

Dear Tim,

- 1) It is confirmed by the client on telephone that confidentiality is not required or requested on any exhibits.
- 2) A new labeling exhibit has been prepared to replace the old ones. Only the label and its location for the device to be certified is included.
- 3) The test report does mention the ANSI C63.4-2003 as the test standard on page 2. The device has been tested with this standard.
- 4) The requirement to position portable device in 3 orthogonal axis during exploratory test is mentioned at the bottom of page 6 of the test report. The positions mentioned on page 7 are only the initial configuration at the start of the test.
- 5) For field strength measurement above 30MHz, we did apply RBW of 120kHz to get the final measurement results. The test report will be corrected accordingly.
- 6) Since Quasi-Peak Detector of the R&S ESCS30 Test Receiver is employed in measuring the spurious emissions (see page 7 of the report), the RBW is automatically configured to 120kHz. Anyway, we will amend the test report to have it stated explicitly in the remark on page 7.
- 7) I confirm here that the Antenna Factors, the cable loss and all the correction factors have been figured into the calculations or measurements made; otherwise, the unit of field strength dBuV/m cannot be displayed on the user interactive interface of both the test software and the test equipment.
- 8) FYI : I did asked the client for information. He replied me that the modulation is AM. But I am not sure whether the client himself have the clear idea or not, because it is embeded in the chipset provided by the IC manufacturer.

Yours sincerely,

WAI Leong
EMC Engineer
Bureau Veritas Hong Kong Ltd.