



American Telecommunications Certification Body Inc.
6731 Whittier Ave, McLean, VA 22101

November 11, 2005

RE: FCC ID: KL7-676T-V1_ATCB002931
Attention: Mark Briggs

I have a few comments on this Application. Please note that further comments may arise in response to answers provided to the questions below.

1. Please note that it appears that you have the same identical transmitter under three different rule parts (15.231a, 15.231e and 15.240). If this is in agreement with the FCC, please provide the associated documentation if available.
2. Please note that the device appears to be more than sufficiently large to contain the 2-condition statement on the device as required by 15.19. If you feel that this statement is justified to be in the manual and not on the device, please provide sufficient evidence to support the exclusion provided in 15.19(a)(5). Otherwise, please provide a label which contains the appropriate 2-conditions statement.
3. Please note that while you state that the duty cycle is 10%, there is no evidence in the test report to support this statement. Please provide measured duty cycle data to support the 10% duty cycle.
4. Please note that while you state that the duty cycle for operation under 15.240 is 25%, there is no evidence in the test report to support this statement. Please provide measured duty cycle data to support the 25% duty cycle statement.
5. Please note that it does not appear from the report that all modes of transmission as specified in the operational description have been tested. Please explain how the test report adequately addresses all aspects of the transmitter as applied to each specific rule part and please provide evidence that all modes of transmission as defined in the operational description are compliant to the appropriate rule part for which the device is to be certified.
6. Please note that page 11 states that the device did not require modifications, however, page 33 says a resistor was changed to increase power. Was this resistor change in all test configurations? If not, please retest all configurations using this modification. Also, what evidence is provided that this resistor change will be incorporated into all subsequent production items? Please explain.

Dennis Ward
<mailto:dward@AmericanTCB.com>

The items indicated above must be submitted before processing can continue on the above referenced application. Failure to provide the requested information may result in application termination. Correspondence should be considered part of the permanent submission and may be viewed from the Internet after a Grant of Equipment Authorization is issued.

Please do not respond to this correspondence using the email reply button. In order for your response to be processed expeditiously, you must submit your documents through the AmericanTCB.com website. Also, please note that partial responses increase processing time and should not be submitted.

Any questions about the content of this correspondence should be directed to the sender.