

From: sales <sales@loadwise.co.uk>
To: G1.G1(GCZUMAK)
Date: 7/14/99 11:36am
Subject: FCC ID OEF - LW315T5

EA 94678

14.7.99

Dear Mr Czumak

I have tried to telephone you but have discovered you are on vacation until 28th July. I have attached some further reasons for making this application as a safety switch and am hoping you will reconsider your decision. I have copied the attached text to Mr Fabina in the hope that he will give me some guidance whilst awaiting your return.

[Section: 1/1 File: FCC ID OEF.doc UUencoded by: Turnpike Version 3.05]

sum -r/size 43430/29659 section (from "begin" to "end")
sum -r/size 22439/21504 entire input file

Regards

Ian Stimpson

--

sales

Please scan into
Operational Description
(Appl. already granted)

FCC ID OEF – LW 315 T5

731 Confirmation No. EA 94678

Applicant: Load Instrumentation Limited

Correspondence Reference Number: 8551

731 Confirmation Number: EA94678

When this project was initially discussed my understanding of the conversation was that an application as a safety switch was acceptable as safety of life was an issue. The manual supplied with the application, whilst giving a description of the switch, does not give the full picture and I therefore list some of the other missing points:-

1. Mobile cranes lift all sorts of loads including men in baskets.
2. Because of the number of man basket accidents the State of California has made these switches mandatory for this operation.
3. Grove cranes have been involved in much personal injury litigation due to these switches not being fitted as standard to all their machines.
4. When the switches are fitted they are connected via a cable to the driving position. Frequently these vulnerable cables are damaged during normal working of the crane giving rise to false alarms and loss of system integrity.
5. Bad crane drivers hit the switch many times a day whilst good drivers might not hit it for months and therefore would not know if the battery is discharged until it is too late.
6. Because of the flat characteristics of rechargeable batteries followed by a sharp decline it is necessary to monitor them at regular intervals.

Regards

Ian Stimpson