FCC SAR Test Report

APPLICANT	:	**Honeywell International Inc**
EQUIPMENT	:	**Dolphin 7800**
BRAND NAME	:	**Honeywell**
MODEL NAME	:	**Dolphin® 7800**
FCC ID	:	**HD57800L0**
STANDARD	:	**FCC 47 CFR Part 2 (2.1093)** **IEEE C95.1-1991** **IEEE 1528-2003** **FCC OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C (Edition 01-01)**

The product was received on Oct. 13, 2011 and completely tested on Oct. 14, 2011. We, SPORTON INTERNATIONAL INC., would like to declare that the tested sample has been evaluated in accordance with the procedures and shown the compliance with the applicable technical standards.

The test results in this report apply exclusively to the tested model / sample. Without written approval of SPORTON INTERNATIONAL INC., the test report shall not be reproduced except in full.

Reviewed by:

Jones Tsai / Manager

Testing Laboratory
1190

SPORTON INTERNATIONAL INC.
No. 52, Hwa Ya 1st Rd., Hwa Ya Technology Park, Kwei-Shan Hsiang, Tao Yuan Hsien, Taiwan, R.O.C.

Table of Contents

1. Statement of Compliance **4**
2. Administration Data **5**
2.1 Testing Laboratory 5
2.2 Applicant 5
2.3 Manufacturer 5
2.4 Application Details 5
3. General Information **6**
3.1 Description of Device Under Test (DUT) 6
3.2 Product Photos 7
3.3 Applied Standards 7
3.4 Device Category and SAR Limits 7
3.5 Test Conditions 7
4. Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) **8**
4.1 Introduction 8
4.2 SAR Definition 8
5. SAR Measurement System **9**
5.1 E-Field Probe 10
5.2 Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE) 11
5.3 Robot 11
5.4 Measurement Server 12
5.5 Phantom 12
5.6 Device Holder 13
5.7 Data Storage and Evaluation 15
5.8 Test Equipment List 17
6. Tissue Simulating Liquids **18**
7. Uncertainty Assessment **20**
8. SAR Measurement Evaluation **23**
8.1 Purpose of System Performance check 23
8.2 System Setup 23
8.3 Validation Results 24
9. DUT Testing Position **25**
10. Measurement Procedures **26**
10.1 Spatial Peak SAR Evaluation 26
10.2 Area & Zoom Scan Procedures 27
10.3 Volume Scan Procedures 27
10.4 SAR Averaged Methods 27
10.5 Power Drift Monitoring 27
11. SAR Test Configuration **28**
12. SAR Test Results **29**
12.1 Conducted Power (Unit: dBm) 29
12.2 Test Records for Body-worn SAR Test 31
13. References **33**

Appendix A. Plots of System Performance Check
Appendix B. Plots of SAR Measurement
Appendix C. DASY Calibration Certificate
Appendix D. Product Photos
Appendix E. Test Setup Photos

Revision History

REPORT NO.	VERSION	DESCRIPTION	ISSUED DATE
FA162005-02	Rev. 01	Initial issue of report	Nov. 28, 2011

1. Statement of Compliance

The maximum results of Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) found during testing for **Honeywell International Inc Dolphin 7800 Honeywell Dolphin® 7800** are as follows.

<Standalone SAR>

Band	Position	SAR_{1g} (W/kg)
802.11 b/g/n	Body with Holster (0 cm Gap)	0.083
	Body-worn (1.5 cm Gap)	0.05
802.11 a/n	Body with Holster (0 cm Gap)	0.072
	Body-worn (1.5 cm Gap)	0.09
Bluetooth	Body with Holster (0 cm Gap)	N/A
	Body-worn (1.5 cm Gap)	N/A

Note: BT SAR not tested due to that average power is below the FCC procedure thresholds, per KDB 447498.

This device is in compliance with Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for general population/uncontrolled exposure limits (1.6 W/kg) specified in FCC 47 CFR part 2 (2.1093) and ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1991, and had been tested in accordance with the measurement methods and procedures specified in IEEE 1528-2003 and FCC OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C (Edition 01-01).

2. Administration Data

2.1 Testing Laboratory

Test Site	SPORTON INTERNATIONAL INC.
Test Site Location	No. 52, Hwa Ya 1st Rd., Hwa Ya Technology Park, Kwei-Shan Hsiang, Tao Yuan Hsien, Taiwan, R.O.C. TEL: +886-3-327-3456 FAX: +886-3-328-4978

2.2 Applicant

Company Name	Honeywell International Inc
Address	9680 Old Bailes Road, Fort Mill, SC 29707 USA

2.3 Manufacturer

Company Name	Honeywell International Inc
Address	9680 Old Bailes Road, Fort Mill, SC 29707 USA

2.4 Application Details

Date of Receipt of Application	Oct. 13, 2011
Date of Start during the Test	Oct. 13, 2011
Date of End during the Test	Oct. 14, 2011

3. General Information

3.1 Description of Device Under Test (DUT)

Product Feature & Specification	
DUT Type	Dolphin 7800
Brand Name	Honeywell
Model Name	Dolphin® 7800
FCC ID	HD57800L0
Integrated Module	Brand Name : Murata Model Name : LBEH1Z9PFC-TEMP
Tx Frequency	802.11b/g/n : 2400 MHz ~ 2483.5 MHz 802.11a/n: 5150 MHz ~ 5350 MHz; 5470 MHz ~ 5725 MHz; 5725 MHz ~ 5850 MHz Bluetooth: 2400 MHz ~ 2483.5 MHz
Rx Frequency	802.11b/g/n: 2400 MHz ~ 2483.5 MHz 802.11a/n: 5150 MHz ~ 5350 MHz; 5470 MHz ~ 5725 MHz; 5725 MHz ~ 5850 MHz Bluetooth: 2400 MHz ~ 2483.5 MHz
Maximum Output Power to Antenna	802.11b : 17.70 dBm 802.11g : 16.46 dBm 802.11n (BW 20MHz) (2.4GHz) : 16.37 dBm 802.11a : 15.56 dBm 802.11n (BW 20MHz) (5GHz) : 15.39 dBm Bluetooth: 3.32 dBm
Antenna Type	PIFA Antenna
HW Version	010
SW Version	01
Type of Modulation	802.11b : DSSS (BPSK / QPSK / CCK) 802.11a/g/n : OFDM (BPSK / QPSK / 16QAM / 64QAM) Bluetooth (1Mbps) : GFSK Bluetooth EDR (2Mbps) : π/4-DQPSK Bluetooth EDR (3Mbps) : 8-DPSK
Dual Transfer Mode (DTM) Category	Class C – DUT can only support either Packet Switched service or Circuit Switched service.
DUT Stage	Production Unit
Remark: The above DUT's information was declared by manufacturer. Please refer to the specifications or user's manual for more detailed description.	

3.2 Product Photos

Please refer to Appendix D.

3.3 Applied Standards

The Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) testing specification, method and procedure for this device is in accordance with the following standards:

- FCC 47 CFR Part 2 (2.1093)
- IEEE C95.1-1991
- IEEE 1528-2003
- FCC OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C (Edition 01-01)
- FCC KDB 447498 D01 v04
- FCC KDB 648474 D01 v01r05
- FCC KDB 248227 D01 v01r02

3.4 Device Category and SAR Limits

This device belongs to portable device category because its radiating structure is allowed to be used within 20 centimeters of the body of the user. Limit for General Population/Uncontrolled exposure should be applied for this device, it is 1.6 W/kg as averaged over any 1 gram of tissue.

3.5 Test Conditions

3.5.1 Ambient Condition

Ambient Temperature	20 to 24 ℃
Humidity	< 60 %

3.5.2 Test Configuration

WLAN engineering testing software installed on the DUT can provide continuous transmitting RF signal. This RF signal utilized in SAR measurement has almost 100% duty cycle and its crest factor is 1.

4. Specific Absorption Rate (SAR)

4.1 Introduction

SAR is related to the rate at which energy is absorbed per unit mass in an object exposed to a radio field. The SAR distribution in a biological body is complicated and is usually carried out by experimental techniques or numerical modeling. The standard recommends limits for two tiers of groups, occupational/controlled and general population/uncontrolled, based on a person's awareness and ability to exercise control over his or her exposure. In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are higher than the limits for general population/uncontrolled.

4.2 SAR Definition

The SAR definition is the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dW) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dv) of a given density (ρ). The equation description is as below:

$$\mathbf{SAR} = \frac{\mathbf{d}}{\mathbf{dt}}\left(\frac{\mathbf{dW}}{\mathbf{dm}}\right) = \frac{\mathbf{d}}{\mathbf{dt}}\left(\frac{\mathbf{dW}}{\boldsymbol{\rho}\mathbf{dv}}\right)$$

SAR is expressed in units of Watts per kilogram (W/kg)

SAR measurement can be either related to the temperature elevation in tissue by

$$\mathbf{SAR} = \mathbf{C}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\delta}\mathbf{T}}{\boldsymbol{\delta}\mathbf{t}}\right)$$

Where: C is the specific heat capacity, δT is the temperature rise and δt is the exposure duration, or related to the electrical field in the tissue by

$$\mathbf{SAR} = \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}|\mathbf{E}|^2}{\boldsymbol{\rho}}$$

Where: σ is the conductivity of the tissue, ρ is the mass density of the tissue and E is the RMS electrical field strength.

However for evaluating SAR of low power transmitter, electrical field measurement is typically applied.

5. SAR Measurement System



Fig 5.1 SPEAG DASY4 or DASY5 System Configurations

The DASY4 or DASY5 system for performance compliance tests is illustrated above graphically. This system consists of the following items:

- A standard high precision 6-axis robot with controller, a teach pendant and software
- A data acquisition electronic (DAE) attached to the robot arm extension
- A dosimetric probe equipped with an optical surface detector system
- The electro-optical converter (ECO) performs the conversion between optical and electrical signals
- A measurement server performs the time critical tasks such as signal filtering, control of the robot operation and fast movement interrupts.
- A probe alignment unit which improves the accuracy of the probe positioning
- A computer operating Windows XP
- DASY4 or DASY5 software
- Remove control with teach pendant and additional circuitry for robot safety such as warming lamps, etc.
- The SAM twin phantom
- A device holder
- Tissue simulating liquid
- Dipole for evaluating the proper functioning of the system

Some of the components are described in details in the following sub-sections.

5.1 E-Field Probe

The SAR measurement is conducted with the dosimetric probe (manufactured by SPEAG).The probe is specially designed and calibrated for use in liquid with high permittivity. The dosimetric probe has special calibration in liquid at different frequency. This probe has a built in optical surface detection system to prevent from collision with phantom.

5.1.1 E-Field Probe Specification

<ET3DV6 Probe >

Construction	Symmetrical design with triangular core Built-in optical fiber for surface detection system. Built-in shielding against static charges. PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g., DGBE)
Frequency	10 MHz to 3 GHz; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB
Directivity	± 0.2 dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis) ± 0.4 dB in HSL (rotation normal to probe axis)
Dynamic Range	5 µW/g to 100 mW/g; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB
Dimensions	Overall length: 330 mm (Tip: 16 mm) Tip diameter: 6.8 mm (Body: 12 mm) Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 2.7 mm



Fig 5.2 Photo of ET3DV6

<EX3DV4 Probe>

Construction	Symmetrical design with triangular core Built-in shielding against static charges PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g., DGBE)
Frequency	10 MHz to 6 GHz; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB
Directivity	± 0.3 dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis) ± 0.5 dB in tissue material (rotation normal to probe axis)
Dynamic Range	10 µW/g to 100 mW/g; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (noise: typically < 1 µW/g)
Dimensions	Overall length: 330 mm (Tip: 20 mm) Tip diameter: 2.5 mm (Body: 12 mm) Typical distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 1 mm

Fig 5.3 Photo of EX3DV4

5.1.2 E-Field Probe Calibration

Each probe needs to be calibrated according to a dosimetric assessment procedure with accuracy better than ± 10%. The spherical isotropy shall be evaluated and within ± 0.25 dB. The sensitivity parameters (NormX, NormY, and NormZ), the diode compression parameter (DCP) and the conversion factor (ConvF) of the probe are tested. The calibration data can be referred to appendix C of this report.

5.2 Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE)

The data acquisition electronics (DAE) consists of a highly sensitive electrometer-grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16 bit AD-converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Transmission to the measurement server is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information as well as an optical uplink for commands and the clock.

The input impedance of the DAE is 200 MOhm; the inputs are symmetrical and floating. Common mode rejection is above 80 dB.

Fig 5.4 Photo of DAE

5.3 Robot

The SPEAG DASY system uses the high precision robots (DASY4: RX90BL; DASY5: TX90XL) type from Stäubli SA (France). For the 6-axis controller system, the robot controller version (DASY4: CS7MB; DASY5: CS8c) from Stäubli is used. The Stäubli robot series have many features that are important for our application:

- High precision (repeatability ±0.035 mm)
- High reliability (industrial design)
- Jerk-free straight movements
- Low ELF interference (the closed metallic construction shields against motor control fields)



Fig 5.5 Photo of DASY4

Fig 5.6 Photo of DASY5

5.4 Measurement Server

The measurement server is based on a PC/104 CPU board with CPU (DASY4: 166 MHz, Intel Pentium; DASY5: 400 MHz, Intel Celeron), chipdisk (DASY4: 32 MB; DASY5: 128 MB), RAM (DASY4: 64 MB, DASY5: 128 MB). The necessary circuits for communication with the DAE electronic box, as well as the 16 bit AD converter system for optical detection and digital I/O interface are contained on the DASY I/O board, which is directly connected to the PC/104 bus of the CPU board.

The measurement server performs all the real-time data evaluation for field measurements and surface detection, controls robot movements and handles safety operations.

Fig 5.7 Photo of Server for DASY4

Fig 5.8 Photo of Server for DASY5

5.5 Phantom

<SAM Twin Phantom>

Shell Thickness	2 ± 0.2 mm; Center ear point: 6 ± 0.2 mm
Filling Volume	Approx. 25 liters
Dimensions	Length: 1000 mm; Width: 500 mm; Height: adjustable feet
Measurement Areas	Left Hand, Right Hand, Flat Phantom

Fig 5.9 Photo of SAM Phantom

The bottom plate contains three pair of bolts for locking the device holder. The device holder positions are adjusted to the standard measurement positions in the three sections. A white cover is provided to tap the phantom during off-periods to prevent water evaporation and changes in the liquid parameters. On the phantom top, three reference markers are provided to identify the phantom position with respect to the robot.

<ELI4 Phantom>

Shell Thickness	2 ± 0.2 mm (sagging: <1%)	
Filling Volume	Approx. 30 liters	
Dimensions	Major ellipse axis: 600 mm Minor axis: 400 mm	**Fig 5.10 Photo of ELI4 Phantom**

The ELI4 phantom is intended for compliance testing of handheld and body-mounted wireless devices in the frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz. ELI4 is fully compatible with standard and all known tissue simulating liquids.

5.6 Device Holder

<Device Holder for SAM Twin Phantom>

The SAR in the phantom is approximately inversely proportional to the square of the distance between the source and the liquid surface. For a source at 5 mm distance, a positioning uncertainty of ± 0.5 mm would produce a SAR uncertainty of ± 20 %. Accurate device positioning is therefore crucial for accurate and repeatable measurements. The positions in which the devices must be measured are defined by the standards.

The DASY device holder is designed to cope with different positions given in the standard. It has two scales for the device rotation (with respect to the body axis) and the device inclination (with respect to the line between the ear reference points). The rotation center for both scales is the ear reference point (EPR). Thus the device needs no repositioning when changing the angles.

The DASY device holder is constructed of low-loss POM material having the following dielectric parameters: relative permittivity ε = 3 and loss tangent δ = 0.02. The amount of dielectric material has been reduced in the closest vicinity of the device, since measurements have suggested that the influence of the clamp on the test results could thus be lowered.

Fig 5.11 Device Holder

<Laptop Extension Kit>

The extension is lightweight and made of POM, acrylic glass and foam. It fits easily on the upper part of the mounting device in place of the phone positioned. The extension is fully compatible with the SAM Twin and ELI phantoms.



Fig 5.12 Laptop Extension Kit

5.7 Data Storage and Evaluation

5.7.1 Data Storage

The DASY software stores the assessed data from the data acquisition electronics as raw data (in microvolt readings from the probe sensors), together with all the necessary software parameters for the data evaluation (probe calibration data, liquid parameters and device frequency and modulation data) in measurement files. The post-processing software evaluates the desired unit and format for output each time the data is visualized or exported. This allows verification of the complete software setup even after the measurement and allows correction of erroneous parameter settings. For example, if a measurement has been performed with an incorrect crest factor parameter in the device setup, the parameter can be corrected afterwards and the data can be reevaluated.

The measured data can be visualized or exported in different units or formats, depending on the selected probe type (e.g., [V/m], [A/m], [mW/g]). Some of these units are not available in certain situations or give meaningless results, e.g., a SAR-output in a non-lose media, will always be zero. Raw data can also be exported to perform the evaluation with other software packages.

5.7.2 Data Evaluation

The DASY post-processing software (SEMCAD) automatically executes the following procedures to calculate the field units from the microvolt readings at the probe connector. The parameters used in the evaluation are stored in the configuration modules of the software：

Probe parameters：	- Sensitivity	$Norm_i$, a_{i0}, a_{i1}, a_{i2}
	- Conversion factor	$ConvF_i$
	- Diode compression point	dcp_i
Device parameters：	- Frequency	f
	- Crest factor	cf
Media parameters：	- Conductivity	σ
	- Density	ρ

These parameters must be set correctly in the software. They can be found in the component documents or they can be imported into the software from the configuration files issued for the DASY components. In the direct measuring mode of the multi-meter option, the parameters of the actual system setup are used. In the scan visualization and export modes, the parameters stored in the corresponding document files are used.

The first step of the evaluation is a linearization of the filtered input signal to account for the compression characteristics of the detector diode. The compensation depends on the input signal, the diode type and the DC-transmission factor from the diode to the evaluation electronics. If the exciting field is pulsed, the crest factor of the signal must be known to correctly compensate for peak power.

The formula for each channel can be given as：

$$\mathbf{V_i = U_i + U_i^2 \cdot \frac{cf}{dcp_i}}$$

with V_i = compensated signal of channel i, (i = x, y, z)
U_i = input signal of channel i, (i = x, y, z)
cf = crest factor of exciting field (DASY parameter)
dcp_i = diode compression point (DASY parameter)

From the compensated input signals, the primary field data for each channel can be evaluated：

E-field Probes：$\mathbf{E_i = \sqrt{\frac{V_i}{Norm_i \cdot ConvF}}}$

H-field Probes：$\mathbf{H_i = \sqrt{V_i} \cdot \frac{a_{i0}+a_{i1}f+a_{i2}f^2}{f}}$

with V_i = compensated signal of channel i, (i = x, y, z)
$Norm_i$ = sensor sensitivity of channel i, (i = x, y, z), μV/(V/m)^2 for E-field Probes
ConvF = sensitivity enhancement in solution
a_{ij} = sensor sensitivity factors for H-field probes
f = carrier frequency [GHz]
E_i = electric field strength of channel i in V/m
H_i = magnetic field strength of channel i in A/m

The RSS value of the field components gives the total field strength (Hermitian magnitude)：

$$\mathbf{E_{tot} = \sqrt{E_x^2 + E_y^2 + E_z^2}}$$

The primary field data are used to calculate the derived field units.

$$\mathbf{SAR = E_{tot}^2 \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\rho \cdot 1000}}$$

with SAR = local specific absorption rate in mW/g
E_{tot} = total field strength in V/m
σ = conductivity in [mho/m] or [Siemens/m]
ρ = equivalent tissue density in g/cm^3

Note that the density is set to 1, to account for actual head tissue density rather than the density of the tissue simulating liquid.

5.8 Test Equipment List

Manufacturer	Name of Equipment	Type/Model	Serial Number	Calibration	
				Last Cal.	Due Date
SPEAG	Dosimetric E-Field Probe	EX3DV4	3754	Jan. 11, 2011	Jan. 10, 2012
SPEAG	Dosimetric E-Field Probe	EX3DV4	3697	Sep. 02, 2011	Sep. 01, 2012
SPEAG	Dosimetric E-Filed Probe	EX3DV4	3792	Jun. 20, 2011	Jun. 19, 2012
SPEAG	2450MHz System Validation Kit	D2450V2	736	Jul. 25, 2011	Jul. 24, 2012
SPEAG	5GHz System Validation Kit	D5GHzV2	1040	Jun. 21, 2011	Jun. 20, 2012
SPEAG	Data Acquisition Electronics	DAE3	577	Jan. 13, 2011	Jan. 12, 2012
SPEAG	Data Acquisition Electronics	DAE4	905	Jun. 24, 2011	Jun. 23, 2012
SPEAG	Data Acquisition Electronics	DAE4	1279	Jun. 17, 2011	Jun. 16, 2012
SPEAG	Device Holder	N/A	N/A	NCR	NCR
SPEAG	SAM Phantom	QD 000 P40 C	TP-1303	NCR	NCR
SPEAG	SAM Phantom	QD 000 P40 C	TP-1383	NCR	NCR
SPEAG	SAM Phantom	QD 000 P40 C	TP-1446	NCR	NCR
SPEAG	SAM Phantom	QD 000 P40 C	TP-1478	NCR	NCR
SPEAG	SAM Phantom	QD 000 P41 C	TP-1150	NCR	NCR
SPEAG	SAM Phantom	QD 000 P40 CD	TP-1644	NCR	NCR
SPEAG	SAM Phantom	SM 000 T01 DA	TP-1542	NCR	NCR
SPEAG	ELI4 Phantom	QD 0VA 001 BB	1026	NCR	NCR
SPEAG	ELI4 Phantom	QD 0VA 001 BA	1029	NCR	NCR
SPEAG	ELI4 Phantom	QD 0VA 002 AA	TP-1127	NCR	NCR
SPEAG	ELI4 Phantom	QD 0VA 002 AA	TP-1131	NCR	NCR
Agilent	ENA Series Network Analyzer	E5071C	MY46100746	Jun. 10, 2011	Jun. 09, 2012
Agilent	Wireless Communication Test Set	E5515C	MY48360820	Jan. 12, 2010	Jan. 11, 2012
Agilent	Wireless Communication Test Set	E5515C	GB46311322	Mar. 23, 2011	Mar. 22, 2013
Agilent	Wireless Communication Test Set	E5515C	MY50264370	Apr. 19, 2011	Apr. 18, 2013
R&S	Universal Radio Communication Tester	CMU200	114256	Feb. 08, 2010	Feb. 07, 2012
Agilent	Dielectric Probe Kit	85070D	US01440205	NCR	NCR
Agilent	Dual Directional Coupler	778D	50422	NCR	NCR
AR	Power Amplifier	5S1G4M2	0328767	NCR	NCR
R&S	Spectrum Analyzer	FSP7	101131	Jul. 29, 2011	Jul. 28, 2012
R&S	Spectrum Analyzer	FSP30	101329	May 03, 2011	May 02, 2012

Table 5.1 Test Equipment List

Note: The calibration certificate of DASY can be referred to appendix C of this report.

6. Tissue Simulating Liquids

For the measurement of the field distribution inside the SAM phantom with DASY, the phantom must be filled with around 25 liters of homogeneous body tissue simulating liquid. For head SAR testing, the liquid height from the ear reference point (ERP) of the phantom to the liquid top surface is larger than 15 cm, which is shown in Fig. 6.1. For body SAR testing, the liquid height from the center of the flat phantom to the liquid top surface is larger than 15 cm, which is shown in Fig. 6.2.



Fig 6.1 Photo of Liquid Height for Head SAR



Fig 6.2 Photo of Liquid Height for Body SAR

The following table gives the recipes for tissue simulating liquid.

Frequency (MHz)	Water (%)	Sugar (%)	Cellulose (%)	Salt (%)	Preventol (%)	DGBE (%)	Conductivity (σ)	Permittivity (ε_r)
For Body								
2450	68.6	0	0	0	0	31.4	1.95	52.7

Table 6.1 Recipes of Tissue Simulating Liquid

Recipes of 5GHz Head (or Body) Tissue Simulating Liquid

Ingredients	(% by weight)
Water	64~78%
Mineral oil	11~18%
Emulsifiers	9~15%
Additives and Salt	2~3%

The dielectric parameters of the liquids were verified prior to the SAR evaluation using an Agilent 85070D Dielectric Probe Kit and an Agilent Network Analyzer.

The following table shows the measuring results for simulating liquid.

Freq.	Liquid Type	Temp. (℃)	Conductivity (σ)	Permittivity (ε_r)	Conductivity Target (σ)	Permittivity Target (εr)	Delta (σ) (%)	Delta (ε_r) (%)	Limit (%)	Date
2450	Body	21.5	1.97	53.8	1.95	52.7	1.03	2.09	±5	Oct. 13, 2011
5200	Body	21.2	5.28	47.5	5.3	49	-0.38	-3.06	±5	Oct. 13, 2011
5200	Body	21.5	5.27	47.6	5.3	49	-0.57	-2.86	±5	Oct. 14, 2011
5500	Body	21.5	5.66	47	5.65	48.6	0.18	-3.29	±5	Oct. 14, 2011
5800	Body	21.5	6.15	46.5	6	48.2	2.50	-3.53	±5	Oct. 14, 2011

Table 6.2 Measuring Results for Simulating Liquid

7. Uncertainty Assessment

The component of uncertainly may generally be categorized according to the methods used to evaluate them. The evaluation of uncertainly by the statistical analysis of a series of observations is termed a Type An evaluation of uncertainty. The evaluation of uncertainty by means other than the statistical analysis of a series of observation is termed a Type B evaluation of uncertainty. Each component of uncertainty, however evaluated, is represented by an estimated standard deviation, termed standard uncertainty, which is determined by the positive square root of the estimated variance.

A Type A evaluation of standard uncertainty may be based on any valid statistical method for treating data. This includes calculating the standard deviation of the mean of a series of independent observations; using the method of least squares to fit a curve to the data in order to estimate the parameter of the curve and their standard deviations; or carrying out an analysis of variance in order to identify and quantify random effects in certain kinds of measurement.

A type B evaluation of standard uncertainty is typically based on scientific judgment using all of the relevant information available. These may include previous measurement data, experience and knowledge of the behavior and properties of relevant materials and instruments, manufacture's specification, data provided in calibration reports and uncertainties assigned to reference data taken from handbooks. Broadly speaking, the uncertainty is either obtained from an outdoor source or obtained from an assumed distribution, such as the normal distribution, rectangular or triangular distributions indicated in Table 7.1

Uncertainty Distributions	Normal	Rectangular	Triangular	U-Shape
Multi-plying Factor[(a)]	1/k[(b)]	$1/\sqrt{3}$	$1/\sqrt{6}$	$1/\sqrt{2}$

(a) standard uncertainty is determined as the product of the multiplying factor and the estimated range of variations in the measured quantity

(b) κ is the coverage factor

Table 7.1 Standard Uncertainty for Assumed Distribution

The combined standard uncertainty of the measurement result represents the estimated standard deviation of the result. It is obtained by combining the individual standard uncertainties of both Type A and Type B evaluation using the usual "root-sum-squares" (RSS) methods of combining standard deviations by taking the positive square root of the estimated variances.

Expanded uncertainty is a measure of uncertainty that defines an interval about the measurement result within which the measured value is confidently believed to lie. It is obtained by multiplying the combined standard uncertainty by a coverage factor. Typically, the coverage factor ranges from 2 to 3. Using a coverage factor allows the true value of a measured quantity to be specified with a defined probability within the specified uncertainty range. For purpose of this document, a coverage factor two is used, which corresponds to confidence interval of about 95 %. The DASY uncertainty Budget is showed in Table 7.2.

Error Description	Uncertainty Value (±%)	Probability Distribution	Divisor	Ci (1g)	Standard Uncertainty (1g)
Measurement System					
Probe Calibration	6.0	Normal	1	1	± 6.0 %
Axial Isotropy	4.7	Rectangular	√3	0.7	± 1.9 %
Hemispherical Isotropy	9.6	Rectangular	√3	0.7	± 3.9 %
Boundary Effects	1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.6 %
Linearity	4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.7 %
System Detection Limits	1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.6 %
Readout Electronics	0.3	Normal	1	1	± 0.3 %
Response Time	0.8	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.5 %
Integration Time	2.6	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.5 %
RF Ambient Noise	3.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.7 %
RF Ambient Reflections	3.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.7 %
Probe Positioner	0.4	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.2 %
Probe Positioning	2.9	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.7 %
Max. SAR Eval.	1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.6 %
Test Sample Related					
Device Positioning	2.9	Normal	1	1	± 2.9 %
Device Holder	3.6	Normal	1	1	± 3.6 %
Power Drift	5.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.9 %
Phantom and Setup					
Phantom Uncertainty	4.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.3 %
Liquid Conductivity (Target)	5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.64	± 1.8 %
Liquid Conductivity (Meas.)	2.5	Normal	1	0.64	± 1.6 %
Liquid Permittivity (Target)	5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.6	± 1.7 %
Liquid Permittivity (Meas.)	2.5	Normal	1	0.6	± 1.5 %
Combined Standard Uncertainty					± 10.99 %
Coverage Factor for 95 %					K = 2
Expanded Uncertainty					**± 21.97 %**

Table 7.2 Uncertainty Budget of DASY for frequency range 300 MHz to 3 GHz

Error Description	Uncertainty Value (±%)	Probability Distribution	Divisor	Ci (10g)	Standard Uncertainty (10g)
Measurement System					
Probe Calibration	6.55	Normal	1	1	± 6.55 %
Axial Isotropy	4.7	Rectangular	√3	0.7	± 1.9 %
Hemispherical Isotropy	9.6	Rectangular	√3	0.7	± 3.9 %
Boundary Effects	2.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.2 %
Linearity	4.7	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.7 %
System Detection Limits	1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.6 %
Readout Electronics	0.3	Normal	1	1	± 0.3 %
Response Time	0.8	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.5 %
Integration Time	2.6	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.5 %
RF Ambient Noise	3.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.7 %
RF Ambient Reflections	3.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 1.7 %
Probe Positioner	0.8	Rectangular	√3	1	± 0.5 %
Probe Positioning	9.9	Rectangular	√3	1	± 5.7 %
Max. SAR Eval.	4.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.3 %
Test Sample Related					
Device Positioning	2.9	Normal	1	1	± 2.9 %
Device Holder	3.6	Normal	1	1	± 3.6 %
Power Drift	5.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.9 %
Phantom and Setup					
Phantom Uncertainty	4.0	Rectangular	√3	1	± 2.3 %
Liquid Conductivity (Target)	5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.64	± 1.8 %
Liquid Conductivity (Meas.)	2.5	Normal	1	0.64	± 1.6 %
Liquid Permittivity (Target)	5.0	Rectangular	√3	0.6	± 1.7 %
Liquid Permittivity (Meas.)	2.5	Normal	1	0.6	± 1.5 %
Combined Standard Uncertainty					± 12.79 %
Coverage Factor for 95 %					K = 2
Expanded Uncertainty					**± 25.58 %**

Table 7.3 Uncertainty Budget of DASY for frequency range 3 GHz to 6 GHz

8. SAR Measurement Evaluation

Each DASY system is equipped with one or more system validation kits. These units, together with the predefined measurement procedures within the DASY software, enable the user to conduct the system performance check and system validation. System validation kit includes a dipole, tripod holder to fix it underneath the flat phantom and a corresponding distance holder.

8.1 Purpose of System Performance check

The system performance check verifies that the system operates within its specifications. System and operator errors can be detected and corrected. It is recommended that the system performance check be performed prior to any usage of the system in order to guarantee reproducible results. The system performance check uses normal SAR measurements in a simplified setup with a well characterized source. This setup was selected to give a high sensitivity to all parameters that might fail or vary over time. The system check does not intend to replace the calibration of the components, but indicates situations where the system uncertainty is exceeded due to drift or failure.

8.2 System Setup

In the simplified setup for system evaluation, the DUT is replaced by a calibrated dipole and the power source is replaced by a continuous wave that comes from a signal generator. The calibrated dipole must be placed beneath the flat phantom section of the SAM twin phantom with the correct distance holder. The distance holder should touch the phantom surface with a light pressure at the reference marking and be oriented parallel to the long side of the phantom. The equipment setup is shown below:



Fig 8.1 System Setup for System Evaluation

1. Signal Generator
2. Amplifier
3. Directional Coupler
4. Power Meter
5. Calibrated Dipole

The output power on dipole port must be calibrated to 24 dBm (250 mW) before dipole is connected.



Fig 8.2 Photo of Dipole Setup

8.3 Validation Results

Comparing to the original SAR value provided by SPEAG, the validation data should be within its specification of 10 %. Table 8.1 shows the target SAR and measured SAR after normalized to 1W input power. The table below indicates the system performance check can meet the variation criterion and the plots can be referred to Appendix A of this report.

Measurement Date	Frequency (MHz)	Liquid Type	Targeted SAR_{1g} (W/kg)	Measured SAR_{1g} (W/kg)	Normalized SAR_{1g} (W/kg)	Deviation (%)
Oct. 13, 2011	2450	Body	52.300	12.400	49.60	-5.16
Oct. 13, 2011	5200	Body	76.000	17.800	71.20	-6.32
Oct. 14, 2011	5200	Body	76.000	17.900	71.60	-5.79
Oct. 14, 2011	5500	Body	81.700	20.200	80.80	-1.10
Oct. 14, 2011	5800	Body	75.400	19.500	78.00	3.45

Table 8.1 Target and Measurement SAR after Normalized

9. DUT Testing Position

This DUT was tested in four different positions. They are Front of the DUT with phantom 1.5 cm gap, Back of the DUT with phantom 1.5 cm gap, Front of the DUT and holster with phantom 0 cm gap, Back of the DUT and holster with phantom 0 cm gap, as illustrated below:

1. Body Worn Position

(a) To position the device parallel to the phantom surface with either keypad up or down.

(b) To adjust the device parallel to the flat phantom.

(c) To adjust the distance between the device surface and the flat phantom to 1.5 cm or holster surface and the flat phantom to 0 cm.



Fig 9.1 Illustration for Body Worn Position

<DUT Setup Photos>

Please refer to Appendix E for the test setup photos.

10. Measurement Procedures

The measurement procedures are as follows:

(a) Use base station or engineering software (if applicable) to transmit RF power continuously (continuous Tx) in the highest power channel Set base station emulator to allow DUT to radiate maximum output power.
(b) Measure output power through RF cable and power meter.
(c) Place the DUT in the positions as Appendix E demonstrates.
(d) Set scan area, grid size and other setting on the DASY software.
(e) Measure SAR results for the highest power channel on each testing position.
(f) Find out the largest SAR result on these testing positions of each band
(g) Measure SAR results for other channels in worst SAR testing position if the SAR of highest power channel is larger than 0.8 W/kg

According to the test standard, the recommended procedure for assessing the peak spatial-average SAR value consists of the following steps:

(a) Power reference measurement
(b) Area scan
(c) Zoom scan
(d) Power drift measurement

10.1 Spatial Peak SAR Evaluation

The procedure for spatial peak SAR evaluation has been implemented according to the test standard. It can be conducted for 1g and 10g, as well as for user-specific masses. The DASY software includes all numerical procedures necessary to evaluate the spatial peak SAR value.

The base for the evaluation is a "cube" measurement. The measured volume must include the 1g and 10g cubes with the highest averaged SAR values. For that purpose, the center of the measured volume is aligned to the interpolated peak SAR value of a previously performed area scan.

The entire evaluation of the spatial peak values is performed within the post-processing engine (SEMCAD). The system always gives the maximum values for the 1g and 10g cubes. The algorithm to find the cube with highest averaged SAR is divided into the following stages:

(a) Extraction of the measured data (grid and values) from the Zoom Scan
(b) Calculation of the SAR value at every measurement point based on all stored data (A/D values and measurement parameters)
(c) Generation of a high-resolution mesh within the measured volume
(d) Interpolation of all measured values form the measurement grid to the high-resolution grid
(e) Extrapolation of the entire 3-D field distribution to the phantom surface over the distance from sensor to surface
(f) Calculation of the averaged SAR within masses of 1g and 10g

10.2 Area & Zoom Scan Procedures

First Area Scan is used to locate the approximate location(s) of the local peak SAR value(s). The measurement grid within an Area Scan is defined by the grid extent, grid step size and grid offset. Next, in order to determine the EM field distribution in a three-dimensional spatial extension, Zoom Scan is required. The Zoom Scan measures 5x5x7 points with step size 8, 8 and 5 mm for 300 MHz to 3 GHz, and 8x8x8 points with step size 4, 4 and 2.5 mm for 3 GHz to 6 GHz. The Zoom Scan is performed around the highest E-field value to determine the averaged SAR-distribution over 10 g.

10.3 Volume Scan Procedures

The volume scan is used for assess overlapping SAR distributions for antennas transmitting in different frequency bands. It is equivalent to an oversized zoom scan used in standalone measurements. The measurement volume will be used to enclose all the simultaneous transmitting antennas. For antennas transmitting simultaneously in different frequency bands, the volume scan is measured separately in each frequency band. In order to sum correctly to compute the 1g aggregate SAR, the DUT remain in the same test position for all measurements and all volume scan use the same spatial resolution and grid spacing (step-size is 4, 4 and 2.5 mm). When all volume scan were completed, the software, SEMCAD postprocessor can combine and subsequently superpose these measurement data to calculating the multiband SAR.

10.4 SAR Averaged Methods

In DASY, the interpolation and extrapolation are both based on the modified Quadratic Shepard's method. The interpolation scheme combines a least-square fitted function method and a weighted average method which are the two basic types of computational interpolation and approximation.

Extrapolation routines are used to obtain SAR values between the lowest measurement points and the inner phantom surface. The extrapolation distance is determined by the surface detection distance and the probe sensor offset. The uncertainty increases with the extrapolation distance. To keep the uncertainty within 1% for the 1 g and 10 g cubes, the extrapolation distance should not be larger than 5 mm.

10.5 Power Drift Monitoring

All SAR testing is under the DUT install full charged battery and transmit maximum output power. In DASY measurement software, the power reference measurement and power drift measurement procedures are used for monitoring the power drift of DUT during SAR test. Both these procedures measure the field at a specified reference position before and after the SAR testing. The software will calculate the field difference in dB. If the power drift more than 5%, the SAR will be retested.

11. SAR Test Configuration

11.1 Exposure Positions Consideration



WLAN 2.4G & Bluetooth antenna	WLAN 2.4G & Bluetooth <Tx/Rx>
WLAN 5G antenna	WLAN 5G Antenna <Tx/Rx>

12. SAR Test Results

12.1 Conducted Power (Unit: dBm)

Band	802.11b			802.11g		
Channel	1	6	11	1	6	11
Frequency (MHz)	2412	2437	2462	2412	2437	2462
Average Power	17.44	17.70	17.66	11.47	16.46	11.68

Band	802.11n (BW 20MHz)		
Channel	1	6	11
Frequency (MHz)	2412	2437	2462
Average Power	11.36	16.37	11.61

Note:

1. Per KDB 248227, 11g and 11n output power is less than 1/4 dB higher than 11b mode, thus the SAR can be excluded.
2. Per 2010/4 TCB workshop, choose the highest output power channel to test SAR and determine further SAR exclusion, and CH 6 is chosen here.

Band	802.11a							
Channel	36	40	44	48	52	56	60	64
Frequency (MHz)	5180	5200	5220	5240	5260	5280	5300	5320
Average Power	15.13	14.98	15.44	15.46	15.55	15.49	15.20	15.23

Band	802.11a							
Channel	100	104	108	112	116	132	136	140
Frequency (MHz)	5500	5520	5540	5560	5580	5660	5680	5700
Average Power	15.56	15.45	14.93	15.01	14.94	14.93	15.47	14.92

Band	802.11a				
Channel	149	153	157	161	165
Frequency (MHz)	5745	5765	5785	5805	5825
Average Power	15.08	15.38	15.05	15.48	15.07

Band	802.11n (BW 20MHz)							
Channel	36	40	44	48	52	56	60	64
Frequency (MHz)	5180	5200	5220	5240	5260	5280	5300	5320
Average Power	14.88	15.36	15.20	15.31	15.35	15.39	15.02	14.94

Band	802.11n (BW 20MHz)							
Channel	100	104	108	112	116	132	136	140
Frequency (MHz)	5500	5520	5540	5560	5580	5660	5680	5700
Average Power	15.19	15.36	15.32	14.86	15.30	15.20	15.34	14.43

Band	802.11n (BW 20MHz)				
Channel	149	153	157	161	165
Frequency (MHz)	5745	5765	5785	5805	5825
Average Power	15.03	15.23	15.00	15.39	15.01

Note:1. Per KDB 248227, 11n output power is less than 1/4 dB higher than 11a mode, thus SAR can be excluded.

2. Per 2010/10 TCB workshop and KDB 248227, choose the highest output power channel to test SAR and determine further SAR exclusion. Therefore CH 48, CH52, CH100 and CH 161 are chosen first for SAR testing.

Band	Bluetooth		
Channel	0	39	78
Frequency	2402	2441	2480
Average Power	2.95	3.32	3.27

Note: Bluetooth standalone SAR is not required because the Bluetooth highest average power (3.32 dBm) is less than 60/f.

12.2 Test Records for Body-worn SAR Test

<DUT without Holster>

Plot No.	Band	Mode	Test Position	Gap (cm)	Ch.	Battery	Keypad	SAR_{1g} (W/kg)
1	802.11b	-	Front	1.5	6	1	1	0.026
2	802.11b	-	Back	1.5	6	1	1	0.047
3	**802.11b**	**-**	**Back**	**1.5**	**6**	**2**	**2**	**0.05**
7	802.11a	-	Front	1.5	48	1	1	0.032
8	802.11a	-	Back	1.5	48	1	1	0.056
9	**802.11a**	**-**	**Back**	**1.5**	**48**	**2**	**2**	**0.09**
10	802.11a	-	Front	1.5	52	1	1	0.024
11	802.11a	-	Back	1.5	52	1	1	0.072
12	802.11a	-	Back	1.5	52	2	2	0.079
13	802.11a	-	Front	1.5	100	1	1	0.011
14	802.11a	-	Back	1.5	100	1	1	0.046
15	802.11a	-	Back	1.5	100	2	2	0.077
16	802.11a	-	Front	1.5	161	1	1	0.029
17	802.11a	-	Back	1.5	161	1	1	0.031
18	802.11a	-	Back	1.5	161	2	2	0.037

<DUT with Holster>

Plot No.	Band	Mode	Test Position	Gap (cm)	Ch.	Battery	Keypad	Holster	SAR1g (W/kg)
4	802.11b	-	Front	0	6	1	1	v	0.042
5	**802.11b**	**-**	**Back**	**0**	**6**	**1**	**1**	**v**	**0.083**
6	802.11b	-	Back	0	6	2	2	v	0.08
25	802.11a	-	Front	0	48	1	1	v	0.019
26	802.11a	-	Back	0	48	1	1	v	0.042
27	802.11a	-	Back	0	48	2	2	v	0.064
28	802.11a	-	Front	0	52	1	1	v	0.018
29	802.11a	-	Back	0	52	1	1	v	0.044
30	802.11a	-	Back	0	52	2	2	v	0.065
22	802.11a	-	Front	0	100	1	1	v	0.014
23	802.11a	-	Back	0	100	1	1	v	0.039
24	**802.11a**	**-**	**Back**	**0**	**100**	**2**	**2**	**v**	**0.072**
19	802.11a	-	Front	0	161	1	1	v	0.04
20	802.11a	-	Back	0	161	1	1	v	0.031
21	802.11a	-	Front	0	161	2	2	v	0.045

Note: 1. Per KDB 648474, if the highest output channel SAR for each exposure position ≤ 0.8 W/kg other channels SAR tests are not necessary.

2. WLAN2.4G and Bluetooth share the same antenna and cannot transmit simultaneously.

3. DUT will choose either BT or WLAN5G according to the network signal condition, therefore, BT transmission will not exist with WLAN5G at the same time.

4. DUT will choose either WLAN2.4G or WLAN5G according to the network signal condition, therefore, WLAN2.4G transmission will not exist with WLAN5G at the same time.

Test Engineer： Nick Tour, Angelo Chang, Aaron Chen, Vic Yang and San Lin

13. References

[1] FCC 47 CFR Part 2 “Frequency Allocations and Radio Treaty Matters; General Rules and Regulations”

[2] IEEE Std. C95.1-1991, “IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz”, 1991

[3] IEEE Std. 1528-2003, “Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques”, December 2003

[4] FCC OET Bulletin 65 (Edition 97-01) Supplement C (Edition 01-01), “Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields”, June 2001

[5] SPEAG DASY System Handbook

[6] FCC KDB 248227 D01 v01r02, “SAR Measurement Procedures for 802.11 a/b/g Transmitters”, May 2007

[7] FCC KDB 447498 D01 v04, “Mobile and Portable Device RF Exposure Procedures and Equipment Authorization Policies”, November 2009

[8] FCC KDB 447498 D02 v02, “SAR Measurement Procedures for USB Dongle Transmitters”, November 2009

[9] FCC KDB 616217 D01 v01r01, “SAR Evaluation Considerations for Laptop Computers with Antennas Built-in on Display Screens”, November 2009

[10] FCC KDB 616217 D03 v01, “SAR Evaluation Considerations for Laptop/Notebook/Netbook and Tablet Computers”, November 2009

[11] FCC KDB 648474 D01 v01r05, “SAR Evaluation Considerations for Handsets with Multiple Transmitters and Antennas”, September 2008

[12] FCC KDB 941225 D01 v02, “SAR Measurement Procedures for 3G Devices – CDMA 2000 / Ev-Do / WCDMA / HSDPA / HSPA”, October 2007

[13] FCC KDB 941225 D02 v02 "3GPP R6 HSPA and R7 HSPA+ SAR Guidance", December 2009.

[14] FCC KDB 941225 D03 v01, “Recommended SAR Test Reduction Procedures for GSM / GPRS / EDGE”, December 2008

[15] FCC KDB 941225 D04 v01, “Evaluating SAR for GSM/(E)GPRS Dual Transfer Mode”, January 27 2010

Appendix A. Plots of System Performance Check

The plots are shown as follows.

Appendix B. Plots of SAR Measurement

The plots are shown as follows.

Appendix C. DASY Calibration Certificate

The DASY calibration certificates are shown as follows.