

Test Report Serial No.:	072505KLL-T658-S80V		Test Date:	July 26, 2005
Date of Report Issue:	July 28, 2005	Test Report Issue No.:	Issue 1 Rev0	
Description of Test:	RF Exposure	SAR	FCC 2.1093	IC RSS-102

DECLARATION OF COMPLIANCE SAR RF EXPOSURE EVALUATION

Test Lab

CELLTECH LABS INC.

Testing and Engineering Services
1955 Moss Court
Kelowna, B.C.
Canada V1Y 9L3
Phone: 250-448-7047
Fax: 250-448-7046
e-mail: info@celltechlabs.com
web site: www.celltechlabs.com

Applicant Information

TEKCOM INDUSTRIES LIMITED

Block C, 9/F Kaiser Estate, Phase 1,
41 Man Yue Street, HungHom,
Kowloon, Hong Kong

FCC IDENTIFIER:

Trade Name(s) / Model No(s).:

KLLTM-886

Tekcom TM-886
Techsonic VHF 5S

Rule Part(s):

Test Procedure(s):

Device Classification:

Device Description:

Modulation Type:

FCC 47 CFR §2.1093: IC RSS-102 Issue 1 (Provisional)

FCC OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C (Edition 01-01)

Part 80 VHF Hand Held Transmitter (GMDSS) - GHH

Portable VHF PTT Marine Radio Transceiver

FM (VHF)

Tx Frequency Range:

Max. RF Output Power Measured:

Antenna Type(s) Tested:

Battery Type(s) Tested:

156 - 157.425 MHz

37.41 dBm (5.5 Watts) Conducted (156.8 MHz)

Detachable Whip (P/N: 05-005601-00009)

NiMH (7.2 V, 1300 mAh)

Body-Worn Accessories Tested:

Audio Accessories Tested:

n/a (radio does not have provision for body-worn transmit operation)

n/a (radio does not have provision for audio accessory)

Max. SAR Level(s) Measured:

Face-held: 0.241 W/kg (50% Duty Cycle)

Celltech Labs Inc. declares under its sole responsibility that this wireless portable device has demonstrated compliance with the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) RF exposure requirements specified in FCC 47 CFR §2.1093 and Health Canada's Safety Code 6. The device was tested in accordance with the measurement standards and procedures specified in FCC OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C (Edition 01-01) and Industry Canada RSS-102 Issue 1 (Provisional) for the Occupational / Controlled Exposure environment. All measurements were performed in accordance with the SAR system manufacturer recommendations.

I attest to the accuracy of data. All measurements were performed by me or were made under my supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I assume full responsibility for the completeness of these measurements and vouch for the qualifications of all persons taking them.

This test report shall not be reproduced partially, or in full, without the prior written approval of Celltech Labs Inc.

The results and statements contained in this report pertain only to the device(s) evaluated.

Performed By:

Reviewed By:

Seon Jhnd

Sean Johnston
Compliance Technologist
Celltech Labs Inc.

Spencer Watson

Spencer Watson
Senior Compliance Technologist
Celltech Labs Inc.




Applicant:	Tekcom Industries Limited	FCC ID:	KLLTM-886	Freq. Range:	156 - 157.425 MHz	
Model(s):	Tekcom TM-886, Techsonic VHF 5S	DUT:	Portable VHF PTT Marine Radio Transceiver			
2005 Celltech Labs Inc. This document is not to be reproduced in whole or in part without the written permission of Celltech Labs Inc. 1 of 31						

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION	3
2.0 DESCRIPTION OF DEVICE UNDER TEST (DUT)	3
3.0 SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM	4
4.0 MEASUREMENT SUMMARY	5
5.0 DETAILS OF SAR EVALUATION	6
6.0 EVALUATION PROCEDURES	6
7.0 SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK	7
8.0 SIMULATED EQUIVALENT TISSUES	8
9.0 SAR SAFETY LIMITS	8
10.0 ROBOT SYSTEM SPECIFICATIONS	9
11.0 PROBE SPECIFICATION (ET3DV6)	10
12.0 PLANAR PHANTOM	10
13.0 VALIDATION PLANAR PHANTOM	10
14.0 DEVICE HOLDER	10
15.0 TEST EQUIPMENT LIST	11
16.0 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES	12
17.0 REFERENCES	14
APPENDIX A - SAR MEASUREMENT DATA	15
APPENDIX B - SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK DATA	18
APPENDIX D - SAR TEST SETUP & DUT PHOTOGRAPHS	24
APPENDIX E - SYSTEM VALIDATION	30
APPENDIX F - PROBE CALIBRATION	31

Test Report Serial No.:	072505KLL-T658-S80V	Test Date:	July 26, 2005
Date of Report Issue:	July 28, 2005	Test Report Issue No.:	Issue 1 Rev0
Description of Test:	RF Exposure	SAR	FCC 2.1093 IC RSS-102

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This measurement report demonstrates compliance of the Tekcom Industries Limited Model(s): Tekcom TM-886, Techsonic VHF 5S Portable VHF PTT Marine Radio Transceiver FCC ID: KLLTM-886 with the SAR (Specific Absorption Rate) RF exposure requirements specified in FCC 47 CFR §2.1093 (see reference [1]), and Health Canada Safety Code 6 (see reference [2]) for the Occupational / Controlled Exposure environment. The test procedures described in FCC OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C (Edition 01-01) (see reference [3]) and IC RSS-102 Issue 1 (Provisional) (see reference [4]), were employed. A description of the product and operating configuration, detailed summary of the test results, methodology and procedures used in the evaluation, equipment used, and the various provisions of the rules are included within this test report.

2.0 DESCRIPTION OF DEVICE UNDER TEST (DUT)

FCC Rule Part(s)	47 CFR §2.1093			
IC Rule Part(s)	RSS-102 Issue 1 (Provisional)			
Test Procedure(s)	FCC OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C (Edition 01-01)			
FCC Device Classification	Part 80 VHF Hand Held Transmitter (GMDSS) - GHH			
IC Device Classification	RSS-182 - Maritime Radio Transmitter			
Device Description	Portable VHF PTT Marine Radio Transceiver			
FCC IDENTIFIER	KLLTM-886			
Trade Name(s) / Model No(s):.	Tekcom TM-886		Techsonic VHF 5S	
Serial No.	2005072201		Identical Prototype	
Modulation Type	FM (VHF)			
Tx Frequency Range	156 - 157.425 MHz			
Max. RF Output Power Measured	37.41 dBm	5.5 Watts	Conducted	156.8 MHz
Battery Type(s) Tested	NiMH	7.2 V	1300 mAh	
Antenna Type(s) Tested	Detachable Whip	Length: 138 mm	P/N: 05-005601-00009	
Body-Worn Accessories Tested	n/a (radio does not have provision for body-worn transmit operation)			
Audio Accessories Tested	n/a (radio does not have provision for audio accessory)			
Body-Worn Accessories	Plastic Belt-Clip with Metal Spring		P/N: 746P1704705-0008	

Test Report Serial No.:	072505KLL-T658-S80V	Test Date:	July 26, 2005
Date of Report Issue:	July 28, 2005	Test Report Issue No.:	Issue 1 Rev0
Description of Test:	RF Exposure	SAR	FCC 2.1093 IC RSS-102

3.0 SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM

Celltech Labs Inc. SAR measurement facility utilizes the Dosimetric Assessment System (DASY™) manufactured by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG™) of Zurich, Switzerland. The DASY4 measurement system is comprised of the measurement server, robot controller, computer, near-field probe, probe alignment sensor, specific anthropomorphic mannequin (SAM) phantom, and various planar phantoms for brain and/or body SAR evaluations. The robot is a six-axis industrial robot performing precise movements to position the probe to the location (points) of maximum electromagnetic field (EMF). A cell controller system contains the power supply, robot controller, teach pendant (Joystick), and remote control, is used to drive the robot motors. The Staubli robot is connected to the cell controller to allow software manipulation of the robot. A data acquisition electronic (DAE) circuit performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. is connected to the Electro-optical coupler (EOC). The EOC performs the conversion from the optical into digital electric signal of the DAE and transfers data to the DASY4 measurement server. The DAE3 utilizes a highly sensitive electrometer-grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16-bit AD-converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Transmission to the DASY4 measurement server is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information and an optical uplink for commands and clock lines. The mechanical probe-mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sideways probe contacts. The sensor systems are also used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection. The robot uses its own controller with a built in VME-bus computer.



DASY4 SAR Measurement System with validation phantom



DASY4 SAR Measurement System with Plexiglas planar phantom

Applicant:	Tekcom Industries Limited	FCC ID:	KLLTM-886	Freq. Range:	156 - 157.425 MHz	
Model(s):	Tekcom TM-886, Techsonic VHF 5S		DUT:	Portable VHF PTT Marine Radio Transceiver		
2005 Celltech Labs Inc.		This document is not to be reproduced in whole or in part without the written permission of Celltech Labs Inc.				4 of 31

Test Report Serial No.:	072505KLL-T658-S80V	Test Date:	July 26, 2005
Date of Report Issue:	July 28, 2005	Test Report Issue No.:	Issue 1 Rev0
Description of Test:	RF Exposure	SAR	FCC 2.1093 IC RSS-102

4.0 MEASUREMENT SUMMARY

FACE-HELD SAR EVALUATION RESULTS

Freq. (MHz)	Chan.	Test Mode	Antenna Tested		Battery Tested			Separation Distance to Planar Phantom (cm)	Cond. Power Before Test (dBm)	SAR Drift During Test (dB)	Measured SAR 1g (W/kg)	
											Duty Cycle	
			Type	Part No.	Type	V	mAh				100%	50%
156.8	Mid	CW	Whip	05-005601-00009	NiMH	7.2	1300	2.5	37.41	0.414	0.482	0.241

ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1999 - SAFETY LIMIT
BRAIN: 8.0 W/kg (averaged over 1 gram)
Spatial Peak - Controlled Exposure / Occupational

Test Date	July 26, 2005				Relative Humidity	30	%
Measured Fluid Type	150 MHz Brain				Atmospheric Pressure	102.6	kPa
Dielectric Constant ϵ_r	IEEE Target		Measured	Deviation	Ambient Temperature	24.1	°C
	52.3	± 5%	54.0	+3.3%	Fluid Temperature	22.5	°C
Conductivity σ (mho/m)	IEEE Target		Measured	Deviation	Fluid Depth	≥ 15	cm
	0.76	± 5%	0.76	0.0%	ρ (Kg/m³)	1000	

Note(s):

- The measurement results were obtained with the DUT tested in the conditions described in this report. Detailed measurement data and plots showing the maximum SAR location of the DUT are reported in Appendix A.
- The transmission band of the DUT was < 10 MHz; therefore only the middle channel of the frequency band was evaluated (per FCC OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C, Edition 01-01 - see reference [3]).
- The power drift of the DUT was measured during the SAR evaluation by the DASY4 system.
- The ambient and fluid temperatures were measured prior to, and during, the fluid dielectric parameter check and the SAR evaluation. The temperatures reported were consistent for all measurement periods.
- The dielectric parameters of the simulated tissue mixture were measured prior to the evaluation using an ALS-PR-DIEL Dielectric Probe Kit and an HP 8753ET Network Analyzer (see Appendix C for printout of measured fluid dielectric parameters).
- The SAR evaluations were performed within 24 hours of the system performance check.

Test Report Serial No.:	072505KLL-T658-S80V	Test Date:	July 26, 2005
Date of Report Issue:	July 28, 2005	Test Report Issue No.:	Issue 1 Rev0
Description of Test:	RF Exposure	SAR	FCC 2.1093 IC RSS-102

5.0 DETAILS OF SAR EVALUATION

The Tekcom Industries Limited Models: Tekcom TM-886, Techsonic VHF 5S Portable VHF PTT Marine Radio Transceiver FCC ID: KLLTM-886 was compliant for localized Specific Absorption Rate (Occupational / Controlled Exposure) based on the test provisions and conditions described below. The detailed test setup photographs are shown in Appendix D.

1. The DUT was evaluated in a face-held configuration with the front of the radio placed parallel to the outer surface of the planar phantom. A 2.5 cm separation distance was maintained between the front side of the DUT and the outer surface of the planar phantom.
2. The DUT does not have audio provision for body-worn operation; therefore body-worn SAR was not evaluated.
3. The conducted power levels were measured prior to each test using a Gigatronics 8652A Universal Power Meter according to the procedures described in FCC 47 CFR §2.1046.
4. The power drift of the DUT was measured during the SAR evaluation by the DASY4 system.
5. The area scan evaluation was performed with a fully charged battery. After the area scan was completed the radio was cooled down to room temperature and the battery was replaced with a fully charged battery prior to the zoom scan evaluation.
6. The DUT was tested in unmodulated continuous transmit operation (Continuous Wave mode at 100% duty cycle) with the transmit key constantly depressed. For a push-to-talk device the 50% duty cycle compensation reported assumes a transmit/receive cycle of equal time base.
7. The SAR evaluations were performed using a Plexiglas planar phantom.
8. The ambient and fluid temperatures were measured prior to, and during, the fluid dielectric parameter check and the SAR evaluation. The temperatures reported were consistent for all measurement periods.
9. The dielectric parameters of the simulated tissue mixture were measured prior to the evaluation using an ALS-PR-DIEL Dielectric Probe Kit and an HP 8753ET Network Analyzer (see Appendix C for printout of measured fluid dielectric parameters).
10. The SAR evaluations were performed within 24 hours of the system performance check.

6.0 EVALUATION PROCEDURES

- (i) The evaluation was performed in the applicable area of the phantom depending on the type of device being tested. For devices held to the ear during normal operation, both the left and right ear positions were evaluated using the SAM phantom.
(ii) For body-worn and face-held devices a planar phantom was used.
- The SAR was determined by a pre-defined procedure within the DASY4 software. Upon completion of a reference and optical surface check, the exposed region of the phantom was scanned near the inner surface with a grid spacing of 15mm x 15mm.
An area scan was determined as follows:
- Based on the defined area scan grid, a more detailed grid is created to increase the points by a factor of 10. The interpolation function then evaluates all field values between corresponding measurement points.
- A linear search is applied to find all the candidate maxima. Subsequently, all maxima are removed that are >2 dB from the global maximum. The remaining maxima are then used to position the cube scans.
A 1g and 10g spatial peak SAR was determined as follows:
- Extrapolation is used to find the points between the dipole center of the probe and the surface of the phantom. This data cannot be measured, since the center of the dipoles is 2.7 mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 1.4 mm (see probe calibration document in Appendix F). The extrapolation was based on trivariate quadratics computed from the previously calculated 3D interpolated points nearest the phantom surface.
- Interpolated data is used to calculate the average SAR over 1g and 10g cubes by spatially discretizing the entire measured cube. The volume used to determine the averaged SAR is a 1mm grid (42875 interpolated points).
- A zoom scan volume of 32 mm x 32 mm x 30 mm (5 x 5 x 7 points) centered at the peak SAR location determined from the area scan is used for all zoom scans for devices with a transmit frequency < 800 MHz. Zoom scans for frequencies ≥ 800 MHz are determined with a scan volume of 30 mm x 30 mm x 30 mm (7 x 7 x 7) to ensure complete capture of the peak spatial-average SAR.

7.0 SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK

Prior to the SAR evaluation a system check was performed using a planar phantom with a 300 MHz dipole (see Appendix E for system validation procedure). The dielectric parameters of the simulated tissue mixture were measured prior to the system performance check using an ALS-PR-DIEL Dielectric Probe Kit and an HP 8753ET Network Analyzer (see Appendix C for printout of measured fluid dielectric parameters). A forward power of 250 mW was applied to the dipole and the system was verified to a tolerance of $\pm 10\%$ (see Appendix B for system performance check test plot).

SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK EVALUATION

Test Date	300MHz Equiv. Tissue	SAR 1g (W/kg)			Dielectric Constant ϵ_r			Conductivity σ (mho/m)			ρ (Kg/m ³)	Amb. Temp. (°C)	Fluid Temp. (°C)	Fluid Depth (cm)	Humid. (%)	Barom. Press. (kPa)
		IEEE Target	Meas.	Dev.	IEEE Target	Meas.	Dev.	IEEE Target	Meas.	Dev.						
7/26/05	Brain	0.750 $\pm 10\%$	0.755	+0.7%	45.3 $\pm 5\%$	43.9	-3.1%	0.87 $\pm 5\%$	0.85	-2.3%	1000	24.3	23.5	≥ 15	30	102.6

Note(s):

1. The ambient and fluid temperatures were measured prior to, and during, the fluid dielectric parameter check and the system performance check. The temperatures listed in the table above were consistent for all measurement periods.




Figure 1. System Performance Check Setup Diagram



300MHz Dipole Setup

8.0 SIMULATED EQUIVALENT TISSUES

The simulated tissue mixtures consist of a viscous gel using hydroxethylcellulose (HEC) gelling agent and saline solution. Preservation with a bactericide is added and visual inspection is made to ensure air bubbles are not trapped during the mixing process. The fluid was prepared according to standardized procedures and measured for dielectric parameters (permittivity and conductivity).

SIMULATED TISSUE MIXTURES		
INGREDIENT	300 MHz Brain (%)	150 MHz Brain (%)
	System Performance Check	DUT Evaluation
Water	37.56	38.35
Sugar	55.32	55.5
Salt	5.95	5.15
HEC	0.98	0.9
Bactericide	0.19	0.1

9.0 SAR SAFETY LIMITS

EXPOSURE LIMITS	SAR (W/kg)	
	(General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure Environment)	(Occupational / Controlled Exposure Environment)
Spatial Average (averaged over the whole body)	0.08	0.4
Spatial Peak (averaged over any 1g of tissue)	1.60	8.0
Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10g)	4.0	20.0

Notes:

1. Uncontrolled environments are defined as locations where there is potential exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their potential exposure.
2. Controlled environments are defined as locations where there is potential exposure of individuals who have knowledge of their potential exposure and can exercise control over their exposure.

Test Report Serial No.:	072505KLL-T658-S80V	Test Date:	July 26, 2005
Date of Report Issue:	July 28, 2005	Test Report Issue No.:	Issue 1 Rev0
Description of Test:	RF Exposure	SAR	FCC 2.1093 IC RSS-102

10.0 ROBOT SYSTEM SPECIFICATIONS

Specifications

POSITIONER: Stäubli Unimation Corp. Robot Model: RX60L
Repeatability: 0.02 mm
No. of axis: 6

Data Acquisition Electronic (DAE) System

Cell Controller

Processor: AMD Athlon XP 2400+
Clock Speed: 2.0 GHz
Operating System: Windows XP Professional

Data Converter

Features: Signal Amplifier, multiplexer, A/D converter, and control logic
Software: DASY4 software
Connecting Lines: Optical downlink for data and status info.
 Optical uplink for commands and clock

DASY4 Measurement Server

Function: Real-time data evaluation for field measurements and surface detection
Hardware: PC/104 166MHz Pentium CPU; 32 MB chipdisk; 64 MB RAM
Connections: COM1, COM2, DAE, Robot, Ethernet, Service Interface

E-Field Probe

Model: ET3DV6
Serial No.: 1387
Construction: Triangular core fiber optic detection system
Frequency: 10 MHz to 6 GHz
Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 3 GHz)

Phantom(s)

Evaluation Phantom

Type: Planar Phantom
Shell Material: Plexiglas
Bottom Thickness: 2.0 mm $\pm$ 0.1 mm
Outer Dimensions: 75.0 cm (L) x 22.5 cm (W) x 20.5 cm (H); Back Plane: 25.7 cm (H)

Validation Phantom (≤ 450 MHz)

Type: Planar Phantom
Shell Material: Plexiglas
Bottom Thickness: 6.2 mm $\pm$ 0.1 mm
Outer Dimensions: 86.0 cm (L) x 39.5 cm (W) x 21.8 cm (H)

Test Report Serial No.:	072505KLL-T658-S80V	Test Date:	July 26, 2005
Date of Report Issue:	July 28, 2005	Test Report Issue No.:	Issue 1 Rev0
Description of Test:	RF Exposure	SAR	FCC 2.1093 IC RSS-102

11.0 PROBE SPECIFICATION (ET3DV6)


Construction:	Symmetrical design with triangular core Built-in shielding against static charges PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g. glycol)
Calibration:	In air from 10 MHz to 2.5 GHz In brain simulating tissue at frequencies of 900 MHz and 1.8 GHz (accuracy $\pm 8\%$)
Frequency:	10 MHz to > 6 GHz; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 3 GHz)
Directivity:	± 0.2 dB in brain tissue (rotation around probe axis) ± 0.4 dB in brain tissue (rotation normal to probe axis)
Dynamic Range:	5 μ W/g to > 100 mW/g; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB
Surface Detection:	± 0.2 mm repeatability in air and clear liquids over diffuse reflecting surfaces
Dimensions:	Overall length: 330 mm Tip length: 16 mm Body diameter: 12 mm Tip diameter: 6.8 mm Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 2.7 mm
Application:	General dosimetry up to 3 GHz Compliance tests of mobile phone



ET3DV6 E-Field Probe

12.0 PLANAR PHANTOM

The planar phantom is constructed of Plexiglas material with a 2.0 mm shell thickness for face-held and body-worn SAR evaluations of handheld and body-worn radio transceivers. The planar phantom is mounted on the side of the DASY4 compact system table.



Planar Phantom

13.0 VALIDATION PLANAR PHANTOM

The validation planar phantom is constructed of Plexiglas material with a 6.0 mm shell thickness for system validations at 450MHz and below. The validation planar phantom is mounted in the table of the DASY4 compact system.



Validation Planar Phantom

14.0 DEVICE HOLDER

The DASY4 device holder has two scales for device rotation (with respect to the body axis) and the device inclination (with respect to the line between the ear openings). The plane between the ear openings and the mouth tip has a rotation angle of 65°. The bottom plate contains three pair of bolts for locking the device holder. The device holder positions are adjusted to the standard measurement positions in the three sections.



Device Holder

Applicant:	Tekcom Industries Limited	FCC ID:	KLLTM-886	Freq. Range:	156 - 157.425 MHz	
Model(s):	Tekcom TM-886, Techsonic VHF 5S	DUT:	Portable VHF PTT Marine Radio Transceiver			
2005 Celltech Labs Inc.		This document is not to be reproduced in whole or in part without the written permission of Celltech Labs Inc.				10 of 31

Test Report Serial No.:	072505KLL-T658-S80V	Test Date:	July 26, 2005
Date of Report Issue:	July 28, 2005	Test Report Issue No.:	Issue 1 Rev0
Description of Test:	RF Exposure	SAR	FCC 2.1093
			IC RSS-102

15.0 TEST EQUIPMENT LIST

USED	TEST EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION	ASSET NO.	SERIAL NO.	DATE CALIBRATED	CALIBRATION DUE DATE
x	Schmid & Partner DASY4 System	-	-	-	-
x	-DASY4 Measurement Server	00158	1078	N/A	N/A
x	-Robot	00046	599396-01	N/A	N/A
	-DAE4	00019	353	15Jun05	15Jun06
x	-DAE3	00018	370	25Jan05	25Jan06
x	-ET3DV6 E-Field Probe	00016	1387	18Mar05	18Mar06
	-ET3DV6 E-Field Probe	00017	1590	20May05	20May06
	-EX3DV4 E-Field Probe	00125	3547	21Jan05	21Jan06
x	-300MHz Validation Dipole	00023	135	26Oct04	26Oct05
	-450MHz Validation Dipole	00024	136	04Nov04	04Nov05
	-835MHz Validation Dipole	00022	411	Brain	30Mar05
				Body	12Apr05
	-900MHz Validation Dipole	00020	054	Brain	10Jun05
				Body	10Jun05
	-1800MHz Validation Dipole	00021	247	Brain	14Jun05
				Body	14Jun05
	-1900MHz Validation Dipole	00032	151	Brain	17Jun05
				Body	22Apr05
	-2450MHz Validation Dipole	00025	150	Brain	30Sep04
				Body	22Apr05
	-5000MHz Validation Dipole	00126	1031	Brain	11Jan05
				Body	11Jan05
	-SAM Phantom V4.0C	00154	1033	N/A	N/A
	-Barski Planar Phantom	00155	03-01	N/A	N/A
x	-Plexiglas Planar Phantom	00156	161	N/A	N/A
x	-Validation Planar Phantom	00157	137	N/A	N/A
	HP 85070C Dielectric Probe Kit	00033	N/A	N/A	N/A
x	ALS-PR-DIEL Dielectric Probe Kit	00160	260-00953	N/A	N/A
x	Gigatronics 8652A Power Meter	00110	1835801	16Apr05	16Apr06
	Gigatronics 8652A Power Meter	00008	1835267	29Apr05	29Apr06
	Gigatronics 8652A Power Meter	00007	1835272	18Oct04	18Oct05
	Gigatronics 80701A Power Sensor	00013	1833713	11Oct04	11Oct05
x	Gigatronics 80701A Power Sensor	00011	1833542	08Oct04	08Oct05
x	Gigatronics 80701A Power Sensor	00109	1834366	16Apr05	16Apr06
x	HP 8753ET Network Analyzer	00134	US39170292	04May05	04May06
x	HP 8648D Signal Generator	00005	3847A00611	29Apr05	29Apr06
	Rohde & Schwarz SMR40 Signal Generator	00006	100104	12Apr05	12Apr06
x	Amplifier Research 5S1G4 Power Amplifier	00106	26235	N/A	N/A

16.0 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES

UNCERTAINTY BUDGET FOR DEVICE EVALUATION						
Error Description	Uncertainty Value ±%	Probability Distribution	Divisor	ci 1g	Uncertainty Value ±% (1g)	V _i or V _{eff}
Measurement System						
Probe calibration	5.0	Normal	1	1	5.0	∞
Axial isotropy of the probe	4.7	Rectangular	1.732050808	0.7	1.9	∞
Spherical isotropy of the probe	9.6	Rectangular	1.732050808	0.7	3.9	∞
Spatial resolution	0	Rectangular	1.732050808	1	0.0	∞
Boundary effects	1	Rectangular	1.732050808	1	0.6	∞
Probe linearity	4.7	Rectangular	1.732050808	1	2.7	∞
Detection limit	1	Rectangular	1.732050808	1	0.6	∞
Readout electronics	0.3	Normal	1	1	0.3	∞
Response time	0.8	Rectangular	1.732050808	1	0.5	∞
Integration time	2.6	Rectangular	1.732050808	1	1.5	∞
RF ambient conditions	3	Rectangular	1.732050808	1	1.7	∞
Mech. constraints of robot	0.4	Rectangular	1.732050808	1	0.2	∞
Probe positioning	2.9	Rectangular	1.732050808	1	1.7	∞
Extrapolation & integration	1	Rectangular	1.732050808	1	0.6	∞
Test Sample Related						
Device positioning	2.9	Normal	1	1	2.9	12
Device holder uncertainty	3.6	Normal	1	1	3.6	8
Power drift	5	Rectangular	1.732050808	1	2.9	∞
Phantom and Setup						
Phantom uncertainty	4	Rectangular	1.732050808	1	2.3	∞
Liquid conductivity (target)	5	Rectangular	1.732050808	0.64	1.8	∞
Liquid conductivity (measured)	2.5	Normal	1	0.64	1.6	∞
Liquid permittivity (target)	5	Rectangular	1.732050808	0.6	1.7	∞
Liquid permittivity (measured)	2.5	Normal	1	0.6	1.5	∞
Combined Standard Uncertainty					10.33	
Expanded Uncertainty (k=2)					20.66	

Measurement Uncertainty Table in accordance with IEEE Standard 1528-2003 (see reference [5])

Test Report Serial No.:	072505KLL-T658-S80V	Test Date:	July 26, 2005
Date of Report Issue:	July 28, 2005	Test Report Issue No.:	Issue 1 Rev0
Description of Test:	RF Exposure	SAR	FCC 2.1093 IC RSS-102

MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES (CONT.)

UNCERTAINTY BUDGET FOR SYSTEM VALIDATION						
Error Description	Uncertainty Value ±%	Probability Distribution	Divisor	ci 1g	Uncertainty Value ±% (1g)	V _i or V _{eff}
Measurement System						
Probe calibration	4.5	Normal	1	1	4.5	∞
Axial isotropy of the probe	4.7	Rectangular	1.732050808	1	2.7	∞
Spherical isotropy of the probe	0	Rectangular	1.732050808	1	0.0	∞
Spatial resolution	0	Rectangular	1.732050808	1	0.0	∞
Boundary effects	1	Rectangular	1.732050808	1	0.6	∞
Probe linearity	4.7	Rectangular	1.732050808	1	2.7	∞
Detection limit	1	Rectangular	1.732050808	1	0.6	∞
Readout electronics	0.3	Normal	1	1	0.3	∞
Response time	0	Rectangular	1.732050808	1	0.0	∞
Integration time	0	Rectangular	1.732050808	1	0.0	∞
RF ambient conditions	3	Rectangular	1.732050808	1	1.7	∞
Mech. constraints of robot	0.4	Rectangular	1.732050808	1	0.2	∞
Probe positioning	2.9	Rectangular	1.732050808	1	1.7	∞
Extrapolation & integration	1	Rectangular	1.732050808	1	0.6	∞
Test Sample Related						
Dipole Positioning	2	Normal	1.732050808	1	1.2	∞
Power & Power Drift	4.7	Normal	1.732050808	1	2.7	∞
Phantom and Setup						
Phantom uncertainty	4	Rectangular	1.732050808	1	2.3	∞
Liquid conductivity (target)	5	Rectangular	1.732050808	0.64	1.8	∞
Liquid conductivity (measured)	2.5	Normal	1	0.64	1.6	∞
Liquid permittivity (target)	5	Rectangular	1.732050808	0.6	1.7	∞
Liquid permittivity (measured)	2.5	Normal	1	0.6	1.5	∞
Combined Standard Uncertainty					8.20	
Expanded Uncertainty (k=2)					16.39	

Measurement Uncertainty Table in accordance with IEEE Standard 1528-2003 (see reference [5])

Test Report Serial No.:	072505KLL-T658-S80V	Test Date:	July 26, 2005
Date of Report Issue:	July 28, 2005	Test Report Issue No.:	Issue 1 Rev0
Description of Test:	RF Exposure	SAR	FCC 2.1093 IC RSS-102

17.0 REFERENCES

- [1] Federal Communications Commission, "Radiofrequency radiation exposure evaluation: portable devices", Rule Part 47 CFR §2.1093: 1999.
- [2] Health Canada, "Limits of Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields in the Frequency Range from 3 kHz to 300 GHz", Safety Code 6.
- [3] Federal Communications Commission, "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radio frequency Electromagnetic Fields", OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C (Edition 01-01), FCC, Washington, D.C.: June 2001.
- [4] Industry Canada, "Evaluation Procedure for Mobile and Portable Radio Transmitters with respect to Health Canada's Safety Code 6 for Exposure of Humans to Radio Frequency Fields", Radio Standards Specification RSS-102 Issue 1 (Provisional): September 1999.
- [5] IEEE Std 1528-2003, "Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques": June 2003.

Test Report Serial No.:	072505KLL-T658-S80V	Test Date:	July 26, 2005
Date of Report Issue:	July 28, 2005	Test Report Issue No.:	Issue 1 Rev0
Description of Test:	RF Exposure	SAR	FCC 2.1093 IC RSS-102

APPENDIX A - SAR MEASUREMENT DATA

Test Report Serial No.:	072505KLL-T658-S80V	Test Date:	July 26, 2005
Date of Report Issue:	July 28, 2005	Test Report Issue No.:	Issue 1 Rev0
Description of Test:	RF Exposure	SAR	FCC 2.1093 IC RSS-102

Date Tested: 07/26/2005

Face-Held SAR

DUT: Tekcom Model: TM-886; Type: Portable VHF PTT Marine Radio Transceiver; Serial: 2005072201

Ambient Temp: 24.1 °C; Fluid Temp: 22.5 °C; Barometric Pressure: 102.6 kPa; Humidity: 30%

Communication System: FM VHF

7.4V, 1300mAh NiMH Battery Pack

Frequency: 156.8 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

RF Output Power: 37.41 dBm (Conducted)

Medium: HSL150 ($\sigma = 0.76$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 54.0$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³)

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1387; ConvF(8.8, 8.8, 8.8); Calibrated: 18/03/2005

- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

- Electronics: DAE3 Sn370; Calibrated: 25/01/2005

- Phantom: Planar; Type: Plexiglas; Serial: 161

- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146

Face-Held - 2.5 cm Separation Distance - Mid Channel/Area Scan (8x21x1):

Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm


Face-Held - 2.5 cm Separation Distance - Mid Channel/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=7.5mm, dy=7.5mm, dz=5mm


Reference Value = 21.6 V/m; Power Drift = 0.414 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.758 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.482 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.356 mW/g



Z-Axis Scan



Test Report Serial No.:	072505KLL-T658-S80V	Test Date:	July 26, 2005
Date of Report Issue:	July 28, 2005	Test Report Issue No.:	Issue 1 Rev0
Description of Test:	RF Exposure	SAR	FCC 2.1093 IC RSS-102

APPENDIX B - SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK DATA

Date Tested: 07/26/2005

System Performance Check - 300 MHz Dipole

DUT: Dipole 300 MHz; Model: D300V2; Type: System Performance Check; Serial: 135; Calibrated: 10/26/2004

Ambient Temp: 24.3 °C; Fluid Temp: 23.5 °C; Barometric Pressure: 102.6 kPa; Humidity: 30%

Communication System: CW
Forward Conducted Power: 250 mW
Frequency: 300 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1
Medium: 300 HSL ($\sigma = 0.85$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 43.9$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³)


- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1387; ConvF(7.9, 7.9, 7.9); Calibrated: 18/03/2005
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn370; Calibrated: 25/01/2005
- Phantom: Validation Planar; Type: Plexiglas; Serial: 137
- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.5 Build 19; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 146

300 MHz Dipole - System Performance Check/Area Scan (6x11x1):


Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

300 MHz Dipole - System Performance Check/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm
Reference Value = 30.6 V/m; Power Drift = -0.035 dB
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.35 W/kg
SAR(1 g) = 0.755 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.488 mW/g



Z-Axis Scan



Test Report Serial No.:	072505KLL-T658-S80V	Test Date:	July 26, 2005
Date of Report Issue:	July 28, 2005	Test Report Issue No.:	Issue 1 Rev0
Description of Test:	RF Exposure	SAR	FCC 2.1093 IC RSS-102

APPENDIX C - MEASURED FLUID DIELECTRIC PARAMETERS

Test Report Serial No.:	072505KLL-T658-S80V	Test Date:	July 26, 2005
Date of Report Issue:	July 28, 2005	Test Report Issue No.:	Issue 1 Rev0
Description of Test:	RF Exposure	SAR	FCC 2.1093 IC RSS-102

150 MHz DUT Evaluation (Face)

Celltech Labs Inc.

Test Result for UIM Dielectric Parameter

Tue 26/Jul/2005

Freq Frequency (GHz)

FCC_eH FCC OET 65 Supplement C (June 2001) Limits for Head Epsilon

FCC_sH FCC OET 65 Supplement C (June 2001) Limits for Head Sigma

Test_e Epsilon of UIM

Test_s Sigma of UIM

Freq	FCC_eH	FCC_sH	Test_e	Test_s
0.0500	56.97	0.69	76.10	0.71
0.0600	56.50	0.69	64.67	0.68
0.0700	56.03	0.70	63.65	0.72
0.0800	55.57	0.71	62.29	0.71
0.0900	55.10	0.72	65.43	0.75
0.1000	54.63	0.72	61.20	0.71
0.1100	54.17	0.73	63.37	0.74
0.1200	53.70	0.74	59.59	0.76
0.1300	53.23	0.75	55.87	0.78
0.1400	52.77	0.75	55.39	0.78
0.1500	52.30	0.76	54.00	0.76
0.1600	51.83	0.77	54.12	0.77
0.1700	51.37	0.77	51.31	0.81
0.1800	50.90	0.78	51.72	0.83
0.1900	50.43	0.79	52.48	0.83
0.2000	49.97	0.80	52.59	0.84
0.2100	49.50	0.80	49.92	0.84
0.2200	49.03	0.81	51.58	0.86
0.2300	48.57	0.82	50.42	0.87
0.2400	48.10	0.83	50.23	0.86
0.2500	47.63	0.83	51.20	0.88

Test Report Serial No.:	072505KLL-T658-S80V	Test Date:	July 26, 2005
Date of Report Issue:	July 28, 2005	Test Report Issue No.:	Issue 1 Rev0
Description of Test:	RF Exposure	SAR	FCC 2.1093 IC RSS-102

300 MHz System Performance Check (Brain)

Celltech Labs Inc.

Test Result for UIM Dielectric Parameter

Tue 26/Jul/2005

Freq Frequency (GHz)

FCC_eH FCC OET 65 Supplement C (June 2001) Limits for Head Epsilon

FCC_sH FCC OET 65 Supplement C (June 2001) Limits for Head Sigma

Test_e Epsilon of UIM

Test_s Sigma of UIM


Freq	FCC_eH	FCC_sH	Test_e	Test_s
0.2000	49.97	0.80	48.74	0.77
0.2100	49.50	0.80	49.50	0.77
0.2200	49.03	0.81	47.69	0.79
0.2300	48.57	0.82	48.32	0.81
0.2400	48.10	0.83	50.00	0.80
0.2500	47.63	0.83	47.45	0.82
0.2600	47.17	0.84	47.03	0.83
0.2700	46.70	0.85	45.73	0.82
0.2800	46.23	0.86	46.31	0.84
0.2900	45.77	0.86	45.66	0.85
0.3000	45.30	0.87	43.93	0.85
0.3100	45.18	0.87	43.84	0.85
0.3200	45.06	0.87	44.07	0.87
0.3300	44.94	0.87	44.25	0.87
0.3400	44.82	0.87	43.63	0.86
0.3500	44.70	0.87	43.14	0.90
0.3600	44.58	0.87	42.69	0.93
0.3700	44.46	0.87	42.98	0.91
0.3800	44.34	0.87	42.79	0.92
0.3900	44.22	0.87	42.21	0.95
0.4000	44.10	0.87	42.71	0.94

Test Report Serial No.:	072505KLL-T658-S80V	Test Date:	July 26, 2005
Date of Report Issue:	July 28, 2005	Test Report Issue No.:	Issue 1 Rev0
Description of Test:	RF Exposure	SAR	FCC 2.1093 IC RSS-102

APPENDIX D - SAR TEST SETUP & DUT PHOTOGRAPHS

FACE-HELD SAR TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS

2.5 cm Separation Distance from Front of Radio to Planar Phantom



Test Report Serial No.:	072505KLL-T658-S80V	Test Date:	July 26, 2005
Date of Report Issue:	July 28, 2005	Test Report Issue No.:	Issue 1 Rev0
Description of Test:	RF Exposure	SAR	FCC 2.1093 IC RSS-102

SAR TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS



Face-Held Test Setup Configuration

DUT PHOTOGRAPHS



Front of DUT



Back of DUT



Back of DUT with Belt-Clip
For Carrying Purposes Only
Not Intended for Body-Worn
Transmit Operation

Test Report Serial No.:	072505KLL-T658-S80V	Test Date:	July 26, 2005
Date of Report Issue:	July 28, 2005	Test Report Issue No.:	Issue 1 Rev0
Description of Test:	RF Exposure	SAR	FCC 2.1093 IC RSS-102

DUT PHOTOGRAPHS



Bottom of DUT



Top of DUT



Left Side of DUT



Right Side of DUT

Applicant:	Tekcom Industries Limited	FCC ID:	KLLTM-886	Freq. Range:	156 - 157.425 MHz	
Model(s):	Tekcom TM-886, Techsonic VHF 5S	DUT:	Portable VHF PTT Marine Radio Transceiver			
2005 Celltech Labs Inc.		This document is not to be reproduced in whole or in part without the written permission of Celltech Labs Inc.				28 of 31

DUT PHOTOGRAPHS



DUT Battery Compartment



NiMH Battery Pack



NiMH Battery Pack



Whip Antenna (P/N: 05-005601-00009)

Test Report Serial No.:	072505KLL-T658-S80V	Test Date:	July 26, 2005
Date of Report Issue:	July 28, 2005	Test Report Issue No.:	Issue 1 Rev0
Description of Test:	RF Exposure	SAR	FCC 2.1093 IC RSS-102

APPENDIX E - SYSTEM VALIDATION

300 MHz SYSTEM VALIDATION DIPOLE

Type:

300 MHz Validation Dipole

Serial Number:

135

Place of Calibration:

Celltech Labs Inc.

Date of Calibration:

October 26, 2004

Celltech Labs Inc. hereby certifies that this device has been calibrated on the date indicated above.

Calibrated by:

Spencer Watson

Approved by:

Russell W. Pipe

1. Validation Dipole Construction & Electrical Characteristics

The validation dipole was constructed in accordance with the IEEE Std. "Recommended Practice for Determining the Spatial-Peak Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Body Due to Wireless Communications Devices: Experimental Techniques". The electrical properties were measured using an HP 8753E Network Analyzer. The network analyzer was calibrated to the validation dipole N-type connector feed point using an HP85032E Type N calibration kit. The dipole was placed parallel to a planar phantom at a separation distance of 15.0mm from the simulating fluid using a loss-less dielectric spacer. The measured input impedance is:


Feed point impedance at 300MHz

$$\text{Re}\{Z\} = 45.156\Omega$$

$$\text{Im}\{Z\} = -2.1934\Omega$$

Return Loss at 300MHz

$$-25.060\text{dB}$$



CH1 MEM 1 U FS

1:45.156 μ -2.1934 μ 241.87 pF


26 Oct 2004 10:03:55

300.000 000 MHz

PRM

Cor

↑




START 100.000 000 MHz

STOP 500.000 000 MHz

26 Oct 2004 10:02:53

CH1 MEM LOG 10 dB/REF 0 dB

11-25.060 dB 300.000 000 MHz



2. Validation Dipole Dimensions

Frequency (MHz)	L (mm)	H (mm)	D (mm)
300	420.0	250.0	6.2
450	288.0	167.0	6.2
835	161.0	89.8	3.6
900	149.0	83.3	3.6
1450	89.1	51.7	3.6
1800	72.0	41.7	3.6
1900	68.0	39.5	3.6
2000	64.5	37.5	3.6
2450	51.8	30.6	3.6
3000	41.5	25.0	3.6

3. Validation Phantom

The validation phantom was constructed using relatively low-loss tangent Plexiglas material. The inner dimensions of the phantom are as follows:


Length: 83.5 cm

Width: 36.9 cm

Height: 21.8 cm

The bottom section of the validation phantom is constructed of 6.2 ± 0.1 mm Plexiglas.

4. Dimensions of Plexiglas Planar Phantom



5. 300 MHz System Validation Setup



300 MHz Validation Dipole Setup



6. Measurement Conditions

The planar phantom was filled with simulated brain tissue having the following parameters at 300 MHz:

Relative Permittivity:	45.9
Conductivity:	0.87 mho/m
Fluid Temperature:	22.8 °C
Fluid Depth:	≥ 15 cm

Environmental Conditions:


Ambient Temperature:	23.7 °C
Humidity:	33 %
Barometric Pressure:	101.9 kPa

The 300 MHz simulated brain tissue mixture consists of the following ingredients:

Ingredient	Percentage by weight
Water	37.56%
Sugar	55.32%
Salt	5.95%
HEC	0.98%
Dowicil 75	0.19%
300 MHz Target Dielectric Parameters at 22°C	$\epsilon_r = 45.3$ $\sigma = 0.87 \text{ S/m}$

7. SAR Measurement

The SAR measurement was performed with the E-field probe in mechanical detection mode only. The setup and determination of the forward power into the dipole was performed using the following procedures.



First the power meter **PM1** (including attenuator **Att1**) is connected to the cable to measure the forward power at the location of the dipole connector (**X**). The signal generator is adjusted for the desired forward power at the dipole connector (taking into account the attenuation of **Att1**) as read by power meter **PM2**. After connecting the cable to the dipole, the signal generator is readjusted for the same reading at power meter **PM2**. If the signal generator does not allow adjustment in 0.01dB steps, the remaining difference at **PM2** must be taken into consideration. **PM3** records the reflected power from the dipole to ensure that the value is not changed from the previous value. The reflected power should be 20dB below the forward power.

8. Validation Dipole SAR Test Results

Ten SAR measurements were performed in order to achieve repeatability and to establish an average target value.

Validation Measurement	SAR @ 0.25W Input averaged over 1g	SAR @ 1W Input averaged over 1g	SAR @ 0.25W Input averaged over 10g	SAR @ 1W Input averaged over 10g	Peak SAR @ 0.25W Input
Test 1	0.740	2.96	0.478	1.91	0.779
Test 2	0.736	2.94	0.475	1.90	0.773
Test 3	0.747	2.99	0.482	1.93	0.790
Test 4	0.735	2.94	0.476	1.90	0.776
Test 5	0.743	2.97	0.480	1.92	0.781
Test 6	0.741	2.96	0.479	1.92	0.782
Test 7	0.742	2.97	0.480	1.92	0.780
Test 8	0.740	2.96	0.478	1.91	0.777
Test 9	0.748	2.99	0.484	1.94	0.787
Test 10	0.744	2.98	0.481	1.92	0.781
Average Value	0.742	2.97	0.479	1.92	0.781

The results have been normalized to 1W (forward power) into the dipole.

IEEE Target over 1cm^3 (1g) of tissue: 3.0 mW/g (+/- 10%)

Averaged over 1cm^3 (1g) of tissue: 2.97 mW/g (-3% deviation)

IEEE Target over 10cm^3 (10g) of tissue: 2.0 mW/g (+/- 10%)

Averaged over 10cm^3 (10g) of tissue: 1.92 mW/g (-4% deviation)

300 MHz System Validation - October 26, 2004

DUT: Dipole 300 MHz; Model: D300V2; Serial: 135; Calibrated: 10/26/04

Ambient Temp: 23.7 °C; Fluid Temp: 22.8 °C; Barometric Pressure: 101.9 kPa; Humidity: 33%

Communication System: CW

Frequency: 300 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 300 HSL ($\sigma = 0.87$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 45.9$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³)

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1387; ConvF(7.8, 7.8, 7.8); Calibrated: 18/03/2004

- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

- Electronics: DAE3 Sn370; Calibrated: 14/05/2004

- Phantom: Validation Planar; Type: Plexiglas; Serial: 137

- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.3 Build 22; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 127

300 MHz System Validation/Area Scan (6x11x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

300 MHz System Validation/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 30 V/m; Power Drift = -0.0 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.32 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.740 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.478 mW/g

300 MHz System Validation/Zoom Scan 2 (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 29.8 V/m; Power Drift = -0.0 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.32 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.736 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.475 mW/g

300 MHz System Validation/Zoom Scan 3 (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 30 V/m; Power Drift = 0.0 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.34 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.747 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.482 mW/g

300 MHz System Validation/Zoom Scan 4 (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 29.8 V/m; Power Drift = 0.005 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.31 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.735 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.476 mW/g

300 MHz System Validation/Zoom Scan 5 (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 30 V/m; Power Drift = -0.0 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.33 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.743 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.480 mW/g

300 MHz System Validation/Zoom Scan 6 (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 30 V/m; Power Drift = -0.0 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.33 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.741 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.479 mW/g

300 MHz System Validation/Zoom Scan 7 (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 30 V/m; Power Drift = 0.0 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.32 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.742 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.480 mW/g

300 MHz System Validation/Zoom Scan 8 (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 29.9 V/m; Power Drift = 4e-005 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.32 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.740 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.478 mW/g

300 MHz System Validation/Zoom Scan 9 (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 30 V/m; Power Drift = 0.0 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.34 W/kg


SAR(1 g) = 0.748 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.484 mW/g

300 MHz Validation/Zoom Scan 10 (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm


Reference Value = 29.9 V/m; Power Drift = 0.005 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.33 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.744 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.481 mW/g



1 g average of 10 measurements: 0.742 mW/g
10 g average of 10 measurements: 0.479 mW/g



300 MHz System Validation

Measured Fluid Dielectric Parameters (Brain)

October 26, 2004

Frequency	e'	e''
200.000000 MHz	49.9683	70.5699
210.000000 MHz	49.2757	67.8974
220.000000 MHz	49.0561	65.5986
230.000000 MHz	48.5199	63.5063
240.000000 MHz	47.9983	61.3980
250.000000 MHz	47.6116	59.5294
260.000000 MHz	47.1692	57.8735
270.000000 MHz	46.7769	56.3204
280.000000 MHz	46.4409	54.8280
290.000000 MHz	46.0613	53.4572
300.000000 MHz	45.8972	52.0989
310.000000 MHz	45.6039	51.0027
320.000000 MHz	45.2697	49.7697
330.000000 MHz	44.7890	48.6923
340.000000 MHz	44.3811	47.7213
350.000000 MHz	44.0222	46.8979
360.000000 MHz	43.6368	46.0075
370.000000 MHz	43.3432	45.1665
380.000000 MHz	43.1554	44.4459
390.000000 MHz	42.9327	43.7340
400.000000 MHz	42.6580	43.0434

Test Report Serial No.:	072505KLL-T658-S80V	Test Date:	July 26, 2005
Date of Report Issue:	July 28, 2005	Test Report Issue No.:	Issue 1 Rev0
Description of Test:	RF Exposure	SAR	FCC 2.1093 IC RSS-102

APPENDIX F - PROBE CALIBRATION



Accredited by the Swiss Federal Office of Metrology and Accreditation
 The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
 Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 108**

Client **Celltech Labs**

Certificate No: **ET3-1387_Mar05**

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object **ET3DV6 - SN:1387**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-01.v5**
Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes

Calibration date: **March 18, 2005**

Condition of the calibrated item **In Tolerance**

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).
 The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature $(22 \pm 3)^{\circ}\text{C}$ and humidity $< 70\%$.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Calibrated by, Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter E4419B	GB41293874	5-May-04 (METAS, No. 251-00388)	May-05
Power sensor E4412A	MY41495277	5-May-04 (METAS, No. 251-00388)	May-05
Reference 3 dB Attenuator	SN: S5054 (3c)	10-Aug-04 (METAS, No. 251-00403)	Aug-05
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: S5086 (20b)	3-May-04 (METAS, No. 251-00389)	May-05
Reference 30 dB Attenuator	SN: S5129 (30b)	10-Aug-04 (METAS, No. 251-00404)	Aug-05
Reference Probe ES3DV2	SN: 3013	7-Jan-05 (SPEAG, No. ES3-3013_Jan05)	Jan-06
DAE4	SN: 617	19-Jan-05 (SPEAG, No. DAE4-617_Jan05)	Jan-06
Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092180	18-Sep-02 (SPEAG, in house check Oct-03)	In house check: Oct 05
RF generator HP 8648C	US3642U01700	4-Aug-99 (SPEAG, in house check Dec-03)	In house check: Dec-05
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585	18-Oct-01 (SPEAG, in house check Nov-04)	In house check: Nov 05

	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Nico Vetterli	Laboratory Technician	
Approved by:	Katja Pokovic	Technical Manager	

Issued: March 18, 2005

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.



Accredited by the Swiss Federal Office of Metrology and Accreditation
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 108**

Glossary:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
NORM _{x,y,z}	sensitivity in free space
ConF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM _{x,y,z}
DCP	diode compression point
Polarization ϕ	ϕ rotation around probe axis
Polarization ϑ	ϑ rotation around an axis that is in the plane normal to probe axis (at measurement center), i.e., $\vartheta = 0$ is normal to probe axis

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- IEEE Std 1528-2003, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", December 2003
- CENELEC EN 50361, "Basic standard for the measurement of Specific Absorption Rate related to human exposure to electromagnetic fields from mobile phones (300 MHz - 3 GHz), July 2001

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- NORM_{x,y,z}**: Assessed for E-field polarization $\vartheta = 0$ ($f \leq 900$ MHz in TEM-cell; $f > 1800$ MHz: R22 waveguide). NORM_{x,y,z} are only intermediate values, i.e., the uncertainties of NORM_{x,y,z} does not effect the E²-field uncertainty inside TSL (see below *ConvF*).
- NORM(f)_{x,y,z} = NORM_{x,y,z} * frequency_response** (see Frequency Response Chart). This linearization is implemented in DASY4 software versions later than 4.2. The uncertainty of the frequency response is included in the stated uncertainty of *ConvF*.
- DCP_{x,y,z}**: DCP are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep (no uncertainty required). DCP does not depend on frequency nor media.
- ConvF and Boundary Effect Parameters**: Assessed in flat phantom using E-field (or Temperature Transfer Standard for $f \leq 800$ MHz) and inside waveguide using analytical field distributions based on power measurements for $f > 800$ MHz. The same setups are used for assessment of the parameters applied for boundary compensation (alpha, depth) of which typical uncertainty values are given. These parameters are used in DASY4 software to improve probe accuracy close to the boundary. The sensitivity in TSL corresponds to NORM_{x,y,z} * *ConvF* whereby the uncertainty corresponds to that given for *ConvF*. A frequency dependent *ConvF* is used in DASY version 4.4 and higher which allows extending the validity from ± 50 MHz to ± 100 MHz.
- Spherical isotropy (3D deviation from isotropy)**: in a field of low gradients realized using a flat phantom exposed by a patch antenna.
- Sensor Offset**: The sensor offset corresponds to the offset of virtual measurement center from the probe tip (on probe axis). No tolerance required.

Probe ET3DV6

SN:1387

Manufactured:	September 21, 1999
Last calibrated:	March 18, 2004
Recalibrated:	March 18, 2005

Calibrated for DASY Systems

(Note: non-compatible with DASY2 system!)

DASY - Parameters of Probe: ET3DV6 SN:1387

Sensitivity in Free Space^A

Diode Compression^B

NormX	1.61 ± 10.1%	$\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$	DCP X	92 mV
NormY	1.70 ± 10.1%	$\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$	DCP Y	92 mV
NormZ	1.70 ± 10.1%	$\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$	DCP Z	92 mV

Sensitivity in Tissue Simulating Liquid (Conversion Factors)

Please see Page 8.

Boundary Effect

TSL **900 MHz** **Typical SAR gradient: 5 % per mm**

Sensor Center to Phantom Surface Distance		3.7 mm	4.7 mm
SAR _{be} [%]	Without Correction Algorithm	9.4	4.9
SAR _{be} [%]	With Correction Algorithm	0.1	0.3

TSL **1810 MHz** **Typical SAR gradient: 10 % per mm**

Sensor Center to Phantom Surface Distance		3.7 mm	4.7 mm
SAR _{be} [%]	Without Correction Algorithm	14.3	9.6
SAR _{be} [%]	With Correction Algorithm	0.6	0.1

Sensor Offset

Probe Tip to Sensor Center **2.7 mm**


The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

^A The uncertainties of NormX,Y,Z do not affect the E²-field uncertainty inside TSL (see Page 8).




^B Numerical linearization parameter: uncertainty not required.

Frequency Response of E-Field


(TEM-Cell:ifi110 EXX, Waveguide: R22)



Uncertainty of Frequency Response of E-field: $\pm 6.3\%$ ($k=2$)



Receiving Pattern (ϕ), $\theta = 0^\circ$ Uncertainty of Axial Isotropy Assessment: $\pm 0.5\%$ ($k=2$)

Dynamic Range f(SAR_{head})
(Waveguide R22, f = 1800 MHz)



Uncertainty of Linearity Assessment: $\pm 0.6\%$ ($k=2$)

Conversion Factor Assessment




f [MHz]	Validity [MHz] ^c	TSL	Permittivity	Conductivity	Alpha	Depth	ConvF Uncertainty
900	± 50 / ± 100	Head	41.5 ± 5%	0.97 ± 5%	0.65	1.81	6.47 ± 11.0% (k=2)
1810	± 50 / ± 100	Head	40.0 ± 5%	1.40 ± 5%	0.62	2.39	5.18 ± 11.0% (k=2)
2450	± 50 / ± 100	Head	39.2 ± 5%	1.80 ± 5%	0.76	2.09	4.56 ± 11.8% (k=2)
900	± 50 / ± 100	Body	55.0 ± 5%	1.05 ± 5%	0.60	2.01	6.10 ± 11.0% (k=2)
1810	± 50 / ± 100	Body	53.3 ± 5%	1.52 ± 5%	0.60	2.67	4.75 ± 11.0% (k=2)
2450	± 50 / ± 100	Body	52.7 ± 5%	1.95 ± 5%	0.82	1.82	4.30 ± 11.8% (k=2)

^c The validity of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2). The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band.

Deviation from Isotropy in HSL

Error (ϕ , ϑ), $f = 900$ MHz



Uncertainty of Spherical Isotropy Assessment: $\pm 2.6\%$ ($k=2$)

Additional Conversion Factors

for Dosimetric E-Field Probe

Type:

ET3DV6

Serial Number:

1387

Place of Assessment:

Zurich

Date of Assessment:


March 21, 2005

Probe Calibration Date:

March 18, 2005

Schmid & Partner Engineering AG hereby certifies that conversion factor(s) of this probe have been evaluated on the date indicated above. The assessment was performed using the FDTD numerical code SEMCAD of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG. Since the evaluation is coupled with measured conversion factors, it has to be recalculated yearly, i.e., following the re-calibration schedule of the probe. The uncertainty of the numerical assessment is based on the extrapolation from measured value at 900 MHz or at 1800 MHz.

Assessed by:



Dosimetric E-Field Probe ET3DV6 SN:1387

Conversion factor ($\pm$ standard deviation)

f = 150 MHz	ConvF	8.8 $\pm$ 10%	$\epsilon_r = 52.3 \pm 5\%$ $\sigma = 0.76 \pm 5\%$ mho/m (head tissue)
f = 300 MHz	ConvF	7.9 $\pm$ 9%	$\epsilon_r = 45.3 \pm 5\%$ $\sigma = 0.87 \pm 5\%$ mho/m (head tissue)
f = 450 MHz	ConvF	7.5 $\pm$ 8%	$\epsilon_r = 43.5 \pm 5\%$ $\sigma = 0.87 \pm 5\%$ mho/m (head tissue)
f = 150 MHz	ConvF	8.4 $\pm$ 10%	$\epsilon_r = 61.9 \pm 5\%$ $\sigma = 0.80 \pm 5\%$ mho/m (body tissue)
f = 450 MHz	ConvF	7.5 $\pm$ 8%	$\epsilon_r = 56.7 \pm 5\%$ $\sigma = 0.94 \pm 5\%$ mho/m (body tissue)

Important Note:

For numerically assessed probe conversion factors, parameters Alpha and Delta in the DASY software must have the following entries: Alpha = 0 and Delta = 1.

Please see also Section 4.7 of the DASY4 Manual.