


Re: Certification for
TRW/Ford Receiver
Model: GQ43VT20R
FCC ID: GQ43VT20R
CANADA: to be provided by IC

FCC/IC ID LABEL

The below shown label will be printed in black on top coated imprintable white and blue polyester film with permanent adhesive. See photo in Exhibit J (p. 2 or p. 3) for placement location.

Full size



A letter authorizing variance from the official statement is the next page of this exhibit.

EXHIBIT A

Page 1 of 2

U of Mich file 415031-982

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

SEP 1 1994

IN REPLY REFER TO:

31030/EQU/4-2-4
1300B4

Mr. Valdis V. Liepa
University of Michigan
Radiation Laboratory
NASA/Center for Space Terahertz Technology
3228 EECS Building
Ann Arbor, MI 48109-2122

Dear Mr. Liepa:

This is in reply to your facsimile transmission of August 2, 1994, regarding the labelling of a low power communication device that will be marketed within the U.S. and Canada. You request approval to combine the labels for both countries, permitting a single label to be employed. As indicated, this combined label would read as follows:


"This device complies with Part 15 of the FCC Rules and with RSS-210 of the Industry Canada. Operation is subject to the following two conditions: (1) This device may not cause harmful interference, and (2) this device must accept any interference received, including interference that may cause undesired operation."

According to Section 15.19(a) of our rules, a low power communications device operating under Part 15 must be labelled with the specific statement contained in paragraph (a)(3). The only difference between the statement required under our rules and your proposed statement is the addition in the first sentence of the phrase "... and with RSS-210 of the Industry Canada."

I note that Kwai Lum of Industry Canada, in a facsimile to you on August 3, 1994, has already given permission to use this combined label. I also agree that the use of this combined label, as shown above, is acceptable under our regulations. This label conveys the desired information and is essentially identical to our requirement. As expressed by Mr. Lum, text denoting compliance with the standards for both countries was not stated in our rules as "it would be too presumptuous [to assume] that all products are for both markets."

I trust that the above responds to your inquiry. Additional questions should be directed to John Reed, 1300B4, at the address on the letterhead or at (202) 653-7313.

Sincerely,



Richard B. Engelman
Chief, Technical Standards Branch
Office of Engineering and Technology

EXHIBIT A

Page 2 of 2

U of Mich file 415031-982