

From: Damian Wallace [dwallace@tranzeo.com]
Sent: Thursday, May 20, 2004 4:19 PM
To: frank@timcoengr.com
Cc: bruno@timcoengr.com
Subject: FW: FW: RF Marketing and Model Marking

-----Original Message-----

From: Bette Taube [mailto:BTAUBE@fcc.gov]
Sent: August 15, 2003 2:00 PM
To: dwallace@tranzeo.com
Subject: Re: FW: RF Marketing and Model Marking

Hello

Your inquiry was forwarded to me for response.

If the original device was tested at both powers, no additional filing is required.

If the original device was tested at the higher power, a Class II Permissive Change will have to be submitted with test results at the lower power. This will not change the maximum output indicated in the original grant. A grant note can be added to the Permissive Change grant to indicate that the device operates at the lower power.

I hope that this is helpful information.

Bette Taube

>>> OETInfo 08/14/03 07:33AM >>>

-----Original Message-----

From: Damian Wallace [mailto:dwallace@tranzeo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, August 13, 2003 4:12 PM
To: Damian Wallace; OETInfo
Subject: RE: RF Marketing and Model Marking

I am still awaiting a response to this query.

-----Original Message-----

From: Damian Wallace [mailto:dwallace@tranzeo.com]
Sent: Thursday, July 31, 2003 3:42 PM

To: oetinfo@fcc.gov
Subject: RF Marketing and Model Marking

We have currently have a model that has an FCC ID. It has an output power of 23 dB. For marketing purposes, we want to offer the same item with the transmit power limited to 20 dB through settings in firmware set at the factory. The maximum output of the device remains the same theoretically, but since the end user has no access to the device settings once shipped it has a lower output. All elements of the device remain the same.

Question is twofold:

- 1) Can the device be processed under a Class II change or will it require a new ID?
- 2) If the device requires a full test, at which max level do we test it, the theoretical value or the as shipped value?