

SAR TEST REPORT

Specific Absorption Rate

Test Report No : MCCL-3-10-079

Product : Cellular/PCS GSM with Bluetooth

Model Name(s) : LG-A133 , A133

Manufacturer : LG Electronics, Inc.

Applicant : LG Electronics, Inc.

Application Type : Certification

Device Category : Licensed Portable Transmitter Held to Ear (PCE)

Standards : § 2.1093; FCC/OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C
[July 2001]

Date of Sample Receipt : April 07, 2010

Date of Issue : May 17, 2010

Test Device Serial No. : Pre-Production Sample [S/N: #1]

Test Result : PASS

SUMMARY

This wireless portable device has been shown to be capable of compliance for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) for uncontrolled environment/general population exposure limits specified in ANSI / IEEE C95.1(2005) and had been tested in accordance with the measurement procedures specified in FCC/OET bulletin 65 Supplement C (2001) , ANSI / IEEE 1528 – Dec. 2003 and in applicable Industry Canada Radio Standards specifications (RSS)

※ The test results in this test report apply only to sample(s) tested.



Issued under the authority of
E. S. Park / Technical Manager
MCCL



Reviewed by
H. S. Shim /Vice Technical Manager
MCCL



Prepared by
C. H. Jeong / Test Engineer
MCCL

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. TEST RESULT SUMMARY	3
2. DESCRIPTION OF THE DEVICE UNDER TEST.....	4
2.1 Antenna Description.....	4
2.2 Device Description.....	4
3. INTRODUCTION.....	5
4. SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM	6
4.1 SAR Measurement Setup.....	6
4.2 DASY4 E-Field Probe System.....	7
4.3 Phantom.....	9
4.4 Brain & Muscle Simulating Mixture Characterization	10
4.5 Device Holder for Transmitters.....	11
4.6 Validation Dipole	11
5. SAR MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE.....	12
6. DEFINITION OF REFERENCE POINT.....	13
6.1 EAR Reference Point.....	13
6.2 Handset Reference Points.....	14
7. TEST CONFIGURATION POSITIONS.....	15
7.1 Positioning for Cheek/Touch.....	15
7.2 Positioning for Ear / 15° Tilt.....	16
7.3 SAR Evaluation near the Mouth/Jaw Regions of the SAM Phantom.....	17
7.4 Body Holster /Belt Clip Configuration.....	18
8. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY.....	19
9. ANSI/IEEE C95.1-2005 RF EXPOSURE LIMITS	20
10. SYSTEM VERIFICATION.....	21
11. SAR Evaluation Considerations for Handsets with Multiple Transmitters and Antennas	24
12. RF CONDUCTED POWER	25
13. MEASUREMENT RESULTS	26
14. TEST EQUIPMENT	28
15. REFERENCES.....	29
APPENDIX A: Validation Test Data.....	30
APPENDIX B: SAR Test Data.....	36
APPENDIX C: Calibration Certificates.....	65

1. TEST RESULT SUMMARY

Model Name(s) : LG-A133
 Date of Test : May 06 ~ 07, 2010
 Date of Issue : May 17, 2010
 Address of Test Site : 60-39, Kasan-Dong, Kumchon-Gu, Seoul 153-801, Korea.
 Responsible Test Engineer : Eui-Soon Park
 Test Engineer : Chan-ho Jeong
 EUT Type : Cellular/PCS GSM with Bluetooth
 Tx Frequency : 824.20 ~ 848.80 MHz (GSM850)
 1850.20 ~ 1909.80 MHz (PCS1900)

 Rx Frequency : 869.20 ~ 893.80 MHz (GSM850)
 1930.20 ~ 1989.80 MHz (PCS1900)

 Transmit Output Power : GSM850: 32.5 dBm
 PCS1900: 29.5 dBm

Maximum Results Found During SAR Evaluation

1. Head Configuration

ANSI / IEEE C95.1(2005) - SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population					1.6 W/kg averaged over 1 gram			
Frequency		Mod.	Conducted Power (dBm)		Battery	Device Test Position	Antenna Position	SAR (W/kg)
MHz	Ch.		Start	End				
848.8	251	GSM 835	32.59	32.58	Standard	Mouth	Fixed	0.900

2. Body Worn Configuration

ANSI / IEEE C95.1(2005) - SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population					1.6 W/kg averaged over 1 gram			
Frequency		Mod.	Conducted Power (dBm)		Battery	Device Test Position	Antenna Position	SAR (W/kg)
MHz	Ch.		Start	End				
836.6	190	GPRS 850[4TX]	30.98	30.96	Standard	20mm [Rear]	Fixed	0.985

3. Measurement Uncertainty

Combine Standard Uncertainty	10.9
Extended Standard Uncertainty	21.8 (k=2% CONFIDENCE LEVEL)

2. DESCRIPTION OF THE DEVICE UNDER TEST

The FCC rules for evaluating portable devices for RF exposure compliance are contained in 47 CFR §2.1093. For purposes of RF exposure evaluation, a portable device is defined as a transmitting device designed to be used with any part of its radiating structure in direct contact with the user's body or within 1.5 centimeters of the body of a user or bystanders under normal operating conditions. This category of devices would include hand-held cellular and PCS telephones that incorporate the radiating antenna into the hand-piece and wireless transmitters that are carried next to the body. Portable devices are evaluated with respect to SAR limits for RF exposure. The applicable SAR limit for portable transmitters used by consumers is 1.6 watts/kg, which is averaged over any one gram of tissue defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube.

2.1 Antenna Description

Type :	Fixed
Location :	The inside of the device
Configuration :	Intenna Type Antenna

2.2 Device Description

Manufacturer :	LG Electronics, Inc.
FCC ID :	BEJA133
Trade Name :	LG
Model Name :	LG-A133 , A133
Serial No :	Pre-Production Sample [S/N: #1]
EUT Type :	Cellular/PCS GSM with Bluetooth
Mode(s) of Operation :	GSM 850 / PCS1900
Transmit Output Power :	GSM 850 : Level 5 (32.5 dBm) PCS 1900 : Level 0 (29.5 dBm)
Mode(s) of Operation :	GSM
Modulation Mode(s) :	GSM
Duty Cycle :	8.3 (GSM) / 4.15 (GPRS) / 2.77 (GPRS) / 2.075 (GPRS)
Transmitting Frequency Range :	824.20 ~ 848.80 MHz (GSM850) 1850.20 ~ 1909.80 MHz (PCS1900)
Battery Type :	Standard

3. INTRODUCTION

The FCC has adopted the guidelines for evaluating the environmental effects of radio frequency radiation in ET Docket 93-62 on Aug. 6, 1996 to protect the public and workers from the potential hazards of RF emissions due to FCC-regulated portable device.[1]

The safety limits used for the environmental evaluation measurements are based on the criteria published by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) For localized specific absorption rate (SAR) in IEEE/ANSI C95.1-2005 Standard for safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electronic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz. (c) 1992 by the institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., New York, New York 10017.[2] The measurement procedure described in IEEE/ANSI C95.3-2005 Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic Fields – RF and Microwave[3] is used for guidance in measuring SAR due to the RF radiation exposure from the Equipment Under Test (EUT). These criteria for SAR evaluation are similar to those recommended by the National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements (ICNIRP) in Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields,” ICNIRP Report No. 86 (c) ICNIRP, 1986, Bethesda, MD20814.[6] SAR is a measure of the rate of energy absorption due to exposure to an RF transmitting source. SAR values have been related to threshold levels for potential biological hazards.

SAR Definition

Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) is defined as the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dU) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dV) of a given density (ρ). it is also defined as the rate of rf energy absorption per unit mass at a point in an absorbing body. (see Fig. 2.1.)

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dU}{dm} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dU}{\rho dV} \right)$$

Figure 2.1 SAR Mathematical Equation

SAR is expressed in units of Watts per Kilogram (W/kg).

$$SAR = \sigma E^2 / \rho$$

Where:

- σ = conductivity of the tissue-simulant material (S/m)
- ρ = mass density of the tissue-simulant material (kg/m³)
- E = Total RMS electric field strength (V/m)

NOTE: The primary factors that control rate of energy absorption were found to be the wavelength of the incident field in relations to the dimensions and geometry of the irradiated organism, the orientation of the organism in relation to the polarity of field vectors, the presence of reflecting surfaces, and whether conductive contact is made by the organism with a ground plane.[6]

System Electronics


The DAE consists of a highly sensitive electrometer-grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16 bit AD-converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Transmission to the PC-card is accomplished through an optical Downlink for data and status information and an optical uplink for commands and clock lines. The mechanical probe mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sidewise probe contacts. They are also used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection. The robot uses its own controller with a built in VME-bus computer. The system is described in detail in [7].

4.2 DASY4 E-Field Probe System

The SAR measurements were conducted with the dosimetric probe ET3DV6, designed in the classical triangular configuration [7] (see Fig. 4.2) and optimized for dosimetric evaluation. The probe is constructed using the thick film technique; with printed resistive lines on ceramic substrates. The probe is equipped with an optical multifiber line ending at the front of the probe tip. It is connected to the EOC box in the robot arm and provides an automatic detection transmitter, the other half to a synchronized receiver. As the probe approach the surface, the reflection from the surface produces a coupling from the transmitting to the receiving fibers. This reflection increases first during the approach, reaches coupling is zero. The distance of the coupling maximum to the surface is probe angle. The DASY4 software reads the reflection during a software approach and looks for the maximum using a 2nd order fitting. The approach is stopped at reaching the maximum.

Probe Specifications

Construction:	Symmetrical design with triangular core Built-in optical fiber for surface detection system Built-in shielding against static charges PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g., DGBE)
Calibration:	Basic Broad Band Calibration: in air: 10-3000 MHz Conversion Factors (CF) for HSL 900 and HSL 1800 Additional CF for other liquids and frequencies upon request
Frequency:	10 MHz to 3 GHz; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 3 GHz)
Directivity:	± 0.2 dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis) ± 0.4 dB in HSL (rotation normal to probe axis)
Dynamic Range:	5 μ W/g to > 100mW/g; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB
Optical Surface	± 0.2 mm repeatability in air and clear liquids over
Detection:	diffuse reflecting surfaces
Dimensions:	Overall length: 330 mm (Tip: 16 mm) Tip diameter: 6.8 mm (Body: 12 mm) Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 2.7 mm
Application:	General dosimetric measurements up to 2.5GHz Compliance tests of mobile phones Fast automatic scanning in arbitrary phantoms



*Figure 4.2 Isotropic
E-Field Probe*

Probe Calibration Process

Dosimetric Assessment Procedure

Each probe is calibrated according to a dosimetric assessment procedure described in [8] with accuracy better than +/- 10%. The spherical isotropy was evaluated with the procedure described in [9] and found to be better than +/-0.25dB. The sensitivity parameters (NormX, NormY, NormZ), the diode compression parameter (DCP) and the conversion factor (ConvF) of the probe is tested.

Free Space Assessment

The free space E-field from amplified probe outputs is determined in a test chamber. This is performed in a TEM cell for frequencies below 1 GHz (see Fig. 4.3), and in a waveguide above 1 GHz for free space. For the free space calibration, the probe is placed in the volumetric center of the cavity at the proper orientation with the field. The probe is then rotated 360 degrees.

Temperature Assessment *

E-field temperature correlation calibration is performed in a flat phantom filled with the appropriate simulated brain tissue. The measured free space E-field in the medium correlates to temperature rise in a dielectric medium. For temperature correlation calibration a RF transparent thermistor-based temperature probe is used in conjunction with the E-field probe. (see Fig. 4.4)

$$SAR = C \frac{\Delta T}{\Delta t}$$

Where:

Δt = exposure time (30 seconds),

C = heat capacity of tissue (brain or muscle),

ΔT = temperature increase due to RF exposure.

SAR is proportional to $\Delta T/\Delta t$, the initial rate of tissue heating, before thermal diffusion takes place.

Now it's possible to quantify the electric field in the simulated tissue by equating the thermally derived SAR to the E- field;

$$SAR = \frac{|E|^2 \sigma}{\rho}$$

Where:

σ = simulated tissue conductivity,

ρ = Tissue density (1.25 g/cm³ for brain tissue)




Figure 4.3 E-Field and Temperature measurements at 900MHz [7]




Figure 4.4 E-Field and Temperature measurements at 1.9GHz [7]

4.3 Phantom

The SAM Twin Phantom V4.0 is constructed of the fiberglass shell integrated in a wooden table. The shape of the shell is based on data from an anatomical study designed to determine the maximum exposure in at least 90% of all users [11][12]. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right hand phone usage as well as body mounted usage at the flat phantom region. A cover prevents the evaporation of the liquid. Reference markings on the phantom allow the complete setup of all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids by manually teaching three points in the robot. (see Fig. 4.5)



Figure 4.5 SAM Twin Phantom

Phantom Specification

Construction:	The shell corresponds to the specifications of the Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM) phantom defined in IEEE 1528-200X, CENELEC 50361 and IEC 62209. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right hand phone usage as well as body mounted usage at the flat phantom region. A cover prevents evaporation of the liquid. Reference markings on the phantom allow the complete setup of all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids by teaching three points with the robot.
Shell Thickness:	2 ± 0.2 mm; Center ear point: 6 ± 0.2 mm
Filling:	Volume Approx. 25 liters
Dimensions:	Height: adjustable feet; Length: 1000 mm; Width: 500 mm

4.4 Brain & Muscle Simulating Mixture Characterization

The brain and muscle mixtures consist of a viscous gel using hydroxethylcellulose(HEC) gelling agent and saline solution (see Table 4.1). Preservation with a bacteriacide is added and visual inspection is made to make sure air bubbles are not trapped during the mixing process. The mixture is calibrated to obtain proper dielectric constant (permittivity) and conductivity of the desired tissue. The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by the IEEE SCC-34/SC-2 have been specified in P1528 are derived from the issue dielectric parameters computed from the 4-Cole-Cole equations. The mixture characterizations used for the brain and muscle tissue simulation liquids are according to the data by C. Gabriel and G. Hartagrove [13]. (see Table 4.2)

INGREDIENTS (% by weight)	900MHz	1800MHz	1900MHz	2450MHz
De-ionized water	40.92	52.64	54.90	45.00
DGBE	-	47.00	44.94	55.00
SUGAR	56.50	-	-	-
SALT	1.48	0.36	0.18	-
BACTERIACIDE	0.10	-	-	-
HEC	1.00	-	-	-
Dielectric Constant Target	41.50	40.00	40.00	38.20
Conductivity (S/m) Target	0.97	1.40	1.40	1.80

Table. 4.1 Composition of the Tissue Equivalent Matter

4.5 Device Holder for Transmitters

In combination with the SAM Twin Phantom V4.0, the Mounting Device (see Fig. 4.6) enables the rotation of the accurately, and repeatably be positioned according to the IEC, IEEE, CENELEC, FCC specifications. The device holder can be locked at different phantom locations (left head, right head, flat phantom).

*Note : A simulating human hand is not used due to the complex anatomical and geometrical structure of the hand that may produce infinite number of configurations [12]. To produce the worst-case condition (the hand absorbs antenna output power), the hand is omitted during the tests.



Figure. 4.6 Device Holder

4.6 Validation Dipole

The reference dipole should have a return loss better than -20 dB (measured in the setup) at the resonant frequency to reduce the uncertainty in the power measurement.

Validation Dipole Specifications

Construction:	Symmetrical dipole with $1/4$ balun. Enables measurement of feedpoint impedance with NWA. Matched for use near flat phantoms filled with head simulating solutions. Includes distance holder and tripod adaptor.
Calibration:	Calibrated SAR value for specified position and input power at the flat phantom in simulating solution
Frequency:	835 MHz, 1900 MHz
Return Loss:	> 20 dB at specified validation position
Power Capability:	> 100 W ($f < 1$ GHz); > 40 W ($f > 1$ GHz)
Dimensions:	D835V2: dipole length: 161 mm; overall height: 330 mm D1900V2: dipole length: 68 mm; overall height: 300 mm




Figure 4.7 Validation Dipole

5. SAR MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE

The evaluation was performed using the following procedure:

- 1) The SAR measurement was taken at a selected spatial reference point to monitor power variations during testing. This fixed location point was measured and used as a reference value.
- 2) The SAR distribution at the exposed side of the head was measured at a distance of 3.9mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covered the entire dimension of the head and the horizontal grid spacing was 15mm x 15mm.
- 3) Based on the area scan data, the area of the maximum absorption was determined by spline interpolation. Around this point, a volume of 32mm x 32mm x 34mm (fine resolution volume scan, zoom scan) was assessed by measuring 5 x 5 x 7 points. On this basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value was evaluated with the following procedure:
 - a. The data at the surface was extrapolated, since the center of the dipoles is 2.7mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 1.2mm. The extrapolation was based on a least square algorithm [15]. A polynomial of the fourth order was calculated through the points in z-axes. This polynomial was then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.
 - b. The maximum interpolated value was searched with a straight-forward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1g or 10g) were computed using the 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-spline is composed of three one-dimensional splines with the "Not a knot" condition (in x, y, and z directions) [15][16]. The volume was integrated with the trapezoidal algorithm. One thousand points (10 x 10 x 10) were interpolated to calculate the average.
 - c. All neighboring volumes were evaluated until no neighboring volume with a higher average value was found.
- 4) The SAR reference value, at the same location as procedure #1, was re-measured. If the value changed by more than 5%, the evaluation is repeated.

6. DEFINITION OF REFERENCE POINT

6.1 EAR Reference Point

Figure 6.1 shows the front, back and side views of the SAM Twin Phantom. The point “M” is the reference point for the center of the mouth, “LE” is the left ear reference point (ERP), and “RE” is the right ERP. The ERPs are 15mm posterior to the entrance to the ear canal (EEC) along the B-M line (Back-Mouth), as shown in Figure 6.2. The plane passing through the two ear canals and M is defined as the Reference Plane. The line N-F (Neck-Front) is perpendicular to the reference plane and passing through the RE (or LE) is called the Reference Pivoting Line (see Fig. 6.3). Line B-M is perpendicular to the N-F line. Both N-F and B-M lines are marked on the external phantom shell to facilitate handset positioning [5].



Figure 6.1 Front, back and side view of SAM Twin Phantom




Figure 6.2 Close-Up, side view of ERP




Figure 6.3 Side view of the phantom showing relevant markings

6.2 Handset Reference Points

Two imaginary lines on the handset were established: the vertical centerline and the horizontal line. The test device was placed in a normal operating position with the “test device reference point” located along the “vertical centerline” on the front of the device aligned to the “ear reference point” (see Fig. 6.4). The “test device reference point” was then located at the same level as the center of the ear reference point. The test device was positioned so that the “vertical centerline” was bisecting the front surface of the handset at its top and bottom edges, positioning the “ear reference point” on the outer surface of the both the left and right head phantoms on the ear reference point.




Figure 6.4 Handset Vertical Center & Horizontal Line Reference Points

7. TEST CONFIGURATION POSITIONS

7.1 Positioning for Cheek/Touch

- 1) Ready the handset for talk operation, if necessary. For example, for handsets with a cover piece, open the cover. (If the phone can also be used with the cover closed, both configurations must be tested.)
- 2) Define two imaginary lines on the handset: the vertical centerline and the horizontal line. The vertical centerline passes through two points on the front side of the handset: the midpoint of the width w_t of the handset at the level of the acoustic output (point A on Fig. 6.4), and the midpoint of the width w_b of the bottom of the handset (point B). The horizontal line is perpendicular to the vertical centerline and passes through the center of the acoustic output (see Fig. 6.4). The two lines intersect at point A. Note that for many handsets, point A coincides with the center of the acoustic output. However, the acoustic output may be located elsewhere on the horizontal line. Also note that the vertical centerline is not necessarily parallel to the front face of the handset (see Fig. 6.4), especially for clamshell handsets, handsets with lip pieces, and other irregularly-shaped handsets.
- 3) Position the handset close to the surface of the phantom touch that point A is on the (virtual) extension of the line passing through points RE and LE on the phantom (see Fig. 7.1), such that the plane defined by the vertical center line and the horizontal line of the phone is approximately parallel to the sagittal plane of the phantom.
- 4) Translate the handset towards the phantom along the line passing through RE and LE until the handset touches the ear.
- 5) While maintaining the handset in this plane, rotate it around the LE-RE line until the vertical centerline is in the plane normal to MB-NF including the line MB (called the reference plane).
- 6) Rotate the phone around the vertical centerline until the phone (horizontal line) is symmetrical with respect to the line NF.
- 7) While maintaining the vertical centerline in the reference plane, keeping point A on the line passing through RE and LE, and maintaining the phone contact with the ear, rotate the handset about the line NF until any point on the handset is in contact with a phantom point below the pinna (cheek). (see Fig. 7.1) The physical angles of rotation should be noted.




Figure 7.1 Front, Side and Top View of Cheek/Touch Position

7.2 Positioning for Ear / 15° Tilt

With the test device aligned in the “Cheek/Touch Position”:

- 1) While maintaining the orientation of the phone retract the phone parallel to the reference plane far enough to enable a rotation of the phone by 15 degree.
- 2) Rotate the phone around the horizontal line by 15 degree.
- 3) While maintaining the orientation of the phone, move the phone parallel to the reference plane until any part of the phone touches the head. (In this position, point A will be located on the line RE-LE). The tilted position is obtained when the contact is on the pinna. If the contact is at any location other than the pinna, the angle of the phone shall be reduced. The tilted position is obtained if any part of the phone is in contact of the ear as well as a second part of the phone is contact with the head. (see Fig. 7.2)




Figure 7.2 Front, Side and Top View of Ear/15 Tilt Position




Figure 7.3 Side view of the phantom showing relevant markings

7.3 SAR Evaluation near the Mouth/Jaw Regions of the SAM Phantom

Antennas located near the bottom of a phone may require SAR measurements around the mouth and jaw regions of the SAM head phantom. This typically applies to clam-shell style phones that are generally longer in the unfolded normal use positions or to certain older style long rectangular phones. It has been known for some time that there are SAR measurement difficulties in these regions of the SAM phantom. SAR probes are calibrated in tissue equivalent liquids with sufficient separation between the probe sensors and nearby physical boundaries to ensure scattering does not affect probe calibration. When the probe tip is moved into tight regions with multiple boundaries surrounding its sensors, probe calibration and measurement accuracy can become questionable. In addition, these measurement location often require a probe to be tilted at steep angles, where it may no longer comply with calibration requirements and measurement protocols, or satisfy the required measurement uncertainty. In some situations it is not feasible to tilt the probe or rotate the phantom, as suggested by measurement standards, to conduct these measurements.




Figure 7.4 SAR Scans near the Jaw / Mouth

In order to ensure there is sufficient conservativeness for ensuring compliance until practical solution are available, additional measurement considerations are necessary to address these technical difficulties.

When measurements are required near the mouth, nose, jaw of similar tight regions of the SAM phantom, area or zoom scans are often unable to fully enclose the peak SAR location as required by IEEE 1528 and Supplement C, due to probe orientation and positioning difficulties. Even when limited measurements are possible, the test results could be questionable due to probe calibration and measurement uncertainty issues. Under these circumstances, the following procedures apply, adopted from the FCC guidance on SAR handsets document publication 648474. The SAR required in these regions of SAM should be measured using a flat phantom. Rectangular shaped phones should be positioned with its bottom edge positioned from the flat phantom with the same distance provided by the cheek touching position using SAM. The ear reference point (ERP, as defined for SAM) of the phone should be positioned ½Cm from the flat phantom shell. Clam-shell phones should be positioned with the hinge against a smooth side wall. The lower half of the phone is secured in the test device holder at a fixed distance below the flat phantom determined by the minimum separation along the lower edge of the phone in the cheek touching position using SAM. Any case with substantial variation in separation distance along the lower edge of a clam shell is discussed with FCC for best-to-use methodology.

The flat phantom data should allow test results to be compared uniformly across measurement systems, until suitable solutions are available in measurement standards to address certain probe calibration and positioning issues, due to implementation differences for stand-alone SAR evaluation in tight regions of the SAM phantom, where measurement is not feasible or test results can be questionable due to probe calibration and accessibility issues. Details on device positioning and photos showing how separation distances are determined are included in the SAR report photographs. SAR for other regions of the head must be evaluated using SAR; therefore, a phone with antennas at different locations may require flat and SAR phantom evaluation for the different antennas.

7.4 Body Holster /Belt Clip Configurations

Body-worn operation configurations are tested with the belt-clips and holsters attached to the device and positioned against a flat phantom in a normal use configuration. (see Fig. 7.4) A device with a headset output is tested with a headset connected to the device. Body dielectric parameters are used.

Accessories for Body-worn operation configurations are divided into two categories: those that do not contain metallic components and those that do contain metallic components. When multiple accessories that do not contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device is tested with only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body. Then multiple accessories that contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device is tested with each accessory that contains a unique metallic component. If multiple accessories share an identical metallic component (i.e. the same metallic belt-clip used with different holsters with no other metallic components) only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body is tested.

Body-worn accessories may not always be supplied or available as options for some devices intended to be authorized for body-worn use. In this case, a test configuration where a separation distance between the back of the device and the flat phantom is used. All test position spacings are documented.

Transmitters that are designed to operate in front of a person's face, as in push-to-talk configurations, are tested for SAR compliance is tested with the accessory(ies), including headsets and microphones, attached to the device and positioned against a flat phantom in a normal use configuration.

In all case SAR measurements are performed to investigate the worst case positioning. Worst-case positioning is then documented and used to perform Body SAR testing.



Figure 7.4 Body Holster Configuration

8. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

$$U(y) = \text{root} \left[(C_1^2 U_{pc}^2 / d_1) + (U_{al}^2) + (U_{hi}^2) + (C_4^2 U_{be}^2) + (C_5^2 U_{li}^2) + (C_6^2 U_{dl}^2) + (C_7^2 U_{re}^2 / d_7) + (C_8^2 U_{rt}^2) + (C_9^2 U_{it}^2) + (C_{10}^2 U_{ac}^2) \right. \\ \left. + (C_{11}^2 U_{pm}^2) + (C_{12}^2 U_{pp}^2) + (C_{13}^2 U_{ei}^2) + (C_{14}^2 U_{pu}^2) + (C_{15}^2 U_{\alpha}^2) + (C_{16}^2 U_{lc}^2 / d_{16}) + (C_{17}^2 U_{lp}^2) + (C_{18}^2 U_{lp}^2 / d_{18}) \right]$$

	Description	Unc.	Prob. Dist.	Divider(d)	Ci (1g)	Std. Unc. (1g)	Veff
Measure. Equipment	U(pc) Probe Calibration	± 5.9%	Normal	1	1	± 5.9%	∞
	U(al) Axial Isotropy	± 4.7%	Rectan.	Root 3	0.7	± 1.9%	∞
	U(hi) Hemispherical Isotropy	± 9.6%	Rectan.	Root 3	0.7	± 3.9%	∞
	U(be) Boundary Effect	± 1.0%	Rectan.	Root 3	1	± 0.6%	∞
	U(li) Linearity	± 4.7%	Rectan.	Root 3	1	± 2.7%	∞
	U(dl) Detection Limits	± 1.0%	Rectan.	Root 3	1	± 0.6%	∞
	U(re) Readout Electronics	± 0.3%	Normal	1	1	± 0.3%	∞
	U(rt) Response Time	± 0.8%	Rectan.	Root 3	1	± 0.5%	∞
	U(it) Integration Time	± 2.6%	Rectan.	Root 3	1	± 1.5%	∞
	U(an) RF Ambient Conditions-Noise	± 3.0%	Rectan.	Root 3	1	± 1.7%	∞
	U(ar) RF Ambient Conditions-Reflection	± 3.0%	Rectan.	Root 3	1	± 1.7%	∞
	U(pm) Probe Positioner Mechanical	± 0.4%	Rectan.	Root 3	1	± 0.2%	∞
	U(pp) Probe Positioning w/ Phantom	± 2.9%	Rectan.	Root 3	1	± 1.7%	∞
	U(ei) Extrapolation and Integration	± 1.0%	Rectan.	Root 3	1	± 0.6%	∞
Test Sample	U(dp) Device Positioning	± 2.8%	Normal	1	1	± 2.8%	35
	U(dh) Device Holder Uncertainty	± 3.6%	Normal	1	1	± 3.6%	5
	U(op) Drift of Output Power	± 5.0%	Rectan.	Root 3	1	± 2.9%	∞
Physical Parameter	U(pu) Phantom Uncertainty	± 4.0%	Rectan.	Root 3	1	± 2.3%	∞
	U(ct) Liquid Conductivity (Target)	± 5.0%	Rectan.	Root 3	0.64	± 1.8%	∞
	U(lc) Liquid Conductivity (Measurement)	± 2.5%	Normal	1	0.64	± 1.6%	∞
	U(lp) Liquid Permittivity (Target)	± 5.0%	Rectan.	Root 3	0.6	± 1.7%	∞
	U(lp) Liquid Permittivity (Measurement)	± 2.5%	Normal	1	0.6	± 1.5%	∞
Uc(y) Combined	± 10.9 %						
Expanded	± 21.8 % (k =2)						

Table 8.1 Worst-Case uncertainty budget for DASY4 assessed according to IEC 62209-1.
The budget is valid for the frequency range 300MHz-3GHz and represents a worst-case analysis.

9. ANSI/IEEE C95.1 –2005 RF EXPOSURE LIMITS

Uncontrolled Environment

UNCONTROLLED ENVIRONMENTS are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure. The general population/uncontrolled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Members of the general public would come under this category when exposure is not employment-related; for example, in the case of a wireless transmitter that exposes persons in its vicinity.

Controlled Environment

CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENTS are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by persons who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation). In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment, who have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. This exposure category is also applicable when the exposure is of a transient nature due to incidental passage through a location where the exposure levels may be higher than the general population/uncontrolled limits, but the exposed person is fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

	UNCONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT General Population (W/kg) or (mW/g)	CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT General Population (W/kg) or (mW/g)
SPATIAL PEAK SAR¹ Brain	1.60	8.00
SPATIAL PEAK SAR² Whole Body	0.08	0.40
SPATIAL PEAK SAR³ Hands, Feet, Ankles, Wrists	4.00	20.00

Table 9.1 Safety Limits for Partial Body Exposure [2]

NOTE:

- 1 The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 1 gram of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.
- 2 The Spatial Average value of the SAR averaged over the whole body.
- 3 The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 10 grams of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 10 grams of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube)

10. SYSTEM VERIFICATION

Tissue Verification

MEASURED TISSUE PARAMETERS				
Tissue	835MHz Brain		1900MHz Brain	
Date	05/06/2010		05/07/2010	
Liquid Temp (°C)	21.8		21.8	
Liquid Depth (mm)	150 ± 1		150 ± 1	
Parameters	Target	Measured	Target	Measured
Dielectric Constant: ϵ	41.5	41.4	40.0	40.5
Conductivity: σ	0.90	0.885	1.40	1.40
Deviation (%)	ϵ :-0.24 σ :-1.66		ϵ :+1.25 σ :0.00	

Table 10.1 Simulated Tissue Verification

MEASURED TISSUE PARAMETERS				
Tissue	835MHz Muscle		1900MHz Muscle	
Date	05/06/2010		05/07/2010	
Liquid Temp (°C)	21.8		21.8	
Liquid Depth (mm)	150 ± 1		150 ± 1	
Parameters	Target	Measured	Target	Measured
Dielectric Constant: ϵ	55.2	56.6	53.3	55.0
Conductivity: σ	0.97	0.96	1.52	1.51
Deviation (%)	ϵ :+2.53 σ :-1.03		ϵ :+3.18 σ :-0.65	

Table 10.2 Simulated Tissue Verification

Test System Validation

Prior to assessment, the system is verified to the $\pm 10\%$ of the specifications at 835MHz, 1900MHz, by using the system validation kit(s). (Graphic Plots Attached)

SYSTEM DIPOLE VALIDATION TARGET & MEASURED						
Tissue	System Validation Kit	Date	Liquid Temp (°C)	Targeted SAR _{1g} (mW/g)	Measured SAR _{1g} (mW/g)	Deviation (%)
835MHz Brain	D835V2, S/N: 471	05/06/2010	21.8	9.66	10.08	+4.34
1900MHz Brain	D1900V2, S/N: 5d017	05/07/2010	21.8	40.5	38.48	-4.98

Table 10.3 System Validation






Figure 10.1 Dipole Validation Test Setup

835 MHz Liquid Depth



1900 MHz Liquid Depth



11. SAR Evaluation Considerations for Handsets with Multiple Transmitters and Antennas

11.1 SAR Evaluation Considerations

These procedures were followed according to FCC "SAR Evaluation Considerations for Handsets with Multiple Transmitters and Antennas", February 2008. The procedures are applicable to phones with built-in unlicensed transmitters, such as 802.11 a/b/g and Bluetooth devices.

	2.45	5.15 - 5.35	5.47 - 5.85	GHz
P_{Ref}	12	6	5	mW
Device output power should be rounded to the nearest mW to compare with values specified in this table.				

Table. 11.1 Output Power Thresholds for Unlicensed Transmitters

	Individual Transmitter	Simultaneous Transmission
Licensed Transmitters	<u>Routine evaluation required</u>	<u>SAR not required:</u> <u>Unlicensed only</u> - when stand-alone 1-g SAR is not required and antenna is ≥ 5 cm from other antennas <u>Licensed & Unlicensed</u> - when the sum of the 1-g SAR is < 1.6 W/kg for all simultaneous transmitting antennas - when SAR to peak location separation ratio of simultaneous transmitting antenna pair is < 0.3 <u>SAR required:</u> <u>Licensed & Unlicensed</u> antenna pairs with SAR to peak location separation ratio ≥ 0.3 ; test is only required for the configuration that results in the highest SAR in stand-alone configuration for each wireless mode and exposure condition <u>Note: simultaneous transmission exposure conditions for head and body can be different for different style phones; therefore, different test requirements may apply</u>
Unlicensed Transmitters	<u>When there is no simultaneous transmission –</u> - output ≤ 60 f: SAR not required - output > 60 f: stand-alone SAR required <u>When there is simultaneous transmission –</u> <u>Stand-alone SAR not required when</u> - output $\leq 2 \cdot P_{Ref}$ and antenna is ≥ 5.0 cm from other antennas - output $\leq P_{Ref}$ and antenna is ≥ 2.5 cm from other antennas - output $\leq P_{Ref}$ and antenna is < 2.5 cm from other antennas, each with either output power $\leq P_{Ref}$ or 1-g SAR < 1.2 W/kg <u>Otherwise stand-alone SAR is required</u> <u>When stand-alone SAR is required</u> - test SAR on highest output channel for each wireless mode and exposure condition - if SAR for highest output channel is $> 50\%$ of SAR limit, evaluate all channels according to normal procedures	
Jaw, Mouth and Nose	<u>Flat phantom SAR required</u> - when measurement is required in tight regions of SAM and it is not feasible or the results can be questionable due to probe tilt, calibration, positioning and orientation issues - position rectangular and clam-shell phones according to flat phantom procedures and conduct SAR measurements for these specific locations	When simultaneous transmission SAR testing is required, contact the FCC Laboratory for interim guidance.

Table. 11.2 SAR Evaluation Requirements for Cellphones with Multiple Transmitters

FCC ID: BEJA133

BT Max RF Output Power : -1.72 dBm(0.67 mW)

Antenna separation distance between Main and BT: 3.5cm

Because the conducted output power level of the BT transmitter is less than P_{Ref} , and the BT antenna is more than 2.5 cm from the Main antenna, neither simultaneous SAR nor stand-alone BT SAR are required for the EUT.

12. RF CONDUCTED POWER

Power measurements were performed using a base station simulator under digital average power

Average Output Power Measurement for FCC ID: BEJA133

Band	Channel	Voice	GPRS Data			
		GSM (dBm)	GPRS 1 TX Slot (dBm)	GPRS 2 TX Slot (dBm)	GPRS 3 TX Slot (dBm)	GPRS 4 TX Slot (dBm)
GSM 850	128	32.54	32.52	32.43	32.39	30.93
	190	32.58	32.55	32.48	32.46	30.98
	251	32.59	32.56	32.47	32.43	30.99
GSM 1900	512	29.47	29.35	29.34	29.32	27.79
	661	29.46	29.31	29.25	29.24	27.74
	810	29.54	29.34	29.32	29.32	27.77

12.1 GSM Conducted Output Powers

13. MEASUREMENT RESULTS (Continued)

Measurement Results

ANSI / IEEE C95.1- 2005 - SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population						Brain 1.6 W/kg averaged over 1 gram		
MEASUREMENT RESULTS (Head SAR)								
Frequency		Mod.	Conducted Power (dBm)		Battery	Device Test Position	Antenna Position	SAR (W/kg)
MHz	Ch.		Start	End				
836.6	190	GSM 850	32.58	32.57	Standard	Right Touch	Fixed	0.223
836.6	190	GSM 850	32.58	32.55	Standard	Right Tilt	Fixed	0.027
836.6	190	GSM 850	32.58	32.56	Standard	Left Touch	Fixed	0.228
836.6	190	GSM 850	32.58	32.55	Standard	Left Tilt	Fixed	0.031
836.6	190	GSM 850	32.58	32.57	Standard	Mouth	Fixed	0.888
824.4	128	GSM 850	32.54	32.52	Standard	Mouth	Fixed	0.745
848.8	251	GSM 850	32.59	32.58	Standard	Mouth	Fixed	0.900
1880.0	661	PCS 1900	29.46	29.44	Standard	Right Touch	Fixed	0.313
1880.0	661	PCS 1900	29.46	29.43	Standard	Right Tilt	Fixed	0.106
1880.0	661	PCS 1900	29.46	29.45	Standard	Left Touch	Fixed	0.391
1880.0	661	PCS 1900	29.46	29.44	Standard	Left Tilt	Fixed	0.074
1880.0	661	PCS 1900	29.46	29.42	Standard	Mouth	Fixed	0.651

1. The test data reported are the worst-case SAR value with the position set in a typical configuration. Test procedures used are according to FCC/OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C [July 2001].
2. All modes of operation were investigated, and worst-case results are reported.
3. Battery is fully charged for all readings. Standard batteries are the only options.
4. Tissue parameters and temperatures are listed on the SAR plots.
5. Justification for reduced test configurations: Per FCC/OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C [July 2001], if the SAR measured at the middle channel for each test configuration (left, right, cheek/touch, tilt/ear, extended and retracted) is at least 3.0dB lower than the SAR limit, testing at the high and low channels is optional for such test configuration(s).



Eui - Soon Park
E. S. Park / Technical Manager
MCCL

13. MEASUREMENT RESULTS

Measurement Results

ANSI / IEEE C95.1- 2005 - SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population					Muscle 1.6 W/kg averaged over 1 gram			
MEASUREMENT RESULTS (Body SAR)								
Frequency		Mod.	Conducted Power (dBm)		Battery	Device Test Position	Antenna Position	SAR (W/kg)
MHz	Ch.		Start	End				
836.6	190	GPRS 850[4TX]	30.98	30.97	Standard	20mm [Front]	Fixed	0.504
836.6	190	GPRS 850[4TX]	30.98	30.96	Standard	20mm [Rear]	Fixed	0.985
836.6	190	GPRS 850[3TX]	32.46	32.44	Standard	20mm [Rear]	Fixed	0.866
836.6	190	GPRS 850[2TX]	32.48	32.46	Standard	20mm [Rear]	Fixed	0.569
836.6	190	GPRS 850[1TX]	32.55	32.53	Standard	20mm [Rear]	Fixed	0.288
824.4	128	GPRS 850[4TX]	30.93	30.92	Standard	20mm [Rear]	Fixed	0.801
848.8	251	GPRS 850[4TX]	30.99	30.97	Standard	20mm [Rear]	Fixed	0.972
1880.0	661	GPRS 1900[4TX]	27.74	27.72	Standard	20mm [Front]	Fixed	0.162
1880.0	661	GPRS 1900[4TX]	27.74	27.73	Standard	20mm [Rear]	Fixed	0.431
1880.0	661	GPRS 1900[3TX]	29.24	29.21	Standard	20mm [Rear]	Fixed	0.461
1880.0	661	GPRS 1900[2TX]	29.25	29.23	Standard	20mm [Rear]	Fixed	0.312
1880.0	661	GPRS 1900[1TX]	29.31	29.30	Standard	20mm [Rear]	Fixed	0.157

1. The test data reported are the worst-case SAR value with the position set in a typical configuration. Test procedures used are according to FCC/OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C [July 2001].
2. All modes of operation were investigated, and worst-case results are reported.
3. Battery is fully charged for all readings. Standard batteries are the only options.
4. Tissue parameters and temperatures are listed on the SAR plots.
5. Justification for reduced test configurations: Per FCC/OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C [July 2001], if the SAR measured at the middle channel for each test configuration (left, right, cheek/touch, tilt/ear, extended and retracted) is at least 3.0dB lower than the SAR limit, testing at the high and low channels is optional for such test configuration(s).
6. GPRS Multi-slot Class (12) : 4 Tx slots tested



Eui - Soon Park
E. S. Park / Technical Manager
MCCL

14. TEST EQUIPMENT

Equipment List and Calibration Lab No.2

Name of Equipment	Manufacturer	Model Type	Serial Number	Cal. Due date
Robot	Stäubli	RX90BL	507PA1	N/A
SAM Twin Phantom	SPEAG	V4.0	TP-1117	N/A
SAM Twin Phantom	SPEAG	V4.0	TP-1151	N/A
DAE	SPEAG	DAE4	534	02/04/11
E-Field Probe	SPEAG	ET3DV6	1729	01/27/11
Validation Dipole 835MHz	SPEAG	D835V2	471	01/19/11
Validation Dipole 1900MHz	SPEAG	D1900V2	5d017	07/20/11
S-Parameter Network Analyzer	Agilent	8753ES	MY4002948	06/22/10
Dielectric Probe Kit	Agilent	85070D	US01440173	N/A
Signal Generator	Agilent	E4421B	MY41000199	03/03/11
High Power RF Amplifier	EM Power	BBS3Q7ECK	1014	03/03/11
Dual Direction Coupler	Agilent	778D-012	50344	06/22/10
EPM-Series Power Meter	Agilent	E4419B	GB39290585	04/12/11
Power Sensor	Agilent	8481A	MY41092723	04/09/11
Power Sensor	Agilent	8481A	US39210216	04/09/11
Attenuator	Agilent	8491A	59049	03/03/11
Low Pass Filter 1.5 GHz	Dymstec	LA-15N	–	N/A
Low Pass Filter 3.0 GHz	Dymstec	LA-30N	–	N/A
Thermometer/Hygrometer	SATO	SK-L200TH	8440587	06/26/11
Wireless Communication Test	Agilent	E5515C	GB4400522	03/02/11

Table 14.1 Test Equipment List and Calibration

NOTE:

The E-field probe was calibrated by SPEAG, by waveguide technique procedure. Dipole Validation measurement is performed by LG Electronics. before each test. The brain simulating material is calibrated by LG Electronics using the dielectric probe system and network analyzer to determine the conductivity and permittivity (dielectric constant) of the brain-equivalent material.

15. REFERENCES

- [1] Product standard to demonstrate the compliance of mobile phone with the basic restriction related to human exposure electromagnetic(300MHz – 3GHz), CENELEC EN 50360, July 2001.
- [2] Basic standard for the measurement of Specific Absorption Rate related to human exposure to electromagnetic fields from mobile phone(300MHz – 3GHz), CENELEC EN 50361, July 2001.
- [3] Council Recommendations 1999/519/EC on the limitations of exposure of the general public to electromagnetic fields (300MHz – 3GHz) annex II
- [4] International Commission on Non-Ionising Radiation Protection (1998), Guidelines for limiting exposure in time-varying electric, magnetic, and electromagnetic field (up to 300 GHz). Health Physical 74, 494-522.
- [5] IEEE Standards Coordinating Committee 34 – IEEE Std. 1528 - 2003, Draft Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Body Due to Wireless Communications Devices: Experimental Techniques.
- [6] NCRP, National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements, Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, NCRP Report No. 86, 1986. Reprinted Feb. 1995.
- [7] T. Schmid, O. Egger, N. Kuster, Automated E-field scanning system for dosimetric assessments, IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, Jan. 1996, pp. 105-113.
- [8] K. Pokovic, T. Schmid, N. Kuster, Robust setup for precise calibration of E-field probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies, ICECOM97, Oct. 1997, pp. 120-124.
- [9] K. Pokovic, T. Schmid, and N. Kuster, E-field Probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids, Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, Croatia, June 23-25, 1996, pp. 172-175.
- [10] Schmid & Partner Engineering AG, Application Note: Data Storage and Evaluation, June 1998, p2.
- [11] V. Hombach, K. Meier, M. Burkhardt, E. Kuhn, N. Kuster, The Dependence of EM Energy Absorption upon Human Head Modeling at 900 MHz, IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44 no. 10, Oct. 1996, pp. 1865-1873.
- [12] N. Kuster and Q. Balzano, Energy absorption mechanism by biological bodies in the near field of dipole antennas above 300MHz, IEEE Transaction on Vehicular Technology, vol. 41, no. 1, Feb. 1992, pp. 17-23.
- [13] G. Hartsgrrove, A. Kraszewski, A. Surowiec, Simulated Biological Materials for Electromagnetic Radiation Absorption Studies, University of Ottawa, Bioelectromagnetics, Canada: 1987, pp. 29-36.
- [14] Q. Balzano, O. Garay, T. Manning Jr., Electromagnetic Energy Exposure of Simulated Users of Portable Cellular Telephones, IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol. 44, no.3, Aug. 1995.
- [15] W. Gander, Computermathematick, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1992.
- [16] W.H. Press, S.A. Teukolsky, W.T. Vetterling, and B.P. Flannery, Numerical Recepies in C, The Art of Scientific Computing, Second edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992.
- [17] N. Kuster, R. Kastle, T. Schmid, Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with known precision, IEEE Transaction on Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, May 1997, pp. 645-652.
- [18] CENELEC CLC/SC111B, European Prestandard (prENV 50166-2), Human Exposure to Electromagnetic Fields High-frequency: 10kHz-300GHz, Jan. 1995.
- [19] Prof. Dr. Niels Kuster, ETH, Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich, Dosimetric Evaluation of the Cellular Phone.

APPENDIX A: Validation Test Data




Figure 1 835 MHz Dipole Validation Test Setup



Figure 2 1900 MHz Dipole Validation Test Setup

LG Electronics Inc.

DUT: Dipole 835MHz;Type: D835V2;Serial: 471

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 835 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium parameters used: $f = 835 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.885 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 41.4$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$
Phantom section: Flat Section


Test Date: 05/06/2010;Ambient Temp: 22.0°C;Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1729; ConvF(6.22, 6.22, 6.22); Calibrated: 2010-01-27
Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
Electronics: DAE4 Sn534; Calibrated: 2010-02-04
Phantom: SAM Twin Phantom TP-1117; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1117
Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

835 MHz Dipole Validation

Area Scan (71x71x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$
Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 2.70 mW/g

Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$
Reference Value = 57.2 V/m; Power Drift = -0.030 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.66 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 2.52 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.66 mW/g
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.72 mW/g



0 dB = 2.72mW/g

LG Electronics Inc.

DUT: Dipole 1900MHz; Type: D1900V2; Serial: 5d017

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium parameters used: $f = 1900$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.4$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 40.5$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³
Phantom section: Flat Section


Test Date: 05/07/2010; Ambient Temp: 22.0°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1729; ConvF(4.97, 4.97, 4.97); Calibrated: 2010-01-27
Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
Electronics: DAE4 Sn534; Calibrated: 2010-02-04
Phantom: SAM Twin Phantom TP-1117; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1117
Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

1900 MHz Dipole Validation

Area Scan (71x71x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm
Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 11.4 mW/g

Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 93.6 V/m; Power Drift = -0.051 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 15.9 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 9.62 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 5.19 mW/g
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 10.8 mW/g



LG Electronics Inc.

DUT: Dipole 835MHz;Type: D835V2;Serial: 471

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 835 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium parameters used: $f = 835 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.885 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 41.4$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$
Phantom section: Flat Section


Test Date: 05/06/2010;Ambient Temp: 22.0°C;Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1729; ConvF(6.22, 6.22, 6.22); Calibrated: 2010-01-27
Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
Electronics: DAE4 Sn534; Calibrated: 2010-02-04
Phantom: SAM Twin Phantom TP-1117; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1117
Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

835 MHz Dipole Validation

Area Scan (71x71x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$
Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 2.70 mW/g

Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$
Reference Value = 57.2 V/m; Power Drift = -0.030 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.66 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 2.52 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.66 mW/g
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.72 mW/g



0 dB = 2.72mW/g

LG Electronics Inc.

DUT: Dipole 1900MHz; Type: D1900V2; Serial: 5d017

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium parameters used: $f = 1900$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.4$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 40.5$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³
Phantom section: Flat Section


Test Date: 05/07/2010; Ambient Temp: 22.0°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1729; ConvF(4.97, 4.97, 4.97); Calibrated: 2010-01-27
Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
Electronics: DAE4 Sn534; Calibrated: 2010-02-04
Phantom: SAM Twin Phantom TP-1117; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1117
Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

1900 MHz Dipole Validation

Area Scan (71x71x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm
Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 11.4 mW/g

Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 93.6 V/m; Power Drift = -0.051 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 15.9 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 9.62 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 5.19 mW/g
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 10.8 mW/g



0 dB = 10.8mW/g

APPENDIX B: SAR Test Data

LG Electronics Inc.

DUT: LG-A133; Type: Cellular/PCS GSM with Bluetooth; Serial:#1

Communication System: GSM 850; Frequency: 836.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3
Medium parameters used: $f = 836.6$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.887$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 41.4$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³
Phantom section: Right Section

Test Date: 05/06/2010; Ambient Temp: 22.0°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1729; ConvF(6.22, 6.22, 6.22); Calibrated: 2010-01-27

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn534; Calibrated: 2010-02-04


Phantom: SAM Twin Phantom TP-1117; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1117

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

Right Head Touch, Ch.190, Fixed Ant., Standard Battery

Area Scan (61x101x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm
Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.261 mW/g

Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 1.83 V/m; Power Drift = 0.063 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.400 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.223 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.157 mW/g
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.240 mW/g



LG Electronics Inc.

DUT: LG-A133; Type: Cellular/PCS GSM with Bluetooth; Serial:#1

Communication System: GSM 850; Frequency: 836.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3
Medium parameters used: $f = 836.6 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.887 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 41.4$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$
Phantom section: Right Section

Test Date: 05/06/2010; Ambient Temp: 22.0°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1729; ConvF(6.22, 6.22, 6.22); Calibrated: 2010-01-27

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn534; Calibrated: 2010-02-04


Phantom: SAM Twin Phantom TP-1117; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1117

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

Right Head Tilt, Ch.190, Fixed Ant., Standard Battery

Area Scan (61x101x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$
Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.030 mW/g

Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$
Reference Value = 2.31 V/m; Power Drift = -0.346 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.034 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.027 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.021 mW/g
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.029 mW/g



0 dB = 0.029mW/g

LG Electronics Inc.

DUT: LG-A133; Type: Cellular/PCS GSM with Bluetooth; Serial:#1

Communication System: GSM 850; Frequency: 836.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3
Medium parameters used: $f = 836.6 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.887 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 41.4$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$
Phantom section: Left Section

Test Date: 05/06/2010; Ambient Temp: 22.0°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1729; ConvF(6.22, 6.22, 6.22); Calibrated: 2010-01-27

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn534; Calibrated: 2010-02-04


Phantom: SAM Twin Phantom TP-1117; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1117

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

Left Head Touch, Ch.190, Fixed Ant., Standard Battery

Area Scan (61x101x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$
Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.244 mW/g

Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$
Reference Value = 1.35 V/m; Power Drift = -0.356 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.313 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.228 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.155 mW/g
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.240 mW/g



LG Electronics Inc.

DUT: LG-A133; Type: Cellular/PCS GSM with Bluetooth; Serial:#1

Communication System: GSM 850; Frequency: 836.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3
Medium parameters used: $f = 836.6 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.887 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 41.4$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$
Phantom section: Left Section

Test Date: 05/06/2010; Ambient Temp: 22.0°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1729; ConvF(6.22, 6.22, 6.22); Calibrated: 2010-01-27

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn534; Calibrated: 2010-02-04

Phantom: SAM Twin Phantom TP-1117; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1117

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

Left Head Tilt, Ch.190, Fixed Ant., Standard Battery

Area Scan (61x101x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.029 mW/g



Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 1.70 V/m; Power Drift = -0.059 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.042 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.031 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.022 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.034 mW/g



0 dB = 0.034mW/g

LG Electronics Inc.

DUT: LG-A133; Type: Cellular/PCS GSM with Bluetooth; Serial:#1

Communication System: GSM 850; Frequency: 836.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3
Medium parameters used: $f = 836.6 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.887 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 41.4$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$
Phantom section: Flat Section

Test Date: 05/06/2010; Ambient Temp: 22.0°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1729; ConvF(6.22, 6.22, 6.22); Calibrated: 2010-01-27

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn534; Calibrated: 2010-02-04

Phantom: SAM Twin Phantom TP-1117; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1117

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

Flat Touch, Mouth SAR ,CH190, Fixed Ant., Standard Battery

Area Scan (81x101x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.963 mW/g


Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 4.51 V/m; Power Drift = -0.098 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.21 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.888 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.608 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.951 mW/g



LG Electronics Inc.

DUT: LG-A133; Type: Cellular/PCS GSM with Bluetooth; Serial:#1

Communication System: GSM 850; Frequency: 824.2 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3
Medium parameters used: $f = 824.2\text{MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.875\text{mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 41.5$; $\rho = 1000\text{ kg/m}^3$
Phantom section: Flat Section

Test Date: 05/06/2010; Ambient Temp: 22.0°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1729; ConvF(6.22, 6.22, 6.22); Calibrated: 2010-01-27

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn534; Calibrated: 2010-02-04


Phantom: SAM Twin Phantom TP-1117; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1117

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

Flat Touch, Mouth SAR ,CH128, Fixed Ant., Standard Battery

Area Scan (81x101x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$
Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.811 mW/g

Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$
Reference Value = 4.20 V/m; Power Drift = 0.032 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.01 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.745 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.511 mW/g
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.795 mW/g



LG Electronics Inc.

DUT: LG-A133; Type: Cellular/PCS GSM with Bluetooth; Serial:#1

Communication System: GSM 850; Frequency: 848.8 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3
Medium parameters used: $f = 848.8 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.899 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 41.2$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$
Phantom section: Flat Section

Test Date: 05/06/2010; Ambient Temp: 22.0°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1729; ConvF(6.22, 6.22, 6.22); Calibrated: 2010-01-27

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn534; Calibrated: 2010-02-04


Phantom: SAM Twin Phantom TP-1117; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1117

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

Flat Touch, Mouth SAR ,CH251, Fixed Ant., Standard Battery

Area Scan (81x101x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$
Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.992 mW/g

Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$
Reference Value = 4.41 V/m; Power Drift = 0.209 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.23 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.900 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.620 mW/g
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.953 mW/g



LG Electronics Inc.

DUT: LG-A133; Type: Cellular/PCS GSM with Bluetooth; Serial:#1

Communication System: PCS 1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3
Medium parameters used: $f = 1880 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.38 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 40.6$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$
Phantom section: Right Section

Test Date: 05/07/2010; Ambient Temp: 22.0°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1729; ConvF(4.97, 4.97, 4.97); Calibrated: 2010-01-27

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn534; Calibrated: 2010-02-04

Phantom: SAM Twin Phantom TP-1117; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1117

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

Right Head Touch, CH661, Fixed Ant., Standard Battery

Area Scan (61x101x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.322 mW/g



Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 3.87 V/m; Power Drift = -0.223 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.400 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.313 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.203 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.334 mW/g



0 dB = 0.334mW/g

LG Electronics Inc.

DUT: LG-A133; Type: Cellular/PCS GSM with Bluetooth; Serial:#1

Communication System: PCS 1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3
Medium parameters used: $f = 1880 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.38 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 40.6$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$
Phantom section: Right Section

Test Date: 05/07/2010; Ambient Temp: 22.0°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1729; ConvF(4.97, 4.97, 4.97); Calibrated: 2010-01-27

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn534; Calibrated: 2010-02-04


Phantom: SAM Twin Phantom TP-1117; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1117

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

Right Head Tilt, CH661, Fixed Ant., Standard Battery

Area Scan (61x101x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$
Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.127 mW/g

Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$
Reference Value = 7.12 V/m; Power Drift = -0.040 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.149 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.106 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.068 mW/g
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.114 mW/g



LG Electronics Inc.

DUT: LG-A133; Type: Cellular/PCS GSM with Bluetooth; Serial:#1

Communication System: PCS 1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3
Medium parameters used: $f = 1880 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.38 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 40.6$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$
Phantom section: Left Section

Test Date: 05/07/2010; Ambient Temp: 22.0°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1729; ConvF(4.97, 4.97, 4.97); Calibrated: 2010-01-27

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn534; Calibrated: 2010-02-04


Phantom: SAM Twin Phantom TP-1117; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1117

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

Left Head Touch, CH661, Fixed Ant., Standard Battery

Area Scan (61x101x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$
Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.419 mW/g

Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$
Reference Value = 3.28 V/m; Power Drift = -0.145 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.600 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.391 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.230 mW/g
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.432 mW/g



LG Electronics Inc.

DUT: LG-A133; Type: Cellular/PCS GSM with Bluetooth; Serial:#1

Communication System: PCS 1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3
Medium parameters used: $f = 1880 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.38 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 40.6$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$
Phantom section: Left Section

Test Date: 05/07/2010; Ambient Temp: 22.0°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1729; ConvF(4.97, 4.97, 4.97); Calibrated: 2010-01-27

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn534; Calibrated: 2010-02-04

Phantom: SAM Twin Phantom TP-1117; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1117

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

Left Head Tilt, CH661, Fixed Ant., Standard Battery

Area Scan (61x101x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.088 mW/g



Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 5.66 V/m; Power Drift = 0.017 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.104 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.074 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.047 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.079 mW/g



0 dB = 0.079mW/g

LG Electronics Inc.

DUT: LG-A133; Type: Cellular/PCS GSM with Bluetooth; Serial:#1

Communication System: PCS 1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3
Medium parameters used: $f = 1880 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.38 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 40.6$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$
Phantom section: Flat Section

Test Date: 05/07/2010; Ambient Temp: 22.0°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1729; ConvF(4.97, 4.97, 4.97); Calibrated: 2010-01-27

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn534; Calibrated: 2010-02-04

Phantom: SAM Twin Phantom TP-1117; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1117

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

Flat Touch, Mouth SAR ,CH661, Fixed Ant., Standard Battery

Area Scan (81x101x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$
Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.703 mW/g


Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 3.83 V/m; Power Drift = -0.079 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.04 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.651 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.397 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.701 mW/g



LG Electronics Inc.

DUT: LG-A133; Type: Cellular/PCS GSM with Bluetooth; Serial:#1

Communication System: GPRS 850; Frequency: 836.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:2.075
Medium parameters used: $f = 836.6 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.968 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 56.5$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$
Phantom section: Flat Section

Test Date: 05/06/2010; Ambient Temp: 22.0°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1729; ConvF(6.05, 6.05, 6.05); Calibrated: 2010-01-27

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn534; Calibrated: 2010-02-04


Phantom: SAM Twin Phantom TP-1151; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1151

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

Flat Touch, Ch.190, Front, Fixed Ant., Standard Battery

Area Scan (71x101x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$
Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.540 mW/g

Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$
Reference Value = 10.6 V/m; Power Drift = -0.161 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.637 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.504 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.368 mW/g
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.535 mW/g



LG Electronics Inc.

DUT: LG-A133; Type: Cellular/PCS GSM with Bluetooth; Serial:#1

Communication System: GPRS 850; Frequency: 836.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:2.075
Medium parameters used: $f = 836.6 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.968 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 56.5$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$
Phantom section: Flat Section

Test Date: 05/06/2010; Ambient Temp: 22.0°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1729; ConvF(6.05, 6.05, 6.05); Calibrated: 2010-01-27

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn534; Calibrated: 2010-02-04


Phantom: SAM Twin Phantom TP-1151; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1151

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

Flat Touch, Ch.190, Rear, Fixed Ant., Standard Battery

Area Scan (71x101x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$
Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.07 mW/g

Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$
Reference Value = 12.3 V/m; Power Drift = 0.008 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.29 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.985 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.701 mW/g
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.05 mW/g



LG Electronics Inc.

DUT: LG-A133; Type: Cellular/PCS GSM with Bluetooth; Serial:#1

Communication System: GPRS 850; Frequency: 836.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:2.77
Medium parameters used: $f = 836.6 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.968 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 56.5$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$
Phantom section: Flat Section

Test Date: 05/06/2010; Ambient Temp: 22.0°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1729; ConvF(6.05, 6.05, 6.05); Calibrated: 2010-01-27

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn534; Calibrated: 2010-02-04


Phantom: SAM Twin Phantom TP-1151; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1151

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

Flat Touch, Ch.190, Rear, Fixed Ant., Standard Battery

Area Scan (71x101x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$
Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.944 mW/g

Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$
Reference Value = 11.5 V/m; Power Drift = -0.095 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.13 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.866 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.617 mW/g
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.917 mW/g



LG Electronics Inc.

DUT: LG-A133; Type: Cellular/PCS GSM with Bluetooth; Serial:#1

Communication System: GPRS 850; Frequency: 836.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:4.15
Medium parameters used: $f = 836.6 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.968 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 56.5$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$
Phantom section: Flat Section

Test Date: 05/06/2010; Ambient Temp: 22.0°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1729; ConvF(6.05, 6.05, 6.05); Calibrated: 2010-01-27

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn534; Calibrated: 2010-02-04


Phantom: SAM Twin Phantom TP-1151; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1151

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

Flat Touch, Ch.190, Rear, Fixed Ant., Standard Battery

Area Scan (71x101x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$
Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.616 mW/g

Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$
Reference Value = 9.37 V/m; Power Drift = -0.119 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.740 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.569 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.404 mW/g
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.606 mW/g



LG Electronics Inc.

DUT: LG-A133; Type: Cellular/PCS GSM with Bluetooth; Serial:#1

Communication System: GPRS 850; Frequency: 836.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3
Medium parameters used: $f = 836.6 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.968 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 56.5$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$
Phantom section: Flat Section

Test Date: 05/06/2010; Ambient Temp: 22.0°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1729; ConvF(6.05, 6.05, 6.05); Calibrated: 2010-01-27

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn534; Calibrated: 2010-02-04

Phantom: SAM Twin Phantom TP-1151; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1151

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

Flat Touch, Ch.190, Rear, Fixed Ant., Standard Battery

Area Scan (71x101x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.310 mW/g


Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 6.51 V/m; Power Drift = -0.054 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.375 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.288 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.206 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.306 mW/g



LG Electronics Inc.

DUT: LG-A133; Type: Cellular/PCS GSM with Bluetooth; Serial:#1

Communication System: GPRS 850; Frequency: 824.2 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:2.075
Medium parameters used: $f = 824.2$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.955$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 56.7$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³
Phantom section: Flat Section

Test Date: 05/06/2010; Ambient Temp: 22.0°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1729; ConvF(6.05, 6.05, 6.05); Calibrated: 2010-01-27

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn534; Calibrated: 2010-02-04


Phantom: SAM Twin Phantom TP-1151; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1151

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

Flat Touch, Ch.128, Rear, Fixed Ant., Standard Battery

Area Scan (71x101x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15$ mm, $dy=15$ mm
Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.866 mW/g

Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8$ mm, $dy=8$ mm, $dz=5$ mm
Reference Value = 10.9 V/m; Power Drift = -0.145 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.02 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.801 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.573 mW/g
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.851 mW/g



LG Electronics Inc.

DUT: LG-A133; Type: Cellular/PCS GSM with Bluetooth; Serial:#1

Communication System: GPRS 850; Frequency: 848.8 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:2.075
Medium parameters used: $f = 848.8 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.981 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 56.4$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$
Phantom section: Flat Section

Test Date: 05/06/2010; Ambient Temp: 22.0°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1729; ConvF(6.05, 6.05, 6.05); Calibrated: 2010-01-27

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn534; Calibrated: 2010-02-04


Phantom: SAM Twin Phantom TP-1151; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1151

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

Flat Touch, Ch.251, Rear, Fixed Ant., Standard Battery

Area Scan (71x101x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$
Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.05 mW/g

Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$
Reference Value = 12.1 V/m; Power Drift = -0.096 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.26 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.972 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.692 mW/g
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.04 mW/g



LG Electronics Inc.

DUT: LG-A133; Type: Cellular/PCS GSM with Bluetooth; Serial:#1

Communication System: GPRS 1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:2.075
Medium parameters used: $f = 1880 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.49 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 55.1$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$
Phantom section: Flat Section

Test Date: 05/07/2010; Ambient Temp: 22.0°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1729; ConvF(4.48, 4.48, 4.48); Calibrated: 2010-01-27

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn534; Calibrated: 2010-02-04

Phantom: SAM Twin Phantom TP-1151; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1151

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

Flat Touch, Ch.661, Front, Fixed Ant., Standard Battery

Area Scan (71x111x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.176 mW/g


Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 8.08 V/m; Power Drift = 0.130 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.217 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.162 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.110 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.174 mW/g



LG Electronics Inc.

DUT: LG-A133; Type: Cellular/PCS GSM with Bluetooth; Serial:#1

Communication System: GPRS 1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:2.075
Medium parameters used: $f = 1880$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.49$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 55.1$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³
Phantom section: Flat Section

Test Date: 05/07/2010; Ambient Temp: 22.0°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1729; ConvF(4.48, 4.48, 4.48); Calibrated: 2010-01-27

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn534; Calibrated: 2010-02-04


Phantom: SAM Twin Phantom TP-1151; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1151

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

Flat Touch, Ch.661, Rear, Fixed Ant., Standard Battery

Area Scan (71x111x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm
Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.468 mW/g

Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 11.4 V/m; Power Drift = -0.060 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.539 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.431 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.296 mW/g
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.465 mW/g



LG Electronics Inc.

DUT: LG-A133; Type: Cellular/PCS GSM with Bluetooth; Serial:#1

Communication System: GPRS 1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:2.77
Medium parameters used: $f = 1880$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.49$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 55.1$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³
Phantom section: Flat Section

Test Date: 05/07/2010; Ambient Temp: 22.0°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1729; ConvF(4.48, 4.48, 4.48); Calibrated: 2010-01-27

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn534; Calibrated: 2010-02-04


Phantom: SAM Twin Phantom TP-1151; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1151

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

Flat Touch, Ch.661, Rear, Fixed Ant., Standard Battery

Area Scan (71x111x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15$ mm, $dy=15$ mm
Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.502 mW/g

Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8$ mm, $dy=8$ mm, $dz=5$ mm
Reference Value = 11.8 V/m; Power Drift = -0.052 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.579 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.461 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.317 mW/g
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.499 mW/g



LG Electronics Inc.

DUT: LG-A133; Type: Cellular/PCS GSM with Bluetooth; Serial:#1

Communication System: GPRS 1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:4.15
Medium parameters used: $f = 1880 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.49 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 55.1$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$
Phantom section: Flat Section

Test Date: 05/07/2010; Ambient Temp: 22.0°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1729; ConvF(4.48, 4.48, 4.48); Calibrated: 2010-01-27

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn534; Calibrated: 2010-02-04

Phantom: SAM Twin Phantom TP-1151; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1151

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

Flat Touch, Ch.661, Rear, Fixed Ant., Standard Battery

Area Scan (71x111x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.337 mW/g


Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 9.59 V/m; Power Drift = -0.003 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.395 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.312 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.214 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.335 mW/g



LG Electronics Inc.

DUT: LG-A133; Type: Cellular/PCS GSM with Bluetooth; Serial:#1

Communication System: GPRS 1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3
Medium parameters used: $f = 1880 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.49 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 55.1$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$
Phantom section: Flat Section

Test Date: 05/07/2010; Ambient Temp: 22.0°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1729; ConvF(4.48, 4.48, 4.48); Calibrated: 2010-01-27

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn534; Calibrated: 2010-02-04


Phantom: SAM Twin Phantom TP-1151; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1151

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

Flat Touch, Ch.661, Rear, Fixed Ant., Standard Battery

Area Scan (71x111x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$
Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.170 mW/g

Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$
Reference Value = 6.75 V/m; Power Drift = 0.005 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.198 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.157 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.107 mW/g
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.169 mW/g



LG Electronics Inc.

DUT: LG-A133; Type: Cellular/PCS GSM with Bluetooth; Serial:#1

Communication System: GSM 850; Frequency: 848.8 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3
Medium parameters used: $f = 848.8 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.899 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 41.2$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$
Phantom section: Flat Section

Test Date: 05/06/2010; Ambient Temp: 22.0°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1729; ConvF(6.22, 6.22, 6.22); Calibrated: 2010-01-27

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn534; Calibrated: 2010-02-04


Phantom: SAM Twin Phantom TP-1117; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1117

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

Flat Touch, Mouth SAR ,CH251, Fixed Ant., Standard Battery

Area Scan (81x101x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$
Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.992 mW/g

Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$
Reference Value = 4.41 V/m; Power Drift = 0.209 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.23 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.900 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.620 mW/g
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.953 mW/g



0 dB = 0.953mW/g

LG Electronics Inc.

DUT: LG-A133; Type: Cellular/PCS GSM with Bluetooth; Serial:#1

Communication System: PCS 1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3
Medium parameters used: $f = 1880$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.38$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 40.6$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³
Phantom section: Flat Section

Test Date: 05/07/2010; Ambient Temp: 22.0°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1729; ConvF(4.97, 4.97, 4.97); Calibrated: 2010-01-27

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn534; Calibrated: 2010-02-04


Phantom: SAM Twin Phantom TP-1117; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1117

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

Flat Touch, Mouth SAR ,CH661, Fixed Ant., Standard Battery

Area Scan (81x101x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm
Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.703 mW/g

Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 3.83 V/m; Power Drift = -0.079 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.04 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.651 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.397 mW/g
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.701 mW/g



LG Electronics Inc.

DUT: LG-A133; Type: Cellular/PCS GSM with Bluetooth; Serial:#1

Communication System: GPRS 850; Frequency: 836.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:2.075
Medium parameters used: $f = 836.6 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 0.968 \text{ mho/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 56.5$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$
Phantom section: Flat Section

Test Date: 05/06/2010; Ambient Temp: 22.0°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1729; ConvF(6.05, 6.05, 6.05); Calibrated: 2010-01-27

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn534; Calibrated: 2010-02-04


Phantom: SAM Twin Phantom TP-1151; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1151

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

Flat Touch, Ch.190, Rear, Fixed Ant., Standard Battery

Area Scan (71x101x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15\text{mm}$, $dy=15\text{mm}$
Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.07 mW/g

Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$
Reference Value = 12.3 V/m; Power Drift = 0.008 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.29 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.985 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.701 mW/g
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.05 mW/g



0 dB = 1.05mW/g

LG Electronics Inc.

DUT: LG-A133; Type: Cellular/PCS GSM with Bluetooth; Serial:#1

Communication System: GPRS 1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:2.77
Medium parameters used: $f = 1880$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.49$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 55.1$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³
Phantom section: Flat Section

Test Date: 05/07/2010; Ambient Temp: 22.0°C; Tissue Temp: 21.8°C

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1729; ConvF(4.48, 4.48, 4.48); Calibrated: 2010-01-27

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn534; Calibrated: 2010-02-04

Phantom: SAM Twin Phantom TP-1151; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1151

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

Flat Touch, Ch.661, Rear, Fixed Ant., Standard Battery

Area Scan (71x111x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.502 mW/g


Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 11.8 V/m; Power Drift = -0.052 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.579 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.461 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.317 mW/g

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.499 mW/g



0 dB = 0.499mW/g

APPENDIX C: Calibration Certificates

Calibration Laboratory of
Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG
 Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland



S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst
C Service suisse d'étalonnage
S Servizio svizzero di taratura
S Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)
 The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
 Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 108**

Client **LG (Dymstec)**

Certificate No: **ET3-1729_Jan10**

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object **ET3DV6 - SN:1729**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-01.v6, QA CAL-23.v3 and QA CAL-25.v2
 Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes**

Calibration date: **January 27, 2010**

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).
 The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature $(22 \pm 3)^{\circ}\text{C}$ and humidity $< 70\%$.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter E4419B	GB41293874	1-Apr-09 (No. 217-01030)	Apr-10
Power sensor E4412A	MY41495277	1-Apr-09 (No. 217-01030)	Apr-10
Power sensor E4412A	MY41498087	1-Apr-09 (No. 217-01030)	Apr-10
Reference 3 dB Attenuator	SN: S5054 (3c)	31-Mar-09 (No. 217-01026)	Mar-10
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: S5086 (20b)	31-Mar-09 (No. 217-01028)	Mar-10
Reference 30 dB Attenuator	SN: S5129 (30b)	31-Mar-09 (No. 217-01027)	Mar-10
Reference Probe ES3DV2	SN: 3013	30-Dec-09 (No. ES3-3013_Dec09)	Dec-10
DAE4	SN: 660	29-Sep-09 (No. DAE4-660_Sep09)	Sep-10

Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
RF generator HP 8648C	US3642U01700	4-Aug-99 (in house check Oct-09)	In house check: Oct-11
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-09)	In house check: Oct10

Calibrated by: **Jeton Kastrati** **Laboratory Technician**

Approved by: **Katja Pokovic** **Technical Manager**

Issued: January 27, 2010

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

Certificate No: ET3-1729_Jan10

Page 1 of 11

Calibration Laboratory of
Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG
 Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland



S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst
C Service suisse d'étalonnage
S Servizio svizzero di taratura
S Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)
 The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
 Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 108**

Glossary:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
NORM _{x,y,z}	sensitivity in free space
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM _{x,y,z}
DCP	diode compression point
CF	crest factor (1/duty_cycle) of the RF signal
A, B, C	modulation dependent linearization parameters
Polarization ϕ	ϕ rotation around probe axis
Polarization ϑ	ϑ rotation around an axis that is in the plane normal to probe axis (at measurement center), i.e., $\vartheta = 0$ is normal to probe axis

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- IEEE Std 1528-2003, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", December 2003
- IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- NORM_{x,y,z}**: Assessed for E-field polarization $\vartheta = 0$ ($f \leq 900$ MHz in TEM-cell; $f > 1800$ MHz: R22 waveguide). NORM_{x,y,z} are only intermediate values, i.e., the uncertainties of NORM_{x,y,z} does not effect the E^2 -field uncertainty inside TSL (see below *ConvF*).
- NORM(f)_{x,y,z}** = NORM_{x,y,z} * frequency_response (see Frequency Response Chart). This linearization is implemented in DASY4 software versions later than 4.2. The uncertainty of the frequency response is included in the stated uncertainty of *ConvF*.
- DCP_{x,y,z}**: DCP are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep with CW signal (no uncertainty required). DCP does not depend on frequency nor media.
- A_{x,y,z}; B_{x,y,z}; C_{x,y,z}; VR_{x,y,z}**: A, B, C are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep for specific modulation signal. The parameters do not depend on frequency nor media. VR is the maximum calibration range expressed in RMS voltage across the diode.
- ConvF and Boundary Effect Parameters**: Assessed in flat phantom using E-field (or Temperature Transfer Standard for $f \leq 800$ MHz) and inside waveguide using analytical field distributions based on power measurements for $f > 800$ MHz. The same setups are used for assessment of the parameters applied for boundary compensation (alpha, depth) of which typical uncertainty values are given. These parameters are used in DASY4 software to improve probe accuracy close to the boundary. The sensitivity in TSL corresponds to NORM_{x,y,z} * ConvF whereby the uncertainty corresponds to that given for *ConvF*. A frequency dependent *ConvF* is used in DASY version 4.4 and higher which allows extending the validity from ± 50 MHz to ± 100 MHz.
- Spherical isotropy (3D deviation from isotropy)**: in a field of low gradients realized using a flat phantom exposed by a patch antenna.
- Sensor Offset**: The sensor offset corresponds to the offset of virtual measurement center from the probe tip (on probe axis). No tolerance required.

Certificate No: ET3-1729_Jan10

Page 2 of 11

ET3DV6 SN:1729

January 27, 2010

Probe ET3DV6

SN:1729

Manufactured:	October 1, 2002
Last calibrated:	January 20, 2009
Recalibrated:	January 27, 2010

Calibrated for DASY Systems

(Note: non-compatible with DASY2 system!)

Certificate No: ET3-1729_Jan10

Page 3 of 11

ET3DV6 SN:1729

January 27, 2010

DASY - Parameters of Probe: ET3DV6 SN:1729**Basic Calibration Parameters**

	Sensor X	Sensor Y	Sensor Z	Unc (k=2)
Norm ($\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$) ^A	1.64	1.61	1.82	± 10.1%
DCP (mV) ^B	94.1	93.4	94.2	

Modulation Calibration Parameters

UID	Communication System Name	PAR		A dB	B dBuV	C	VR mV	Unc ^E (k=2)
10000	CW	0.00	X	0.00	0.00	1.00	300.0	± 1.5%
			Y	0.00	0.00	1.00	300.0	
			Z	0.00	0.00	1.00	300.0	

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

^A The uncertainties of NormX,Y,Z do not affect the E²-field uncertainty inside TSL (see Pages 5 and 6).

^B Numerical linearization parameter: uncertainty not required.

^E Uncertainty is determined using the maximum deviation from linear response applying rectangular distribution and is expressed for the square of the field value.

ET3DV6 SN:1729

January 27, 2010

DASY - Parameters of Probe: ET3DV6 SN:1729

Calibration Parameter Determined in Head Tissue Simulating Media

f [MHz]	Validity [MHz] ^c	Permittivity	Conductivity	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha	Depth Unc (k=2)
835	± 50 / ± 100	41.9 ± 5%	0.89 ± 5%	6.22	6.22	6.22	0.48	2.20 ± 11.0%
1750	± 50 / ± 100	40.1 ± 5%	1.37 ± 5%	5.27	5.27	5.27	0.51	2.80 ± 11.0%
1900	± 50 / ± 100	40.0 ± 5%	1.40 ± 5%	4.97	4.97	4.97	0.64	2.38 ± 11.0%
2450	± 50 / ± 100	39.2 ± 5%	1.80 ± 5%	4.42	4.42	4.42	0.99	1.68 ± 11.0%

^c The validity of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2). The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band.

ET3DV6 SN:1729

January 27, 2010

DASY - Parameters of Probe: ET3DV6 SN:1729

Calibration Parameter Determined in Body Tissue Simulating Media

f [MHz]	Validity [MHz] ^C	Permittivity	Conductivity	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha	Depth Unc (k=2)
750	± 50 / ± 100	55.5 ± 5%	0.96 ± 5%	6.06	6.06	6.06	0.58	1.95 ± 11.0%
835	± 50 / ± 100	55.2 ± 5%	0.97 ± 5%	6.05	6.05	6.05	0.51	2.17 ± 11.0%
1750	± 50 / ± 100	53.4 ± 5%	1.49 ± 5%	4.71	4.71	4.71	0.66	3.04 ± 11.0%
1900	± 50 / ± 100	53.3 ± 5%	1.52 ± 5%	4.48	4.48	4.48	0.94	2.39 ± 11.0%
2450	± 50 / ± 100	52.7 ± 5%	1.95 ± 5%	4.07	4.07	4.07	0.99	1.38 ± 11.0%

^C The validity of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2). The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band.

Certificate No: ET3-1729_Jan10

Page 6 of 11