Exhibit 11: SAR Test Report IHDT5FA1

Date of test: 5/18/2005-5/19/2005
Date of Report: 5/26/2005

Laboratory:
Motorola Personal Communications Sector Product Safety & Compliance Laboratory
600 N. US Highway 45
Room: MW113
Libertyville, Illinois 60048

Test Responsible:
Paul Ma
RF Engineer

Accreditation: This laboratory is accredited to ISO/IEC 17025-1999 to perform the following tests:

Tests:	Procedures:
Electromagnetic Specific Absorption Rate	ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992, 1999 (SAR) IEEE C95.3-1991 IEEE 1528, IEC 62209-1 FCC OET Bulletin 65 (*including Supplements A, B, C*) Australian Communications Authority Radio Communications (Electromagnetic Radiation – Human Exposure) Standard 1999 CENELEC EN 50361 (2001)
Simulated Tissue Preparation	APP-0247
RF Power Measurement	DOI-0876, 0900, 0902, 0904, 0915

On the following products or types of products:
Wireless Communications Devices (Examples): Two Way Radios; Portable Phones (including Cellular, Licensed Non-Broadcast and PCS); Low Frequency Readers; and Pagers

A2LA certificate #1651-01

Statement of Compliance:
Motorola declares under its sole responsibility that portable cellular telephone FCC ID IHDT5FA1 to which this declaration relates, is in conformity with the appropriate General Population/Uncontrolled RF exposure standards, recommendations and guidelines (FCC 47 CFR §2.1093). It also declares that the product was tested in accordance with the appropriate measurement standards, guidelines and recommended practices. Any deviations from these standards, guidelines and recommended practices are noted below:

(none)

©Motorola, Inc. 2005
This test report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of the laboratory.

The results and statements contained herein relate only to the items tested. The names of individuals involved may be mentioned only in connection with the statements or results from this report.

Motorola encourages all feedback, both positive and negative, on this test report.

Table of Contents

1. INTRODUCTION **3**

2. DESCRIPTION OF THE DEVICE UNDER TEST **3**

2.1 Antenna description **3**

2.2 Device description **3**

3. TEST EQUIPMENT USED **3**

3.1 Dosimetric System **3**

3.2 Additional Equipment **4**

4. ELECTRICAL PARAMETERS OF THE TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUID **4**

5. SYSTEM ACCURACY VERIFICATION **4**

6. TEST RESULTS **5**

6.1 Head Adjacent Test Results **6**

6.2 Body Worn Test Results **7**

APPENDIX 1: SAR DISTRIBUTION COMPARISON FOR SYSTEM ACCURACY VERIFICATION **9**

APPENDIX 2: SAR DISTRIBUTION PLOTS FOR PHANTOM HEAD ADJACENT USE **10**

APPENDIX 3: SAR DISTRIBUTION PLOTS FOR BODY WORN CONFIGURATION **11**

APPENDIX 4: PROBE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE **12**

APPENDIX 5: MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY BUDGET **13**

APPENDIX 6: PHOTOGRAPHS OF DEVICE UNDER TEST **16**

1 Introduction

The Motorola Personal Communications Sector Product Safety Laboratory has performed measurements of the maximum potential exposure to the user of portable cellular phone (FCC ID IHDT5FA1). The Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) of this product was measured. The portable cellular phone was tested in accordance with FCC OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C 01-01.

2 Description of the Device Under Test

2.1 Antenna description

Type	PIFA	
Location	Internal- Upper Rear	
Dimensions	Length	39mm
	Width	23mm
Configuration	Internal	

2.2 Device description

FCC ID Number	IHDT5FA1
Serial number	3DEBE8DE
Mode(s) of Operation	800 CDMA
Modulation Mode(s)	CDMA
Maximum Output Power Setting	25.00dBm
Duty Cycle	1:1
Transmitting Frequency Rang(s)	824.70-848.31 MHz
Production Unit or Identical Prototype (47 CFR §2..908)	Identical Prototype
Device Category	Portable
RF Exposure Limits	General Population / Uncontrolled

3 Test Equipment Used

3.1 Dosimetric System

The Motorola Personal Communications Sector Product Safety & Compliance Laboratory utilizes a Dosimetric Assessment System (Dasy4™ v4.4) manufactured by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG™), of Zurich Switzerland. All the SAR measurements are taken within a shielded enclosure. The overall RSS uncertainty of the measurement system is ±11.7% (K=1) with an expanded uncertainty of ±23.0% (K=2). The measurement uncertainty budget is given in Appendix 6. Per IEEE 1528, this uncertainty budget is applicable to the SAR range of 0.4 W/kg to 10 W/kg. The list of calibrated equipment used for the measurements is shown below.

Description	Serial Number	Cal Due Date
DASY4 DAE3	398	2/8/2006
E-Field Probe ET3DV6	1515	8/25/2005
Dipole Validation Kit, D900V2	097	
S.A.M. Phantom used for 800MHz	TP-1129	

3.2 Additional Equipment

Description	Serial Number	Cal Due Date
Signal Generator HP8648C	3847A04840	2/26/2006
Power Meter E4419B	GB39511085	12/1/2005
Power Sensor #1 - 8481A	3318A85415	12/17/2005
Power Sensor #2 - 8481A	2702A82671	12/17/2005
Network Analyzer HP8753ES	3847A04822	2/6/2006
Dielectric Probe Kit HP85070B	US99360074	

4 Electrical parameters of the tissue simulating liquid

Prior to conducting SAR measurements, the relative permittivity, ε_r, and the conductivity, σ, of the tissue simulating liquids were measured with the HP85070 Dielectric Probe Kit These values, along with the temperature of the tissue simulate are shown in the table below. The recommended limits for maximum permittivity and minimum conductivity are also shown. These come from the Federal Communication Commission, OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C 01-01. It is seen that the measured parameters are satisfactory for compliance testing.

f (MHz)	Tissue type	Limits / Measured	Dielectric Parameters		
			ε_r	σ (S/m)	Temp (°C)
835	Head	**Measured,** 5/18/2005	42.8	0.93	22
		Measured, 5/19/2005	42.5	0.92	21.6
		Recommended Limits	41.5 ±5%	0.90 ±5%	18-25
	Body	**Measured,** 5/19/2005	54.5	0.97	22.1
		Recommended Limits	55.2 ±5%	0.97 ±5%	18-25

The list of ingredients and the percent composition used for the tissue simulates are indicated in the table below.

Ingredient	800MHz Head	800MHz Body	1900MHz Head	1900MHz Body
Sugar	57.0	44.9	--	--
DGBE	--	--	47.0	30.80
Water	40.45	53.06	52.8	68.91
Salt	1.45	0.94	0.2	0.29
HEC	1.0	1.0	--	--
Bact.	0.1	0.1	--	--

5 System Accuracy Verification

A system accuracy verification of the DASY4.4 was performed using the measurement equipment listed in Section 3.1. The daily system accuracy verification occurs within center section of the SAM phantom.

A SAR measurement was performed to see if the measured SAR was within +/- 10% from the target SAR indicated in Section 8.3.7 Reference SAR Values in IEEE 1528. These tests were done at 900MHz and/or 1800MHz. These frequencies are within 100MHz of the mid-band frequency of the test device. This is within the allowable window given in Supplement C 01-01 *Appendix D System Verification* section item #5. The test was conducted on the same days as the measurement of the DUT. Recommended limits for maximum permittivity, minimum conductivity are shown in the table below. These come from the Federal Communication Commission, OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C 01-01. The obtained results from the system accuracy verification are displayed in the table below. The distributions of SAR compare well with those of the reference measurements (see Appendix 1). The tissue stimulant

depth was verified to be 15.0cm ±0.5cm. Z-axis scans showing the SAR penetration are also included in Appendix 1. SAR values are normalized to 1W forward power delivered to the dipole.

f (MHz)	Description	SAR (W/kg), 1gram	Dielectric Parameters		Ambient Temp (°C)	Tissue Temp (°C)
			ε_r	σ (S/m)		
900	**Measured,** 5/18/2005	11.43	42.1	0.99	21	21.7
	Measured, 5/19/2005	11.71	41.7	0.99	21	21.5
	Recommended Limits	10.8	41.5 ±5%	0.97 ±5%	18-25	18-25

The following probe conversion factors were used on the E-Field probe(s) used for the system accuracy verification measurements:

Description	Serial Number	f (MHz)	Conversion Factor	Cal Cert pg #
E-Field Probe ET3DV6	SN1515	900	6.30	7 of 8

6 Test Results

The test sample was operated in a test mode that allows control of the transmitter without the need to place actual phone calls. For the purposes of this test the unit is commanded to test mode and manually set to the proper channel, transmitter power level and transmit mode of operation. The phone was tested in the configurations stipulated in OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C 01-01. Motorola also followed the requirements in Supplement. C / Appendix D: SAR Measurement Procedures, section titled "*Devices Operating Next To A Person's Ear* ". These directions state "The device should be tested on the left and right side of the head phantom in the "Cheek/Touch" and "Ear/Tilt" positions. When applicable, each configuration should be tested with the antenna in its fully extended and fully retracted positions. These test configurations should be tested at the high, middle and low frequency channels of each operating mode; for example, AMPS, CDMA, and TDMA. If the SAR measured at the middle channel for each test configuration (left, right, Cheek/Touch, Tile/Ear, extended and retracted) is at least 2.0 dB lower than the SAR limit, testing at the high and low channels is optional for such test configuration(s)."

The DASY v4.4 SAR measurement system specified in section 3.1 was utilized within the intended operations as set by the SPEAG™ setup. The phone was positioned into the measurement configurations using the positioner supplied with the DASY v4.4 SAR measurement system. The measured dielectric constant of the material used for the positioner is less than 2.9 and the loss tangent is less than 0.02 (± 30%) at 850MHz. The default settings for the "coarse" and "cube" scans were chosen and use for measurements. The grid spacing of the course scan was set to 15cm as shown in the SAR plots included in appendix 2 and 3. Please refer to the DASY manual for additional information on SAR scanning procedures and algorithms used.

The Cellular Phone (FCC ID IHDT5FA1) has the AANN4285B as the only available battery option. This battery was used to do all of the SAR testing. The phone was placed in the SAR measurement system with a fully charged battery.

6.1 Head Adjacent Test Results

To aid in positioning repeatability, the ear reference line of the device and the axis reference line of the device have been physically added using a non-metallic marker.

- Per Figure 1, the "Ear Reference Line" is centered vertically through the center of the listening area (as defined by the speaker holes in the housing).
- The "Axis Reference Line" bisects the front surface of the device at its top and bottom edges.
- The intersection of these two lines defines the location of the "Ear Reference Point".

The lines drawn on the device extended to the outside edges, as shown in blue in the figure below, & wrap around the sides of the device.



The SAR results shown in tables 1 and 2 are maximum SAR values averaged over 1 gram of phantom tissue. Also shown are the measured conducted output powers, the temperature of the test facility during the test, the temperature of the tissue simulate after the test, the measured drift and the extrapolated SAR. The exact method of extrapolation is New SAR = Old SAR * 10^(-drift/10). The SAR reported at the end of the measurement process by the DASY™ measurement system can be scaled up by the measured drift to determine the SAR at the beginning of the measurement process. This is the most conservative SAR because it corresponds to the average output power at the beginning of the SAR test. This extrapolation has been done because when the DUT is operating properly it may exhibit a slump in radiated power and SAR over time. This is verified by measuring the SAR drift after the test. The test conditions indicated as bold numbers in the following table are included in Appendix 2

The SAR measurements were performed using the SAM phantoms listed in section 3.1. Since same phantoms and tissue simulate are used for the system accuracy verification as the device SAR measurements, the Z-axis scans included in within Appendix 1 are applicable for verification of tissue simulate depth to be 15.0cm ±0.5cm. All other test conditions measured lower SAR values than those included in Appendix 2. Note that 800MHz digital mode SAR measurements were performed in accordance with Supplement C.

The following probe conversion factors were used on the E-Field probe(s) used for the head adjacent measurements:

Description	Serial Number	f (MHz)	Conversion Factor	Cal Cert pg #
E-Field Probe ET3DV6	SN1515	900	6.30	7 of 8

f (MHz)	Description	Conducted Output Power (dBm)	Left Head							
			Cheek				Tilted			
			Measured (W/kg)	Drift (dB)	Extrapolated (W/kg)	Simulate Temp (°C)	Measured (W/kg)	Drift (dB)	Extrapolated (W/kg)	Simulate Temp (°C)
CDMA 835MHz	Channel 1013	24.94	1.19	-0.08	1.21	22				
	Channel 384	24.99	**1.23**	**0.18**	**1.23**	**22**	**0.738**	**0.114**	**0.74**	**21.9**
	Channel 777	25.01	1.13	0.07	1.13	21.9				

Table 1: SAR measurement results for the portable cellular telephone FCC ID IHDT5FA1 at highest possible output power. Measured against the Left Head Position.

f (MHz)	Description	Conducted Output Power (dBm)	Right Head							
			Cheek				Tilted			
			Measured (W/kg)	Drift (dB)	Extrapolated (W/kg)	Simulate Temp (°C)	Measured (W/kg)	Drift (dB)	Extrapolated (W/kg)	Simulate Temp (°C)
CDMA 835MHz	Channel 1013	24.94	1.27	0.05	1.27	21.6				
	Channel 384	24.99	**1.32**	**0.05**	**1.32**	**21.9**	**0.751**	**0.06**	**0.75**	**21.6**
	Channel 777	25.01	1.19	0.05	1.19	21.6				

Table 2: SAR measurement results for the portable cellular telephone FCC ID IHDT5FA1 at highest possible output power. Measured against the Right Head Position.

6.2 Body Worn Test Results

The SAR results shown in table 3 are the maximum SAR values averaged over 1 gram of phantom tissue. Also shown are the measured conducted output powers, the temperature of the test facility during the test, the temperature of the tissue simulate after the test, the measured drift and the extrapolated SAR. The exact method of extrapolation is New SAR = Old SAR * 10^(-drift/10). The SAR reported at the end of the measurement process by the DASY™ measurement system can be scaled up by the measured drift to determine the SAR at the beginning of the measurement process. This is the most conservative SAR because it corresponds to the average output power at the beginning of the SAR test. This extrapolation has been done because when the DUT is operating properly it may exhibit a slump in radiated power and SAR over time. This is verified by measuring the SAR drift after the test. The test conditions indicated as bold numbers in the following table are included in Appendix 3. Note that 800MHz digital mode SAR measurements were performed in accordance with OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C 01-01. All other test conditions measured lower SAR values than those included in Appendix 3.

A “flat” phantom was for the body-worn tests. This “flat” phantom is made out of 1” thick natural High Density Polyethylene with a thickness at the bottom equal to 2.0mm. It measures 52.7cm(long) x 26.7cm(wide) x 21.2cm(tall). The measured dielectric constant of the material used is less than 2.3 and the loss tangent is less than 0.0046 all the way up to 2.184GHz.

The tissue stimulant depth was verified to be 15.0cm ±0.5cm. The same device holder described in section 6 was used for positioning the phone. There are no Body-Worn Accessories available for this phone at the time of testing hence the device was tested per the supplement C testing guidelines for devices that do not have body worn accessories. The phone was placed a maximum of 1 inch away from a flat phantom per the supplement C standard guidelines to perform SAR measurement. The cellular phone was tested with a headset connected to the device for all body-worn SAR measurements.

The following probe conversion factors were used on the E-Field probe(s) used for the body worn measurements:

Description	Serial Number	f (MHz)	Conversion Factor	Cal Cert pg #
E-Field Probe ET3DV6	SN1515	900	5.92	7 of 8

f (MHz)	Description	Conducted Output Power (dBm)	Bodyworn							
			Front of Phone 15mm Away				Back of Phone 15mm Away			
			Measured (W/kg)	Drift (dB)	Extrapolated (W/kg)	Simulate Temp (°C)	Measured (W/kg)	Drift (dB)	Extrapolated (W/kg)	Simulate Temp (°C)
CDMA 835MHz	Channel 1013	24.94								
	Channel 384	24.99	**0.274**	**-0.02**	**0.28**	**22.2**	**0.68**	**-0.02**	**0.68**	**22.1**
	Channel 777	25.01								

Table 3: SAR measurement results for the portable cellular telephone FCC ID IHDT5FA1 at highest possible output power. Measured against the body.

Appendix 1

SAR distribution comparison for the system accuracy verification

Date/Time: 5/18/2005 8:10:57 AM

Test Laboratory: Motorola PCS-9

051805_900MHz_Good +5.8%

DUT: Dipole 900 MHz; Type: D900V2; Serial: D900V2 - SN:097
Procedure Notes: 900 MHz System Performance Check / Dipole Sn# 097 PM1 Power = 200 mW

Sim.Temp@meas = 21.73 ┌C Sim.Temp@SPC = 21.7 ┌C Room Temp @ SPC = 21 ┌C

Communication System: CW - Dipole; Frequency: 900 MHz; Channel Number: 4; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium: VALIDATION Only; Medium parameters used: σ = 0.99 mho/m, ε_r = 42.1; ρ = 1000 kg/m^3

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1515; ConvF(6.3, 6.3, 6.3); Calibrated: 8/25/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn398; Calibrated: 2/8/2005
- Phantom: R9: Sugar Water SAM; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1129;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 147

Daily SPC Check/Dipole Area Scan (4x9x1):

Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.41 mW/g

Daily SPC Check/0-Degree 5x5x7 Cube (5x5x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 51.5 V/m; Power Drift = -0.027 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.49 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 2.33 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.49 mW/g
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.50 mW/g

Daily SPC Check/90-Degree 5x5x7 Cube (5x5x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 51.5 V/m; Power Drift = -0.027 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.36 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 2.24 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.43 mW/g
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.37 mW/g

Daily SPC Check/Z-Axis Retraction (1x1x31):

Measurement grid: dx=20mm, dy=20mm, dz=5mm
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.53 mW/g


mW/g
2.53
2.02
1.52
1.01
0.506
0.00


SAR(x,y,z,f0)
SAR; Z-Axis Retraction:Value Along Z, X=0, Y=0
mW/g
2.5
2.0
1.5
1.0
0.5
0.0
0.00
0.02
0.04
0.06
0.08
0.10
0.12
0.14
0.16
m

Date/Time: 5/19/2005 8:20:30 AM

Test Laboratory: Motorola PCS-9

051905_900MHz_Good +8.4%

DUT: Dipole 900 MHz; Type: D900V2; Serial: D900V2 - SN:097
Procedure Notes: 900 MHz System Performance Check / Dipole Sn# 097 PM1 Power = 199 mW

Sim.Temp@meas = 21.59 ┌C Sim.Temp@SPC =21.5 ┌C Room Temp @ SPC = 21 ┌C

Communication System: CW - Dipole; Frequency: 900 MHz; Channel Number: 4; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium: VALIDATION Only; Medium parameters used: σ = 0.99 mho/m, ε_r = 41.7; ρ = 1000 kg/m^3

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1515; ConvF(6.3, 6.3, 6.3); Calibrated: 8/25/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn398; Calibrated: 2/8/2005
- Phantom: R9: Sugar Water SAM; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1129;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 147

Daily SPC Check/Dipole Area Scan (4x9x1):

Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.35 mW/g

Daily SPC Check/0-Degree 5x5x7 Cube (5x5x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 52.3 V/m; Power Drift = 0.043 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.57 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 2.37 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.51 mW/g
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.57 mW/g

Daily SPC Check/90-Degree 5x5x7 Cube (5x5x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 52.3 V/m; Power Drift = 0.043 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.45 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 2.29 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.46 mW/g
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.47 mW/g

Daily SPC Check/Z-Axis Retraction (1x1x31):

Measurement grid: dx=20mm, dy=20mm, dz=5mm


mW/g
2.57
2.06
1.54
1.03
0.514
0.00


SAR(x,y,z,f0)
SAR; Z-Axis Retraction:Value Along Z, X=0, Y=0
mW/g
2.5
2.0
1.5
1.0
0.5
0.0
0.00
0.02
0.04
0.06
0.08
0.10
0.12
0.14
0.16
m

Appendix 2

SAR distribution plots for Phantom Head Adjacent Use

Date/Time: 5/18/2005 6:11:21 PM

Test Laboratory: Motorola PCS-9 **835 RH cheek ch384**

Serial: 3DEBE8DE ; DUT Notes: Model Number = SJWF0277BA Lotus Submission ID =16338-1
Procedure Notes: Pwr Step: AlwaysUp(OTA) Antenna Position: internal Accessory Model #: N/A Battery Model #: AANN4285B DEVICE POSITION (cheek or rotated): Cheek

Communication System: CDMA 835; Frequency: 836.52 MHz; Channel Number: 384; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium: Low Freq Head; Medium parameters used: $\sigma = 0.93$ mho/m, $\varepsilon_r = 42.8$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m^3

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1515; ConvF(6.3, 6.3, 6.3); Calibrated: 8/25/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn398; Calibrated: 2/8/2005
- Phantom: R9: Sugar Water SAM; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1129;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 147

Right Head Template/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 38.8 V/m; Power Drift = 0.053 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.79 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 1.32 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.905 mW/g
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.40 mW/g

Right Head Template/Area Scan - Normal (15mm) (7x17x1):

Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.39 mW/g



Date/Time: 5/18/2005 5:42:06 PM

Test Laboratory: Motorola PCS-9 **835 LH tilt ch384**

Serial: 3DEBE8DE ; DUT Notes: Model Number = SJWF0277BA Lotus Submission ID =16338-1
Procedure Notes: Pwr Step: AlwaysUp(OTA) Antenna Position: internal Accessory Model #: N/A Battery Model #: AANN4285B DEVICE POSITION (cheek or rotated): Rotated

Communication System: CDMA 835; Frequency: 836.52 MHz; Channel Number: 384; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium: Low Freq Head; Medium parameters used: $\sigma = 0.93$ mho/m, $\varepsilon_r = 42.8$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m^3

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1515; ConvF(6.3, 6.3, 6.3); Calibrated: 8/25/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn398; Calibrated: 2/8/2005
- Phantom: R9: Sugar Water SAM; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1129;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 147

Left Head Template/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 27.7 V/m; Power Drift = 0.114 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.996 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.738 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.505 mW/g
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.787 mW/g

Left Head Template/Area Scan - Normal (15mm) (7x17x1):

Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.752 mW/g



Date/Time: 5/18/2005 3:39:07 PM

Test Laboratory: Motorola PCS-9 **835 LH cheek ch384**

Serial: 3DEBE8DE ; DUT Notes: Model Number = SJWF0277BA Lotus Submission ID =16338-1
Procedure Notes: Pwr Step: AlwaysUp(OTA) Antenna Position: internal Accessory Model #: N/A Battery Model #: AANN4285B DEVICE POSITION (cheek or rotated): cheek

Communication System: CDMA 835; Frequency: 836.52 MHz; Channel Number: 384; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium: Low Freq Head; Medium parameters used: $\sigma = 0.93$ mho/m, $\varepsilon_r = 42.8$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m^3

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1515; ConvF(6.3, 6.3, 6.3); Calibrated: 8/25/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn398; Calibrated: 2/8/2005
- Phantom: R9: Sugar Water SAM; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1129;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 147

Left Head Template/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 35.5 V/m; Power Drift = 0.184 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.67 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 1.23 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.829 mW/g
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.32 mW/g

Left Head Template/Area Scan - Normal (15mm) (7x17x1):

Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.26 mW/g



Date/Time: 5/19/2005 10:34:38 AM

Test Laboratory: Motorola PCS-9 835 RH tilt ch384

Serial: 3DEBE8DE ; DUT Notes: Model Number = SJWF0277BA Lotus Submission ID =16338-1
Procedure Notes: Pwr Step: AlwaysUp(OTA) Antenna Position: internal Accessory Model #: N/A Battery Model #: AANN4285B DEVICE POSITION (cheek or rotated): rotated

Communication System: CDMA 835; Frequency: 836.52 MHz; Channel Number: 384; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium: Low Freq Head; Medium parameters used: $\sigma = 0.92$ mho/m, $\varepsilon_r = 42.5$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m^3

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1515; ConvF(6.3, 6.3, 6.3); Calibrated: 8/25/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn398; Calibrated: 2/8/2005
- Phantom: R9: Sugar Water SAM; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1129;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 147

Right Head Template/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 28.1 V/m; Power Drift = 0.060 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.989 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.751 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.527 mW/g
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.791 mW/g

Right Head Template/Area Scan - Normal (15mm) (7x17x1):

Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.755 mW/g



Appendix 3

SAR distribution plots for Body Worn Configuration

Date/Time: 5/19/2005 11:47:28 AM

Test Laboratory: Motorola PCS-9 835 BW back ch384

Serial: 3DEBE8DE ; DUT Notes: Model Number = SJWF0277BA Lotus Submission ID =16338-1
Procedure Notes: Pwr Step: AlwaysUp(OTA) Antenna Position: internal Battery Model #: AANN4285B Accessory Model # = AAYN4264A_Head Set(15mm back)

Communication System: CDMA 835; Frequency: 836.52 MHz; Channel Number: 384; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium: Low Freq Body; Medium parameters used: $\sigma = 0.97$ mho/m, $\varepsilon_r = 54.5$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m^3

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1515; ConvF(5.92, 5.92, 5.92); Calibrated: 8/25/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn398; Calibrated: 2/8/2005
- Phantom: R9: Section 1, Amy Twin, Rev2 (23-June-04); Type: Amy Twin Flat; Serial: n/a;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 147

Amy Twin Phone Template/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 28.4 V/m; Power Drift = -0.022 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.939 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.680 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.476 mW/g
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.726 mW/g

Amy Twin Phone Template/Area Scan - Normal Body (15mm) (13x7x1):

Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.674 mW/g



Date/Time: 5/19/2005 11:18:43 AM

Test Laboratory: Motorola PCS-9 **835 BW front ch384**

Serial: 3DEBE8DE ; DUT Notes: Model Number = SJWF0277BA Lotus Submission ID =16338-1
Procedure Notes: Pwr Step: AlwaysUp(OTA) Antenna Position: internal Battery Model #: AANN4285B Accessory Model # = AAYN4264A_Head Set(15mm front)

Communication System: CDMA 835; Frequency: 836.52 MHz; Channel Number: 384; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium: Low Freq Body; Medium parameters used: $\sigma = 0.97$ mho/m, $\varepsilon_r = 54.5$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m^3

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1515; ConvF(5.92, 5.92, 5.92); Calibrated: 8/25/2004
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn398; Calibrated: 2/8/2005
- Phantom: R9: Section 1, Amy Twin, Rev2 (23-June-04); Type: Amy Twin Flat; Serial: n/a;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 147

Amy Twin Phone Template/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 18.1 V/m; Power Drift = -0.024 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.357 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.274 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.194 mW/g
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.295 mW/g

Amy Twin Phone Template/Area Scan - Normal Body (15mm) (13x7x1):

Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.284 mW/g



Appendix 4

Probe Calibration Certificate

Calibration Laboratory of
Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland

Client Motorola PCS

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object(s)	ET3DV6 - SN:1515
Calibration procedure(s)	QA CAL-01.v2 Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes
Calibration date:	August 25, 2004
Condition of the calibrated item	In Tolerance (according to the specific calibration document)

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).
The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature 22 +/- 2 degrees Celsius and humidity < 75%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Model Type	ID #	Cal Date (Calibrated by, Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter EPM E4419B	GB41293874	5-May-04 (METAS, No 251-00388)	May-05
Power sensor E4412A	MY41495277	5-May-04 (METAS, No 251-00388)	May-05
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: 5086 (20b)	3-May-04 (METAS, No 251-00389)	May-05
Fluke Process Calibrator Type 702	SN: 6295803	8-Sep-03 (Sintrel SCS No. E-030020)	Sep-04
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092180	18-Sep-02 (SPEAG, in house check Oct03)	In house check: Oct 05
RF generator HP 8684C	US3642U01700	4-Aug-99 (SPEAG, in house check Aug02)	In house check: Aug05
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585	18-Oct-01 (SPEAG, in house check Oct03)	In house check: Oct 05

	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Nico Vetterli	Technician	
Approved by:	Katja Pokovic	Laboratory Director	

Date issued: August 25, 2004

This calibration certificate is issued as an intermediate solution until the accreditation process (based on ISO/IEC 17025 International Standard) for Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG is completed.

Probe ET3DV6

SN:1515

Manufactured:	February 1, 2000
Last calibrated:	September 10, 2003
Recalibrated:	August 25, 2004

Calibrated for DASY Systems

(Note: non-compatible with DASY2 system!)

DASY - Parameters of Probe: ET3DV6 SN:1515

Sensitivity in Free Space

NormX	**1.69** $\mu V/(V/m)^2$	DCP X	**96**	mV
NormY	**1.90** $\mu V/(V/m)^2$	DCP Y	**96**	mV
NormZ	**1.70** $\mu V/(V/m)^2$	DCP Z	**96**	mV

Diode Compression[A]

Sensitivity in Tissue Simulating Liquid (Conversion Factors)

Plese see Page 7.

Boundary Effect

Head **900 MHz** **Typical SAR gradient: 5 % per mm**

Sensor Center to Phantom Surface Distance		**3.7 mm**	**4.7 mm**
SAR_{be} [%]	Without Correction Algorithm	9.1	4.7
SAR_{be} [%]	With Correction Algorithm	0.1	0.3

Head **1800 MHz** **Typical SAR gradient: 10 % per mm**

Sensor Center to Phantom Surface Distance		**3.7 mm**	**4.7 mm**
SAR_{be} [%]	Without Correction Algorithm	13.4	9.0
SAR_{be} [%]	With Correction Algorithm	0.2	0.1

Sensor Offset

Probe Tip to Sensor Center	**2.7** mm
Optical Surface Detection	**in tolerance**

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

[A] numerical linearization parameter: uncertainty not required

Frequency Response of E-Field

(TEM-Cell:ifi110, Waveguide R22)



Receiving Pattern (ϕ), θ = 0°







Axial Isotropy Error < ± 0.2 dB

Dynamic Range f(SAR_{head})

(Waveguide R22)





Probe Linearity Error < ± 0.2 dB

Conversion Factor Assessment





f [MHz]	Validity [MHz][B]	Tissue	Permittivity	Conductivity	Alpha	Depth	ConvF	Uncertainty
900	800-1000	Head	41.5 ± 5%	0.97 ± 5%	0.64	1.80	6.30	± 9.5% (k=2)
1800	1710-1910	Head	40.0 ± 5%	1.40 ± 5%	0.49	2.64	5.11	± 9.5% (k=2)
900	800-1000	Body	55.0 ± 5%	1.05 ± 5%	0.52	2.13	5.92	± 9.5% (k=2)
1800	1710-1910	Body	53.3 ± 5%	1.52 ± 5%	0.56	2.70	4.58	± 9.5% (k=2)

[B] The stated uncertainty of calibration in according to P1528.

Deviation from Isotropy in HSL

Error (θ, ϕ), f = 900 MHz



Spherical Isotropy Error < ± 0.4 dB

Appendix 5

Measurement Uncertainty Budget

Uncertainty Budget for Device Under Test

a	*b*	*c*	*d*	*e = f(d,k)*	*f*	*g*	*h = c x f / e*	*i = c x g / e*	*k*
Uncertainty Component	Sec.	**Tol. (± %)**	**Prob. Dist.**	**Div.**	c_i **(1 g)**	c_i **(10 g)**	**1 g** u_i **(±%)**	**10 g** u_i **(±%)**	v_i
Measurement System									
Probe Calibration	E.2.1	9.5	N	2.00	1	1	4.8	4.8	∞
Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	4.7	R	1.73	0.707	0.707	1.9	1.9	∞
Spherical Isotropy	E.2.2	9.6	R	1.73	0.707	0.707	3.9	3.9	∞
Boundary Effect	E.2.3	5.8	R	1.73	1	1	3.3	3.3	∞
Linearity	E.2.4	4.7	R	1.73	1	1	2.7	2.7	∞
System Detection Limits	E.2.5	1.0	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	1.0	N	1.00	1	1	1.0	1.0	∞
Response Time	E.2.7	0.8	R	1.73	1	1	0.5	0.5	∞
Integration Time	E.2.8	1.3	R	1.73	1	1	0.8	0.8	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	E.6.1	3.0	R	1.73	1	1	1.7	1.7	∞
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E.6.2	0.3	R	1.73	1	1	0.2	0.2	∞
Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	E.6.3	1.1	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Extrapolation, interpolation and Integration Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	E.5	3.9	R	1.73	1	1	2.3	2.3	∞
Test sample Related									
Test Sample Positioning	E.4.2	3.6	N	1.00	1	1	3.6	3.6	29
Device Holder Uncertainty	E.4.1	2.8	N	1.00	1	1	2.8	2.8	8
Output Power Variation - SAR drift measurement	6.6.2	5.0	R	1.73	1	1	2.9	2.9	∞
Phantom and Tissue Parameters									
Phantom Uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances)	E.3.1	4.0	R	1.73	1	1	2.3	2.3	∞
Liquid Conductivity - deviation from target values	E.3.2	5.0	R	1.73	0.64	0.43	1.8	1.2	∞
Liquid Conductivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	10.0	R	1.73	0.64	0.43	3.7	2.5	∞
Liquid Permittivity - deviation from target values	E.3.2	10.0	R	1.73	0.6	0.49	3.5	2.8	∞
Liquid Permittivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	5.0	R	1.73	0.6	0.49	1.7	1.4	∞
Combined Standard Uncertainty			RSS				11.72	11.09	1363
Expanded Uncertainty (95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL)			*k* =2				22.98	21.75	

Uncertainty Budget for System Performance Check (dipole & flat phantom)

a	*b*	*c*	*d*	*e = f(d,k)*	*f*	*g*	*h = c x f / e*	*i = c x g / e*	*k*
Uncertainty Component	Sec.	**Tol. (± %)**	**Prob. Dist.**	**Div.**	c_i **(1 g)**	c_i **(10 g)**	**1 g** u_i **(±%)**	**10 g** u_i **(±%)**	v_i
Measurement System									
Probe Calibration	E.2.1	9.5	N	2.00	1	1	4.8	4.8	∞
Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	4.7	R	1.73	1	1	2.7	2.7	∞
Spherical Isotropy	E.2.2	9.6	R	1.73	0	0	0.0	0.0	∞
Boundary Effect	E.2.3	5.8	R	1.73	1	1	3.3	3.3	∞
Linearity	E.2.4	4.7	R	1.73	1	1	2.7	2.7	∞
System Detection Limits	E.2.5	1.0	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	1.0	N	1.00	1	1	1.0	1.0	∞
Response Time	E.2.7	0.0	R	1.73	1	1	0.0	0.0	∞
Integration Time	E.2.8	0.0	R	1.73	1	1	0.0	0.0	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	E.6.1	3.0	R	1.73	1	1	1.7	1.7	∞
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E.6.2	0.3	R	1.73	1	1	0.2	0.2	∞
Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	E.6.3	1.1	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Extrapolation, interpolation and Integration Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	E.5	3.9	R	1.73	1	1	2.3	2.3	∞
Dipole									
Dipole Axis to Liquid Distance	8, E.4.2	1.0	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Input Power and SAR Drift Measurement	8, 6.6.2	4.7	R	1.73	1	1	2.7	2.7	∞
Phantom and Tissue Parameters									
Phantom Uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances)	E.3.1	4.0	R	1.73	1	1	2.3	2.3	∞
Liquid Conductivity - deviation from target values	E.3.2	5.0	R	1.73	0.64	0.43	1.8	1.2	∞
Liquid Conductivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	10.0	R	1.73	0.64	0.43	3.7	2.5	∞
Liquid Permittivity - deviation from target values	E.3.2	10.0	R	1.73	0.6	0.49	3.5	2.8	∞
Liquid Permittivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	5.0	R	1.73	0.6	0.49	1.7	1.4	∞
Combined Standard Uncertainty			RSS				10.16	9.43	99999
Expanded Uncertainty (95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL)			*k*=2				19.92	18.48	

Appendix 6

Photographs of the device under test

Figure 1. Front of Phone

Figure 2. Back of Phone

Figure 3. Bodyworn Front



Figure 4. Bodyworn Back



Figure 5. Cheek Top



Figure 6. Cheek Bottom



Figure 7. Tilted Top



Figure 8. Tilted Bottom