



TAS CONNECTIVITY VALIDATION REPORT

Applicant Name:

Apple, Inc.
One Apple Park Way
Cupertino, CA 95014 USA

Date of Testing:

12/17/2025-12/24/2025

Test Report Issue Date:

01/28/2026

Test Site/Location:

Element, Morgan Hill, CA, USA

Document Serial No.:

1C2509100080-02.BCG-R1

FCC ID:

BCGA3460

APPLICANT:

APPLE INC.

DUT Type:

Tablet Device

Application Type:

Certification

FCC Rule Part(s):

CFR §2.1093

Model(s):

A3460, A3463

Device Serial Numbers:

Pre-Production Samples [0VYYP]

Note: This revised Test Report supersedes and replaces the previously issued test report on the same subject device for the same type of testing as indicated. Please discard or destroy the previously issued test report(s) and dispose of it accordingly.

I attest to the accuracy of data. All measurements reported herein were performed by me or were made under my supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I assume full responsibility for the completeness of these measurements and vouch for the qualifications of all persons taking them. Test results reported herein relate only to the item(s) tested.



RJ Ortanez

Executive Vice President



FCC ID: BCGA3460	TAS CONNECTIVITY VALIDATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1C2509100080-02.BCG-R1	DUT Type: Tablet Device	Page 1 of 20

Revision Summary

Revision	Description	Issue Date	Revised By
-	Initial Release	12/24/2025	WKR0000016980
R1	Addressed Applicant's comments	1/12/2026	WKR0000016980

FCC ID: BCGA3460	TAS CONNECTIVITY VALIDATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1C2509100080-02.BCG-R1	DUT Type: Tablet Device	Page 2 of 20

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.DEVICE UNDER TEST4

2.RF EXPOSURE LIMITS6

3.TIME VARYING TRANSMISSION TEST CASES7

4.FCC MEASUREMENT PROCEDURES8

5.MEASUREMENT TEST SETUP11

6.CONDUCTED TEST CASES13

7.EQUIPMENT LIST16

8.MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES17

9.CONCLUSION18

10.REFERENCES19

APPENDIX A: TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS

FCC ID: BCGA3460	TAS CONNECTIVITY VALIDATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1C2509100080-02.BCG-R1	DUT Type: Tablet Device	Page 3 of 20

1. DEVICE UNDER TEST

1.1 Device Overview

Band & Mode	Operating Modes	Tx Frequency
UMTS 850	Data	826.4 - 846.6 MHz
UMTS 1750	Data	1712.4 - 1752.6 MHz
UMTS 1900	Data	1852.4 - 1907.6 MHz
LTE Band 71	Data	665.5 - 695.5 MHz
LTE Band 12	Data	699.7 - 715.3 MHz
LTE Band 17	Data	706.5 - 713.5 MHz
LTE Band 13	Data	779.5 - 784.5 MHz
LTE Band 14	Data	790.5 - 795.5 MHz
LTE Band 26 (Cell)	Data	814.7 - 848.3 MHz
LTE Band 5 (Cell)	Data	824.7 - 848.3 MHz
LTE Band 66 (AWS)	Data	1710.7 - 1779.3 MHz
LTE Band 4 (AWS)	Data	1710.7 - 1754.3 MHz
LTE Band 25 (PCS)	Data	1850.7 - 1914.3 MHz
LTE Band 2 (PCS)	Data	1850.7 - 1909.3 MHz
LTE Band 30	Data	2307.5 - 2312.5 MHz
LTE Band 7	Data	2502.5 - 2567.5 MHz
LTE Band 41	Data	2498.5 - 2687.5 MHz
LTE Band 48	Data	3552.5 - 3697.5 MHz
NR Band n71	Data	665.5 - 695.5 MHz
NR Band n12	Data	701.5 - 713.5 MHz
NR Band n14	Data	790.5 - 795.5 MHz
NR Band n26 (Cell)	Data	816.5 - 846.5 MHz
NR Band n5 (Cell)	Data	826.5 - 846.5 MHz
NR Band n70	Data	1697.5 - 1707.5 MHz
NR Band n66 (AWS)	Data	1712.5 - 1777.5 MHz
NR Band n25 (PCS)	Data	1852.5 - 1912.5 MHz
NR Band n2 (PCS)	Data	1852.5 - 1907.5 MHz
NR Band n30	Data	2307.5 - 2312.5 MHz
NR Band n7	Data	2502.5 - 2567.5 MHz
NR Band n41	Data	2501.01 - 2685.0 MHz
NR Band n48	Data	3555.0 - 3694.98 MHz
NR Band n77 DoD	Data	3455.01 - 3544.98 MHz
NR Band n77 C	Data	3705.0 - 3975.0 MHz
2.4 GHz WLAN	Voice/Data	2412 - 2472 MHz
5 GHz WIFI	Voice/Data	U-NII-1: 5180 - 5240 MHz U-NII-2A: 5260 - 5320 MHz U-NII-2C: 5500 - 5720 MHz U-NII-3: 5745 - 5825 MHz
6 GHz WIFI	Voice/Data	U-NII-5: 5935 - 6415 MHz U-NII-6: 6435 - 6515 MHz U-NII-7: 6535 - 6875 MHz U-NII-8: 6895 - 7115 MHz
Bluetooth	Data	2402 - 2480 MHz
802.15.4	Data	2405 - 2475 MHz
NB UNII-1	Data	5162 - 5245 MHz
NB UNII-3	Data	5733 - 5844 MHz
WPT	N/A	13.56 MHz

FCC ID: BCGA3460	TAS CONNECTIVITY VALIDATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1C2509100080-02.BCG-R1	DUT Type: Tablet Device	Page 4 of 20

1.2 Time-Averaging Algorithm for RF Exposure Compliance

This DUT supports time-averaged SAR (TAS) technology for the connectivity transmitters (i.e. WLAN, BT, 802.15.4, NB UNII). A central manager, referenced as the RF Exposure manager, is responsible for maintaining the rolling time-averaged RF exposure over the applicable regulatory compliance window. This manager allocates a total RF exposure budget and specifies the RF exposure compliance limit to the connectivity radios. The radio controllers manage their transmissions to always maintain their consumption below the regulatory RF exposure limit. They report their consumed RF exposure back to the RF exposure manager. The RF exposure manager uses this feedback to allocate updated budget information throughout the regulatory averaging window. This implementation uses a 30-second time-averaging window.

The purpose of this time-averaged RF exposure report is to demonstrate the power control behavior of the DUT based on the manufacturer's algorithm under Tx varying transmission scenarios, thereby evaluating the validity of the manufacturer's time-averaging algorithm feature implementation in this device. It serves to complement the RF Exposure Test Reports to justify compliance per FCC.

The manufacturer's connectivity time averaging algorithm feature can operate in one set of time-averaging windows: 30 seconds.

1.3 Dynamic Budget Algorithm Validation

This device is enabled with both Cell TAS and Connectivity TAS. The Cell TAS algorithm accounts for 3G, 4G, and 5G NR FR1 operations to ensure that the Device Under Test (DUT) maintains total RF exposure below the applicable regulatory limits. For connectivity operations, the Connectivity TAS functionality controls and manages transmit power in real time to ensure that the time-averaged RF exposure remains compliant with regulatory requirements.

In addition, a Dynamic Budget Algorithm Validation is provided in the Time-Averaged SAR Cellular Validation Report to demonstrate that simultaneous TAS operation between cellular and WLAN technologies (i.e., TAS + TAS scenarios) remains compliant with FCC requirements.

1.4 Bibliography

Report Type	Report Serial Number
RF Exposure Test Report	1C2509100080-01.BCG
Time-Averaged SAR Validation Report	1C2509100080-03.BCG

FCC ID: BCGA3460	TAS CONNECTIVITY VALIDATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1C2509100080-02.BCG-R1	DUT Type: Tablet Device	Page 5 of 20

2. RF EXPOSURE LIMITS

2.1 Uncontrolled Environment

UNCONTROLLED ENVIRONMENTS are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure. The general population/uncontrolled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Members of the general public would come under this category when exposure is not employment-related; for example, in the case of a wireless transmitter that exposes persons in its vicinity.

2.2 Controlled Environment

CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENTS are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by persons who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation). In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment, who have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. This exposure category is also applicable when the exposure is of a transient nature due to incidental passage through a location where the exposure levels may be higher than the general population/uncontrolled limits, but the exposed person is fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

2.3 RF Exposure Limits for Frequencies Below 6 GHz

Table 2-1
SAR Human Exposure Specified in ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992 and Health Canada Safety Code 6

HUMAN EXPOSURE LIMITS		
	UNCONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT <i>General Population</i> (W/kg) or (mW/g)	CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT <i>Occupational</i> (W/kg) or (mW/g)
Peak Spatial Average SAR Head	1.6	8.0
Whole Body SAR	0.08	0.4
Peak Spatial Average SAR Hands, Feet, Ankle, Wrists, etc.	4.0	20

1. The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 1 gram of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.
2. The Spatial Average value of the SAR averaged over the whole body.
3. The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 10 grams of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.

2.4 Time Averaging Windows for FCC Compliance

Per manufacturer's approved KDB Inquiry, the time-averaging window used for assessing time-averaged exposures using this method is 30 seconds. Although each test segment is measured for approximately 60 seconds, compliance is evaluated using rolling 30-second time-averaging windows applied across the full measurement record.

FCC ID: BCGA3460	TAS CONNECTIVITY VALIDATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1C2509100080-02.BCG-R1	DUT Type: Tablet Device	Page 6 of 20

3. TIME VARYING TRANSMISSION TEST CASES

For the completeness of verifying that the proposed connectivity time-averaging algorithm can realize FCC compliance, several test scenarios are constructed as below:

- **Technology Switch Case:**
 - Performed for a single test configuration to display algorithm compliance.
 - This test case may be referenced for validation of simultaneous compliance.
- **Antenna/Band Switch Case:**
 - Performed per connectivity technology for a single test configuration.
 - This test case may be referenced for validation of simultaneous compliance.
- **Device State Switch Case:**
 - Performed for a single test configuration with radio state dependent power control.
 - This test case may be referenced for validation of radio state dependent power control.

The strategy for testing in Tx varying transmission condition is outlined as follows:

- Demonstrate that the normalized, rolling time-averaged power ($P_{avg, norm}$) is maintained less than or equal to 1.
 - Measure conducted Tx power versus time
 - Use the equation below to calculate the normalized average power ($P_{avg, norm}$).
 - Perform running time-averaging over 30s defined time windows.
 - TAS algorithm compliance is validated when $P_{avg, norm}$ is maintained less than or equal to $P_{limit, norm}$ of 1.

Where:

- In cases where the P_{limit} is not constant:

$$P_{avg, norm} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \frac{P_{meas}[n-m]}{P_{limit}[n-m]}$$

- In cases where the P_{limit} is constant:

$$P_{avg, norm} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} P_{meas}[n-m]$$

- 'n' is for the nth time step
- 'M' is the total number of reporting periods per time-averaging window
- 'm' is the index of the time-averaging window
- P_{limit} is the specified maximum time-averaged output power to ensure SAR compliance.
- P_{meas} is the instantaneous measured time-averaged output power.

FCC ID: BCGA3460	TAS CONNECTIVITY VALIDATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1C2509100080-02.BCG-R1	DUT Type: Tablet Device	Page 7 of 20

4. FCC MEASUREMENT PROCEDURES

This chapter provides the test plan configurations and test procedure for validating the manufacturer's TAS algorithm feature for connectivity transmission.

4.1 Test Configuration and Procedure for Connectivity Test: Technology Switch

4.1.1 Configuration

- Technology switch is performed for a single configuration to display algorithm compliance. Where simultaneous transmission is supported, the test case is modified to validate that transmission scenario.
- Configurations where Plimit is 2-4dB below Pmax will be selected for assessment.
- Configurations where Plimit is greater than or equal to Pmax will be excluded from testing as there will be no TAS activity in this condition.

Test Scenario	Technology/Band	Core/Antenna
Technology Switch	6 GHz Wi-Fi	Core 1 (Ant 3a)
	2.4 GHz BT	Core 0 (Ant 3a)
	6 GHz Wi-Fi + 2.4 GHz BT	Core 1 (Ant 3a) / Core 0 (Ant 3a)

4.1.2 Procedure

TX power is measured, recorded, and processed by the following steps:

- Steps 1~2: Measure and record TX power versus time for Technology Switch.
 - Step 1: Configure settings for TAS testing.
 - Step 2: Measure TX power versus time.

Initial Wi-Fi TAS mode: DUT will transmit on 6 GHz Wi-Fi Core 1 for approximately 60s.

Simultaneous Dual Band connection with 2.4 GHz Bluetooth TAS mode: DUT will establish a connection via 2.4 GHz Bluetooth Core 0 on a separate antenna while simultaneously maintaining connection with the 6 GHz Wi-Fi Core 1 band.

- Step 3: Convert the measured conducted TX power into normalized power and plot using the manufacturer post processing tool.

TAS is validated when Pavg, norm is less than Plimit, norm for both bands for the duration of the test.

FCC ID: BCGA3460	TAS CONNECTIVITY VALIDATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1C2509100080-02.BCG-R1	DUT Type: Tablet Device	Page 8 of 20

4.2 Test Configuration and Procedure for Connectivity Test: Antenna/Band Switch

4.2.1 Configuration

- Antenna switch is performed for a single configuration per technology. Where applicable, change in frequency band is validated in the antenna switch test case. Where simultaneous dual band is supported, the antenna switch test case is modified to validate the transmission scenario.
- Configurations where Plimit is 2-4dB below Pmax will be selected for assessment.
- Configurations where Plimit is greater than or equal to Pmax will be excluded from testing as there will be no TAS activity in this condition.

Test Scenario	Technology/Band	Core/Antenna
Wi-Fi Antenna/Band Switch	2.4 GHz Wi-Fi	Core 0 (Ant 3a)
	2.4 GHz Wi-Fi + 6 GHz Wi-Fi	Core 0 (Ant 3a) / Core 1 (Ant 3a)
	6 GHz Wi-Fi	Core 1 (Ant 3a)

4.2.2 Procedure

TX power is measured, recorded, and processed by the following steps:

- Steps 1~2: Measure and record TX power versus time for Antenna/Band Switch.
 - Step 1: Configure settings for TAS testing.
 - Step 2: Measure TX power versus time. For example:

Initial Wi-Fi TAS mode: DUT will transmit on the 2.4 GHz Wi-Fi Core 0 band for approximately 60s.

Simultaneous Dual Band connection with Wi-Fi 6E TAS mode: DUT will establish an additional connection for 6 GHz Wi-Fi Core 1 while simultaneously maintaining connection on 2.4 GHz Wi-Fi Core 0.
- Step 3: Convert the measured conducted TX power into normalized power and plot using the manufacturer post processing tool.

TAS is validated when Pavg, norm is less than Plimit, norm for both bands for the duration of the test.

FCC ID: BCGA3460	TAS CONNECTIVITY VALIDATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1C2509100080-02.BCG-R1	DUT Type: Tablet Device	Page 9 of 20

4.3 Test Configuration and Procedure for Connectivity Test: Device State Switch

4.3.1 Configuration

- Change in device state is performed for a single combination to display algorithm compliance for the mode with the largest difference in cell on versus cell off power level.
- Configurations where Plimit is 2-4dB below Pmax will be selected for assessment.
- Configurations where Plimit is greater than or equal to Pmax will be excluded from testing as there will be no TAS activity in this condition.

Test Scenario	Technology/Band	Core/Antenna
Device State Switch	2.4 GHz Wi-Fi (Cell ON)	Core 0 (Ant 3a)
	2.4 GHz Wi-Fi (Cell OFF)	Core 0 (Ant 3a)

4.3.2 Procedure

TX power is measured, recorded, and processed by the following steps:

- Steps 1~2: Measure and record TX power versus time for Device State Switch.
 - Step 1: Configure settings for TAS testing.
 - Step 2: Measure TX power versus time.

Initial Cell On State: DUT will transmit on the Cell On State for 2.4 GHz Wi-Fi Core 0 for approximately 60s.

Switch to Cell Off State: DUT will switch from Cell On State to Cell Off State. DUT will maintain connection with 2.4 GHz Wi-Fi Core 0 and will transmit for an additional 60s.
- Step 3: Convert the measured conducted TX power into normalized power and plot using the manufacturer post processing tool.

TAS is validated when Pavg, norm is less than Plimit for both device states the duration of the test.


FCC ID: BCGA3460	TAS CONNECTIVITY VALIDATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1C2509100080-02.BCG-R1	DUT Type: Tablet Device	Page 10 of 20

5 MEASUREMENT TEST SETUP

5.1 Measurement Setup


The general measurement setup for the DUT is shown in Figure 5-1. There is a control computer which controls the DUT, power meters, and the AP WiFi traffic control. The WiFi traffic is established between the DUT and the AP via cables for conducted connection. And the DUT is connected to a programmable attenuator that is connected to the power meters.

The test scenarios are controlled by PC command. The transmitter power is measured with R&S power meters.




(a) Appendix A – Test Setup Photo 1

FCC ID: BCGA3460	TAS CONNECTIVITY VALIDATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1C2509100080-02.BCG-R1	DUT Type: Tablet Device	Page 11 of 20



(b) Appendix A – Test Setup Photo 2



(c) Appendix A – Test Setup Photo 3

Figure 5-1
Conducted power measurement setup

FCC ID: BCGA3460	TAS CONNECTIVITY VALIDATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1C2509100080-02.BCG-R1	DUT Type: Tablet Device	Page 12 of 20

6 CONDUCTED TEST CASES

6.1 Technology Switch Conducted Power Measurements

This test is the conducted power measurement for change in technology from Wi-Fi 6 GHz to Wi-Fi 6 GHz + 2.4GHz Bluetooth. The detailed setting is listed in Table 6-1. Figure 6-1 demonstrates the DUT's normalized conducted TX power, the normalized time-averaged conducted TX power behavior over time, and the normalized FCC limit of 1. As seen in these figures, the normalized time-averaged TX power does not exceed the normalized FCC limit of 1.

Table 6-1 TAS parameters setting for Technology Switching

Test Scenario	Technology/Band	Core/Antenna	Plimit [dBm]	Plimit [mW]	Pmax [dBm]	Pmax [mW]	Test Duration	Power Meter
Technology Switch	6 GHz Wi-Fi	Core 1 (Ant 3a)	13.00	19.95	17.00	50.12	60s	P1
	2.4 GHz BT	Core 0 (Ant 3a)	18.75	74.99	20.00	100.00	60s	P2
	6 GHz Wi-Fi + 2.4 GHz BT	Core 1 (Ant 3a) / Core 0 (Ant 3a)	13.00 / 18.75	19.95 / 74.99	17.00 / 20.00	50.12 / 100.00	60s	P1 / P2




Figure 6-1 Technology Switch Normalized Time-averaged conducted TX power

Normalized P_{limit}	1.00
Highest normalized $(P1+P2)_{avg}$	0.577
Validation result: Pass	

FCC ID: BCGA3460	TAS CONNECTIVITY VALIDATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1C2509100080-02.BCG-R1	DUT Type: Tablet Device	Page 13 of 20

6.2 Antenna/Band Switch Conducted Power Measurements

These tests are the conducted power measurement for change in antenna/band per technology. The detailed setting is listed in Table 6-2. Figure 6-2 demonstrates the DUT's normalized conducted TX power, the normalized time-averaged conducted TX power behavior over time, and the normalized FCC limit of 1. As seen in these figures, the normalized time-averaged TX power does not exceed the normalized FCC limit of 1.

Table 6-2 TAS parameters setting for Antenna/Band Switching

Test Scenario	Technology/Band	Core/Antenna	Plimit [dBm]	Plimit [mW]	Pmax [dBm]	Pmax [mW]	Test Duration	Power Meter
Wi-Fi Antenna/Band Switch	2.4 GHz Wi-Fi	Core 0 (Ant 3a)	18.75	74.99	21.00	125.89	60s	P1
	2.4 GHz Wi-Fi + 6 GHz Wi-Fi	Core 0 (Ant 3a) / Core 1 (Ant 3a)	18.75 / 13.00	74.99 / 19.95	21.00 / 17.00	125.89 / 50.12	60s	P1 / P2
	6 GHz Wi-Fi	Core 1 (Ant 3a)	13.00	19.95	17.00	50.12	60s	P2




Figure 6-2 Wi-Fi Antenna Switch Normalized Time-averaged conducted TX power

Normalized P_{limit}	1.00
Highest normalized $(P1+P2)_{avg}$	0.548
Validation result: Pass	

FCC ID: BCGA3460	TAS CONNECTIVITY VALIDATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1C2509100080-02.BCG-R1	DUT Type: Tablet Device	Page 14 of 20

6.3 Device State Switch Conducted Power Measurements

This test is the conducted power measurement for change in device state from Wi-Fi Cell On to Wi-Fi Cell Off. The detailed setting is listed in Table 6-3. Figure 6-3 demonstrates the DUT's normalized conducted TX power, the normalized time-averaged conducted TX power behavior over time, and the normalized FCC limit of 1. As seen in these figures, the normalized time-averaged TX power does not exceed the normalized FCC limit of 1.

Table 6-3 TAS parameters setting for Device State Switching

Test Scenario	Technology/Band	Core/Antenna	Plimit [dBm]	Plimit [mW]	Pmax [dBm]	Pmax [mW]	Test Duration	Power Meter
Device State Switch	2.4 GHz Wi-Fi (Cell ON)	Core 0 (Ant 3a)	15.74	37.49	21.00	125.89	100s	P1
	2.4 GHz Wi-Fi (Cell OFF)	Core 0 (Ant 3a)	18.75	74.99	21.00	125.89	100s	P1




Figure 6-3 Device State Switch Normalized Time-averaged conducted TX power

Normalized P_{limit}	1.00
Highest normalized $P1_{avg}$	0.436
Validation result: Pass	

FCC ID: BCGA3460	TAS CONNECTIVITY VALIDATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1C2509100080-02.BCG-R1	DUT Type: Tablet Device	Page 15 of 20

7. EQUIPMENT LIST

Manufacturer	Model	Description	Cal Date	Cal Interval	Cal Due	Serial Number
Mini-Circuits	ZN2PD-63-S+	Power Splitter	CBT	N/A	CBT	UU1R602047
Mini-Circuits	ZN2PD-63-S+	Power Splitter	CBT	N/A	CBT	UU23301311
Pasternack	PE2088	Power Divider	CBT	N/A	CBT	N/A
Pasternack	PE2088	Power Divider	CBT	N/A	CBT	N/A
Mini-Circuits	ZUDC10-83-S+	Directional Coupler	CBT	N/A	CBT	2408
Mini-Circuits	ZUDC10-83-S+	Directional Coupler	CBT	N/A	CBT	2437
Micro-Tronics	HPM50107-G084	High Pass Filter	2/25/2025	Biennial	2/25/2027	HP7700-G084
Mini-Circuits	NLP-2950+	Low Pass Filter	7/3/2025	Annual	7/3/2026	UU19201507
API Weinschel, Inc.	8321	Programmable Attenuator Unit	CBT	N/A	CBT	839
Narda	4014C-6	4 - 8 GHz SMA 6 dB Directional Coupler	CBT	N/A	CBT	N/A
Pasternack	PE2208-6	Bidirectional Coupler	CBT	N/A	CBT	N/A
Rohde & Schwarz	NRP8SN	3-Path Dipole Power Sensor	4/29/2025	Biennial	4/29/2027	103632
Rohde & Schwarz	NRP8SN	3-Path Dipole Power Sensor	4/29/2025	Biennial	4/29/2027	103634
Rohde & Schwarz	NRP8SN	3-Path Dipole Power Sensor	2/21/2025	Biennial	2/21/2027	103499
Rohde & Schwarz	NRP8SN	3-Path Dipole Power Sensor	5/23/2025	Biennial	5/23/2027	104126

Notes:

1. CBT (Calibrated Before Testing). Prior to testing, the measurement paths containing a cable, amplifier, attenuator, coupler or filter were connected to a calibrated source (i.e. a signal generator) to determine the losses of the measurement path. The power meter offset was then adjusted to compensate for the measurement system losses. This level offset is stored within the power meter before measurements are made. This calibration verification procedure applies to the system verification and output power measurements. The calibrated reading is then taken directly from the power meter after compensation of the losses for all final power measurements.
2. Each equipment item is used solely within its respective calibration period.

FCC ID: BCGA3460	TAS CONNECTIVITY VALIDATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1C2509100080-02.BCG-R1	DUT Type: Tablet Device	Page 16 of 20

8. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES

For Conducted Power Measurements

List of Contributing Factors	Value [dB]	Distribution Function	Probability Distr. Divisor	Component Uncertainty [dB]
RF Power Sensor Accuracy	0.168	Normal (k=2)	2	0.084
Mismatch antenna port to receiver	0.643	U-Shaped	1.4142	0.455
Expanded Uncertainty				
95% Confidence Level			k=2	0.925

FCC ID: BCGA3460	TAS CONNECTIVITY VALIDATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1C2509100080-02.BCG-R1	DUT Type: Tablet Device	Page 17 of 20

9. CONCLUSION

This document proposes Wi-Fi TAS test scenarios and procedures and proves TAS algorithm can meet the FCC SAR regulation with the proposed test scenarios and procedures. The conducted power measurements in Chapter 6 show that TAS algorithm can maintain SAR over time below the FCC regulatory limits. Based on the provided measurement results, it is concluded that TAS algorithm can be validated for FCC compliance by the proposed test methodology.

FCC ID: BCGA3460	TAS CONNECTIVITY VALIDATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1C2509100080-02.BCG-R1	DUT Type: Tablet Device	Page 18 of 20

10. REFERENCES

- [1] Federal Communications Commission, ET Docket 93-62, Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of Radiofrequency Radiation, Aug. 1996.
- [2] ANSI/IEEE C95.1-2005, American National Standard safety levels with respect to human exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic fields, 3kHz to 300GHz, New York: IEEE, 2006.
- [3] ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992, American National Standard safety levels with respect to human exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic fields, 3kHz to 300GHz, New York: IEEE, Sept. 1992.
- [4] ANSI/IEEE C95.3-2002, IEEE Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic Fields - RF and Microwave, New York: IEEE, December 2002.
- [5] IEEE Standards Coordinating Committee 39 –Standards Coordinating Committee 34 – IEEE Std. 1528-2013, IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques.
- [6] NCRP, National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements, Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for RadioFrequency Electromagnetic Fields, NCRP Report No. 86, 1986. Reprinted Feb. 1995.
- [7] T. Schmid, O. Egger, N. Kuster, Automated E-field scanning system for dosimetric assessments, IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, Jan. 1996, pp. 105-113.
- [8] K. Pokovic, T. Schmid, N. Kuster, Robust setup for precise calibration of E-field probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies, ICECOM97, Oct. 1997, pp. 1 -124.
- [9] K. Pokovic, T. Schmid, and N. Kuster, E-field Probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids, Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, Croatia, June 23-25, 1996, pp. 172-175.
- [10] Schmid & Partner Engineering AG, Application Note: Data Storage and Evaluation, June 1998, p2.
- [11] V. Hombach, K. Meier, M. Burkhardt, E. Kuhn, N. Kuster, The Dependence of EM Energy Absorption upon Human Modeling at 900 MHz, IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44 no. 10, Oct. 1996, pp. 1865-1873.
- [12] N. Kuster and Q. Balzano, Energy absorption mechanism by biological bodies in the near field of dipole antennas above 300MHz, IEEE Transaction on Vehicular Technology, vol. 41, no. 1, Feb. 1992, pp. 17-23.
- [13] G. Hartsgrove, A. Kraszewski, A. Surowiec, Simulated Biological Materials for Electromagnetic Radiation Absorption Studies, University of Ottawa, Bioelectromagnetics, Canada: 1987, pp. 29-36.
- [14] Q. Balzano, O. Garay, T. Manning Jr., Electromagnetic Energy Exposure of Simulated Users of Portable Cellular Telephones, IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol. 44, no.3, Aug. 1995.
- [15] W. Gander, Computermathematick, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1992.
- [16] W.H. Press, S.A. Teukolsky, W.T. Vetterling, and B.P. Flannery, Numerical Recipes in C, The Art of Scientific Computing, Second edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992.

FCC ID: BCGA3460	TAS CONNECTIVITY VALIDATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1C2509100080-02.BCG-R1	DUT Type: Tablet Device	Page 19 of 20

- [17] N. Kuster, R. Kastle, T. Schmid, Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with known precision, IEEE Transaction on Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, May 1997, pp. 645-652.
- [18] CENELEC CLC/SC111B, European Prestandard (prENV 50166-2), Human Exposure to Electromagnetic Fields High-frequency: 10kHz-300GHz, Jan. 1995.
- [19] Prof. Dr. Niels Kuster, ETH, Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich, Dosimetric Evaluation of the Cellular Phone.
- [20] IEC 62209-1, Measurement procedure for the assessment of specific absorption rate of human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices - Part 1: Devices used next to the ear (Frequency range of 300 MHz to 6 GHz), July 2016.
- [21] Innovation, Science, Economic Development Canada RSS-102 Radio Frequency Exposure Compliance of Radiocommunication Apparatus (All Frequency Bands) Issue 5, March 2015.
- [22] Health Canada Safety Code 6 Limits of Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields in the Frequency Range from 3 kHz – 300 GHz, 2015
- [23] FCC SAR Test Procedures for 2G-3G Devices, Mobile Hotspot and UMPC Devices KDB Publications 941225, D01-D07
- [24] SAR Measurement Guidance for IEEE 802.11 Transmitters, KDB Publication 248227 D01
- [25] FCC SAR Considerations for Handsets with Multiple Transmitters and Antennas, KDB Publications 648474 D03-D04
- [26] FCC SAR Evaluation Considerations for Laptop, Notebook, Netbook and Tablet Computers, FCC KDB Publication 616217 D04
- [27] FCC SAR Measurement and Reporting Requirements for 100MHz – 6 GHz, KDB Publications 865664 D01-D02
- [28] FCC General RF Exposure Guidance and SAR Procedures for Dongles, KDB Publication 447498, D01-D02
- [29] Anexo à Resolução No. 533, de 10 de Setembro de 2009.
- [30] IEC 62209-2, Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices - Human models, instrumentation, and procedures - Part 2: Procedure to determine the specific absorption rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz), Mar. 2010.

FCC ID: BCGA3460	TAS CONNECTIVITY VALIDATION REPORT	Approved by: Technical Manager
Document S/N: 1C2509100080-02.BCG-R1	DUT Type: Tablet Device	Page 20 of 20