UMD Technology

WABI 006

October 10, 2003

Report No. UMDT0004

Report Prepared By:



1-888-EMI-CERT

© 2003 Northwest EMC, Inc



Certificate of Test

Issue Date: October 10, 2003

UMD Technology Model: WABI 006

Emissions		
Description	Pass	Fail
FCC 15.209 Field Strength of Fundamental:2003 Radiated Emissions	\boxtimes	
FCC 15.209(a):2000 Radiated Emissions	\boxtimes	
FCC 15.207 AC Powerline Conducted Emissions:2003 Conducted Emissions	\boxtimes	

Modifications made to the product

See the Modifications section of this report

Test Facility

 The measurement facility used to collect the data is located at: Northwest EMC, Inc.; 22975 NW Evergreen Parkway, Suite 400; Hillsboro, OR 97124 Phone: (503) 844-4066 Fax: 844-3826 This site has been fully described in a report filed with and accepted by the FCC (Federal Communications Commission) and Industry Canada.

Approved By:	
The I	
Ethan Schoonover, Sultan Lab Manager	

This report must not be used to claim product certification, approval, or endorsement by NVLAP, NIST, or any agency of the federal government of the United States of America.

Product compliance is the responsibility of the client, therefore the tests and equipment modes of operation represented in this report were agreed upon by the client, prior to testing. This Report may only be duplicated in its entirety. The results of this test pertain only to the sample(s) tested, the specific description is noted in each of the individual sections of the test report supporting this certificate of test.



Revision Number	Description	Date	Page Number
00	None		



FCC: The Open Area Test Sites, and conducted measurement facilities, have been fully described in reports filed with the FCC and accepted by the FCC in letters maintained in our files.

TCB: Northwest EMC has been accredited by ANSI to ISO/IEC Guide 65 as a product certifier. We have been designated by the FCC as a Telecommunications Certification Body (TCB). This allows Northwest EMC to certify transmitters to FCC specifications in accordance with 47 CFR 2.960 and 2.962.

NVLAP: Accreditation has been granted to Northwest EMC, Inc. to perform the Electromagnetic Compatibility (EMC) tests described in the Scope of Accreditation. Assessment performed to ISO/IEC 17025. Certificate Number: 200629-0, Certificate Number: 200630-0.

Australia/New Zealand: The National Association of Testing Authorities (NATA), Australia has been appointed by the ACA as an accreditation body to accredit test laboratories and competent bodies for EMC standards. Accredited test reports or assessments by competent bodies must carry the NATA logo. Test reports made by an overseas laboratory that has been accredited for the relevant standards by an overseas accreditation body that has a Mutual Recognition Agreement (MRA) with NATA are also accepted as technical grounds for product conformity. The report should be endorsed with the respective logo of the accreditation body. (NVLAP)

TUV Product Service: Included in TUV Product Service Group's Listing of Recognized Laboratories. It qualifies in connection with the TUV Certification after Recognition of Agent's Testing Program for the product categories and/or standards shown in TUV's current Listing of CARAT Laboratories available from TUV. A certificate was issued to represent that this laboratory continues to meet TUV's CARAT Program requirements. Certificate No. USA0302C

TÜV Rheinland: Authorized to carryout EMC tests by order and under supervision of TÜV Rheinland. This authorization is based on "Conditions for EMC-Subcontractors" of November 1992.











NEMKO: Assessed and accredited by NEMKO (Norwegian testing and certification body) for European emissions and immunity testing. As a result of NEMKO's laboratory assessment, they will accept test results from Northwest EMC, Inc. for product certification (Authorization No. ELA 119).	(N) NEMKO
Technology International: Assessed in accordance with ISO Guide 25 defining the general international requirements for the competence of calibration and testing laboratories and with ITI assessment criteria LACO196. Based upon that assessment Interference Technology International, Ltd., has granted approval for specifications implementing the EU Directive on EMC (89/336/EEC and amendments). The scope of the approval was provided on a Schedule of Assessment supplied with the certificate and is available upon request.	
Industry Canada: Accredited by Industry Canada for performance of radiated measurements. Our open area test sites comply with RSS 212, Issue 1 (Provisional).	*
VCCI: Accepted as an Associate Member to the VCCI, Acceptance No. 564. Conducted and radiated measurement facilities have been registered in accordance with Regulations for Voluntary Control Measures, Article 8. (<i>Registration Nos Evergreen: C-1071 and R-1025, Trails End: C-694 and R-677, Sultan: C-905, R-871 and R-1172, North Sioux City C-1246, R-1185 and R-1217</i>)	I)
BSMI: Northwest EMC has been designated by NIST and validated by C- Taipei (BSMI) as a CAB to conduct tests as described in the APEC Mutual Recognition Agreement. License No.SL2-IN-E-1017.	BSMI
CAB: Designated by NIST and validated by the European Commission as a Conformity Assessment Body (CAB) to conduct tests and approve products to the EMC directive and transmitters to the R&TTE directive, as described in the U.S EU Mutual Recognition Agreement	CE
GOST: Northwest EMC, Inc. has been assessed and accredited by the Russian Certification bodies Certinform VNIINMASH, CERTINFO, SAMTES, and Federal CHEC, to perform EMC and Hygienic testing for Information Technology Products. As a result of their laboratory assessment, they will accept test results from Northwest EMC, Inc. for product certification	F



Scope

Revision 07/31/03

	AP	с U	E.	S	V land	ş	ology tional	stry Ida	5	ö	ЗТ	Ā
	NVL	Ğ	NIS	TUV	TU Rhein	Nem	Techno Internat	Indus Cana	BSI	ACC ACC	GO\$	LAN
IEC 61000-4-2	\checkmark			\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark					
IEC 61000-4-3	\checkmark			~	~	~	\checkmark					
IEC 61000-4-4	\checkmark			\checkmark	~	~	~					
IEC 61000-4-5	~			\checkmark	~	~	\checkmark					
IEC 61000-4-6	~			\checkmark	~	~	\checkmark					
IEC 61000-4-8	~			\checkmark	~	~	\checkmark					
IEC 61000-4-11	\checkmark			\checkmark	\checkmark	~	\checkmark					
IEC 61000-3-2	~			\checkmark	~	~	\checkmark					
IEC 61000-3-3	\checkmark			\checkmark	~	~	\checkmark					
AS/NZS 3548	~											~
CNS 13438	~								~			
ISO/IEC17025	\checkmark			\checkmark	\checkmark	~	\checkmark		\checkmark			
Radiated Emissions	~			\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	~	~	\checkmark	
Conducted Emissions	\checkmark			\checkmark	\checkmark	~	\checkmark	~	~	~	\checkmark	
OATS Sites	~	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	~	~	\checkmark	
Hillsboro 5-Meter Chamber (EV01)	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
TCB for Licensed Transmitters		\checkmark										
TCB for un-Licensed Transmitters		\checkmark										
Cab for R&TTE			\checkmark									
CAB for EMC			\checkmark									
This chart represents only a partia	INVLAI	Scope full NVL	, please AP Sco	reference be of Ac	creditatio	t <mark>s.nist.g</mark> on	ov/ts/htd	ocs/210/	214/214	<u>.htm</u>		



What is measurement uncertainty?

When a measurement is made, the result will be different from the true or theoretically correct value. The difference is the result of tolerances in the measurement system that cannot be completely eliminated. To the extent that technology allows us, it has been our aim to minimize this error. The following statement of measurement uncertainty is used to reflect the accuracy of the measured result as compared with its "true" value. In the case of transient tests (ESD, EFT, Surge, Voltage Dips and Interruptions), the test equipment has been demonstrated by calibration to provide at least a 95% confidence that it complies with the test specification requirements.

The following documents were the basis for determining the uncertainty levels of our measurements:

- "ISO Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurements", October 1993
- "NIS81: The Treatment of Uncertainty in EMC Measurements", May 1994
- "IEC CISPR 16-3 A1 f1 Ed.1: Radio-interference measurements and statistical techniques", December 2000

How might measurement uncertainty be applied to test results?

If the diamond marks the measured value for the test and the vertical bars bracket the range of + and – measurement uncertainty, then test results can be interpreted from the diagram below.





Radiated Emissions ≤ 1 GHz		Value (dB)						
	Probability	ity Biconical		ability Biconical Log Pe		eriodic	Di	pole	
	Distribution	Antenna		Antenna		Ante	nna	An	tenna
Test Distance		3m	10m	3m	10m	3m	10m		
Combined standard	normal	+ 1.86	+ 1.82	+ 2.23	+ 1.29	+ 1.31	+ 1.25		
uncertainty <i>u_c(y)</i>		- 1.88	- 1.87	- 1.41	- 1.26	- 1.27	- 1.25		
Expanded uncertainty U	normal (k=2)	+ 3.72	+ 3.64	+ 4.46	+ 2.59	+ 2.61	+ 2.49		
(level of confidence \approx 95%)		- 3.77	- 3.73	-2.81	- 2.52	- 2.55	- 2.49		

Radiated Emissions > 1 GHz	Value (dB)		
	Probability	Without High	With High
	Distribution	Pass Filter	Pass Filter
Combined standard uncertainty <i>u_c(y)</i>	normal	+ 1.29	+ 1.38
		- 1.25	- 1.35
Expanded uncertainty U	normal (k=2)	+ 2.57	+ 2.76
(level of confidence \approx 95%)		- 2.51	2.70

Conducted Emissions		
	Probability	Value
	Distribution	(+/- dB)
Combined standard uncertainty <i>uc(y)</i>	normal	1.48
Expanded uncertainty U (level of confidence ≈ 95 %)	normal (k = 2)	2.97

Radiated Immunity		
	Probability	Value
	Distribution	(+/- dB)
Combined standard uncertainty <i>uc(y)</i>	normal	1.05
Expanded uncertainty U	normal $(k - 2)$	2 11
(level of confidence \approx 95 %)	$\operatorname{Hormal}\left(R=2\right)$	2.11

Conducted Immunity		
	Probability	Value
	Distribution	(+/- dB)
Combined standard uncertainty <i>uc(y</i>)	normal	1.05
Expanded uncertainty U (level of confidence ≈ 95 %)	normal (k = 2)	2.10

Legend

 $u_c(y)$ = square root of the sum of squares of the individual standard uncertainties

U = combined standard uncertainty multiplied by the coverage factor: **k**. This defines an interval about the measured result that will encompass the true value with a confidence level of approximately 95%. If a higher level of confidence is required, then k=3 (CL of 99.7%) can be used. Please note that with a coverage factor of one, uc(y) yields a confidence level of only 68%.

Northwest EMC

Facilities











California

Orange County Facility 41 Tesla Ave. Irvine, CA 92618 (888) 364-2378 FAX (503) 844-3826

Oregon

Evergreen Facility 22975 NW Evergreen Pkwy., Suite 400 Hillsboro, OR 97124 (503) 844-4066 FAX (503) 844-3826

Oregon

Trails End Facility 30475 NE Trails End Lane Newberg, OR 97132 (503) 844-4066 FAX (503) 537-0735

South Dakota

North Sioux City Facility

745 N. Derby Lane P.O. Box 217 North Sioux City, SD 57049 (605) 232-5267 FAX (605) 232-3873

Washington

Sultan Facility

14128 339th Ave. SE Sultan, WA 98294 (888) 364-2378 FAX (360) 793-2536



Party Requesting the Test

Company Name:	UMD Technology
Address:	14945 SW Sequoia Pkwy, #100
City, State, Zip:	Portland, OR 97224
Test Requested By:	Brian Denheyer
Model:	WABI 006
First Date of Test:	10-01-2003
Last Date of Test:	10-08-2003
Receipt Date of Samples:	09-30-2003
Equipment Design Stage:	Pre-Production
Equipment Condition:	No visual damage.

Information Provided by the Party Requesting the Test

Clocks/Oscillators:	80 kHz, 4 MHz, 12 MHz
I/O Ports:	Serial for Debug / Test only, Telecom (RJ-11) ports, battery charging port

Functional Description of the EUT (Equipment Under Test):

902 - 928 MHz FSK Transceiver

Client Justification for EUT Selection:

The product is an engineering sample, representative of the final product.

Client Justification for Test Selection

These Tests satisfy the requirements for FCC certification.



Equipment modifications						
Item #	Test	Date	Modification	Note		
1	Field Strength of Fundamental	10-01-2003	The lowest channel to be used was increased to 903.096 MHz (channel 1) & the highest channel to be used was reduced to 927.106 MHz (channel 37).	Modified from delivered configuration.		
2	AC Powerline conducted Emissions	10-02-2003	No EMI suppression devices were added or modified during this test.	Same configuration as in previous test.		



Justification

The individuals and/or the organization requesting the test provided the modes, configurations and settings available to evaluate. While scanning the radiated emissions, all of the EUT parameters listed below were investigated. This includes, but may not be limited to, antennas, tuned transmit frequency ranges, operating modes, and data rates.

Channels in Specified Band Investigated:			
High			
Mid			
Low			

Operating Modes Investigated: Transmit

Data Rates Investigated:	
Maximum	

Output Power Setting(s) Investigated:	
Maximum	

Power Input Settings Investigated: 120 VAC, 60 Hz.

Software\Firmware Applied During Test							
Exercise software Tera Term Pro Version 2.3							
Description							
The system was tested using special software developed to test all functions of the device during the test.							

EUT and Peripherals						
Description	Manufacturer	Model/Part Number	Serial Number			
AC Power Adapter	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown			
EUT- Base Station	UMD Technology	Wabi 006	024			
Remote	UMD Technology	Wabi 007	011			

Cables							
Cable Type	Shield	Length (m)	Ferrite	Connection 1	Connection 2		
DC Leads	No	1.8	PA	EUT	AC Mains		
Recharger Lead	No	1.8	PA	EUT	Handset		
Telecom	No	1.0	No	EUT	Unterminated		
Telecom	No	1.8	No	EUT	Unterminated		
PA = Cable is permanently attached to the device. Shielding and/or presence of ferrite may be unknown.							



Measurement Equipment							
Description	Manufacturer	Model	Identifier	Last Cal	Interval		
Spectrum Analyzer	Hewlett-Packard	8566B	AAL	01/07/2003	12 mo		
Quasi-Peak Adapter	Hewlett-Packard	85650A	AQF	01/07/2003	12 mo		
Spectrum Analyzer Display	Hewlett Packard	85662A	AALD	01/07/2003	12 mo		
LISN	Solar	9252-50-R-24-BNC	LIN	12/12/2002	12 mo		
High Pass Filter	TTE	H97-100k-50-720B	HFC	01/02/2003	12 mo		

Test Description

<u>Configuration</u>: The EUT will be powered from a device that could be connected to the AC power line. Therefore, the measurements were made on the device used to power the EUT. The AC power line conducted emissions were measured with the EUT operating at the lowest, the highest, and a middle channel in the operational band. The EUT was transmitting at its maximum data rate. For each mode, the spectrum was scanned from 150 kHz to 30 MHz. The test setup and procedures were in accordance with ANSI C63.4.

Completed by:					
Holy Aligh					



















Justification

The individuals and/or the organization requesting the test provided the modes, configurations and settings available to evaluate. While scanning the radiated emissions, all of the EUT parameters listed below were investigated. This includes, but may not be limited to, antennas, tuned transmit frequency ranges, operating modes, and data rates.

Channels in Specified Band Investigated:			
Low			
Mid			
High			
High			

Operating Modes Investigated: Typical

Antennas Investigated: Integral

Data Rates Investigated: Maximum

Output Power Setting(s) Investigated: Maximum

Power Input Settings Investigated: Battery

Software\Firmware Applied During TestExercise softwareSpecial Test SoftwareVersionBase5_0916_ReleaseToShastaDescriptionThe system was tested using special software developed to test all functions of the device during the test.

EUT and Peripherals						
Description Manufacturer Model/Part Number Serial Numb						
EUT- Base Station	UMD Technology	Wabi 006	024			
AC Power Adapter	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown			

Cables							
Cable Type	Shield	Length (m)	Ferrite	Connection 1	Connection 2		
DC Leads	No	1.8	PA	EUT	AC Mains		
Recharger Lead	No	1.8	PA	EUT	Unterminated		
Telecom	No	1.0	No	EUT	Unterminated		
Telecom	No	1.8	No	EUT	Unterminated		
PA = Cable is permanently attached to the device. Shielding and/or presence of ferrite may be unknown.							

Measurement Equipment					
Description	Manufacturer	Model	Identifier	Last Cal	Interval
Spectrum Analyzer	Hewlett-Packard	8566B	AAL	01/07/2003	12 mo
Quasi-Peak Adapter	Hewlett-Packard	85650A	AQF	01/07/2003	12 mo
Antenna, Biconilog	EMCO	3141	AXE	12/31/2001	36 mo

Test Description

Requirement: The field strength of the fundamental emission shall comply with the limits, as defined in 47 CFR 15.249. Field strength limits are specified at a distance of 3 meters.

Configuration: The antennas to be used with the EUT were tested. The EUT was transmitting and/or receiving while set at the lowest channel, a middle channel, and the highest channel available. While scanning, emissions from the EUT were maximized by rotating the EUT, adjusting the measurement antenna height and polarization, and manipulating the EUT antenna in 3 orthogonal planes (per ANSI C63.4:1992).

Completed by:

Pochy te Relings











Justification

The individuals and/or the organization requesting the test provided the modes, configurations and settings available to evaluate. While scanning the radiated emissions, all of the EUT parameters listed below were investigated. This includes, but may not be limited to, antennas, tuned transmit frequency ranges, operating modes, and data rates.

Channels in Specified Band Investigated:
High
Mid
Low

Operating Modes Investigated:	
Receive	
Transmit	

Data Rates Investigated: Maximum

Output Power Setting(s) Investigated:	
Maximum	

Power Input Settings Investigated:	
120 VAC, 60 Hz.	

Frequency Range Invest	igated		
Start Frequency	30 MHz	Stop Frequency	10 GHz

Software\Firmware Appli	ied During Test		
Exercise software	Terra Term Pro	Version	2.3
Description			
The system was tested us	ing special software develo	ped to test all functions of t	he device during the test.

EUT and Peripherals				
Description	Manufacturer	Model/Part Number	Serial Number	
AC Power Adapter	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	
EUT- Base Station UMD Technology Wabi 006 024				
Equipment isolated from the EUT se	o as not to contribute to the measu	rement result is considered to be outside	the test setup boundary	

Cables					
Cable Type	Shield	Length (m)	Ferrite	Connection 1	Connection 2
DC Leads	No	1.8	PA	EUT	AC Mains
Recharger Lead	No	1.8	PA	EUT	Unterminated
Telecom	No	1.0	No	EUT	Unterminated
Telecom	No	1.8	No	EUT	Unterminated
PA = Cable is permanently attached to the device. Shielding and/or presence of ferrite may be unknown.					

Measurement Equip	ment				
Description	Manufacturer	Model	Identifier	Last Cal	Interval
Spectrum Analyzer	Hewlett-Packard	8566B	AAL	01/07/2003	12 mo
Quasi-Peak Adapter	Hewlett-Packard	85650A	AQF	01/07/2003	12 mo
Antenna, Biconilog	EMCO	3141	AXE	12/31/2001	36 mo
Pre-Amplifier	Amplifier Research	LN1000A	APS	01/06/2003	12 mo
Antenna, Horn	EMCO	3115	AHC	09/18/2003	12 mo
Pre-Amplifier	Miteq	AMF-4D-005180-24-10P	APJ	01/06/2003	12 mo
High Pass Filter	Hewlett-Packard	84300-80037	HFE	05/01/2003	12 mo

Test Description

Requirement: The field strength of harmonics and spurious radiated emissions shall comply with the limits as defined in 47 CFR 15.249. Field strength limits are specified at a distance of 3 meters. Emissions radiated outside of the specified frequency bands, except for harmonics, shall be attenuated by at least 50 dB below the level of the fundamental or to the general radiated emission limits in Sec. 15.209, whichever is the lesser attenuation. As shown in Sec. 15.35(b), for frequencies above 1000 MHz, the field strength limits are based on average limits. However, the peak field strength of any emission shall not exceed the maximum permitted average limits specified in Sec. 15.249 by more than 20 dB under any condition of modulation.

Configuration: The antennas to be used with the EUT were tested. The EUT was transmitting and receiving while set at the lowest channel, a middle channel, and the highest channel available. While scanning, emissions from the EUT were maximized by rotating the EUT, adjusting the measurement antenna height and polarization, and manipulating the EUT antenna in 3 orthogonal planes (per ANSI C63.4:1992). A preamp and high pass filter were used for this test in order to provide sufficient measurement sensitivity.

Bandwidths Used for Me	asurements		
Frequency Range (MHz)	Peak Data (kHz)	Quasi-Peak Data (kHz)	Average Data (kHz)
0.01 – 0.15	1.0	0.2	0.2
0.15 – 30.0	10.0	9.0	9.0
30.0 - 1000	100.0	120.0	120.0
Above 1000	1000.0	N/A	1000.0
Measurements were made using the bandwidths and detectors specified. No video filter was used.			

Completed b	by:
Holy	stight













