



CONNECTIVITY TAS EVALUATION REPORT

Applicant Name:

Apple, Inc.
One Apple Park Way
Cupertino, CA, 95014 USA

Date of Testing:

12/9/2025 – 12/16/2025

Test Site/Location:

Element, Morgan Hill, CA, USA

Document Serial No.:

1C2509100079-02.BCG-R1

FCC ID:

BCGA3459

APPLICANT:

APPLE INC.

DUT Type:

Tablet Device

Application Type:

Certification

FCC Rule Part(s):

CFR §2.1093

Model(s):

A3459

Device Serial Numbers:

Pre-Production Samples [21M6R]

Note: This revised Test Report supersedes and replaces the previously issued test report on the same subject device for the same type of testing as indicated. Please discard or destroy the previously issued test report(s) and dispose of it accordingly.

I attest to the accuracy of data. All measurements reported herein were performed by me or were made under my supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I assume full responsibility for the completeness of these measurements and vouch for the qualifications of all persons taking them. Test results reported herein relate only to the item(s) tested.



RJ Ortanez
Executive Vice President



FCC ID: BCGA3459	CONNECTIVITY TAS EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1C2509100079-02.BCG-R1	DUT Type: Tablet Device	Page 1 of 20

Revision Summary

Revision	Description	Issue Date	Revised By
-	Initial Release	12/21/2025	WKR0000017010
R1	Addressed TCB comments	1/20/2026	WKR0000017010

FCC ID: BCGA3459	CONNECTIVITY TAS EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1C2509100079-02.BCG-R1	DUT Type: Tablet Device	Page 2 of 20

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.DEVICE UNDER TEST4

2.RF EXPOSURE LIMITS6

3.TIME VARYING TRANSMISSION TEST CASES7

4.FCC MEASUREMENT PROCEDURES9

5.MEASUREMENT TEST SETUP11

6.CONDUCTED TEST CASES13

7.EQUIPMENT LIST16

8.MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES17

9.CONCLUSION18

10.REFERENCES19

APPENDIX A: TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS

FCC ID: BCGA3459	CONNECTIVITY TAS EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1C2509100079-02.BCG-R1	DUT Type: Tablet Device	Page 3 of 20

1. DEVICE UNDER TEST

1.1 Device Overview

Band & Mode	Operating Modes	Tx Frequency
2.4 GHz WIFI	Voice/Data	2412 - 2472 MHz
5 GHz WIFI	Voice/Data	U-NII-1: 5180 - 5240 MHz U-NII-2A: 5260 - 5320 MHz U-NII-2C: 5500 - 5720 MHz U-NII-3: 5745 - 5825 MHz
6 GHz WIFI	Voice/Data	U-NII-5: 5935 - 6415 MHz U-NII-6: 6435 - 6515 MHz U-NII-7: 6535 - 6875 MHz U-NII-8: 6895 - 7115 MHz
Bluetooth	Data	2402 - 2480 MHz
802.15.4	Data	2405 - 2475 MHz
NB UNII-1	Data	5162 - 5245 MHz
NB UNII-3	Data	5733 - 5844 MHz
NB UNII-5	Data	6106 - 6420 MHz
WPT	N/A	13.56 MHz

FCC ID: BCGA3459	CONNECTIVITY TAS EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1C2509100079-02.BCG-R1	DUT Type: Tablet Device	Page 4 of 20

1.2 Time-Averaging Algorithm for RF Exposure Compliance

This DUT supports time-averaged SAR (TAS) technology for the connectivity transmitters (i.e. WLAN, BT, 802.15.4, NB UNII). A central manager, referenced as the RF Exposure manager, is responsible for maintaining the rolling time-averaged RF exposure over the applicable regulatory compliance window. This manager allocates a total RF exposure budget and specifies the RF exposure compliance limit to the connectivity radios. The radio controllers manage their transmissions to always maintain their consumption below the regulatory RF exposure limit. They report their consumed RF exposure back to the RF exposure manager. The RF exposure manager uses this feedback to allocate updated budget information throughout the regulatory averaging window. This implementation uses a 30-second time-averaging window.

The purpose of this time-averaged RF exposure report is to demonstrate the power control behavior of the DUT based on the manufacturer's algorithm under Tx varying transmission scenarios, thereby evaluating the validity of the manufacturer's time-averaging algorithm feature implementation in this device. It serves to complement the RF Exposure Test Reports to justify compliance per FCC.

1.3 Bibliography

Report Type	Report Serial Number
RF Exposure Test Report	1C2509100079-01.BCG

FCC ID: BCGA3459	CONNECTIVITY TAS EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1C2509100079-02.BCG-R1	DUT Type: Tablet Device	Page 5 of 20

2. RF EXPOSURE LIMITS

2.1 Uncontrolled Environment

UNCONTROLLED ENVIRONMENTS are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure. The general population/uncontrolled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Members of the general public would come under this category when exposure is not employment-related; for example, in the case of a wireless transmitter that exposes persons in its vicinity.

2.2 Controlled Environment

CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENTS are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by persons who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation). In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment, who have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. This exposure category is also applicable when the exposure is of a transient nature due to incidental passage through a location where the exposure levels may be higher than the general population/uncontrolled limits, but the exposed person is fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

2.3 RF Exposure Limits for Frequencies Below 6 GHz

Table 2-1
SAR Human Exposure Specified in ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992 and Health Canada Safety Code 6

HUMAN EXPOSURE LIMITS		
	UNCONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT <i>General Population</i> (W/kg) or (mW/g)	CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT <i>Occupational</i> (W/kg) or (mW/g)
Peak Spatial Average SAR Head	1.6	8.0
Whole Body SAR	0.08	0.4
Peak Spatial Average SAR Hands, Feet, Ankle, Wrists, etc.	4.0	20

1. The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 1 gram of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.
2. The Spatial Average value of the SAR averaged over the whole body.
3. The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 10 grams of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.

2.4 Time Averaging Windows for FCC Compliance

Per manufacturer's approved KDB Inquiry, the time-averaging window used for assessing time-averaged exposures using this method is 30 seconds.

FCC ID: BCGA3459	CONNECTIVITY TAS EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1C2509100079-02.BCG-R1	DUT Type: Tablet Device	Page 6 of 20

3. TIME VARYING TRANSMISSION TEST CASES

For the completeness of verifying that the proposed connectivity time-averaging algorithm can realize FCC compliance, several test scenarios are constructed as below:

- **Technology Switch Case:**
 - Performed for a single test configuration to display algorithm compliance.
 - This test case may be referenced for validation of simultaneous compliance.
- **Antenna/Band Switch Case:**
 - Performed per connectivity technology for a single test configuration.
 - This test case may be referenced for validation of simultaneous compliance.

Note: Device State Switch Case is excluded due to the device not supporting Cellular radio state.

Note: Tests were not modified for the Simultaneous Dual Band Scenario. Simultaneous transmission scenarios are excluded since Antenna/Band configurations with $P_{limit} < P_{max}$ are not part of the same antenna cluster.

Note: Antenna/Band Switch Cases for Bluetooth and 802.15.4 are excluded due to $P_{limit} \geq P_{max}$.

Note: 2G WiFi and 6G WiFi are excluded due to $P_{limit} \geq P_{max}$; therefore, the WiFi Antenna/Band Switch was performed on 5G WiFi only.

Note: NB U-NII 1 and NB U-NII 5A are excluded due to $P_{limit} \geq P_{max}$; therefore, the NB U-NII Antenna/Band Switch was performed on NB U-NII 3 only.

FCC ID: BCGA3459	CONNECTIVITY TAS EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1C2509100079-02.BCG-R1	DUT Type: Tablet Device	Page 7 of 20

The strategy for testing in Tx varying transmission condition is outlined as follows:

- Demonstrate that the normalized, rolling time-averaged power ($P_{avg, norm}$) is maintained less than or equal to 1.
 - Measure conducted Tx power versus time
 - Use the equation below to calculate the normalized average power ($P_{avg, norm}$).
 - Perform running time-averaging over 30s defined time windows.
 - TAS algorithm compliance is validated when $P_{avg, norm}$ is maintained less than or equal to $P_{limit, norm}$ of 1.

Where:

- In cases where the P_{limit} is not constant:

$$P_{avg, norm} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \frac{P_{meas}[n-m]}{P_{limit}[n-m]}$$

- In cases where the P_{limit} is constant:

$$P_{avg, norm} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} P_{meas}[n-m]$$

- 'n' is for the nth time step
- 'M' is the total number of reporting periods per time-averaging window
- 'm' is the index of the time-averaging window
- P_{limit} is the specified maximum time-averaged output power to ensure SAR compliance.
- P_{meas} is the instantaneous measured time-averaged output power.

FCC ID: BCGA3459	CONNECTIVITY TAS EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1C2509100079-02.BCG-R1	DUT Type: Tablet Device	Page 8 of 20

4. FCC MEASUREMENT PROCEDURES

This chapter provides the test plan configurations and test procedure for validating the manufacturer's TAS algorithm feature for connectivity transmission.

4.1 Test Configuration and Procedure for Connectivity Test: Technology Switch

4.1.1 Configuration

- Technology switch is performed for a single configuration to display algorithm compliance. Where simultaneous transmission is supported, the test case is modified to validate that transmission scenario.
- Configurations where Plimit is 2-4dB below Pmax will be selected for assessment.
- Configurations where Plimit is greater than or equal to Pmax will be excluded from testing as there will be no TAS activity in this condition.

Test Scenario 1	Mode/Band/Antenna 1	Mode/Band/Antenna 2
Technology Switch	5 GHz Wi-Fi Core 1	NB U-NII 3 Core 0

4.1.2 Procedure

TX power is measured, recorded, and processed by the following steps:

- Steps 1~2: Measure and record TX power versus time for Technology Switch.
 - Step 1: Configure settings for TAS testing.
 - Step 2: Measure TX power versus time.

Initial Wi-Fi TAS mode: DUT will transmit on 5 GHz Wi-Fi Core 1 for approximately 60s.

Switch connection with NB U-NII 3 TAS mode: DUT will switch from 5 GHz Wi-Fi Core 1 to NB U-NII 3 Core 0 and will transmit for an additional 60s.
- Step 3: Convert the measured conducted TX power into normalized power and plot using the manufacturer post processing tool.

TAS is validated when Pavg, norm is less than Plimit, norm for both bands for the duration of the test.

FCC ID: BCGA3459	CONNECTIVITY TAS EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1C2509100079-02.BCG-R1	DUT Type: Tablet Device	Page 9 of 20

4.2 Test Configuration and Procedure for Connectivity Test: Antenna/Band Switch

4.2.1 Configuration

- Antenna switch is performed for a single configuration per technology. Where applicable, change in frequency band is validated in the antenna switch test case. Where simultaneous dual band is supported, the antenna switch test case is modified to validate the transmission scenario.
- Configurations where Plimit is 2-4dB below Pmax will be selected for assessment.
- Configurations where Plimit is greater than or equal to Pmax will be excluded from testing as there will be no TAS activity in this condition.

Test Scenarios 2-3	Mode/Band/Antenna 1	Mode/Band/Antenna 2
Antenna/Band Switch	5 GHz Wi-Fi Core 0	5 GHz Wi-Fi Core 1
	NB U-NII 3 Core 0	NB U-NII 3 Core 1

4.2.2 Procedure

TX power is measured, recorded, and processed by the following steps:

- Steps 1~2: Measure and record TX power versus time for Antenna/Band Switch.
 - Step 1: Configure settings for TAS testing.
 - Step 2: Measure TX power versus time. For example:

Initial Wi-Fi TAS mode: DUT will transmit on the 5 GHz Wi-Fi Core 0 band for approximately 60s.

Switch connection with the second antenna/band TAS mode: DUT will switch from 5 GHz Wi-Fi Core 0 to 5 GHz Wi-Fi Core 1 and will transmit for an additional 60s.
- Step 3: Convert the measured conducted TX power into normalized power and plot using the manufacturer post processing tool.

TAS is validated when Pavg, norm is less than Plimit, norm for both bands for the duration of the test.
- Step 4: Repeat steps 1-3 for remaining technologies.
 - For NB U-NII 3, initial mode will be NB U-NII 3 Core 0 then switch connection to NB U-NII 3 Core 1.


FCC ID: BCGA3459	CONNECTIVITY TAS EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1C2509100079-02.BCG-R1	DUT Type: Tablet Device	Page 10 of 20

5. MEASUREMENT TEST SETUP

5.1 Measurement Setup


The general measurement setup for the DUT is shown in Figure 5-1. There is a control computer which controls the DUT, power meters, and the AP WiFi traffic control. The WiFi traffic is established between the DUT and the AP via cables for conducted connection. And the DUT is connected to a programmable attenuator that is connected to the power meters.

The test scenarios are controlled by PC command. The transmitter power is measured with R&S power meters.



(a) Appendix A – Test Setup Photo 1

FCC ID: BCGA3459	CONNECTIVITY TAS EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1C2509100079-02.BCG-R1	DUT Type: Tablet Device	Page 11 of 20



(b) Appendix A – Test Setup Photo 2

Figure 5-1
Conducted power measurement setup

FCC ID: BCGA3459	CONNECTIVITY TAS EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1C2509100079-02.BCG-R1	DUT Type: Tablet Device	Page 12 of 20

6. CONDUCTED TEST CASES

6.1 Technology Switch Conducted Power Measurements

This test is the conducted power measurement for change in technology from 5 GHz Wi-Fi to NB U-NII 3. The detailed setting is listed in Table 6-1. Figure 6-1 demonstrates the DUT's normalized conducted TX power, the normalized time-averaged conducted TX power behavior over time, and the normalized FCC limit of 1. As seen in these figures, the normalized time-averaged TX power does not exceed the normalized FCC limit of 1.

Table 6-1 TAS parameters setting for Technology Switching

Test Scenario 1	Technology/Band	Core/Antenna	Plimit [dBm]	Plimit [mW]	Pmax [dBm]	Pmax [mW]	Test Duration	Power Meter
Technology Switch	5 GHz Wi-Fi	Core 1 (Ant WF7a)	19.50	89.13	20.50	112.20	60s	P1
	NB U-NII 3	Core 0 (Ant WF8)	19.75	94.41	20.50	112.20	60s	P2




Figure 6-1 Technology Switch Normalized Time-averaged conducted TX power

Normalized P_{limit}	1.00
Highest normalized $(P1+P2)_{avg}$	0.763
Validation result:	Pass

FCC ID: BCGA3459	CONNECTIVITY TAS EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1C2509100079-02.BCG-R1	DUT Type: Tablet Device	Page 13 of 20

6.2 Antenna/Band Switch Conducted Power Measurements

These tests are the conducted power measurement for change in antenna/band per technology. The detailed setting is listed in Table 6-2. Figures 6-2, and Figure 6-3 demonstrate the DUT's normalized conducted TX power, the normalized time-averaged conducted TX power behavior over time, and the normalized FCC limit of 1. As seen in these figures, the normalized time-averaged TX power does not exceed the normalized FCC limit of 1.

Table 6-2 TAS parameters setting for Antenna/Band Switching

Test Scenarios 2-3	Technology/Band	Core/Antenna	Plimit [dBm]	Plimit [mW]	Pmax [dBm]	Pmax [mW]	Test Duration	Power Meter
Wi-Fi Antenna/Band Switch	5 GHz Wi-Fi	Core 0 (Ant WF8)	19.00	79.43	20.50	112.20	60s	P1
		Core 1 (Ant WF7a)	19.50	89.13	20.50	112.20	60s	P2
NB U-NII Antenna/Band Switch	NB U-NII 3	Core 0 (Ant WF8)	19.75	94.41	20.50	112.20	60s	P1
		Core 1 (Ant WF7a)	19.75	94.41	20.00	100.00	60s	P2




Figure 6-2 Wi-Fi Antenna Switch Normalized Time-averaged conducted TX power

Normalized P_{limit}	1.00
Highest normalized $(P1+P2)_{avg}$	0.804
Validation result: Pass	

FCC ID: BCGA3459	CONNECTIVITY TAS EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1C2509100079-02.BCG-R1	DUT Type: Tablet Device	Page 14 of 20




Figure 6-3 NB U-NII 3 Antenna Switch Normalized Time-averaged conducted TX power

Normalized P_{limit}	1.00
Highest normalized $(P1+P2)_{avg}$	0.102
Validation result:	Pass

FCC ID: BCGA3459	CONNECTIVITY TAS EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1C2509100079-02.BCG-R1	DUT Type: Tablet Device	Page 15 of 20

7. EQUIPMENT LIST

Manufacturer	Model	Description	Cal Date	Cal Interval	Cal Due	Serial Number
Rhode & Schwarz	ZNB40	S-Parameter Vector Network Analyzer	2/20/2025	Annual	2/20/2026	101412
Mini Circuits	ZN2PD-63-S+	Power Splitter	CBT	N/A	CBT	UU23301311
Mini Circuits	ZN2PD-63-S+	Power Splitter	CBT	N/A	CBT	UU1R602047
Pasternack	PE2088	Power Divider	CBT	N/A	CBT	N/A
Pasternack	PE2088	Power Divider	CBT	N/A	CBT	N/A
Micro-Tronics	HPM50107-G084	High Pass Filter	2/25/2025	Biennial	2/25/2027	HP7700-G084
Mini-Circuits	NLP-2950+	Low Pass Filter DC to 2700 MHz	7/3/2025	Annual	7/3/2026	UU19201507
API Weinschel, Inc.	8321	Programmable Attenuator Unit	CBT	N/A	CBT	839
Mini-Circuits	ZUDC10-83-S+	Directional Coupler	CBT	N/A	CBT	2050
Narda	4104C-6	4 - 8 GHz 6 dB Directional Coupler	CBT	N/A	CBT	N/A
Pasternack	PE2208-6	Bidirectional Coupler	CBT	N/A	CBT	N/A
Rhode & Schwarz	NRP8SN	3-Path Dipole Power Sensor	4/29/2025	Biennial	4/29/2027	103632
Rhode & Schwarz	NRP8SN	3-Path Dipole Power Sensor	4/29/2025	Biennial	4/29/2027	103634
Rhode & Schwarz	NRP8SN	3-Path Dipole Power Sensor	2/21/2025	Biennial	2/21/2027	103499
Rhode & Schwarz	NRP8SN	3-Path Dipole Power Sensor	5/23/2025	Biennial	5/23/2027	104126

Notes:

1. CBT (Calibrated Before Testing). Prior to testing, the measurement paths containing a cable, amplifier, attenuator, coupler or filter were connected to a calibrated source (i.e. a signal generator) to determine the losses of the measurement path. The power meter offset was then adjusted to compensate for the measurement system losses. This level offset is stored within the power meter before measurements are made. This calibration verification procedure applies to the system verification and output power measurements. The calibrated reading is then taken directly from the power meter after compensation of the losses for all final power measurements.
2. Each equipment item is used solely within its respective calibration period.

FCC ID: BCGA3459	CONNECTIVITY TAS EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1C2509100079-02.BCG-R1	DUT Type: Tablet Device	Page 16 of 20

8. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES

For Conducted Power Measurements

List of Contributing Factors	Value [dB]	Distribution Function	Probability Distr. Divisor	Component Uncertainty [dB]
RF Power Sensor Accuracy	0.168	Normal (k=2)	2	0.084
Mismatch antenna port to receiver	0.643	U-Shaped	1.4142	0.455
Expanded Uncertainty				
95% Confidence Level			k=2	0.925

FCC ID: BCGA3459	CONNECTIVITY TAS EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1C2509100079-02.BCG-R1	DUT Type: Tablet Device	Page 17 of 20

9. CONCLUSION

This document proposes Wi-Fi TAS test scenarios and procedures and proves TAS algorithm can meet the FCC SAR regulation with the proposed test scenarios and procedures. The conducted power measurements in Chapter 6 show that TAS algorithm can maintain SAR over time below the FCC regulatory limits. Based on the provided measurement results, it is concluded that TAS algorithm can be validated for FCC compliance by the proposed test methodology.

FCC ID: BCGA3459	CONNECTIVITY TAS EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1C2509100079-02.BCG-R1	DUT Type: Tablet Device	Page 18 of 20

10. REFERENCES

- [1] Federal Communications Commission, ET Docket 93-62, Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of Radiofrequency Radiation, Aug. 1996.
- [2] ANSI/IEEE C95.1-2005, American National Standard safety levels with respect to human exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic fields, 3kHz to 300GHz, New York: IEEE, 2006.
- [3] ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992, American National Standard safety levels with respect to human exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic fields, 3kHz to 300GHz, New York: IEEE, Sept. 1992.
- [4] ANSI/IEEE C95.3-2002, IEEE Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic Fields - RF and Microwave, New York: IEEE, December 2002.
- [5] IEEE Standards Coordinating Committee 39 –Standards Coordinating Committee 34 – IEEE Std. 1528-2013, IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques.
- [6] NCRP, National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements, Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for RadioFrequency Electromagnetic Fields, NCRP Report No. 86, 1986. Reprinted Feb. 1995.
- [7] T. Schmid, O. Egger, N. Kuster, Automated E-field scanning system for dosimetric assessments, IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, Jan. 1996, pp. 105-113.
- [8] K. Pokovic, T. Schmid, N. Kuster, Robust setup for precise calibration of E-field probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies, ICECOM97, Oct. 1997, pp. 1 -124.
- [9] K. Pokovic, T. Schmid, and N. Kuster, E-field Probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids, Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, Croatia, June 23-25, 1996, pp. 172-175.
- [10] Schmid & Partner Engineering AG, Application Note: Data Storage and Evaluation, June 1998, p2.
- [11] V. Hombach, K. Meier, M. Burkhardt, E. Kuhn, N. Kuster, The Dependence of EM Energy Absorption upon Human Modeling at 900 MHz, IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44 no. 10, Oct. 1996, pp. 1865-1873.
- [12] N. Kuster and Q. Balzano, Energy absorption mechanism by biological bodies in the near field of dipole antennas above 300MHz, IEEE Transaction on Vehicular Technology, vol. 41, no. 1, Feb. 1992, pp. 17-23.
- [13] G. Hartsgrove, A. Kraszewski, A. Surowiec, Simulated Biological Materials for Electromagnetic Radiation Absorption Studies, University of Ottawa, Bioelectromagnetics, Canada: 1987, pp. 29-36.
- [14] Q. Balzano, O. Garay, T. Manning Jr., Electromagnetic Energy Exposure of Simulated Users of Portable Cellular Telephones, IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol. 44, no.3, Aug. 1995.
- [15] W. Gander, Computermathematick, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1992.
- [16] W.H. Press, S.A. Teukolsky, W.T. Vetterling, and B.P. Flannery, Numerical Recipes in C, The Art of Scientific Computing, Second edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992.

FCC ID: BCGA3459	CONNECTIVITY TAS EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1C2509100079-02.BCG-R1	DUT Type: Tablet Device	Page 19 of 20

- [17] N. Kuster, R. Kastle, T. Schmid, Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with known precision, IEEE Transaction on Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, May 1997, pp. 645-652.
- [18] CENELEC CLC/SC111B, European Prestandard (prENV 50166-2), Human Exposure to Electromagnetic Fields High-frequency: 10kHz-300GHz, Jan. 1995.
- [19] Prof. Dr. Niels Kuster, ETH, Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich, Dosimetric Evaluation of the Cellular Phone.
- [20] IEC 62209-1, Measurement procedure for the assessment of specific absorption rate of human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices - Part 1: Devices used next to the ear (Frequency range of 300 MHz to 6 GHz), July 2016.
- [21] Innovation, Science, Economic Development Canada RSS-102 Radio Frequency Exposure Compliance of Radiocommunication Apparatus (All Frequency Bands) Issue 5, March 2015.
- [22] Health Canada Safety Code 6 Limits of Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields in the Frequency Range from 3 kHz – 300 GHz, 2015
- [23] FCC SAR Test Procedures for 2G-3G Devices, Mobile Hotspot and UMPC Devices KDB Publications 941225, D01-D07
- [24] SAR Measurement Guidance for IEEE 802.11 Transmitters, KDB Publication 248227 D01
- [25] FCC SAR Considerations for Handsets with Multiple Transmitters and Antennas, KDB Publications 648474 D03-D04
- [26] FCC SAR Evaluation Considerations for Laptop, Notebook, Netbook and Tablet Computers, FCC KDB Publication 616217 D04
- [27] FCC SAR Measurement and Reporting Requirements for 100MHz – 6 GHz, KDB Publications 865664 D01-D02
- [28] FCC General RF Exposure Guidance and SAR Procedures for Dongles, KDB Publication 447498, D01-D02
- [29] Anexo à Resolução No. 533, de 10 de Setembro de 2009.
- [30] IEC 62209-2, Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices - Human models, instrumentation, and procedures - Part 2: Procedure to determine the specific absorption rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz), Mar. 2010.

FCC ID: BCGA3459	CONNECTIVITY TAS EVALUATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1C2509100079-02.BCG-R1	DUT Type: Tablet Device	Page 20 of 20