


**SK TECH CO., LTD.**

Page 1 of 14

Certificate of Compliance (Class II)

Test Report No.:	SKTFCE-060714-057		
NVLAP CODE :	200220-0		
Applicant:	Woo Young Telecom Co., Ltd.		
Applicant Address:	222-6, Suwolam-ri, Seotan-myeon, Pyeongtaek-si, Gyeonggi-do, 451-852, Rep. of Korea.		
Manufacturer :	Woo Young Telecom Co., Ltd.		
Manufacturer Address:	222-6, Suwolam-ri, Seotan-myeon, Pyeongtaek-si, Gyeonggi-do, 451-852, Rep. of Korea.		
Product:	LCD MONITOR		
FCC ID:	PXWHL900D	Model No.:	HL900D, HL900S
Receipt No.:	SKTEU06-0377	Date of receipt:	Jun. 28, 2006
Date of Issue:	Jul. 14, 2006		
Testing location:	SK TECH CO., LTD. 820-2, Wolmoon-Ri, Wabu-Up, Namyangju-Si, Kyunggi-Do, Korea		
Test Standards:	ANSI C63.4 / 2003		
Rule Parts:	FCC part 15 Subpart B, CISPR 22		
Equipment Class :	Class B Digital Device Peripheral		
Other Aspects :	This Class B Digital apparatus complies with Canadian IECS-003		
Test Result:	The above mentioned product has been tested and passed.		
Prepared by: S.Y.Ye  Signature Date Tested by: H.P.Kim/Engineer  Signature Date Approved by: D.H.Kang /Manager & Chief Engineer  Signature Date			
Other Aspects :			
Abbreviations :	· OK, Pass = passed · Fail = failed · N/A = not applicable		
☞ •This test report is not permitted to copy partly without our permission. •This test result is dependent on only equipment to be used. •This test result is based on a single evaluation of one sample of the above mentioned. •This test report must not be used by the client to claim product endorsement by NVLAP or any agency of the U.S Government. • We certify that this test report has been based on the measurement standards that is traceable to the national or International standards.			
 NVLAP Lab. Code: 200220-0			



» » Contents « «

Contents	2
List of Tables	2
List of Figures	2
1. General	3
2. Test Site	3
2.1 Location	3
2.2 List of Test and Measurement Instruments	4
2.3 Test Date	4
2.4 Test Environment	4
3. Description of the tested samples	5
3.1 Rating and Physical Characteristics	5
3.2 Submitted Documents	5
4. Measurement Conditions	6
4.1 Modes of Operation	6
4.2 List of Peripherals	6
4.3 Type of Used cables	7
4.4 Test Setup	7
4.5 Uncertainty	8
5. EMISSION Test	9
5.1 Conducted Emissions	9
5.2 Radiated Emissions	13

» List of Tables

Table 1	List of test and measurement Equipment	4
Table 2	Test Data, Conducted Disturbance	10

» List of Figures

Figure 1	Spectral Diagram, LINE-PE	11
Figure 2	Spectral Diagram, NEUTRAL-PE	12



1. General

This equipment has been shown to be capable of compliance with the applicable technical standards and was tested in accordance with the measurement procedures as indicated in this report.

We attest to the accuracy of data. All measurements reported herein were performed by SK TECH Co., Ltd. and were made under Chief Engineer's supervision.

We assume full responsibility for the completeness of these measurements and vouch for the qualifications of all persons taking them.

2. Test Site

SK TECH Co., Ltd.

2.1 Location

820-2, Wolmoon Ri, Wabu-Up, Namyangju-Si, Kyunggi-Do, Korea

The test site is in compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 for general requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories.

This laboratory is recognized as a Conformity Assessment Body(CAB) for CAB's,

Designation Number: **KR0007** by FCC, is accredited by NVLAP for NVLAP Lab. Code : **200220-0** and DATech for DAR-Registration No.:**DAT-P-076/97-01.**



2.2 List of Test and Measurement Instruments

Table 1 : List of Test and Measurement Equipment

- **Conducted Disturbance**

Kind of Equipment	Type	S/N	Calibrated until
EMI Receiver	ESHS10	835871/002	09.2006
Artificial Mains Network	ESH2-Z5	834549/011	08.2006

- **Radiated Disturbance**

Kind of Equipment	Type	S/N	Calibrated until
EMI Receiver	ESIB40	100277	02.2007
Amplifier	8447F	3113A05153	08.2006
Log Periodic Antenna	UHALP9107	1819	11.2006
Biconical Antenna	BBA9106	91031626	11.2006
Antenna Turntable Driver	5907	91X518	N/A
Antenna Turntable controller	5906	91X519	N/A

2.3 Test Date

Date of Application : Jun. 28, 2006

Date of Test : Jul. 03, 2006 ~ Jul. 12, 2006

2.4 Test Environment

See each test item's description.



3. Description of the tested samples

The EUT is a LCD MONITOR.

The basic model no is HL900D, and multi listing model no is HL900S.

The difference of models is Input Port.

-HL900D:15pin D-Sub, 24 pin DVI-D

-HL900S:15pin D-Sub

3.1 Rating and Physical Characteristics

Item	Description
LCD	19inch (48.3cm)
Frequency	Analog : Horizontal 31.5~80kHz / Vertical 56~75Hz Digital : Horizontal 31~64KHz / Vertical 59~61Hz
Maximum Resolution	Analog : 1280x1024@75Hz Digital : 1280x1024@60Hz(SXGA)
Response Time	8ms
Input Signal	RGB Analog, DVI Standard 1.0
Input Port	15pin D-Sub, 24pin DVI-D
Benefit Function	OSD(On Screen Display)/Plug & Play
Power	AC 100~240V, 50/60Hz(LCD included Adaptor inside)
Consumption Power	Max 40W
Size	426mm x 193mm x 406mm
Weight	5.0kg

3.2 Submitted Documents

N/A



4. Measurement Conditions

Operating voltage of the EUT is AC120V, 60Hz.

4.1 Modes of Operation

The EUT was in the following operating mode during all testing.

The EUT is connected to PC by VGA interface cable.

The EUT is tested in the mode of "H" on the screen.

4.2 List of Peripherals

Equipment	Manufacturer	Model Name	Serial No.
Keyboard(PS2)	YET FOUNDATE LTD.	SK-1688	C0509036394
Mouse(USB)	SUZHOU LOGITECH ELECTRONICS CO. LTD.	M-BJ58	HCA54405959
PC	Samsung Electronics	ZMP35	X71498DX900234E




4.3 Type of Used Cables

#	START		END		Cable	
	Name	I/O Port	Name	Name	Length	Shielded
1	EUT	DVI	PC		1.8	Shielded
2		VGA	PC		1.8	Shielded
3		Power	AC Line		1.5	unshielded
4	PC	PS/2	Keyboard		1.2	unshielded
5		USB	Mouse		1.5	unshielded
6		Power	AC Line		1.5	unshielded

4.4 Test Setup

The test setup photographs showed the external supply connections and interfaces.



[System Block Diagram of Test Configuration]



4.5 Uncertainty

1) Radiated disturbances from 30 MHz to 1000 MHz at a distance of 3m and 10 m

Input quantity	X_i	Probability distribution function
Receiver reading	V_r	Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$
Attenuation: antenna-receiver	L_c	$k=1$
Amplifier Error	A_e	$k=2$
antenna factor	L_{ac}	$k=2$
Receiver corrections: Sine wave voltage Pulse amplitude response Pulse repetition rate response Mismatch: antenna-receiver	dV_{sw} dV_{pa} dV_{pr} dM	Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ $k=1$
Antenna corrections: AF frequency interpolation AF height deviations Directivity difference Phase centre location Cross-polarisation Balance	dA_{ff} dA_{fh} dA_{dir} dA_{ph} dA_{cp} dA_{bal}	Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 3 m: Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$, 10 m: Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 3 m: Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$, 10 m: Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$
Site corrections: Site imperfections Separation distance Table height	dS_A dd dh	Rectangular $\sqrt{6}$ 3 m: Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$, 10 m: Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ 3 m: $k=2$, 10 m: $k=2$
Expanded Uncertainty		4.60(Vertical)/4.59(Horizontal) $k=2$ (Level of confidence)

Expanded Uncertainty

$$U = k * U_c(x_i) = 2 * 2.3 = 4.60\text{dB}$$

The coverage factor $k=2$ yields approximately a 95% level of confidence.

2) Conducted disturbance from 150 KHz to 30 MHz using a 50 Ω /50 μH AMN

Input quantity	X_i	Probability distribution function
Receiver reading	V_r	Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$
Attenuation: AMN-receiver	L_c	$k=1$
AMN voltage division factor	L_{amn}	$k=2$
Receiver corrections: Sine wave voltage Pulse amplitude response Pulse repetition rate response	dV_{sw} dV_{pa} dV_{pr}	Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$ Rectangular $\sqrt{3}$
Mismatch: AMN-receiver	dM	U-shape $\sqrt{2}$
AMN impedance	dZ	Triangular $\sqrt{6}$
Expanded Uncertainty		3.99 $k=2$ (Level of confidence)

Expanded uncertainty

$$U = k * U_c(x_i) = 2 * 1.96 = 3.92\text{dB}$$

The coverage factor $k=2$ yields approximately a 95% level of confidence.



5. EMISSION Test

5.1 Conducted Emissions

Result:**PASS**

The line-conducted facility is located inside a 2.6M x 3.6M x 7.0M shielded enclosure.

The shielding effectiveness of the shielded room is in accordance with MIL-Std-285 or NSA 604-05.

A 1 m x 1.5 m wooden table 80 cm high is placed 40 cm. away from the vertical wall and 1.5 m away from the side wall of the shielded room. ROHDE & SCHWARZ Model ESH3-Z5 (10 kHz-30 MHz) 50 ohm/50 uH Line-Impedance Stabilization Networks(LISNs) are bonded to the shielded room.

The EUT is powered from the ROHDE & SCHWARZ LISN and the support equipment is powered from the ROHDE & SCHWARZ LISN. Power to the LISNs are filtered by a high-current high-insertion loss Lindgren enclosures power line filters (100dB 14 kHz-10 GHz).

The purpose of the filter is to attenuate ambient signal interference and this filter is also bonded to the shielded enclosure.

All electrical cables are shielded by braided tinned copper zipper tubing with inner diameter of 1/2".

If the EUT is a DC-powered device, power will be derived from the source power supply it normally will be powered from and this supply lines will be connected to the ROHDE & SCHWARZ LISN.

All interconnecting cables more than 1 meter were shortened by non-inductive bundling (serpentine fashion) to a 1-meter length.

Sufficient time for the EUT, support equipment, and test equipment was allowed in order for them to warm up to their normal operating condition. The RF output of the LISN was connected to the spectrum analyzer to determine the frequency producing the maximum EME from the EUT.

The spectrum was scanned from 150 kHz to 30 MHz with 100msec. sweep time.

The frequency producing the maximum level was reexamined using EMI/field Intensity Meter (ESHS 10) and Quasi-Peak adapter. The detector function was set to CISPR quasi-peak mode.

The bandwidth of the receiver was set to 10 kHz. The EUT, support equipment, and interconnecting cables were arranged and manipulated to maximize each EME emission.

Each emission was maximized by: switching power lines; varying the mode of operation or resolution; clock or data exchange speed; if applicable; whichever determined the worst-case emission.

Photographs of the worst-case emission can be seen in photograph of conducted test.

Each EME reported was calibrated using self-calibrating mode.

**Table 2: Test Data, Conducted Disturbance(HL720D:AUX Panel)****<Quasi-Peak>**

Frequency (MHz)	Reading (dBuV)	Line	C/F (dB)	C/L (dB)	Actual (dBuV)	Limit (dBuV)	Margin (dB)
19.350	51.50	L	0.94	0.35	52.79	60.00	7.21
19.540	51.49	L	0.94	0.35	52.78	60.00	7.22
19.600	51.49	N	0.71	0.35	52.55	60.00	7.45
19.605	51.49	L	0.94	0.35	52.78	60.00	7.22
19.860	51.51	N	0.71	0.35	52.57	60.00	7.43
20.055	51.49	N	0.74	0.37	52.60	60.00	7.40

<Average>

Frequency (MHz)	Reading (dBuV)	Line	C/F (dB)	C/L (dB)	Actual (dBuV)	Limit (dBuV)	Margin (dB)
19.215	48.58	N	0.71	0.35	49.64	50.00	0.36
19.280	48.58	N	0.71	0.35	49.64	50.00	0.36
19.730	48.53	N	0.71	0.35	49.59	50.00	0.41
19.795	48.41	N	0.71	0.35	49.47	50.00	0.53
19.860	48.41	N	0.71	0.35	49.47	50.00	0.53
19.925	48.18	N	0.71	0.35	49.24	50.00	0.76

► NOTE

* C/F = Correction Factor

* C/L = Cable Loss

* LINE : L = Line-PE, N = Neutral-PE

* Margin Calculation

Margin(Q.P) = Limit - Actual

[Actual(Q.P)= Reading(Q.P) + C/F + C/L]

**SK TECH CO., LTD.**

Page 11 of 14

Figure 1: Spectral Diagram, LINE – PE

SK TECH Co., Ltd.

12 Jul 2006 18:21

CONDUCTED DISTURBANCE

EUT: HL900D
Manuf:
Op Cond: AC 120 V / 60 Hz
Operator:
Test Spec: FCC Part15 Subpart B
Comment: LINE-PE

Result File: 900D_I.dat : HL900D

Scan Settings (1 Range)

Frequencies			Receiver Settings					
Start	Stop	Step	IF BW	Detector	M-Time	Atten	Preamp	OpRge
150kHz	30MHz	5kHz	10kHz	PK+AV	20msec	Auto	OFF	60dB

Final Measurement: Detectors: X QP / + AV
Meas Time: 1sec
Peaks: 8
Acc Margin: 35 dB






Figure 2: Spectral Diagram, NEUTRAL – PE

SK TECH Co., Ltd.

12 Jul 2006 18:46

CONDUCTED DISTURBANCE

EUT:HL900D

Manuf:

Op Cond:AC 120 V / 60 Hz

Operator:

Test Spec:FCC Part15 Subpart B

Comment:NEUTRAL-PE

Result File:900d_n.dat : HL900D

Scan Settings

(1 Range)

Start	Stop	Step	IF BW	Detector	M-Time	Atten	Preamp	OpRge
150kHz	30MHz	5kHz	10kHz	PK+AV	20msec	Auto	OFF	60dB

Receiver Settings


Final Measurement:

Detectors:X QP / + AV

Meas Time:1sec

Peaks:8

Acc Margin:35 dB





5.2 Radiated Emissions

Result :**PASS**

Preliminary measurements were made indoors at 3 meter using broadband antennas, broadband amplifier, and spectrum analyzer to determine the frequency producing the maximum EME.

Appropriate precaution was taken to ensure that all EME from the EUT were maximized and investigated. The system configuration, clock speed, mode of operation or video resolution, turntable azimuth with respect to the antenna were noted for each frequency found.

The spectrum was scanned from 30 to 300 MHz using biconical antenna and from 300 to 1000 MHz using log-periodic antenna. Above 1 GHz, linearly polarized double ridge horn antennas were used.

Final measurements were made outdoors at 3-meter test range using SCHWARZBECK dipole antennas.

The test equipment was placed on a wooden table situated on a 4x4 meter area adjacent to the measurement area. Turntable was to protect from weather in the dome that made with FRP.

Sufficient time for the EUT, support equipment, and test equipment was allowed in order for them to warm up to their normal operating condition. Each frequency found during pre-scan measurements was re-examined and investigated using EMI/Field Intensity Meter(ESVS 10) and Quasi-Peak Adapter.

The detector function was set to CISPR quasi-peak mode and the bandwidth of the receiver was set to 100 kHz or 1 MHz depending on the frequency or type of signal.

The half-wave dipole antenna was tuned to the frequency found during preliminary radiated measurements. The EUT, support equipment and interconnecting cables were re-configured to the set-up producing the maximum emission for the frequency and were placed on top of a 0.8-meter high non-metallic 1 x 1.5 meter table.

The EUT, support equipment, and interconnecting cables were re-arranged and manipulated to maximize each EME emission. The turntable containing the system was rotated; the antenna height was varied 1 to 4 meters and stopped at the azimuth or height producing the maximum emission.

Each emission was maximized by: varying the mode of operation or resolution; clock or data exchange speed, and/or support equipment, if applicable; and changing the polarity of the antenna, whichever determined the worst-case emission.

Photographs of the worst-case emission can be seen in photograph of radiated emission test.

Each EME reported was calibrated using self-calibrating mode.

**Table 6 : Test Data, Radiated Emissions**

Frequency [MHz]	Pol.	Height [m]	Real Reading	Correction Factor		T-Fact [dB]	Data [dBuV/m]	Limits [dBuV/m]	Margin [dB]
				Antenna	Cable				
38.46	H	4.0	20.0	15.1	0.4	15.5	35.5	40.0	4.5
202.15	V	1.2	19.3	16.2	1.3	17.5	36.8	43.5	6.7
274.33	V	1.0	15.8	18.1	1.5	19.6	35.4	46.0	10.6
430.29	H	1.0	12.2	17.5	1.8	19.3	31.5	46.0	14.5
863.25	V	1.0	10.5	23.8	2.6	26.4	36.9	46.0	9.1
953.84	V	1.0	8.7	25.0	2.8	27.8	36.5	46.0	9.5

Table. Radiated Measurements at 3-meters

NOTES:

1. All modes of operation were investigated and the worst-case emission are reported.
2. All other emission are non-significant.
3. All readings are calibrated by self-mode in receiver.
4. Measurements using CISPR Quasi-Peak mode.
5. H = Horizontal, V = Vertical Polarization
6. Data = Real Reading + T - Fact(Antenna+Cable)
7. Margin = Limits – Data