



No. 1 Workshop, M-10, Middle section, Science & Technology Park,
Nanshan District, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China 518057

Telephone: +86 (0) 755 2601 2053

Fax: +86 (0) 755 2671 0594

Email: ee.shenzhen@sgs.com

Report No.: SZEM141100662802

Page : 1 of 37

FCC SAR TEST REPORT

Application No.: SZEM1411006628CR
Applicant: Gigastone Corporation
Manufacturer: Gigastone Corporation
Factory: Sky Light Electronic (ShenZhen) Limited
Product Name: Smart Box, Media Streamer, Smart Battery
Model No.(EUT): A4S
Trade Mark: Gigastone
FCC ID: PLEWD5203
Standards: IEEE Std C95(1991)
IEEE1528(2003)
Date of Receipt: 2014-12-07
Date of Test: 2014-12-08 to 2014-12-08
Date of Issue: 2015-01-26
Test Result : **PASS ***

* In the configuration tested, the EUT detailed in this report complied with the standards specified above.

Authorized Signature:



Jack Zhang
EMC Laboratory Manager

The manufacturer should ensure that all products in series production are in conformity with the product sample detailed in this report. If the product in this report is used in any configuration other than that detailed in the report, the manufacturer must ensure the new system complies with all relevant standards. Any mention of SGS International Electrical Approvals or testing done by SGS International Electrical Approvals in connection with, distribution or use of the product described in this report must be approved by SGS International Electrical Approvals in writing.

The report must not be used by the client to claim product certification, approval, or endorsement by NVLAP, NIST, or any agency of the federal government. All test results in this report can be traceable to National or International Standards.

"This document is issued by the Company subject to its General Conditions of Service printed overleaf, available on request or accessible at www.sgs.com/terms_and_conditions.htm and, for electronic format documents, subject to Terms and Conditions for Electronic Documents at www.sgs.com/terms_e-document.htm. Attention is drawn to the limitation of liability, indemnification and jurisdiction issues defined therein. Any holder of this document is advised that information contained hereon reflects the Company's findings at the time of its intervention only and within the limits of Client's instructions, if any. The Company's sole responsibility is to its Client and this document does not exonerate parties to a transaction from exercising all their rights and obligations under the transaction documents. This document cannot be reproduced except in full, without prior written approval of the Company. Any unauthorized alteration, forgery or falsification of the content or appearance of this document is unlawful and offenders may be prosecuted to the fullest extent of the law. Unless otherwise stated the results shown in this test report refer only to the sample(s) tested and such sample(s) are retained for 90 days only."



2 Version

Revision Record				
Version	Chapter	Date	Modifier	Remark
00		2015-01-26		Original

Authorized for issue by:				
Tested By		 (Eric Fu) /Project Engineer		2014-12-08
				Date
Prepared By		 (Sade Luo) /Clerk		2015-01-26
				Date
Checked By		 (Evan Mi) /Reviewer		2015-01-27
				Date



3 Test Summary

Frequency Band	Test position	Test Ch. /Freq.	Max average SAR1-g(W/kg)	Conduct ed power (dBm)	Tune up Limit (dBm)	Scaling Factor	Scaled SAR (W/kg)	SAR limit (W/kg)	verdict
WIFI (2.4GHz)	Body	11/2462	0.857	15.74	16.00	1.062	0.910	1.6	PASS

Remark: The maximum Scaled SAR value of **Body** is **0.910W/kg**.



4 Contents

	Page
1 COVER PAGE	1
2 VERSION	2
3 TEST SUMMARY	3
4 CONTENTS.....	4
5 GENERAL INFORMATION	6
5.1 DETAILS OF APPLICANT/ MANUFACTURER	6
5.2 DETAILS OF FACTORY	6
5.3 GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF EUT	7
5.4 DESCRIPTION OF SUPPORT UNITS	8
5.5 TEST LOCATION.....	8
5.6 TEST FACILITY	8
5.7 DEVIATION FROM STANDARDS	8
5.8 ABNORMALITIES FROM STANDARD CONDITIONS	8
5.9 OTHER INFORMATION REQUESTED BY THE CUSTOMER	8
5.10 TEST STANDARDS.....	9
5.11 RF EXPOSURE LIMITS	9
5.12 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY.....	10
6 EQUIPMENTS USED DURING TEST	12
6.1 SPEAG DASY5	12
6.2 THE SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM.....	14
6.3 ISOTROPIC E-FIELD PROBE EX3DV4.....	15
6.4 DATA ACQUISITION ELECTRONICS (DAE)	16
6.5 SAM TWIN PHANTOM	16
6.6 ELI PHANTOM	17
6.7 DEVICE HOLDER FOR TRANSMITTERS	18
7 DESCRIPTION OF TEST POSITION	19
7.1 THE BODY TEST POSITION	19
8 SAR SYSTEM VERIFICATION PROCEDURE	20
8.1 TISSUE SIMULATE LIQUID.....	20
8.1.1 Recipes for Tissue Simulate Liquid.....	20
8.1.2 Measurement for Tissue Simulate Liquid.....	21
8.2 SAR SYSTEM VALIDATION	22
8.2.1 Summary System Validation Result(s).....	23
8.2.2 Detailed System Validation Results	24
9 TEST RESULTS AND MEASUREMENT DATA	25
9.1 OPERATION CONFIGURATIONS	25
9.1.1 WiFi Test Configuration.....	25
9.2 MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE	25
9.2.1 Scanning procedure	25
9.2.2 Data Storage	26
9.2.3 Data Evaluation by SEMCAD.....	26
9.3 MEASUREMENT OF RF CONDUCTED POWER.....	28
9.3.1 Conducted Power Of WIFI	28
9.4 MEASUREMENT OF SAR AVERAGE VALUE.....	29



9.4.1	SAR Result Of WIFI	29
9.5	MULTIPLE (SINGLE) TRANSMITTER EVALUATION	30
9.5.1	DUT Antenna Locations	30
9.5.2	EUT side for SAR Testing	30
9.5.3	Stand-alone SAR.....	31
9.5.4	Simultaneous SAR	31
9.6	DETAILED TEST RESULTS	32
10	PHOTOGRAPHS	33
10.1	EUT TEST SETUP	33
10.2	PHOTOGRAPHS OF EUT	33
10.3	PHOTOGRAPHS OF EUT TEST POSITION	34
10.4	PHOTOGRAPHS OF TISSUE SIMULATE LIQUID	36
10.5	EUT CONSTRUCTIONAL DETAILS	36
11	CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE.....	36
APPENDIX A : DETAILED SYSTEM VALIDATION RESULTS.....		37
APPENDIX B: DETAILED TEST RESULTS.....		37
APPENDIX C: CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE		37



5 General Information

5.1 Details of Applicant/ Manufacturer

Name:	Gigastone Corporation
Address:	12F, No.480, Rueiguang Road, Neihu District, Taipei 114, Taiwan.

5.2 Details of Factory

Name:	Sky Light Electronic (ShenZhen) Limited
Address:	Floor 1-2 No. 1 Building, No. 5 and 6 Building, JinBi Industrial Area, HuangTian, BaoAn, Shenzhen, China.



5.3 General Description of EUT

Product Name:	Smart Box, Media Streamer, Smart Battery		
Model No.:	A4S		
Trade Mark:	Gigastone		
Device Type :	portable device		
Product Phase:	production unit		
Exposure Category:	uncontrolled environment / general population		
Hardware Version:	V05		
Software Version:	V1.7.3		
FCC ID:	PLEWD5203		
Battery Information	Normal Voltage :3.7		
	Charging Voltage :5V		
	Rated capacity :5200mAh		
	Battery Type :Rechargeable Li-ion Battery		
	Model: J440		
Antenna Type:	Inner Antenna		
Frequency Bands:	Band	Tx (MHz)	Rx (MHz)
	WIFI	2412-2462	2412-2462
Modulation Mode:	WIFI: IEEE for 802.11b: DSSS(CCK,DQPSK,DBPSK) IEEE for 802.11g: OFDM(64QAM, 16QAM, QPSK, BPSK) IEEE for 802.11n(T20 and T40) : OFDM (64QAM, 16QAM, QPSK,BPSK)		
Serial Number:	82027KAMA4S		
IMEI:	NA		



5.4 Description of Support Units

The EUT has been tested independently.

5.5 Test Location

All tests were performed at:

SGS-CSTC Standards Technical Services Co., Ltd. Shenzhen Branch E&E Lab

No. 1 Workshop, M-10, Middle section, Science & Technology Park, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China
518057

Telephone: +86 (0) 755 2601 2053 Fax: +86 (0) 755 2671 0594

No tests were sub-contracted.

5.6 Test Facility

The test facility is recognized, certified, or accredited by the following organizations:

- **CNAS (No. CNAS L2929)**

CNAS has accredited SGS-CSTC Standards Technical Services Co., Ltd. Shenzhen Branch EMC Lab to ISO/IEC 17025:2005 General Requirements for the Competence of Testing and Calibration Laboratories (CNAS-CL01 Accreditation Criteria for the Competence of Testing and Calibration Laboratories) for the competence in the field of testing.

- **VCCI**

The 3m Semi-anechoic chamber, Full-anechoic Chamber and Shielded Room (7.5m x 4.0m x 3.0m) of SGS-CSTC Standards Technical Services Co., Ltd. have been registered in accordance with the Regulations for Voluntary Control Measures with Registration No.: R-2197, G-416, T-1153 and C-2383 respectively.

- **FCC – Registration No.: 556682**

SGS-CSTC Standards Technical Services Co., Ltd., Shenzhen EMC Laboratory has been registered and fully described in a report filed with the (FCC) Federal Communications Commission. The acceptance letter from the FCC is maintained in our files. Registration No.: 556682.

- **Industry Canada (IC)**

Two 3m Semi-anechoic chambers of SGS-CSTC Standards Technical Services Co., Ltd. have been registered by Certification and Engineering Bureau of Industry Canada for radio equipment testing with Registration No.: 4620C-1 & 4620C-2.

5.7 Deviation from Standards

None

5.8 Abnormalities from Standard Conditions

None

5.9 Other Information Requested by the Customer

None



5.10 Test Standards

Identity	Document Title
IEEE Std C95.1 – 1991	IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz – 300 GHz.
IEEE 1528-2003	Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques
KDB447498 D01	General RF Exposure Guidance v05r02
KDB447498 D03	Supplement C Cross-Reference v01
KDB941225 D07	UMPC Mini Tablet Devices v01r01
KDB 248227 D01	SAR meas for 802 11 a b g v01r02
KDB 865664 D01	SAR Measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz v01r03
KDB 865664 D02	RF Exposure Reporting v01r01

5.11 RF exposure limits

Human Exposure	Uncontrolled Environment General Population	Controlled Environment Occupational
Spatial Peak SAR* (Brain)	1.60 mW/g	8.00 mW/g
Spatial Average SAR** (Whole Body)	0.08 mW/g	0.40 mW/g
Spatial Peak SAR*** (Hands/Feet/Ankle/Wrist)	4.00 mW/g	20.00 mW/g

Notes:

* The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 1 gram of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time

** The Spatial Average value of the SAR averaged over the whole body.

*** The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 10 grams of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.

Uncontrolled Environments are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.

Controlled Environments are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by persons who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation.)



5.12 Measurement Uncertainty

Measurements and results are all in compliance with the standards listed in section 12 of this report. All measurements and results are recorded and maintained at the laboratory performing the tests and measurement uncertainties are taken into account when comparing measurements to pass/ fail criteria. The Expanded uncertainty(95% CONFIDENCE INTERVAL) is **21.36%**.

A	b1	c	d	e = f(d,k)	g	i = C*g/e	k
Uncertainty Component	Section in P1528	Tol (%)	Prob . Dist.	Div.	Ci (1g)	1g ui (%)	Vi (Veff)
Probe calibration	E.2.1	6.3	N	1	1	6.30	∞
Axial isotropy	E.2.2	0.5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	$(1 - C_p)^{1/2}$	0.20	∞
hemispherical isotropy	E.2.2	2.6	R	$\sqrt{3}$	$\sqrt{C_p}$	1.06	∞
Boundary effect	E.2.3	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.58	∞
Linearity	E.2.4	0.6	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.35	∞
System detection limit	E.2.5	0.25	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.14	∞
Readout electronics	E.2.6	0.3	N	1	1	0.30	∞
Response time	E.2.7	0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.00	∞
Integration time	E.2.8	2.6	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.50	∞
RF ambient Condition –Noise	E.6.1	3	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.73	∞
RF ambient Condition - reflections	E.6.1	3	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.73	∞
Probe positioning- mechanical tolerance	E.6.2	1.5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.87	∞
Probe positioning- with respect to phantom	E.6.3	2.9	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.67	∞
Max. SAR evaluation	E.5.2	1	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.58	∞
Test sample positioning	E.4.2	3.7	N	1	1	3.70	9
Device holder uncertainty	E.4.1	3.6	N	1	1	3.60	∞
Output power variation –SAR drift measurement	6.6.2	5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	2.89	∞
Phantom uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances)	E.3.1	4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	2.31	∞
Liquid conductivity - deviation from target values	E.3.2	5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	1.85	∞
Liquid conductivity	E.3.2	5.78	N	1	0.64	3.68	5

"This document is issued by the Company subject to its General Conditions of Service printed overleaf, available on request or accessible at www.sgs.com/terms_and_conditions.htm and, for electronic format documents, subject to Terms and Conditions for Electronic Documents at www.sgs.com/terms_e-document.htm. Attention is drawn to the limitation of liability, indemnification and jurisdiction issues defined therein. Any holder of this document is advised that information contained hereon reflects the Company's findings at the time of its intervention only and within the limits of Client's instructions, if any. The Company's sole responsibility is to its Client and this document does not exonerate parties to a transaction from exercising all their rights and obligations under the transaction documents. This document cannot be reproduced except in full, without prior written approval of the Company. Any unauthorized alteration, forgery or falsification of the content or appearance of this document is unlawful and offenders may be prosecuted to the fullest extent of the law. Unless otherwise stated the results shown in this test report refer only to the sample(s) tested and such sample(s) are retained for 90 days only."



- measurement uncertainty							
Liquid permittivity - deviation from target values	E.3.3	5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	1.73	∞
Liquid permittivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	0.62	N	1	0.6	0.372	5
Combined standard uncertainty				RSS		10.68	430
Expanded uncertainty (95% CONFIDENCE INTERVAL)				K=2		21.36	

Table 1 : Measurement Uncertainty





6 Equipments Used during Test

6.1 SPEAG DASY5

Test Platform		SPEAG DASY5 Professional			
Location		SGS-CSTC Standards Technical Services Co., Ltd. Shenzhen Branch E&E Lab			
Description		SAR Test System (Frequency range 300MHz-3GHz) 835, 900, 1800, 1900, 2000, 2450 frequency band			
Software Reference		DASY52 52.8.8(1222); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7331)			
Hardware Reference					
Model		Equipment	Serial Number	Calibration Date	Due date of calibration
☒	Robot	RX90L	F03/5V32A1/A01	NA	NA
☒	Twin Phantom	SAM 1	TP-1283	NA	NA
☐	Flat Phantom	ELI 5.0	1128	NA	NA
☒	DAE	DAE3	569	2014-10-01	2015-09-30
☒	E-Field Probe	ES3DV3	3071	2014-09-01	2015-08-31
☐	Validation Kits	D835V2	4d015	2013-11-25	2016-11-24
☐	Validation Kits	D1900V2	184	2013-11-27	2016-11-26
☒	Validation Kits	D2450V2	733	2013-11-26	2016-11-25
☒	Agilent Network Analyzer	E5071B	MY42100549	2014-04-11	2015-04-11
☒	Dielectric Probe Kit	85070D	US01440210	NA	NA
☒	R&S Universal Radio Communication Tester	CMU200	103633	2014-04-11	2015-04-10
☒	RF Bi-Directional Coupler	ZABDC20-252H-N+	N989900825	2014-04-11	2015-04-10
☒	Agilent Signal Generator	E4438C	MY42082326	2014-04-11	2015-04-10
☒	Mini-Circuits Preamplifier	ZHL-42	QA0827002	2014-04-11	2015-04-10
☒	Agilent Power Meter	E4416A	GB41292095	2014-04-11	2015-04-10
☒	Agilent Power Sensor	8481H	MY41091234	2014-04-15	2015-04-14
☒	R&S Power Sensor	NRP-Z92	100025	2014-04-15	2015-04-14
☒	Attenuator	TS2-3dB	30704	2014-04-11	2015-04-10
☒	Coaxial low pass filter	VLF-2500(+)	NA	2014-04-11	2015-04-10
☒	50 Ω coaxial load	KARN-50+	00850	2014-04-11	2015-04-10
☒	DC POWER SUPPLY	SK1730SL5A	NA	2014-04-14	2015-04-13



Note: All the test equipments are calibrated once a year except the dipole.

1) Referring to KDB865664 D01v01r03 requirements for dipole calibration, instead of the typical annual calibration recommended by measurement standards, longer calibration intervals of up to three years may be considered when it is demonstrated that the SAR target, impedance and return loss of a dipole have remain stable according to the following requirements. Each measured dipole is expected to evaluate with the following criteria at least on annual interval in Appendix C.

- a) There is no physical damage on the dipole;
- b) System check with specific dipole is within 10% of calibrated value;
- c) Return-loss is within 10% of calibrated measurement;
- d) Impedance is within 5Ω from the previous measurement.

2) Network analyzer probe calibration against air, distilled water and a shorting block performed before measuring liquid parameters.

6.2 The SAR Measurement System

A photograph of the SAR measurement System is given in F-1.

This SAR Measurement System uses a Computer-controlled 3-D stepper motor system (SPEAG DASY5 professional system). A Model EX3DV4 3962 E-field probe is used to determine the internal electric fields. The SAR can be obtained from the equation $SAR = \sigma (|E|)^2 / \rho$ where σ and ρ are the conductivity and mass density of the tissue-Simulate.


The DASY5 system for performing compliance tests consists of the following items:

A standard high precision 6-axis robot (Stabile RX family) with controller, teach pendant and software .An arm extension for accommodation the data acquisition electronics (DAE).

A dosimetric probe, i.e., an isotropic E-field probe optimized and calibrated for usage in tissue simulating liquid. The probe is equipped with an optical surface detector system.

A data acquisition electronics (DAE) which performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. The unit is battery powered with standard or rechargeable batteries. The signal is optically transmitted to the EOC.

The Electro-optical converter (EOC) performs the conversion between optical and electrical of the signals for the digital communication to DAE and for the analog signal from the optical surface detection. The EOC is connected to the measurement server.




F-1. SAR System Configuration

- The function of the measurement server is to perform the time critical tasks such as signal filtering, control of the robot operation and fast movement interrupts.
- A probe alignment unit which improves the (absolute) accuracy of the probe positioning.
- A computer operating Windows 7.
- DASY5 software.
- Remote control with teach pendant and additional circuitry for robot safety such as warning lamps, etc.
- The SAM twin phantom enabling testing left-hand, right-hand and Body Worn usage.
- The device holder for handheld mobile phones.
- Tissue simulating liquid mixed according to the given recipes.
- Validation dipole kits allowing to validating the proper functioning of the system.

6.3 Isotropic E-field Probe EX3DV4

	Symmetrical design with triangular core Built-in shielding against static charges PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g., DGBE)
Calibration	ISO/IEC 17025 calibration service available.
Frequency	10 MHz to > 6 GHz Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 6 GHz)
Directivity	± 0.3 dB in TSL (rotation around probe axis) ± 0.5 dB in TSL (rotation normal to probe axis)
Dynamic Range	10 μ W/g to > 100 mW/g Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (noise: typically < 1 μ W/g)
Dimensions	Overall length: 337 mm (Tip: 20 mm) Tip diameter: 2.5 mm (Body: 12 mm) Typical distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 1 mm
Application	High precision dosimetric measurements in any exposure scenario (e.g., very strong gradient fields); the only probe that enables compliance testing for frequencies up to 6 GHz with precision of better 30%.
Compatibility	DASY3, DASY4, DASY52 SAR and higher, EASY4/MRI

6.4 Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE)

Model	DAE3,DAE4	
Construction	Signal amplifier, multiplexer, A/D converter and control logic. Serial optical link for communication with DASY4/5 embedded system (fully remote controlled). Two step probe touch detector for mechanical surface detection and emergency robot stop.	
Measurement Range	-100 to +300 mV (16 bit resolution and two range settings: 4mV,400mV)	
Input Offset Voltage	< 5μV (with auto zero)	
Input Bias Current	< 50 f A	
Dimensions	60 x 60 x 68 mm	

6.5 SAM Twin Phantom

Material	Vinylester, glass fiber reinforced (VE-GF)	
Liquid Compatibility	Compatible with all SPEAG tissue simulating liquids (incl. DGBE type)	
Shell Thickness	2 ± 0.2 mm (6 ± 0.2 mm at ear point)	
Dimensions (incl. Wooden Support)	Length: 1000 mm Width: 500 mm Height: adjustable feet	
Filling Volume	approx. 25 liters	
Wooden Support	SPEAG standard phantom table	

The shell corresponds to the specifications of the Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM) phantom defined in IEEE 1528 and IEC 62209-1. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right hand phone usage as well as body mounted usage at the flat phantom region. A cover prevents evaporation of the liquid. Reference markings on the phantom allow the complete setup of all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids by teaching three points with the robot.

Twin SAM V5.0 has the same shell geometry and is manufactured from the same material as Twin SAM V4.0, but has reinforced top structure.

6.6 ELI Phantom

Material	Vinylester, glass fiber reinforced (VE-GF)	
Liquid Compatibility	Compatible with all SPEAG tissue simulating liquids (incl. DGBE type)	
Shell Thickness	2.0 ± 0.2 mm (bottom plate)	
Dimensions	Major axis: 600 mm Minor axis: 400 mm	
Filling Volume	approx. 30 liters	
Wooden Support	SPEAG standard phantom table	

Phantom for compliance testing of handheld and body-mounted wireless devices in the frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz. ELI is fully compatible with the IEC 62209-2 standard and all known tissue simulating liquids. ELI has been optimized regarding its performance and can be integrated into our standard phantom tables. A cover prevents evaporation of the liquid. Reference markings on the phantom allow installation of the complete setup, including all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids, by teaching three points. The phantom is compatible with all SPEAG dosimetric probes and dipoles.

ELI V5.0 has the same shell geometry and is manufactured from the same material as ELI4, but has reinforced top structure.

6.7 Device Holder for Transmitters



F-2. Device Holder for Transmitters


- The SAR in the phantom is approximately inversely proportional to the square of the distance between the source and the liquid surface. For a source in 5mm distance, a positioning uncertainty of $\pm 0.5\text{mm}$ would produce a SAR uncertainty of $\pm 20\%$. An accurate device positioning is therefore crucial for accurate and repeatable measurements. The positions, in which the devices must be measured, are defined by the standards.
- The DASY device holder is designed to cope with different positions given in the standard. It has two scales for the device rotation (with respect to the body axis) and the device inclination (with respect to the line between the ear reference points). The rotation centres for both scales are the ear reference point (ERP). Thus the device needs no repositioning when changing the angles.
- The DASY device holder has been made out of low-loss POM material having the following dielectric parameters: relative permittivity $\epsilon=3$ and loss tangent $\delta=0.02$. The amount of dielectric material has been reduced in the closest vicinity of the device, since measurements have suggested that the influence of the clamp on the test results could thus be lowered.

7 Description of Test Position

7.1 The Body Test Position

The SAR evaluation shall be performed for all surfaces of the DUT that are accessible during intended use, as indicated in Figure 3. The separation distance in testing shall correspond to the intended use distance as specified in the user instructions provided by the manufacturer. If the intended use is not specified, all surfaces of the DUT shall be tested directly against the flat phantom.

The surface of the generic device (or the surface of the carry accessory holding the DUT) pointing towards the flat phantom shall be parallel to the surface of the phantom.



F-3. Test positions for a generic device

8 SAR System Verification Procedure

8.1 Tissue Simulate Liquid

8.1.1 Recipes for Tissue Simulate Liquid

The following tables give the recipes for tissue simulating liquids to be used in different frequency bands:

Ingredients (% by weight)	Frequency (MHz)									
	450		835		900		1800-2000		2450	
Tissue Type	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body
Water	38.56	51.16	40.30	50.75	40.30	50.75	55.24	70.17	55.00	68.53
Salt (NaCl)	3.95	1.49	1.38	0.94	1.38	0.94	0.31	0.39	0.2	0.1
Sucrose	56.32	46.78	57.90	48.21	57.90	48.21	0	0	0	0
HEC	0.98	0.52	0.24	0	0.24	0	0	0	0	0
Bactericide	0.19	0.05	0.18	0.10	0.18	0.10	0	0	0	0
DGBE	0	0	0	0	0	0	44.45	29.44	44.80	31.37

Salt: 99⁺% Pure Sodium Chloride

Sucrose: 98⁺% Pure Sucrose

Water: De-ionized, 16 MΩ⁺ resistivity

HEC: Hydroxyethyl Cellulose

DGBE: 99⁺% Di(ethylene glycol) butyl ether, [2-(2-butoxyethoxy)ethanol]

Table 2 : Recipe of Tissue Simulate Liquid

8.1.2 Measurement for Tissue Simulate Liquid

The dielectric properties for this Tissue Simulate Liquids were measured by using the Agilent Model 85070D Dielectric Probe (rates frequency band 200 MHz to 20 GHz) in conjunction with Agilent E5071B Network Analyzer (300 KHz-8500 MHz). The Conductivity (σ) and Permittivity (ρ) are listed in Table 1. For the SAR measurement given in this report. The temperature variation of the Tissue Simulate Liquids was $22 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$.

Tissue Type	Measured Frequency (MHz)	Target Tissue Body($\pm 5\%$)		Measured Tissue Body		Liquid Temp. ($^\circ\text{C}$)	Measured Date
		ϵ_r	$\sigma(\text{S/m})$	ϵ_r	$\sigma(\text{S/m})$		
2450	2450	52.70 (50.07~55.34)	1.95 (1.85~2.05)	51.71	1.961	22.1	2014/12/8

Table 3 : Measurement result of Tissue electric parameters


Channel	Measured Frequency (MHz)	Target Tissue Body($\pm 5\%$)		Measured Tissue Body		Liquid Temp. ($^\circ\text{C}$)	Measured Date
		ϵ_r	$\sigma(\text{S/m})$	ϵ_r	$\sigma(\text{S/m})$		
1	2412	52.75 (50.11~55.39)	1.91 (1.81~2.01)	51.86	1.915	22.1	2014/12/8
6	2437	52.72 (50.08~55.35)	1.94 (1.84~2.04)	51.78	1.945		
11	2462	52.68 (50.05~55.31)	1.97 (1.87~2.07)	51.63	1.976		

Table 4 : Measurement result of Tissue electric parameters for Low/Mid/High Channel.



8.2 SAR System Validation

The microwave circuit arrangement for system verification is sketched in F-4. The daily system accuracy verification occurs within the flat section of the SAM phantom. A SAR measurement was performed to see if the measured SAR was within $\pm 10\%$ from the target SAR values. The tests were conducted on the same days as the measurement of the EUT. The obtained results from the system accuracy verification are displayed in the table 5 (A power level of 250mw was input to the dipole antenna). During the tests, the ambient temperature of the laboratory was in the range 22°C , the relative humidity was in the range 60% and the liquid depth above the ear reference points was above 15 cm in all the cases. It is seen that the system is operating within its specification, as the results are within acceptable tolerance of the reference values.



F-1. the microwave circuit arrangement used for SAR system verification

PM1. Power Sensor NRP-Z92

PM2. Agilent Model E4416A Power Meter

**8.2.1 Summary System Validation Result(s)**

Validation Kit		Target SAR (normalized to 1w) ($\pm 10\%$)		Measured SAR (normalized to 1w)		Liquid Temp. ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	Measured date
		1-g(W/kg)	10-g(W/kg)	1-g(W/kg)	10-g(W/kg)		
D2450V2	Body	49.4 (44.46~54.34)	23.0 (20.7~25.3)	48	21.52	22.1	2014/12/8

Table 5 : SAR System Validation Result



8.2.2 Detailed System Validation Results

Please see the Appendix A

9 Test results and Measurement Data

9.1 Operation Configurations

9.1.1 WiFi Test Configuration

For the 802.11b/g/n SAR tests, a communication link is set up with the test mode software for Wi-Fi mode test. The Absolute Radio Frequency Channel Number (ARFCN) is allocated to 1, 6 and 11 respectively in the case of 2450 MHz during the test at the each test frequency channel. The EUT is operated at the RF continuous emission mode. Each channel should be tested at the lowest data rate. 802.11b/g/n operating modes are tested independently according to the service requirements in each frequency band. 802.11b/g modes are tested on channel 1, 6, 11; however if output power reduction is necessary for channels 1 and/or 11 to meet restricted band requirements the highest output channel closest to each of these channels must be tested instead.

SAR is not required for 802.11g/n channels when the maximum average output power is less than 0.25dB higher than that measured on the corresponding 802.11b channels.

Mode	GHz	Channel	Turbo Channel	“Default Test Channels”			
				§15.247		UNII	
				802.11b	802.11g		
802.11 b/g	2.412	1 [#]		√	▽		
	2.437	6	6	√	▽		
	2.462	11 [#]		√	▽		

9.2 Measurement procedure

9.2.1 Scanning procedure

Step 1: Power reference measurement

The SAR measurement was taken at a selected spatial reference point to monitor power variations during testing. This fixed location point was measured and used as a reference value.

Step 2: Area scan

The SAR distribution at the exposed side of the head was measured at a distance of 4mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covered the entire dimension of the head and the horizontal grid spacing was 15mm*15mm or 10mm*10mm. Based on the area scan data, the area of the maximum absorption was determined by spline interpolation.

Step 3: Zoom scan

Around this point, a volume of 30mm*30mm*30mm (fine resolution volume scan, zoom scan) was assessed by measuring 7*7*7 points. On this basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value was evaluated with the following procedure:

The data at the surface was extrapolated, since the centre of the dipoles is 2.0mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 1.2mm. (This can be variable. Refer to the probe specification). The extrapolation was based on a least square algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order was calculated through the points in z-axes. This polynomial was then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip. The maximum interpolated value was searched with a straight-forward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1g or 10g) were computed using the 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The volume was integrated with the trapezoidal algorithm. One

thousand points (10*10*10) were interpolated to calculate the average. All neighbouring volumes were evaluated until no neighboring volume with a higher average value was found.

Step 4: Power reference measurement (drift)

The SAR value at the same location as in step 1 was again measured. (If the value changed by more than 5%, the evaluation should be done repeatedly)

9.2.2 Data Storage

The DASY4 software stores the acquired data from the data acquisition electronics as raw data (in microvolt readings from the probe sensors), together with all necessary software parameters for the data evaluation (probe calibration data, liquid parameters and device frequency and modulation data) in measurement files with the extension ".DAE3". The software evaluates the desired unit and format for output each time the data is visualized or exported. This allows verification of the complete software setup even after the measurement and allows correction of incorrect parameter settings. For example, if a measurement has been performed with a wrong crest factor parameter in the device setup, the parameter can be corrected afterwards and the data can be re-evaluated. The measured data can be visualized or exported in different units or formats, depending on the selected probe type ([V/m], [A/m], [°C], [m W/g], [m W/cm²], [dBrel], etc.). Some of these units are not available in certain situations or show meaningless results, e.g., a SAR output in a lossless media will always be zero. Raw data can also be exported to perform the evaluation with other software packages.

9.2.3 Data Evaluation by SEMCAD

The SEMCAD software automatically executes the following procedures to calculate the field units from the microvolt readings at the probe connector. The parameters used in the evaluation are stored in the configuration modules of the software:

Probe parameters:	- Sensitivity	Normi, ai0, ai1, ai2
	- Conversion factor	ConvFi
	- Diode compression point	Dcpi
Device parameters:	- Frequency	f
	- Crest factor	cf
Media parameters:	- Conductivity	ε
	- Density	ρ

These parameters must be set correctly in the software. They can be found in the component documents or they can be imported into the software from the configuration files issued for the DASY4 components. In the direct measuring mode of the multimeter option, the parameters of the actual system setup are used. In the scan visualization and export modes, the parameters stored in the corresponding document files are used.

The first step of the evaluation is a linearization of the filtered input signal to account for the compression characteristics of the detector diode. The compensation depends on the input signal, the diode type and the DC-transmission factor from the diode to the evaluation electronics.

If the exciting field is pulsed, the crest factor of the signal must be known to correctly compensate for peak power. The formula for each channel can be given as:

$$V_i = U_i + U_i^2 \cdot c f / d c p_i$$

With V_i = compensated signal of channel i (i = x, y, z)

U_i = input signal of channel i ($i = x, y, z$)

cf = crest factor of exciting field (DASY parameter)

dcp_i = diode compression point (DASY parameter)

From the compensated input signals the primary field data for each channel can be evaluated:

E-field probes: $E_i = (V_i / Norm_i \cdot ConvF)^{1/2}$

H-field probes: $H_i = (V_i)^{1/2} \cdot (a_{i0} + a_{i1}f + a_{i2}f^2) / f$

With V_i = compensated signal of channel i ($i = x, y, z$)

$Norm_i$ = sensor sensitivity of channel i ($i = x, y, z$)
[mV/(V/m)²] for E-field Probes

$ConvF$ = sensitivity enhancement in solution

a_{ij} = sensor sensitivity factors for H-field probes

f = carrier frequency [GHz]

E_i = electric field strength of channel i in V/m

H_i = magnetic field strength of channel i in A/m

The RSS value of the field components gives the total field strength (Hermitian magnitude):

$$E_{tot} = (E_x^2 + E_y^2 + E_z^2)^{1/2}$$

The primary field data are used to calculate the derived field units.

$$SAR = (E_{tot}^2 \cdot \sigma) / (\epsilon \cdot 1000)$$

with SAR = local specific absorption rate in mW/g

E_{tot} = total field strength in V/m

σ = conductivity in [mho/m] or [Siemens/m]

ϵ = equivalent tissue density in g/cm³

Note that the density is normally set to 1 (or 1.06), to account for actual brain density rather than the density of the simulation liquid. The power flow density is calculated assuming the excitation field to be a free space field.

$$P_{pwe} = E_{tot}^2 / 3770 \quad \text{or} \quad P_{pwe} = H_{tot}^2 \cdot 37.7$$

with P_{pwe} = equivalent power density of a plane wave in mW/cm²

E_{tot} = total electric field strength in V/m

H_{tot} = total magnetic field strength in A/m

9.3 Measurement of RF conducted Power

9.3.1 Conducted Power Of WIFI

Wi-Fi 2450MHz	Average Power (dBm) for Data Rates (Mbps)								
	Channel	1	2	5.5	11	/	/	/	/
802.11b	1	15.17	13.76	14.83	13.31	/	/	/	/
	6	14.58	13.14	14.39	12.85	/	/	/	/
	11	15.74	14.12	15.23	13.82	/	/	/	/
802.11g	Channel	6	9	12	18	24	36	48	54
	1	14.15	13.08	13.37	13.56	13.74	13.88	14.03	12.84
	6	13.86	12.65	12.82	13.1	13.32	13.51	13.64	12.49
	11	14.57	13.54	13.73	13.97	14.15	14.23	14.42	13.32
802.11n HT20	Channel	6.5	13	19.5	26	39	52	58.5	65
	1	13.03	12.06	12.19	12.33	12.45	12.68	12.84	11.86
	6	12.67	11.54	11.7	11.85	12.02	12.19	12.42	11.39
	11	13.43	12.29	12.5	12.63	12.84	13.05	13.21	12.07
802.11n HT40	Channel	13.5	27	40.5	54	81	108	121.5	135
	3	12.37	11.27	11.34	11.62	11.87	12.02	12.16	11.2
	6	12.08	11.31	11.46	11.51	11.62	11.75	11.93	11.19
	9	12.83	11.82	12.08	12.27	12.43	12.51	12.59	11.66

Table 6: Conducted Power Of WIFI

Note:

- 1) Indicates default channels per KDB Publication 248227 D01v01r02. When the adjacent channels are higher in power than the default channels, these "required channels" are considered for SAR testing instead of the default channels.
- 2) For each frequency band, testing at higher data rates and higher order modulations is not required when the maximum average output power for each of these configurations is less than 0.25dB higher than those measured at the lowest data rate.
- 3) SAR is not required for 802.11g/n channels when the maximum average output power is less than 0.25dB higher than that measured on the corresponding 802.11b channels.

9.4 Measurement of SAR average value

9.4.1 SAR Result Of WIFI

Test position		Test mode	Test Ch./Frequency	SAR (W/kg)		Power drift (dB)	Scaled SAR (1-g)	SAR limit (W/kg)	Liquid Temp. (°C)
				1-g	10-g				
Body	Front side 0mm	802.11b	11/2462	0.857	0.308	0.14	0.910	1.6	22
	Front side 0mm	802.11b	1/2412	0.851	0.308	0	1.030	1.6	22
	Front side 0mm	802.11b	6/2437	0.337	0.118	-0.17	0.467	1.6	22
	Back side 0mm	802.11b	11/2462	0.44	0.191	0.15	0.467	1.6	22
	Left side 0mm	802.11b	11/2462	0.725	0.293	-0.18	0.770	1.6	22
	Left side 0mm	802.11b	1/2412	0.71	0.297	-0.16	0.860	1.6	22
	Left side 0mm	802.11b	6/2437	0.319	0.129	-0.16	0.442	1.6	22
	Right side 0mm	802.11b	11/2462	0.672	0.325	0.14	0.713	1.6	22
	Top side 0mm	802.11b	11/2462	0.061	0.029	-0.01	0.065	1.6	22
	Front side 0mm-repeat	802.11b	11/2462	0.832	0.279	0.12	0.065	1.6	22

Table 7:


SAR of WIFI for Body

Note:

- 1) Test positions of EUT(the distance between the EUT and the phantom is 0mm for all sides)
- 2) The maximum Scaled SAR value is marked in **bold**
- 3) Per FCC KDB Publication 447498 D01v05r02, if the reported (scaled) SAR measured at the middle channel or highest output power channel for each test configuration is ≤ 0.8 W/kg then testing at the other channels is not required for such test configuration(s).
- 4) Per KDB865664 D01v01r02,for each frequency band repeated SAR measurement is required only when the measured SAR is ≥ 0.8 W/Kg; if the deviation among the repeated measurement is $\leq 20\%$,and the measured SAR < 1.45 W/Kg, only one repeated measurement is required.
- 5) Each channel was tested at the lowest data rate.

9.5 Multiple (single) Transmitter Evaluation

9.5.1 DUT Antenna Locations



The location of the antennas inside A4S is shown as above picture, for it we can have some conclusion (s) :

9.5.2 EUT side for SAR Testing

Per KDB 447498 D01v05r01, According to the distance between Wi-Fi antenna and the sides of A4S we can draw the conclusion that:

EUT Sides for SAR Testing						
Mode	Front	Back	Left	Right	Top	Bottom
Wi-Fi (2.4GHz)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No

Table 8: EUT Sides for SAR Testing

Note: Per FCC KDB 616217, the back surface and edges of the tablet should be tested for SAR compliance with the tablet touching the phantom. The SAR Exclusion Threshold in KDB 447498D01 v05r01 can be applied to determine SAR test exclusion for adjacent edge configurations. The closest distance from the antenna to an adjacent tablet edge is used to determine if SAR testing is required for the adjacent edges, with the adjacent edge positioned against the phantom and the edge containing the antenna positioned perpendicular to the phantom.

9.5.3 Stand-alone SAR

Per FCC KDB 447498 D01 v05r02, the SAR exclusion threshold for distances <50mm is defined by the following equation:

$$\frac{\text{Max Power of Channel(mW)}}{\text{Test Separation Dist(mm)}} * \sqrt{\text{Frequency(GHz)}} \leq 3.0$$

Note:

When the minimum *test separation distance* is < 5 mm, a distance of 5 mm is applied to determine SAR test exclusion.

- 1) Based on the maximum conducted power of Wi-Fi and the antenna to use separation distance, Stand-alone SAR evaluation is required for Wi-Fi; $[(39.81/5) * \sqrt{2.462}] = 12.5 > 3.0$.

9.5.4 Simultaneous SAR

Simultaneous Transmission SAR evaluation is not required for A4S, because it has Wi-Fi unlicensed transmitter only.





9.6 Detailed Test Results

Please see the Appendix B

10 Photographs

10.1 EUT Test Setup



Photo 1: SAR measurement System

10.2 Photographs of EUT




Photo 4: Accessory	N/A
	N/A

10.3 Photographs of EUT test position



Photo 5: Front side 0mm	Photo 6: Back side 0mm
	

Photo 7: Left side 0mm	Photo 8: Right side 0mm
	

Photo 9: Top side 0mm	NA
	NA

10.4 Photographs of Tissue Simulate Liquid

Photo 10: Tissue Simulate Liquid for Body 2450 (15cm)	NA
	NA

10.5 EUT Constructional Details

Refer to Report No. SZEM141100662801 for EUT external and internal photos.

11 Calibration certificate

Please see the Appendix C



Appendix A : Detailed System Validation Results

Appendix B: Detailed Test Results

Appendix C: Calibration certificate

---END---



Appendix A

Detailed System Validation Results

System Performance Check 2450MHz Body

Test Laboratory: SGS-SAR Lab

System Performance Check 2450MHz Body

DUT: D2450V2; Type: D2450V2; Serial: 733

Communication System: UID 0, CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: MSL2450; Medium parameters used: $f = 2450$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.961$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 51.709$;

$\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY 5 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3071; ConvF(4.18, 4.18, 4.18); Calibrated: 2014-09-01;
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection), $z = 2.0, 32.0$
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn569; Calibrated: 2014-10-01
- Phantom: SAM 1; Type: SAM V4.0; Serial: TP-1283
- DASY52 52.8.8(1222); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7331)

Body/d=10mm, Pin=250mW/Area Scan (7x11x1): Measurement grid: $dx=15$ mm, $dy=15$ mm
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 9.90 W/kg


Body/d=10mm, Pin=250mW/Zoom Scan (7x7x7) (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid:
 $dx=5$ mm, $dy=5$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 82.08 V/m; Power Drift = 0.07 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 26.7 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 12 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 5.38 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 13.6 W/kg





Appendix B

Detailed Test Results

WIFI for Body

Test Laboratory: SGS-SAR Lab

A4S WIFI 802.11b 11CH Front side 0mm

DUT: A4S; Type: Smart Box/Media Streamer; Serial: N/A

Communication System: UID 0, WI-FI(2.4GHz) (0); Frequency: 2462 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: MSL2450;Medium parameters used: $f = 2462$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.976$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 51.631$;

$\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY 5 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3071; ConvF(4.18, 4.18, 4.18); Calibrated: 2014-09-01;
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection), $z = 2.0, 32.0$
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn569; Calibrated: 2014-10-01
- Phantom: SAM 1; Type: SAM V4.0; Serial: TP-1283
- DASY52 52.8.8(1222); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7331)

A4S/Body/Area Scan (8x12x1): Measurement grid: $dx=12$ mm, $dy=12$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.02 W/kg


A4S/Body/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=5$ mm, $dy=5$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 9.053 V/m; Power Drift = 0.14 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 2.66 W/kg


SAR(1 g) = 0.857 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.308 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.08 W/kg



0 dB = 1.08 W/kg = 0.33 dBW/kg

1g/10g Averaged SAR



Test Laboratory: SGS-SAR Lab

A4S WIFI 802.11b 1CH Front side 0mm

DUT: A4S; Type: Smart Box/Media Streamer; Serial: N/A

Communication System: UID 0, WI-FI(2.4GHz) (0); Frequency: 2412 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: MSL2450;Medium parameters used: $f = 2412$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.915$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 51.859$;

$\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY 5 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3071; ConvF(4.18, 4.18, 4.18); Calibrated: 2014-09-01;
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection), $z = 2.0, 32.0$
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn569; Calibrated: 2014-10-01
- Phantom: SAM 1; Type: SAM V4.0; Serial: TP-1283
- DASY52 52.8.8(1222); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7331)

A4S/Body/Area Scan (8x12x1): Measurement grid: $dx=12$ mm, $dy=12$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.823 W/kg


A4S/Body/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=5$ mm, $dy=5$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 9.131 V/m; Power Drift = -0.00 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 2.48 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.851 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.308 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.09 W/kg



0 dB = 0.823 W/kg = -0.84 dBW/kg

Test Laboratory: SGS-SAR Lab

A4S WIFI 802.11b 6CH Front side 0mm

DUT: A4S; Type: Smart Box/Media Streamer; Serial: N/A

Communication System: UID 0, WI-FI(2.4GHz) (0); Frequency: 2437 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: MSL2450;Medium parameters used: $f = 2437$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.945$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 51.78$;

$\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY 5 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3071; ConvF(4.18, 4.18, 4.18); Calibrated: 2014-09-01;
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection), $z = 2.0, 32.0$
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn569; Calibrated: 2014-10-01
- Phantom: SAM 1; Type: SAM V4.0; Serial: TP-1283
- DASY52 52.8.8(1222); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7331)

A4S/Body/Area Scan (8x12x1): Measurement grid: $dx=12$ mm, $dy=12$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.371 W/kg


A4S/Body/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=5$ mm, $dy=5$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 5.518 V/m; Power Drift = -0.17 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.02 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.337 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.118 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.408 W/kg



0 dB = 0.371 W/kg = -4.31 dBW/kg

Test Laboratory: SGS-SAR Lab

A4S WIFI 802.11b 11CH Back side 0mm

DUT: A4S; Type: Smart Box/Media Streamer; Serial: N/A

Communication System: UID 0, WI-FI(2.4GHz) (0); Frequency: 2462 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: MSL2450;Medium parameters used: $f = 2462$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.976$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 51.631$;

$\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY 5 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3071; ConvF(4.18, 4.18, 4.18); Calibrated: 2014-09-01;
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection), $z = 2.0, 32.0$
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn569; Calibrated: 2014-10-01
- Phantom: SAM 1; Type: SAM V4.0; Serial: TP-1283
- DASY52 52.8.8(1222); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7331)

A4S/Body/Area Scan (8x12x1): Measurement grid: $dx=12$ mm, $dy=12$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.457 W/kg


A4S/Body/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=5$ mm, $dy=5$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 5.737 V/m; Power Drift = 0.15 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.10 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.440 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.191 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.491 W/kg



0 dB = 0.457 W/kg = -3.40 dBW/kg

Test Laboratory: SGS-SAR Lab

A4S WIFI 802.11b 11CH Left side 0mm

DUT: A4S; Type: Smart Box/Media Streamer; Serial: N/A

Communication System: UID 0, WI-FI(2.4GHz) (0); Frequency: 2462 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: MSL2450;Medium parameters used: $f = 2462$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.976$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 51.631$;

$\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY 5 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3071; ConvF(4.18, 4.18, 4.18); Calibrated: 2014-09-01;
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection), $z = 2.0, 32.0$
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn569; Calibrated: 2014-10-01
- Phantom: SAM 1; Type: SAM V4.0; Serial: TP-1283
- DASY52 52.8.8(1222); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7331)

A4S/Body/Area Scan (8x12x1): Measurement grid: $dx=12$ mm, $dy=12$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.831 W/kg


A4S/Body/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=5$ mm, $dy=5$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 13.91 V/m; Power Drift = -0.18 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.91 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.725 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.293 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.847 W/kg



0 dB = 0.831 W/kg = -0.80 dBW/kg

Test Laboratory: SGS-SAR Lab

A4S WIFI 802.11b 1CH Left side 0mm

DUT: A4S; Type: Smart Box/Media Streamer; Serial: N/A

Communication System: UID 0, WI-FI(2.4GHz) (0); Frequency: 2412 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: MSL2450;Medium parameters used: $f = 2412$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.915$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 51.859$;

$\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY 5 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3071; ConvF(4.18, 4.18, 4.18); Calibrated: 2014-09-01;
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection), $z = 2.0, 32.0$
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn569; Calibrated: 2014-10-01
- Phantom: SAM 1; Type: SAM V4.0; Serial: TP-1283
- DASY52 52.8.8(1222); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7331)

A4S/Body/Area Scan (8x12x1): Measurement grid: $dx=12$ mm, $dy=12$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.673 W/kg


A4S/Body/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=5$ mm, $dy=5$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 16.42 V/m; Power Drift = -0.16 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.79 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.710 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.297 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.807 W/kg



0 dB = 0.673 W/kg = -1.72 dBW/kg

Test Laboratory: SGS-SAR Lab

A4S WIFI 802.11b 6CH Left side 0mm

DUT: A4S; Type: Smart Box/Media Streamer; Serial: N/A

Communication System: UID 0, WI-FI(2.4GHz) (0); Frequency: 2437 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: MSL2450;Medium parameters used: $f = 2437$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.945$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 51.78$;

$\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY 5 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3071; ConvF(4.18, 4.18, 4.18); Calibrated: 2014-09-01;
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection), $z = 2.0, 32.0$
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn569; Calibrated: 2014-10-01
- Phantom: SAM 1; Type: SAM V4.0; Serial: TP-1283
- DASY52 52.8.8(1222); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7331)

A4S/Body/Area Scan (8x12x1): Measurement grid: $dx=12$ mm, $dy=12$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.371 W/kg


A4S/Body/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=5$ mm, $dy=5$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 10.94 V/m; Power Drift = -0.16 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.816 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.319 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.129 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.363 W/kg



0 dB = 0.371 W/kg = -4.31 dBW/kg

Test Laboratory: SGS-SAR Lab

A4S WIFI 802.11b 11CH Right side 0mm

DUT: A4S; Type: Smart Box/Media Streamer; Serial: N/A

Communication System: UID 0, WI-FI(2.4GHz) (0); Frequency: 2462 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: MSL2450;Medium parameters used: $f = 2462$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.976$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 51.631$;

$\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY 5 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3071; ConvF(4.18, 4.18, 4.18); Calibrated: 2014-09-01;
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection), $z = 2.0, 32.0$
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn569; Calibrated: 2014-10-01
- Phantom: SAM 1; Type: SAM V4.0; Serial: TP-1283
- DASY52 52.8.8(1222); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7331)

A4S/Body/Area Scan (8x12x1): Measurement grid: $dx=12$ mm, $dy=12$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.685 W/kg


A4S/Body/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=5$ mm, $dy=5$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 11.63 V/m; Power Drift = 0.14 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.44 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.672 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.325 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.748 W/kg



0 dB = 0.685 W/kg = -1.64 dBW/kg

Test Laboratory: SGS-SAR Lab

A4S WIFI 802.11b 11CH Top side 0mm

DUT: A4S; Type: Smart Box/Media Streamer; Serial: N/A

Communication System: UID 0, WI-FI(2.4GHz) (0); Frequency: 2462 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: MSL2450; Medium parameters used: $f = 2462$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.976$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 51.631$;

$\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY 5 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3071; ConvF(4.18, 4.18, 4.18); Calibrated: 2014-09-01;
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection), $z = 2.0, 32.0$
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn569; Calibrated: 2014-10-01
- Phantom: SAM 1; Type: SAM V4.0; Serial: TP-1283
- DASY52 52.8.8(1222); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7331)

Configuration/Body (WI-FI(2.4GHz))/Area Scan (7x9x1): Measurement grid: $dx=12$ mm, $dy=12$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.0633 W/kg


Configuration/Body (WI-FI(2.4GHz))/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=5$ mm, $dy=5$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 5.197 V/m; Power Drift = -0.01 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.130 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.061 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.029 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.0679 W/kg



0 dB = 0.0633 W/kg = -11.99 dBW/kg

Test Laboratory: SGS-SAR Lab

A4S WIFI 802.11b 11CH Front side 0mm

DUT: A4S; Type: Smart Box/Media Streamer; Serial: N/A

Communication System: UID 0, WI-FI(2.4GHz) (0); Frequency: 2462 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: MSL2450;Medium parameters used: $f = 2462$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.976$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 51.631$;

$\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY 5 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3071; ConvF(4.18, 4.18, 4.18); Calibrated: 2014-09-01;
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection), $z = 2.0, 32.0$
- Electronics: DAE3 Sn569; Calibrated: 2014-10-01
- Phantom: SAM 1; Type: SAM V4.0; Serial: TP-1283
- DASY52 52.8.8(1222); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7331)

A4S/Body/Area Scan (8x12x1): Measurement grid: $dx=12$ mm, $dy=12$ mm

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.02 W/kg


A4S/Body/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=5$ mm, $dy=5$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 9.623 V/m; Power Drift = 0.12 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 2.66 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.832 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.279W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.01 W/kg



0 dB = 1.08 W/kg = 0.33 dBW/kg