


MOTOROLA

Exhibit 11: Class II Permissive Change SAR Test Report IHDT56CL1

Date of test: 19-June, 2003
Date of Report: 30-June, 2003

Laboratory: Motorola Personal Communications Sector Product Safety & Compliance Laboratory
 600 N. US Highway 45
 Room: MW113
 Libertyville, Illinois 60048

Test Responsible: Steven Hauswirth
 Principal Staff Engineer

Accreditation: This laboratory is accredited to ISO/IEC 17025-1999 to perform the following tests:



Tests:
 Electromagnetic Specific Absorption Rate

Procedures:
 ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992, 1999
 (SAR) IEEE C95.3-1991
 IEEE P1528 (DRAFT)
 FCC OET Bulletin 65 (including Supplements A, B, C)
 Australian Communications Authority Radio
 Communications (Electromagnetic Radiation – Human
 Exposure) Standard 1999
 CENELEC EN 50361 (2001)
 APP-0247
 DOI-0876, 0900, 0902, 0904, 0915

Simulated Tissue Preparation
 RF Power Measurement

On the following products or types of products:

Wireless Communications Devices (Examples): Two Way Radios; Portable Phones (including Cellular, Licensed Non-Broadcast and PCS); Low Frequency Readers; and Pagers

A2LA certificate #1651-01

Statement of Compliance:

Motorola declares under its sole responsibility that portable cellular telephone FCC ID IHDT56CL1 to which this declaration relates, is in conformity with the appropriate General Population/Uncontrolled RF exposure standards, recommendations and guidelines (FCC 47 CFR §2.1093). It also declares that the product was tested in accordance with the appropriate measurement standards, guidelines and recommended practices. Any deviations from these standards, guidelines and recommended practices are noted below:

(none)

©Motorola, Inc. 2003

This test report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of the laboratory.

The results and statements contained herein relate only to the items tested. The names of individuals involved may be mentioned only in connection with the statements or results from this report.

Motorola encourages all feedback, both positive and negative, on this test report.

Table of Contents

1) Introduction	3
2) Description of the Device Under Test	3
Antenna description	3
Device description	3
3) Test Equipment	3
3.1 Dosimetric system	3
3.2 Additional equipment used	4
4) Electrical parameters of the tissue simulating liquid	4
5) System Accuracy Verification	4
6) Test Results	5
6.1 Body-Worn Test Results	6

References:

Appendix 1: SAR distribution comparison for the system accuracy verification	7
Appendix 2: SAR distribution plots for Body Worn Configuration	8
Appendix 3. Probe Calibration Certificate	10
Appendix 4. Dipole Characterization Certificate	11
Appendix 5: Measurement Uncertainty Budget	12
Appendix 6. Photographs of the device under test	15

1. Introduction

The Motorola Personal Communications Sector Product Safety Laboratory has performed measurements of the maximum potential exposure to the user of portable cellular phone (FCC ID IHDT56CL1). The Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) of this product was measured. The portable cellular phone was tested in accordance with FCC OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C 01-01. This class II permissive change SAR test report shows the results from the SAR tests performed using the new body worn accessory.

2. Description of the Device Under Test

Antenna description

Type	Stubby	
Location	Right Side	
Dimensions	Length	17mm
	Width	6mm
Configuration	Stubby	

Device description

FCC ID Number	IHDT56CL1	
Serial number	42CC86EC & 42CC870A	
Mode(s) of Operation	CDMA800	CDMA1900
Modulation Mode(s)	CDMA	CDMA
Maximum Output Power Setting	25.00 dBm	25.00 dBm
Duty Cycle	1:1	1:1
Transmitting Frequency Rang(s)	824.70 – 848.31 MHz	1851.25 – 1908.75 MHz
Production Unit or Identical Prototype (47 CFR §2.908)	Identical Prototype	
Device Category	Portable	
RF Exposure Limits	General Population / Uncontrolled	

3. Test Equipment Used

3.1 Dosimetric System

The Motorola Personal Communications Sector Product Safety & Compliance Laboratory utilizes a Dosimetric Assessment System (Dasy3™ v3.1d) manufactured by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG™), of Zurich Switzerland. All the SAR measurements are taken within a shielded enclosure. The overall RSS uncertainty of the measurement system is $\pm 11.7\%$ (K=1) with an expanded uncertainty of $\pm 23.0\%$ (K=2). The measurement uncertainty budget is given in Appendix 6. Per IEEE 1528, this uncertainty budget is applicable to the SAR range of 0.4 W/kg to 10 W/kg. The list of calibrated equipment used for the measurements is shown below.

Description	Serial Number	Cal Due Date
DASY3 DAE V1	SN437	18-Mar-04
E-Field Probe ET3DV6	SN1514	25-Jul-03
Dipole Validation Kit, D900V2	SN079	15-Oct-04
S.A.M. Phantom used for 800MHz	TP-1132	
Dipole Validation Kit, D1800V2	SN258	24-Sep-04
S.A.M. Phantom used for 1900MHz	TP-1133	

3.2 Additional Equipment

Description	Serial Number	Cal Due Date
Signal Generator HP8648C	3847A04822	6-Feb-05
Power Meter E4419B	GB39511087	6-Feb-04
Power Sensor #1 - 8481A	US39210929	6-Feb-04
Power Sensor #2 - 8481A	US39210933	6-Feb-04
Network Analyzer HP8753ES	US39172529	3-Jun-04
Dielectric Probe Kit HP85070B	US99360070	N/A

4. Electrical parameters of the tissue simulating liquid

Prior to conducting SAR measurements, the relative permittivity, ϵ_r , and the conductivity, σ , of the tissue simulating liquids were measured with the HP85070 Dielectric Probe Kit. These values, along with the temperature of the tissue simulate are shown in the table below. The recommended limits for maximum permittivity and minimum conductivity are also shown. These come from the Federal Communication Commission, OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C 01-01. It is seen that the measured parameters are satisfactory for compliance testing.

f (MHz)	Tissue type	Limits / Measured	Dielectric Parameters		
			ϵ_r	σ (S/m)	Temp (°C)
835	Body	Measured, 19-Jun-03	50.9	1.56	19.9
		Recommended Limits	55.2	0.97	18-25
1880	Body	Measured, 19-Jun-03	50.9	1.56	19.7
		Recommended Limits	53.3	1.52	18-25

The list of ingredients and the percent composition used for the tissue simulates are indicated in the table below.

Ingredient	800MHz Head	800MHz Body	1900MHz Head	1900MHz Body
Sugar	57.0	44.9	--	30.80
DGBE	--	--	47.0	--
Water	40.45	53.06	52.8	68.91
Salt	1.45	0.94	0.2	0.29
HEC	1.0	1.0	--	--
Bact.	0.1	0.1	--	--

5. System Accuracy Verification

A system accuracy verification of the DASY3 was performed using the measurement equipment listed in Section 3.1. The daily system accuracy verification occurs within center section of the SAM phantom.

A SAR measurement was performed to see if the measured SAR was within +/- 10% from the target SAR indicated on the dipole certification sheet. These tests were done at 900MHz and/or 1800MHz. These frequencies are within 100MHz of the mid-band frequency of the test device. This is within the allowable window given in Supplement C 01-01 *Appendix D System Verification* section item #5. The test was conducted on the same days as the measurement of the DUT. Recommended limits for maximum permittivity, minimum conductivity are shown in the table below. These come from the Federal Communication Commission, OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C 01-01. The obtained results from the system accuracy verification are displayed in the table below. The distributions of SAR compare well with those of the reference measurements (see Appendix 1). The tissue stimulant depth was verified

to be 15.0cm $\pm$ 0.5cm. Z-axis scans showing the SAR penetration are also included in Appendix 1. SAR values are normalized to 1W forward power delivered to the dipole.

f (MHz)	Description	SAR (W/kg), 1gram	Dielectric Parameters		Ambient Temp (°C)	Tissue Temp (°C)
			ϵ_r	σ (S/m)		
900	Measured, 25-Apr-03	11.34	41.2	0.97	20	19.9
	Recommended Limits	11.40	40.3	0.95	18-25	18-25
1800	Measured, 19-Jun-03	39.48	39.0	1.37	20	19.7
	Recommended Limits	38.60	40.3	1.36	18-25	18-25

The following probe conversion factors were used on the E-Field probe(s) used for the system accuracy verification measurements:

Description	Serial Number	f (MHz)	Conversion Factor	Cal Cert pg #
E-Field Probe ET3DV6	SN1514	900	6.2	2 of 8
		1800	5.2	2 of 8

6. Test Results

The test sample was operated in a test mode that allows control of the transmitter without the need to place actual phone calls. For the purposes of this test the unit is commanded to test mode and manually set to the proper channel, transmitter power level and transmit mode of operation. The phone was tested in the configurations stipulated in OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C 01-01. Motorola also followed the requirements in Supplement. C / Appendix D: SAR Measurement Procedures, section titled “*Devices Operating Next To A Person’s Ear* “. These directions state “The device should be tested on the left and right side of the head phantom in the “Cheek/Touch” and “Ear/Tilt” positions. When applicable, each configuration should be tested with the antenna in its fully extended and fully retracted positions. These test configurations should be tested at the high, middle and low frequency channels of each operating mode; for example, AMPS, CDMA, and TDMA. If the SAR measured at the middle channel for each test configuration (left, right, Cheek/Touch, Tile/Ear, extended and retracted) is at least 2.0 dB lower than the SAR limit, testing at the high and low channels is optional for such test configuration(s).”

The DASY v3.1d SAR measurement system specified in section 3.1 was utilized within the intended operations as set by the SPEAG™ setup. The phone was positioned into the measurement configurations using the positioner supplied with the DASY 3.1d SAR measurement system. The measured dielectric constant of the material used for the positioner is less than 2.9 and the loss tangent is less than 0.02 ($\pm$ 30%) at 850MHz. The default settings for the “coarse” and “cube” scans were chosen and use for measurements. The grid spacing of the course scan was set to 15cm as shown in the SAR plots included in appendix 2 and 3. Please refer to the DASY manual for additional information on SAR scanning procedures and algorithms used.

The Cellular Phone (FCC ID IHDT56CL1) has the following battery options:

SNN5725A – 750mAh Battery
SNN5726A – 1100mAhBattery

The battery used to do all of the SAR testing is the SNN5725A. The SNN5726A does not cause a placement or proximity change to the body. The phone was placed in the SAR measurement system with a fully charged battery.

6.1 Body-Worn Test Results

The SAR results shown in tables 1 and 2 are the maximum SAR values averaged over 1 gram of phantom tissue. Also shown are the measured conducted output powers, the temperature of the test facility during the test, the temperature of the tissue simulate after the test, the measured drift and the extrapolated SAR. The exact method of extrapolation is $\text{New SAR} = \text{Old SAR} * 10^{(-\text{drift}/10)}$. The SAR reported at the end of the measurement process by the DASY™ measurement system can be scaled up by the measured drift to determine the SAR at the beginning of the measurement process. This is the most conservative SAR because it corresponds to the average output power at the beginning of the SAR test. This extrapolation has been done because when the DUT is operating properly it may exhibit a slump in radiated power and SAR over time. This is verified by measuring the SAR drift after the test. The test conditions indicated as bold numbers in the following table are included in Appendix 2. Note that 800MHz digital mode SAR measurements were performed in accordance with OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C 01-01. All other test conditions measured lower SAR values than those included in Appendix 2.

A “flat” phantom was for the body-worn tests. This “flat” phantom is made out of 1” thick natural High Density Polyethylene with a thickness at the bottom equal to 2.0mm. It measures 52.7cm(long) x 26.7cm(wide) x 21.2cm(tall). The measured dielectric constant of the material used is less than 2.3 and the loss tangent is less than 0.0046 all the way up to 2.184GHz.

The tissue stimulant depth was verified to be 15.0cm ±0.5cm. The same device holder described in section 6 was used for positioning the phone. The functional accessories were divided into two categories, the ones with metal components and the ones with non-metal components. For non-metallic component accessories’, testing was performed on the accessory that displayed the closest proximity to the flat phantom. Each metallic component accessory, if any, was checked for uniqueness of metal component so that each is tested with the device. If multiple accessories shared an identical metal component, only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body was tested. The cellular phone was tested with a headset connected to the device for all body-worn SAR measurements.

There is only one Body-Worn Accessories available for this phone:

A leather carry case: Model #AMB33105

The following probe conversion factors were used on the E-Field probe(s) used for the body worn measurements:

Description	Serial Number	f (MHz)	Conversion Factor	Cal Cert pg #
E-Field Probe ET3DV6	SN1514	835	6.00	2 of 2
		1900	4.60	2 of 2

f (MHz)	Description	Conducted Output Power (dBm)	Body Worn							
			C333 housing				C331 housing			
			Measured (W/kg)	Drift (dB)	Extrapolated (W/kg)	Simulate Temp (°C)	Measured (W/kg)	Drift (dB)	Extrapolated (W/kg)	Simulate Temp (°C)
Digital 800 MHz	Channel 1013	25.04								
	Channel 384	25.05	0.381	0.09	0.38	20.5	0.36	-0.05	0.36	20.5
	Channel 777	24.90								
Digital 1900 MHz	Channel 25	25.00								
	Channel 600	24.91	0.565	-0.25	0.60	19.5	0.501	-0.14	0.52	19.5
	Channel 1175	25.05								

Table 1: SAR measurement results for the portable cellular telephone FCC ID IHDT56CL1 at highest possible output power. Measured against the body.

Appendix 1

SAR distribution comparison for the system accuracy verification

Dipole 1800 MHz

1800 MHz System Performance Check / Dipole Sn# 258tr

Forward Power =248mW Reflected Power =-28.90dB


Room Temp at time of measurement =20 Simulant Temp at time of measurement = 19.7

R5 Amy Twin Phantom Rev.4 (22Aug02);

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1514 - VALIDATION; ConvF(5.20,5.20,5.20); Crest factor: 1.0; 1800 MHz VALIDATION: $\sigma = 1.37$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 39.0$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³

: , , 0

Penetration depth: 8.3 (8.0, 8.9) [mm]



Dipole 900 MHz

900 MHz System Performance Check / Dipole Sn# 79

Forward Power =253mW Reflected Power = -24.70dB


Room Temp at time of measurement = 20 Simulant Temp at time of measurement = 19.9

R5 TP-1132 Sugar SAM Expanded (Rev. 2)-9Jan03;

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1514 - VALIDATION; ConvF(6.20,6.20,6.20); Crest factor: 1.0; 900 MHz VALIDATION: $\sigma = 0.97$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 41.2$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³

: , , 0

Penetration depth: 11.5 (10.6, 12.6) [mm]



Dipole 1800 MHz

1800 MHz System Performance Check / Dipole Sn# 258tr

Forward Power =248mW Reflected Power =-28.90dB

Room Temp at time of measurement =20 Simulant Temp at time of measurement = 19.7

R5 Amy Twin Phantom Rev.4 (22Aug02); section 2

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1514 - VALIDATION; ConvF(5.20,5.20,5.20); Crest factor: 1.0; 1800 MHz VALIDATION: $\sigma = 1.37 \text{ mho/m}$ $\epsilon_r = 39.0$ $\rho = 1.00 \text{ g/cm}^3$

Cubes (2): Peak: 18.1 mW/g $\pm 0.05 \text{ dB}$, SAR (1g): 9.79 mW/g $\pm 0.04 \text{ dB}$, SAR (10g): 5.11 mW/g $\pm 0.03 \text{ dB}$, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Penetration depth: 8.2 (7.9, 9.0) [mm]

Powerdrift: -0.03 dB



Dipole 900 MHz

900 MHz System Performance Check / Dipole Sn# 79

Forward Power =253mW Reflected Power = -24.70dB

Room Temp at time of measurement = 20 Simulant Temp at time of measurement = 19.9


R5 TP-1132 Sugar SAM Expanded (Rev. 2)-9Jan03; Flat

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1514 - VALIDATION; ConvF(6.20,6.20,6.20); Crest factor: 1.0; 900 MHz VALIDATION: $\sigma = 0.97$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 41.2$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³

Cubes (2): Peak: 4.53 mW/g ± 0.02 dB, SAR (1g): 2.87 mW/g ± 0.02 dB, SAR (10g): 1.81 mW/g ± 0.02 dB, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Penetration depth: 11.5 (10.7, 12.7) [mm]

Powerdrift: -0.03 dB




Appendix 2

SAR distribution plots for Body Worn Configuration

s/n: 42CC870A

Ch# 384 / Pwr Step: Always Up
Type of Modulation: 800 CDMA
Accessory Model #: C331 Hsg w AMB33105 Case
R5 Amy Twin Phantom Rev.4 (22Aug02) Phantom; section 1 Section; Position: (0°,0°); Frequency: 837 MHz
Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1514 - FCC Body; ConvF(6.00,6.00,6.00); Crest factor: 1.0; 835 MHz Head & Body: $\sigma = 0.96$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 53.7$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³
Cube 7x7x7: SAR (1g): 0.360 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.247 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)
Coarse: Dx = 10.0, Dy = 10.0, Dz = 10.0
Penetration depth: 14.5 (13.9, 15.4) [mm]
Powerdrift: -0.05 dB

Antenna Position: Fixed
Battery Model #: SNN5725A



s/n: 42CC870A

Ch# 600 / Pwr Step: Always Up

Antenna Position: Fixed

Type of Modulation: 1900 CDMA

Battery Model #: SNN5725A

Accessory Model #: C331 Hsg w AMB33105 Case

R5 Amy Twin Phantom Rev.4 (22Aug02) Phantom; section 2 Section; Position: (0°,0°); Frequency: 1880 MHz


Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1514 - FCC Body; ConvF(4.60,4.60,4.60); Crest factor: 1.0; 1880 MHz Head & Body: $\sigma = 1.56$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 50.9$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³

Cube 7x7x7: SAR (1g): 0.501 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.240 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 15.0, Dy = 15.0, Dz = 10.0

Penetration depth: 8.4 (7.9, 9.3) [mm]

Powerdrift: -0.14 dB



s/n: 42CC86EC

Ch# 384 / Pwr Step: Always Up

Antenna Position: Fixed

Type of Modulation: 800 CDMA

Battery Model #: SNN5725A

Accessory Model #: C333 Hsg w AMB33105 Case

R5 Amy Twin Phantom Rev.4 (22Aug02) Phantom; section 1 Section; Position: (0°,0°); Frequency: 837 MHz


Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1514 - FCC Body; ConvF(6.00,6.00,6.00); Crest factor: 1.0; 835 MHz Head & Body: $\sigma = 0.96 \text{ mho/m}$ $\epsilon_r = 53.7$ $\rho = 1.00 \text{ g/cm}^3$

Cube 7x7x7: SAR (1g): 0.381 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.262 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 10.0, Dy = 10.0, Dz = 10.0

Penetration depth: 14.5 (13.3, 15.9) [mm]

Powerdrift: 0.09 dB



s/n: 42CC86EC

Ch# 600 / Pwr Step: Always Up Antenna Position: Fixed
Type of Modulation: 1900 CDMA Battery Model #: SNN5725A

Accessory Model #: C333 Hsg w AMB33105 Case
R5 Amy Twin Phantom Rev.4 (22Aug02) Phantom; section 2 Section; Position: (0°,0°); Frequency: 1880 MHz


Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1514 - FCC Body; ConvF(4.60,4.60,4.60); Crest factor: 1.0; 1880 MHz Head & Body: $\sigma = 1.56 \text{ mho/m}$ $\epsilon_r = 50.9$ $\rho = 1.00 \text{ g/cm}^3$

Cube 7x7x7: SAR (1g): 0.565 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.268 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Coarse: Dx = 15.0, Dy = 15.0, Dz = 10.0

Penetration depth: 8.4 (8.0, 9.2) [mm]

Powerdrift: -0.25 dB






Figure 5. Typical 800 MHz Body-Worn Contour Overlaid on Phone




Figure 6. Typical 1900 MHz Body-Worn Contour Overlaid on Phone

Appendix 3
Probe Calibration Certificate

Appendix 4
Dipole Characterization Certificate

Interim Dipole Correlation Certificate

FCD-0359, Rev.001

Dipole Serial Number:	079	Last Calibration Date:	15-Oct-02
Dipole Type (MHz):	900MHz dipole	Calibration Due:	15-Oct-04
		Manufacturer:	SPEAG

-Manufacturer's Original Calibration Information-

Dipole to be correlated: [Serial Number: 79]

1g SAR normalized to 1W forward power (mW/g):	10.8 mW/g
Relative Dielectric:	40.6
Conductivity:	0.95
Probe Serial Number:	1507
Forward Power:	250 mW

Primary Dipole Referenced: [Serial Number: 77]

1g SAR normalized to 1W forward power (mW/g):	11.4 mW/g
Relative Dielectric:	40.3
Conductivity:	0.95
Probe Serial Number:	1507
Forward Power:	250mW

-Correlation Method Utilized- per DOI-1265 (select one)

By Similarity: ☒

By Transfer Calibration: ☐

-Measured Data-

Probe S/N:	1398	Conductivity (meas.):	0.96
Robot Cell #:	PCS-1	Permittivity (meas.):	40.0

Primary Standard (average of 0-degree & 90-degree 1g cubes):

3.07 mW/g (1W)		
	(if required)	(if required)


Secondary Standard (average of 0-degree & 90-degree 1g cubes):

3.05 mW/g (1W)		
	(if required)	(if required)

-NEW Correlated Target-

1g SAR normalized to 1W forward power (mW/g):	11.4 mW/g
Relative Dielectric:	40.3
Conductivity:	0.95

Approved by:



Date:

12/12/2002

Comments:

Correlated to get worst case extrapolation targets. Secondary measured within 2% of the primary standard.

Schmid & Partner Engineering AG

Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland, Phone +41 1 245 97 00, Fax +41 1 245 97 79

Calibration Certificate

1800 MHz System Validation Dipole

Type:

D1800V2

Serial Number:

258

Place of Calibration:

Zurich

Date of Calibration:

September 24, 2002

Calibration Interval:

24 months

Schmid & Partner Engineering AG hereby certifies, that this device has been calibrated on the date indicated above. The calibration was performed in accordance with specifications and procedures of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG.

Wherever applicable, the standards used in the calibration process are traceable to international standards. In all other cases the standards of the Laboratory for EMF and Microwave Electronics at the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology (ETH) in Zurich, Switzerland have been applied.

Calibrated by:

D. Vetter

Approved by:

Thomas Kofler

DASY

Dipole Validation Kit

Type: D1800V2

Serial: 258

Manufactured: November 20, 1999

Calibrated: September 24, 2002

1. Measurement Conditions

The measurements were performed in the flat section of the new generic twin phantom filled with head simulating glycol solution of the following electrical parameters at 1800 MHz:

Relative Dielectricity	40.3	± 5%
Conductivity	1.36 mho/m	± 5%

The DASY System with a dosimetric E-field probe ET3DV6 (SN:1507, Conversion factor 5.3 at 1800 MHz) was used for the measurements.

The dipole was mounted on the small tripod so that the dipole feedpoint was positioned below the center marking of the flat phantom section and the dipole was oriented parallel to the body axis (the long side of the phantom). The standard measuring distance was 10mm from dipole center to the solution surface. The included distance holder was used during measurements for accurate distance positioning.

The coarse grid with a grid spacing of 20mm was aligned with the dipole. The 5x5x7 fine cube was chosen for cube integration. Probe isotropy errors were cancelled by measuring the SAR with normal and 90° turned probe orientations and averaging.

The dipole input power (forward power) was 250mW ± 3 %. The results are normalized to 1W input power.

2.1. SAR Measurement with DASY3 System

Standard SAR-measurements were performed according to the measurement conditions described in section 1. The results (see figure supplied) have been normalized to a dipole input power of 1W (forward power). The resulting averaged SAR-values measured with the dosimetric probe ET3DV6 SN:1507 and applying the worst-case extrapolation are:

averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of tissue:	38.6 mW/g
averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of tissue:	20.4 mW/g

2.2 SAR Measurement with DASY4 System

Standard SAR-measurements were performed according to the measurement conditions described in section 1. The results (see figure supplied) have been normalized to a dipole input power of 1W (forward power). The resulting averaged SAR-values measured with the dosimetric probe ET3DV6 SN:1507 and applying the advanced extrapolation are:

averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of tissue:	35.5 mW/g
averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of tissue:	19.2 mW/g

3. Dipole Impedance and Return Loss

The impedance was measured at the SMA-connector with a network analyzer and numerically transformed to the dipole feedpoint. The transformation parameters from the SMA-connector to the dipole feedpoint are:

Electrical delay:	1.199 ns	(one direction)
Transmission factor:	0.980	(voltage transmission, one direction)

The dipole was positioned at the flat phantom sections according to section 1 and the distance holder was in place during impedance measurements.

Feedpoint impedance at 1800 MHz:	$\text{Re}\{Z\} = 52.0 \Omega$
----------------------------------	--------------------------------

	$\text{Im}\{Z\} = 5.9 \Omega$
--	-------------------------------

Return Loss at 1800 MHz	-24.2 dB
-------------------------	----------

4. Modification

Small end caps (3 mm in length) made of Teflon have been added to the dipole arms by the Client.

5. Handling

Do not apply excessive force to the dipole arms, because they might bend. Bending of the dipole arms stresses the soldered connections near the feedpoint leading to a damage of the dipole.

6. Design

The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals.

7. Power Test



After long term use with 40W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

09/24/02

Validation Dipole D1800V2 SN:258, d = 10 mm

Frequency: 1800 MHz; Antenna Input Power: 250 [mW]
SAM Phantom, Flat Section; Grid Spacing: Dx = 20.0, Dy = 20.0, Dz = 10.0
Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1507; ConvF(5.30,5.30,5.30) at 1800 MHz; IEEE1528 1800 MHz: $\sigma = 1.36 \text{ mho/m}$, $\epsilon_r = 40.3$, $\rho = 1.00 \text{ g/cm}^3$
Cubes (2): Peak: 17.7 mW/g $\pm 0.03 \text{ dB}$, SAR (1g): 9.64 mW/g $\pm 0.00 \text{ dB}$, SAR (10g): 5.11 mW/g $\pm 0.03 \text{ dB}$, (Worst-case extrapolation)
Penetration depth: 8.5 (8.1, 9.3) [mm]
Powerdrift: -0.01 dB

SAR_{10g} [mW/g]



09/24/02

Validation Dipole D1800V2 SN:258, d = 10 mm

Frequency: 1800 MHz; Antenna Input Power: 250 [mW]

SAM Phantom; Flat Section; Grid Spacing: Dx = 20.0, Dy = 20.0, Dz = 10.0



Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1597; ConvF(5.30, 5.30, 5.30) at 1800 MHz; IEEE1528 1800 MHz: $\sigma = 1.36$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 40.3$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³

Cubes (2): Peak: 15.4 mW/g ± 0.03 dB, SAR (1g): 8.87 mW/g ± 0.00 dB, SAR (10g): 4.81 mW/g ± 0.03 dB, (Advanced extrapolation)

Penetration depth: 9.2 (9.1, 9.5) [mm]

Powerdrift: -0.01 dB

SAR_{1g} [mW/g]



CH1 S11 1 U FS

1: 52.045 Ω 5.9453 Ω 525.68 pH

24 Sep 2002 09:51:33

1 800.000 000 MHz

258

De1


PRm

Cor

Avg

16

↑




CH2 S11 LOG 5 dB/REF -5 dB 1:-24.224 dB 1 800.000 000 MHz

PRm

Cor

↑



START 1.600.000 000 MHz

STOP 2 000.000 000 MHz

Appendix 5
Measurement Uncertainty Budget

Uncertainty Budget for Device Under Test									
<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>e = f(d,k)</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>g</i>	<i>h = c x f / e</i>	<i>i = c x g / e</i>	<i>k</i>
Uncertainty Component	Sec.	Tol. (± %)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	<i>c_i</i> (1 g)	<i>c_i</i> (10 g)	1 g <i>u_i</i> (±%)	10 g <i>u_i</i> (±%)	<i>v_i</i>
Measurement System									
Probe Calibration	E.2.1	9.5	N	2.00	1	1	4.8	4.8	∞
Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	4.7	R	1.73	0.707	0.707	1.9	1.9	∞
Spherical Isotropy	E.2.2	9.6	R	1.73	0.707	0.707	3.9	3.9	∞
Boundary Effect	E.2.3	5.8	R	1.73	1	1	3.3	3.3	∞
Linearity	E.2.4	4.7	R	1.73	1	1	2.7	2.7	∞
System Detection Limits	E.2.5	1.0	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	1.0	N	1.00	1	1	1.0	1.0	∞
Response Time	E.2.7	0.8	R	1.73	1	1	0.5	0.5	∞
Integration Time	E.2.8	1.3	R	1.73	1	1	0.8	0.8	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	E.6.1	3.0	R	1.73	1	1	1.7	1.7	∞
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E.6.2	0.3	R	1.73	1	1	0.2	0.2	∞
Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	E.6.3	1.1	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Extrapolation, interpolation and Integration Algorithms for Max SAR Evaluation	E.5	3.9	R	1.73	1	1	2.3	2.3	∞
Test sample Related									
Test Sample Positioning	E.4.2	3.6	N	1.00	1	1	3.6	3.6	29
Device Holder Uncertainty	E.4.1	2.8	N	1.00	1	1	2.8	2.8	8
Output Power Variation - SAR drift measurement	6.6.2	5.0	R	1.73	1	1	2.9	2.9	∞
Phantom and Tissue Parameters									
Phantom Uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances)	E.3.1	4.0	R	1.73	1	1	2.3	2.3	∞
Liquid Conductivity - deviation from target values	E.3.2	5.0	R	1.73	0.64	0.43	1.8	1.2	∞
Liquid Conductivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	10.0	R	1.73	0.64	0.43	3.7	2.5	∞
Liquid Permittivity - deviation from target values	E.3.2	10.0	R	1.73	0.6	0.49	3.5	2.8	∞
Liquid Permittivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	5.0	R	1.73	0.6	0.49	1.7	1.4	∞
Combined Standard Uncertainty			RSS				11.72	11.09	1363
Expanded Uncertainty (95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL)			<i>k</i> = 2				22.98	21.75	

Uncertainty Budget for System Performance Check (dipole & flat phantom)

<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>	$e = f(d, k)$	<i>f</i>	<i>g</i>	$h = c \times f / e$	$i = c \times g / e$	<i>k</i>
Uncertainty Component	Sec.	Tol. (± %)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	c_i (1 g)	c_i (10 g)	1 g u_i (±%)	10 g u_i (±%)	v_i
Measurement System									
Probe Calibration	E.2.1	9.5	N	2.00	1	1	4.8	4.8	∞
Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	4.7	R	1.73	1	1	2.7	2.7	∞
Spherical Isotropy	E.2.2	9.6	R	1.73	0	0	0.0	0.0	∞
Boundary Effect	E.2.3	5.8	R	1.73	1	1	3.3	3.3	∞
Linearity	E.2.4	4.7	R	1.73	1	1	2.7	2.7	∞
System Detection Limits	E.2.5	1.0	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	1.0	N	1.00	1	1	1.0	1.0	∞
Response Time	E.2.7	0.0	R	1.73	1	1	0.0	0.0	∞
Integration Time	E.2.8	0.0	R	1.73	1	1	0.0	0.0	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	E.6.1	3.0	R	1.73	1	1	1.7	1.7	∞
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E.6.2	0.3	R	1.73	1	1	0.2	0.2	∞
Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	E.6.3	1.1	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Extrapolation, interpolation and Integration Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	E.5	3.9	R	1.73	1	1	2.3	2.3	∞
Dipole									
Dipole Axis to Liquid Distance	8, E.4.2	1.0	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Input Power and SAR Drift Measurement	8, 6.6.2	4.7	R	1.73	1	1	2.7	2.7	∞
Phantom and Tissue Parameters									
Phantom Uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances)	E.3.1	4.0	R	1.73	1	1	2.3	2.3	∞
Liquid Conductivity - deviation from target values	E.3.2	5.0	R	1.73	0.64	0.43	1.8	1.2	∞
Liquid Conductivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	10.0	R	1.73	0.64	0.43	3.7	2.5	∞
Liquid Permittivity - deviation from target values	E.3.2	10.0	R	1.73	0.6	0.49	3.5	2.8	∞
Liquid Permittivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	5.0	R	1.73	0.6	0.49	1.7	1.4	∞
Combined Standard Uncertainty			RSS				10.16	9.43	99999
Expanded Uncertainty (95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL)			$k=2$				19.92	18.48	

Appendix 6

Photographs of the device under test











