

Helen Zhao

Subject: FW: 3-Elite Join Industrial Pte Ltd, FCC ID: PCSHERCULES868305, Assessment NO.: AN05T4664, Notice#1



User Manual.pdf



FCC ID Label
Location.PDF



Test Report_02.pdf



Cover Letter.PDF

Dear Helen,

Attached please find the new "FCC ID Label Location" (for Q#1) , "Cover Letter" (for Q#2) , "User Manual" (for Q#3) and "Test report" (for Q#4). Any questions please feel free to contact with me.

Best regards,
Joe

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Compliance Certification Services [mailto:hzhao@ccsemc.com]

> Sent: Thursday, March 24, 2005 12:17 PM

> Subject: 3-Elite Join Industrial Pte Ltd, FCC ID: PCSHERCULES868305,

> Assessment NO.: AN05T4664, Notice#1

>

>

> Question #1: Question #1: Based upon FCC15.19(a)(3)(4), all other devices shall
> bear compliance statement in a conspicuous location on the device
> unless the device is smaller than palm size. The FCC ID label format
> submitted in this filing does not comply with this, please revise.

>

> Question #2: The proposed FCC ID (PCSHERCULES868305) consists of 18
> alpha-numeric characters, exceeds the maximum 17 alpha-numeric
> characters as allowed by FCC. Please revise FCC ID label format, Agent
> authorization letter, and Test report, etc.

>

> Question #3: Non-colocation restriction statement is not necessary for
> remote control devices. You can remove this statement from the user manual.

>

> Question #4: Please revisit the bandwidth plot of channel 20 in the test
> report part 2 of 2. The wrong markers caused an incorrect result. Please
> revise the test report.

>

> Best Regards,
> Helen Zhao

>

> The items indicated above must be submitted before processing can continue
> on the above referenced application. Failure to provide the requested
> information within 30 days of the original e-mail date may result in
> application dismissal and forfeiture of the filing fee. Also, please note
> that partial responses increase processing time and should not be
> submitted.

> Any questions about the content of this correspondence should be directed
> to

> the e-mail address listed below the name of the sender.

>

>