



American Telecommunications Certification Body Inc.
6731 Whittier Ave, McLean, VA 22101

February 24, 2004

RE: Athena Feminine Technologies

FCC ID: RMLE03946001

I have a few comments on the above referenced Application.

- 1) Please provide an updated users manual that includes the DoC information previously mentioned in comment 2.
- 2) Please provide a clearer/closer photograph of the top of the main board in the remote (main component side).
- 3) The operational description provided does not necessarily appear to be the detailed technical operational description referenced in the confidentiality request letter but simply a portion of the users instructions. The operational description recent uploaded does not appear to contain any confidential information and therefore confidentiality can not be granted on this item. Is there another file provided that confidentiality was referencing? If so, please provide or alternatively please remove the request for confidentiality from the request letter.
- 4) The label provided shows "FCC ID: E03946001". However, from the 731 form, it is expected that the label would state "FCC ID: RMLE03946001". Please provide an updated label as referenced in your previous response.
- 5) The TX label (not RX label as referenced in the response) should include the 2 part statement specified in 15.19 (a)(3). Please provide a corrected copy as referenced in your response.
- 6) Please provide a corrected users manual that corrects the spelling to the word "modifications" given on page 14.
- 7) The proposed labeling appears to be a good idea, but is not consistent with past FCC interpretations (such as devices that are swallowed) which have stipulated that the FCC information be placed in the manual and product packaging. To be consistent with this, it is recommended that for the receiver the FCC logo and 2 phrases ("Tested to Comply..." and "For Home or Office Use") be placed in the users manual and packaging. See attached interpretation. Note that the interpretation is for a Certification, however the same subsection relating to this issue appears for both Certifications and DoC's (15.19(a)(5) and 15.19(b)(3)). While the proposed labeling seems viable, it would be best to obtain a response from the FCC regarding this issue prior to implementing. Please let us know how you wish to proceed with this issue.

Timothy R. Johnson
Examining Engineer

[mailto: tjohnson@AmericanTCB.com](mailto:tjohnson@AmericanTCB.com)

The items indicated above must be submitted before processing can continue on the above referenced application. Failure to provide the requested information may result in application termination. Correspondence should be considered part of the permanent submission and may be viewed from the Internet after a Grant of Equipment Authorization is issued.

Please do not respond to this correspondence using the email reply button. In order for your response to be processed expeditiously, you must submit your documents through the AmericanTCB.com website. Also, please note that partial responses increase processing time and should not be submitted.

Any questions about the content of this correspondence should be directed to the sender.