

DECLARATION OF COMPLIANCE SAR RF EXPOSURE EVALUATION

Test Lab

CELLTECH LABS INC.

Testing and Engineering Services
1955 Moss Court
Kelowna, B.C.
Canada V1Y 9L3
Phone: 250-448-7047
Fax: 250-448-7046
e-mail: info@celltechlabs.com
web site: www.celltechlabs.com

Applicant Information

PLANTRONICS INC.

345 Encinal Street
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

Rule Part(s):	FCC 47 CFR §2.1093
Test Procedure(s):	FCC OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C (01-01) to OET Bulletin 65 (97-01)
Device Classification:	Digital Transmission System (DTS)
Device Type:	Wireless Headset System (Headset Unit)
FCC ID:	AL8CS50YYYY
Model(s):	CS50
Mode of Operation:	TDMA
Tx Frequency Range(s):	902 - 928 MHz (ISM Band)
RF Conducted Output Power:	15.78 dBm Peak (915 MHz)
Maximum Duty Cycle:	3.8 %
Antenna Type:	Internal
Battery Type:	4.2 V Lithium-ion (170 mAh)
Max. SAR Measured:	0.0195 W/kg

Celltech Labs Inc. declares under its sole responsibility that this wireless transceiver is compliant with the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) RF exposure requirements specified in FCC 47 CFR §2.1093. The device was tested in accordance with the measurement standards and procedures specified in FCC OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C (Edition 01-01) for the General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure environment. All measurements were performed in accordance with the SAR system manufacturer recommendations.

I attest to the accuracy of data. All measurements were performed by me or were made under my supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I assume full responsibility for the completeness of these measurements and vouch for the qualifications of all persons taking them.

This test report shall not be reproduced partially, or in full, without the prior written approval of Celltech Labs Inc. The results and statements contained in this report pertain only to the device(s) evaluated.



Russell W. Pipe
Senior Compliance Technologist
Celltech Labs Inc.



TABLE OF CONTENTS		
1.0	INTRODUCTION.....	3
2.0	DESCRIPTION OF DUT.....	3
3.0	SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM	4
4.0	MEASUREMENT SUMMARY.....	5
5.0	DETAILS OF SAR EVALUATION.....	6-7
6.0	SIMULATED TISSUE MIXTURES.....	7
7.0	SAR SAFETY LIMITS.....	7
8.0	EVALUATION PROCEDURES.....	8
9.0	SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK.....	9
10.0	SYSTEM SPECIFICATIONS.....	10
11.0	PROBE SPECIFICATION.....	11
12.0	SAM PHANTOM.....	11
13.0	DEVICE HOLDER.....	11
14.0	TEST EQUIPMENT LIST.....	12
15.0	MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES.....	13-14
16.0	REFERENCES.....	15
	APPENDIX A - SAR MEASUREMENT DATA.....	16
	APPENDIX B - SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK DATA.....	17
	APPENDIX C - SYSTEM VALIDATION.....	18
	APPENDIX D - PROBE CALIBRATION.....	19
	APPENDIX E - MEASURED FLUID DIELECTRIC PARAMETERS.....	20
	APPENDIX F - SAM PHANTOM CERTIFICATE OF CONFORMITY.....	21
	APPENDIX G - SAR TEST SETUP & DUT PHOTOGRAPHS.....	22

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This measurement report shows that the PLANTRONICS CS50 Wireless Headset System (Headset Unit) FCC ID: AL8CS50YYYY complies with the SAR (Specific Absorption Rate) RF exposure requirements specified in FCC 47 CFR §2.1093 (see reference [1]) for the General Population environment. The test procedures described in FCC OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C (Edition 01-01) (see reference [2]) were employed. A description of the product, operating configuration, detailed summary of the test results, methodology and procedures used in the evaluation, equipment used, and the various provisions of the rules are included within this test report.

2.0 DESCRIPTION of Device Under Test (DUT)


DUT Type	Wireless Headset System (Headset Unit)
Device Classification	Digital Transmission System (DTS)
Test Procedure(s)	FCC OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C (01-01) to OET Bulletin 65 (97-01)
FCC ID	AL8CS50YYYY
Model(s)	CS50
Serial No.	#302
Mode(s) of Operation	TDMA
Maximum Duty Cycle	3.8 %
Tx Frequency Range(s)	902 - 928 MHz (ISM Band)
RF Conducted Power Tested	15.78 dBm Peak (915 MHz)
Battery Type(s)	4.2 V Lithium-ion (170 mAh)
Antenna Type	Internal

3.0 SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM

Celltech Labs Inc. SAR measurement facility utilizes the Dosimetric Assessment System (DASY™) manufactured by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG™) of Zurich, Switzerland. The DASY4 measurement system is comprised of the measurement server, robot controller, computer, near-field probe, probe alignment sensor, specific anthropomorphic mannequin (SAM) phantom, and various planar phantoms for brain and/or body SAR evaluations. The robot is a six-axis industrial robot performing precise movements to position the probe to the location (points) of maximum electromagnetic field (EMF). A cell controller system contains the power supply, robot controller, teach pendant (Joystick), and remote control, is used to drive the robot motors. The Staubli robot is connected to the cell controller to allow software manipulation of the robot. A data acquisition electronic (DAE) circuit performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. is connected to the Electro-optical coupler (EOC). The EOC performs the conversion from the optical into digital electric signal of the DAE and transfers data to the DASY4 measurement server. The DAE4 utilizes a highly sensitive electrometer-grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16-bit AD-converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Transmission to the DASY4 measurement server is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information and an optical uplink for commands and clock lines. The mechanical probe-mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sidewise probe contacts. The sensor systems are also used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection. The robot uses its own controller with a built in VME-bus computer.



DASY4 SAR Measurement System with SAM Phantom



DASY4 SAR Measurement System with Planar Phantom

4.0 MEASUREMENT SUMMARY

HEAD SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS

Freq. (MHz)	Chan.	Test Mode	Battery Type	Conducted Power (dBm)	Power Drift (dB)	Antenna Position	Phantom Section	Test Position	SAR 1g (W/kg)
915	Mid	Modulated	Lithium-ion	15.78	0.08	Fixed	Right Ear	Cheek/Touch	0.0189
915	Mid	Modulated	Lithium-ion	15.78	0.08	Fixed	Right Ear	Ear/Tilt (15°)	0.00566
915	Mid	Modulated	Lithium-ion	15.78	-0.06	Fixed	Left Ear	Cheek/Touch	0.0195
915	Mid	Modulated	Lithium-ion	15.78	0.04	Fixed	Left Ear	Ear/Tilt (15°)	0.00620
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT HEAD SAR: 1.6 W/kg (averaged over 1 gram) Spatial Peak - Uncontrolled Exposure / General Population									
Test Date(s)			09/22/03		Relative Humidity			44 %	
Measured Fluid Type			915 MHz Brain		Atmospheric Pressure			101.6 kPa	
Dielectric Constant ϵ_r			IEEE Target	Measured	Ambient Temperature			21.4 °C	
			41.5 ± 5%	39.7	Fluid Temperature			22.5 °C	
Conductivity σ (mho/m)			IEEE Target	Measured	Fluid Depth			≥ 15 cm	
			0.98 ± 5%	0.98	ρ (Kg/m ³)			1000	

Note(s):

- SAR test results for mid channel were ≥ 3dB below the SAR limit; therefore mid channel data only is reported.
- The ambient and fluid temperatures were measured prior to, and during, the fluid dielectric parameter check and the SAR evaluation. The temperatures listed in the table above were consistent for all measurement periods.
- The dielectric properties of the simulated brain tissue fluid were measured prior to the SAR evaluation using an 85070C Dielectric Probe Kit and an 8753E Network Analyzer (see Appendix E for printout of measured fluid dielectric parameters).

5.0 DETAILS OF SAR EVALUATION

The PLANTRONICS CS50 Wireless Headset System (Headset Unit) FCC ID: AL8CS50YYYY was found to be compliant for localized Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) based on the test provisions and conditions described below. The detailed test setup photographs are shown in Appendix G.

Ear-held Configuration

- 1) The headset unit was tested in an ear-held configuration on both the left and right sections of the SAM phantom at the middle channel of the operating frequency band. If the SAR value at the middle channel of the frequency band for each test configuration (left ear, right ear, cheek/touch, ear/tilt) was ≥ 3 dB below the SAR limit, measurements were performed at the mid channel only.
 - a) The headset unit was placed in the device holder in a normal operating position with the test device reference point located along the vertical centerline on the front of the device aligned to the ear reference point, with the center of the earpiece touching the center of the ear spacer of the SAM phantom.
 - b) With the headset unit positioned parallel to the cheek, the test device reference point was aligned to the ear reference point on the head phantom, and the vertical centerline was aligned to the phantom reference plane (initial ear position).
 - c) While maintaining the three alignments, the body of the headset was gradually adjusted to each of the following test positions:
 - Cheek/Touch Position: the headset was brought toward the mouth of the head phantom by pivoting against the ear reference point until any point of the mouthpiece or keypad touched the phantom.




Figure 1. Position 1, "cheek" or "touch" position. The reference points for the right ear (RE), left ear (LE) and mouth (M), which define the reference plane for device positioning, are indicated (Shoulders are shown for illustration only).

- Ear/Tilt Position: With the device aligned in the Cheek/Touch position, the headset was tilted away from the mouth with respect to the test device reference point by 15 degrees.




Figure 2. Position 2, "tilted position." The reference points for the right ear (RE), left ear (LE) and mouth (M), which define the reference plane for device positioning, are indicated (Shoulders are shown for illustration only).

DETAILS OF SAR EVALUATION (Cont.)

DUT Test Modes & Power Settings

- 2) The base unit and headset unit were separated by 1-meter distance. After 10 seconds the link contact switch in the middle external surface of the headset unit was depressed. The green LED on the front face of the base unit clicks as the relays close and the green LED on the external surface of the headset unit flashes to indicate the device is in test mode.
- 3) SAR measurements were performed with the DUT transmitting at maximum power on a fixed frequency with random modulation and a source-based time-averaged duty cycle of 3.8% (crest factor = 25.6).
- 4) The peak conducted power level of the EUT was measured prior to the SAR evaluation according to the procedures described in FCC 47 CFR §2.1046. The power drift was measured by the DASY4 SAR measurement system.
- 5) The headset unit was tested with a fully charged battery.

6.0 SIMULATED TISSUE MIXTURES

The 900MHz simulated tissue fluids consist of a viscous gel using hydroxethylcellulose (HEC) gelling agent and saline solution. Preservation with a bactericide was added and visual inspection was made to ensure air bubbles were not trapped during the mixing process. The fluids were prepared according to standardized procedures and measured for dielectric parameters (permittivity and conductivity).

900/915MHz SIMULATED TISSUE MIXTURES		
INGREDIENT	900MHz Brain (System Check)	915MHz Brain (DUT Evaluation)
Water	40.71 %	40.71 %
Sugar	56.63 %	56.63 %
Salt	1.48 %	1.48 %
HEC	1.00 %	1.00 %
Bactericide	0.18 %	0.18 %

7.0 SAR SAFETY LIMITS

EXPOSURE LIMITS	SAR (W/kg)	
	(General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure Environment)	(Occupational / Controlled Exposure Environment)
Spatial Average (averaged over the whole body)	0.08	0.4
Spatial Peak (averaged over any 1 g of tissue)	1.60	8.0
Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10 g)	4.0	20.0

Notes:

1. Uncontrolled environments are defined as locations where there is potential exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their potential exposure.
2. Controlled environments are defined as locations where there is potential exposure of individuals who have knowledge of their potential exposure and can exercise control over their exposure.

8.0 EVALUATION PROCEDURES


- a. (i) The evaluation was performed in the applicable area of the phantom depending on the type of device being tested. For devices held to the ear during normal operation, both the left and right ear positions were evaluated using the SAM phantom.
(ii) For body-worn and face-held devices a planar phantom was used.
- b. The SAR was determined by a pre-defined procedure within the DASY4 software. Upon completion of a reference and optical surface check, the exposed region of the phantom was scanned near the inner surface with a grid spacing of 15mm x 15mm.

An area scan was determined as follows:

- c. Based on the defined area scan grid, a more detailed grid is created to increase the points by a factor of 10. The interpolation function then evaluates all field values between corresponding measurement points.
- d. A linear search is applied to find all the candidate maxima. Subsequently, all maxima are removed that are >2 dB from the global maximum. The remaining maxima are then used to position the cube scans.

A 1g and 10g spatial peak SAR was determined as follows:

1. Extrapolation is used to find the points between the dipole center of the probe and the surface of the phantom. This data cannot be measured, since the center of the dipoles is 2.7 mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 1.4 mm (see probe calibration document in Appendix D). The extrapolation was based on trivariate quadratics computed from the previously calculated 3D interpolated points nearest the phantom surface.
2. Interpolated data is used to calculate the average SAR over 1g and 10g cubes by spatially discretizing the entire measured cube. The volume used to determine the averaged SAR is a 1mm grid (42875 interpolated points).



9.0 SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK

Prior to the SAR evaluation a system check was performed at the planar section of the SAM phantom with a 900MHz dipole (see Appendix C for system validation procedures). The fluid dielectric parameters were measured prior to the system check using an 85070C Dielectric Probe Kit and an 8753E Network Analyzer (see Appendix E for printout of measured fluid dielectric parameters). A forward power of 250mW was applied to the dipole and the system was verified to a tolerance of $\pm 10\%$.

SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK											
Test Date	900MHz Equivalent Tissue	SAR 1g (W/kg)		Dielectric Constant ϵ_r		Conductivity σ (mho/m)		ρ (Kg/m ³)	Ambient Temp.	Fluid Temp.	Fluid Depth
		IEEE Target	Measured	IEEE Target	Measured	IEEE Target	Measured				
09/22/03	Brain	2.70 $\pm 10\%$	2.73	41.5 $\pm 5\%$	40.1	0.97 $\pm 5\%$	0.96	1000	21.4 °C	22.5 °C	≥ 15 cm

Note(s):

1. The ambient and fluid temperatures were measured prior to, and during, the fluid dielectric parameter check and the system performance check. The temperatures listed in the table above were consistent for all measurement periods.




Figure 4. System Performance Check Setup



900MHz Dipole Setup

10.0 ROBOT SYSTEM SPECIFICATIONS

Specifications

POSITIONER: Stäubli Unimation Corp. Robot Model: RX60L
Repeatability: 0.02 mm
No. of axis: 6

Data Acquisition Electronic (DAE) System

Cell Controller

Processor: AMD Athlon XP 2400+
Clock Speed: 2.0 GHz
Operating System: Windows XP Professional

Data Converter

Features: Signal Amplifier, multiplexer, A/D converter, and control logic
Software: DASY4 software
Connecting Lines: Optical downlink for data and status info.
 Optical uplink for commands and clock

DASY4 Measurement Server

Function: Real-time data evaluation for field measurements and surface detection
Hardware: PC/104 166MHz Pentium CPU; 32 MB chipdisk; 64 MB RAM
Connections: COM1, COM2, DAE, Robot, Ethernet, Service Interface

E-Field Probe

Model: ET3DV6
Serial No.: 1387
Construction: Triangular core fiber optic detection system
Frequency: 10 MHz to 6 GHz
Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 3 GHz)

Phantom

Type: SAM V4.0C
Shell Material: Fiberglass
Thickness: 2.0 ± 0.1 mm
Volume: Approx. 20 liters

11.0 PROBE SPECIFICATION (ET3DV6)

Construction: Symmetrical design with triangular core
Built-in shielding against static charges
PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g. glycol)

Calibration: In air from 10 MHz to 2.5 GHz
In brain simulating tissue at frequencies of 900 MHz and 1.8 GHz (accuracy $\pm 8\%$)

Frequency: 10 MHz to >6 GHz; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB
(30 MHz to 3 GHz)

Directivity: ± 0.2 dB in brain tissue (rotation around probe axis)
 ± 0.4 dB in brain tissue (rotation normal to probe axis)

Dynamic Range: $5 \mu\text{W/g}$ to $>100 \text{ mW/g}$; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB

Surface Detect. ± 0.2 mm repeatability in air and clear liquids over diffuse reflecting surfaces

Dimensions: Overall length: 330 mm
Tip length: 16 mm
Body diameter: 12 mm
Tip diameter: 6.8 mm
Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 2.7 mm

Application: General dosimetry up to 3 GHz
Compliance tests of mobile phone



ET3DV6 E-Field Probe

12.0 SAM PHANTOM V4.0C

The SAM phantom V4.0C is a fiberglass shell phantom with a 2.0 mm shell thickness for left and right head and flat planar area integrated in a wooden table. The shape of the fiberglass shell corresponds to the phantom defined by SCC34-SC2. The device holder positions are adjusted to the standard measurement positions in the three sections.



SAM Phantom V4.0C

13.0 DEVICE HOLDER

The DASY3 device holder has two scales for device rotation (with respect to the body axis) and the device inclination (with respect to the line between the ear openings). The plane between the ear openings and the mouth tip has a rotation angle of 65° . The bottom plate contains three pair of bolts for locking the device holder. The device holder positions are adjusted to the standard measurement positions in the three sections.



Device Holder

14.0 TEST EQUIPMENT LIST

TEST EQUIPMENT	SERIAL NO.	CALIBRATION DATE
Schmid & Partner DASY4 System	-	-
DASY4 Measurement Server	1078	N/A
-Robot	599396-01	N/A
-ET3DV6 E-Field Probe	1387	Feb 2003
-300MHz Validation Dipole	135	Oct 2002
-450MHz Validation Dipole	136	Oct 2002
-900MHz Validation Dipole	054	June 2003
-1800MHz Validation Dipole	247	June 2003
-2450MHz Validation Dipole	150	Sept 2003
-SAM Phantom V4.0C	N/A	N/A
HP 85070C Dielectric Probe Kit	N/A	N/A
Gigatronics 8651A Power Meter	8650137	April 2003
Gigatronics 8652A Power Meter	1835267	April 2003
Power Sensor 80701A	1833542	Feb 2003
Power Sensor 80701A	1833699	April 2003
HP E4408B Spectrum Analyzer	US39240170	Dec 2002
HP 8594E Spectrum Analyzer	3543A02721	April 2003
HP 8753E Network Analyzer	US38433013	May 2003
HP 8648D Signal Generator	3847A00611	May 2003
Amplifier Research 5S1G4 Power Amplifier	26235	N/A

15.0 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES

UNCERTAINTY BUDGET FOR DEVICE EVALUATION						
Error Description	Uncertainty Value $\pm\%$	Probability Distribution	Divisor	C_i 1g	Standard Uncertainty $\pm\%$ (1g)	v_i or v_{eff}
Measurement System						
Probe calibration	± 4.8	Normal	1	1	± 4.8	∞
Axial isotropy of the probe	± 4.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	$(1-c_p)$	± 1.9	∞
Spherical isotropy of the probe	± 9.6	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	(c_p)	± 3.9	∞
Spatial resolution	± 0.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.0	∞
Boundary effects	± 5.5	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 3.2	∞
Probe linearity	± 4.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.7	∞
Detection limit	± 1.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.6	∞
Readout electronics	± 1.0	Normal	1	1	± 1.0	∞
Response time	± 0.8	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.5	∞
Integration time	± 1.4	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.8	∞
RF ambient conditions	± 3.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.7	∞
Mech. constraints of robot	± 0.4	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.2	∞
Probe positioning	± 2.9	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.7	∞
Extrapolation & integration	± 3.9	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.3	∞
Test Sample Related						
Device positioning	± 6.0	Normal	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 6.7	12
Device holder uncertainty	± 5.0	Normal	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 5.9	8
Power drift	± 5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$		± 2.9	∞
Phantom and Setup						
Phantom uncertainty	± 4.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.3	∞
Liquid conductivity (target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	± 1.7	∞
Liquid conductivity (measured)	± 5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	± 1.7	∞
Liquid permittivity (target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	± 1.7	∞
Liquid permittivity (measured)	± 5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	± 1.7	∞
Combined Standard Uncertainty					± 13.3	
Expanded Uncertainty (k=2)					± 26.6	

Measurement Uncertainty Table in accordance with IEEE SCC-34 Std 1528-200X Draft (see reference [3]).

MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES (Cont.)

UNCERTAINTY BUDGET FOR SYSTEM VALIDATION						
Error Description	Uncertainty Value $\pm\%$	Probability Distribution	Divisor	C_i 1g	Standard Uncertainty $\pm\%$ (1g)	v_i or v_{eff}
Measurement System						
Probe calibration	± 4.8	Normal	1	1	± 4.8	∞
Axial isotropy of the probe	± 4.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	$(1-c_p)$	± 1.9	∞
Spherical isotropy of the probe	± 9.6	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	(c_p)	± 3.9	∞
Spatial resolution	± 0.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.0	∞
Boundary effects	± 5.5	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 3.2	∞
Probe linearity	± 4.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.7	∞
Detection limit	± 1.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.6	∞
Readout electronics	± 1.0	Normal	1	1	± 1.0	∞
Response time	± 0.8	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.5	∞
Integration time	± 1.4	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.8	∞
RF ambient conditions	± 3.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.7	∞
Mech. constraints of robot	± 0.4	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 0.2	∞
Probe positioning	± 2.9	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.7	∞
Extrapolation & integration	± 3.9	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.3	∞
Dipole						
Dipole Axis to Liquid Distance	± 2.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 1.2	∞
Input Power	± 4.7	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.7	∞
Phantom and Setup						
Phantom uncertainty	± 4.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	± 2.3	∞
Liquid conductivity (target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	± 1.7	∞
Liquid conductivity (measured)	± 5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	± 1.7	∞
Liquid permittivity (target)	± 5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	± 1.7	∞
Liquid permittivity (measured)	± 5.0	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	± 1.7	∞
Combined Standard Uncertainty						
					± 9.9	
Expanded Uncertainty (k=2)						
					± 19.8	

Measurement Uncertainty Table in accordance with IEEE SCC-34 Std 1528-200X Draft (see reference [3]).

16.0 REFERENCES

- [1] Federal Communications Commission, "Radiofrequency radiation exposure evaluation: portable devices", Rule Part 47 CFR §2.1093: 1999.
- [2] Federal Communications Commission, "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radio frequency Electromagnetic Fields", OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C (Edition 01-01), FCC, Washington, D.C.: June 2001.
- [3] IEEE Standards Coordinating Committee 34, Std 1528-200X, "DRAFT Recommended Practice for Determining the Spatial-Peak Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Body Due to Wireless Communications Devices: Experimental Techniques".
- [4] W. Gander, *Computermathematick*, Birkhaeuser, Basel: 1992.

APPENDIX A - SAR MEASUREMENT DATA

Test Date: 09/22/03

DUT: Plantronics Inc. - CS50 Wireless Headset System; Type: Headset Unit; Serial: #302

Ambient Temp: 21.4°C; Fluid Temp: 22.5°C; Barometric Pressure: 101.6 kPa; Humidity: 44%

Communication System: ISM Band

Frequency: 915 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:25.64

Medium: HSL900 ($\sigma = 0.98 \text{ mho/m}$, $\epsilon_r = 39.7$, $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$)

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1387; ConvF(6.6, 6.6, 6.6); Calibrated: 26/02/2003
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn370; Calibrated: 19/05/2003
- Phantom: SAM front; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: 1033
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.1 Build 47; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.6 Build 116

Right Touch/Area Scan (4x11x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm


Right Touch/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.0333 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.0189 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.00991 mW/g

Reference Value = 1.88 V/m

Power Drift = 0.08 dB



Test Date: 09/22/03

DUT: Plantronics Inc. - CS50 Wireless Headset System; Type: Headset Unit; Serial: #302

Ambient Temp: 21.4 °C; Fluid Temp: 22.5 °C; Barometric Pressure: 101.6 kPa; Humidity: 44%

Communication System: ISM Band

Frequency: 915 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:25.64

Medium: HSL900 ($\sigma = 0.98$ mho/m, $\epsilon_r = 39.7$, $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³)

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1387; ConvF(6.6, 6.6, 6.6); Calibrated: 26/02/2003
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn370; Calibrated: 19/05/2003
- Phantom: SAM front; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: 1033
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.1 Build 47; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.6 Build 116

Right Tilt/Area Scan (4x11x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm


Right Tilt/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.00842 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.00566 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.00357 mW/g

Reference Value = 1.91 V/m

Power Drift = 0.08 dB



Test Date: 09/22/03

DUT: Plantronics Inc. - CS50 Wireless Headset System; Type: Headset Unit; Serial: #302

Ambient Temp: 21.4°C; Fluid Temp: 22.5°C; Barometric Pressure: 101.6 kPa; Humidity: 44%

Communication System: ISM Band

Frequency: 915 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:25.64

Medium: HSL900 ($\sigma = 0.98$ mho/m, $\epsilon_r = 39.7$, $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³)

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1387; ConvF(6.6, 6.6, 6.6); Calibrated: 26/02/2003
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn370; Calibrated: 19/05/2003
- Phantom: SAM front; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: 1033
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.1 Build 47; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.6 Build 116

Left Touch/Area Scan (4x11x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm


Left Touch/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.0351 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.0195 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.0115 mW/g

Reference Value = 1.92 V/m

Power Drift = -0.06 dB





Test Date: 09/22/03

DUT: Plantronics Inc. - CS50 Wireless Headset System; Type: Headset Unit; Serial: #302

Ambient Temp: 21.4 °C; Fluid Temp: 22.5 °C; Barometric Pressure: 101.6 kPa; Humidity: 44%

Communication System: ISM Band

Frequency: 915 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:25.64

Medium: HSL900 ($\sigma = 0.98$ mho/m, $\epsilon_r = 39.7$, $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³)

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1387; ConvF(6.6, 6.6, 6.6); Calibrated: 26/02/2003
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn370; Calibrated: 19/05/2003
- Phantom: SAM front; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: 1033
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.1 Build 47; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.6 Build 116

Left Tilt/Area Scan (4x11x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm


Left Tilt/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.0097 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.0062 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.00419 mW/g

Reference Value = 1.77 V/m

Power Drift = 0.04 dB



APPENDIX B - SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK DATA

Test Date: 09/22/03

DUT: Dipole 900 MHz; Type: System Performance Check; Model: D900V2; Serial: 054

Ambient Temp: 21.4°C; Fluid Temp: 22.5°C; Barometric Pressure: 101.6 kPa; Humidity: 44%

Communication System: CW

Frequency: 900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: HSL900 ($\sigma = 0.96$ mho/m, $\epsilon_r = 40.1$, $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³)

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1387; ConvF(6.6, 6.6, 6.6); Calibrated: 26/02/2003
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical And Optical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn370; Calibrated: 19/05/2003
- Phantom: SAM front; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: 1033
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.1 Build 47; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.6 Build 116

1387 Validation - SAM/Area Scan (6x10x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm

1387 Validation - SAM/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 4.02 W/kg


SAR(1 g) = 2.73 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.74 mW/g

Forward Conducted Power: 250 mW

Reference Value = 57.8 V/m

Power Drift = -0.006 dB





APPENDIX C - SYSTEM VALIDATION

Client

Celltech Labs

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object(s) D900V2 - SN:054

Calibration procedure(s) QA CAL-05 v2
Calibration procedure for dipole validation kits

Calibration date: June 3, 2003


Condition of the calibrated item In Tolerance (according to the specific calibration document)

This calibration statement documents traceability of M&TE used in the calibration procedures and conformity of the procedures with the ISO/IEC 17025 international standard.


All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature 22 +/- 2 degrees Celsius and humidity < 75%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Model Type	ID #	Cal Date (Calibrated by, Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
RF generator R&S SML-03	100698	27-Mar-2002 (R&S, No. 20-92389)	In house check: Mar-05
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092317	18-Oct-02 (Agilent, No. 20021018)	Oct-04
Power sensor HP 8481A	US37292783	30-Oct-02 (METAS, No. 252-0236)	Oct-03
Power meter EPM E442	GB37480704	30-Oct-02 (METAS, No. 252-0236)	Oct-03
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585	18-Oct-01 (Agilent, No. 24BR1033101)	In house check: Oct 03

Calibrated by:	Name	Function	Signature
	Judith Mueller	Technician	

Approved by:	Name	Function
	Katja Pokovic	Laboratory Director



Date issued: June 3, 2003

This calibration certificate is issued as an intermediate solution until the accreditation process (based on ISO/IEC 17025 International Standard) for Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG is completed.

DASY

Dipole Validation Kit

Type: D900V2

Serial: 054

Manufactured: August 25, 1999
Calibrated: June 3, 2003

1. Measurement Conditions

The measurements were performed in the flat section of the SAM twin phantom filled with head simulating solution of the following electrical parameters at 900 MHz:

Relative Dielectricity	42.1	$\pm 5\%$
Conductivity	0.95 mho/m	$\pm 5\%$

The DASY4 System with a dosimetric E-field probe ET3DV6 (SN:1507, Conversion factor 6.6 at 900 MHz) was used for the measurements.

The dipole was mounted on the small tripod so that the dipole feedpoint was positioned below the center marking of the flat phantom section and the dipole was oriented parallel to the body axis (the long side of the phantom). The standard measuring distance was 15mm from dipole center to the solution surface. The included distance holder was used during measurements for accurate distance positioning.

The coarse grid with a grid spacing of 15mm was aligned with the dipole. The 7x7x7 fine cube was chosen for cube integration.

The dipole input power (forward power) was $250 \text{ mW} \pm 3 \%$. The results are normalized to 1W input power.

2. SAR Measurement with DASY4 System

Standard SAR-measurements were performed according to the measurement conditions described in section 1. The results (see figure supplied) have been normalized to a dipole input power of 1W (forward power). The resulting averaged SAR-values measured with the dosimetric probe ET3DV6 SN:1507 and applying the advanced extrapolation are:

averaged over 1 cm^3 (1 g) of tissue:	10.6 mW/g $\pm 16.8 \%$ (k=2)¹
averaged over 10 cm^3 (10 g) of tissue:	6.84 mW/g $\pm 16.2 \%$ (k=2)¹

¹ validation uncertainty

3. Dipole Impedance and Return Loss

The impedance was measured at the SMA-connector with a network analyzer and numerically transformed to the dipole feedpoint. The transformation parameters from the SMA-connector to the dipole feedpoint are:

Electrical delay:	1.397 ns	(one direction)
Transmission factor:	0.991	(voltage transmission, one direction)

The dipole was positioned at the flat phantom sections according to section 1 and the distance holder was in place during impedance measurements.

Feedpoint impedance at 900 MHz:	$\text{Re}\{Z\} = 49.9 \, \Omega$
	$\text{Im}\{Z\} = -2.0 \, \Omega$
Return Loss at 900 MHz	-33.9 dB

4. Handling

Do not apply excessive force to the dipole arms, because they might bend. Bending of the dipole arms stresses the soldered connections near the feedpoint leading to a damage of the dipole.

5. Design

The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals.

6. Power Test

After long term use with 100W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

Date/Time: 06/03/03 12:00:32

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland
 File Name: SN054_SN1507_HSL900_030603.da4

DUT: Dipole 900 MHz; Type: D900V2; Serial: D900V2 - SN054
Program: Dipole Calibration

Communication System: CW-900; Frequency: 900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: HSL 900 MHz ($\sigma = 0.95$ mho/m, $\epsilon_r = 42.07$, $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³)

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY4 (High Precision Assessment)

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1507; ConvF(6.6, 6.6, 6.6); Calibrated: 1/18/2003
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 - SN411; Calibrated: 1/16/2003
- Phantom: SAM with CRP - TP1006; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP:1006
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.1 Build 47; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.6 Build 115

Pin = 250 mW; d = 15 mm/Area Scan (81x81x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Reference Value = 56.9 V/m

Power Drift = 0.0004 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 2.84 mW/g

Pin = 250 mW; d = 15 mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.92 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 2.66 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.71 mW/g

Reference Value = 56.9 V/m

Power Drift = 0.0004 dB

Maximum value of SAR = 2.85 mW/g



3 Jun 2003 09:29:44

CH1 S11 1 U FS

1: 49.906 Ω -2.0137 Ω 87.819 pF

900.000 000 MHz

Γ

De1


PRm

Cor

Avg

16

$\uparrow$



CH2 S11 LOG

5 dB/REF -20 dB


1:-33.939 dB

900.000 000 MHz

PRm

Cor

$\uparrow$



CENTER 900.000 000 MHz

SPAN 400.000 000 MHz

APPENDIX D - PROBE CALIBRATION

Client

Celltech Labs

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object(s)

ET3DV6 - SN: 1387

Calibration procedure(s)

QA CAL-01.v2
Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes

Calibration date:

February 26, 2003

Condition of the calibrated item

In Tolerance (according to the specific calibration document)

This calibration statement documents traceability of M&TE used in the calibration procedures and conformity of the procedures with the ISO/IEC 17025 international standard.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature 22 +/- 2 degrees Celsius and humidity < 75%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Model Type	ID #	Cal Date	Scheduled Calibration
RF generator HP 8684C	US3642U01700	4-Aug-99 (in house check Aug-02)	In house check: Aug-05
Power sensor E4412A	MY41495277	8-Mar-02	Mar-03
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092180	18-Sep-02	Sep-03
Power meter EPM E4419B	GB41293874	13-Sep-02	Sep-03
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US38432426	3-May-00	In house check: May 03
Fluke Process Calibrator Type 702	SN: 6295803	3-Sep-01	Sep-03

Calibrated by:

Name

Nico Vetterli

Function

Technician


Signature



Approved by:

Katja Pokovic

Laboratory Director



Date issued: February 26, 2003

This calibration certificate is issued as an intermediate solution until the accreditation process (based on ISO/IEC 17025 International Standard) for Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG is completed.

Probe ET3DV6

SN:1387

Manufactured:	September 21, 1999
Last calibration:	February 22, 2002
Recalibrated:	February 26, 2003

Calibrated for DASY Systems

(Note: non-compatible with DASY2 system!)

DASY - Parameters of Probe: ET3DV6 SN:1387

Sensitivity in Free Space

NormX	1.55 $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$
NormY	1.65 $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$
NormZ	1.64 $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$

Diode Compression

DCP X	92	mV
DCP Y	92	mV
DCP Z	92	mV

Sensitivity in Tissue Simulating Liquid

Head	900 MHz	$\epsilon_r = 41.5 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma = 0.97 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$
Head	835 MHz	$\epsilon_r = 41.5 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma = 0.90 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$
ConvF X	6.6 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Boundary effect:	
ConvF Y	6.6 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Alpha	0.37
ConvF Z	6.6 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Depth	2.61
Head	1800 MHz	$\epsilon_r = 40.0 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma = 1.40 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$
Head	1900 MHz	$\epsilon_r = 40.0 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma = 1.40 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$
ConvF X	5.2 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Boundary effect:	
ConvF Y	5.2 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Alpha	0.50
ConvF Z	5.2 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Depth	2.73

Boundary Effect


Head	900 MHz	Typical SAR gradient: 5 % per mm	
Probe Tip to Boundary		1 mm	2 mm
SAR _{pe} [%]	Without Correction Algorithm	10.2	5.9
SAR _{pe} [%]	With Correction Algorithm	0.4	0.6
Head	1800 MHz	Typical SAR gradient: 10 % per mm	
Probe Tip to Boundary		1 mm	2 mm
SAR _{pe} [%]	Without Correction Algorithm	14.6	9.8
SAR _{pe} [%]	With Correction Algorithm	0.2	0.0

Sensor Offset


Probe Tip to Sensor Center	2.7	mm
Optical Surface Detection	1.4 $\pm$ 0.2	mm

Receiving Pattern (ϕ), $\theta = 0^\circ$


f = 30 MHz, TEM cell ifi110




f = 100 MHz, TEM cell ifi110




f = 300 MHz, TEM cell ifi110




f = 900 MHz, TEM cell ifi110






Isotropy Error (ϕ), $\theta = 0^\circ$





Frequency Response of E-Field


(TEM-Cell:ifi110, Waveguide R22)



Dynamic Range f(SAR_{brain}) (Waveguide R22)




Conversion Factor Assessment




Head	900 MHz	$\epsilon_r = 41.5 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma = 0.97 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$
Head	835 MHz	$\epsilon_r = 41.5 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma = 0.90 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$
	ConvF X	6.6 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Boundary effect:
	ConvF Y	6.6 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Alpha 0.37
	ConvF Z	6.6 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Depth 2.61
Head	1800 MHz	$\epsilon_r = 40.0 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma = 1.40 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$
Head	1900 MHz	$\epsilon_r = 40.0 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma = 1.40 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$
	ConvF X	5.2 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Boundary effect:
	ConvF Y	5.2 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Alpha 0.50
	ConvF Z	5.2 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Depth 2.73

Conversion Factor Assessment



Body	900 MHz	$\epsilon_r = 55.0 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma = 1.05 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$
Body	835 MHz	$\epsilon_r = 55.2 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma = 0.97 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$
	ConvF X	6.4 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Boundary effect:
	ConvF Y	6.4 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Alpha 0.45
	ConvF Z	6.4 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Depth 2.35
Body	1800 MHz	$\epsilon_r = 53.3 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma = 1.52 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$
Body	1900 MHz	$\epsilon_r = 53.3 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma = 1.52 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$
	ConvF X	4.9 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Boundary effect:
	ConvF Y	4.9 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Alpha 0.60
	ConvF Z	4.9 $\pm 9.5\%$ (k=2)	Depth 2.59

Conversion Factor Assessment



Head 2450 MHz $\epsilon_r = 39.2 \pm 5\%$ $\sigma = 1.80 \pm 5\%$ mho/m

ConvF X **5.0** $\pm 8.9\%$ (k=2)

Boundary effect:

ConvF Y **5.0** $\pm 8.9\%$ (k=2)

Alpha **1.04**

ConvF Z **5.0** $\pm 8.9\%$ (k=2)

Depth **1.85**

Body 2450 MHz $\epsilon_r = 52.7 \pm 5\%$ $\sigma = 1.95 \pm 5\%$ mho/m

ConvF X **4.6** $\pm 8.9\%$ (k=2)

Boundary effect:

ConvF Y **4.6** $\pm 8.9\%$ (k=2)


Alpha **1.20**

ConvF Z **4.6** $\pm 8.9\%$ (k=2)

Depth **1.60**

Deviation from Isotropy in HSL

Error (θ, ϕ), $f = 900$ MHz



Additional Conversion Factors for Dosimetric E-Field Probe

Type:

ET3DV6

Serial Number:

1387

Place of Assessment:

Zurich

Date of Assessment:


February 28, 2003

Probe Calibration Date:

February 26, 2003

Schmid & Partner Engineering AG hereby certifies that conversion factor(s) of this probe have been evaluated on the date indicated above. The assessment was performed using the FDTD numerical code SEMCAD of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG. Since the evaluation is coupled with measured conversion factors, it has to be recalculated yearly, i.e., following the re-calibration schedule of the probe. The uncertainty of the numerical assessment is based on the extrapolation from measured value at 900 MHz or at 1800 MHz.

Assessed by:



Dosimetric E-Field Probe ET3DV6 SN:1387

Conversion factor ($\pm$ standard deviation)

150 MHz	ConvF	$9.1 \pm 8\%$	$\epsilon_r = 52.3$ $\sigma = 0.76 \text{ mho/m}$ (head tissue)
300 MHz	ConvF	$7.9 \pm 8\%$	$\epsilon_r = 45.3$ $\sigma = 0.87 \text{ mho/m}$ (head tissue)
450 MHz	ConvF	$7.5 \pm 8\%$	$\epsilon_r = 43.5$ $\sigma = 0.87 \text{ mho/m}$ (head tissue)
150 MHz	ConvF	$8.8 \pm 8\%$	$\epsilon_r = 61.9$ $\sigma = 0.80 \text{ mho/m}$ (body tissue)
300 MHz	ConvF	$8.0 \pm 8\%$	$\epsilon_r = 58.2$ $\sigma = 0.92 \text{ mho/m}$ (body tissue)
450 MHz	ConvF	$7.7 \pm 8\%$	$\epsilon_r = 56.7$ $\sigma = 0.94 \text{ mho/m}$ (body tissue)

APPENDIX E - MEASURED FLUID DIELECTRIC PARAMETERS

900MHz System Performance Check / 915MHz DUT Evaluation (Head SAR)

Measured Fluid Dielectric Parameters (Brain)

September 22, 2003

Frequency	e'	e''
850.000000 MHz	41.3123	19.6748
855.000000 MHz	41.1914	19.6602
860.000000 MHz	41.0845	19.6428
865.000000 MHz	40.8897	19.6186
870.000000 MHz	40.7517	19.5910
875.000000 MHz	40.5741	19.5551
880.000000 MHz	40.4531	19.4847
885.000000 MHz	40.3061	19.4542
890.000000 MHz	40.2164	19.3988
895.000000 MHz	40.1139	19.3584
900.000000 MHz	40.0634	19.2993
905.000000 MHz	39.9603	19.2714
910.000000 MHz	39.8392	19.2546
915.000000 MHz	39.7133	19.2259
920.000000 MHz	39.5918	19.1684
925.000000 MHz	39.4805	19.1665
930.000000 MHz	39.3739	19.1074
935.000000 MHz	39.2493	19.1020
940.000000 MHz	39.1454	19.0634
945.000000 MHz	39.0744	19.0479
950.000000 MHz	38.9525	19.0216

Test Report S/N:	091903-421AL8
Test Date(s):	September 22, 2003
Test Type:	FCC SAR Evaluation

APPENDIX F - SAM PHANTOM CERTIFICATE OF CONFORMITY

Schmid & Partner Engineering AG

Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland, Phone +41 1 245 97 00, Fax +41 1 245 97 79

Certificate of conformity / First Article Inspection

Item	SAM Twin Phantom V4.0
Type No	QD 000 P40 BA
Series No	TP-1002 and higher
Manufacturer / Origin	Untersee Composites Hauptstr. 69 CH-8559 Fruthwilen Switzerland

Tests

The series production process used allows the limitation to test of first articles. Complete tests were made on the pre-series Type No. QD 000 P40 AA, Serial No. TP-1001 and on the series first article Type No. QD 000 P40 BA, Serial No. TP-1006. Certain parameters have been retested using further series units (called samples).

Test	Requirement	Details	Units tested
Shape	Compliance with the geometry according to the CAD model.	IT'IS CAD File (*)	First article, Samples
Material thickness	Compliant with the requirements according to the standards	2mm +/- 0.2mm in specific areas	First article, Samples
Material parameters	Dielectric parameters for required frequencies	200 MHz – 3 GHz Relative permittivity < 5 Loss tangent < 0.05.	Material sample TP 104-5
Material resistivity	The material has been tested to be compatible with the liquids defined in the standards	Liquid type HSL 1800 and others according to the standard.	Pre-series, First article

Standards


- [1] CENELEC EN 50361
- [2] IEEE P1528-200x draft 6.5
- [3] IEC PT 62209 draft 0.9
- (*) The IT'IS CAD file is derived from [2] and is also within the tolerance requirements of the shapes of [1] and [3].

Conformity

Based on the sample tests above, we certify that this item is in compliance with the uncertainty requirements of SAR measurements specified in standard [1] and draft standards [2] and [3].

Date 18.11.2001

Signature / Stamp



**Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG**



Zeughausstrasse 43, CH-8004 Zurich
Tel. +41 1 245 97 00, Fax +41 1 245 97 79


APPENDIX G - SAR TEST SETUP & DUT PHOTOGRAPHS

HEAD SAR TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS
Headset Unit - Left Head Section / Cheek-Touch Position




HEAD SAR TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS

Headset Unit - Left Head Section / Ear-Tilt Position (15°)



HEAD SAR TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS
Headset Unit - Right Head Section / Cheek-Touch Position



HEAD SAR TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS
Headset Unit - Right Head Section / Ear-Tilt Position (15°)



DUT PHOTOGRAPHS



DUT PHOTOGRAPHS

