

TEST REPORT

Test Report No.: 1-9362-25-03-02_TR2-R01



Deutsche
Akkreditierungsstelle
D-PL-12047-01-00

Testing Laboratory

cetecom advanced GmbH

Untertuerkheimer Strasse 6 – 10

66117 Saarbruecken / Germany

Phone: + 49 681 5 98 - 0

Fax: + 49 681 5 98 - 9075

Internet: <https://cetecomadvanced.com>

e-mail: mail@cetecomadvanced.com

Accredited Testing Laboratory:

The testing laboratory (area of testing) is accredited according to DIN EN ISO/IEC 17025 (2018-03) by the Deutsche Akkreditierungsstelle GmbH (DAkkS).

The accreditation is valid for the scope of testing procedures as stated in the accreditation certificate with the registration number:

D-PL-12047-01-00.

ISED Testing Laboratory Recognized Listing Number: DE0001

FCC designation number: DE0002

Applicant

BURY Sp. z o.o.

ul. Wojska Polskiego 4

39-300 Mielec/POLAND

Manufacturer

BURY Sp. z o.o.

ul. Wojska Polskiego 4

39-300 Mielec/POLAND

Test Standard/s

KDB 447498D01v06

Mobile and Portable Devices RF Exposure Procedures and Equipment Authorization Policies

KDB 680106 D01v04

Equipment Authorization of Wireless Power Transfer devices

IEC PAS 63184

Assessment methods of the human exposure to electric and magnetic fields from wireless power transfer systems – Models, instrumentation, measurement and numerical methods and procedures (frequency range of 1 kHz to 30 MHz)

CFR 47 – Part 1, §1.1310

RF exposure limits (100 kHz to 6 GHz) for SAR (BR)

For further applied test standards please refer to section 3 of this test report.

Test Item

Kind of test item:

Wireless charger with NFC card protection and digital key functionality

Device type:

Vehicle mounted device

Model name:

CC-26

FCC ID:

QZ9-CC-26

Serial number:

sample1:

8T5B6E4830125009300044919163010

sample3:

8T5B6E4830125009300044719163010

sample5:

8T5B6E4830125009300051619163010

Hardware status:

003.000.000

Software status:

003.000.000

Frequency:

128 kHz / 360 kHz

Antenna:

Integrated charging coil

Power option:

14V DC

Test sample status:

identical prototype

Exposure category:

general population / uncontrolled environment

This test report is electronically signed and valid without handwritten signature. For verification of the electronic signatures, the public keys can be requested at the testing laboratory.

Test Report authorised:



cn=Alexander Hnatovskiy, o=cetecom advanced GmbH, ou=HNA-230601, email=alexander.hnatovskiy@cetecomadvanced.com, c=DE
2025.10.07 14:53:01 +02'00'

Alexander Hnatovskiy
Lab Manager
Radio Lab

Test performed:



cn=Marco Scigliano, o=cetecom advanced GmbH, ou=SCI-230601, email=Marco.Scigliano@cetecomadvanced.com, c=DE
2025.10.07 12:37:42 +02'00'

Marco Scigliano
Testing Manager
Radio Lab

1 Table of contents

1	Table of contents.....	2
2	General information	3
2.1	Notes and disclaimer	3
2.2	Application details	3
2.3	Statement of compliance	3
2.4	Technical details	4
3	Test standard/s:.....	5
3.1	RF exposure limits according CFR 47 – Part 1, §1.1310	6
4	Reporting statements of conformity – decision rule.....	7
5	Summary of Measurement Results	7
6	Test Environment	7
7	Test Set-up.....	8
7.1	Measurement system.....	8
7.1.1	Measurement with MAGPy-H3D probe / DASY 8 WPT-System.....	8
7.1.1.1	Workflow for Tier-4 Compliance Testing of IEC PAS 63184:2021	9
7.1.1.2	Compatibility with IEC PAS 63184:2021	9
7.1.1.3	MAGPy-Probes	10
7.1.1.4	MAGPy-DAS: Data Acquisition System	11
7.1.2	Uncertainties.....	12
7.1.2.1	Typical uncertainty of Module WPT	12
7.1.3	Definition of test position and distances	18
7.1.3.1	System Check and Validation	19
7.2	Test results	20
7.2.1	Test scenarios	20
7.2.2	System Checks 400kHz source:	21
7.2.3	cDASY8 Module WPT Measurement Report (Scenario 1):	23
7.2.4	Incident fields and induced quantities in the anatomical model (Scenario 1)	24
7.2.5	cDASY8 Module WPT Result Overview (Scenario 2):	25
7.2.6	cDASY8 Module WPT Measurement Report (Scenario 3a):	26
7.2.7	Incident fields and induced quantities in the anatomical model (Scenario 3a)	27
7.2.8	cDASY8 Module WPT Measurement Report (Scenario 3b):	28
7.2.9	Incident fields and induced quantities in the anatomical model (Scenario 3b)	29
7.2.10	cDASY8 Module WPT Measurement Report (Scenario 4a):	30
7.2.11	Incident fields and induced quantities in the anatomical model (Scenario 4a)	31
7.2.12	cDASY8 Module WPT Measurement Report (Scenario 4b):	32
7.2.13	Incident fields and induced quantities in the anatomical model (Scenario 4b)	33
8	Test equipment and ancillaries used for tests	34
9	Observations	34
Annex A:	Photo documentation	34
Annex B:	Detailed test results from system WPT	34
Annex C:	Calibration parameters.....	34
Annex D:	Document History	35
Annex E:	Further Information	35

2 General information

2.1 Notes and disclaimer

The test results of this test report relate exclusively to the test item specified in this test report. cetecom advanced GmbH does not assume responsibility for any conclusions and generalisations drawn from the test results with regard to other specimens or samples of the type of the equipment represented by the test item. The test report may only be reproduced or published in full. Reproduction or publication of extracts from the report requires the prior written approval of cetecom advanced GmbH.

This test report is electronically signed and valid without handwriting signature. For verification of the electronic signatures, the public keys can be requested at the testing laboratory.

The testing service provided by cetecom advanced GmbH has been rendered under the current "General Terms and Conditions for cetecom advanced GmbH".

cetecom advanced GmbH will not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from false, inaccurate, inappropriate or incomplete product information provided by the customer.

Under no circumstances does the cetecom advanced GmbH test report include any endorsement or warranty regarding the functionality, quality or performance of any other product or service provided.

Under no circumstances does the cetecom advanced GmbH test report include or imply any product or service warranties from cetecom advanced GmbH, including, without limitation, any implied warranties of merchantability, fitness for purpose, or non-infringement, all of which are expressly disclaimed by cetecom advanced GmbH.

All rights and remedies regarding vendor's products and services for which cetecom advanced GmbH has prepared this test report shall be provided by the party offering such products or services and not by cetecom advanced GmbH.

In no case this test report can be considered as a Letter of Approval.

2.2 Application details

Date of receipt of order:	2025-05-08
Date of receipt of test item:	2025-05-12
Start of test:	2025-05-06
End of test:	2025-06-18

2.3 Statement of compliance

The EMF values found for the CC-26 Wireless charger with NFC card protection and digital key functionality are below the maximum allowed levels according to the standards listed in section 3.

2.4 Technical details

The EUT is placed in the center console area of a car and its surface is placed touchable for the users. Either a client device is present and the charger performs loading or the EUT is in IDLE mode.

Minimal distance in this test-report is 0mm thus for the test at a special CW sample are considered as worst case as the unperturbed field is fully emitted in the air and measurements down to 0mm are feasible. This testcase is the worst of all possible scenarios nonetheless a whole list of tests were performed to mimic all kind of possible real life scenarios:

EUT	Configuration	RF scenario and distance:
Sample 1	CW – 128 kHz (EPP)	Measuring 0mm distance without field consumption, delivering an overrated worst case scenario for the applied measurements.
Sample 3	CW – 360 kHz (MPP)	
Sample 5	Production Sample, real Idle mode polling, charging of Clients	The scenarios ranged from body / limb in Idle mode with 0mm distance, to charging with maximum current resulting in maximum field emission (absorbed by dummy load or real phone using a technical non-avoidable minimum distance towards the chargers surface as the load/client is blocking the way for the measurement probe)

As the charging of a client device changes via time different charging levels where used and measured and checked for a consistent charging current during the measurements.

ANSI C63.30 demands to test the following charging levels for a real client device to cover all possible charging states.

≤ 10% charged client device

≥ 40% to ≤ 60% charged client device

≥ 90% charged client device

Thus for 4 Phones where measured from touch to additionally comparable 10mm or 20mm relatively about the charger surface. Details are shown in the chapter 7.2 test results on page 20 and detailed in external annex 1-9362-25-03-02_TR2-A201-R01.

3 Test standard/s:

Test Standard	Version	Test Standard Description
IEEE Std. C95-3	2021	IEEE Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic Fields – RF and Microwave
IEC PAS 63184	May 2021	Assessment methods of the human exposure to electric and magnetic fields from wireless power transfer systems – Models, instrumentation, measurement and numerical methods and procedures (frequency range of 1 kHz to 30 MHz)
IEC TR 62905	01.02.2018	IEC/TR 62905:2018(E) is a Technical Report. It describes general exposure assessment methods for wireless power transfer (WPT) at frequency up to 10 MHz considering thermal and stimulus effects. Exposure assessment procedures and experimental results are shown as examples such as electric vehicles (EVs) and mobile devices.
FCC KDBs:		
KDB 865664D01v01	August 7, 2015	FCC OET SAR measurement requirements 100 MHz to 6 GHz
KDB 865664D02v01	October 23, 2015	RF Exposure Compliance Reporting and Documentation Considerations
KDB 447498D01v06	October 23, 2015	Mobile and Portable Devices RF Exposure Procedures and Equipment Authorization Policies
KDB 680106 D01v04	October 24, 2023	Equipment Authorization of Wireless Power Transfer devices

APPLICATION NOTE:

Testing WPT Devices with DASY8/6 Modules WPT and SAR for Compliance with FCC KDB 447498/680106 (Chapter 5 Test System and Procedures for Frequencies from 100 kHz to 4 MHz, by SPEAG, R2, 05/24)

3.1 RF exposure limits according CFR 47 – Part 1, §1.1310

RF Exposure levels according CFR 47 – Part 1, §1.1310:

Human Exposure	Uncontrolled Environment General Population	Controlled Environment Occupational
Spatial Peak SAR* (Brain and Trunk)	1.60 W/kg	8.00 W/kg
Spatial Average SAR** (Whole Body)	0.08 W/kg	0.40 W/kg
Spatial Peak SAR*** (Hands/Feet/Ankle/Wrist)	4.00 W/kg	20.00 W/kg

Table 1: RF exposure limits (100 kHz to 6 GHz)

The limit applied in this test report is shown in bold letters

Notes:

- * The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 1 gram of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time
- ** The Spatial Average value of the SAR averaged over the whole body.
- *** The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 10 grams of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.

Uncontrolled Environments are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.


Controlled Environments are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by persons who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation).

4 Reporting statements of conformity – decision rule

Only the measured values related to their corresponding limits will be used to decide whether the equipment under test meets the requirements of the test standards listed in chapter 3.

The measurement uncertainty is mentioned in this test report, see chapter 9, but is not taken into account - neither to the limits nor to the measurement results. Measurement results with a smaller margin to the corresponding limits than the measurement uncertainty have a potential risk of more than 5% that the decision might be wrong."

measured value, measurement uncertainty, verdict



5 Summary of Measurement Results

☒	No deviations from the technical specifications ascertained	
☐	Deviations from the technical specifications ascertained	
Maximum SAR value (W/kg)		
	reported	limit
body worn 0 mm distance for 1g	0.0701	1.6
extremity 0 mm distance for 10g	0.0295	4.0


6 Test Environment

Ambient temperature:	20 – 24 °C
Relative humidity content:	40 – 50 %
Air pressure:	not relevant for this kind of testing
Power supply:	230 V / 50 Hz

7 Test Set-up

7.1 Measurement system

7.1.1 Measurement with MAGPy-H3D probe / DASY 8 WPT-System



The DASY8 WPT-System is a complete high precision robot-based evaluation platform for demonstrating compliance of wireless power transfer (WPT) devices according to IEC PAS 63184:2021(Chapter 8 "Measurement and numerical combination methods") and enables fully automated compliance testing. [1]

It is composed of the isotropic probe MAGPy-8H3D+E3D V2, the reference amplitude and phase probe (MAGPy-RAφ V2), and the data acquisition system (MAGPy-DAS V2) mounted to the DASY8 robot via the emergency stop (MAGPy-ES). The induced electric (E-) fields and specific absorption rate (SAR) are assessed with Sim4Life's Quasi-Static EM Solver (P-EM-QS) using only the measured data. The dedicated graphical user interface (GUI) fully automates the testing workflow.

This allows for Laboratory evaluation of WPT devices and any other local electromagnetic source not requiring magnetic (H-) field volume scans exceeding 2000 x 1000 x 1500 mm³ with a precision of ±2.0mm.

The H-field distributions can be analyzed directly, and the values are compared to the reference level, or they are converted into Maxwell field and used as excitations for determining the basic restriction quantities for further dosimetric analysis with the Magneto Quasi-Static (MQS) solver [2].

This specific solution is optimized for:

- Evaluation of E & H-field (3 kHz – 10 MHz)
- Demonstration of compliance (3 kHz and 4 MHz) according to IEC PAS 63184:2021 [3]

References:

[1] Assessment methods of the human exposure to electric and magnetic fields from wireless power transfer systems. Models, instrumentation, measurement and numerical methods and procedures (frequency range of 1 kHz to 30MHz), IEC PAS 63184:2021.

[2] The MQS conditions are violated above 4MHz and SPEAG recommends to use IEC/IEEE 62209-1528 for the frequency range above 4 MHz.

[3] Sim4Life, V7.2, ZMT Zurich MedTech AG, Zurich, Switzerland.

7.1.1.1 Workflow for Tier-4 Compliance Testing of IEC PAS 63184:2021

Compliance testing according to Tier-4 of IEC PAS 63184:2021 is the most accurate method ensuring no overestimation of the exposure. The workflow implemented in DASY8 Module WPT consists of the following steps:

1. probe alignment,
2. teaching of the DUT position,
3. 3D scan with automated grid optimization,
4. vector potential reconstruction (Sim4Life),
5. solving the MQS equation in the muscle half-space,
6. applying coverage factors (pre-determined by the IT'IS Foundation),
7. advanced evaluations in various posed Sim4Life ViP phantoms.

Additionally, the system performance is routinely verified using the standard verification sources as DUT's.

7.1.1.2 Compatibility with IEC PAS 63184:2021

DASY8 Module WPT is fully compliant with IEC PAS 63184:2021 and evaluates the reference values and basic restrictions according to:

- ICNIRP 2020
- ICNIRP 2010
- ICNIRP 1998
- IEEE 2019
- CFR 47 – Part 1, §1.1310
- FCC 2020
- FCC KDB 680106 D01
- FCC KDB 447498 D01
- HC Code 6
- ISED SPR-002 ISSUE 2

7.1.1.3 MAGPy-Probes

MAGPy-8H3D+E3D V2

The MAGPy-8H3D+E3D V2 probe consists of eight isotropic H-field subprobes and one isotropic E-field subprobe that are all integrated inside the probe head with a flat tip. Each isotropic H-field subprobe is comprised of three concentric orthogonal loop coil sensors. The isotropic E-field subprobe is composed of three orthogonal sensors (x and y sensors are dipoles, and the sensor measuring the z component is a monopole).

In total, the MAGPy-8H3D+E3D V2 probe contains 27 sensors that measure in the time domain. The specifications of the probe are provided in the table below.


The MAGPy-8H3D+E3D V2 probe measures the isotropic H-field and E-field, and is compatible with DASY8 Module WPT V2.2 and MAGPy V2.2.



Probe design	
Probe head diameter	60mm
H-field sub-probes	8 isotropic H-field sub-probes Concentric loops of 1 cm ² arranged at the corners of a 22mm cube
E-field sub-probes	1 isotropic E-field sub-probe Orthogonal dipole/monopole (arm length: 50mm)
Temperature range	0–40 °C
Dimensions	110mm×635mm×35mm (MAGPy-8H3D+E3D V2 & MAGPy-DAS V2)
H-field measurement specifications	
Frequency range	3 kHz–10MHz
Dynamic range	0.1–3200A/m (0.12 μT–4 mT)
E-field measurement specifications	
Frequency range	3 kHz–10MHz
Dynamic range	0.08–2000V/m

The sensor offset, i.e., the distance from the outer surface (tip) of the probe to the center of the closest H-field sensors inside, is 7.5 mm. The distance to the virtual measuring point is 19 mm (The center of the 8 sub-field probes)

The following picture shows The MAGPy-8H3D+E3D V2 probe with the probe-head cap removed, showing the eight isotropic H-field sub-probes and one isotropic E-field sub-probe:



MAGPy-RAφ V2

The MAGPy-RAφ V2 probe is a pick-up coil to serve as a phase and amplitude reference, composed of one loop with the specifications shown in following table.

It is connected to the MAGPy-8H3D+E3D V2 probe and should be placed near the DUT during the spatial scan to ensure a sufficient signal-to-noise ratio.

Frequency range	3 kHz - 10 MHz
Dynamic range	0.1 – 3200 A/m (0.12 μT – 4 mT)
Loop coil size	50 × 50mm ²

**7.1.1.4 MAGPy-DAS: Data Acquisition System****Description of MAGPy-DAS V2**

The MAGPy-DAS V2 is a speed-optimized unit that can process up to 27 input channels in real-time with a sampling rate of 25 MHz. The specifications of the MAGPy-DAS are:

- 27 x 14 Bit ADC Channels with 25 MSPs
- peak detection stage
- hardware supervising unit
- data transfer to backend
- 22 tap FIR filter

The measured analog signals from the MAGPy probes are firstly transferred to the analog input stage, which comprises filters, amplifiers, and attenuators. The analog signal is then transformed into a digital signal through the analog to digital converter (ADC) and treated in the field programmable gate arrays (FPGA) controller. The FPGA firmware consists of:

- FIR filter
- peak detection
- AGC (Automatic gain control unit)
- scaling unit

The controller communicates with the MAGPy software installed on the desktop or tablet PC across USB 2.0.

7.1.2 Uncertainties

The probe uncertainties stated by the manufacturer are considered to be the main relevant and dominant issues.

7.1.2.1 Typical uncertainty of Module WPT

DASY8 Uncertainty Budget for Peak <i>H</i> -field according to IEC/IEEE 63184						
Item	Error Description	Unc. Value (±dB)	Probab. Distr.	Div.	(<i>c_i</i>)	Std. Unc. (±dB)
Measurement system						
1	Amplitude calibration uncertainty	0.35	N	1	1	0.35
2	Probe anisotropy	0.6	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.35
3	Probe dynamic linearity	0.2	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.12
4	Probe frequency domain response	0.3	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.17
5	Probe frequency linear interp. fit	0.15	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.09
6	Gradient uncertainty	0.1	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.06
7	Parasitic E-field sensitivity	0.1	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.06
8	Detection limit	0.15	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.09
9	Readout electronics	0	N	1	1	0
10	Probe positioning	0.19	N	1	1	0.19
11	Repeatability	0.1	N	1	1	0.10
12	Surface field reconstruction	0.3	N	1	1	0.3
Combined uncertainty (<i>k</i> = 1)						0.59
Expanded uncertainty (<i>k</i> = 2)						1.33 (16.6%)

Uncertainty budget for peak incident H-field measured with DASY8 Module WPT assessed according to IEC/IEEE 63184

DASY8 Uncertainty Budget for Incident <i>E</i> -field according to IEC/IEEE 63184						
Item	Error Description	Unc. Value (±dB)	Probab. Distr.	Div.	(<i>c_i</i>)	Std. Unc. (±dB)
Measurement system						
1	Amplitude calibration uncertainty	0.53	N	1	1	0.53
2	Probe anisotropy	0.8	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.46
3	Probe dynamic linearity	1	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.58
4	Probe frequency domain response	0.3	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.17
5	Probe frequency linear interp. fit	0.15	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.09
6	Parasitic H-field sensitivity	0.2	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.12
7	Detection limit	0.15	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.09
8	Readout electronics	0	N	1	1	0
9	Repeatability	0.1	N	1	1	0.10
Combined uncertainty (<i>k</i> = 1)						0.95
Expanded uncertainty (<i>k</i> = 2)						1.89 (24.4%)

Uncertainty budget for incident E-field measured with DASY8 Module WPT with linear gradients across the probe.

DASY8 Uncertainty Budget for Peak $2 \times 2 \times 2 \text{ mm}^3$ Cube-Average E_{ind} according to IEC/IEEE 63184						
Item	Error Description	Unc. Value ($\pm \text{dB}$)	Probab. Distr.	Div.	(c_i)	Std. Unc. ($\pm \text{dB}$)
Measurement system						
1	Amplitude calibration uncertainty	0.35	N	1	1	0.35
2	Probe anisotropy	0.6	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.35
3	Probe dynamic linearity	0.2	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.12
4	Probe frequency domain response	0.3	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.17
5	Probe frequency linear interp. fit	0.15	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.09
6	Spatial averaging	0.1	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.06
7	Parasitic E -field sensitivity	0.1	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.06
8	Detection limit	0.15	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.09
9	Readout electronics	0	N	1	1	0
10	Probe positioning	0.19	N	1	1	0.19
11	Repeatability	0.1	N	1	1	0.1
12	Surface field reconstruction	0.3	N	1	1	0.3
Numerical simulations						
13	Grid resolution	0.18	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.10
14	Tissue parameters	0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0
15	Exposure position	0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0
16	Source representation	0.24	N	1	1	0.24
17	Convergence and power budget	0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0
18	Boundary conditions	0.1	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.06
19	Phantom loading/backscattering	0.1	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.06
Combined uncertainty ($k = 1$)						0.72
Expanded uncertainty ($k = 2$)						1.44 (18.0%)

Uncertainty budget for peak $2 \times 2 \times 2 \text{ mm}^3$ cube-average induced E-field measured with DASY8 Module WPT assessed according to IEC/IEEE 63184.

DASY8 Uncertainty Budget for Peak 5 mm Line-Average E_{ind} according to IEC/IEEE 63184						
Item	Error Description	Unc. Value ($\pm$ dB)	Probab. Distr.	Div.	(c_i)	Std. Unc. ($\pm$ dB)
Measurement system						
1	Amplitude calibration uncertainty	0.35	N	1	1	0.35
2	Probe anisotropy	0.6	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.35
3	Probe dynamic linearity	0.2	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.12
4	Probe frequency domain response	0.3	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.17
5	Probe frequency linear interp. fit	0.15	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.09
6	Spatial averaging	0.1	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.06
7	Parasitic E -field sensitivity	0.1	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.06
8	Detection limit	0.15	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.09
9	Readout electronics	0	N	1	1	0
10	Probe positioning	0.19	N	1	1	0.19
11	Repeatability	0.1	N	1	1	0.1
12	Surface field reconstruction	0.3	N	1	1	0.3
Numerical simulations						
13	Grid resolution	0.25	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.14
14	Tissue parameters	0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0
15	Exposure position	0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0
16	Source representation	0.27	N	1	1	0.27
17	Convergence and power budget	0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0
18	Boundary conditions	0.1	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.06
19	Phantom loading/backscattering	0.1	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.06
Combined uncertainty ($k = 1$)						0.74
Expanded uncertainty ($k = 2$)						1.48 (18.5%)

Uncertainty budget for peak 5 mm line-average induced E-field measured with DASY8 Module WPT assessed according to IEC/IEEE 63184.

DASY8 Uncertainty Budget for Peak Local E_{ind} according to IEC/IEEE 63184						
Item	Error Description	Unc. Value ($\pm$ dB)	Probab. Distr.	Div.	(c_1)	Std. Unc. ($\pm$ dB)
Measurement system						
1	Amplitude calibration uncertainty	0.35	N	1	1	0.35
2	Probe anisotropy	0.6	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.35
3	Probe dynamic linearity	0.2	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.12
4	Probe frequency domain response	0.3	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.17
5	Probe frequency linear interp. fit	0.15	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.09
6	Spatial averaging	0.1	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.06
7	Parasitic E -field sensitivity	0.1	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.06
8	Detection limit	0.15	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.09
9	Readout electronics	0	N	1	1	0
10	Probe positioning	0.19	N	1	1	0.19
11	Repeatability	0.1	N	1	1	0.1
12	Surface field reconstruction	0.3	N	1	1	0.3
Numerical simulations						
13	Grid resolution	0.09	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.05
14	Tissue parameters	0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0
15	Exposure position	0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0
16	Source representation	0.27	N	1	1	0.27
17	Convergence and power budget	0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0
18	Boundary conditions	0.1	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.06
19	Phantom loading/backscattering	0.1	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.06
Combined uncertainty ($k = 1$)						0.73
Expanded uncertainty ($k = 2$)						1.45 (18.2%)

Uncertainty budget for peak local (i.e., not spatial averaged) induced E-field measured with DASY8 Module WPT assessed according to IEC/IEEE 63184.

DASY8 Uncertainty Budget for Peak 1 cm ² Area-Average J _{ind} according to IEC/IEEE 63184						
Item	Error Description	Unc. Value (±dB)	Probab. Distr.	Div.	(c ₁)	Std. Unc. (±dB)
Measurement system						
1	Amplitude calibration uncertainty	0.35	N	1	1	0.35
2	Probe anisotropy	0.6	R	√3	1	0.35
3	Probe dynamic linearity	0.2	R	√3	1	0.12
4	Probe frequency domain response	0.3	R	√3	1	0.17
5	Probe frequency linear interp. fit	0.15	R	√3	1	0.09
6	Spatial averaging	0.1	R	√3	1	0.06
7	Parasitic E-field sensitivity	0.1	R	√3	1	0.06
8	Detection limit	0.15	R	√3	1	0.09
9	Readout electronics	0	N	1	1	0
10	Probe positioning	0.19	N	1	1	0.19
11	Repeatability	0.1	N	1	1	0.1
12	Surface field reconstruction	0.3	N	1	1	0.3
Numerical simulations						
13	Grid resolution	0.12	R	√3	1	0.07
14	Tissue parameters	0	R	√3	1	0
15	Exposure position	0	R	√3	1	0
16	Source representation	0.1	N	1	1	0.1
17	Convergence and power budget	0	R	√3	1	0
18	Boundary conditions	0.1	R	√3	1	0.06
19	Phantom loading/backscattering	0.1	R	√3	1	0.06
Combined uncertainty (k = 1)						0.68
Expanded uncertainty (k = 2)						1.36 (17.0%)

Uncertainty budget for peak 1 cm² area-average induced current density measured with DASY8 Module WPT assessed according to IEC/IEEE 63184.

DASY8 Uncertainty Budget for psSAR1 g according to IEC/IEEE 63184						
Item	Error Description	Unc. Value (±dB)	Probab. Distr.	Div.	(c_1)	Std. Unc. (±dB)
Measurement system						
1	Amplitude calibration uncertainty	0.35	N	1	1	0.35
2	Probe anisotropy	0.6	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.35
3	Probe dynamic linearity	0.2	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.12
4	Probe frequency domain response	0.3	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.17
5	Probe frequency linear interp. fit	0.15	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.09
6	Spatial averaging	0.1	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.06
7	Parasitic <i>E</i> -field sensitivity	0.1	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.06
8	Detection limit	0.15	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.09
9	Readout electronics	0	N	1	1	0
10	Probe positioning	0.19	N	1	1	0.19
11	Repeatability	0.1	N	1	1	0.1
12	Surface field reconstruction	0.2	N	1	1	0.2
Numerical simulations						
13	Grid resolution	0.02	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.01
14	Tissue parameters	0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0
15	Exposure position	0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0
16	Source representation	0.09	N	1	1	0.09
17	Convergence and power budget	0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0
18	Boundary conditions	0.1	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.06
19	Phantom loading/backscattering	0.1	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.06
Combined uncertainty ($k = 1$)						0.63
Expanded uncertainty ($k = 2$)						1.27 (33.0%)

Uncertainty budget for peak 1 gram mass-average induced SAR measured with DASY8 Module WPT assessed according to IEC/IEEE 63184.

NOTE: SAR Upscaling to compensate for the unfulfilled Uncertainty budget

As all other uncertainties fulfil the <30% Budget, upscaling of the SAR Value by 3% ($1.339/1.3=1.03$) for both 1g and 10g SAR is sufficient to offset the expanded by SAR measurements to ensure a reasonable overestimation.

DASY8 Uncertainty Budget for psSAR10 g according to IEC/IEEE 63184						
Item	Error Description	Unc. Value (±dB)	Probab. Distr.	Div.	(c_1)	Std. Unc. (±dB)
Measurement system						
1	Amplitude calibration uncertainty	0.35	N	1	1	0.35
2	Probe anisotropy	0.6	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.35
3	Probe dynamic linearity	0.2	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.12
4	Probe frequency domain response	0.3	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.17
5	Probe frequency linear interp. fit	0.15	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.09
6	Spatial averaging	0.1	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.06
7	Parasitic <i>E</i> -field sensitivity	0.1	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.06
8	Detection limit	0.15	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.09
9	Readout electronics	0	N	1	1	0
10	Probe positioning	0.19	N	1	1	0.19
11	Repeatability	0.1	N	1	1	0.1
12	Surface field reconstruction	0.2	N	1	1	0.2
Numerical simulations						
13	Grid resolution	0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0
14	Tissue parameters	0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0
15	Exposure position	0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0
16	Source representation	0.04	N	1	1	0.04
17	Convergence and power budget	0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0
18	Boundary conditions	0.1	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.06
19	Phantom loading/backscattering	0.1	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.06
Combined uncertainty ($k = 1$)						0.63
Expanded uncertainty ($k = 2$)						1.25 (33.4%)

Uncertainty budget for peak 10 gram mass-average induced SAR measured with DASY8 Module WPT assessed according to IEC/IEEE 63184.

NOTE: SAR Upscaling to compensate for the unfulfilled Uncertainty budget

As all other uncertainties fulfil the <30% Budget, upscaling of the SAR Value by 3% ($1.339/1.3=1.03$) for both 1g and 10g SAR is sufficient to offset the expanded by SAR measurements to ensure a reasonable overestimation.

7.1.3 Definition of test position and distances

In absence of an equipment specific regulation with given test distances, all not further noted test positions were measured in “touched” mode, the probe radome touching the DUT at the defined test position. Due to the mechanical concept of the used probe a distance between DUT surface and electrical centre of the probe antennas remains.

Probe type	Closest physical Test distance (cm)	
	Magnetic Field	Electrical Field
MAGPy-8H3D+E3D V2	0.75 (Sub @ lowest plane)	5.0

7.1.3.1 System Check and Validation


Overview

Verification and Validation (V&V) sources are designed as well-defined sources with target values to verify that the system works within its specification. A system check is typically performed prior to any compliance evaluation.

For the DASY8 Module WPT, a set of four system validation sources (3 kHz, 85 kHz, 400 kHz and 6.78 MHz) are available. These sources consist of series resonant spiral coils, fed with an integrated current source. The current source consists of an oscillator and an amplifier at the appropriate frequency.

A monitoring port in the form of a SMB connector is available on the device to monitor the current through the coil. The port offers the voltage across a 1 Ohm resistor connected in series with the coil, therefore the current I corresponds to the measured voltage V_{testport} ($I = V_{\text{testport}}$). The voltage on the port can thus be monitored with an oscilloscope and this should be equal to the current through the coil.

Target values ($H_{\text{inc;max}}$, $E_{\text{ind;max}}$, $J_{\text{ind;max}}$) for each source have been determined for several distances through simulations using the MQS solver in Sim4Life. The details of the evaluation are available at Application Notes, for each source.



The picture above shows a 400 kHz system check and validation device, with the power cable and the current monitoring cable connected.

Specifications

The specifications of the sources are as follows:

Item	V-Coil500/3	V-Coil350/85	V-Coil50/400	V-Coil25/6780
Frequency (kHz)	3	85	400	6780
Coil Dimension (mm)	500 x 500	350 x 200	50 x 50	25 x 25
No. of Turns	11	13	11	10
Current Source	I500/3	I350/85	I50/400	I25/6780
Current Monitor Port	SMB	SMB	SMB	SMB
Device Dimensions (mm)	500 x 500 x 35	250 x 500 x 35	125 x 250 x 35	125 x 250 x 35

7.2 Test results

7.2.1 Test scenarios

The testing was performed on different test setups to represent different use-cases and exposure scenarios:

- 1) Idle mode
- 2) Charging with an typical client EUT (I phone)
- 3) Charging with maximum charging current using a dummy load.
- 4) CW Samples for 128 kHz / 360kHz for unperturbed measurement of the field strengths above the EUT (Overrated-non realistic case as the client/load will always take large amounts of energy out of the field).

Detailed descriptions:

1) Idle Mode: (Sample 5)

Sample 5 measured with 0mm distance, always present case if no use-case with higher output current is set.

2) Charging typical clients: (Sample 5)

Sample 5 measured with 8 to 20mm distance from touching a phone up to the height that can be compared to the dummy loads minimum test distance. The tested cases are listed as following:

IPHONE 15 Pro

thickness 1cm, relative offsets to charger surface: 10 and 20mm

- 2a) battery status at test start: $\leq 10\%$ – Frequency 360 kHz
- 2b) battery status at test start: $\geq 40\%$ and $\leq 60\%$ – Frequency 360 kHz
- 2c) battery status at test start: $\geq 90\%$ – Frequency 360 kHz

Samsung Galaxy 5G

thickness 0.8 cm, relative offsets to charger surface: 8, 10 and 20mm

- 2d) battery status at test start: $\leq 10\%$ – Frequency 128 kHz
- 2e) battery status at test start: $\geq 40\%$ and $\leq 60\%$ – Frequency 128 kHz
- 2f) battery status at test start: $\geq 90\%$ – Frequency 128 kHz

IPHONE 11

thickness 0.8 cm, relative offsets to charger surface: 8, 10 and 20mm

- 2g) battery status at test start: $\leq 10\%$ – Frequency 128 kHz
- 2h) battery status at test start: $\geq 40\%$ and $\leq 60\%$ – Frequency 128 kHz
- 2i) battery status at test start: $\geq 90\%$ – Frequency 128 kHz

Nokia

thickness 0.8 cm, relative offsets to charger surface: 8, 10 and 20mm

- 2j) battery status at test start: $\leq 10\%$ – Frequency 128 kHz
- 2k) battery status at test start: $\geq 40\%$ and $\leq 60\%$ – Frequency 128 kHz
- 2l) battery status at test start: $\geq 90\%$ – Frequency 128 kHz

3) Charging Dummy loads: (Sample 5)

Sample 5 measured with 20mm distance (touching the dummy probes surface), delivering max. current consumption and highest field strengths – that are mostly absorbed by the client/dummy load.

- 3a) Charging with a dummy load, max. current consumption – Frequency 360 kHz
- 3b) Charging with a dummy load, max. current consumption – Frequency 128 kHz

4) Overrated field measurements: (non-realistic scenario)

Special CW Samples, enabling measurements at closest distance without any field absorption by the client.

- 4a) Sample 1 – CW 360 kHz starting from 0mm distance
- 4b) Sample 3 – CW 128 kHz starting from 0mm distance

7.2.2 System Checks 400kHz source:

WPT 2.8 - measurement – 06/05/2025		Peak Eind (V/m, rms)		Peak Jind (A/m ² , rms)	psSAR (mW/kg)	
Spacing (mm)	Peak Hinc (A/m, rms)	Cube avg.	Line avg.	Surface avg.	1g avg.	10g avg.
0	225	3.52	3.61	2.71	4.74	2.39

target values		Peak Eind (V/m, rms)		Peak Jind (A/m ² , rms)	psSAR (mW/kg)	
Spacing (mm)	Peak Hinc (A/m, rms)	Cube avg.	Line avg.	Surface avg.	1g avg.	10g avg.
0	283	4.48	4.59	2.84	7.95	3.96

Comparison (in dB)		Peak Eind (V/m, rms)		Peak Jind (A/m ² , rms)	psSAR (mW/kg)	
Spacing (mm)	Peak Hinc (A/m, rms)	Cube avg.	Line avg.	Surface avg.	1g avg.	10g avg.
0	-1.99	-2.09	-2.09	-2.34	-2.25	-2.19

WPT 2.8 - measurement – 15/05/2025		Peak Eind (V/m, rms)		Peak Jind (A/m ² , rms)	psSAR (mW/kg)	
Spacing (mm)	Peak Hinc (A/m, rms)	Cube avg.	Line avg.	Surface avg.	1g avg.	10g avg.
0	274	4.95	5.13	2.96	8.12	4.43

target values		Peak Eind (V/m, rms)		Peak Jind (A/m ² , rms)	psSAR (mW/kg)	
Spacing (mm)	Peak Hinc (A/m, rms)	Cube avg.	Line avg.	Surface avg.	1g avg.	10g avg.
0	283	4.48	4.59	2.84	7.95	3.96

Comparison (in dB)		Peak Eind (V/m, rms)		Peak Jind (A/m ² , rms)	psSAR (mW/kg)	
Spacing (mm)	Peak Hinc (A/m, rms)	Cube avg.	Line avg.	Surface avg.	1g avg.	10g avg.
0	-0.28	0.87	0.97	0.36	0.09	0.49

WPT 2.8 - measurement – 20/05/2025		Peak Eind (V/m, rms)		Peak Jind (A/m ² , rms)	psSAR (mW/kg)	
Spacing (mm)	Peak Hinc (A/m, rms)	Cube avg.	Line avg.	Surface avg.	1g avg.	10g avg.
0	254	4.38	4.49	2.79	7.75	4.61

target values		Peak Eind (V/m, rms)		Peak Jind (A/m ² , rms)	psSAR (mW/kg)	
Spacing (mm)	Peak Hinc (A/m, rms)	Cube avg.	Line avg.	Surface avg.	1g avg.	10g avg.
0	283	4.48	4.59	2.84	7.95	3.96

Comparison (in dB)		Peak Eind (V/m, rms)		Peak Jind (A/m ² , rms)	psSAR (mW/kg)	
Spacing (mm)	Peak Hinc (A/m, rms)	Cube avg.	Line avg.	Surface avg.	1g avg.	10g avg.
0	-0.94	-0.20	-0.19	-0.15	-0.11	0.66

WPT 2.8 - measurement – 16/06/2025		Peak Eind (V/m, rms)		Peak Jind (A/m ² , rms)	psSAR (mW/kg)	
Spacing (mm)	Peak Hinc (A/m, rms)	Cube avg.	Line avg.	Surface avg.	1g avg.	10g avg.
0	271	4.24	4.37	2.61	6.5	3.31

target values		Peak Eind (V/m, rms)		Peak Jind (A/m ² , rms)	psSAR (mW/kg)	
Spacing (mm)	Peak Hinc (A/m, rms)	Cube avg.	Line avg.	Surface avg.	1g avg.	10g avg.
0	283	4.48	4.59	2.84	7.95	3.96

Comparison (in dB)		Peak Eind (V/m, rms)		Peak Jind (A/m ² , rms)	psSAR (mW/kg)	
Spacing (mm)	Peak Hinc (A/m, rms)	Cube avg.	Line avg.	Surface avg.	1g avg.	10g avg.
0	-0.38	-0.48	-0.43	-0.73	-0.87	-0.78

7.2.3 cDASY8 Module WPT Measurement Report (Scenario 1):

Device under test

- Model / Manufacturer: Bury - Production Sample (Idle)
- Serial number: Sample 5
- Dimensions: 140 x 80 x 45 mm
- Measurement scenario: 0.11A / 14.0V

Hardware setup

- DASY version DASY8 Module WPT 2.8.0.5947
- Software version: 2.8.8, backend: 2.2.36
- Probe model, serial no. and configuration date: MAGPy-8H3D+E3Dv2, WP000009, 2024/11/06

Scan setup

- Center location: X: 14.65 mm, Y: 14.65 mm, Z: 120.00 mm
- Dimensions: X: 168.6 mm, Y: 168.6 mm, Z: 36.0 mm
- Resolution: X: 7.33 mm, Y: 7.33 mm, Z: 7.33 mm
- Completed on: 2025/06/16 13:16:36


Measurement results

- Maximum H-field_[RMS]: 243.53 mA/m
X: 108.21 mA/m, Y: 29.16 mA/m, Z: 216.22 mA/m
- Maximum H-Field location relative to DUT: X: 10.98 mm, Y: -18.35 mm, Z: 8.50 mm
- Maximum E-field_[RMS]: 4.93 V/m
X: 977.73 mV/m, Y: 399.80 mV/m, Z: 4.82 V/m
- Maximum E-field location relative to DUT: X: 21.98 mm, Y: -29.35 mm, Z: 0.00 mm
- Distance to -20.0 dB boundary: 22.00 mm
- Offset relative to DUT: X: 14.65 mm, Y: 14.65 mm, Z: 1.00 mm


H-field magnitude at maximum, H-field magnitude at lowest plane, Post-processing setup

- Sim4Life version: 8.0.1.15737
- Sim4Life solver: Low Frequency Magneto Quasi Static
- Conductivity: 0.750 S/m
- Tissue density: 1000 kg/m³
- Frequency: 123.97 kHz
- Resolution: X: 1.00 mm, Y: 1.00 mm, Z: 1.00 mm
- Simulation date: 2025/06/17 18:00:14

H-field magnitude [RMS] at center location



H-field magnitude [RMS] at lowest plane



7.2.4 Incident fields and induced quantities in the anatomical model (Scenario 1)

$f = 123.97 \text{ kHz}$, $\sigma = 0.750 \text{ S/m}$, tissue density = $1,000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

			Peak E_{ind} (V/m, rms)		Peak J_{ind} (A/m ² , rms)	psSAR (mW/kg, rms)				H-field extent
Distance (mm)	Peak H_{inc} (A/m, rms)	Peak E_{inc} (V/m, rms)	Cube avg.	Line avg.	Surface avg.	LIMIT: 1600 1g avg.		Limit: 2000 10g avg.		-20 dB radius (mm)
						Meas.	Rep.*	Meas.	Rep.*	
0.0	0.373	4.93	1.22 e-3	1.31 e-3	6.94 e-4	4.56 e-7	0.000	2.85 e-7	0.000	91.6

*) SAR values were up-scaled to compensate for the unfulfilled Uncertainty budget by factor 1.03

NOTE: Detailed test results are shown in the additional document: 1-9362-25-03-02_TR2-A102-R01.

7.2.5 cDASY8 Module WPT Result Overview (Scenario 2):

NOTE: Detailed test results are shown in the additional document: 1-9362-25-03-02_TR2-A102-R01.

DUT	#	frequency	meas. SAR Values*		distance relative to phone	distance absolute to charger surface	charge
			1g	10g			
		[kHz]	[mW/kg]	[mW/kg]	[mm]	[mm]	[%]
IPHONE 15 Pro	2a	360	1.17E-05	7.41E-06	0	10	≤ 10
		360	1.02E-05	5.38E-06	10	20	≤ 10
	2b	360	4.83E-05	3.01E-05	0	10	≥ 40
		360	2.15E-05	1.30E-05	10	20	≥ 40
	2c	360	2.84E-07	1.77E-07	0	10	≤ 90
		360	2.49E-07	1.33E-07	10	20	≤ 90
Samsung Galaxy 5G	2d	128	8.17E-05	4.11E-05	0	8	≤ 10
		128	6.31E-05	3.24E-05	2	10	≤ 10
		128	1.93E-05	1.08E-05	12	20	≤ 10
	2e	128	1.05E-04	5.53E-05	0	8	≥ 40
		128	8.06E-05	4.30E-05	2	10	≥ 40
		128	2.36E-05	1.47E-05	12	20	≥ 40
	2f	128	4.70E-05	2.50E-05	0	8	≤ 90
		128	3.69E-05	1.98E-05	2	10	≤ 90
		128	1.18E-05	6.90E-06	12	20	≤ 90
IPHONE 11	2g	128	3.72E-03	1.65E-03	0	8	≤ 10
		128	2.65E-03	1.22E-03	2	10	≤ 10
		128	4.79E-04	2.54E-04	12	20	≤ 10
	2h	128	1.24E-02	5.65E-03	0	8	≥ 40
		128	9.04E-03	2.00E-04	2	10	≥ 40
		128	2.28E-03	1.08E-03	12	20	≥ 40
	2i	128	3.08E-03	1.42E-03	0	8	≤ 90
		128	2.20E-03	1.03E-03	2	10	≤ 90
		128	4.91E-04	2.57E-04	12	20	≤ 90
Nokia	2j	128	1.41E-04	9.46E-05	0	8	≤ 10
		128	1.24E-04	8.49E-05	2	10	≤ 10
		128	8.21E-04	5.48E-05	12	20	≤ 10
	2k	128	1.27E-04	7.49E-05	0	8	≥ 40
		128	1.10E-04	6.57E-05	2	10	≥ 40
		128	5.83E-05	3.60E-05	12	20	≥ 40
	2l	128	6.85E-05	4.03E-05	0	8	≤ 90
		128	5.76E-05	3.42E-05	2	10	≤ 90
		128	2.45E-05	1.47E-05	12	20	≤ 90

*) SAR values should be up-scaled to compensate for the unfulfilled Uncertainty budget by factor 1.03. This step was skipped here as anyway all values are (even up-scaled) < 0.000.

7.2.6 cDASY8 Module WPT Measurement Report (Scenario 3a):

Device under test

- Model / Manufacturer: Bury - 360 kHz Dummy Load on production Sample
- Serial number: Sample 5
- Dimensions: 140 x 80 x 45 mm
- Measurement scenario: 1.58A / 14.0V

Hardware setup

- DASY version DASY8 Module WPT 2.8.0.5947
- Software version: 2.8.8, backend: 2.2.36
- Probe model, serial no. and configuration date: MAGPy-8H3D+E3Dv2, WP000009, 2024/11/06

Scan setup

- Center location: X: 14.65 mm, Y: 14.65 mm, Z: 140.00 mm
- Dimensions: X: 168.6 mm, Y: 168.6 mm, Z: 36.0 mm
- Resolution: X: 7.33 mm, Y: 7.33 mm, Z: 7.33 mm
- Completed on: 2025/05/16 14:07:06


Measurement results

- Maximum H-field_[RMS]: 817.25 mA/m
X: 174.90 mA/m, Y: 273.71 mA/m, Z: 749.93 mA/m
- Maximum H-Field location relative to DUT: X: 25.65 mm, Y: -3.68 mm, Z: 8.50 mm
- Maximum E-field_[RMS]: 46.52 V/m
X: 2.92 V/m, Y: 2.11 V/m, Z: 46.38 V/m
- Maximum E-field location relative to DUT: X: 14.65 mm, Y: -14.68 mm, Z: 0.00 mm
- Distance to -20.0 dB boundary: 29.33 mm
- Offset relative to DUT: X: 14.65 mm, Y: 14.65 mm, Z: 1.00 mm


H-field magnitude at maximum, H-field magnitude at lowest plane, Post-processing setup

- Sim4Life version: 8.0.1.15737
- Sim4Life solver: Low Frequency Magneto Quasi Static
- Conductivity: 0.750 S/m
- Tissue density: 1000 kg/m³
- Frequency: 360.96 kHz
- Resolution: X: 1.00 mm, Y: 1.00 mm, Z: 1.00 mm
- Simulation date: 2025/05/26 14:47:03

H-field magnitude [RMS] at center location



H-field magnitude [RMS] at lowest plane



7.2.7 Incident fields and induced quantities in the anatomical model (Scenario 3a)

$f = 360.96 \text{ kHz}$, $\sigma = 0.750 \text{ S/m}$, tissue density = $1,000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

			Peak E _{ind} (V/m, rms)		Peak J _{ind} (A/m ² , rms)	psSAR (mW/kg, rms)				H-field extent
Distance (mm)	Peak H _{inc} (A/m, rms)	Peak E _{inc} (V/m, rms)	Cube avg.	Line avg.	Surface avg.	LIMIT: 1600 1g avg.		Limit: 2000 10g avg.		-20 dB radius (mm)
						Meas.	Rep.*	Meas.	Rep.*	
0.0**	1.79	46.5	0.0309	0.0315	0.0209	4.59 e-4	0.000	2.6 e-4	0.000	64.5

*) SAR values were up-scaled to compensate for the unfulfilled Uncertainty budget by factor 1.03

**) Test-distance to charger surface: 20 mm / no closer distances measured

NOTE: Detailed test results are shown in the additional document: 1-9362-25-03-02_TR2-A102-R01.

7.2.8 cDASY8 Module WPT Measurement Report (Scenario 3b):

Device under test

- Model / Manufacturer: Bury - 128 kHz Dummy Load on production Sample
- Serial number: Sample 5
- Dimensions: 140 x 80 x 45 mm
- Measurement scenario: 1.6A 14.0V

Hardware setup

- DASY version DASY8 Module WPT 2.8.0.5947
- Software version: 2.8.8, backend: 2.2.36
- Probe model, serial no. and configuration date: MAGPy-8H3D+E3Dv2, WP000009, 2024/11/06

Scan setup

- Center location: X: 14.65 mm, Y: 14.65 mm, Z: 140.00 mm
- Dimensions: X: 168.6 mm, Y: 168.6 mm, Z: 36.0 mm
- Resolution: X: 7.33 mm, Y: 7.33 mm, Z: 7.33 mm
- Completed on: 2025/05/16 13:34:30


Measurement results

- Maximum H-field_[RMS]: 1.81 A/m
X: 552.60 mA/m, Y: 365.89 mA/m, Z: 1.68 A/m
- Maximum H-Field location relative to DUT: X: -3.68 mm, Y: -25.65 mm, Z: 8.50 mm
- Maximum E-field_[RMS]: 27.66 V/m
X: 1.46 V/m, Y: 1.21 V/m, Z: 27.59 V/m
- Maximum E-field location relative to DUT: X: 14.65 mm, Y: -14.68 mm, Z: 0.00 mm
- Distance to -20.0 dB boundary: 62.23 mm
- Offset relative to DUT: X: 14.65 mm, Y: 14.65 mm, Z: 1.00 mm


H-field magnitude at maximum, H-field magnitude at lowest plane, Post-processing setup

- Sim4Life version: 8.0.1.15737
- Sim4Life solver: Low Frequency Magneto Quasi Static
- Conductivity: 0.750 S/m
- Tissue density: 1000 kg/m³
- Frequency: 128.04 kHz
- Resolution: X: 1.00 mm, Y: 1.00 mm, Z: 1.00 mm
- Simulation date: 2025/05/26 14:32:38

H-field magnitude [rms] at center location



H-field magnitude [rms] at lowest plane



7.2.9 Incident fields and induced quantities in the anatomical model (Scenario 3b)

$f = 128.04 \text{ kHz}$, $\sigma = 0.750 \text{ S/m}$, tissue density = $1,000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

			Peak E _{ind} (V/m, rms)		Peak J _{ind} (A/m ² , rms)	psSAR (mW/kg, rms)				H-field extent
Distance (mm)	Peak H _{inc} (A/m, rms)	Peak E _{inc} (V/m, rms)	Cube avg.	Line avg.	Surface avg.	LIMIT: 1600 1g avg.		Limit: 2000 10g avg.		-20 dB radius (mm)
						Meas.	Rep.*	Meas.	Rep.*	
0.0**	3.79	27.7	0.0332	0.0339	0.0222	5.21 e-4	0.000	3.12 e-4	0.000	68.6

*) SAR values were up-scaled to compensate for the unfulfilled Uncertainty budget by factor 1.03

**) Test-distance to charger surface: 20 mm / no closer distances measured

NOTE: Detailed test results are shown in the additional document: 1-9362-25-03-02_TR2-A102-R01.

7.2.10 cDASY8 Module WPT Measurement Report (Scenario 4a):

Device under test

- Model / Manufacturer: Bury - 360 kHz CW
- Serial number: Sample 1
- Dimensions: 140 x 80 x 45 mm
- Measurement scenario: 0.46A / 14.03V

Hardware setup

- DASY version DASY8 Module WPT 2.8.0.5947
- Software version: 2.8.8, backend: 2.2.36
- Probe model, serial no. and configuration date: MAGPy-8H3D+E3Dv2, WP000009, 2024/11/06

Scan setup

- Center location: X: 14.58 mm, Y: 14.63 mm, Z: 123.46 mm
- Dimensions: X: 168.6 mm, Y: 168.7 mm, Z: 37.0 mm
- Resolution: X: 7.33 mm, Y: 7.33 mm, Z: 7.33 mm
- Completed on: 2025/05/15 17:15:48


Measurement results

- Maximum H-field_[RMS]: 599.83 A/m
X: 146.65 A/m, Y: 130.34 A/m, Z: 566.84 A/m
- Maximum H-Field location relative to DUT: X: 3.65 mm, Y: -3.68 mm, Z: 8.50 mm
- Maximum E-field_[RMS]: 74.19 V/m
X: 54.61 V/m, Y: 20.52 V/m, Z: 45.83 V/m
- Maximum E-field location relative to DUT: X: -14.68 mm, Y: 21.98 mm, Z: 0.00 mm
- Distance to -20.0 dB boundary: 23.19 mm
- Offset relative to DUT: X: 14.65 mm, Y: 14.65 mm, Z: 1.00 mm


H-field magnitude at maximum, H-field magnitude at lowest plane, Post-processing setup

- Sim4Life version: 8.0.1.15737
- Sim4Life solver: Low Frequency Magneto Quasi Static
- Conductivity: 0.750 S/m
- Tissue density: 1000 kg/m³
- Frequency: 360.36 kHz
- Resolution: X: 1.00 mm, Y: 1.00 mm, Z: 1.00 mm
- Simulation date: 2025/05/15 19:57:54

H-field magnitude [RMS] at center location



H-field magnitude [RMS] at lowest plane



7.2.11 Incident fields and induced quantities in the anatomical model (Scenario 4a)

$f = 360.36 \text{ kHz}$, $\sigma = 0.750 \text{ S/m}$, tissue density = $1,000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

			Peak E_{ind} (V/m, rms)		Peak J_{ind} (A/m ² , rms)	psSAR (mW/kg, rms)				H-field extent
Distance (mm)	Peak H_{inc} (A/m, rms)	Peak E_{inc} (V/m, rms)	Cube avg.	Line avg.	Surface avg.	LIMIT: 1600 1g avg.		Limit: 2000 10g avg.		-20 dB radius (mm)
						Meas.	Rep.*	Meas.	Rep.*	
0.0	1395	74.2	14.5	14.9	8.65	68.1	70.1	28.6	29.5	30.9
10.0	507	38.2	5.05	5.21	3.04	8.8	9.1	4.34	4.5	32.0
20.0	180	27.9	2.23	2.30	1.42	2.1	2.2	1.1	1.1	40.7

*) SAR values were up-scaled to compensate for the unfulfilled Uncertainty budget by factor 1.03

NOTE: Detailed test results are shown in the additional document: 1-9362-25-03-02_TR2-A102-R01.

7.2.12 cDASY8 Module WPT Measurement Report (Scenario 4b):

Device under test

- Model / Manufacturer: Bury - CW 128 kHz
- Serial number: Sample 3
- Dimensions: 140 x 80 x 45 mm
- Measurement scenario: 0.42A / 114.0V

Hardware setup

- DASY version DASY8 Module WPT 2.8.0.5947
- Software version: 2.8.8, backend: 2.2.36
- Probe model, serial no. and configuration date: MAGPy-8H3D+E3Dv2, WP000009, 2024/11/06

Scan setup

- Center location: X: 14.65 mm, Y: 14.65 mm, Z: 123.46 mm
- Dimensions: X: 168.6 mm, Y: 168.6 mm, Z: 37.0 mm
- Resolution: X: 7.33 mm, Y: 7.33 mm, Z: 7.33 mm
- Completed on: 2025/05/15 16:40:16


Measurement results

- Maximum H-field_[RMS]: 838.97 A/m
X: 41.46 A/m, Y: 147.17 A/m, Z: 824.92 A/m
- Maximum H-Field location relative to DUT: X: 3.65 mm, Y: -3.68 mm, Z: 8.50 mm
- Maximum E-field_[RMS]: 36.44 V/m
X: 29.87 V/m, Y: 12.89 V/m, Z: 16.41 V/m
- Maximum E-field location relative to DUT: X: 14.65 mm, Y: 21.98 mm, Z: 0.00 mm
- Distance to -20.0 dB boundary: 23.19 mm
- Offset relative to DUT: X: 14.65 mm, Y: 14.65 mm, Z: 1.00 mm


H-field magnitude at maximum, H-field magnitude at lowest plane, Post-processing setup

- Sim4Life version: 8.0.1.15737
- Sim4Life solver: Low Frequency Magneto Quasi Static
- Conductivity: 0.750 S/m
- Tissue density: 1000 kg/m³
- Frequency: 127.66 kHz
- Resolution: X: 1.00 mm, Y: 1.00 mm, Z: 1.00 mm
- Simulation date: 2025/05/30 17:14:29

H-field magnitude [RMS] at center location



H-field magnitude [RMS] at lowest plane



7.2.13 Incident fields and induced quantities in the anatomical model (Scenario 4b)

$f = 127.66 \text{ kHz}$, $\sigma = 0.750 \text{ S/m}$, tissue density = $1,000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

			Peak E_{ind} (V/m, rms)		Peak J_{ind} (A/m ² , rms)	psSAR (mW/kg, rms)				H-field extent
Distance (mm)	Peak H_{inc} (A/m, rms)	Peak E_{inc} (V/m, rms)	Cube avg.	Line avg.	Surface avg.	LIMIT: 1600 1g avg.		Limit: 2000 10g avg.		-20 dB radius (mm)
						Meas.	Rep.*	Meas.	Rep.*	
0.0	1903	36.4	7.09	7.27	4.26	16.8	17.3	7.13	7.3	31.2
10.0	712	19.1	2.53	2.61	1.55	2.3	2.4	1.1	1.1	32.8
20.0	255	12.6	1.14	1.18	0.737	0.56	0.58	0.305	0.31	41.6

*) SAR values were up-scaled to compensate for the unfulfilled Uncertainty budget by factor 1.03

NOTE: Detailed test results are shown in the additional document: 1-9362-25-03-02_TR2-A102-R01.

8 Test equipment and ancillaries used for tests

To simplify the identification of the test equipment and/or ancillaries which were used, the reporting of the relevant test cases only refer to the test item number as specified in the table below.

Equipment	Type	Manufacturer	Serial No.	Last Calibration	Frequency (months)
E / H Field Probe	MAGPy-8H3D+E3D V2	Schmid & Partner Engineering AG	3079	Oct 24, 2023	12
Data Acquisition System	MAGPy-DAS V2	Schmid & Partner Engineering AG	1023	Oct 24, 2023	12
400 kHz Reference source	V-Coil50/400	Schmid & Partner Engineering AG	1021	Nov 02, 2023	12
DASY8 Module WPT (2.8) software	SW – Version 2.8.0.5947	Schmid & Partner Engineering AG	---	N/A	--
Notebook Jupyter V3.6.5	SW – Version 2.8.8, backend: 2.2.36	Schmid & Partner Engineering AG	---	N/A	--
Sim4Life V Simulation Software (+Plug In)	SW – Version 8.0.1.15737	Schmid & Partner Engineering AG / ZMT Zurich MedTech AG	---	N/A	--

9 Observations

No observations exceeding those reported with the single test cases have been made.

Annex A: Photo documentation

Photo documentation is described in the additional document: **1-9362-25-03-02_TR2-A101-R01**

Annex B: Detailed test results from system WPT

Detailed test results from WPT System are in the additional document: **1-9362-25-03-02_TR2-A201-R01**

Annex C: Calibration parameters

Detailed test results from WPT System are in the additional document: **1-9362-25-03-02_TR2-A301-R01**

Annex D: Document History

Version	Applied Changes	Date of Release
R01	Initial Release	2024-10-07

Annex E: Further Information**Glossary**

DUT	-	Device under Test
EUT	-	Equipment under Test
FCC	-	Federal Communication Commission
FCC ID	-	Company Identifier at FCC
HW	-	Hardware
ISED	-	Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada
N/A	-	not applicable
S/N	-	Serial Number
SW	-	Software