



PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

7185 Oakland Mills Road, Columbia, MD 21046 USA

Tel. +1.410.290.6652 / Fax +1.410.290.6654

<http://www.pctestlab.com>



SAR EVALUATION REPORT

Applicant Name:

Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.
129, Samsung-ro, Maetan dong,
Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-si
Gyeonggi-do, 16677, Korea

Date of Testing:

12/26/16 - 01/30/17

Test Site/Location:

PCTEST Lab, Columbia, MD, USA

Document Serial No.:

1M1701170030-01.A3L

FCC ID:

A3LSMT820

APPLICANT:

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.

DUT Type:

Portable Tablet

Application Type:

Certification

FCC Rule Part(s):

CFR §2.1093

Model:

SM-T820

Equipment Class	Band & Mode	Tx Frequency	SAR
			1 gm Body W/kg
DTS	2.4 GHz WLAN	2412 - 2472 MHz	0.96
NII	U-NII-1	5180 - 5240 MHz	N/A
NII	U-NII-2A	5260 - 5320 MHz	1.08
NII	U-NII-2C	5500 - 5720 MHz	1.09
NII	U-NII-3	5745 - 5825 MHz	0.78
DSS	Bluetooth	2402 - 2480 MHz	0.19
Simultaneous SAR per KDB 690783 D01v01r03:			1.52

This wireless portable device has been shown to be capable of compliance for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) for uncontrolled environment/general population exposure limits specified in ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992 and has been tested in accordance with the measurement procedures specified in Section 1.7 of this report; for North American frequency bands only.

I attest to the accuracy of data. All measurements reported herein were performed by me or were made under my supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I assume full responsibility for the completeness of these measurements and vouch for the qualifications of all persons taking them. Test results reported herein relate only to the item(s) tested.


Randy Ortanez
President



The SAR Tick is an initiative of the Mobile Manufacturers Forum (MMF). While a product may be considered eligible, use of the SAR Tick logo requires an agreement with the MMF. Further details can be obtained by emailing: sartick@mmfai.info.

FCC ID: A3LSMT820		SAR EVALUATION REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 1M1701170030-01.A3L	Test Dates: 12/26/16 - 01/30/17	DUT Type: Portable Tablet		Page 1 of 27

T A B L E O F C O N T E N T S

1	DEVICE UNDER TEST	3
2	INTRODUCTION	6
3	DOSIMETRIC ASSESSMENT	7
4	TEST CONFIGURATION POSITIONS	8
5	RF EXPOSURE LIMITS	9
6	FCC MEASUREMENT PROCEDURES.....	10
7	RF CONDUCTED POWERS.....	12
8	SYSTEM VERIFICATION.....	16
9	SAR DATA SUMMARY	18
10	FCC MULTI-TX AND ANTENNA SAR CONSIDERATIONS.....	20
11	SAR MEASUREMENT VARIABILITY	22
12	EQUIPMENT LIST.....	23
13	MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES.....	24
14	CONCLUSION.....	25
15	REFERENCES	26
APPENDIX A: SAR TEST PLOTS		
APPENDIX B: SAR DIPOLE VERIFICATION PLOTS		
APPENDIX C: PROBE AND DIPOLE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES		
APPENDIX D: SAR TISSUE SPECIFICATIONS		
APPENDIX E: SAR SYSTEM VALIDATION		
APPENDIX F: DUT ANTENNA DIAGRAM & SAR TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS		

FCC ID: A3LSMT820		SAR EVALUATION REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 1M1701170030-01.A3L	Test Dates: 12/26/16 - 01/30/17	DUT Type: Portable Tablet	Page 2 of 27	

1 DEVICE UNDER TEST

1.1 Device Overview

Band & Mode	Operating Modes	Tx Frequency
2.4 GHz WLAN	Data	2412 - 2472 MHz
U-NII-1	Data	5180 - 5240 MHz
U-NII-2A	Data	5260 - 5320 MHz
U-NII-2C	Data	5500 - 5720 MHz
U-NII-3	Data	5745 - 5825 MHz
Bluetooth	Data	2402 - 2480 MHz
ANT+	Data	2402 - 2480 MHz

1.2 Power Reduction for SAR

There is no power reduction used for any band/mode implemented in this device for SAR purposes.

1.3 Nominal and Maximum Output Power Specifications

This device operates using the following maximum and nominal output power specifications. SAR values were scaled to the maximum allowed power to determine compliance per KDB Publication 447498 D01v06.

1.3.1 Maximum Output Powers

Mode / Band		Modulated Average - Single Tx Chain (dBm)		
		Ch. 1-11	Ch. 12	Ch. 13
IEEE 802.11b (2.4 GHz)	Maximum	14.0	5.5	5.5
	Nominal	13.5	5.0	5.0
IEEE 802.11g (2.4 GHz)	Maximum	14.0	5.5	5.5
	Nominal	13.5	5.0	5.0
IEEE 802.11n (2.4 GHz)	Maximum	14.0	5.5	5.5
	Nominal	13.5	5.0	5.0

Mode / Band		Modulated Average - Single Tx Chain (dBm)		
		20 MHz Bandwidth	40 MHz Bandwidth	80 MHz Bandwidth
IEEE 802.11a (5 GHz)	Maximum	11.0		
	Nominal	10.5		
IEEE 802.11n (5 GHz)	Maximum	11.0	11.0	
	Nominal	10.5	10.5	
IEEE 802.11ac (5 GHz)	Maximum	11.0	11.0	11.0
	Nominal	10.5	10.5	10.5

Mode / Band		Modulated Average - MIMO (dBm)		
		Ch. 1-11	Ch. 12	Ch. 13
IEEE 802.11g (2.4 GHz)	Maximum	17.0	8.5	8.5
	Nominal	16.5	8.0	8.0
IEEE 802.11n (2.4 GHz)	Maximum	17.0	8.5	8.5
	Nominal	16.5	8.0	8.0

FCC ID: A3LSMT820	 SAR EVALUATION REPORT 		Approved by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 1M1701170030-01.A3L	Test Dates: 12/26/16 - 01/30/17	DUT Type: Portable Tablet	Page 3 of 27

Mode / Band		Modulated Average - MIMO (dBm)		
		20 MHz Bandwidth	40 MHz Bandwidth	80 MHz Bandwidth
IEEE 802.11a (5 GHz)	Maximum	14.0		
	Nominal	13.5		
IEEE 802.11n (5 GHz)	Maximum	14.0		
	Nominal	13.5		
IEEE 802.11ac (5 GHz)	Maximum	14.0	14.0	14.0
	Nominal	13.5	13.5	13.5

Mode / Band		Modulated Average (dBm)
Bluetooth	Maximum	11.0
	Nominal	10.5
Bluetooth LE	Maximum	3.0
	Nominal	2.5

1.4 DUT Antenna Locations

The overall diagonal dimension of the device is > 200 mm. A diagram showing the location of the device antennas can be found in Appendix F. Exact antenna dimensions and separation distances are shown in the Technical Descriptions in the FCC filings.

**Table 1-1
Device Edges/Sides for SAR Testing**

Mode	Back	Top	Bottom	Right	Left
2.4 GHz WLAN Ant 1	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No
2.4 GHz WLAN Ant 2	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
5 GHz WLAN Ant 1	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No
5 GHz WLAN Ant 2	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Bluetooth	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No

Note: Per FCC KDB Publication 616217 D04v01r01, particular edges were not required to be evaluated for SAR based on the SAR exclusion threshold in KDB 447498 D01V06..

1.5 Simultaneous Transmission Capabilities

According to FCC KDB Publication 447498 D01v06, transmitters are considered to be transmitting simultaneously when there is overlapping transmission, with the exception of transmissions during network hand-offs with maximum hand-off duration less than 30 seconds.

This device contains multiple transmitters that may operate simultaneously, and therefore requires a simultaneous transmission analysis according to FCC KDB Publication 447498 D01v06 4.3.2 procedures.

**Table 1-2
Simultaneous Transmission Scenarios**

No.	Capable Transmit Configuration	Body
1	2.4 GHz W-Fi MIMO	Yes
2	5 GHz W-Fi MIMO	Yes

Note:

1. This device supports 2x2 MIMO Tx for WLAN 802.11a/g/n/ac. Each WLAN antenna can transmit independently or together when operating with MIMO.
2. Bluetooth and 24GHz/5GHz WLAN cannot transmit simultaneously.

FCC ID: A3LSMT820	 SAR EVALUATION REPORT 		Approved by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 1M1701170030-01.A3L	Test Dates: 12/26/16 - 01/30/17	DUT Type: Portable Tablet	Page 4 of 27

1.6 Miscellaneous SAR Test Considerations

(A) WIFI/BT

Since U-NII-1 and U-NII-2A bands have the same maximum output power and the highest reported SAR for U-NII-2A is less than 1.2 W/kg, SAR is not required for U-NII-1 band according to FCC KDB Publication 248227 D01v02r02.

This device supports IEEE 802.11ac with the following features:

- a) Up to 80 MHz Bandwidth only
- b) No aggregate channel configurations
- c) 2 Tx antenna output
- d) 256 QAM is supported
- e) TDWR and Band gap channels are supported

This device supports channel 1-13 for 2.4 GHz WLAN. However, due to the reduced output power for channels 12 and 13, channels 1, 6, and 11 were considered for SAR testing per KDB 248227 D01v02r02.

1.7 Guidance Applied

- FCC KDB Publication 616217 D04v01r02 (SAR for laptop and tablets)
- FCC KDB Publication 248227 D01v02r02 (SAR Considerations for 802.11 Devices)
- FCC KDB Publication 447498 D01v06 (General SAR Guidance)
- FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r04, D02v01r02 (SAR Measurements up to 6 GHz)

1.8 Device Serial Numbers

Several samples with identical hardware were used to support SAR testing. The manufacturer has confirmed that the device(s) tested have the same physical, mechanical and thermal characteristics and are within operational tolerances expected for production units.

	Serial Number
2.4 GHz WLAN	05260
5 GHz WLAN	05211
Bluetooth	000YP

FCC ID: A3LSMT820	 SAR EVALUATION REPORT 	Approved by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 1M1701170030-01.A3L	Test Dates: 12/26/16 - 01/30/17	DUT Type: Portable Tablet
		Page 5 of 27

The FCC and Innovation, Science, and Economic Development Canada have adopted the guidelines for evaluating the environmental effects of radio frequency (RF) radiation in ET Docket 93-62 on Aug. 6, 1996 and Health Canada Safety Code 6 to protect the public and workers from the potential hazards of RF emissions due to FCC-regulated portable devices. [1]

The safety limits used for the environmental evaluation measurements are based on the criteria published by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) in IEEE/ANSI C95.1-1992 Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz [3] and Health Canada RF Exposure Guidelines Safety Code 6 [22]. The measurement procedure described in IEEE/ANSI C95.3-2002 Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic Fields - RF and Microwave [4] is used for guidance in measuring the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) due to the RF radiation exposure from the Equipment Under Test (EUT). These criteria for SAR evaluation are similar to those recommended by the International Committee for Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP) in Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields,” Report No. Vol 74. SAR is a measure of the rate of energy absorption due to exposure to an RF transmitting source. SAR values have been related to threshold levels for potential biological hazards.

2.1 SAR Definition

Specific Absorption Rate is defined as the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dU) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dV) of a given density (ρ). It is also defined as the rate of RF energy absorption per unit mass at a point in an absorbing body (see Equation 2-1).

Equation 2-1
SAR Mathematical Equation

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dU}{dm} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dU}{\rho dv} \right)$$

SAR is expressed in units of Watts per Kilogram (W/kg).

$$SAR = \frac{\sigma \cdot E^2}{\rho}$$

where:

- σ = conductivity of the tissue-simulating material (S/m)
- ρ = mass density of the tissue-simulating material (kg/m³)
- E = Total RMS electric field strength (V/m)

NOTE: The primary factors that control rate of energy absorption were found to be the wavelength of the incident field in relation to the dimensions and geometry of the irradiated organism, the orientation of the organism in relation to the polarity of field vectors, the presence of reflecting surfaces, and whether conductive contact is made by the organism with a ground plane.[6]

FCC ID: A3LSMT820	 SAR EVALUATION REPORT 		Approved by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 1M1701170030-01.A3L	Test Dates: 12/26/16 - 01/30/17	DUT Type: Portable Tablet	Page 6 of 27

3 DOSIMETRIC ASSESSMENT

3.1 Measurement Procedure

The evaluation was performed using the following procedure compliant to FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r04 and IEEE 1528-2013:

1. The SAR distribution at the exposed side of the head or body was measured at a distance no greater than 5.0 mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covered the entire dimension of the device-head and body interface and the horizontal grid resolution was determined per FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r04 (See Table 3-1) and IEEE 1528-2013.
2. The point SAR measurement was taken at the maximum SAR region determined from Step 1 to enable the monitoring of SAR fluctuations/drifts during the 1g/10g cube evaluation. SAR at this fixed point was measured and used as a reference value.
3. Based on the area scan data, the peak of the region with maximum SAR was determined by spline interpolation. Around this point, a volume was assessed according to the measurement resolution and volume size requirements of FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r04 (See Table 3-1) and IEEE 1528-2013. On the basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value was evaluated with the following procedure (see references or the DASY manual online for more details):
 - a. SAR values at the inner surface of the phantom are extrapolated from the measured values along the line away from the surface with spacing no greater than that in Table 3-1. The extrapolation was based on a least-squares algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order was calculated through the points in the z-axis (normal to the phantom shell).
 - b. After the maximum interpolated values were calculated between the points in the cube, the SAR was averaged over the spatial volume (1g or 10g) using a 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-spline is composed of three one-dimensional splines with the “Not a knot” condition (in x, y, and z directions). The volume was then integrated with the trapezoidal algorithm. One thousand points (10 x 10 x 10) were obtained through interpolation, in order to calculate the averaged SAR.
 - c. All neighboring volumes were evaluated until no neighboring volume with a higher average value was found.
4. The SAR reference value, at the same location as step 2, was re-measured after the zoom scan was complete to calculate the SAR drift. If the drift deviated by more than 5%, the SAR test and drift measurements were repeated.




Figure 3-1
Sample SAR Area
Scan

Table 3-1
Area and Zoom Scan Resolutions per FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r04*

Frequency	Maximum Area Scan Resolution (mm) ($\Delta x_{\text{area}}, \Delta y_{\text{area}}$)	Maximum Zoom Scan Resolution (mm) ($\Delta x_{\text{zoom}}, \Delta y_{\text{zoom}}$)	Maximum Zoom Scan Spatial Resolution (mm)			Minimum Zoom Scan Volume (mm) (x,y,z)
			Uniform Grid	Graded Grid		
				$\Delta z_{\text{zoom}}(n)$	$\Delta z_{\text{zoom}}(1)^*$	
≤ 2 GHz	≤ 15	≤ 8	≤ 5	≤ 4	≤ 1.5* $\Delta z_{\text{zoom}}(n-1)$	≥ 30
2-3 GHz	≤ 12	≤ 5	≤ 5	≤ 4	≤ 1.5* $\Delta z_{\text{zoom}}(n-1)$	≥ 30
3-4 GHz	≤ 12	≤ 5	≤ 4	≤ 3	≤ 1.5* $\Delta z_{\text{zoom}}(n-1)$	≥ 28
4-5 GHz	≤ 10	≤ 4	≤ 3	≤ 2.5	≤ 1.5* $\Delta z_{\text{zoom}}(n-1)$	≥ 25
5-6 GHz	≤ 10	≤ 4	≤ 2	≤ 2	≤ 1.5* $\Delta z_{\text{zoom}}(n-1)$	≥ 22

*Also compliant to IEEE 1528-2013 Table 6

FCC ID: A3LSMT820	 SAR EVALUATION REPORT 		Approved by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 1M1701170030-01.A3L	Test Dates: 12/26/16 - 01/30/17	DUT Type: Portable Tablet	Page 7 of 27

4 TEST CONFIGURATION POSITIONS

4.1 Device Holder

The device holder is made out of low-loss POM material having the following dielectric parameters: relative permittivity $\epsilon = 3$ and loss tangent $\delta = 0.02$.

4.2 SAR Testing for Tablet per KDB Publication 616217 D04v01r02

Per FCC KDB Publication 616217 D04v01r02, the back surface and edges of the tablet should be tested for SAR compliance with the tablet touching the phantom. The SAR Exclusion Threshold in KDB 447498 D01v06 can be applied to determine SAR test exclusion for adjacent edge configurations. The closest distance from the antenna to an adjacent tablet edge is used to determine if SAR testing is required for the adjacent edges, with the adjacent edge positioned against the phantom and the edge containing the antenna positioned perpendicular to the phantom.

FCC ID: A3LSMT820		SAR EVALUATION REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 1M1701170030-01.A3L	Test Dates: 12/26/16 - 01/30/17	DUT Type: Portable Tablet		Page 8 of 27

5 RF EXPOSURE LIMITS

5.1 Uncontrolled Environment

UNCONTROLLED ENVIRONMENTS are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure. The general population/uncontrolled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Members of the general public would come under this category when exposure is not employment-related; for example, in the case of a wireless transmitter that exposes persons in its vicinity.

5.2 Controlled Environment

CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENTS are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by persons who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation). In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment, who have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. This exposure category is also applicable when the exposure is of a transient nature due to incidental passage through a location where the exposure levels may be higher than the general population/uncontrolled limits, but the exposed person is fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

Table 5-1
SAR Human Exposure Specified in ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992 and Health Canada Safety Code 6

HUMAN EXPOSURE LIMITS		
	UNCONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT <i>General Population</i> (W/kg) or (mW/g)	CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT <i>Occupational</i> (W/kg) or (mW/g)
Peak Spatial Average SAR Head	1.6	8.0
Whole Body SAR	0.08	0.4
Peak Spatial Average SAR Hands, Feet, Ankle, Wrists, etc.	4.0	20

1. The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 1 gram of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.
2. The Spatial Average value of the SAR averaged over the whole body.
3. The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 10 grams of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.

FCC ID: A3LSMT820	 SAR EVALUATION REPORT 		Approved by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 1M1701170030-01.A3L	Test Dates: 12/26/16 - 01/30/17	DUT Type: Portable Tablet	Page 9 of 27

6.1 Measured and Reported SAR

Per FCC KDB Publication 447498 D01v06, when SAR is not measured at the maximum power level allowed for production units, the results must be scaled to the maximum tune-up tolerance limit according to the power applied to the individual channels tested to determine compliance. For simultaneous transmission, the measured aggregate SAR must be scaled according to the sum of the differences between the maximum tune-up tolerance and actual power used to test each transmitter. When SAR is measured at or scaled to the maximum tune-up tolerance limit, the results are referred to as *reported* SAR. The highest *reported* SAR results are identified on the grant of equipment authorization according to procedures in KDB 690783 D01v01r03.

6.2 SAR Testing with 802.11 Transmitters

The normal network operating configurations of 802.11 transmitters are not suitable for SAR measurements. Unpredictable fluctuations in network traffic and antenna diversity conditions can introduce undesirable variations in SAR results. The SAR for these devices should be measured using chipset based test mode software to ensure the results are consistent and reliable. See KDB Publication 248227 D01v02r02 for more details.

6.2.1 General Device Setup

Chipset based test mode software is hardware dependent and generally varies among manufacturers. The device operating parameters established in test mode for SAR measurements must be identical to those programmed in production units, including output power levels, amplifier gain settings and other RF performance tuning parameters.

A periodic duty factor is required for current generation SAR systems to measure SAR. When 802.11 frame gaps are accounted for in the transmission, a maximum transmission duty factor of 92 - 96% is typically achievable in most test mode configurations. A minimum transmission duty factor of 85% is required to avoid certain hardware and device implementation issues related to wide range SAR scaling. The reported SAR is scaled to 100% transmission duty factor to determine compliance at the maximum tune-up tolerance limit.

6.2.2 U-NII-1 and U-NII-2A

For devices that operate in both U-NII-1 and U-NII-2A bands, when the same maximum output power is specified for both bands, SAR measurement using OFDM SAR test procedures is not required for U-NII-1 unless the highest reported SAR for U-NII-2A is > 1.2 W/kg. When different maximum output powers are specified for the bands, SAR measurement for the U-NII band with the lower maximum output power is not required unless the highest reported SAR for the U-NII band with the higher maximum output power, adjusted by the ratio of lower to higher specified maximum output power for the two bands, is > 1.2 W/kg.

6.2.3 U-NII-2C and U-NII-3

The frequency range covered by U-NII-2C and U-NII-3 is 380 MHz (5.47 – 5.85 GHz), which requires a minimum of at least two SAR probe calibration frequency points to support SAR measurements. When Terminal Doppler Weather Radar (TDWR) restriction applies, the channels at 5.60 – 5.65 GHz in U-NII-2C band must be disabled with acceptable mechanisms and documented in the equipment certification. Unless band gap channels are permanently disabled, SAR must be considered for these channels. Each band is tested independently according to the normally required OFDM SAR measurement and probe calibration frequency points requirements.

6.2.4 2.4 GHz SAR Test Requirements

SAR is measured for 2.4 GHz 802.11b DSSS using either the fixed test position or, when applicable, the initial test position procedure. SAR test reduction is determined according to the following:

FCC ID: A3LSMT820	 SAR EVALUATION REPORT 		Approved by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 1M1701170030-01.A3L	Test Dates: 12/26/16 - 01/30/17	DUT Type: Portable Tablet	Page 10 of 27

- 1) When the reported SAR of the highest measured maximum output power channel for the exposure configuration is ≤ 0.8 W/kg, no further SAR testing is required for 802.11b DSSS in that exposure configuration.
- 2) When the reported SAR is > 0.8 W/kg, SAR is required for that position using the next highest measured output power channel. When any reported SAR is > 1.2 W/kg, SAR is required for the third channel; i.e., all channels require testing.

2.4 GHz 802.11 g/n OFDM are additionally evaluated for SAR if the highest reported SAR for 802.11b, adjusted by the ratio of the OFDM to DSSS specified maximum output power, is > 1.2 W/kg. When SAR is required for OFDM modes in 2.4 GHz band, the Initial Test Configuration Procedures should be followed.

6.2.5 OFDM Transmission Mode and SAR Test Channel Selection

When the same maximum output power was specified for multiple OFDM transmission mode configurations in a frequency band or aggregated band, SAR is measured using the configuration with the largest channel bandwidth, lowest order modulation and lowest data rate. When the maximum output power of a channel is the same for equivalent OFDM configurations; for example, 802.11a, 802.11n and 802.11ac or 802.11g and 802.11n with the same channel bandwidth, modulation and data rate etc., the lower order 802.11 mode i.e., 802.11a, then 802.11n and 802.11ac or 802.11g then 802.11n, is used for SAR measurement. When the maximum output power are the same for multiple test channels, either according to the default or additional power measurement requirements, SAR is measured using the channel closest to the middle of the frequency band or aggregated band. When there are multiple channels with the same maximum output power, SAR is measured using the higher number channel.

6.2.6 Initial Test Configuration Procedure

For OFDM, an initial test configuration is determined for each frequency band and aggregated band, according to the transmission mode with the highest maximum output power specified for SAR measurements. When the same maximum output power is specified for multiple OFDM transmission mode configurations in a frequency band or aggregated band, SAR is measured using the configuration(s) with the largest channel bandwidth, lowest order modulation, lowest data rate and lowest order IEEE 802.11 mode. The channel of the transmission mode with the highest average RF output conducted power will be the initial test configuration.

When the reported SAR is ≤ 0.8 W/kg, no additional measurements on other test channels are required. Otherwise, SAR is evaluated using the subsequent highest average RF output channel until the reported SAR result is ≤ 1.2 W/kg or all channels are measured. When there are multiple untested channels having the same subsequent highest average RF output power, the channel with higher frequency from the lowest 802.11 mode is considered for SAR measurements (See Section 6.2.5).

6.2.7 Subsequent Test Configuration Procedures

For OFDM configurations in each frequency band and aggregated band, SAR is evaluated for initial test configuration using the fixed test position or the initial test position procedure. When the highest reported SAR (for the initial test configuration), adjusted by the ratio of the specified maximum output power of the subsequent test configuration to initial test configuration, is ≤ 1.2 W/kg, no additional SAR tests for the subsequent test configurations are required.

6.2.8 MIMO SAR considerations

Per KDB Publication 248227 D01v02r02, the simultaneous SAR provisions in KDB Publication 447498 D01v06 should be applied to determine simultaneous transmission SAR test exclusion for WIFI MIMO. If the sum of 1g single transmission chain SAR measurements is < 1.6 W/kg, no additional SAR measurements for MIMO are required. Alternatively, SAR for MIMO can be measured with all antennas transmitting simultaneously at the specified maximum output power of MIMO operation.

FCC ID: A3LSMT820	 SAR EVALUATION REPORT 		Approved by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 1M1701170030-01.A3L	Test Dates: 12/26/16 - 01/30/17	DUT Type: Portable Tablet	Page 11 of 27

7 RF CONDUCTED POWERS

7.1 WLAN Conducted Powers

Table 7-1
2.4 GHz WLAN Antenna 1 Average RF Power

2.4GHz Conducted Power [dBm]				
Freq [MHz]	Channel	IEEE Transmission Mode		
		802.11b	802.11g	802.11n
2412	1	13.56	13.87	13.68
2437	6	13.53	13.58	13.86
2462	11	13.30	13.77	13.63

Table 7-2
2.4 GHz WLAN Antenna 2 Average RF Power

2.4GHz Conducted Power [dBm]				
Freq [MHz]	Channel	IEEE Transmission Mode		
		802.11b	802.11g	802.11n
2412	1	13.22	13.14	13.03
2437	6	13.18	13.22	13.33
2462	11	13.15	13.20	12.98

Table 7-3
5 GHz WLAN Antenna 1 Average RF Power

5GHz (80MHz) Conducted Power [dBm]		
Freq [MHz]	Channel	IEEE Transmission Mode
		802.11ac
5210	42	10.19
5290	58	10.98
5530	106	10.79
5610	122	10.76
5690	138	10.33
5775	155	10.63

FCC ID: A3LSMT820	 SAR EVALUATION REPORT 		Approved by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 1M1701170030-01.A3L	Test Dates: 12/26/16 - 01/30/17	DUT Type: Portable Tablet	Page 12 of 27

Table 7-4
5 GHz WLAN Antenna 2 Average RF Power

5GHz (80MHz) Conducted Power [dBm]		
Freq [MHz]	Channel	IEEE Transmission Mode
		802.11ac
5210	42	10.48
5290	58	10.37
5530	106	10.17
5610	122	10.13
5690	138	10.94
5775	155	10.83

Table 7-5
5 GHz WLAN MIMO Average RF Power

5GHz (80MHz) Conducted Power [dBm]				
Freq [MHz]	Channel	ANT1	ANT2	MIMO
5210	42	10.19	10.48	13.35
5290	58	10.98	10.37	13.70
5530	106	10.79	10.17	13.50
5610	122	10.76	10.13	13.47
5690	138	10.33	10.94	13.66
5775	155	10.63	10.83	13.74

Justification for test configurations for WLAN per KDB Publication 248227 D01v02r02:

- Power measurements were performed for the transmission mode configuration with the highest maximum output power specified for production units.
- For transmission modes with the same maximum output power specification, powers were measured for the largest channel bandwidth, lowest order modulation and lowest data rate.
- For transmission modes with identical maximum specified output power, channel bandwidth, modulation and data rates, power measurements were required for all identical configurations.
- For each transmission mode configuration, powers were measured for the highest and lowest channels; and at the mid-band channel(s) when there were at least 3 channels supported. For configurations with multiple mid-band channels, due to an even number of channels, both channels were measured.
- The bolded data rate and channel above were tested for SAR.




Table 7-6
Power Measurement Setup for Bandwidths < 50 MHz

FCC ID: A3LSMT820		SAR EVALUATION REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 1M1701170030-01.A3L	Test Dates: 12/26/16 - 01/30/17	DUT Type: Portable Tablet		Page 13 of 27




Table 7-7
Power Measurement Setup for Bandwidths > 50 MHz

7.2 Bluetooth Conducted Powers

Table 7-8
Bluetooth Average RF Power

Frequency [MHz]	Data Rate [Mbps]	Channel No.	Avg Conducted Power	
			[dBm]	[mW]
2402	1.0	0	9.31	8.539
2441	1.0	39	10.55	11.350
2480	1.0	78	10.13	10.313
2402	2.0	0	6.11	4.087
2441	2.0	39	7.32	5.398
2480	2.0	78	6.92	4.915
2402	3.0	0	6.18	4.153
2441	3.0	39	7.37	5.461
2480	3.0	78	6.98	4.984




Figure 7-1
Power Measurement Setup

FCC ID: A3LSMT820		SAR EVALUATION REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 1M1701170030-01.A3L	Test Dates: 12/26/16 - 01/30/17	DUT Type: Portable Tablet		Page 14 of 27

8 SYSTEM VERIFICATION

8.1 Tissue Verification

**Table 8-1
Measured Tissue Properties**

Calibrated for Tests Performed on:	Tissue Type	Tissue Temp During Calibration (°C)	Measured Frequency (MHz)	Measured Conductivity, σ (S/m)	Measured Dielectric Constant, ϵ	TARGET Conductivity, σ (S/m)	TARGET Dielectric Constant, ϵ	% dev σ	% dev ϵ
01/24/2017	2450B	23.7	2400	1.951	52.052	1.902	52.767	2.58%	-1.36%
			2450	2.014	51.856	1.950	52.700	3.28%	-1.60%
			2500	2.086	51.676	2.021	52.636	3.22%	-1.82%
01/30/2017	2450B	22.6	2400	1.968	52.385	1.902	52.767	3.47%	-0.72%
			2450	2.037	52.281	1.950	52.700	4.46%	-0.80%
			2500	2.104	52.057	2.021	52.636	4.11%	-1.10%
12/26/2016	5200B-5800B	21.2	5240	5.511	47.075	5.346	48.960	3.09%	-3.85%
			5260	5.534	47.034	5.369	48.933	3.07%	-3.88%
			5280	5.545	46.970	5.393	48.906	2.82%	-3.96%
			5300	5.581	46.888	5.416	48.879	3.05%	-4.07%
			5520	5.866	46.575	5.673	48.580	3.40%	-4.13%
			5540	5.888	46.552	5.696	48.553	3.37%	-4.12%
			5600	5.989	46.456	5.766	48.471	3.87%	-4.16%
			5680	6.081	46.311	5.860	48.363	3.77%	-4.24%
			5700	6.124	46.243	5.883	48.336	4.10%	-4.33%
			5745	6.193	46.245	5.936	48.275	4.33%	-4.21%
			5765	6.211	46.222	5.959	48.248	4.23%	-4.20%
			5785	6.222	46.181	5.982	48.220	4.01%	-4.23%

The above measured tissue parameters were used in the DASY software. The DASY software was used to perform interpolation to determine the dielectric parameters at the SAR test device frequencies (per KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r04 and IEEE 1528-2013 6.6.1.2). The tissue parameters listed in the SAR test plots may slightly differ from the table above due to significant digit rounding in the software.

FCC ID: A3LSMT820	 SAR EVALUATION REPORT 		Approved by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 1M1701170030-01.A3L	Test Dates: 12/26/16 - 01/30/17	DUT Type: Portable Tablet	Page 16 of 27

8.2 Test System Verification

Prior to SAR assessment, the system is verified to $\pm 10\%$ of the SAR measurement on the reference dipole at the time of calibration by the calibration facility. Full system validation status and result summary can be found in Appendix E.

Table 8-2
System Verification Results

System Verification TARGET & MEASURED												
SAR System #	Tissue Frequency (MHz)	Tissue Type	Date:	Amb. Temp (°C)	Liquid Temp (°C)	Input Power (W)	Dipole SN	Probe SN	Measured SAR _{1g} (W/kg)	1 W Target SAR _{1g} (W/kg)	1 W Normalized SAR _{1g} (W/kg)	Deviation _{1g} (%)
H	2450	BODY	01/24/2017	22.4	22.7	0.100	797	3319	5.210	50.700	52.100	2.76%
E	2450	BODY	01/30/2017	22.5	21.5	0.100	981	7406	5.130	50.800	51.300	0.98%
D	5250	BODY	12/26/2016	20.9	20.1	0.050	1237	3914	3.480	74.800	69.600	-6.95%
D	5600	BODY	12/26/2016	20.9	20.1	0.050	1237	3914	3.590	77.000	71.800	-6.75%
D	5750	BODY	12/26/2016	20.9	20.1	0.050	1237	3914	3.580	75.400	71.600	-5.04%




Figure 8-1
System Verification Setup Diagram



Figure 8-2
System Verification Setup Photo

FCC ID: A3LSMT820	 SAR EVALUATION REPORT 		Approved by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 1M1701170030-01.A3L	Test Dates: 12/26/16 - 01/30/17	DUT Type: Portable Tablet	Page 17 of 27

9

SAR DATA SUMMARY

9.1 Standalone Body SAR Data

Table 9-1
2.4 GHz WLAN Body SAR Data

MEASUREMENT RESULTS																			
FREQUENCY		Mode	Service	Bandwidth [MHz]	Maxim um Allowed Power [dBm]	Conducted Power [dBm]	Power Drift [dB]	Spacing	Antenna Config.	Device Serial Number	Data Rate (Mbps)	Side	Duty Cycle (%)	Peak SAR of Area Scan	SAR (1g)	Scaling Factor (Power)	Scaling Factor (Duty Cycle)	Reported SAR (1g)	Plot #
MHz	Ch.													W/kg	(W/kg)			(W/kg)	
2412	1	802.11b	DSSS	22	14.0	13.56	-0.12	0 mm	1	05260	1	back	99.2	1.091	0.827	1.107	1.008	0.923	A1
2437	6	802.11b	DSSS	22	14.0	13.53	-0.09	0 mm	1	05260	1	back	99.2	1.129	0.855	1.114	1.008	0.960	
2412	1	802.11b	DSSS	22	14.0	13.56	0.02	0 mm	1	05260	1	bottom	99.2	0.585	0.437	1.107	1.008	0.488	
2412	1	802.11b	DSSS	22	14.0	13.56	0.08	0 mm	1	05260	1	right	99.2	0.205	0.118	1.107	1.008	0.132	
2412	1	802.11b	DSSS	22	14.0	13.22	-0.10	0 mm	2	05260	1	back	98.8	0.550	0.462	1.197	1.012	0.560	
2412	1	802.11b	DSSS	22	14.0	13.22	-0.09	0 mm	2	05260	1	bottom	98.8	0.542	0.367	1.197	1.012	0.445	
2412	1	802.11b	DSSS	22	14.0	13.22	0.16	0 mm	2	05260	1	left	98.8	0.142	0.086	1.197	1.012	0.104	
2437	6	802.11b	DSSS	22	14.0	13.53	-0.05	0 mm	1	05260	1	back	99.2	1.008	0.847	1.114	1.008	0.951	
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population										Body 1.6 W/kg (mW/g) averaged over 1 gram									

Note: Blue entry indicates variability measurement.

Table 9-2
5 GHz WLAN Body SAR Data

MEASUREMENT RESULTS																			
FREQUENCY		Mode	Service	Bandwidth [MHz]	Maximum Allowed Power [dBm]	Conducted Power [dBm]	Power Drift [dB]	Spacing	Antenna Config.	Device Serial Number	Data Rate (Mbps)	Side	Duty Cycle (%)	Peak SAR of Area Scan	SAR (1g)	Scaling Factor (Power)	Scaling Factor (Duty Cycle)	Reported SAR (1g)	Plot #
MHz	Ch.													W/kg	(W/kg)			(W/kg)	
5290	58	802.11ac	OFDM	80	11.0	10.98	-0.11	0 mm	1	05211	29.3	back	86.9	1.370	0.739	1.005	1.151	0.855	
5290	58	802.11ac	OFDM	80	11.0	10.98	-0.17	0 mm	1	05211	29.3	bottom	86.9	1.945	0.737	1.005	1.151	0.853	
5290	58	802.11ac	OFDM	80	11.0	10.98	0.17	0 mm	1	05211	29.3	right	86.9	0.939	0.298	1.005	1.151	0.345	
5290	58	802.11ac	OFDM	80	11.0	10.37	-0.17	0 mm	2	05211	29.3	back	86.9	1.539	0.814	1.156	1.151	1.083	
5290	58	802.11ac	OFDM	80	11.0	10.37	-0.10	0 mm	2	05211	29.3	bottom	86.9	1.545	0.568	1.156	1.151	0.756	
5290	58	802.11ac	OFDM	80	11.0	10.37	0.19	0 mm	2	05211	29.3	left	86.9	0.613	0.184	1.156	1.151	0.245	
5290	58	802.11ac	OFDM	80	14.0	13.70	0.18	0 mm	MIMO	05211	58.5	bottom	85.9	1.198	0.680	1.156	1.164	0.915	
5530	106	802.11ac	OFDM	80	11.0	10.79	0.20	0 mm	1	05211	29.3	back	86.9	3.005	0.893	1.050	1.151	1.079	
5610	122	802.11ac	OFDM	80	11.0	10.76	-0.13	0 mm	1	05211	29.3	back	86.9	0.836	0.812	1.057	1.151	0.988	
5530	106	802.11ac	OFDM	80	11.0	10.79	0.19	0 mm	1	05211	29.3	bottom	86.9	1.526	0.486	1.050	1.151	0.587	
5530	106	802.11ac	OFDM	80	11.0	10.79	0.10	0 mm	1	05211	29.3	right	86.9	0.378	0.128	1.050	1.151	0.155	
5690	138	802.11ac	OFDM	80	11.0	10.94	-0.17	0 mm	2	05211	29.3	back	86.9	0.934	0.456	1.014	1.151	0.532	
5690	138	802.11ac	OFDM	80	11.0	10.94	-0.04	0 mm	2	05211	29.3	bottom	86.9	1.066	0.373	1.014	1.151	0.435	
5690	138	802.11ac	OFDM	80	11.0	10.94	0.10	0 mm	2	05211	29.3	left	86.9	0.162	0.060	1.014	1.151	0.070	
5690	138	802.11ac	OFDM	80	14.0	13.66	0.14	0 mm	MIMO	05211	58.5	bottom	85.9	0.699	0.402	1.167	1.164	0.546	
5775	155	802.11ac	OFDM	80	11.0	10.63	-0.13	0 mm	1	05211	29.3	back	86.9	1.548	0.623	1.089	1.151	0.781	
5775	155	802.11ac	OFDM	80	11.0	10.63	-0.10	0 mm	1	05211	29.3	bottom	86.9	0.733	0.271	1.089	1.151	0.340	
5775	155	802.11ac	OFDM	80	11.0	10.63	0.19	0 mm	1	05211	29.3	right	86.9	0.250	0.093	1.089	1.151	0.117	
5775	155	802.11ac	OFDM	80	11.0	10.83	0.05	0 mm	2	05211	29.3	back	86.9	0.831	0.471	1.040	1.151	0.564	
5775	155	802.11ac	OFDM	80	11.0	10.83	-0.15	0 mm	2	05211	29.3	bottom	86.9	1.069	0.348	1.040	1.151	0.417	
5775	155	802.11ac	OFDM	80	11.0	10.83	0.17	0 mm	2	05211	29.3	left	86.9	0.177	0.067	1.040	1.151	0.080	
5775	155	802.11ac	OFDM	80	14.0	13.74	-0.13	0 mm	MIMO	05211	58.5	bottom	85.9	0.491	0.269	1.089	1.164	0.341	
5290	58	802.11ac	OFDM	80	11.0	10.37	-0.13	0 mm	2	05211	29.3	back	86.9	1.843	0.813	1.156	1.151	1.082	
5530	106	802.11ac	OFDM	80	11.0	10.79	-0.14	0 mm	1	05211	29.3	back	86.9	1.081	0.900	1.050	1.151	1.088	A2
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT										Body									
Spatial Peak										1.6 W/kg (mW/g)									
Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population										averaged over 1 gram									

Note: Blue entries indicate variability measurements.

FCC ID: A3LSMT820			SAR EVALUATION REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 1M1701170030-01.A3L	Test Dates: 12/26/16 - 01/30/17	DUT Type: Portable Tablet		Page 18 of 27	

Table 9-3
Bluetooth Body SAR Data

MEASUREMENT RESULTS																
FREQUENCY		Mode	Service	Maximum Allowed Power [dBm]	Conducted Power [dBm]	Power Drift [dB]	Spacing	Device Serial Number	Data Rate (Mbps)	Side	Duty Cycle (%)	SAR (1g)	Scaling Factor (Power)	Scaling Factor (Duty Cycle)	Reported SAR (1g)	Plot #
MHz	Ch.											(W/kg)			(W/kg)	
2441	39	Bluetooth	FHSS	11.0	10.55	0.19	0 mm	000YP	1	back	77.3	0.135	1.109	1.294	0.194	A3
2441	39	Bluetooth	FHSS	11.0	10.55	-0.08	0 mm	000YP	1	bottom	77.3	0.114	1.109	1.294	0.164	
2441	39	Bluetooth	FHSS	11.0	10.55	0.11	0 mm	000YP	1	right	77.3	0.013	1.109	1.294	0.019	
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population									Body 1.6 W/kg (mW/g) averaged over 1 gram							

9.2 SAR Test Notes

General Notes:

1. The test data reported are the worst-case SAR values according to test procedures specified in FCC KDB Publication 616217 D04v01r02 and FCC KDB Publication 447498 D01v06.
2. Batteries are fully charged at the beginning of the SAR measurements.
3. Liquid tissue depth was at least 15.0 cm for all frequencies.
4. The manufacturer has confirmed that the device(s) tested have the same physical, mechanical and thermal characteristics and are within operational tolerances expected for production units.
5. SAR results were scaled to the maximum allowed power to demonstrate compliance per FCC KDB Publication 447498 D01v06.
6. Per FCC KDB 865664 D01v01r04, variability SAR tests were performed when the measured SAR results for a frequency band were greater than or equal to 0.8 W/kg. Repeated SAR measurements are highlighted in the tables above for clarity. Please see Section 11 for variability analysis.
7. FCC KDB Publication 616217 D04v01r02 Section 4.3, SAR tests are required for the back surface and edges of the tablet with the tablet touching the phantom. The SAR Exclusion Threshold in FCC KDB 447498 D01v06 was applied to determine SAR test exclusion for adjacent edge configurations.

WLAN/Bluetooth Notes:

1. Justification for test configurations for WLAN per KDB Publication 248227 D01v02r02 for 2.4 GHz WIFI single transmission chain operations, the highest measured maximum output power channel for DSSS was selected for SAR measurement. SAR for OFDM modes (2.4 GHz 802.11g/n) was not required due to the maximum allowed powers and the highest reported DSSS SAR. See Section 6.2.4 for more information.
2. Justification for test configurations for WLAN per KDB Publication 248227 D01v02r02 for 5 GHz WIFI single transmission chain operations, the initial test configuration was selected according to the transmission mode with the highest maximum allowed powers. Other transmission modes were not investigated since the highest reported SAR for initial test configuration adjusted by the ratio of maximum output powers is less than 1.2 W/kg. See Section 6.2.5 for more information.
3. Per KDB Publication 248227 D01v02r02, SAR for MIMO was evaluated by following the simultaneous SAR provisions from KDB Publication 447498 D01v06. Please see Section 10 for complete analysis.
4. When the maximum reported 1g averaged SAR is ≤ 0.8 W/kg, SAR testing on additional channels was not required. Otherwise, SAR for the next highest output power channel was required until the reported SAR result was ≤ 1.20 W/kg or all test channels were measured.
5. The device was configured to transmit continuously at the required data rate, channel bandwidth and signal modulation, using the highest transmission duty factor supported by the test mode tools. The reported SAR was scaled to the 100% transmission duty factor to determine compliance. Procedures used to measure the duty factor are identical to that in the associated EMC test reports.
6. Bluetooth SAR was measured with the device connected to a call box with hopping disabled with DH5 operation and Tx Tests test mode type. Per October 2016 TCB Workshop Notes, the reported SAR was scaled to the 100% transmission duty factor to determine compliance. See Section 7.2 for the time-domain plot and calculation for the duty factor of the device.

FCC ID: A3LSMT820		SAR EVALUATION REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 1M1701170030-01.A3L	Test Dates: 12/26/16 - 01/30/17	DUT Type: Portable Tablet		Page 19 of 27

10 FCC MULTI-TX AND ANTENNA SAR CONSIDERATIONS

10.1 Introduction

The following procedures adopted from FCC KDB Publication 447498 D01v06 are applicable to devices with built-in unlicensed transmitters.

10.2 Simultaneous Transmission Procedures

This device contains transmitters that may operate simultaneously. Therefore simultaneous transmission analysis is required. Per FCC KDB Publication 447498 D01v06 4.3.2 and IEEE 1528-2013 Section 6.3.4.1.2, simultaneous transmission SAR test exclusion may be applied when the sum of the 1-g SAR for all the simultaneous transmitting antennas in a specific physical test configuration is ≤ 1.6 W/kg. The different test positions in an exposure condition may be considered collectively to determine SAR test exclusion according to the sum of 1-g or 10-g SAR.

10.3 Body SAR Simultaneous Transmission Analysis

Table 10-1
Simultaneous Transmission Scenario with 2.4 GHz WLAN

Simult Tx	Configuration	2.4 GHz WLAN Ant 1 SAR (W/kg)	2.4 GHz WLAN Ant 2 SAR (W/kg)	Σ SAR (W/kg)
Body SAR	Back	0.960	0.560	1.520
	Top	0.400	0.400	0.800
	Bottom	0.488	0.445	0.933
	Right	0.132	0.400	0.532
	Left	0.400	0.104	0.504

Table 10-2
Simultaneous Transmission Scenario with 5 GHz WLAN

Simult Tx	Configuration	5 GHz WLAN Ant 1 SAR (W/kg)	5 GHz WLAN Ant 2 SAR (W/kg)	Σ SAR (W/kg)	SPLSR
Body SAR	Back	1.088	1.083	See Note 1	0.04
	Top	0.400	0.400	0.800	N/A
	Bottom	0.853	0.756	See Note 3	N/A
	Right	0.345	0.400	0.745	N/A
	Left	0.400	0.245	0.645	N/A

Notes:

1. No evaluation was performed to determine the aggregate 1g SAR for this configuration as the SPLS ratio between the antenna pairs was not greater than 0.04 per FCC KDB 447498 D01v06. See Section 11.4 for detailed SPLS ratio analysis.
2. When the antenna separation distance was > 50 mm, an estimated SAR of 0.4 W/kg was used to determine the simultaneous transmission SAR exclusion for test positions excluded per FCC KDB Publication 447498 D01v06.
3. No evaluation was performed to determine the aggregate 1g SAR for this configuration as the MIMO SAR values were measured in Table 9-2.

FCC ID: A3LSMT820		SAR EVALUATION REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 1M1701170030-01.A3L	Test Dates: 12/26/16 - 01/30/17	DUT Type: Portable Tablet		Page 20 of 27

10.4 SPLSR Evaluation and Analysis

Per FCC KDB Publication 447498 D01v06, when the sum of the standalone transmitters is more than 1.6 W/kg for 1g, the SAR sum to peak locations can be analyzed to determine SAR distribution overlaps. When the SAR peak to location ratio (shown below) for each pair of antennas is

FCC ID: A3LSMT820	 SAR EVALUATION REPORT 		Approved by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 1M1701170030-01.A3L	Test Dates: 12/26/16 - 01/30/17	DUT Type: Portable Tablet	Page 21 of 27

11 SAR MEASUREMENT VARIABILITY

11.1 Measurement Variability

Per FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r04, SAR measurement variability was assessed for each frequency band, which was determined by the SAR probe calibration point and tissue-equivalent medium used for the device measurements. When both head and body tissue-equivalent media were required for SAR measurements in a frequency band, the variability measurement procedures were applied to the tissue medium with the highest measured SAR, using the highest measured SAR configuration for that tissue-equivalent medium. These additional measurements were repeated after the completion of all measurements requiring the same head or body tissue-equivalent medium in a frequency band. The test device was returned to ambient conditions (normal room temperature) with the battery fully charged before it was re-mounted on the device holder for the repeated measurement(s) to minimize any unexpected variations in the repeated results.

SAR Measurement Variability was assessed using the following procedures for each frequency band:

- 1) When the original highest measured SAR is ≥ 0.80 W/kg, the measurement was repeated once.
- 2) A second repeated measurement was performed only if the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original and first repeated measurements was > 1.20 or when the original or repeated measurement was ≥ 1.45 W/kg (~ 10% from the 1-g SAR limit).
- 3) A third repeated measurement was performed only if the original, first or second repeated measurement was ≥ 1.5 W/kg and the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original, first and second repeated measurements is > 1.20 .
- 4) Repeated measurements are not required when the original highest measured SAR is < 0.80 W/kg

Table 11-1
Body SAR Measurement Variability Results

BODY VARIABILITY RESULTS														
Band	FREQUENCY		Mode	Service	Data Rate (Mbps)	Side	Spacing	Measured SAR (1g)	1st Repeated SAR (1g)	Ratio	2nd Repeated SAR (1g)	Ratio	3rd Repeated SAR (1g)	Ratio
	MHz	Ch.						(W/kg)	(W/kg)		(W/kg)		(W/kg)	
2450	2437.00	6	802.11b, 22 MHz Bandwidth	DSSS, ANT 1	1	back	0 mm	0.855	0.847	1.01	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
5250	5290.00	58	802.11ac, 80 MHz Bandwidth	OFDM, ANT 2	29.3	back	0 mm	0.814	0.813	1.00	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
5600	5530.00	106	802.11ac, 80 MHz Bandwidth	OFDM, ANT 1	29.3	back	0 mm	0.893	0.900	1.01	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population							Body 1.6 W/kg (mW/g) averaged over 1 gram							

11.2 Measurement Uncertainty

The measured SAR was < 1.5 W/kg for all frequency bands. Therefore, per KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r04, the extended measurement uncertainty analysis per IEEE 1528-2013 was not required.

FCC ID: A3LSMT820	 SAR EVALUATION REPORT 		Approved by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 1M1701170030-01.A3L	Test Dates: 12/26/16 - 01/30/17	DUT Type: Portable Tablet	Page 22 of 27

12 EQUIPMENT LIST

Manufacturer	Model	Description	Cal Date	Cal Interval	Cal Due	Serial Number
Agilent	8594A	(9kHz-2.9GHz) Spectrum Analyzer	N/A	N/A	N/A	3051A00187
Agilent	8753E	(30kHz-6GHz) Network Analyzer	3/2/2016	Annual	3/2/2017	JP38020182
Agilent	8753ES	S-Parameter Network Analyzer	6/28/2016	Annual	6/28/2017	MY40000670
Agilent	8753ES	S-Parameter Vector Network Analyzer	8/19/2016	Annual	8/19/2017	MY40003841
Agilent	8753ES	S-Parameter Network Analyzer	10/26/2016	Annual	10/26/2017	US39170118
Agilent	E4432B	ESG-D Series Signal Generator	3/5/2016	Annual	3/5/2017	US40053896
Agilent	E4438C	ESG Vector Signal Generator	3/13/2015	Biennial	3/13/2017	MY42082385
Agilent	E8257D	(250kHz-20GHz) Signal Generator	3/2/2016	Annual	3/2/2017	MY45470194
Agilent	N4010A	Wireless Connectivity Test Set	N/A	N/A	N/A	GB46170464
Agilent	N5182A	MXG Vector Signal Generator	10/27/2016	Annual	10/27/2017	MY47420603
Agilent	N9020A	MXA Signal Analyzer	10/28/2016	Annual	10/28/2017	US46470561
Amplifier Research	1551G6	Amplifier	CBT	N/A	CBT	433971
Anritsu	MA24106A	USB Power Sensor	6/2/2016	Annual	6/2/2017	1231535
Anritsu	MA24106A	USB Power Sensor	6/2/2016	Annual	6/2/2017	1231538
Anritsu	MA2411B	Pulse Power Sensor	8/18/2016	Annual	8/18/2017	1126066
Anritsu	MA2411B	Pulse Power Sensor	8/18/2016	Annual	8/18/2017	1207470
Anritsu	ML2495A	Power Meter	10/16/2015	Biennial	10/16/2017	941001
Anritsu	ML2495A	Power Meter	10/16/2015	Biennial	10/16/2017	1039008
COMTech	AR85729-5	Solid State Amplifier	CBT	N/A	CBT	M155A00-009
Control Company	4040	Digital Thermometer	3/18/2015	Biennial	3/18/2017	150194895
Control Company	4352	Ultra Long Stem Thermometer	3/8/2016	Biennial	3/8/2018	160261694
Keysight	772D	Dual Directional Coupler	CBT	N/A	CBT	MY52180215
MCL	BW-N6W5+	6dB Attenuator	CBT	N/A	CBT	1139
Mini-Circuits	VLF-6000+	Low Pass Filter	CBT	N/A	CBT	N/A
Mini-Circuits	BW-N20W5	Power Attenuator	CBT	N/A	CBT	1226
Mini-Circuits	BW-N20W5+	DC to 18 GHz Precision Fixed 20 dB Attenuator	CBT	N/A	CBT	N/A
Mini-Circuits	NLP-2950+	Low Pass Filter DC to 2700 MHz	CBT	N/A	CBT	N/A
Mitutoyo	CD-6°CSX	Digital Caliper	3/2/2016	Biennial	3/2/2018	13264162
Narda	4014C-6	4 - 8 GHz SMA 6 dB Directional Coupler	CBT	N/A	CBT	N/A
Narda	4772-3	Attenuator (3dB)	CBT	N/A	CBT	9406
Narda	BW-S3W2	Attenuator (3dB)	CBT	N/A	CBT	120
Pasternack	NC-100	Torque Wrench	11/6/2015	Biennial	11/6/2017	N/A
Pasternack	PE2208-6	Bidirectional Coupler	CBT	N/A	CBT	N/A
Pasternack	PE2209-10	Bidirectional Coupler	CBT	N/A	CBT	N/A
Rohde & Schwarz	CMU200	Base Station Simulator	3/29/2016	Annual	3/29/2017	836371/0079
Seekonk	NC-100	Torque Wrench (8" lb)	9/1/2016	Biennial	9/1/2018	21053
SPEAG	D2450V2	2450 MHz SAR Dipole	9/13/2016	Annual	9/13/2017	797
SPEAG	D2450V2	2450 MHz SAR Dipole	7/25/2016	Annual	7/25/2017	981
SPEAG	D5GHzV2	5 GHz SAR Dipole	8/2/2016	Annual	8/2/2017	1237
SPEAG	DAE4	Dasy Data Acquisition Electronics	2/18/2016	Annual	2/18/2017	1272
SPEAG	DAE4	Dasy Data Acquisition Electronics	3/14/2016	Annual	3/14/2017	1368
SPEAG	DAE4	Dasy Data Acquisition Electronics	4/14/2016	Annual	4/14/2017	1407
SPEAG	DAK-3.5	Dielectric Assessment Kit	5/10/2016	Annual	5/10/2017	1070
SPEAG	ES3DV3	SAR Probe	3/18/2016	Annual	3/18/2017	3319
SPEAG	EX3DV4	SAR Probe	2/22/2016	Annual	2/22/2017	3914
SPEAG	EX3DV4	SAR Probe	4/19/2016	Annual	4/19/2017	7406

Note:

1. CBT (Calibrated Before Testing). Prior to testing, the measurement paths containing a cable, amplifier, attenuator, coupler or filter were connected to a calibrated source (i.e. a signal generator) to determine the losses of the measurement path. The power meter offset was then adjusted to compensate for the measurement system losses. This level offset is stored within the power meter before measurements are made. This calibration verification procedure applies to the system verification and output power measurements. The calibrated reading is then taken directly from the power meter after compensation of the losses for all final power measurements.
2. Each equipment was used solely within its calibration period.

FCC ID: A3LSMT820	 SAR EVALUATION REPORT 	Approved by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 1M1701170030-01.A3L	Test Dates: 12/26/16 - 01/30/17	DUT Type: Portable Tablet
		Page 23 of 27

13 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES

a	c	d	e= f(d,k)	f	g	h = c x f/e	i = c x g/e	k
Uncertainty Component	Tol. (± %)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	c _i 1gm	c _i 10 gms	1gm u _i (± %)	10gms u _i (± %)	v _i
Measurement System								
Probe Calibration	6.55	N	1	1.0	1.0	6.6	6.6	∞
Axial Isotropy	0.25	N	1	0.7	0.7	0.2	0.2	∞
Hemishperical Isotropy	1.3	N	1	0.7	0.7	0.9	0.9	∞
Boundary Effect	2.0	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	1.2	1.2	∞
Linearity	0.3	N	1	1.0	1.0	0.3	0.3	∞
System Detection Limits	0.25	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	0.1	0.1	∞
Readout Electronics	0.3	N	1	1.0	1.0	0.3	0.3	∞
Response Time	0.8	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	0.5	0.5	∞
Integration Time	2.6	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	1.5	1.5	∞
RF Ambient Conditions - Noise	3.0	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	1.7	1.7	∞
RF Ambient Conditions - Reflections	3.0	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	1.7	1.7	∞
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	0.4	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	0.2	0.2	∞
Probe Positioning w/ respect to Phantom	6.7	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	3.9	3.9	∞
Extrapolation, Interpolation & Integration algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	4.0	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	2.3	2.3	∞
Test Sample Related								
Test Sample Positioning	2.7	N	1	1.0	1.0	2.7	2.7	35
Device Holder Uncertainty	1.67	N	1	1.0	1.0	1.7	1.7	5
Output Power Variation - SAR drift measurement	5.0	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	2.9	2.9	∞
SAR Scaling	0.0	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	∞
Phantom & Tissue Parameters								
Phantom Uncertainty (Shape & Thickness tolerances)	7.6	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	4.4	4.4	∞
Liquid Conductivity - measurement uncertainty	4.2	N	1	0.78	0.71	3.3	3.0	10
Liquid Permittivity - measurement uncertainty	4.1	N	1	0.23	0.26	1.0	1.1	10
Liquid Conductivity - Temperature Uncertainty	3.4	R	1.73	0.78	0.71	1.5	1.4	∞
Liquid Permittivity - Temperature Uncertainty	0.6	R	1.73	0.23	0.26	0.1	0.1	∞
Liquid Conductivity - deviation from target values	5.0	R	1.73	0.64	0.43	1.8	1.2	∞
Liquid Permittivity - deviation from target values	5.0	R	1.73	0.60	0.49	1.7	1.4	∞
Combined Standard Uncertainty (k=1)						RSS	11.5	11.3
Expanded Uncertainty (95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL)						k=2	23.0	22.6

FCC ID: A3LSMT820	 SAR EVALUATION REPORT 		Approved by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 1M1701170030-01.A3L	Test Dates: 12/26/16 - 01/30/17	DUT Type: Portable Tablet	Page 24 of 27

14 CONCLUSION

14.1 Measurement Conclusion

The SAR evaluation indicates that the EUT complies with the RF radiation exposure limits of the FCC and Innovation, Science, and Economic Development Canada, with respect to all parameters subject to this test. These measurements were taken to simulate the RF effects of RF exposure under worst-case conditions. Precise laboratory measures were taken to assure repeatability of the tests. The results and statements relate only to the item(s) tested.

Please note that the absorption and distribution of electromagnetic energy in the body are very complex phenomena that depend on the mass, shape, and size of the body, the orientation of the body with respect to the field vectors, and the electrical properties of both the body and the environment. Other variables that may play a substantial role in possible biological effects are those that characterize the environment (e.g. ambient temperature, air velocity, relative humidity, and body insulation) and those that characterize the individual (e.g. age, gender, activity level, debilitation, or disease). Because various factors may interact with one another to vary the specific biological outcome of an exposure to electromagnetic fields, any protection guide should consider maximal amplification of biological effects as a result of field-body interactions, environmental conditions, and physiological variables. [3]

FCC ID: A3LSMT820	 SAR EVALUATION REPORT 	Approved by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 1M1701170030-01.A3L	Test Dates: 12/26/16 - 01/30/17	DUT Type: Portable Tablet
		Page 25 of 27

15 REFERENCES

- [1] Federal Communications Commission, ET Docket 93-62, Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of Radiofrequency Radiation, Aug. 1996.
- [2] ANSI/IEEE C95.1-2005, American National Standard safety levels with respect to human exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic fields, 3kHz to 300GHz, New York: IEEE, 2006.
- [3] ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992, American National Standard safety levels with respect to human exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic fields, 3kHz to 300GHz, New York: IEEE, Sept. 1992.
- [4] ANSI/IEEE C95.3-2002, IEEE Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic Fields - RF and Microwave, New York: IEEE, December 2002.
- [5] IEEE Standards Coordinating Committee 39 –Standards Coordinating Committee 34 – IEEE Std. 1528-2013, IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques.
- [6] NCRP, National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements, Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for RadioFrequency Electromagnetic Fields, NCRP Report No. 86, 1986. Reprinted Feb. 1995.
- [7] T. Schmid, O. Egger, N. Kuster, Automated E-field scanning system for dosimetric assessments, IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, Jan. 1996, pp. 105-113.
- [8] K. Pokovic, T. Schmid, N. Kuster, Robust setup for precise calibration of E-field probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies, ICECOM97, Oct. 1997, pp. 1 -124.
- [9] K. Pokovic, T. Schmid, and N. Kuster, E-field Probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids, Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, Croatia, June 23-25, 1996, pp. 172-175.
- [10] Schmid & Partner Engineering AG, Application Note: Data Storage and Evaluation, June 1998, p2.
- [11] V. Hombach, K. Meier, M. Burkhardt, E. Kuhn, N. Kuster, The Dependence of EM Energy Absorption upon Human Modeling at 900 MHz, IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44 no. 10, Oct. 1996, pp. 1865-1873.
- [12] N. Kuster and Q. Balzano, Energy absorption mechanism by biological bodies in the near field of dipole antennas above 300MHz, IEEE Transaction on Vehicular Technology, vol. 41, no. 1, Feb. 1992, pp. 17-23.
- [13] G. Hartsgrrove, A. Kraszewski, A. Surowiec, Simulated Biological Materials for Electromagnetic Radiation Absorption Studies, University of Ottawa, Bioelectromagnetics, Canada: 1987, pp. 29-36.
- [14] Q. Balzano, O. Garay, T. Manning Jr., Electromagnetic Energy Exposure of Simulated Users of Portable Cellular Telephones, IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol. 44, no.3, Aug. 1995.
- [15] W. Gander, Computermathematick, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1992.
- [16] W.H. Press, S.A. Teukolsky, W.T. Vetterling, and B.P. Flannery, Numerical Recipes in C, The Art of Scientific Computing, Second edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992.
- [17] N. Kuster, R. Kastle, T. Schmid, Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with known precision, IEEE Transaction on Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, May 1997, pp. 645-652.

FCC ID: A3LSMT820	 SAR EVALUATION REPORT 		Approved by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 1M1701170030-01.A3L	Test Dates: 12/26/16 - 01/30/17	DUT Type: Portable Tablet	Page 26 of 27

- [18] CENELEC CLC/SC111B, European Prestandard (prENV 50166-2), Human Exposure to Electromagnetic Fields High-frequency: 10kHz-300GHz, Jan. 1995.
- [19] Prof. Dr. Niels Kuster, ETH, Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich, Dosimetric Evaluation of the Cellular Phone.
- [20] IEC 62209-1, Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices - Human models, instrumentation, and procedures - Part 1: Procedure to determine the specific absorption rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz), Feb. 2005.
- [21] Innovation, Science, Economic Development Canada RSS-102 Radio Frequency Exposure Compliance of Radiocommunication Apparatus (All Frequency Bands) Issue 5, March 2015.
- [22] Health Canada Safety Code 6 Limits of Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields in the Frequency Range from 3 kHz – 300 GHz, 2015
- [23] FCC SAR Test Procedures for 2G-3G Devices, Mobile Hotspot and UMPC Devices KDB Publications 941225, D01-D07
- [24] SAR Measurement Guidance for IEEE 802.11 Transmitters, KDB Publication 248227 D01
- [25] FCC SAR Considerations for Handsets with Multiple Transmitters and Antennas, KDB Publications 648474 D03-D04
- [26] FCC SAR Evaluation Considerations for Laptop, Notebook, Netbook and Tablet Computers, FCC KDB Publication 616217 D04
- [27] FCC SAR Measurement and Reporting Requirements for 100MHz – 6 GHz, KDB Publications 865664 D01-D02
- [28] FCC General RF Exposure Guidance and SAR Procedures for Dongles, KDB Publication 447498, D01-D02
- [29] Anexo à Resolução No. 533, de 10 de Setembro de 2009.
- [30] IEC 62209-2, Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices - Human models, instrumentation, and procedures - Part 2: Procedure to determine the specific absorption rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz), Mar. 2010.

FCC ID: A3LSMT820	 SAR EVALUATION REPORT 		Approved by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 1M1701170030-01.A3L	Test Dates: 12/26/16 - 01/30/17	DUT Type: Portable Tablet	Page 27 of 27

APPENDIX A: SAR TEST DATA

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: A3LSMT820; Type: Portable Tablet; Serial: 05260

Communication System: UID 0, IEEE 802.11b; Frequency: 2437 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 2450 Body; Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 2437 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.998 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 51.907$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 0.0 cm

Test Date: 01-24-2017; Ambient Temp: 22.4°C; Tissue Temp: 22.7°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3319; ConvF(4.2, 4.2, 4.2); Calibrated: 3/18/2016;

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1368; Calibrated: 3/14/2016

Phantom: SAM with CRP (Left); Type: SAM; Serial: 1715

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

**Mode: IEEE 802.11b, Antenna 1, 22 MHz Bandwidth, Body SAR,
Back Side, Ch 6, 1 Mbps**


Area Scan (9x21x1): Measurement grid: dx=12mm, dy=12mm

Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 22.46 V/m; Power Drift = -0.09 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 2.36 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.855 W/kg



0 dB = 1.14 W/kg = 0.57 dBW/kg

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: A3LSMT820; Type: Portable Tablet; Serial: 05211

Communication System: UID 0, IEEE 802.11ac; Frequency: 5530 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 5 GHz Body Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 5530 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 5.877 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 46.564$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 0.0 cm

Test Date: 12-26-2016; Ambient Temp: 20.9°C; Tissue Temp: 20.1°C

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3914; ConvF(3.63, 3.63, 3.63); Calibrated: 2/22/2016;

Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1272; Calibrated: 2/18/2016

Phantom: SAM with CRP v5.0 Front; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: 1646

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

**Mode: IEEE 802.11ac, Antenna 1, U-NII-2C, 80 MHz Bandwidth, Body SAR,
Back Side, Ch 106, 29.3 Mbps**


Area Scan (14x22x1): Measurement grid: $dx=10\text{mm}$, $dy=10\text{mm}$

Zoom Scan (9x11x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=4\text{mm}$, $dy=4\text{mm}$, $dz=1.4\text{mm}$; Graded Ratio: 1.4

Reference Value = 10.38 V/m; Power Drift = -0.14 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 7.29 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.900 W/kg



0 dB = 4.26 W/kg = 6.29 dBW/kg

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: A3LSMT820; Type: Portable Tablet; Serial: 000YP

Communication System: UID 0, Bluetooth; Frequency: 2441 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1.293

Medium: 2450 Body; Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 2441 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 2.025 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 52.3$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 0.0 cm

Test Date: 01-30-2017; Ambient Temp: 22.5°C; Tissue Temp: 21.5°C

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7406; ConvF(7.24, 7.24, 7.24); Calibrated: 4/19/2016;

Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1407; Calibrated: 4/14/2016

Phantom: SAM 5.0 front; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:-1648

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

Mode: Bluetooth, Body SAR, Back Side, Ch 39, 1 Mbps


Area Scan (13x21x1): Measurement grid: $dx=12\text{mm}$, $dy=12\text{mm}$

Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=5\text{mm}$, $dy=5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 8.243 V/m; Power Drift = 0.19 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.372 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.135 W/kg



0 dB = 0.267 W/kg = -5.73 dBW/kg

APPENDIX B: SYSTEM VERIFICATION

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: D2450V2; Serial: 797

Communication System: UID 0, CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 2450 Body; Medium parameters used:

$f = 2450 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 2.014 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 51.856$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 01-24-2017; Ambient Temp: 22.4°C; Tissue Temp: 22.7°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3319; ConvF(4.2, 4.2, 4.2); Calibrated: 3/18/2016;

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1368; Calibrated: 3/14/2016

Phantom: SAM with CRP (Left); Type: SAM; Serial: 1715

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

2450 MHz System Verification at 20.0 dBm (100 mW)


Area Scan (8x9x1): Measurement grid: $dx=12\text{mm}$, $dy=12\text{mm}$

Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=5\text{mm}$, $dy=5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 10.9 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 5.21 W/kg

Deviation(1 g) = 2.76%



PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: D2450V2; Serial: 981

Communication System: UID 0, CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 2450 Body; Medium parameters used:

$f = 2450 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 2.037 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 52.281$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 01-30-2017; Ambient Temp: 22.5°C; Tissue Temp: 21.5°C

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7406; ConvF(7.24, 7.24, 7.24); Calibrated: 4/19/2016;

Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1407; Calibrated: 4/14/2016

Phantom: SAM 5.0 front; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:-1648

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

2450 MHz System Verification at 20.0 dBm (100 mW)


Area Scan (8x9x1): Measurement grid: $dx=12\text{mm}$, $dy=12\text{mm}$

Zoom Scan (7x8x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=5\text{mm}$, $dy=5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 10.7 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 5.13 W/kg

Deviation(1 g) = 0.98%



0 dB = 8.64 W/kg = 9.37 dBW/kg

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: Dipole 5 GHz; Type: D5GHzV2; Serial: 1237

Communication System: UID 0, CW; Frequency: 5250 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 5 GHz Body; Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 5250 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 5.523 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 47.055$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 12-26-2016; Ambient Temp: 20.9°C; Tissue Temp: 20.1°C

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3914; ConvF(4.32, 4.32, 4.32); Calibrated: 2/22/2016;

Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1272; Calibrated: 2/18/2016

Phantom: SAM with CRP v5.0 Front; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: 1646

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

5250 MHz System Verification at 17.0 dBm (50 mW)


Area Scan (7x7x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm

Zoom Scan (8x8x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=4mm, dy=4mm, dz=1.4mm; Graded Ratio: 1.4

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 14.5 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 3.48 W/kg

Deviation(1 g) = -6.95%



0 dB = 8.16 W/kg = 9.12 dBW/kg

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: Dipole 5 GHz; Type: D5GHzV2; Serial: 1237

Communication System: UID 0, CW; Frequency: 5600 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 5 GHz Body; Medium parameters used:

$f = 5600 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 5.989 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 46.456$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 12-26-2016; Ambient Temp: 20.9°C; Tissue Temp: 20.1°C

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3914; ConvF(3.63, 3.63, 3.63); Calibrated: 2/22/2016;

Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1272; Calibrated: 2/18/2016

Phantom: SAM with CRP v5.0 Front; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: 1646

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

5600 MHz System Verification at 17.0 dBm (50 mW)


Area Scan (7x7x1): Measurement grid: $dx=10\text{mm}$, $dy=10\text{mm}$

Zoom Scan (8x8x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=4\text{mm}$, $dy=4\text{mm}$, $dz=1.4\text{mm}$; Graded Ratio: 1.4

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 15.4 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 3.59 W/kg

Deviation(1 g) = -6.75%



PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: Dipole 5 GHz; Type: D5GHzV2; Serial: 1237

Communication System: UID 0, CW; Frequency: 5750 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 5 GHz Body; Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 5750 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 6.198 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 46.239$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 12-26-2016; Ambient Temp: 20.9°C; Tissue Temp: 20.1°C

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3914; ConvF(3.86, 3.86, 3.86); Calibrated: 2/22/2016;

Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1272; Calibrated: 2/18/2016

Phantom: SAM with CRP v5.0 Front; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: 1646

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

5750 MHz System Verification at 17.0 dBm (50 mW)


Area Scan (7x7x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm

Zoom Scan (8x8x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=4mm, dy=4mm, dz=1.4mm; Graded Ratio: 1.4

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 15.7 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 3.58 W/kg

Deviation(1 g) = -5.04%



0 dB = 8.56 W/kg = 9.32 dBW/kg

APPENDIX C: PROBE CALIBRATION



Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 0108**

Client **PC Test**

Certificate No: **D2450V2-797_Sep16**

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object **D2450V2 - SN:797**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-05.v9**
Calibration procedure for dipole validation kits above 700 MHz

BNV
09-28-2016

Calibration date: **September 13, 2016**

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).
The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter NRP	SN: 104778	06-Apr-16 (No. 217-02288/02289)	Apr-17
Power sensor NRP-Z91	SN: 103244	06-Apr-16 (No. 217-02288)	Apr-17
Power sensor NRP-Z91	SN: 103245	06-Apr-16 (No. 217-02289)	Apr-17
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: 5058 (20k)	05-Apr-16 (No. 217-02292)	Apr-17
Type-N mismatch combination	SN: 5047.2 / 06327	05-Apr-16 (No. 217-02295)	Apr-17
Reference Probe EX3DV4	SN: 7349	15-Jun-16 (No. EX3-7349_Jun16)	Jun-17
DAE4	SN: 601	30-Dec-15 (No. DAE4-601_Dec15)	Dec-16

Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
Power meter EPM-442A	SN: GB37480704	07-Oct-15 (No. 217-02222)	In house check: Oct-16
Power sensor HP 8481A	SN: US37292783	07-Oct-15 (No. 217-02222)	In house check: Oct-16
Power sensor HP 8481A	SN: MY41092317	07-Oct-15 (No. 217-02223)	In house check: Oct-16
RF generator R&S SMT-06	SN: 100972	15-Jun-15 (in house check Jun-15)	In house check: Oct-16
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	SN: US37390585	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-15)	In house check: Oct-16

Calibrated by: **Jeton Kastrati** Function: **Laboratory Technician**

Approved by: **Katja Pokovic** Technical Manager

Signature
[Handwritten signature]
[Handwritten signature]

Issued: September 13, 2016

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.



Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 0108**

Glossary:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM x,y,z
N/A	not applicable or not measured

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- IEEE Std 1528-2013, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", June 2013
- IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005
- IEC 62209-2, "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz)", March 2010
- KDB 865664, "SAR Measurement Requirements for 100 MHz to 6 GHz"

Additional Documentation:

- DASY4/5 System Handbook

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- Measurement Conditions:* Further details are available from the Validation Report at the end of the certificate. All figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated.
- Antenna Parameters with TSL:* The dipole is mounted with the spacer to position its feed point exactly below the center marking of the flat phantom section, with the arms oriented parallel to the body axis.
- Feed Point Impedance and Return Loss:* These parameters are measured with the dipole positioned under the liquid filled phantom. The impedance stated is transformed from the measurement at the SMA connector to the feed point. The Return Loss ensures low reflected power. No uncertainty required.
- Electrical Delay:* One-way delay between the SMA connector and the antenna feed point. No uncertainty required.
- SAR measured:* SAR measured at the stated antenna input power.
- SAR normalized:* SAR as measured, normalized to an input power of 1 W at the antenna connector.
- SAR for nominal TSL parameters:* The measured TSL parameters are used to calculate the nominal SAR result.

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor $k=2$, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

Measurement Conditions

DASY system configuration, as far as not given on page 1.

DASY Version	DASY5	V52.8.8
Extrapolation	Advanced Extrapolation	
Phantom	Modular Flat Phantom	
Distance Dipole Center - TSL	10 mm	with Spacer
Zoom Scan Resolution	dx, dy, dz = 5 mm	
Frequency	2450 MHz $\pm$ 1 MHz	

Head TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Head TSL parameters	22.0 °C	39.2	1.80 mho/m
Measured Head TSL parameters	(22.0 $\pm$ 0.2) °C	37.9 $\pm$ 6 %	1.88 mho/m $\pm$ 6 %
Head TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	----	----

SAR result with Head TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of Head TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	13.4 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	52.1 W/kg $\pm$ 17.0 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of Head TSL	condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	6.26 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	24.6 W/kg $\pm$ 16.5 % (k=2)

Body TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Body TSL parameters	22.0 °C	52.7	1.95 mho/m
Measured Body TSL parameters	(22.0 $\pm$ 0.2) °C	51.6 $\pm$ 6 %	2.04 mho/m $\pm$ 6 %
Body TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	----	----

SAR result with Body TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of Body TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	13.0 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	50.7 W/kg $\pm$ 17.0 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of Body TSL	condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	6.13 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	24.2 W/kg $\pm$ 16.5 % (k=2)

Appendix (Additional assessments outside the scope of SCS 0108)

Antenna Parameters with Head TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	$53.8 \Omega + 6.0 j\Omega$
Return Loss	- 23.3 dB

Antenna Parameters with Body TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	$50.8 \Omega + 8.0 j\Omega$
Return Loss	- 22.0 dB

General Antenna Parameters and Design

Electrical Delay (one direction)	1.160 ns
----------------------------------	----------

After long term use with 100W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals. On some of the dipoles, small end caps are added to the dipole arms in order to improve matching when loaded according to the position as explained in the "Measurement Conditions" paragraph. The SAR data are not affected by this change. The overall dipole length is still according to the Standard.

No excessive force must be applied to the dipole arms, because they might bend or the soldered connections near the feedpoint may be damaged.

Additional EUT Data

Manufactured by	SPEAG
Manufactured on	January 24, 2006

DASY5 Validation Report for Head TSL

Date: 13.09.2016

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: D2450V2; Serial: D2450V2 - SN:797

Communication System: UID 0 - CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz

Medium parameters used: $f = 2450$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.88$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 37.9$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

DASY52 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7349; ConvF(7.72, 7.72, 7.72); Calibrated: 15.06.2016;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 30.12.2015
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 5.0 (front); Type: QD000P50AA; Serial: 1001
- DASY52 52.8.8(1258); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7372)

Dipole Calibration for Head Tissue/Pin=250 mW, d=10mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:


Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 113.4 V/m; Power Drift = -0.02 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 26.9 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 13.4 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 6.26 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 21.9 W/kg




0 dB = 21.9 W/kg = 13.40 dBW/kg

Impedance Measurement Plot for Head TSL

12 Sep 2016 12:42:03
 CH1 S11 1 U FS 2: 53.771 Ω 6.0234 Ω 391.29 μH 2 450.000 000 MHz


*
 De1
 CA



Avg
 16
 H1 d

CH2 S11 LOG 5 dB/REF -20 dB 2:-23.300 dB 2 450.000 000 MHz

CA
 Avg
 16
 H1 d



DASY5 Validation Report for Body TSL

Date: 13.09.2016

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: D2450V2; Serial: D2450V2 - SN:797

Communication System: UID 0 - CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz

Medium parameters used: $f = 2450$ MHz; $\sigma = 2.04$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 51.6$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

DASY52 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7349; ConvF(7.79, 7.79, 7.79); Calibrated: 15.06.2016;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 30.12.2015
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 5.0 (back); Type: QD000P50AA; Serial: 1002
- DASY52 52.8.8(1258); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7372)

Dipole Calibration for Body Tissue/Pin=250 mW, d=10mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:


Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 106.5 V/m; Power Drift = -0.04 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 25.6 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 13 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 6.13 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 21.2 W/kg




0 dB = 21.2 W/kg = 13.26 dBW/kg

Impedance Measurement Plot for Body TSL


12 Sep 2016 12:40:39
[CH1] S11 1 U FS 2: 50.842 Ω 7.9531 Ω 516.64 μ H 2 450.000 000 MHz

*
De1
CA
Avg
16
H1d



CH2 S11 LOG 5 dB/REF -20 dB 2:-22.037 dB 2 450.000 000 MHz

CA
Avg
16
H1d





Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 0108**

Client **PC Test**

Certificate No: **D2450V2-981_Jul16**

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object **D2450V2 - SN:981**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-05.v9**
Calibration procedure for dipole validation kits above 700 MHz

Calibration date: **July 25, 2016**

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).
The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter NRP	SN: 104778	06-Apr-16 (No. 217-02288/02289)	Apr-17
Power sensor NRP-Z91	SN: 103244	06-Apr-16 (No. 217-02288)	Apr-17
Power sensor NRP-Z91	SN: 103245	06-Apr-16 (No. 217-02289)	Apr-17
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: 5058 (20k)	05-Apr-16 (No. 217-02292)	Apr-17
Type-N mismatch combination	SN: 5047.2 / 06327	05-Apr-16 (No. 217-02295)	Apr-17
Reference Probe EX3DV4	SN: 7349	15-Jun-16 (No. EX3-7349_Jun16)	Jun-17
DAE4	SN: 601	30-Dec-15 (No. DAE4-601_Dec15)	Dec-16

Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
Power meter EPM-442A	SN: GB37480704	07-Oct-15 (No. 217-02222)	In house check: Oct-16
Power sensor HP 8481A	SN: US37292783	07-Oct-15 (No. 217-02222)	In house check: Oct-16
Power sensor HP 8481A	SN: MY41092317	07-Oct-15 (No. 217-02223)	In house check: Oct-16
RF generator R&S SMT-06	SN: 100972	15-Jun-15 (in house check Jun-15)	In house check: Oct-16
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	SN: US37390585	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-15)	In house check: Oct-16

Calibrated by: **Michael Weber** Name: **Michael Weber** Function: **Laboratory Technician**

Approved by: **Katja Pokovic** Name: **Katja Pokovic** Technical Manager

Signature

M. Weber

K. Pokovic

Issued: July 27, 2016

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.



Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 0108**

Glossary:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM x,y,z
N/A	not applicable or not measured

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- IEEE Std 1528-2013, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", June 2013
- IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005
- IEC 62209-2, "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz)", March 2010
- KDB 865664, "SAR Measurement Requirements for 100 MHz to 6 GHz"

Additional Documentation:

- DASY4/5 System Handbook

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- Measurement Conditions:** Further details are available from the Validation Report at the end of the certificate. All figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated.
- Antenna Parameters with TSL:** The dipole is mounted with the spacer to position its feed point exactly below the center marking of the flat phantom section, with the arms oriented parallel to the body axis.
- Feed Point Impedance and Return Loss:** These parameters are measured with the dipole positioned under the liquid filled phantom. The impedance stated is transformed from the measurement at the SMA connector to the feed point. The Return Loss ensures low reflected power. No uncertainty required.
- Electrical Delay:** One-way delay between the SMA connector and the antenna feed point. No uncertainty required.
- SAR measured:** SAR measured at the stated antenna input power.
- SAR normalized:** SAR as measured, normalized to an input power of 1 W at the antenna connector.
- SAR for nominal TSL parameters:** The measured TSL parameters are used to calculate the nominal SAR result.

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor $k=2$, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

Measurement Conditions

DASY system configuration, as far as not given on page 1.

DASY Version	DASY5	V52.8.8
Extrapolation	Advanced Extrapolation	
Phantom	Modular Flat Phantom	
Distance Dipole Center - TSL	10 mm	with Spacer
Zoom Scan Resolution	dx, dy, dz = 5 mm	
Frequency	2450 MHz $\pm$ 1 MHz	

Head TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Head TSL parameters	22.0 °C	39.2	1.80 mho/m
Measured Head TSL parameters	(22.0 $\pm$ 0.2) °C	38.0 $\pm$ 6 %	1.86 mho/m $\pm$ 6 %
Head TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	----	----

SAR result with Head TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of Head TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	13.5 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	52.8 W/kg $\pm$ 17.0 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of Head TSL	condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	6.26 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	24.7 W/kg $\pm$ 16.5 % (k=2)

Body TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Body TSL parameters	22.0 °C	52.7	1.95 mho/m
Measured Body TSL parameters	(22.0 $\pm$ 0.2) °C	51.8 $\pm$ 6 %	2.03 mho/m $\pm$ 6 %
Body TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	----	----

SAR result with Body TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of Body TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	13.0 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	50.8 W/kg $\pm$ 17.0 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of Body TSL	condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	6.04 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	23.8 W/kg $\pm$ 16.5 % (k=2)

Appendix (Additional assessments outside the scope of SCS 0108)

Antenna Parameters with Head TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	$53.2 \Omega + 3.4 j\Omega$
Return Loss	- 26.9 dB

Antenna Parameters with Body TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	$50.2 \Omega + 4.5 j\Omega$
Return Loss	- 27.0 dB

General Antenna Parameters and Design

Electrical Delay (one direction)	1.162 ns
----------------------------------	----------

After long term use with 100W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals. On some of the dipoles, small end caps are added to the dipole arms in order to improve matching when loaded according to the position as explained in the "Measurement Conditions" paragraph. The SAR data are not affected by this change. The overall dipole length is still according to the Standard.

No excessive force must be applied to the dipole arms, because they might bend or the soldered connections near the feedpoint may be damaged.

Additional EUT Data

Manufactured by	SPEAG
Manufactured on	December 30, 2014

DASY5 Validation Report for Head TSL

Date: 13.07.2016

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz D2450V2; Type: D2450V2; Serial: D2450V2 - SN:981

Communication System: UID 0 - CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz

Medium parameters used: $f = 2450$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.86$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 38$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

DASY52 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7349; ConvF(7.72, 7.72, 7.72); Calibrated: 15.06.2016;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 30.12.2015
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 5.0 (front); Type: QD000P50AA; Serial: 1001
- DASY52 52.8.8(1258); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7372)

Dipole Calibration for Head Tissue/Pin=250 mW, d=10mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:


Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 115.8 V/m; Power Drift = 0.02 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 27.4 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 13.5 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 6.26 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 22.5 W/kg



0 dB = 22.5 W/kg = 13.52 dBW/kg

Impedance Measurement Plot for Head TSL

13 Jul 2016 12:53:29
CH1 S11 1 U FS 3: 53.234 Ω 3.3633 Ω 218.48 μH 2 450.000 000 MHz


*

De1

CA

Avg
16

H1d




CH2 S11 LOG 5 dB/REF -20 dB 3:-26.900 dB 2 450.000 000 MHz

CA

Avg
16

H1d



DASY5 Validation Report for Body TSL

Date: 25.07.2016

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz D2450V2; Type: D2450V2; Serial: D2450V2 - SN:981

Communication System: UID 0 - CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz

Medium parameters used: $f = 2450$ MHz; $\sigma = 2.03$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 51.8$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

DASY52 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7349; ConvF(7.79, 7.79, 7.79); Calibrated: 15.06.2016;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 30.12.2015
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 5.0 (back); Type: QD000P50AA; Serial: 1002
- DASY52 52.8.8(1258); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7372)

Dipole Calibration for Body Tissue/Pin=250 mW, d=10mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 107.1 V/m; Power Drift = -0.02 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 26.0 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 13 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 6.04 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 21.4 W/kg




0 dB = 21.4 W/kg = 13.30 dBW/kg

Impedance Measurement Plot for Body TSL

25 Jul 2016 10:03:11
 CH1 S11 1 U FS 1: 50.184 Ω 4.4980 Ω 292.20 pH 2 450.000 000 MHz

*
 De1
 CA




Avg
 16

H1 d

CH2 S11 LOG 5 dB/ REF -20 dB 1: -26.957 dB 2 450.000 000 MHz

CA



H1 d

START 2 250.000 000 MHz

STOP 2 650.000 000 MHz



Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 0108**

Client **PC Test**

Certificate No: **D5GHzV2-1237_Aug16**

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object **D5GHzV2 - SN:1237**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-22.v2**
Calibration procedure for dipole validation kits between 3-6 GHz

✓PN
8/9/16

Calibration date: **August 02, 2016**

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).
The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter NRP	SN: 104778	06-Apr-16 (No. 217-02288/02289)	Apr-17
Power sensor NRP-Z91	SN: 103244	06-Apr-16 (No. 217-02288)	Apr-17
Power sensor NRP-Z91	SN: 103245	06-Apr-16 (No. 217-02289)	Apr-17
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: 5058 (20k)	05-Apr-16 (No. 217-02292)	Apr-17
Type-N mismatch combination	SN: 5047.2 / 06327	05-Apr-16 (No. 217-02295)	Apr-17
Reference Probe EX3DV4	SN: 3503	30-Jun-16 (No. EX3-3503_Jun16)	Jun-17
DAE4	SN: 601	30-Dec-15 (No. DAE4-601_Dec15)	Dec-16
Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
Power meter EPM-442A	SN: GB37480704	07-Oct-15 (No. 217-02222)	In house check: Oct-16
Power sensor HP 8481A	SN: US37292783	07-Oct-15 (No. 217-02222)	In house check: Oct-16
Power sensor HP 8481A	SN: MY41092317	07-Oct-15 (No. 217-02223)	In house check: Oct-16
RF generator R&S SMT-06	SN: 100972	15-Jun-15 (in house check Jun-15)	In house check: Oct-16
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	SN: US37390585	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-15)	In house check: Oct-16

Calibrated by: **Claudio Leubler** **Laboratory Technician**

Approved by: **Katja Pokovic** **Technical Manager**

Signature

Issued: August 4, 2016

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.



Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 0108**

Glossary:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM x,y,z
N/A	not applicable or not measured

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- IEEE Std 1528-2013, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", June 2013
- IEC 62209-2, "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz)", March 2010
- KDB 865664, "SAR Measurement Requirements for 100 MHz to 6 GHz"

Additional Documentation:

- DASY4/5 System Handbook

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- Measurement Conditions:** Further details are available from the Validation Report at the end of the certificate. All figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated.
- Antenna Parameters with TSL:** The dipole is mounted with the spacer to position its feed point exactly below the center marking of the flat phantom section, with the arms oriented parallel to the body axis.
- Feed Point Impedance and Return Loss:** These parameters are measured with the dipole positioned under the liquid filled phantom. The impedance stated is transformed from the measurement at the SMA connector to the feed point. The Return Loss ensures low reflected power. No uncertainty required.
- Electrical Delay:** One-way delay between the SMA connector and the antenna feed point. No uncertainty required.
- SAR measured:** SAR measured at the stated antenna input power.
- SAR normalized:** SAR as measured, normalized to an input power of 1 W at the antenna connector.
- SAR for nominal TSL parameters:** The measured TSL parameters are used to calculate the nominal SAR result.

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor $k=2$, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

Measurement Conditions

DASY system configuration, as far as not given on page 1.

DASY Version	DASY5	V52.8.8
Extrapolation	Advanced Extrapolation	
Phantom	Modular Flat Phantom V5.0	
Distance Dipole Center - TSL	10 mm	with Spacer
Zoom Scan Resolution	dx, dy = 4.0 mm, dz = 1.4 mm	Graded Ratio = 1.4 (Z direction)
Frequency	5250 MHz $\pm$ 1 MHz 5600 MHz $\pm$ 1 MHz 5750 MHz $\pm$ 1 MHz	

Head TSL parameters at 5250 MHz

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Head TSL parameters	22.0 °C	35.9	4.71 mho/m
Measured Head TSL parameters	(22.0 $\pm$ 0.2) °C	34.4 $\pm$ 6 %	4.52 mho/m $\pm$ 6 %
Head TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	----	----

SAR result with Head TSL at 5250 MHz

SAR averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of Head TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	100 mW input power	8.00 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	79.2 W/kg $\pm$ 19.9 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of Head TSL	condition	
SAR measured	100 mW input power	2.30 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	22.7 W/kg $\pm$ 19.5 % (k=2)

Head TSL parameters at 5600 MHz

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Head TSL parameters	22.0 °C	35.5	5.07 mho/m
Measured Head TSL parameters	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	33.9 ± 6 %	4.86 mho/m ± 6 %
Head TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	----	----

SAR result with Head TSL at 5600 MHz

SAR averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of Head TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	100 mW input power	8.43 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	83.3 W / kg ± 19.9 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of Head TSL	condition	
SAR measured	100 mW input power	2.42 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	23.9 W/kg ± 19.5 % (k=2)

Head TSL parameters at 5750 MHz

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Head TSL parameters	22.0 °C	35.4	5.22 mho/m
Measured Head TSL parameters	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	33.7 ± 6 %	5.02 mho/m ± 6 %
Head TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	----	----

SAR result with Head TSL at 5750 MHz

SAR averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of Head TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	100 mW input power	8.25 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	81.5 W/kg ± 19.9 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of Head TSL	condition	
SAR measured	100 mW input power	2.35 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	23.2 W/kg ± 19.5 % (k=2)

Body TSL parameters at 5250 MHz

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Body TSL parameters	22.0 °C	48.9	5.36 mho/m
Measured Body TSL parameters	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	47.1 ± 6 %	5.42 mho/m ± 6 %
Body TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	----	----

SAR result with Body TSL at 5250 MHz

SAR averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of Body TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	100 mW input power	7.54 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	74.8 W/kg ± 19.9 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of Body TSL	condition	
SAR measured	100 mW input power	2.12 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	21.0 W/kg ± 19.5 % (k=2)

Body TSL parameters at 5600 MHz

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Body TSL parameters	22.0 °C	48.5	5.77 mho/m
Measured Body TSL parameters	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	46.5 ± 6 %	5.88 mho/m ± 6 %
Body TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	----	----

SAR result with Body TSL at 5600 MHz

SAR averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of Body TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	100 mW input power	7.76 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	77.0 W/kg ± 19.9 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of Body TSL	condition	
SAR measured	100 mW input power	2.17 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	21.5 W/kg ± 19.5 % (k=2)

Body TSL parameters at 5750 MHz

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Body TSL parameters	22.0 °C	48.3	5.94 mho/m
Measured Body TSL parameters	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	46.2 ± 6 %	6.11 mho/m ± 6 %
Body TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	----	----

SAR result with Body TSL at 5750 MHz

SAR averaged over 1 cm³ (1 g) of Body TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	100 mW input power	7.60 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	75.4 W/kg ± 19.9 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm³ (10 g) of Body TSL	condition	
SAR measured	100 mW input power	2.11 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	20.9 W/kg ± 19.5 % (k=2)

Appendix (Additional assessments outside the scope of SCS 0108)

Antenna Parameters with Head TSL at 5250 MHz

Impedance, transformed to feed point	$48.6 \Omega - 2.5 j\Omega$
Return Loss	- 30.7 dB

Antenna Parameters with Head TSL at 5600 MHz

Impedance, transformed to feed point	$50.9 \Omega + 1.5 j\Omega$
Return Loss	- 35.3 dB

Antenna Parameters with Head TSL at 5750 MHz

Impedance, transformed to feed point	$53.8 \Omega + 5.8 j\Omega$
Return Loss	- 23.5 dB

Antenna Parameters with Body TSL at 5250 MHz

Impedance, transformed to feed point	$47.0 \Omega - 3.9 j\Omega$
Return Loss	- 25.9 dB

Antenna Parameters with Body TSL at 5600 MHz

Impedance, transformed to feed point	$51.5 \Omega + 3.9 j\Omega$
Return Loss	- 27.7 dB

Antenna Parameters with Body TSL at 5750 MHz

Impedance, transformed to feed point	$53.8 \Omega + 0.3 j\Omega$
Return Loss	- 28.6 dB

General Antenna Parameters and Design

Electrical Delay (one direction)	1.193 ns
----------------------------------	----------

After long term use with 100W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals. On some of the dipoles, small end caps are added to the dipole arms in order to improve matching when loaded according to the position as explained in the "Measurement Conditions" paragraph. The SAR data are not affected by this change. The overall dipole length is still according to the Standard.

No excessive force must be applied to the dipole arms, because they might bend or the soldered connections near the feedpoint may be damaged.

Additional EUT Data

Manufactured by	SPEAG
Manufactured on	May 04, 2015

DASY5 Validation Report for Head TSL

Date: 02.08.2016

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole D5GHzV2; Type: D5GHzV2; Serial: D5GHzV2 - SN:1237

Communication System: UID 0 - CW; Frequency: 5250 MHz, Frequency: 5600 MHz, Frequency: 5750 MHz

Medium parameters used: $f = 5250$ MHz; $\sigma = 4.52$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 34.4$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Medium parameters used: $f = 5600$ MHz; $\sigma = 4.86$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 33.9$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Medium parameters used: $f = 5750$ MHz; $\sigma = 5.02$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 33.7$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

DASY52 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3503; ConvF(5.42, 5.42, 5.42); Calibrated: 30.06.2016; ConvF(4.89, 4.89, 4.89); Calibrated: 30.06.2016, ConvF(4.85, 4.85, 4.85); Calibrated: 30.06.2016,
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 30.12.2015
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 5.0 (front); Type: QD000P50AA; Serial: 1001
- DASY52 52.8.8(1258); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7372)

Dipole Calibration for Head Tissue/Pin=100mW, dist=10mm, f=5250 MHz/Zoom Scan, dist=1.4mm (8x8x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=4mm, dy=4mm, dz=1.4mm

Reference Value = 74.10 V/m; Power Drift = -0.03 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 29.5 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 8 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 2.3 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 18.3 W/kg

Dipole Calibration for Head Tissue/Pin=100mW, dist=10mm, f=5600 MHz/Zoom Scan, dist=1.4mm (8x8x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=4mm, dy=4mm, dz=1.4mm

Reference Value = 73.55 V/m; Power Drift = -0.01 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 32.9 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 8.43 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 2.42 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 19.7 W/kg


Dipole Calibration for Head Tissue/Pin=100mW, dist=10mm, f=5750 MHz/Zoom Scan, dist=1.4mm (8x8x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=4mm, dy=4mm, dz=1.4mm

Reference Value = 72.23 V/m; Power Drift = -0.01 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 33.6 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 8.25 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 2.35 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 18.3 W/kg




0 dB = 18.3 W/kg = 12.62 dBW/kg

Impedance Measurement Plot for Head TSL

2 Aug 2016 08:52:20
 CH1 S11 1 U FS 1: 48.623 Ω -2.5332 Ω 11.967 pF 5 250.000 000 MHz


*
 Del
 Cor
 Avg
 16
 H1d



CH1 Markers
 2: 50.867 Ω
 1.4961 Ω
 5.60000 GHz
 3: 53.785 Ω
 5.8164 Ω
 5.75000 GHz

CH2 S11 LOG 5 dB/REF -20 dB 1: -30.691 dB 5 250.000 000 MHz

Cor
 Avg
 16
 H1d



CH2 Markers
 2: -35.297 dB
 5.60000 GHz
 3: -23.501 dB
 5.75000 GHz

DASY5 Validation Report for Body TSL

Date: 02.08.2016

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole D5GHzV2; Type: D5GHzV2; Serial: D5GHzV2 - SN:1237

Communication System: UID 0 - CW; Frequency: 5250 MHz, Frequency: 5600 MHz, Frequency: 5750 MHz

Medium parameters used: $f = 5250$ MHz; $\sigma = 5.42$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 47.1$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Medium parameters used: $f = 5600$ MHz; $\sigma = 5.88$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 46.5$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Medium parameters used: $f = 5750$ MHz; $\sigma = 6.11$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 46.2$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

DASY52 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3503; ConvF(4.85, 4.85, 4.85); Calibrated: 30.06.2016, ConvF(4.35, 4.35, 4.35); Calibrated: 30.06.2016, ConvF(4.3, 4.3, 4.3); Calibrated: 30.06.2016;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAB4 Sn601; Calibrated: 30.12.2015
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 5.0 (back); Type: QD000P50AA; Serial: 1002
- DASY52 52.8.8(1222); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7372)

Dipole Calibration for Body Tissue/Pin=100mW, dist=10mm, f=5250 MHz/Zoom Scan, dist=1.4mm (8x8x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=4mm, dy=4mm, dz=1.4mm

Reference Value = 67.19 V/m; Power Drift = -0.04 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 28.4 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 7.54 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 2.12 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 17.3 W/kg

Dipole Calibration for Body Tissue/Pin=100mW, dist=10mm, f=5600 MHz/Zoom Scan, dist=1.4mm (8x8x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=4mm, dy=4mm, dz=1.4mm

Reference Value = 66.80 V/m; Power Drift = -0.06 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 31.9 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 7.76 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 2.17 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 18.3 W/kg



Dipole Calibration for Body Tissue/Pin=100mW, dist=10mm, f=5750 MHz/Zoom Scan, dist=1.4mm (8x8x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=4mm, dy=4mm, dz=1.4mm

Reference Value = 65.31 V/m; Power Drift = -0.09 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 32.6 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 7.6 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 2.11 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 18.4 W/kg




0 dB = 17.3 W/kg = 12.38 dBW/kg

Impedance Measurement Plot for Body TSL

2 Aug 2016 08:49:13
 CH1 S11 1 U FS 1: 46.998 Ω -3.8984 Ω 7.7763 pF 5 250.000 000 MHz


*
 Del
 Cor
 Avg
 16
 H1d



CH1 Markers
 2: 51.525 Ω
 3.8945 Ω
 5.60000 GHz
 3: 53.848 Ω
 0.2930 Ω
 5.75000 GHz

CH2 S11 LOG 5 dB/REF -20 dB 1: -25.900 dB 5 250.000 000 MHz

Cor
 Avg
 16
 H1d



CH2 Markers
 2: -27.699 dB
 5.60000 GHz
 3: -28.596 dB
 5.75000 GHz



Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

Accreditation No.: **SCS 0108**

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
 Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Client **PC Test**

Certificate No: **ES3-3319_Mar16**

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object **ES3DV3 - SN:3319**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-01.v9, QA CAL-23.v5, QA CAL-25.v6**
Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes

Calibration date: **March 18, 2016**

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).
 The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

BN
03/20/2016

Primary Standards	ID	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter E4419B	GB41293874	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02128)	Mar-16
Power sensor E4412A	MY41498087	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02128)	Mar-16
Reference 3 dB Attenuator	SN: S5054 (3c)	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02129)	Mar-16
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: S5277 (20x)	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02132)	Mar-16
Reference 30 dB Attenuator	SN: S5129 (30b)	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02133)	Mar-16
Reference Probe ES3DV2	SN: 3013	31-Dec-15 (No. ES3-3013_Dec15)	Dec-16
DAE4	SN: 660	23-Dec-15 (No. DAE4-660_Dec15)	Dec-16
Secondary Standards	ID	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
RF generator HP 8648C	US3642U01700	4-Aug-99 (in house check Apr-13)	In house check: Apr-16
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-15)	In house check: Oct-16

	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Leif Klysner	Laboratory Technician	
Approved by:	Katja Pokovic	Technical Manager	
Issued: March 21, 2016			
This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.			



Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

Accreditation No.: **SCS 0108**

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
 Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Glossary:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
NORM _{x,y,z}	sensitivity in free space
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM _{x,y,z}
DCP	diode compression point
CF	crest factor (1/duty_cycle) of the RF signal
A, B, C, D	modulation dependent linearization parameters
Polarization φ	φ rotation around probe axis
Polarization ϑ	ϑ rotation around an axis that is in the plane normal to probe axis (at measurement center), i.e., $\vartheta = 0$ is normal to probe axis
Connector Angle	information used in DASY system to align probe sensor X to the robot coordinate system

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- IEEE Std 1528-2013, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", June 2013
- IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005
- IEC 62209-2, "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz)", March 2010
- KDB 865664, "SAR Measurement Requirements for 100 MHz to 6 GHz"

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- NORM_{x,y,z}**: Assessed for E-field polarization $\vartheta = 0$ ($f \leq 900$ MHz in TEM-cell; $f > 1800$ MHz: R22 waveguide). NORM_{x,y,z} are only intermediate values, i.e., the uncertainties of NORM_{x,y,z} does not affect the E^2 -field uncertainty inside TSL (see below ConvF).
- NORM(f)_{x,y,z}** = NORM_{x,y,z} * frequency_response (see Frequency Response Chart). This linearization is implemented in DASY4 software versions later than 4.2. The uncertainty of the frequency response is included in the stated uncertainty of ConvF.
- DCP_{x,y,z}**: DCP are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep with CW signal (no uncertainty required). DCP does not depend on frequency nor media.
- PAR**: PAR is the Peak to Average Ratio that is not calibrated but determined based on the signal characteristics
- A_{x,y,z}; B_{x,y,z}; C_{x,y,z}; D_{x,y,z}; VR_{x,y,z}**: A, B, C, D are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep for specific modulation signal. The parameters do not depend on frequency nor media. VR is the maximum calibration range expressed in RMS voltage across the diode.
- ConvF and Boundary Effect Parameters**: Assessed in flat phantom using E-field (or Temperature Transfer Standard for $f \leq 800$ MHz) and inside waveguide using analytical field distributions based on power measurements for $f > 800$ MHz. The same setups are used for assessment of the parameters applied for boundary compensation (alpha, depth) of which typical uncertainty values are given. These parameters are used in DASY4 software to improve probe accuracy close to the boundary. The sensitivity in TSL corresponds to NORM_{x,y,z} * ConvF whereby the uncertainty corresponds to that given for ConvF. A frequency dependent ConvF is used in DASY version 4.4 and higher which allows extending the validity from ± 50 MHz to ± 100 MHz.
- Spherical isotropy (3D deviation from isotropy)**: in a field of low gradients realized using a flat phantom exposed by a patch antenna.
- Sensor Offset**: The sensor offset corresponds to the offset of virtual measurement center from the probe tip (on probe axis). No tolerance required.
- Connector Angle**: The angle is assessed using the information gained by determining the NORM_x (no uncertainty required).

Probe ES3DV3

SN:3319

Manufactured: January 10, 2012
Calibrated: March 18, 2016

Calibrated for DASY/EASY Systems
(Note: non-compatible with DASY2 system!)

DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: ES3DV3 - SN:3319

Basic Calibration Parameters

	Sensor X	Sensor Y	Sensor Z	Unc (k=2)
Norm ($\mu V/(V/m)^2$) ^A	1.12	1.08	1.16	$\pm 10.1 \%$
DCP (mV) ^B	104.1	104.5	103.7	

Modulation Calibration Parameters

UID	Communication System Name		A dB	B dB $\sqrt{\mu V}$	C	D dB	VR mV	Unc ^E (k=2)
0	CW	X	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.00	203.1	$\pm 3.5 \%$
		Y	0.0	0.0	1.0		203.8	
		Z	0.0	0.0	1.0		200.4	
10010- CAA	SAR Validation (Square, 100ms, 10ms)	X	2.29	60.1	11.2	10.00	42.0	$\pm 1.2 \%$
		Y	1.95	58.7	10.4		42.0	
		Z	3.15	62.5	12.1		42.9	
10012- CAB	IEEE 802.11b WiFi 2.4 GHz (DSSS, 1 Mbps)	X	3.45	71.5	19.9	1.87	122.0	$\pm 0.5 \%$
		Y	2.88	68.4	18.6		122.8	
		Z	3.35	70.8	19.5		120.5	
10100- CAB	LTE-FDD (SC-FDMA, 100% RB, 20 MHz, QPSK)	X	6.39	67.3	19.5	5.67	132.3	$\pm 1.2 \%$
		Y	6.54	68.2	20.1		134.5	
		Z	6.40	67.4	19.6		130.2	
10103- CAB	LTE-TDD (SC-FDMA, 100% RB, 20 MHz, QPSK)	X	10.41	75.3	25.6	9.29	124.2	$\pm 2.2 \%$
		Y	10.45	76.3	26.6		122.6	
		Z	10.82	75.9	25.8		124.8	
10108- CAC	LTE-FDD (SC-FDMA, 100% RB, 10 MHz, QPSK)	X	6.30	67.1	19.5	5.80	130.7	$\pm 1.2 \%$
		Y	6.35	67.5	19.9		131.5	
		Z	6.33	67.1	19.6		128.5	
10151- CAB	LTE-TDD (SC-FDMA, 50% RB, 20 MHz, QPSK)	X	9.70	74.1	25.2	9.28	118.8	$\pm 2.2 \%$
		Y	9.65	74.9	26.0		117.1	
		Z	10.15	75.0	25.5		119.2	
10154- CAC	LTE-FDD (SC-FDMA, 50% RB, 10 MHz, QPSK)	X	6.00	66.6	19.3	5.75	127.4	$\pm 1.2 \%$
		Y	6.01	66.9	19.6		128.9	
		Z	6.02	66.6	19.3		125.6	
10160- CAB	LTE-FDD (SC-FDMA, 50% RB, 15 MHz, QPSK)	X	6.45	67.2	19.6	5.82	132.2	$\pm 1.2 \%$
		Y	6.47	67.5	19.9		133.5	
		Z	6.45	67.1	19.5		130.0	
10169- CAB	LTE-FDD (SC-FDMA, 1 RB, 20 MHz, QPSK)	X	4.76	65.7	19.0	5.73	110.8	$\pm 0.9 \%$
		Y	4.80	66.3	19.5		112.0	
		Z	4.84	65.9	19.1		109.2	
10172- CAB	LTE-TDD (SC-FDMA, 1 RB, 20 MHz, QPSK)	X	8.98	78.7	27.7	9.21	132.0	$\pm 2.5 \%$
		Y	9.71	82.4	30.0		132.2	
		Z	9.79	80.4	28.4		133.4	
10175- CAC	LTE-FDD (SC-FDMA, 1 RB, 10 MHz, QPSK)	X	4.76	65.6	19.0	5.72	109.8	$\pm 0.9 \%$
		Y	4.76	66.1	19.4		111.4	
		Z	4.83	65.8	19.1		108.9	

10181-CAB	LTE-FDD (SC-FDMA, 1 RB, 15 MHz, QPSK)	X	4.77	65.7	19.1	5.72	109.2	±0.9 %
		Y	4.78	66.2	19.4		111.9	
		Z	5.24	67.7	20.2		149.0	
10237-CAB	LTE-TDD (SC-FDMA, 1 RB, 10 MHz, QPSK)	X	8.93	78.5	27.6	9.21	131.4	±2.5 %
		Y	9.48	81.7	29.7		131.7	
		Z	9.69	80.3	28.3		131.6	
10252-CAB	LTE-TDD (SC-FDMA, 50% RB, 10 MHz, QPSK)	X	8.94	73.0	24.7	9.24	111.2	±2.2 %
		Y	9.05	74.3	25.9		111.8	
		Z	9.29	73.6	24.9		111.3	
10267-CAB	LTE-TDD (SC-FDMA, 100% RB, 10 MHz, QPSK)	X	9.62	73.9	25.1	9.30	117.4	±2.2 %
		Y	9.73	75.1	26.1		118.2	
		Z	10.08	74.8	25.5		118.2	
10297-AAA	LTE-FDD (SC-FDMA, 50% RB, 20 MHz, QPSK)	X	6.31	67.1	19.6	5.81	128.6	±1.2 %
		Y	6.39	67.6	20.0		132.2	
		Z	6.33	67.1	19.6		127.2	
10311-AAA	LTE-FDD (SC-FDMA, 100% RB, 15 MHz, QPSK)	X	6.87	67.6	19.9	6.06	132.8	±1.4 %
		Y	6.96	68.2	20.3		137.0	
		Z	6.88	67.6	19.9		131.3	

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor $k=2$, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

^A The uncertainties of Norm X,Y,Z do not affect the E^2 -field uncertainty inside TSL (see Pages 6 and 7).

^B Numerical linearization parameter: uncertainty not required.

^E Uncertainty is determined using the max. deviation from linear response applying rectangular distribution and is expressed for the square of the field value.

DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: ES3DV3 - SN:3319

Calibration Parameter Determined in Head Tissue Simulating Media

f (MHz) ^C	Relative Permittivity ^F	Conductivity (S/m) ^F	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha ^G	Depth ^G (mm)	Unc (k=2)
750	41.9	0.89	6.44	6.44	6.44	0.49	1.80	± 12.0 %
835	41.5	0.90	6.16	6.16	6.16	0.46	1.80	± 12.0 %
1750	40.1	1.37	5.20	5.20	5.20	0.51	1.45	± 12.0 %
1900	40.0	1.40	5.03	5.03	5.03	0.58	1.40	± 12.0 %
2300	39.5	1.67	4.69	4.69	4.69	0.80	1.21	± 12.0 %
2450	39.2	1.80	4.47	4.47	4.47	0.75	1.32	± 12.0 %
2600	39.0	1.96	4.33	4.33	4.33	0.80	1.31	± 12.0 %

^C Frequency validity above 300 MHz of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2), else it is restricted to ± 50 MHz. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band. Frequency validity below 300 MHz is ± 10, 25, 40, 50 and 70 MHz for ConvF assessments at 30, 64, 128, 150 and 220 MHz respectively. Above 5 GHz frequency validity can be extended to ± 110 MHz.

^F At frequencies below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ and σ) can be relaxed to ± 10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to measured SAR values. At frequencies above 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ and σ) is restricted to ± 5%. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty for indicated target tissue parameters.

^G Alpha/Depth are determined during calibration. SPEAG warrants that the remaining deviation due to the boundary effect after compensation is always less than ± 1% for frequencies below 3 GHz and below ± 2% for frequencies between 3-6 GHz at any distance larger than half the probe tip diameter from the boundary.

DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: ES3DV3 - SN:3319

Calibration Parameter Determined in Body Tissue Simulating Media

f (MHz) ^C	Relative Permittivity ^F	Conductivity (S/m) ^F	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha ^G	Depth ^G (mm)	Unc (k=2)
750	55.5	0.96	6.06	6.06	6.06	0.47	1.45	± 12.0 %
835	55.2	0.97	6.04	6.04	6.04	0.63	1.27	± 12.0 %
1750	53.4	1.49	4.91	4.91	4.91	0.46	1.66	± 12.0 %
1900	53.3	1.52	4.70	4.70	4.70	0.80	1.24	± 12.0 %
2300	52.9	1.81	4.36	4.36	4.36	0.74	1.33	± 12.0 %
2450	52.7	1.95	4.20	4.20	4.20	0.80	1.25	± 12.0 %
2600	52.5	2.16	3.99	3.99	3.99	0.80	1.20	± 12.0 %


^C Frequency validity above 300 MHz of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2), else it is restricted to ± 50 MHz. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band. Frequency validity below 300 MHz is ± 10, 25, 40, 50 and 70 MHz for ConvF assessments at 30, 64, 128, 150 and 220 MHz respectively. Above 5 GHz frequency validity can be extended to ± 110 MHz.

^F At frequencies below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ and σ) can be relaxed to ± 10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to measured SAR values. At frequencies above 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ and σ) is restricted to ± 5%. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty for indicated target tissue parameters.

^G Alpha/Depth are determined during calibration. SPEAG warrants that the remaining deviation due to the boundary effect after compensation is always less than ± 1% for frequencies below 3 GHz and below ± 2% for frequencies between 3-6 GHz at any distance larger than half the probe tip diameter from the boundary.

Frequency Response of E-Field


(TEM-Cell:ifi110 EXX, Waveguide: R22)





Uncertainty of Frequency Response of E-field: $\pm 6.3\%$ ($k=2$)

Receiving Pattern (ϕ), $\theta = 0^\circ$

f=600 MHz,TEM





f=1800 MHz,R22




Uncertainty of Axial Isotropy Assessment: $\pm 0.5\%$ (k=2)

Dynamic Range $f(\text{SAR}_{\text{head}})$ (TEM cell , $f_{\text{eval}} = 1900 \text{ MHz}$)




Uncertainty of Linearity Assessment: $\pm 0.6\%$ ($k=2$)

Conversion Factor Assessment



Deviation from Isotropy in Liquid Error (ϕ, ϑ), f = 900 MHz



DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: ES3DV3 - SN:3319

Other Probe Parameters

Sensor Arrangement	Triangular
Connector Angle (°)	60
Mechanical Surface Detection Mode	enabled
Optical Surface Detection Mode	disabled
Probe Overall Length	337 mm
Probe Body Diameter	10 mm
Tip Length	10 mm
Tip Diameter	4 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor X Calibration Point	2 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor Y Calibration Point	2 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor Z Calibration Point	2 mm
Recommended Measurement Distance from Surface	3 mm



Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 0108**

Client **PC Test**

Certificate No: **EX3-7406_Apr16**

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object **EX3DV4 - SN:7406**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-01.v9, QA CAL-23.v5, QA CAL-25.v6**
Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes

BN 04/26/2d6

Calibration date: **April 19, 2016**

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).
The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature $(22 \pm 3)^\circ\text{C}$ and humidity $< 70\%$.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter NRP	SN: 104778	06-Apr-16 (No. 217-02288/02289)	Apr-17
Power sensor NRP-Z91	SN: 103244	06-Apr-16 (No. 217-02288)	Apr-17
Power sensor NRP-Z91	SN: 103245	06-Apr-16 (No. 217-02289)	Apr-17
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: S5277 (20x)	05-Apr-16 (No. 217-02293)	Apr-17
Reference Probe ES3DV2	SN: 3013	31-Dec-15 (No. ES3-3013_Dec15)	Dec-16
DAE4	SN: 660	23-Dec-15 (No. DAE4-660_Dec15)	Dec-16
Secondary Standards	ID	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
Power meter E4419B	SN: GB41293874	06-Apr-16 (No. 217-02285/02284)	In house check: Jun-16
Power sensor E4412A	SN: MY41498087	06-Apr-16 (No. 217-02285)	In house check: Jun-16
Power sensor E4412A	SN: 000110210	06-Apr-16 (No. 217-02284)	In house check: Jun-16
RF generator HP 8648C	SN: US3642U01700	04-Aug-99 (in house check Apr-13)	In house check: Jun-16
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	SN: US37390585	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-15)	In house check: Oct-16

Calibrated by:	Name Jeton Kastrati	Function Laboratory Technician	Signature
Approved by:	Katja Pokovic	Technical Manager	
			Issued: April 20, 2016
This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.			



Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

Accreditation No.: **SCS 0108**

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
 Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Glossary:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
NORM _{x,y,z}	sensitivity in free space
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM _{x,y,z}
DCP	diode compression point
CF	crest factor (1/duty_cycle) of the RF signal
A, B, C, D	modulation dependent linearization parameters
Polarization ϕ	ϕ rotation around probe axis
Polarization ϑ	ϑ rotation around an axis that is in the plane normal to probe axis (at measurement center), i.e., $\vartheta = 0$ is normal to probe axis
Connector Angle	information used in DASY system to align probe sensor X to the robot coordinate system

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- IEEE Std 1528-2013, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", June 2013
- IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005
- IEC 62209-2, "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz)", March 2010
- KDB 865664, "SAR Measurement Requirements for 100 MHz to 6 GHz"

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- NORM_{x,y,z}**: Assessed for E-field polarization $\vartheta = 0$ ($f \leq 900$ MHz in TEM-cell; $f > 1800$ MHz: R22 waveguide). NORM_{x,y,z} are only intermediate values, i.e., the uncertainties of NORM_{x,y,z} does not affect the E²-field uncertainty inside TSL (see below *ConvF*).
- NORM(*f*)_{x,y,z}** = NORM_{x,y,z} * *frequency_response* (see Frequency Response Chart). This linearization is implemented in DASY4 software versions later than 4.2. The uncertainty of the frequency response is included in the stated uncertainty of *ConvF*.
- DCP_{x,y,z}**: DCP are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep with CW signal (no uncertainty required). DCP does not depend on frequency nor media.
- PAR**: PAR is the Peak to Average Ratio that is not calibrated but determined based on the signal characteristics
- A_{x,y,z}; B_{x,y,z}; C_{x,y,z}; D_{x,y,z}; VR_{x,y,z}**: A, B, C, D are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep for specific modulation signal. The parameters do not depend on frequency nor media. VR is the maximum calibration range expressed in RMS voltage across the diode.
- ConvF and Boundary Effect Parameters**: Assessed in flat phantom using E-field (or Temperature Transfer Standard for $f \leq 800$ MHz) and inside waveguide using analytical field distributions based on power measurements for $f > 800$ MHz. The same setups are used for assessment of the parameters applied for boundary compensation (alpha, depth) of which typical uncertainty values are given. These parameters are used in DASY4 software to improve probe accuracy close to the boundary. The sensitivity in TSL corresponds to NORM_{x,y,z} * *ConvF* whereby the uncertainty corresponds to that given for *ConvF*. A frequency dependent *ConvF* is used in DASY version 4.4 and higher which allows extending the validity from ± 50 MHz to ± 100 MHz.
- Spherical isotropy (3D deviation from isotropy)**: in a field of low gradients realized using a flat phantom exposed by a patch antenna.
- Sensor Offset**: The sensor offset corresponds to the offset of virtual measurement center from the probe tip (on probe axis). No tolerance required.
- Connector Angle**: The angle is assessed using the information gained by determining the NORM_x (no uncertainty required).

Probe EX3DV4

SN:7406

Manufactured: November 24, 2015
Calibrated: April 19, 2016

Calibrated for DASY/EASY Systems
(Note: non-compatible with DASY2 system!)

DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 - SN:7406

Basic Calibration Parameters

	Sensor X	Sensor Y	Sensor Z	Unc (k=2)
Norm ($\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$) ^A	0.48	0.44	0.47	$\pm 10.1 \%$
DCP (mV) ^B	100.7	97.9	98.6	

Modulation Calibration Parameters

UID	Communication System Name		A dB	B dB $\sqrt{\mu\text{V}}$	C	D dB	VR mV	Unc ^E (k=2)
0	CW	X	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.00	120.4	$\pm 3.3 \%$
		Y	0.0	0.0	1.0		148.3	
		Z	0.0	0.0	1.0		146.7	
10010-CAA	SAR Validation (Square, 100ms, 10ms)	X	0.81	54.6	7.4	10.00	50.3	$\pm 2.2 \%$
		Y	0.68	55.1	7.9		47.9	
		Z	1.34	61.0	11.0		46.8	
10012-CAB	IEEE 802.11b WiFi 2.4 GHz (DSSS, 1 Mbps)	X	2.83	68.0	18.3	1.87	127.8	$\pm 0.5 \%$
		Y	2.82	68.4	18.4		117.8	
		Z	3.00	69.2	19.0		115.9	
10100-CAB	LTE-FDD (SC-FDMA, 100% RB, 20 MHz, QPSK)	X	6.54	67.4	19.5	5.67	142.1	$\pm 1.2 \%$
		Y	6.19	66.7	19.3		127.6	
		Z	6.37	66.7	19.2		125.7	
10103-CAB	LTE-TDD (SC-FDMA, 100% RB, 20 MHz, QPSK)	X	7.58	67.9	21.8	9.29	114.4	$\pm 1.7 \%$
		Y	7.34	68.3	22.5		144.3	
		Z	7.53	67.7	21.8		139.5	
10108-CAC	LTE-FDD (SC-FDMA, 100% RB, 10 MHz, QPSK)	X	6.34	66.9	19.4	5.80	137.5	$\pm 1.2 \%$
		Y	5.90	65.9	19.0		123.8	
		Z	6.24	66.4	19.2		123.7	
10151-CAB	LTE-TDD (SC-FDMA, 50% RB, 20 MHz, QPSK)	X	7.17	67.2	21.5	9.28	109.5	$\pm 1.7 \%$
		Y	6.83	67.6	22.3		137.0	
		Z	7.23	67.4	21.7		135.1	
10154-CAC	LTE-FDD (SC-FDMA, 50% RB, 10 MHz, QPSK)	X	5.99	66.4	19.2	5.75	132.4	$\pm 0.9 \%$
		Y	5.61	65.8	19.1		119.4	
		Z	5.91	65.9	19.0		120.1	
10160-CAB	LTE-FDD (SC-FDMA, 50% RB, 15 MHz, QPSK)	X	6.47	67.0	19.5	5.82	137.0	$\pm 1.2 \%$
		Y	5.96	66.0	19.1		123.9	
		Z	6.33	66.3	19.1		124.2	
10169-CAB	LTE-FDD (SC-FDMA, 1 RB, 20 MHz, QPSK)	X	4.71	65.5	18.9	5.73	113.2	$\pm 1.2 \%$
		Y	4.60	66.2	19.6		144.2	
		Z	4.93	66.5	19.5		143.2	
10172-CAB	LTE-TDD (SC-FDMA, 1 RB, 20 MHz, QPSK)	X	5.68	68.2	22.4	9.21	117.6	$\pm 1.7 \%$
		Y	5.56	70.1	24.1		146.1	
		Z	5.87	69.4	23.2		143.7	
10175-CAC	LTE-FDD (SC-FDMA, 1 RB, 10 MHz, QPSK)	X	4.75	65.7	19.1	5.72	112.3	$\pm 0.9 \%$
		Y	4.58	66.1	19.5		143.2	
		Z	4.95	66.7	19.6		142.0	

10181-CAB	LTE-FDD (SC-FDMA, 1 RB, 15 MHz, QPSK)	X	4.71	65.5	18.9	5.72	110.2	±0.9 %
		Y	4.53	65.8	19.4		141.4	
		Z	4.90	66.5	19.5		138.1	
10237-CAB	LTE-TDD (SC-FDMA, 1 RB, 10 MHz, QPSK)	X	5.69	68.3	22.5	9.21	117.3	±1.7 %
		Y	5.47	69.5	23.8		145.1	
		Z	5.85	69.3	23.1		142.0	
10252-CAB	LTE-TDD (SC-FDMA, 50% RB, 10 MHz, QPSK)	X	7.04	68.1	22.2	9.24	141.2	±1.9 %
		Y	6.35	67.2	22.2		125.4	
		Z	6.82	67.1	21.7		127.5	
10267-CAB	LTE-TDD (SC-FDMA, 100% RB, 10 MHz, QPSK)	X	7.45	68.3	22.2	9.30	148.0	±1.9 %
		Y	6.84	67.5	22.3		132.0	
		Z	7.24	67.4	21.8		134.6	
10297-AAA	LTE-FDD (SC-FDMA, 50% RB, 20 MHz, QPSK)	X	6.35	66.9	19.4	5.81	135.3	±1.2 %
		Y	5.92	65.9	19.0		122.9	
		Z	6.26	66.4	19.2		122.1	
10311-AAA	LTE-FDD (SC-FDMA, 100% RB, 15 MHz, QPSK)	X	6.92	67.4	19.7	6.06	139.3	±1.2 %
		Y	6.52	66.6	19.5		127.9	
		Z	6.82	66.9	19.5		126.8	

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor $k=2$, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

^A The uncertainties of Norm X,Y,Z do not affect the E^2 -field uncertainty inside TSL (see Pages 6 and 7).

^B Numerical linearization parameter: uncertainty not required.

^E Uncertainty is determined using the max. deviation from linear response applying rectangular distribution and is expressed for the square of the field value.

DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 - SN:7406

Calibration Parameter Determined in Head Tissue Simulating Media

f (MHz) ^C	Relative Permittivity ^F	Conductivity (S/m) ^F	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha ^G	Depth ^G (mm)	Unc (k=2)
750	41.9	0.89	10.52	10.52	10.52	0.52	0.89	± 12.0 %
835	41.5	0.90	9.83	9.83	9.83	0.54	0.80	± 12.0 %
1750	40.1	1.37	8.85	8.85	8.85	0.49	0.85	± 12.0 %
1900	40.0	1.40	8.22	8.22	8.22	0.40	0.88	± 12.0 %
2300	39.5	1.67	7.67	7.67	7.67	0.36	0.89	± 12.0 %
2450	39.2	1.80	7.29	7.29	7.29	0.40	0.80	± 12.0 %
2600	39.0	1.96	7.08	7.08	7.08	0.37	0.95	± 12.0 %

^C Frequency validity above 300 MHz of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2), else it is restricted to ± 50 MHz. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band. Frequency validity below 300 MHz is ± 10, 25, 40, 50 and 70 MHz for ConvF assessments at 30, 64, 128, 150 and 220 MHz respectively. Above 5 GHz frequency validity can be extended to ± 110 MHz.

^F At frequencies below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ and σ) can be relaxed to ± 10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to measured SAR values. At frequencies above 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ and σ) is restricted to ± 5%. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty for indicated target tissue parameters.

^G Alpha/Depth are determined during calibration. SPEAG warrants that the remaining deviation due to the boundary effect after compensation is always less than ± 1% for frequencies below 3 GHz and below ± 2% for frequencies between 3-6 GHz at any distance larger than half the probe tip diameter from the boundary.

DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 - SN:7406

Calibration Parameter Determined in Body Tissue Simulating Media

f (MHz) ^C	Relative Permittivity ^F	Conductivity (S/m) ^F	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha ^G	Depth ^G (mm)	Unc (k=2)
750	55.5	0.96	9.54	9.54	9.54	0.46	0.80	± 12.0 %
835	55.2	0.97	9.35	9.35	9.35	0.45	0.84	± 12.0 %
1750	53.4	1.49	7.78	7.78	7.78	0.37	0.85	± 12.0 %
1900	53.3	1.52	7.49	7.49	7.49	0.33	0.91	± 12.0 %
2300	52.9	1.81	7.37	7.37	7.37	0.42	0.80	± 12.0 %
2450	52.7	1.95	7.24	7.24	7.24	0.37	0.88	± 12.0 %
2600	52.5	2.16	6.94	6.94	6.94	0.27	0.99	± 12.0 %


^C Frequency validity above 300 MHz of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2), else it is restricted to ± 50 MHz. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band. Frequency validity below 300 MHz is ± 10, 25, 40, 50 and 70 MHz for ConvF assessments at 30, 64, 128, 150 and 220 MHz respectively. Above 5 GHz frequency validity can be extended to ± 110 MHz.

^F At frequencies below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ and σ) can be relaxed to ± 10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to measured SAR values. At frequencies above 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ and σ) is restricted to ± 5%. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty for indicated target tissue parameters.

^G Alpha/Depth are determined during calibration. SPEAG warrants that the remaining deviation due to the boundary effect after compensation is always less than ± 1% for frequencies below 3 GHz and below ± 2% for frequencies between 3-6 GHz at any distance larger than half the probe tip diameter from the boundary.

Frequency Response of E-Field


(TEM-Cell:ifi110 EXX, Waveguide: R22)





Uncertainty of Frequency Response of E-field: $\pm 6.3\%$ ($k=2$)

Receiving Pattern (ϕ), $\theta = 0^\circ$

f=600 MHz,TEM





f=1800 MHz,R22



Uncertainty of Axial Isotropy Assessment: $\pm 0.5\%$ ($k=2$)


Dynamic Range f(SAR_{head})

(TEM cell , f_{eval}= 1900 MHz)





Uncertainty of Linearity Assessment: $\pm 0.6\%$ (k=2)

Conversion Factor Assessment



Deviation from Isotropy in Liquid

Error (ϕ, θ), $f = 900 \text{ MHz}$



DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 - SN:7406**Other Probe Parameters**

Sensor Arrangement	Triangular
Connector Angle (°)	0.4
Mechanical Surface Detection Mode	enabled
Optical Surface Detection Mode	disabled
Probe Overall Length	337 mm
Probe Body Diameter	10 mm
Tip Length	9 mm
Tip Diameter	2.5 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor X Calibration Point	1 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor Y Calibration Point	1 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor Z Calibration Point	1 mm
Recommended Measurement Distance from Surface	1.4 mm



Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

Accreditation No.: **SCS 0108**

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Client **PC Test**

Certificate No: **EX3-3914_Feb16**

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object **EX3DV4 - SN:3914**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-01.v9, QA CAL-14.v4, QA CAL-23.v5, QA CAL-25.v6**
Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes

BN
03/01/2016

Calibration date: **February 22, 2016**

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).
The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature $(22 \pm 3)^\circ\text{C}$ and humidity $< 70\%$.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter E4419B	GB41293874	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02128)	Mar-16
Power sensor E4412A	MY41498087	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02128)	Mar-16
Reference 3 dB Attenuator	SN: S5054 (3c)	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02129)	Mar-16
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: S5277 (20x)	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02132)	Mar-16
Reference 30 dB Attenuator	SN: S5129 (30b)	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02133)	Mar-16
Reference Probe ES3DV2	SN: 3013	31-Dec-15 (No. ES3-3013_Dec15)	Dec-16
DAE4	SN: 660	23-Dec-15 (No. DAE4-660_Dec15)	Dec-16
Secondary Standards	ID	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
RF generator HP 8648C	US3642U01700	4-Aug-99 (in house check Apr-13)	In house check: Apr-16
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-15)	In house check: Oct-16

Calibrated by:	Name Jeon Kastrati	Function Laboratory Technician	Signature
Approved by:	Name Katja Pokovic	Function Technical Manager	Signature
Issued: February 22, 2016			
This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.			



Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

Accreditation No.: **SCS 0108**

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
 Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Glossary:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
NORM _{x,y,z}	sensitivity in free space
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM _{x,y,z}
DCP	diode compression point
CF	crest factor (1/duty_cycle) of the RF signal
A, B, C, D	modulation dependent linearization parameters
Polarization φ	φ rotation around probe axis
Polarization ϑ	ϑ rotation around an axis that is in the plane normal to probe axis (at measurement center), i.e., $\vartheta = 0$ is normal to probe axis
Connector Angle	information used in DASY system to align probe sensor X to the robot coordinate system

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- IEEE Std 1528-2013, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", June 2013
- IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005
- IEC 62209-2, "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz)", March 2010
- KDB 865664, "SAR Measurement Requirements for 100 MHz to 6 GHz"

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- NORM_{x,y,z}**: Assessed for E-field polarization $\vartheta = 0$ ($f \leq 900$ MHz in TEM-cell; $f > 1800$ MHz: R22 waveguide). NORM_{x,y,z} are only intermediate values, i.e., the uncertainties of NORM_{x,y,z} does not affect the E^2 -field uncertainty inside TSL (see below ConvF).
- NORM(f)_{x,y,z}** = NORM_{x,y,z} * frequency_response (see Frequency Response Chart). This linearization is implemented in DASY4 software versions later than 4.2. The uncertainty of the frequency response is included in the stated uncertainty of ConvF.
- DCP_{x,y,z}**: DCP are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep with CW signal (no uncertainty required). DCP does not depend on frequency nor media.
- PAR**: PAR is the Peak to Average Ratio that is not calibrated but determined based on the signal characteristics
- A_{x,y,z}; B_{x,y,z}; C_{x,y,z}; D_{x,y,z}; VR_{x,y,z}**: A, B, C, D are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep for specific modulation signal. The parameters do not depend on frequency nor media. VR is the maximum calibration range expressed in RMS voltage across the diode.
- ConvF and Boundary Effect Parameters**: Assessed in flat phantom using E-field (or Temperature Transfer Standard for $f \leq 800$ MHz) and inside waveguide using analytical field distributions based on power measurements for $f > 800$ MHz. The same setups are used for assessment of the parameters applied for boundary compensation (alpha, depth) of which typical uncertainty values are given. These parameters are used in DASY4 software to improve probe accuracy close to the boundary. The sensitivity in TSL corresponds to NORM_{x,y,z} * ConvF whereby the uncertainty corresponds to that given for ConvF. A frequency dependent ConvF is used in DASY version 4.4 and higher which allows extending the validity from ± 50 MHz to ± 100 MHz.
- Spherical isotropy (3D deviation from isotropy)**: in a field of low gradients realized using a flat phantom exposed by a patch antenna.
- Sensor Offset**: The sensor offset corresponds to the offset of virtual measurement center from the probe tip (on probe axis). No tolerance required.
- Connector Angle**: The angle is assessed using the information gained by determining the NORM_x (no uncertainty required).

Probe EX3DV4

SN:3914

Manufactured: December 18, 2012
Calibrated: February 22, 2016

Calibrated for DASY/EASY Systems
(Note: non-compatible with DASY2 system!)

DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 - SN:3914

Basic Calibration Parameters

	Sensor X	Sensor Y	Sensor Z	Unc (k=2)
Norm ($\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$) ^A	0.48	0.42	0.46	$\pm 10.1 \%$
DCP (mV) ^B	100.1	102.6	97.6	

Modulation Calibration Parameters

UID	Communication System Name		A dB	B dB $\sqrt{\mu\text{V}}$	C	D dB	VR mV	Unc ^E (k=2)
0	CW	X	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.00	137.4	$\pm 2.7 \%$
		Y	0.0	0.0	1.0		139.7	
		Z	0.0	0.0	1.0		133.7	
10010-CAA	SAR Validation (Square, 100ms, 10ms)	X	4.02	69.7	14.2	10.00	41.0	$\pm 0.9 \%$
		Y	2.42	64.8	12.4		41.8	
		Z	2.11	63.9	12.8		44.9	
10062-CAB	IEEE 802.11a/h WiFi 5 GHz (OFDM, 6 Mbps)	X	10.26	68.5	21.3	8.68	127.9	$\pm 3.3 \%$
		Y	10.16	68.6	21.4		127.8	
		Z	10.42	68.8	21.4		144.6	
10117-CAB	IEEE 802.11n (HT Mixed, 13.5 Mbps, BPSK)	X	10.15	68.2	20.7	8.07	129.4	$\pm 3.3 \%$
		Y	10.18	68.5	20.9		131.7	
		Z	10.42	68.8	20.9		148.3	
10196-CAB	IEEE 802.11n (HT Mixed, 6.5 Mbps, BPSK)	X	10.13	68.8	21.1	8.10	146.4	$\pm 2.7 \%$
		Y	9.80	68.3	20.9		126.3	
		Z	9.98	68.3	20.8		139.8	
10400-AAC	IEEE 802.11ac WiFi (20MHz, 64-QAM, 99pc duty cycle)	X	10.33	68.8	21.3	8.37	145.0	$\pm 2.7 \%$
		Y	10.13	68.7	21.3		132.0	
		Z	10.21	68.5	21.0		140.2	
10401-AAC	IEEE 802.11ac WiFi (40MHz, 64-QAM, 99pc duty cycle)	X	10.67	68.4	21.1	8.60	125.8	$\pm 3.3 \%$
		Y	10.92	69.3	21.6		140.7	
		Z	10.94	69.0	21.3		148.7	
10402-AAC	IEEE 802.11ac WiFi (80MHz, 64-QAM, 99pc duty cycle)	X	10.64	68.4	20.8	8.53	125.5	$\pm 3.3 \%$
		Y	11.11	69.7	21.6		142.1	
		Z	10.93	69.0	21.1		149.2	

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

^A The uncertainties of Norm X,Y,Z do not affect the E²-field uncertainty inside TSL (see Pages 5 and 6).

^B Numerical linearization parameter: uncertainty not required.

^E Uncertainty is determined using the max. deviation from linear response applying rectangular distribution and is expressed for the square of the field value.

DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 - SN:3914

Calibration Parameter Determined in Head Tissue Simulating Media

f (MHz) ^C	Relative Permittivity ^F	Conductivity (S/m) ^F	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha ^G	Depth ^G (mm)	Unc (k=2)
5250	35.9	4.71	5.07	5.07	5.07	0.35	1.80	± 13.1 %
5600	35.5	5.07	4.66	4.66	4.66	0.40	1.80	± 13.1 %
5750	35.4	5.22	4.74	4.74	4.74	0.40	1.80	± 13.1 %

^C Frequency validity above 300 MHz of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2), else it is restricted to ± 50 MHz. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band. Frequency validity below 300 MHz is ± 10, 25, 40, 50 and 70 MHz for ConvF assessments at 30, 64, 128, 150 and 220 MHz respectively. Above 5 GHz frequency validity can be extended to ± 110 MHz.

^F At frequencies below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ and σ) can be relaxed to ± 10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to measured SAR values. At frequencies above 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ and σ) is restricted to ± 5%. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty for indicated target tissue parameters.

^G Alpha/Depth are determined during calibration. SPEAG warrants that the remaining deviation due to the boundary effect after compensation is always less than ± 1% for frequencies below 3 GHz and below ± 2% for frequencies between 3-6 GHz at any distance larger than half the probe tip diameter from the boundary.

DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 - SN:3914

Calibration Parameter Determined in Body Tissue Simulating Media

f (MHz) ^C	Relative Permittivity ^F	Conductivity (S/m) ^F	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha ^G	Depth ^G (mm)	Unc (k=2)
750	55.5	0.96	9.57	9.57	9.57	0.47	0.85	± 12.0 %
835	55.2	0.97	9.44	9.44	9.44	0.47	0.85	± 12.0 %
1750	53.4	1.49	7.82	7.82	7.82	0.42	0.83	± 12.0 %
1900	53.3	1.52	7.50	7.50	7.50	0.45	0.80	± 12.0 %
2300	52.9	1.81	7.27	7.27	7.27	0.48	0.80	± 12.0 %
2450	52.7	1.95	7.22	7.22	7.22	0.46	0.80	± 12.0 %
2600	52.5	2.16	6.90	6.90	6.90	0.32	0.99	± 12.0 %
5250	48.9	5.36	4.32	4.32	4.32	0.50	1.90	± 13.1 %
5600	48.5	5.77	3.63	3.63	3.63	0.60	1.90	± 13.1 %
5750	48.3	5.94	3.86	3.86	3.86	0.60	1.90	± 13.1 %


^C Frequency validity above 300 MHz of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2), else it is restricted to ± 50 MHz. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band. Frequency validity below 300 MHz is ± 10, 25, 40, 50 and 70 MHz for ConvF assessments at 30, 64, 128, 150 and 220 MHz respectively. Above 5 GHz frequency validity can be extended to ± 110 MHz.

^F At frequencies below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ and σ) can be relaxed to ± 10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to measured SAR values. At frequencies above 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ and σ) is restricted to ± 5%. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty for indicated target tissue parameters.

^G Alpha/Depth are determined during calibration. SPEAG warrants that the remaining deviation due to the boundary effect after compensation is always less than ± 1% for frequencies below 3 GHz and below ± 2% for frequencies between 3-6 GHz at any distance larger than half the probe tip diameter from the boundary.

Frequency Response of E-Field


(TEM-Cell:ifi110 EXX, Waveguide: R22)



Uncertainty of Frequency Response of E-field: $\pm 6.3\%$ ($k=2$)

Receiving Pattern (ϕ), $\vartheta = 0^\circ$

f=600 MHz,TEM




Tot

X

Y

Z

f=1800 MHz,R22




Tot

X


Y

Z




Uncertainty of Axial Isotropy Assessment: $\pm 0.5\%$ (k=2)

Dynamic Range $f(\text{SAR}_{\text{head}})$ (TEM cell , $f_{\text{eval}} = 1900 \text{ MHz}$)





Uncertainty of Linearity Assessment: $\pm 0.6\%$ ($k=2$)

Conversion Factor Assessment



Deviation from Isotropy in Liquid

Error (ϕ , θ), $f = 900 \text{ MHz}$



DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 - SN:3914

Other Probe Parameters

Sensor Arrangement	Triangular
Connector Angle (°)	133.3
Mechanical Surface Detection Mode	enabled
Optical Surface Detection Mode	disabled
Probe Overall Length	337 mm
Probe Body Diameter	10 mm
Tip Length	9 mm
Tip Diameter	2.5 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor X Calibration Point	1 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor Y Calibration Point	1 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor Z Calibration Point	1 mm
Recommended Measurement Distance from Surface	1.4 mm

APPENDIX D: SAR TISSUE SPECIFICATIONS

Measurement Procedure for Tissue verification:

- 1) The network analyzer and probe system was configured and calibrated.
- 2) The probe was immersed in the tissue. The tissue was placed in a nonmetallic container. Trapped air bubbles beneath the flange were minimized by placing the probe at a slight angle.
- 3) The complex admittance with respect to the probe aperture was measured
- 4) The complex relative permittivity ϵ can be calculated from the below equation (Pournaropoulos and Misra):

$$Y = \frac{j2\omega\epsilon_r\epsilon_0}{[\ln(b/a)]^2} \int_a^b \int_a^b \int_0^\pi \cos\phi' \frac{\exp[-j\omega r(\mu_0\epsilon_r'\epsilon_0)^{1/2}]}{r} d\phi' d\rho' d\rho$$

where Y is the admittance of the probe in contact with the sample, the primed and unprimed coordinates refer to source and observation points, respectively, $r^2 = \rho^2 + \rho'^2 - 2\rho\rho'\cos\phi'$, ω is the angular frequency, and $j = \sqrt{-1}$.

Table D-I
Composition of the Tissue Equivalent Matter

Frequency (MHz)	2450	5200-5800
Tissue	Body	Body
Ingredients (% by weight)		
DGBE	26.7	
NaCl	0.1	
Polysorbate (Tween) 80		20
Water	73.2	80

FCC ID: A3LSMT820	 SAR EVALUATION REPORT 	Approved by: Quality Manager
Test Dates: 12/26/16 - 01/30/17	DUT Type: Portable Tablet	APPENDIX D: Page 1 of 1

APPENDIX E: SAR SYSTEM VALIDATION

Per FCC KDB Publication 865664 D02v01r02, SAR system validation status should be documented to confirm measurement accuracy. The SAR systems (including SAR probes, system components and software versions) used for this device were validated against its performance specifications prior to the SAR measurements. Reference dipoles were used with the required tissue- equivalent media for system validation, according to the procedures outlined in FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r04 and IEEE 1528-2013. Since SAR probe calibrations are frequency dependent, each probe calibration point was validated at a frequency within the valid frequency range of the probe calibration point, using the system that normally operates with the probe for routine SAR measurements and according to the required tissue-equivalent media.

A tabulated summary of the system validation status including the validation date(s), measurement frequencies, SAR probes and tissue dielectric parameters has been included.

Table E-I
SAR System Validation Summary

SAR SYSTEM #	FREQ. [MHz]	DATE	PROBE SN	PROBE TYPE	PROBE CAL. POINT		COND.	PERM.	CW VALIDATION			MOD. VALIDATION		
							(σ)	(ϵ_r)	SENSITIVITY	PROBE LINEARITY	PROBE ISOTROPY	MOD. TYPE	DUTY FACTOR	PAR
E	2450	4/27/2016	7406	EX3DV4	2450	Body	2.016	51.629	PASS	PASS	PASS	OFDM/TDD	PASS	PASS
H	2450	4/6/2016	3319	ES3DV3	2450	Body	2.023	51.922	PASS	PASS	PASS	OFDM/TDD	PASS	PASS
D	5250	3/1/2016	3914	EX3DV4	5250	Body	5.438	47.912	PASS	PASS	PASS	OFDM	N/A	PASS
D	5600	3/1/2016	3914	EX3DV4	5600	Body	5.895	47.321	PASS	PASS	PASS	OFDM	N/A	PASS
D	5750	3/1/2016	3914	EX3DV4	5750	Body	6.111	47.085	PASS	PASS	PASS	OFDM	N/A	PASS

NOTE: While the probes have been calibrated for both CW and modulated signals, all measurements were performed using communication systems calibrated for CW signals only. Modulations in the table above represent test configurations for which the measurement system has been validated per FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r04 for scenarios when CW probe calibrations are used with other signal types. SAR systems were validated for modulated signals with a periodic duty cycle, such as GMSK, or with a high peak to average ratio (>5 dB), such as OFDM according to FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r04.

FCC ID: A3LSMT820		SAR EVALUATION REPORT		Approved by: Quality Manager
Test Dates: 12/26/16 - 01/30/17	DUT Type: Portable Tablet	APPENDIX E: Page 1 of 1		