
Appendix for the Report

Dosimetric Assessment of

Mobicom C6088i (FCC ID: P8D-C6088)

According to the FCC Requirements

SAR Distribution Plot

March 06, 2002
IMST GmbH
Carl-Friedrich-Gauß-Str. 1
D-47475 Kamp-Lintfort

Customer
7-Layers AG
Borsigstrasse 11
40880-Ratingen

The test results only relate to the item tested.
This report shall not be reproduced or used in full without the written
approval of the testing laboratory.

Table of Contents

1 SAR DISTRIBUTION PLOTS, TEST SIDE AMBIENT CONDITIONS.....

2 SAR DISTRIBUTION PLOTS, PCS 1900 5.....

3 SAR Z-AXIS SCAN.....

1 SAR Distribution Plots, Test side ambient conditions.




Fig. 1: Test side measurements, 1900 MHz, head position.

C6088i Body Worn

SAM PCS 1900; Flat

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1579; ConvF(5.00,5.00); Crest factor: 8.0; body 1900 MHz: $\sigma = 1.53$ mds/m $\epsilon_r = 51.7$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³




Fig. 2: Test side ambient measurements, 1900 MHz, body worn position.

2 SAR Distribution Plots, PCS 1900




Fig. 3: SAR distribution, PCS 1900, peak at 1.43 mW/g, left side of head, skin

C6088i
 SAM PCS1900, Left Hand
 Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1579, ConvF(5.50,5.50), Crest factor: 3.0, Brn 1900MHz: $\sigma = 1.46$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 38.8$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³
 Cube 7x7x7, Peak: 0.295 mW/g, SAR (1g): 0.176 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.102 mW/g, SAR (Worst-case extrapolation)
 Penetration depth: 9.9 (9.3, 10.9) [mm]
 Powerdraft: 0.01 dB




Fig. 4: SAR distribution, PCS 1900, dposition001, left side of head, slim
 Cube 2: 0.120 W/kg

C6088i
 SAM FCS 1900, Right Hand
 Probe: ET3DV6 - S81579; CoaxF(5,50,5,50); Crest factor: 3.0; Brn: 1900MHz; $\sigma = 1.46$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 38.2$; $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³
 Cube 7x7x7; Peak: 1.97 mW/g; SAR(10g): 1.13 mW/g; SAR(10g): 0.690 mW/g; (Worst-case extrapolation)
 Penetration depth: 11.7 (10.6, 13.0) [mm]
 PowerIntr: 0.03 dB




Fig. 5: Worst Case SAR distribution, model 512, cheek position, right head, slim battery.

C6088i

SAM PCS 1900, Right Hand

Probe: ET3D76 - SN1579, ConvF(5.50,5.50); Crest factor: 8.0; Brain 1900MHz: $\sigma = 1.46$ mho/m, $\epsilon_r = 38.8$, $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³

Cube 7x7x7: Peak: 0.267 mW/g, SAR (1g): 0.162 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.0945 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)

Penetration depth: 10.4 (9.8, 11.1) [mm]

Powerdntf: 0.09 dB




Fig. 6: Worst case SAR distribution for C6088i in the position of head, slim battery. Cube 2: 0.0945 W/kg.

C6088i
 SAM PCS 1900, Left Hand
 Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1579, CoaxF(5.50,5.50), Crest factor: 8.0, Brain 1900MHz: $\sigma = 1.46$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 38.8$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³
 Cube 7x7x7: Peak: 1.43 mW/g, SAR (1g): 0.913 mW/g, SAR (10g): 0.530 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)
 Penetration depth: 12.4 (12.3, 12.5) [mm]
 Powerdnt: 0.07 dB




Fig. 7: SAR distribution, PCS 1900, ear position 1, left side of head, e battery. Cube 2: 0.860 W/kg.

C6088i
 SAM PCS1900; Left Hand
 Probe ET3DV6 - SNI579; ConvF(5.50,5.50); Crest factor 8.0; Brain 1900MHz $\sigma = 1.46$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 38.8$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³
 Cube 7x7x7: Peak: 0.297 mW/g SAR (1g): 0.177 mW/g SAR (10g): 0.103 mW/g SAR (Worst-case extrapolation)
 Penetration depth: 10.1 (9.3, 11.2) [mm]
 Powerdft: -0.02 dB




Fig. 8: SAR distribution, PCS 1900 handset, defbead, extended battery. Cube 2: 0.125 W/kg

C6088i
 SAM PCS1900, Right Hand
 Probe: ET3DW6 - SN1579; ConvF(5.50,5.50); Crest factor 3.0; Brain 1900MHz: $\sigma = 1.46$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 38.8$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³
 Cube 7x7x7: Peak: 1.92 mW/g SAR(1g): 1.15 mW/g SAR(10g): 0.666 mW/g (Worst-case extrapolation)
 Penetration depth: 11.8 (10.8, 12.9) [mm]
 Powerdnt: 0.04 dB




Fig. 9: Worst Case SAR distribution on model 512, cheek position, right head, extended battery.

C6088i

SAM PCS1900, Right Hand

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1579; ConvF(5.50,5.50), Crest factor 8.0; Brain 1900MHz: $\sigma = 1.46$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 38.2$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³

Cube 7x7x7: Peak: 0.346 mW/g SAR (1g): 0.211 mW/g SAR (10g): 0.122 mW/g (Worst-case extrapolation)

Penetration depth: 10.3 (9.7, 11.1) [mm]

Powerdirt: 0.00 dB




Fig. 10: Worst case SAR distribution on PCS6190, tilted position of head, extended battery. Cube 2: 0.141 W/kg.

C6088i Body Worn
 SAM PCS1900; Flat
 Probe: ET3D76 - SN1579, Coax76(5.00,5.00); Crest factor: 8.0; body 1900 MHz: $\sigma = 1.53$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 51.7$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³
 Cube 7x7x7; Peak: 0.418 mW/g; SAR (1 g): 0.244 mW/g; SAR (10 g): 0.148 mW/g; (Worst-case extrapolation)
 Penetration depth: 10.6 (9.0, 12.7) [mm]
 Powerdistr: -0.06 dB




Fig. 11: Worst case SAR distribution, PCS 1900, channel 512, body worn
 Clip with Headset and slim battery.

C6088i Body Worn
 GSM1800, Flat
 Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1579, ConvF(5.00,5.00,5.00), Crest factor: 8.0, body 1900 MHz: $\sigma = 1.53$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 51.7$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³
 Cube 7x7x7: Peak: 0.345 mW/g, SAR (1 g): 0.202 mW/g, SAR (10 g): 0.123 mW/g, (Worst-case extrapolation)
 Penetration depth: 10.5 (2.2, 12.6) [mm]
 Power drift: 0.00 dB




Fig. 12: Worst case SAR distribution, PCS 1900, channel 512, body worn
 Clip with Headset and extended battery.

3 SAR z-axis scan

The following pictures show the plots of SAR depth for the worst case val




Fig. 13: SAR versus liquid depth with the highest value: PCS 1900, chanr cheek position, right side of head, slim battery.

C6088i Body Worn

SAM PCS1900;

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1579; ConvF(5.00,5.00,5.00); Crest factor: 8.0; body 1900 MHz: $\sigma = 1.53$ mho/m $\epsilon_r = 51.7$ $\rho = 1.00$ g/cm³

Body worn configuration, channel 512




Fig. 14: SAR versus liquid depth with the highest value: PCS 1900, chanr body worn configuration C6088i, Headset C6088i slim battery.