

Mike Kuo

From: Claire Hoque
Sent: Tuesday, January 18, 2005 11:09 AM
To: Mike Kuo
Cc: Kathy Yao
Subject: RE: LG Electronics Inc., FCC ID: BEJLST255 , Assessment NO.: AN05T4466, Notice#1
Importance: High
Attachments: Test Report _LST255_Revised.pdf; BEJLST255 User Manual-revised.pdf

Hi Mike,

Here are the answers, and client requests to get grant by [1/20](#).

Question #1: Page 38 of OET 65 Supplement C provides the guideline for the flat phantom size for body worn SAR evaluation. " The length and width of the phantom should be at least twice the corresponding dimensions of the tet device, including its antenna "

Based upon the dimension of EUT with its antenna, flat section of phantom does not meet this requirement. In addition, in reviewing the SAR hot spot distribution plots, the hot spots were concentrated near junction of device and its antenna. With limited flat phantom size, the highest SAR peak may not be observed.

If SAR evaluation is desired for this device, please redo the SAR and use flat phantom which is at least twice size of EUT and its antenna.
<answer>[client decided to withdraw SAR report, user's manual is revised accordingly.](#)

Question #2: Photo showed in page 17/24 of SAR test report does not agree with the device under test, please explain and make necessary correction.

FYI : Device under test in this application is Wireless Local Loop base station which is designed to be placed on the table top or wall mounted. Based upon the description contains in the user manual, this device is classified as mobile device per section 2.1091 of FCC rules. SAR evaluation is not required but MPE shall be applied.
<answer>[client decided to withdraw SAR report, user's manual is revised accordingly.](#)

Question #3: The current ANSI C63.4 procedures should be 2003 but 2001 was listed. Please make necessary correction.
<answer>[test report is revised.](#)

Question #4 section 4.2 of Part 22 test report is not applicable to this device, please remove this section.
<answer>[test report is revised.](#)

Thanks,

Claire

1/19/2005