Exhibit 11: SAR Test Report - IHDT5FC1

Date of Test: Nov-01-2005 to Nov-02-2005
Date of Report: Nov-16-2005

Laboratory:
Motorola Mobile Devices Business Product Safety & Compliance Laboratory
600 N. US Highway 45
Room: MW113
Libertyville, Illinois 60048

Test Responsible:
Bert Patapack
Senior Staff Engineer

Accreditation: This laboratory is accredited to ISO/IEC 17025-1999 to perform the following tests:

Tests:	Procedures:
Electromagnetic Specific Absorption Rate	ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992, 1999 (SAR) IEEE C95.3-1991 IEEE 1528, IEC 62209-1 FCC OET Bulletin 65 (*including Supplements A,B,C*) Australian Communications Authority Radio Communications (Electromagnetic Radiation – Human Exposure) Standard 1999 CENELEC EN 50361 (2001)
Simulated Tissue Preparation	APP-0247
RF Power Measurement	DOI-0876, 0900, 0902, 0904, 0915

On the following products or types of products:
Wireless Communications Devices (Examples): Two Way Radios; Portable Phones (including Cellular, Licensed Non-Broadcast and PCS); Low Frequency Readers; and Pagers

A2LA certificate #1651-01

Statement of Compliance:
Motorola declares under its sole responsibility that portable cellular telephone FCC ID IHDT5FC1 to which this declaration relates, is in conformity with the appropriate General Population/Uncontrolled RF exposure standards, recommendations and guidelines (FCC 47 CFR §2.1093). It also declares that the product was tested in accordance with the appropriate measurement standards, guidelines and recommended practices. Any deviations from these standards, guidelines and recommended practices are noted below:

(none)

©Motorola, Inc. 2005
This test report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval of the laboratory.

The results and statements contained herein relate only to the items tested. The names of individuals involved may be mentioned only in connection with the statements or results from this report.

Motorola encourages all feedback, both positive and negative, on this test report.

Table of Contents

1. INTRODUCTION 3

2. DESCRIPTION OF THE DEVICE UNDER TEST 3

2.1 Antenna description 3

2.2 Device description 3

3. TEST EQUIPMENT USED 4

3.1 Dosimetric System 4

3.2 Additional Equipment 4

4. ELECTRICAL PARAMETERS OF THE TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUID 5

5. SYSTEM ACCURACY VERIFICATION 6

6. TEST RESULTS 7

6.1 Head Adjacent Test Results 8

6.2 Body Worn Test Results 10

APPENDIX 1: SAR DISTRIBUTION COMPARISON FOR SYSTEM ACCURACY VERIFICATION 11

APPENDIX 2: SAR DISTRIBUTION PLOTS FOR PHANTOM HEAD ADJACENT USE 12

APPENDIX 3: SAR DISTRIBUTION PLOTS FOR BODY WORN CONFIGURATION 13

APPENDIX 4: PROBE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE 14

APPENDIX 5: MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY BUDGET 15

APPENDIX 6: PHOTOGRAPHS OF DEVICE UNDER TEST 18

APPENDIX 7: DIPOLE CHARACTERIZATION CERTIFICATE 23

1 Introduction

The Motorola Mobile Devices Business Product Safety Laboratory has performed measurements of the maximum potential exposure to the user of portable cellular phone (FCC ID IHDT5FC1). The Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) of this product was measured. The portable cellular phone was tested in accordance with FCC OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C 01-01.

2 Description of the Device Under Test

2.1 Antenna description

Type	Internal Antenna	
Location	Top-Rear of Transceiver	
Dimensions	Length	21 mm
	Width	39 mm
Configuration	PIFA	

2.2 Device description

FCC ID	**IHDT5FC1**
Serial Number	**1E410010**
Mode(s) of Operation	CDMA 800
Modulation Mode(s)	QPSK
Maximum Output Power Setting	24.50 dBm
Duty Cycle	1:1
Transmitting Frequency Range(s)	824.70 - 848.31 MHz
Production Unit or Identical Prototype (47 CFR §2..908)	Identical Prototype
Device Category	Portable
RF Exposure Limits	General Population / Uncontrolled

3 Test Equipment Used

3.1 Dosimetric System

The Motorola Mobile Devices Business Product Safety & Compliance Laboratory utilizes a Dosimetric Assessment System (Dasy4™ v4.5) manufactured by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG™), of Zurich Switzerland. All the SAR measurements are taken within a shielded enclosure. The overall RSS uncertainty of the measurement system is ±11.1% (K=1) with an expanded uncertainty of ±22.2% (K=2). The measurement uncertainty budget is given in Appendix 6. Per IEEE 1528, this uncertainty budget is applicable to the SAR range of 0.4 W/kg to 10 W/kg. The list of calibrated equipment used for the measurements is shown below.

Description	Serial Number	Cal Due Date
DASY4 DAE3	383	Aug-18-2006
E-Field Probe ET3DV6	1515	Aug-30-2006
Dipole Validation Kit, D900V2	94	Jun-02-2006
S.A.M. Phantom used for 800MHz	TP-1168	

3.2 Additional Equipment

Description	Serial Number	Cal Due Date
Signal Generator HP8648C	3847A04840	Feb-26-2006
Power Meter E4419B	GB39511085	Dec-01-2005
Power Sensor #1 – E9301A	US39211012	May-31-2006
Power Sensor #2 - 8481A	2702A82671	Dec-17-2005
Network Analyzer HP8753ES	US39172714	Mar-04-2006
Dielectric Probe Kit HP85070C	US99360207	

4 Electrical parameters of the tissue simulating liquid

Prior to conducting SAR measurements, the relative permittivity, ε_r, and the conductivity, σ, of the tissue simulating liquids were measured with the HP85070 Dielectric Probe Kit These values, along with the temperature of the tissue simulate are shown in the table below. The recommended limits for maximum permittivity and minimum conductivity are also shown. These come from the Federal Communication Commission, OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C 01-01. It is seen that the measured parameters are satisfactory for compliance testing.

f (MHz)	Tissue type	Limits / Measured	Dielectric Parameters		
			ε_r	σ (S/m)	Temp (°C)
835	Head	**Measured,** Nov-01-2005	42.6	0.92	21.36
		Recommended Limits	41.5 ±5%	0.90 ±5%	18-25
	Body	**Measured,** Nov-02-2005	55.9	1.01	21.07
		Recommended Limits	55.2 ±5%	0.97 ±5%	18-25

The list of ingredients and the percent composition used for the tissue simulates are indicated in the table below.

Ingredient	800MHz Head	800MHz Body	1900MHz Head	1900MHz Body
Sugar	57.0	44.9	--	--
DGBE	--	--	47.0	30.80
Water	40.45	53.06	52.8	68.91
Salt	1.45	0.94	0.2	0.29
HEC	1.0	1.0	--	--
Bact.	0.1	0.1	--	--

5 System Accuracy Verification

A system accuracy verification of the DASY4 v4.5 was performed using the measurement equipment listed in Section 3.1. The daily system accuracy verification occurs within center section of the SAM phantom.

A SAR measurement was performed to see if the measured SAR was within +/- 10% from the target SAR indicated in Section 8.3.7 Reference SAR Values in IEEE 1528, or Appendix 7 for the 900Mhz target reference SAR value. These tests were done at 900MHz and 1800MHz. These frequencies are within 100MHz of the mid-band frequency of the test device. This is within the allowable window given in Supplement C 01-01 *Appendix D System Verification* section item #5. The test was conducted on the same days as the measurement of the DUT. Recommended limits for maximum permittivity, minimum conductivity are shown in the table below. These come from the Federal Communication Commission, OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C 01-01. The obtained results from the system accuracy verification are displayed in the table below. The distributions of SAR compare well with those of the reference measurements (see Appendix 1). The tissue stimulant depth was verified to be 15.0cm ±0.5cm. Z-axis scans showing the SAR penetration are also included in Appendix 1. SAR values are normalized to 1W forward power delivered to the dipole.

f (MHz)	Description	SAR (W/kg), 1gram	Dielectric Parameters		Ambient Temp (°C)	Tissue Temp (°C)
			ε_r	σ (S/m)		
900	**Measured,** Nov-01-2005	11.96	41.9	0.99	22.0	21.4
	Measured, Nov-02-2005	11.83	41.4	0.98	22.0	20.9
	Recommended Limits	11.3	41.5 ±5%	0.97 ±5%	18-25	18-25

The following probe conversion factors were used on the E-Field probe(s) used for the system accuracy verification measurements:

Description	Serial Number	f (MHz)	Conversion Factor	Cal Cert pg #
E-Field Probe ET3DV6	1515	900	6.09	8 of 9

6 Test Results

The test sample was operated in a test mode that allows control of the transmitter without the need to place actual phone calls. For the purposes of this test the unit is commanded to test mode and manually set to the proper channel, transmitter power level and transmit mode of operation. The phone was tested in the configurations stipulated in OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C 01-01. Motorola also followed the requirements in Supplement. C / Appendix D: SAR Measurement Procedures, section titled "*Devices Operating Next To A Person's Ear* ". These directions state "The device should be tested on the left and right side of the head phantom in the "Cheek/Touch" and "Ear/Tilt" positions. When applicable, each configuration should be tested with the antenna in its fully extended and fully retracted positions. These test configurations should be tested at the high, middle and low frequency channels of each operating mode; for example, AMPS, CDMA, and TDMA. If the SAR measured at the middle channel for each test configuration (left, right, Cheek/Touch, Tile/Ear, extended and retracted) is at least 2.0 dB lower than the SAR limit, testing at the high and low channels is optional for such test configuration(s)."

The DASY4 v4.5 SAR measurement system specified in section 3.1 was utilized within the intended operations as set by the SPEAG™ setup. The phone was positioned into the measurement configurations using the positioner supplied with the DASY4 v4.5 SAR measurement system. The measured dielectric constant of the material used for the positioner is less than 2.9 and the loss tangent is less than 0.02 (± 30%) at 850MHz. The default settings for the "coarse" and "cube" scans were chosen and use for measurements. The grid spacing of the course scan was set to 15cm as shown in the SAR plots included in appendix 2 and 3. Please refer to the DASY manual for additional information on SAR scanning procedures and algorithms used.

The Cellular Phone (FCC ID IHDT5FC1) has the following battery options:

SNN5749A - 920 mAH Battery

The battery with the highest capacity is the SNN5749A. This battery was used to do all of the SAR testing. The phone was placed in the SAR measurement system with a fully charged battery.

6.1 Head Adjacent Test Results

To aid in positioning repeatability, the ear reference line of the device and the axis reference line of the device have been physically added using a non-metallic marker.

- Per Figure 1, the "Ear Reference Line" is centered vertically through the center of the listening area (as defined by the speaker holes in the housing).
- The "Axis Reference Line" bisects the front surface of the device at its top and bottom edges.
- The intersection of these two lines defines the location of the "Ear Reference Point".

The lines drawn on the device extended to the outside edges, as shown in blue in the figure below, & wrap around the sides of the device.



The SAR results shown in tables 1 and 2 are maximum SAR values averaged over 1 gram of phantom tissue. Also shown are the measured conducted output powers, the temperature of the test facility during the test, the temperature of the tissue simulate after the test, the measured drift and the extrapolated SAR. The exact method of extrapolation is New SAR = Old SAR * 10^(-drift/10). The SAR reported at the end of the measurement process by the DASY™ measurement system can be scaled up by the measured drift to determine the SAR at the beginning of the measurement process. This is the most conservative SAR because it corresponds to the average output power at the beginning of the SAR test. This extrapolation has been done because when the DUT is operating properly it may exhibit a slump in radiated power and SAR over time. This is verified by measuring the SAR drift after the test.

The left head and right head SAR contour distributions are similar. Because of this similarity, the cheek/touch and 15° tilt test conditions with the highest SAR values in each band are indicated as bold numbers in the following tables and are included in Appendix 2. All other test conditions measured lower SAR values than those included in Appendix 2.

The SAR measurements were performed using the SAM phantoms listed in section 3.1. Since the same phantoms and tissue simulate are used for the system accuracy verification as the device SAR measurements, the Z-axis scans included in within Appendix 1 are applicable for verification of tissue simulate depth to be 15.0cm ±0.5cm. Note that 800MHz digital mode SAR measurements were performed in accordance with Supplement C.

The following probe conversion factors were used on the E-Field probe(s) used for the head adjacent measurements:

Description	Serial Number	f (MHz)	Conversion Factor	Cal Cert pg #
E-Field Probe ET3DV6	1515	900	6.09	8 of 9

f (MHz)	Description	Conducted Output Power (dBm)	Head (Cheek / Touch Position)							
			Left Head				Right Head			
			Measured (W/kg)	Drift (dB)	Extrapolated (W/kg)	Simulate Temp (°C)	Measured (W/kg)	Drift (dB)	Extrapolated (W/kg)	Simulate Temp (°C)
CDMA 800 Mhz	Channel 1013	24.41	1.29	-0.02	1.30	21.4	1.32	0.06	1.32	21.4
	Channel 384	24.61	1.36	-0.08	1.39	21.4	**1.44**	**-0.071**	**1.46**	**21.4**
	Channel 777	24.69	1.27	0.02	1.27	21.4	1.26	0.11	1.26	21.4

Table 1: SAR measurement results for the portable cellular telephone FCC ID IHDT5FC1 at highest possible output power. Measured against the Head in the Cheek/Touch Position.

f (MHz)	Description	Conducted Output Power (dBm)	Head (15º Tilt Position)							
			Left Head				Right Head			
			Measured (W/kg)	Drift (dB)	Extrapolated (W/kg)	Simulate Temp (°C)	Measured (W/kg)	Drift (dB)	Extrapolated (W/kg)	Simulate Temp (°C)
CDMA 800 Mhz	Channel 1013	24.41	0.886	0.10	0.89	21.4	0.813	0.00	0.81	21.4
	Channel 384	24.61	**0.939**	**0.065**	**0.94**	**21.4**	0.924	0.06	0.92	21.4
	Channel 777	24.69	0.844	0.14	0.84	21.4	0.789	0.07	0.79	21.4

Table 2: SAR measurement results for the portable cellular telephone FCC ID IHDT5FC1 at highest possible output power. Measured against the Head in the 15° Tilt Position.

6.2 Body Worn Test Results

The SAR results shown in table 3 are the maximum SAR values averaged over 1 gram of phantom tissue. Also shown are the measured conducted output powers, the temperature of the test facility during the test, the temperature of the tissue simulate after the test, the measured drift and the extrapolated SAR. The exact method of extrapolation is New SAR = Old SAR * 10^(-drift/10). The SAR reported at the end of the measurement process by the DASY™ measurement system can be scaled up by the measured drift to determine the SAR at the beginning of the measurement process. This is the most conservative SAR because it corresponds to the average output power at the beginning of the SAR test. This extrapolation has been done because when the DUT is operating properly it may exhibit a slump in radiated power and SAR over time. This is verified by measuring the SAR drift after the test. Note that 800MHz digital mode SAR measurements were performed in accordance with OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C 01-01.

The test conditions that produced the highest SAR values in each band are indicated as bold numbers in the following tables and are included in Appendix 3. All other test conditions measured lower SAR values than those included in Appendix 3.

A “flat” phantom was for the body-worn tests. This “flat” phantom is made out of 1” thick natural High Density Polyethylene with a thickness at the bottom equal to 2.0mm. It measures 52.7cm(long) x 26.7cm(wide) x 21.2cm(tall). The measured dielectric constant of the material used is less than 2.3 and the loss tangent is less than 0.0046 all the way up to 2.184GHz.

The tissue stimulant depth was verified to be 15.0cm ±0.5cm. The same device holder described in section 6 was used for positioning the phone. Any functional accessories were divided into two categories, the ones with metal components and the ones with non-metal components. For non-metallic component accessories’, testing was performed on the accessory that displayed the closest proximity to the flat phantom. Each metallic component accessory, if any, was checked for uniqueness of metal component so that each is tested with the device. If multiple accessories shared an identical metal component, only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body was tested. The cellular phone was tested with a headset connected to the device for all body-worn SAR measurements.

There are no Body-Worn Accessories available for this phone.

The following probe conversion factors were used on the E-Field probe(s) used for the body worn measurements:

Description	Serial Number	f (MHz)	Conversion Factor	Cal Cert pg #
E-Field Probe ET3DV6	1515	900	5.98	8 of 9

f (MHz)	Description	Conducted Output Power (dBm)	Body Worn							
			Front of Phone 15mm from Phantom				Back of Phone 15mm from Phantom			
			Measured (W/kg)	Drift (dB)	Extrapolated (W/kg)	Simulate Temp (°C)	Measured (W/kg)	Drift (dB)	Extrapolated (W/kg)	Simulate Temp (°C)
CDMA 800 Mhz	Channel 1013	24.41								
	Channel 384	24.61	0.199	-0.03	0.20	20.9	**0.563**	**-0.11**	**0.58**	**20.9**
	Channel 777	24.69								

Table 3: SAR measurement results for the portable cellular telephone FCC ID IHDT5FC1 at highest possible output power. Measured against the body.

Appendix 1

SAR distribution comparison for the system accuracy verification

Date/Time: 11/1/2005 7:50:21 AM

Test Laboratory: Motorola - 20051101 900MHz Good +5.8%

DUT: Dipole 900 MHz; Type: D900V2; Serial: D900V2 - SN:094
Procedure Notes: 900 MHz System Performance Check; Dipole Sn# 094; PM1 Power = 199 mW
Sim.Temp@meas = 21.36 C; Sim.Temp@SPC = 21.3 C; Room Temp @ SPC = 22 C

Communication System: CW - Dipole; Frequency: 900 MHz; Channel Number: 4; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: VALIDATION Only
Medium parameters used: f = 900 MHz; σ = 0.99 mho/m; ε_r = 41.9; ρ = 1000 kg/m^3

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1515; ConvF(6.09, 6.09, 6.09); Calibrated: 8/30/2005
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn383; Calibrated: 8/18/2005
- Phantom: PCS8: Sugar Water SAM; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1168;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.6 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 160

Daily SPC Check/Dipole Area Scan (4x9x1):
Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.17 mW/g

Daily SPC Check/0-Degree 5x5x7 Cube (5x5x7)/Cube 0:
Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 52.4 V/m; Power Drift = 0.039 dB; Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.53 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 2.33 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.49 mW/g; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.54 mW/g

Daily SPC Check/90-Degree 5x5x7 Cube (5x5x7)/Cube 0:
Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 52.4 V/m; Power Drift = 0.039 dB; Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.71 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 2.43 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.55 mW/g; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.65 mW/g

Daily SPC Check/Z-Axis Retraction (1x1x31):
Measurement grid: dx=20mm, dy=20mm, dz=5mm; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.58 mW/g


mW/g
2.58
2.06
1.55
1.03
0.516
0.000


SAR(x,y,z,f0)
SAR; Z-Axis Retraction:Value Along Z, X=0, Y=0
mW/g
2.5
2.0
1.5
1.0
0.5
0.0
0.00
0.02
0.04
0.06
0.08
0.10
0.12
0.14
0.16
m

Date/Time: 11/2/2005 7:55:56 AM

Test Laboratory: Motorola - 20051102 900MHz Good +4.7%

DUT: Dipole 900 MHz; Type: D900V2; Serial: D900V2 - SN:094
Procedure Notes: 900 MHz System Performance Check; Dipole Sn# 094; PM1 Power = 199 mW
Sim.Temp@meas = 20.93 C; Sim.Temp@SPC = 20.9 C; Room Temp @ SPC = 22 C

Communication System: CW - Dipole; Frequency: 900 MHz; Channel Number: 4; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: VALIDATION Only
Medium parameters used: f = 900 MHz; σ = 0.98 mho/m; ε_r = 41.4; ρ = 1000 kg/m^3

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1515; ConvF(6.09, 6.09, 6.09); Calibrated: 8/30/2005
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn383; Calibrated: 8/18/2005
- Phantom: PCS8: Sugar Water SAM; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1168;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.6 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 160

Daily SPC Check/Dipole Area Scan (4x9x1):
Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.16 mW/g

Daily SPC Check/0-Degree 5x5x7 Cube (5x5x7)/Cube 0:
Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 52.4 V/m; Power Drift = 0.044 dB; Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.47 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 2.3 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.47 mW/g; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.48 mW/g

Daily SPC Check/90-Degree 5x5x7 Cube (5x5x7)/Cube 0:
Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 52.4 V/m; Power Drift = 0.044 dB; Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.64 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 2.41 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.54 mW/g; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.62 mW/g

Daily SPC Check/Z-Axis Retraction (1x1x31):
Measurement grid: dx=20mm, dy=20mm, dz=5mm; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.52 mW/g


mW/g
2.52
2.02
1.51
1.01
0.504
0.000


SAR(x,y,z,f0)
SAR; Z-Axis Retraction:Value Along Z, X=0, Y=0
mW/g
2.5
2.0
1.5
1.0
0.5
0.0
0.00
0.02
0.04
0.06
0.08
0.10
0.12
0.14
0.16
m

Appendix 2

SAR distribution plots for Phantom Head Adjacent Use

Date/Time: 11/1/2005 4:30:14 PM

Test Laboratory: Motorola - 800 Cheek

Serial: 1E410010
Procedure Notes: Pwr Step: Always Up (OTA); Antenna Position: Internal; Accessory Model #: N/A
Battery Model #: SNN5749A; DEVICE POSITION (cheek or rotated): Cheek
Communication System: CDMA 835; Frequency: 836.52 MHz; Channel Number: 384; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: Low Freq Head
Medium parameters used: f = 835 MHz; σ = 0.92 mho/m; ε_r = 42.6; ρ = 1000 kg/m^3

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1515; ConvF(6.09, 6.09, 6.09); Calibrated: 8/30/2005
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn383; Calibrated: 8/18/2005
- Phantom: PCS8: Sugar Water SAM; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1168;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.6 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 160

Right Head Template/Area Scan - Normal (15mm) (7x17x1):
Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.52 mW/g

Right Head Template/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:
Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 38.7 V/m; Power Drift = -0.071 dB; Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.88 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 1.44 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1 mW/g; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.53 mW/g



Date/Time: 11/1/2005 1:51:03 PM

Test Laboratory: Motorola - 800 Tilt

Serial: 1E410010
Procedure Notes: Pwr Step: Always Up (OTA); Antenna Position: Internal; Accessory Model #: N/A
Battery Model #: SNN5749A; DEVICE POSITION (cheek or rotated): Rotated
Communication System: CDMA 835; Frequency: 836.52 MHz; Channel Number: 384; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: Low Freq Head
Medium parameters used: f = 835 MHz; σ = 0.92 mho/m; ε_r = 42.6; ρ = 1000 kg/m^3

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1515; ConvF(6.09, 6.09, 6.09); Calibrated: 8/30/2005
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn383; Calibrated: 8/18/2005
- Phantom: PCS8: Sugar Water SAM; Type: SAM; Serial: TP-1168;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.6 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 160

Left Head Template/Area Scan - Normal (15mm) (7x17x1):
Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.984 mW/g

Left Head Template/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:
Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 32.6 V/m; Power Drift = 0.065 dB; Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.37 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.939 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.631 mW/g; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.01 mW/g



Appendix 3

SAR distribution plots for Body Worn Configuration

Date/Time: 11/2/2005 11:08:45 AM

Test Laboratory: Motorola - 800 Body

Serial: 1E410010
Procedure Notes: Pwr Step: Always Up (OTA); Antenna Position: Internal; Battery Model #: SNN5749A
Accessory Model # = None; Back of Phone 15mm from Phantom
Communication System: CDMA 835; Frequency: 836.52 MHz; Channel Number: 384; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: Low Freq Body
Medium parameters used: f = 835 MHz; σ = 1.01 mho/m; ε_r = 55.9; ρ = 1000 kg/m^3

DASY4 Configuration:

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1515; ConvF(5.98, 5.98, 5.98); Calibrated: 8/30/2005
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn383; Calibrated: 8/18/2005
- Phantom: PCS8_ Section 1, Amy Twin, Rev2 (23-June-04); Type: Amy Twin Flat; Serial: n/a;
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.6 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 160

Amy Twin Phone Template/Area Scan - Normal Body (15mm) (13x7x1):
Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.570 mW/g

Amy Twin Phone Template/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:
Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 24.0 V/m; Power Drift = -0.109 dB; Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.787 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.563 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.387 mW/g; Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.599 mW/g



Appendix 4

Probe Calibration Certificate

Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland
Phone +41 1 245 9700, Fax +41 1 245 9779
info@speag.com, http://www.speag.com

IMPORTANT NOTICE

USAGE OF PROBES IN ORGANIC SOLVENTS

Diethylene Gycol Monobuthy Ether (the basis for liquids above 1 GHz), as many other organic solvents, is a very effective softener for synthetic materials. These solvents can cause irreparable damage to certain SPEAG products, except those which are explicitly declared as compliant with organic solvents.

Compatible Probes:
- ET3DV6
- ET3DV6R
- ES3DVx
- EX3DVx
- ER3DV6
- H3DV6

Important Note for ET3DV6 Probes:
The ET3DV6 probes shall not be exposed to solvents longer than necessary for the measurements and shall be cleaned daily after use with warm water and stored dry.

s p e a g
Schmid & Partner Engineering AG
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland
Phone +41 1 245 9700, Fax +41 1 245 9779
info@speag.com, http://www.speag.com

Schmid & Partner Engineering AG

Calibration Laboratory of
Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland

S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst
C Service suisse d'étalonnage
Servizio svizzero di taratura
S Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Federal Office of Metrology and Accreditation
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

Client **Motorola MDb**

Certificate No: ET3-1515_Aug05

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object	ET3DV6 - SN:1515
Calibration procedure(s)	QA CAL-01.v5 Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes
Calibration date:	August 30, 2005
Condition of the calibrated item	In Tolerance

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).
The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Calibrated by, Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter E4419B	GB41293874	3-May-05 (METAS, No. 251-00466)	May-06
Power sensor E4412A	MY41495277	3-May-05 (METAS, No. 251-00466)	May-06
Power sensor E4412A	MY41498087	3-May-05 (METAS, No. 251-00466)	May-06
Reference 3 dB Attenuator	SN: S5054 (3c)	11-Aug-05 (METAS, No. 251-00499)	Aug-06
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: S5086 (20b)	3-May-05 (METAS, No. 251-00467)	May-06
Reference 30 dB Attenuator	SN: S5129 (30b)	11-Aug-05 (METAS, No. 251-00500)	Aug-06
Reference Probe ES3DV2	SN: 3013	7-Jan-05 (SPEAG, No. ES3-3013_Jan05)	Jan-06
DAE4	SN: 654	29-Nov-04 (SPEAG, No. DAE4-654_Nov04)	Nov-05

Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
RF generator HP 8648C	US3642U01700	4-Aug-99 (SPEAG, in house check Dec-03)	In house check: Dec-05
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585	18-Oct-01 (SPEAG, in house check Nov-04)	In house check: Nov 05

	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Nico Vetterli	Laboratory Technician	
Approved by:	Katja Pokovic	Technical Manager	

Issued: August 30, 2005

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

Glossary:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
NORMx,y,z	sensitivity in free space
ConF	sensitivity in TSL / NORMx,y,z
DCP	diode compression point
Polarization φ	φ rotation around probe axis
Polarization ϑ	ϑ rotation around an axis that is in the plane normal to probe axis (at measurement center), i.e., $\vartheta = 0$ is normal to probe axis

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

a) IEEE Std 1528-2003, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", December 2003
b) CENELEC EN 50361, "Basic standard for the measurement of Specific Absorption Rate related to human exposure to electromagnetic fields from mobile phones (300 MHz - 3 GHz), July 2001

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- *NORMx,y,z:* Assessed for E-field polarization $\vartheta = 0$ ($f \leq 900$ MHz in TEM-cell; $f > 1800$ MHz: R22 waveguide). NORMx,y,z are only intermediate values, i.e., the uncertainties of NORMx,y,z does not effect the E^2-field uncertainty inside TSL (see below *ConvF*).
- *NORM(f)x,y,z = NORMx,y,z * frequency_response* (see Frequency Response Chart). This linearization is implemented in DASY4 software versions later than 4.2. The uncertainty of the frequency response is included in the stated uncertainty of *ConvF*.
- *DCPx,y,z:* DCP are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep (no uncertainty required). DCP does not depend on frequency nor media.
- *ConvF and Boundary Effect Parameters:* Assessed in flat phantom using E-field (or Temperature Transfer Standard for $f \leq 800$ MHz) and inside waveguide using analytical field distributions based on power measurements for $f > 800$ MHz. The same setups are used for assessment of the parameters applied for boundary compensation (alpha, depth) of which typical uncertainty values are given. These parameters are used in DASY4 software to improve probe accuracy close to the boundary. The sensitivity in TSL corresponds to *NORMx,y,z * ConvF* whereby the uncertainty corresponds to that given for *ConvF*. A frequency dependent *ConvF* is used in DASY version 4.4 and higher which allows extending the validity from ± 50 MHz to ± 100 MHz.
- *Spherical isotropy (3D deviation from isotropy)*: in a field of low gradients realized using a flat phantom exposed by a patch antenna.
- *Sensor Offset*: The sensor offset corresponds to the offset of virtual measurement center from the probe tip (on probe axis). No tolerance required.

Probe ET3DV6

SN:1515

Manufactured:	February 1, 2000
Last calibrated:	August 25, 2004
Recalibrated:	August 30, 2005

Calibrated for DASY Systems

(Note: non-compatible with DASY2 system!)

DASY - Parameters of Probe: ET3DV6 SN:1515

Sensitivity in Free Space[A]

NormX	**1.73** ± 10.1%	$\mu V/(V/m)^2$	DCP X	**95** mV
NormY	**1.93** ± 10.1%	$\mu V/(V/m)^2$	DCP Y	**95** mV
NormZ	**1.72** ± 10.1%	$\mu V/(V/m)^2$	DCP Z	**95** mV

Diode Compression[B]

Sensitivity in Tissue Simulating Liquid (Conversion Factors)

Please see Page 8.

Boundary Effect

TSL 900 MHz Typical SAR gradient: 5 % per mm

Sensor Center to Phantom Surface Distance		**3.7 mm**	**4.7 mm**
SAR_{be} [%]	Without Correction Algorithm	8.4	4.5
SAR_{be} [%]	With Correction Algorithm	0.1	0.2

TSL 1810 MHz Typical SAR gradient: 10 % per mm

Sensor Center to Phantom Surface Distance		**3.7 mm**	**4.7 mm**
SAR_{be} [%]	Without Correction Algorithm	13.3	9.2
SAR_{be} [%]	With Correction Algorithm	1.0	0.2

Sensor Offset

Probe Tip to Sensor Center **2.7** mm

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

[A] The uncertainties of NormX,Y,Z do not affect the E^2-field uncertainty inside TSL (see Page 8).

[B] Numerical linearization parameter: uncertainty not required.

Frequency Response of E-Field

(TEM-Cell:ifi110 EXX, Waveguide: R22)



Uncertainty of Frequency Response of E-field: ± 6.3% (k=2)

Receiving Pattern (ϕ), ϑ = 0°







Uncertainty of Axial Isotropy Assessment: ± 0.5% (k=2)

Dynamic Range f(SAR_{head})

(Waveguide R22, f = 1800 MHz)





Uncertainty of Linearity Assessment: ± 0.6% (k=2)

Conversion Factor Assessment





f [MHz]	Validity [MHz][c]	TSL	Permittivity	Conductivity	Alpha	Depth	ConvF Uncertainty
900	± 50 / ± 100	Head	41.5 ± 5%	0.97 ± 5%	0.54	1.90	6.09 ± 11.0% (k=2)
1810	± 50 / ± 100	Head	40.0 ± 5%	1.40 ± 5%	0.61	2.34	5.07 ± 11.0% (k=2)
1950	± 50 / ± 100	Head	40.0 ± 5%	1.40 ± 5%	0.59	2.48	4.77 ± 11.0% (k=2)
2450	± 50 / ± 100	Head	39.2 ± 5%	1.80 ± 5%	0.77	2.07	4.44 ± 11.8% (k=2)
900	± 50 / ± 100	Body	55.0 ± 5%	1.05 ± 5%	0.49	2.08	5.98 ± 11.0% (k=2)
1810	± 50 / ± 100	Body	53.3 ± 5%	1.52 ± 5%	0.58	2.62	4.47 ± 11.0% (k=2)
1950	± 50 / ± 100	Body	53.3 ± 5%	1.52 ± 5%	0.62	2.42	4.25 ± 11.0% (k=2)
2450	± 50 / ± 100	Body	52.7 ± 5%	1.95 ± 5%	0.92	1.66	4.24 ± 11.8% (k=2)

[c] The validity of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2). The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band.

Deviation from Isotropy in HSL

Error (ϕ, ϑ), f = 900 MHz



Uncertainty of Spherical Isotropy Assessment: ± 2.6% (k=2)

Appendix 5

Measurement Uncertainty Budget

Uncertainty Budget for Device Under Test: 30 – 3000 MHz

a	*b*	*c*	*d*	*e = f(d,k)*	*f*	*g*	*h = c x f / e*	*i = c x g / e*	*k*
Uncertainty Component	**IEEE 1528 section**	**Tol. (± %)**	**Prob Dist**	**Div.**	**c_i (1 g)**	**c_i (10 g)**	**1 g u_i (±%)**	**10 g u_i (±%)**	**v_i**
Measurement System									
Probe Calibration	E.2.1	5.9	N	1.00	1	1	5.9	5.9	∞
Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	4.7	R	1.73	0.707	0.707	1.9	1.9	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	E.2.2	9.6	R	1.73	0.707	0.707	3.9	3.9	∞
Boundary Effect	E.2.3	1.0	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Linearity	E.2.4	4.7	R	1.73	1	1	2.7	2.7	∞
System Detection Limits	E.2.5	1.0	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	0.3	N	1.00	1	1	0.3	0.3	∞
Response Time	E.2.7	1.1	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Integration Time	E.2.8	1.1	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
RF Ambient Conditions - Noise	E.6.1	3.0	R	1.73	1	1	1.7	1.7	∞
RF Ambient Conditions - Reflections	E.6.1	0.0	R	1.73	1	1	0.0	0.0	∞
Probe Positioner Mech. Tolerance	E.6.2	0.4	R	1.73	1	1	0.2	0.2	∞
Probe Positioning w.r.t Phantom	E.6.3	1.4	R	1.73	1	1	0.8	0.8	∞
Max. SAR Evaluation (ext., int., avg.)	E.5	3.4	R	1.73	1	1	2.0	2.0	∞
Test sample Related									
Test Sample Positioning	E.4.2	3.2	N	1.00	1	1	3.2	3.2	29
Device Holder Uncertainty	E.4.1	4.0	N	1.00	1	1	4.0	4.0	8
SAR drift	6.6.2	5.0	R	1.73	1	1	2.9	2.9	∞
Phantom and Tissue Parameters									
Phantom Uncertainty	E.3.1	4.0	R	1.73	1	1	2.3	2.3	∞
Liquid Conductivity (target)	E.3.2	5.0	R	1.73	0.64	0.43	1.8	1.2	∞
Liquid Conductivity (measurement)	E.3.3	3.3	N	1.00	0.64	0.43	2.1	1.4	∞
Liquid Permittivity (target)	E.3.2	5.0	R	1.73	0.6	0.49	1.7	1.4	∞
Liquid Permittivity (measurement)	E.3.3	1.9	N	1.00	0.6	0.49	1.1	0.9	∞
Combined Standard Uncertainty			RSS				11.1	10.8	411
Expanded Uncertainty (95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL)			*k*=2				22.2	21.6	

Uncertainty Budget for System Check: 30 – 3000 MHz

a	*b*	*c*	*d*	*e = f(d,k)*	*f*	*g*	*h = c x f / e*	*i = c x g / e*	*k*
Uncertainty Component	IEEE 1528 section	**Tol. (± %)**	**Prob. Dist.**	**Div.**	c_i **(1 g)**	c_i **(10 g)**	**1 g** u_i **(±%)**	**10 g** u_i **(±%)**	v_i
Measurement System									
Probe Calibration	E.2.1	5.9	N	1.00	1	1	5.9	5.9	∞
Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	4.7	R	1.73	1	1	2.7	2.7	∞
Spherical Isotropy	E.2.2	9.6	R	1.73	0	0	0.0	0.0	∞
Boundary Effect	E.2.3	1.0	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Linearity	E.2.4	4.7	R	1.73	1	1	2.7	2.7	∞
System Detection Limits	E.2.5	1.0	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	0.3	N	1.00	1	1	0.3	0.3	∞
Response Time	E.2.7	1.1	R	1.73	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Integration Time	E.2.8	0.0	R	1.73	1	1	0.0	0.0	∞
RF Ambient Conditions - Noise	E.6.1	3.0	R	1.73	1	1	1.7	1.7	∞
RF Ambient Conditions - Reflections	E.6.1	0.0	R	1.73	1	1	0.0	0.0	∞
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E.6.2	0.4	R	1.73	1	1	0.2	0.2	∞
Probe Positioning w.r.t. Phantom	E.6.3	1.4	R	1.73	1	1	0.8	0.8	∞
Max. SAR Evaluation (ext., int., avg.)	E.5	3.4	R	1.73	1	1	2.0	2.0	∞
Dipole									
Dipole Axis to Liquid Distance	8, E.4.2	2.0	R	1.73	1	1	1.2	1.2	∞
Input Power and SAR Drift Measurement	8, 6.6.2	5.0	R	1.73	1	1	2.9	2.9	∞
Phantom and Tissue Parameters									
Phantom Uncertainty	E.3.1	4.0	R	1.73	1	1	2.3	2.3	∞
Liquid Conductivity (target)	E.3.2	5.0	R	1.73	0.64	0.43	1.8	1.2	∞
Liquid Conductivity (measurement)	E.3.3	3.3	R	1.73	0.64	0.43	1.2	0.8	∞
Liquid Permittivity (target)	E.3.2	5.0	R	1.73	0.6	0.49	1.7	1.4	∞
Liquid Permittivity (measurement)	E.3.3	1.9	R	1.73	0.6	0.49	0.6	0.5	∞
Combined Standard Uncertainty			RSS				9.0	8.8	99999
Expanded Uncertainty (95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL)			*k*=2				17.7	17.3	

Appendix 6

Photographs of the device under test

Figure 1 – Front of Transceiver

Figure 2 – Back of Transceiver



Figure 3 – Transceiver against head, Cheek Touch Position, Bottom of Unit



Figure 4 – Transceiver against head, Cheek Touch position, Top of Unit

Figure 5 – Transceiver against head, 15º Tilt position, Bottom of Unit

Figure 6 – Transceiver against head, 15º Tilt position, Top of Unit

Figure 7 – Transceiver against body, Back of Phone 15mm from Phantom position

Appendix 7

Dipole Characterization Certificate

Certification of System Performance Check Targets

Based on APP-0396

-Historical Data-

	900MHz	
IEEE1528 Target:	10.8	**(W/kg)**
Measurement Uncertainty (k=1):	9.0%	
Measurement Period:	*9-Nov-04 to 2-June-05*	
# of tests performed:	813	
Grand Average:	11.3	**(W/kg)**
% Delta (Average - IEEE1528 Target)	4.4%	
Is % Delta <= Expanded Measurement Uncertainty (k=2)?	**Yes**	
Accept/Reject <u>Average</u> as new system performance check target?	**ACCEPT**	
	Historic data included the following 900MHz Dipoles:	
	69, 77	
	79, 80	
	91, 94	
	96, 97	

-New System Performance Check Targets- per APP-0396

(based on analysis of historical data)

Frequency	SAR Target (W/kg)	Permittivity	Conductivity (S/m)
900MHz	**11.3**	41.5 ± 5%	0.97 ± 5%

-Approvals-

Submitted by: Marge Kaunas — Date: 2-Jun-05

Signed:

Comments: Spreadsheet detailing referenced historical measurements is available upon request.

Approved by: Mark Douglas — **Date:** 2-Jun-05

Signed:

Comments: