cDASY6 system measures relative E-field, and provides ratio of $\frac{[pointE(t)]^2}{[pointE_input.power.limit]^2}$ versus time.

The radio configurations tested are described in Table 5-3 and 5-4. The 1gSAR at P_{limit} for LTE B2 DSI = 3, the measured 4cm^{2}PD at *input.power.limit* of mmW n261 beam 41 and n260 beam 41, are all listed in Table 8-1.

9.2.1 PD test results for n261

Step 2.e plot (in Section 4.4) for normalized instantaneous and time-averaged exposures for LTE and mmW n261 beam 41:



FCC limit for total RF exposure (normalized)	1.0
Max total normalized time-averaged RF exposure (green curve)	0.523
Validated	

Plot notes: LTE was placed in all-down bits immediately after 5G mmW NR call was established. Between 0s~120s, mmW exposure is the dominant contributor. Here, Smart Transmit feature allocates a maximum of 75% for mmW (based on the 3dB reserve setting in Part 1 report). From Table 8-1, this corresponds to a normalized 4cm^{2}PD exposure value for Beam ID 41 of (75% * 4.7 W/m^2)/(10 W/m^2) = 35.2% ± 2.1dB device related uncertainty (see orange/green curve between 0s~120s). Around 120s time mark, LTE is set to all-up bits, taking away margin from

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

mmW exposure gradually. Towards the end of the test, LTE is the dominant contributor towards RF exposure, i.e., corresponding normalized 1gSAR exposure value of (100% * 0.885 W/kg)/(1.6 W/kg) = 55.3% ± 1dB design related uncertainty (see black curves approaching this level towards end of the test).

As can be seen, the power limiting enforcement is effective and the total normalized time-averaged RF exposure does not exceed 1.0. Therefore, Qualcomm® Smart Transmit time averaging feature is validated.

9.2.2 PD test results for n260

Step 2.e plot (in Section4.4) for normalized instantaneous and time-averaged exposures for LTE and mmW n260 beam 41:



FCC limit for total RF exposure	1.0
Max total normalized time-averaged RF exposure (green curve)	0.519
Validated	

<u>Plot notes:</u> LTE was placed in all-down bits immediately after 5G mmW NR call was established. Between 0s~120s, mmW exposure is the dominant contributor. Here, Smart Transmit feature allocates a maximum of 75% for mmW (based on the 3dB reserve setting in Part 1 report). From Table 8-1, this corresponds to a normalized 4cm^{2}PD exposure value for Beam ID 41 of (75% * 3.46 W/m^2)/(10 W/m^2) = 26% ± 2.1dB device related uncertainty (see orange/green curve between 0s~120s). Around 120s time mark, LTE is set to all-up bits, taking away margin from mmW exposure gradually. Towards the end of the test, LTE is the dominant contributor towards RF exposure, i.e., corresponding normalized 1gSAR exposure value of (100% * 0.885 W/kg)/(1.6 W/kg) = 55.3% ± 1dB design related uncertainty (see black curves approaching this level towards end of the test).

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

As can be seen, the power limiting enforcement is effective and the total normalized time-averaged RF exposure does not exceed 1.0. Therefore, Qualcomm® Smart Transmit time averaging feature is validated

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

10 Conclusions

Qualcomm Smart Transmit feature employed in Samsung portable handset (FCC ID: A3LSMG986U) has been validated through the conducted/radiated power measurement (as demonstrated in Chapters 6 and 8), as well as SAR and PD measurement (as demonstrated in Chapters 7 and 9).

As demonstrated in this report, the power limiting enforcement is effective and the total normalized time-averaged RF exposure does not exceed 1.0 for all the transmission scenarios described in Section 2. Therefore, the EUT complies with FCC RF exposure requirement.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

A Test Sequences

1. Test sequence is generated based on below parameters of the EUT:
   a. Measured maximum power (P_{max})
   b. Measured Tx_power_at_SAR_design_target (P_{limit})
   c. Reserve_power_margin (dB)
      - $P_{reserve}$ (dBm) = measured P_{limit} (dBm) – Reserve_power_margin (dB)
   d. *SAR_time_window* (100s for FCC)
2. Test Sequence 1 Waveform:

   Based on the parameters above, the Test Sequence 1 is generated with one transition between high and low Tx powers. Here, high power = P_{max}; low power = $P_{max}/2$, and the transition occurs after 80 seconds at high power P_{max}. As long as the power enforcement is taking into effective during one 100s/60s time window, the validation test with this defined test sequence 1 is valid, otherwise, select other radio configuration (band/DSI within the same technology group) having lower P_{limit} for this test. The Test sequence 1 waveform is shown below:



Figure A-1 Test sequence 1 waveform

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

3. Test Sequence 2 Waveform:

Based on the parameters in A-1, the Test Sequence 2 is generated as described in Table 10-1, which contains two 170 second-long sequences (yellow and green highlighted rows) that are mirrored around the center row of 20s, resulting in a total duration of 360 seconds:

Table A-1 Test Sequence 2

Time duration (seconds)	dB relative to P_{limit} or $P_{reserve}$
15	$P_{reserve} - 2$
20	P_{limit}
20	$(P_{limit} + P_{max})/2$ averaged in mW and rounded to nearest 0.1 dB step
10	$P_{reserve} - 6$
20	P_{max}
15	P_{limit}
15	$P_{reserve} - 5$
20	P_{max}
10	$P_{reserve} - 3$
15	P_{limit}
10	$P_{reserve} - 4$
20	$(P_{limit} + P_{max})/2$ averaged in mW and rounded to nearest 0.1 dB step
10	$P_{reserve} - 4$
15	P_{limit}
10	$P_{reserve} - 3$
20	P_{max}
15	$P_{reserve} - 5$
15	P_{limit}
20	P_{max}
10	$P_{reserve} - 6$
20	$(P_{limit} + P_{max})/2$ averaged in mW and rounded to nearest 0.1 dB step
20	P_{limit}
15	$P_{reserve} - 2$

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

The Test Sequence 2 waveform is shown in Figure A-2.



MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

B Test Procedures for sub6 NR + LTE Radio

Appendix B provides the test procedures for validating Qualcomm Smart Transmit feature for LTE + Sub6 NR non-standalone (NSA) mode transmission scenario, where sub-6GHz LTE link acts as an anchor.

B.1 Time-varying Tx power test for sub6 NR in NSA mode

Follows Section 3.2.1 to select test configurations for time-varying test. This test is performed with two pre-defined test sequences (described in Section 3.1) applied to Sub6 NR (with LTE on all-down bits or low power for the entire test after establishing the LTE+Sub6 NR call with the callbox). Follow the test procedures described in Section 3.3.1 to demonstrate the effectiveness of power limiting enforcement and that the time averaged Tx power of Sub6 NR when converted into 1gSAR values does not exceed the regulatory limit at all times (see Eq. (1a) and (1b)). Sub6 NR response to test sequence1 and test sequence2 will be similar to other technologies (say, LTE), and are shown in Sections 6.3.7 and 6.3.8.

B.2 Switch in SAR exposure between LTE vs. Sub6 NR during transmission

This test is to demonstrate that Smart Transmit feature accurately accounts for switching in exposures among SAR for LTE radio only, SAR from both LTE radio and sub6 NR, and SAR from sub6 NR only scenarios, and ensures total time-averaged RF exposure compliance with FCC limit.

Test procedure:

1. Measure conducted Tx power corresponding to P_{limit} for LTE and sub6 NR in selected band. Test condition to measure conducted P_{limit} is:
   - □ Establish device in call with the callbox for LTE in desired band. Measure conducted Tx power corresponding to LTE P_{limit} with Smart Transmit <u>enabled</u> and *Reserve_power_margin* set to 0 dB, callbox set to request maximum power.
   - □ Repeat above step to measure conducted Tx power corresponding to Sub6 NR $\underline{P_{limit}}$. If testing LTE+Sub6 NR in non-standalone mode, then establish LTE+Sub6 NR call with callbox and request all down bits for radio1 LTE. In this scenario, with callbox requesting maximum power from Sub6 NR, measured conducted Tx power corresponds to radio2 $\underline{P_{limit}}$ (as radio1 LTE is at all-down bits)

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

2. Set *Reserve_power_margin* to actual (intended) value with EUT setup for LTE + Sub6 NR call. First, establish LTE connection in all-up bits with the callbox, and then Sub6 NR connection is added with callbox requesting UE to transmit at maximum power in Sub6 NR. As soon as the Sub6 NR connection is established, request all-down bits on LTE link (otherwise, Sub6 NR will not have sufficient RF exposure margin to sustain the call with LTE in all-up bits). Continue LTE (all-down bits)+Sub6 NR transmission for more than one time-window duration to test predominantly Sub6 NR SAR exposure scenario (as SAR exposure is negligible from all-down bits in LTE). After at least one time-window, request LTE to go all-up bits to test LTE SAR and Sub6 NR SAR exposure scenario. After at least one more time-window, drop (or request all-down bits) Sub6 NR transmission to test predominantly LTE SAR exposure scenario. Continue the test for at least one more time-window. Record the conducted Tx powers for both LTE and Sub6 NR for the entire duration of this test.
3. Once the measurement is done, extract instantaneous Tx power versus time for both LTE and Sub6 NR links. Similar to technology/band switch test in Section 3.3.3, convert the conducted Tx power for both these radios into 1gSAR value (see Eq. (6a) and (6b)) using corresponding technology/band P_{limit} measured in Step 1, and then perform 100s running average to determine time-averaged 1gSAR versus time as illustrated in Figure 3-1. Note that here it is assumed both radios have Tx frequencies < 3GHz, otherwise, 60s running average should be performed for radios having Tx frequency between 3GHz and 6GHz.
4. Make one plot containing: (a) instantaneous Tx power versus time measured in Step 2.
5. Make another plot containing: (a) instantaneous 1gSAR versus time determined in Step 3, (b) computed time-averaged 1gSAR versus time determined in Step 3, and (c) corresponding regulatory $1gSAR_{limit}$ of 1.6W/kg.

The validation criteria is, at all times, the time-averaged 1gSAR versus time shall not exceed the regulatory $1gSAR_{limit}$ of 1.6W/kg.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

C cDASY6 System Validation

C.1 SAR system verification and validation

Table C-1 provides the list of calibrated equipment for SAR measurement system verification.

Table C-1 List of calibrated equipment

Equipment Manufacturer and Type	Serial number	Last Calibrated	Next Calibration
Schmid & Partner Engineering AG Dosimetric E-field Probe, ES3DV4	3618	4/15/2019	4/15/2020
Schmid & Partner Engineering AG dipole validation kit, D3700V2	1035	4/11/2019	4/11/2020
Schmid & Partner Engineering AG dipole validation kit, D2600V2	1159	4/24/2019	4/24/2020
Schmid & Partner Engineering AG dipole validation kit, D2450V2	775	4/9/2019	4/9/2020
Schmid & Partner Engineering AG dipole validation kit, D1800V2	269	6/8/2019	6/8/2020
Schmid & Partner Engineering AG Data Acquisition Electronics, DAE3	400	2/13/2019	2/13/2020
Rohde & Schwarz NR50S Power Sensor	101085	5/6/2019	2/18/2020
Schmid & Partner Engineering AG Dosimetric E-field Probe, EUMMWV4	9460	10/30/19	10/30/2020
Schmid & Partner Engineering AG 5G Verification Source 30GHz	1040	5/16/2019	5/16/2020
Agilent N5230A PNA	MY45000533	1/23/2019	1/23/2020
Rohde & Schwarz CMW500 Radio Communication Tester	1201.0002K50-150738-Hv	9/23/2019	9/23/2020

The system verification was performed using a dipole antenna against the flat section of the SAM phantom. Table C-2 shows the verification test results and the relevant plots are provided in Figures C-1 to C-13. The measured SAR values for the frequency bands of interest were within ±10% of the corresponding target SAR levels.

Table C-2 System validation results

Validation dipole	S/N	Frequency (MHz)	1W Target 1gSAR (mW/g)	Measured 1gSAR scaled to 1W (mW/g)	Deviation (%)	Date
D1800V2	269	1800	38.7	40.2	3.9	11/18/19
D1800V2	269	1800	38.7	38.8	0.3	12/1/19
D2450V2	775	2450	51	51.9	1.8	12/2/19

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

Validation dipole	S/N	Frequency (MHz)	1W Target 1gSAR (mW/g)	Measured 1gSAR scaled to 1W (mW/g)	Deviation (%)	Date
D1800V2	269	1800	38.7	38.9	0.5	12/5/19
D3700V2	1035	3700	68.4	68.3	-0.1	12/11/19
D1800V2	269	1800	38.7	39.8	2.6	12/13/19
D2450V2	775	2450	51	54.6	7.1	12/14/19
D3700V2	1035	3700	68.4	67.7	-1.0	12/14/19
D1800V2	269	1800	38.7	40.6	4.9	12/15/19
D1800V2	269	1800	38.7	39.8	2.8	12/19/19
D1800V2	269	1800	38.7	41.9	8.3	12/20/19
D1800V2	269	1800	38.7	42.1	8.8	12/26/19
D3700V2	1035	3700	68.4	69.8	2.0	01/08/20
D2300V2	1097	2300	47.6	49.6	4.2	01/13/20

The broad-band solution MBBL600-6000V6 is used for body tissue-simulating liquid. Similarly, broad-band solution HBBL600-10000V6 was used for head tissue-simulating liquid. Table C-3 list the tissue dielectric properties.

Table C-3 Tissue dielectric properties at the time of testing

		Permittivity (ε_r)				Conductivity (σ)			
Test Date	Frequency (MHz)	Measured Values	Target Values	Deviation (%)	Limit	Measured Values	Target Values	Deviation (%)	Limit
11/18/19	1800	50.8	53.3	-4.70%	±10%	1.52	1.52	0.0%	±10%
12/1/19	1800	50.2	53.3	-5.8%	±10%	1.53	1.52	0.7%	±10%
12/2/19	2450	51.1	52.7	-3.0%	±10%	2.11	1.95	8.3%	±10%
12/5/19	1800	51.3	53.3	-3.8%	±10%	1.52	1.52	0.0%	±10%
12/11/19	3700	38.3	37.7	-1.6%	±10%	3.23	3.12	-3.4%	±10%
12/13/19	1800	52.2	53.3	-2.1%	±10%	1.53	1.52	0.7%	±10%
12/14/19	2450	52.6	52.7	-0.2%	±10%	2.12	1.95	8.7%	±10%
12/14/19	3700	38.0	37.7	0.8%	±10%	3.10	3.12	-0.6%	±10%
12/15/19	1800	48.9	53.3	-8.3%	±10%	1.57	1.52	3.3%	±10%
12/19/19	1800	49.0	53.3	-8.1%	±10%	1.57	1.52	3.3%	±10%
12/20/19	1800	50.5	53.3	-5.3%	±10%	1.57	1.52	3.3%	±10%
12/26/19	1800	53.3	53.3	0.0%	±10%	1.51	1.52	-0.7%	±10%
01/08/20	3700	37.9	37.7	0.5%	±10%	3.17	3.12	1.6%	±10%
01/13/20	2300	50.6	52.9	-4.3%	±10%	1.97	1.81	8.8%	±10%

NOTE: The deviation should be controlled within ±5%. If the deviation is between ±5% to ±10%, the correction will be made in the corresponding SAR result to compensate the additional deviation.

Appendix D provides the calibration certificates for SAR measurement equipment used in this report.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

QualcommTechnologies Inc.

Measurement Report

Exposure Conditions

Phantom Section, TSL	Position, Test Distance [mm]	Band	Group, UID	Frequency [MHz], Channel Number	Modulation	TSL Conductivity [S/m]	TSL Permittivity
Flat, MSL	Flat, 1.0 cm	--	0--	1800.0	CW	1.52	50.8

Hardware Setup

Phantom	TSL, Measured Date	Probe, Calibration Date	DAE, Calibration Date
Twin-SAM V4.0 (30deg probe tilt) - 209	MBBL-600-6000 Batch: 171204-1, 2019-Nov-17	EX3DV4 - SN3618, 2019-04-15	DAE3 Sn400, 2019-02-13

Scan Setup

	Area Scan	Zoom Scan
Grid Extents [mm]	56.0 x 84.0	30.0 x 30.0 x 30.0
Grid Steps [mm]	14.0 x 14.0	5.0 x 5.0 x 5.0
Sensor Surface [mm]	3.0	1.4

Measurement Results

	Area Scan	Zoom Scan
Date	2019-11-18, 10:56	2019-11-18, 11:01
psSAR1g [W/Kg]	0.419	0.402
psSAR10g [W/Kg]	0.214	0.209
Power Drift [dB]	-0.04	-0.02



Figure C-1 SAR measurement system verification plot for 1800MHz performed on 11/18/2019. Input power = 10.0mW.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

QualcommTechnologies Inc.

Measurement Report

Exposure Conditions

Phantom Section, TSL	Position, Test Distance [mm]	Band	Group, UID	Frequency [MHz], Channel Number	Modulation	TSL Conductivity [S/m]	TSL Permittivity
Flat, MSL	Flat, 1.0 cm	--	0--	1800.0,	CW	1.53	50.2

Hardware Setup

Phantom	TSL, Measured Date	Probe, Calibration Date	DAE, Calibration Date
Twin-SAM V4.0 (30deg probe tilt) - 209	MBBL-600-6000 Batch: 171204-1, 2019-Dec-01	EX3DV4 - SN3618, 2019-04-15	DAE3 Sn400, 2019-02-13

Scan Setup

	Area Scan	Zoom Scan
Grid Extents [mm]	56.0 x 84.0	30.0 x 30.0 x 30.0
Grid Steps [mm]	14.0 x 14.0	5.0 x 5.0 x 5.0
Sensor Surface [mm]	3.0	1.4

Measurement Results

	Area Scan	Zoom Scan
Date	2019-12-01, 15:50	2019-12-01, 15:55
psSAR1g [W/Kg]	0.383	0.388
psSAR10g [W/Kg]	0.198	0.200
Power Drift [dB]	-0.05	0.02



Figure C-2 SAR measurement system verification plot for 1800MHz performed on 12/1/2019. Input power = 10.0mW.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

QualcommTechnologies Inc.

Measurement Report

Exposure Conditions

Phantom Section, TSL	Position, Test Distance [mm]	Band	Group, UID	Frequency [MHz], Channel Number	Modulation	TSL Conductivity [S/m]	TSL Permittivity
Flat, MSL	Flat, 1.0 cm	--	0--	2450.0	CW	2.11	51.1

Hardware Setup

Phantom	TSL, Measured Date	Probe, Calibration Date	DAE, Calibration Date
Twin-SAM V4.0 (30deg probe tilt) - 209	MBBL-600-6000 Batch: 171204-1, 2019-Dec-02	EX3DV4 - SN3618, 2019-04-15	DAE3 Sn400, 2019-02-13

Scan Setup

	Area Scan	Zoom Scan
Grid Extents [mm]	48.0 x 96.0	30.0 x 30.0 x 30.0
Grid Steps [mm]	12.0 x 12.0	5.0 x 5.0 x 5.0
Sensor Surface [mm]	3.0	1.4

Measurement Results

	Area Scan	Zoom Scan
Date	2019-12-02, 11:22	2019-12-02, 11:27
psSAR1g [W/Kg]	0.467	0.519
psSAR10g [W/Kg]	0.211	0.233
Power Drift [dB]	-0.01	-0.02



Figure C-3 SAR measurement system verification plot for 2450MHz performed on 12/2/2019. Input power = 10.0mW.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

QualcommTechnologies Inc.

Measurement Report

Exposure Conditions

Phantom Section, TSL	Position, Test Distance [mm]	Band	Group, UID	Frequency [MHz], Channel Number	Modulation	TSL Conductivity [S/m]	TSL Permittivity
Flat, MSL	Flat, 1.0 cm	--	0--	1800.0	CW	1.52	51.3

Hardware Setup

Phantom	TSL, Measured Date	Probe, Calibration Date	DAE, Calibration Date
Twin-SAM V4.0 (30deg probe tilt) - 209	MBBL-600-6000 Batch: 171204-1, 2019-Dec-05	EX3DV4 - SN3618, 2019-04-15	DAE3 Sn400, 2019-02-13

Scan Setup

	Area Scan	Zoom Scan
Grid Extents [mm]	56.0 x 84.0	30.0 x 30.0 x 30.0
Grid Steps [mm]	14.0 x 14.0	5.0 x 5.0 x 5.0
Sensor Surface [mm]	3.0	1.4

Measurement Results

	Area Scan	Zoom Scan
Date	2019-12-05, 12:21	2019-12-05, 12:26
psSAR1g [W/Kg]	0.367	0.389
psSAR10g [W/Kg]	0.189	0.200
Power Drift [dB]	-0.00	-0.02



Figure C-4 SAR measurement system verification plot for 1800MHz performed on 12/5/2019. Input power = 10.0mW.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

QualcommTechnologies Inc.

Measurement Report

Exposure Conditions

Phantom Section, TSL	Position, Test Distance [mm]	Band	Group, UID	Frequency [MHz], Channel Number	Modulation	TSL Conductivity [S/m]	TSL Permittivity
Flat, HSL	Flat, 1.0 cm	--	0--	3700.0	CW	3.23	38.3

Hardware Setup

Phantom	TSL, Measured Date	Probe, Calibration Date	DAE, Calibration Date
Twin-SAM V4.0 (30deg probe tilt) - 209	HBBL-600-10000 Batch 190325-1, 2019-Dec-11	EX3DV4 - SN3618, 2019-04-15	DAE3 Sn400, 2019-02-13

Scan Setup

	Area Scan	Zoom Scan
Grid Extents [mm]	40.0 x 80.0	22.0 x 22.0 x 22.0
Grid Steps [mm]	10.0 x 10.0	4.0 x 4.0 x 1.8
Sensor Surface [mm]	3.0	1.4

Measurement Results

	Area Scan	Zoom Scan
Date	2019-12-11, 16:49	2019-12-11, 16:53
psSAR1g [W/Kg]	0.584	0.683
psSAR10g [W/Kg]	0.216	0.250
Power Drift [dB]	0.01	-0.02



Figure C-5 SAR measurement system verification plot for 3700MHz performed on 12/11/2019. Input power = 10.0mW.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

QualcommTechnologies Inc.

Measurement Report

Exposure Conditions

Phantom Section, TSL	Position, Test Distance [mm]	Band	Group, UID	Frequency [MHz], Channel Number	Modulation	TSL Conductivity [S/m]	TSL Permittivity
Flat, MSL	Flat, 1.0 cm	--	0--	1800.0	CW	1.53	52.2

Hardware Setup

Phantom	TSL, Measured Date	Probe, Calibration Date	DAE, Calibration Date
Twin-SAM V4.0 (30deg probe tilt) - 209	MBBL-600-6000 Batch: 171204-1, 2019-Dec-13	EX3DV4 - SN3618, 2019-04-15	DAE3 Sn400, 2019-02-13

Scan Setup

	Area Scan	Zoom Scan
Grid Extents [mm]	56.0 x 84.0	30.0 x 30.0 x 30.0
Grid Steps [mm]	14.0 x 14.0	5.0 x 5.0 x 5.0
Sensor Surface [mm]	3.0	1.4

Measurement Results

	Area Scan	Zoom Scan
Date	2019-12-13, 13:15	2019-12-13, 13:20
psSAR1g [W/Kg]	0.385	0.398
psSAR10g [W/Kg]	0.199	0.205
Power Drift [dB]	-0.02	-0.04



Figure C-6 SAR measurement system verification plot for 1800MHz performed on 12/13/2019. Input power = 10.0mW.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

QualcommTechnologies Inc.

Measurement Report

Exposure Conditions

Phantom Section, TSL	Position, Test Distance [mm]	Band	Group, UID	Frequency [MHz], Channel Number	Modulation	TSL Conductivity [S/m]	TSL Permittivity
Flat, MSL	Flat, 1.0 cm	--	0--	2450.0	CW	2.12	52.6

Hardware Setup

Phantom	TSL, Measured Date	Probe, Calibration Date	DAE, Calibration Date
Twin-SAM V4.0 (30deg probe tilt) - 209	MBBL-600-6000 Batch: 171204-1, 2019-Dec-14	EX3DV4 - SN3618, 2019-04-15	DAE3 Sn400, 2019-02-13

Scan Setup

	Area Scan	Zoom Scan
Grid Extents [mm]	48.0 x 96.0	30.0 x 30.0 x 30.0
Grid Steps [mm]	12.0 x 12.0	5.0 x 5.0 x 5.0
Sensor Surface [mm]	3.0	1.4

Measurement Results

	Area Scan	Zoom Scan
Date	2019-12-14, 11:55	2019-12-14, 12:00
psSAR1g [W/Kg]	0.514	0.546
psSAR10g [W/Kg]	0.231	0.246
Power Drift [dB]	0.00	0.00



Figure C-7 SAR measurement system verification plot for 2450MHz performed on 12/14/2019. Input power = 10.0mW.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

QualcommTechnologies Inc.

Measurement Report

Exposure Conditions

Phantom Section, TSL	Position, Test Distance [mm]	Band	Group, UID	Frequency [MHz], Channel Number	Modulation	TSL Conductivity [S/m]	TSL Permittivity
Flat, HSL	Flat, 1.0 cm	--	0--	3700.0	CW	3.10	38.0

Hardware Setup

Phantom	TSL, Measured Date	Probe, Calibration Date	DAE, Calibration Date
Twin-SAM V4.0 (30deg probe tilt) - 209	HBBL-600-10000 Batch 190325-1, 2019-Dec-14	EX3DV4 - SN3618, 2019-04-15	DAE3 Sn400, 2019-02-13

Scan Setup

	Area Scan	Zoom Scan
Grid Extents [mm]	40.0 x 80.0	22.0 x 22.0 x 22.0
Grid Steps [mm]	10.0 x 10.0	4.0 x 4.0 x 1.8
Sensor Surface [mm]	3.0	1.4

Measurement Results

	Area Scan	Zoom Scan
Date	2019-12-14, 21:38	2019-12-14, 21:42
psSAR1g [W/Kg]	0.564	0.677
psSAR10g [W/Kg]	0.209	0.250
Power Drift [dB]	-0.01	-0.01



Figure C-8 SAR measurement system verification plot for 3700MHz performed on 12/14/2019. Input power = 10.0mW.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

QualcommTechnologies Inc.

Measurement Report

Exposure Conditions

Phantom Section, TSL	Position, Test Distance [mm]	Band	Group, UID	Frequency [MHz], Channel Number	Modulation	TSL Conductivity [S/m]	TSL Permittivity
Flat, MSL	Flat, 1.0 cm	--	0--	1800.0	CW	1.57	48.9

Hardware Setup

Phantom	TSL, Measured Date	Probe, Calibration Date	DAE, Calibration Date
Twin-SAM V4.0 (30deg probe tilt) - 209	MBBL-600-6000 Batch: 171204-1, 2019-Dec-15	EX3DV4 - SN3618, 2019-04-15	DAE3 Sn400, 2019-02-13

Scan Setup

	Area Scan	Zoom Scan
Grid Extents [mm]	56.0 x 84.0	30.0 x 30.0 x 30.0
Grid Steps [mm]	14.0 x 14.0	5.0 x 5.0 x 5.0
Sensor Surface [mm]	3.0	1.4

Measurement Results

	Area Scan	Zoom Scan
Date	2019-12-15, 21:04	2019-12-15, 21:09
psSAR1g [W/Kg]	0.359	0.406
psSAR10g [W/Kg]	0.191	0.209
Power Drift [dB]	0.00	-0.03



Figure C-9 SAR measurement system verification plot for 1800MHz performed on 12/15/2019. Input power = 10.0mW.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

QualcommTechnologies Inc.

Measurement Report

Exposure Conditions

Phantom Section, TSL	Position, Test Distance [mm]	Band	Group, UID	Frequency [MHz], Channel Number	Modulation	TSL Conductivity [S/m]	TSL Permittivity
Flat, MSL	Flat, 1.0 cm	--	0--	1800.0	CW	1.57	49.0

Hardware Setup

Phantom	TSL, Measured Date	Probe, Calibration Date	DAE, Calibration Date
Twin-SAM V4.0 (30deg probe tilt) - 209	MBBL-600-6000 Batch: 171204-1, 2019-Dec-19	EX3DV4 - SN3618, 2019-04-15	DAE3 Sn400, 2019-02-13

Scan Setup

	Area Scan	Zoom Scan
Grid Extents [mm]	56.0 x 84.0	30.0 x 30.0 x 30.0
Grid Steps [mm]	14.0 x 14.0	5.0 x 5.0 x 5.0
Sensor Surface [mm]	3.0	1.4

Measurement Results

	Area Scan	Zoom Scan
Date	2019-12-19, 10:15	2019-12-19, 10:20
psSAR1g [W/Kg]	0.385	0.398
psSAR10g [W/Kg]	0.200	0.206
Power Drift [dB]	-0.04	0.03



Figure C-10 SAR measurement system verification plot for 1800MHz performed on 12/19/2019. Input power = 10.0mW.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

QualcommTechnologies Inc.

Measurement Report

Exposure Conditions

Phantom Section, TSL	Position, Test Distance [mm]	Band	Group, UID	Frequency [MHz], Channel Number	Modulation	TSL Conductivity [S/m]	TSL Permittivity
Flat, MSL	Flat, 1.0 cm	--	0--	1800.0	CW	1.57	50.5

Hardware Setup

Phantom	TSL, Measured Date	Probe, Calibration Date	DAE, Calibration Date
Twin-SAM V4.0 (30deg probe tilt) - 209	MBBL-600-6000 Batch: 171204-1, 2019-Dec-20	EX3DV4 - SN3618, 2019-04-15	DAE3 Sn400, 2019-02-13

Scan Setup

	Area Scan	Zoom Scan
Grid Extents [mm]	56.0 x 84.0	30.0 x 30.0 x 30.0
Grid Steps [mm]	14.0 x 14.0	5.0 x 5.0 x 5.0
Sensor Surface [mm]	3.0	1.4

Measurement Results

	Area Scan	Zoom Scan
Date	2019-12-20, 10:34	2019-12-20, 10:39
psSAR1g [W/Kg]	0.405	0.419
psSAR10g [W/Kg]	0.209	0.214
Power Drift [dB]	-0.04	0.01



Figure C-11 SAR measurement system verification plot for 1800MHz performed on 12/20/2019. Input power = 10.0mW.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

QualcommTechnologies Inc.

Measurement Report

Exposure Conditions

Phantom Section, TSL	Position, Test Distance [mm]	Band	Group, UID	Frequency [MHz], Channel Number	Modulation	TSL Conductivity [S/m]	TSL Permittivity
Flat, MSL	Flat, 1.0 cm	--	0--	1800.0	CW	1.51	53.3

Hardware Setup

Phantom	TSL, Measured Date	Probe, Calibration Date	DAE, Calibration Date
Twin-SAM V4.0 (30deg probe tilt) - 209	MBBL-600-6000 Batch: 171204-1, 2019-Dec-26	EX3DV4 - SN3618, 2019-04-15	DAE3 Sn400, 2019-02-13

Scan Setup

	Area Scan	Zoom Scan
Grid Extents [mm]	56.0 x 84.0	30.0 x 30.0 x 30.0
Grid Steps [mm]	14.0 x 14.0	5.0 x 5.0 x 5.0
Sensor Surface [mm]	3.0	1.4

Measurement Results

	Area Scan	Zoom Scan
Date	2019-12-26, 11:48	2019-12-26, 11:53
psSAR1g [W/Kg]	0.441	0.421
psSAR10g [W/Kg]	0.228	0.218
Power Drift [dB]	-0.03	-0.02



Figure C-12 SAR measurement system verification plot for 1800MHz performed on 12/26/2019. Input power = 10mW.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

QualcommTechnologies Inc

Measurement Report

Exposure Conditions

Phantom Section, TSL	Position, Test Distance [mm]	Band	Group, UID	Frequency [MHz], Channel Number	Modulation	TSL Conductivity [S/m]	TSL Permittivity
Flat, HSL	Flat, 1.0 cm		0--	3700.0	CW	3.17	37.9

Hardware Setup

Phantom	TSL, Measured Date	Probe, Calibration Date	DAE, Calibration Date
Twin-SAM V4.0 (30deg probe tilt) - 209	HBBL-600-10000 Batch 190325-1, 2020-Jan-08	EX3DV4 - SN3618, 2019-04-15	DAE3 Sn400, 2019-02-13

Scan Setup

	Area Scan	Zoom Scan
Grid Extents [mm]	40.0 x 80.0	28.0 x 28.0 x 28.0
Grid Steps [mm]	10.0 x 10.0	4.0 x 4.0 x 1.8
Sensor Surface [mm]	3.0	1.4

Measurement Results

	Area Scan	Zoom Scan
Date	2020-01-08, 23:15	2020-01-08, 23:21
psSAR1g [W/Kg]	0.556	0.698
psSAR10g [W/Kg]	0.206	0.257
Power Drift [dB]	0.01	0.02



Figure C-13 SAR measurement system verification plot for 3700MHz performed on 01/08/2020. Input power = 10.0mW.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

QualcommTechnologies Inc.

Measurement Report

Exposure Conditions

Phantom Section, TSL	Position, Test Distance [mm]	Band	Group, UID	Frequency [MHz], Channel Number	Modulation	TSL Conductivity [S/m]	TSL Permittivity
Flat, MSL	Flat, 1.0 cm	--	0--	2300.0	CW	1.97	50.6

Hardware Setup

Phantom	TSL, Measured Date	Probe, Calibration Date	DAE, Calibration Date
Twin-SAM V4.0 (30deg probe tilt) - 209	MBBL-600-6000 Batch: 171204-1, 2020-Jan-13	EX3DV4 - SN3618, 2019-04-15	DAE3 Sn400, 2019-02-13

Scan Setup

	Area Scan	Zoom Scan
Grid Extents [mm]	48.0 x 96.0	30.0 x 30.0 x 30.0
Grid Steps [mm]	12.0 x 12.0	5.0 x 5.0 x 5.0
Sensor Surface [mm]	3.0	1.4

Measurement Results

	Area Scan	Zoom Scan
Date	2020-01-13, 10:07	2020-01-13, 10:11
psSAR1g [W/Kg]	0.462	0.496
psSAR10g [W/Kg]	0.214	0.231
Power Drift [dB]	0.00	0.01



Figure C-14 SAR measurement system verification plot for 2300MHz performed on 01/13/2020. Input power = 10.0mW.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

C.2 Power density measurement system verification

Table C-4 provides the list of calibrated equipment for power density measurement system verification.

Table C-4 List of calibrated equipment

Equipment Manufacturer and Type	Serial number	Last Calibrated	Next Calibration
Schmid & Partner Engineering AG mm-Wave E-field Probe, EummWV4	9460	10/30/2019	10/30/2020
Schmid & Partner Engineering AG 5G Verification Source 30GHz	1040	5/16/2019	5/16/2020

C.2.1 Power density probe

The novel EUmmWV2 probe is used in the power density measurement. It is designed for precise near-field measurements in the mm-wave range by SPEAG. The specifications are:

- Frequency range: 0.75 ~ 110 GHz
- Dynamic range: <50 – 3000 V/m (up to 10000 V/m with additional PRE-10 voltage divider)
- Linearity: < ± 0.2 dB
- Supports sensor model calibration (SMC)
- ISO17025 accredited calibration

C.2.2 Power density system verification

The power density system verification is performed using the SPEAG verification device. It consists of a ka-band horn antenna with a corresponding gun oscillator packaged within a cube-shaped housing.

The specification of the verification device is

- Calibrated frequency: 30 GHz at 10 mm from the case surface
- Frequency accuracy: ± 100 MHz
- E-field polarization: linear
- Harmonics: -20 dBc (typ)
- Total radiated power: 14 dBm (typ)
- Power stability: 0.05 dB
- Power consumption: 5 W (max)
- Size: 100 × 100 × 100 mm
- Weight: 1 kg

Table C-5 shows the verification test results. The measured power density (PD) value is within 0.6dB of target level. Note that the uncertainty of 5G verification source is 1.28dB (k=2).

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

Table C-5 System validation results

Validation kit	S/N	Frequency (GHz)	14dBm Target PD (W/m^2)	14dBm Meas. PD (W/m^2)	Deviation (dB)	Test Date
Ka-band source	1040	30	45.0 (4cm^2)	44.1 (4cm^2)	-0.09dB (4cm^2)	12/7/2019
Ka-band source	1040	30	45.0 (4cm^2)	44.4 (4cm^2)	-0.06dB (4cm^2)	12/8/2019
Ka-band source	1040	30	45.0 (4cm^2)	44.8 (4cm^2)	-0.02dB (4cm^2)	12/9/2019
Ka-band source	1040	30	45.0 (4cm^2)	43.8 (4cm^2)	-0.12dB (4cm^2)	12/10/2019
Ka-band source	1040	30	45.0 (4cm^2)	45.0 (4cm^2)	0.0dB (4cm^2)	12/24/2019

Scan Setup

Scan Type	5G Scan
Grid Extents [mm]	60 x 60
Grid Steps [lambda]	0.25 x 0.25
Sensor Surface [mm]	5.55



Figure C-15 4cm^{2}PD for source validation on 12/10/2019

Figure C-15 provides the side by side 1cm^2 PD distributions of Qualcomm validation performed on 12/10/2019 and SPEAG 5G verification source 30GHz (SN: 1040) calibration plot.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION



(a) (b)

Figure C-16 1cm^2 PD distributions: (a) Qualcomm validation performed on 12/10/2019; (b) SPEAG 5G verification source 30GHz – SN:1040 calibration plot for 1cm^2 averaged PD.

Appendix D provides the calibration certificates for PD measurement equipment used in this report.

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

D SPEAG Certificates of cDASY6 SAR Probe, DAE, Dipole, mmW Probe and mmW Verification Source

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION

E Test Setup Photos

MAY CONTAIN U.S. AND INTERNATIONAL EXPORT CONTROLLED INFORMATION