



Washington Laboratories, Ltd.

7560 LINDBERGH DRIVE
GAITHERSBURG, MD 20879
(301) 417 – 0220 FAX # (301) 417 - 9069

February 10, 2003

Mr. Dennis Ward
American Telecommunications Certification Body Inc.
6731 Whittier Ave
McLean, VA 22101

RE: Comments of January 22, 2003
APPLICATION: O7X-G3-2 Pelican Accessories

Dear Mr. Johnson:

Below are the comments that you have provided regarding the application for certification referenced above. Our responses to those comments are in ***bold italic***. Many responses refer you to additional exhibit(s) which has been uploaded to the application folder at the ATCB website.

Thank you for your attention. Please feel free to contact us for any additional information that you may require.

Regards,

Gregory M. Snyder
Chief EMC Engineer, Wireless/Telco Services Manager

Brian J. Dettling
Documentation Specialist

WLL Project: 7294

January 22, 2003
RE: Pelican Accessories
FCC ID: O7X-G3-2

1) Please note that 2.1033(b) (5) states, “A block diagram showing the frequency of all oscillators in the device. The signal path and frequency shall be indicated at each block. The tuning range(s) and intermediate frequency(ies) shall be indicated at each block.” Please note that the block diagram provided does not meet this requirement. Please provide a block diagram meeting the requirements of 2.1033(b)(5).

R. Please see exhibit “G3-2 Block Diagram-Rev4.pdf”.

2) The photo of the FCC ID location is not clear. The ID number cannot be clearly identified. Please provide a photo clearly showing the FCC ID.

R. Please see exhibit “G3-2 Label Moulding 1.pdf.”

3) Please note that there appears to be more than ample room on the device to have the 15.21 2 condition statement. Please also note that the FCC only allows certain exceptions to this requirement. This device does not appear to meet any of these exceptions. Please evidence that the 2 condition statement required by 15.21 will be placed on the device and please provide a photo or drawing showing where this label will be placed.

R. As this unit is similar to previous “hosts” that have been approved and the device is smaller than the palm of the hand, the statement required by 15.19 and 15.21 have been included in the User’s Guide.

4) Please note, your request for confidentiality only covers the schematics and theory of operation. It does not cover the parts list. Typically parts lists are not required for part 15 devices. If this parts list is uploaded to the FCC website, it will not be considered confidential until and unless the request for confidentiality is rewritten to include the parts list exhibit.

R. A corrected Request For Confidentiality letter has been uploaded. Please see exhibit “G3-2 Revised RFC Letter.pdf”.

5) The EUT also appears to be a Class B digital device. As such the manual is required to have the information to the user as defined in 15.105(b). Please revise the manual to include this statement. Alternately, please explain how this is not a Class B digital device.

R. As required for the certification, the statement of 15.19(3) has been included in the User’s Manual to warn the user of interference. Previous devices of this nature have not required the additional statement of 15.105, however, the client has been notified to add this statement to future manuals.

6) What steps were taken to determine that “rumble data” was the worse case?

R. The “rumble data” is the only data transmitted by the device.

7) In table 3 you state that the bandwidth of the system at the various test frequencies is greater than 175MHz. The plot says 175kHz. Please correct the table 3 to agree with the plotted data.

R. Table 3 of the Test Report has been corrected. Please see exhibit “G3-2 Test Report Revision 1.pdf.”