


RF TEST REPORT



Report No.: FCC_SAR_SL14022501-SLX-003_Rev1.0

Supersede Report No.: FCC_SAR_SL14022501-SLX-003

Applicant	Hayward Industries, Inc.
Product Name	SDIO Wireless Module
Model No.	SX-SDMAN
Host Product Name	Waterproof Remote
Host Model No.	HL Waterproof
Test Standard	47CFR 2.1093, IEEE C95.1-1999 OET 65 C (Ed 01-01), RSS 102 Issue 5.0, IEEE 1528: 2013, IEC 62209-2: 2010
Test Method	IEEE 1528: 2013, IEC 62209-2: 2010 KDB 447498 D01 General RF Exposure Guidance v05r02 KDB 248227 D01 SAR measurement procedures for 802.11 a/b/g v01r02 KDB 865664 D01 SAR Measurement Requirements for 3 to 6 GHz v01r03
FCC ID	RNW-SDMAN
IC ID	5110A-SDMAN
Date of test	01/27/2015 – 02/02/2015
Issue Date	03/31/2015
Test Result	<u>Pass</u> Fail
Equipment complied with the specification	[x]
Equipment did not comply with the specification	[]
	
Nima Molaei	David Zhang
Test Engineer	Engineer Reviewer
This test report may be reproduced in full only Test result presented in this test report is applicable to the tested sample only	

Issued By:
SIEMIC Laboratories
775 Montague Expressway, Milpitas, 95035 CA



775 Montague Expressway, Milpitas, CA 95035, USA • Phone: (+1) 408 526 1188 • Facsimile (+1) 408 526 1088

Visit us at: www.siemic.com; Follow us at:



Laboratory Introduction

SIEMIC, headquartered in the heart of Silicon Valley, with superior facilities in US and Asia, is one of the leading independent testing and certification facilities providing customers with one-stop shop services for Compliance Testing and Global Certifications.



In addition to testing and certification, SIEMIC provides initial design reviews and compliance management throughout a project. Our extensive experience with China, Asia Pacific, North America, European, and International compliance requirements, assures the fastest, most cost effective way to attain regulatory compliance for the global markets.

Accreditations for Conformity Assessment

Country/Region	Accreditation Body	Scope
USA	FCC, A2LA	EMC , RF/Wireless , Telecom
Canada	IC, A2LA, NIST	EMC, RF/Wireless , Telecom
Taiwan	BSMI , NCC , NIST	EMC, RF, Telecom , Safety
Hong Kong	OFTA , NIST	RF/Wireless ,Telecom
Australia	NATA, NIST	EMC, RF, Telecom , Safety
Korea	KCC/RRR, NIST	EMI, EMS, RF , Telecom, Safety
Japan	VCCI, JATE, TELEC, RFT	EMI, RF/Wireless, Telecom
Mexico	NOM, COFETEL, Caniety	Safety, EMC , RF/Wireless, Telecom
Europe	A2LA, NIST	EMC, RF, Telecom , Safety
Israel	MOC, NIST	EMC, RF, Telecom, Safety

Accreditations for Product Certifications

Country	Accreditation Body	Scope
USA	FCC TCB, NIST	EMC , RF , Telecom
Canada	IC FCB , NIST	EMC , RF , Telecom
Singapore	iDA, NIST	EMC , RF , Telecom
EU	NB	EMC & R&TTE Directive
Japan	MIC (RCB 208)	RF , Telecom
HongKong	OFTA (US002)	RF , Telecom

CONTENTS

1	REPORT REVISION HISTORY	5
2	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	6
3	CUSTOMER INFORMATION	6
4	TEST SITE INFORMATION	6
5	MODIFICATION	6
6	EUT INFORMATION	7
6.1	EUT Description	7
6.2	Radio Description	7
6.3	EUT Photo.....	8
6.5	Host External Photos	9
6.6	Host Internal Photos	10
6.7	Test Setup Photos.....	11
6.8	Antenna Location	12
7	SUPPORTING EQUIPMENT/SOFTWARE AND CABLING DESCRIPTION.....	14
7.1	Supporting Equipment	14
7.2	Test Software Description	14
7.3	EUT Power level setting	14
8	TEST SUMMARY	15
9	SAR INTRODUCTION	16
	Introduction.....	16
	SAR Definition.....	16
10	SAR MEASUREMENT SETUP.....	17
	Dosimetric Assessment System	17
	Measurement System Diagram	17
	SAM Phantom.....	19
	Device Holder	19
	Data Evaluation.....	20
	SAR Evaluation – Peak Spatial - Average	21
	SAR Evaluation – Peak SAR	21
	Extrapolation.....	21
	Definition of Reference Points.....	22
	Test Configuration – Positioning for Cheek / Touch.....	23
	Test Configuration – Positioning for Ear / 15° Tilt.....	24
	Test Position – Body Worn Configurations	24
11	MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	25
12	LIQUID VALIDATION	27
	Liquid Validation.....	27
13	SYSTEM VALIDATION AND SYSTEM VERIFICATION	29

13.1	System Validation	29
13.2	System Verification	31
14	OUTPUT POWER MEASUREMENT RESULTS.....	33
15	SAR TEST RESULTS	35
16	SYSTEM PERFORMANCE PLOTS	37
17	SAR TEST PLOTS.....	38
ANNEX A. TEST INSTRUMENT		51
ANNEX B. PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT		52
ANNEX C. DIPOLES CALIBRATION REPORT		62
ANNEX D. SIEMIC ACCREDITATION		73

1 Report Revision History

Report No.	Report Version	Description	Issue Date
FCC_SAR_SL14022501-SLX-003	Original	Original	02/12/2015
FCC_SAR_SL14022501-SLX-003_Rev1.0	1.0	- Update tune-up power value - Update RSS 102 to issue 5 - Declare worse case position	03/31/2015

2 Executive Summary

The purpose of this test program was to demonstrate compliance of following product

Company: Hayward Industries, Inc.
Product: SDIO Wireless Module
Model: SX-SDMAN
Host Product Name: Waterproof Remote
Host Model Name: HL Waterproof

, which is FCC certified radio module (FCC ID: RNW-SDMAN, IC ID: 5110A-SDMAN), to be installed into a Handheld host (Model: HL Waterproof), against the current Stipulated Standards. The specified model product stated above has demonstrated compliance with the Stipulated Standard listed on 1st page.

Highest Measured SAR	Mode	Highest 1g SAR
	DTS band (2.4GHz)	0.169 w/kg(body)

3 Customer information

Applicant Name	Hayward Industries, Inc.
Applicant Address	620 Division Street, Elizabeth, NJ 07201
Manufacturer Name	Hayward Industries, Inc.
Manufacturer Address	620 Division Street, Elizabeth, NJ 07201

4 Test site information

Lab performing tests	SIEMIC Laboratories
Lab Address	775 Montague Expressway, Milpitas, CA 95035
FCC Test Site No.	881796
IC Test Site No.	4842D-2
VCCI Test Site No.	A0133

5 Modification

Index	Item	Description	Note
-	-	-	-

6 EUT Information

6.1 EUT Description

Product Name	SDIO Wireless Module
Model No.	SX-SDMAN
Trade Name	Hayward
Host Product Name	Waterproof Remote
Host Model No.	HL Waterproof
Host Serial No.	N/A
Input Power	5VDC
Power Adapter Manu/Model	EPSA050120U-P5P-SZ
Product Software version	v3.1.63.48
Product Hardware version	ver. A
Test SW Version	Attestcmd v3.1
Date of EUT received	01/10/2015
Equipment Class/ Category	DTS
Port/Connectors	Serial Port
Remark	-

Additional EUT Information

Any variants of the primary device? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, please list the different models & differences:

Accessories (Sold with device):

The device uses configuration: ☒ Handheld Device ☒ Body worn Device ☐ Held to ear ☐ Data Grip

Is the device being sold with multiple antenna options? ☐ Yes ☒ No


Power Adaptor: ☐ With DC Adaptor ☒ With AC Adaptor

Battery Configuration: ☒ Fixed Battery ☐ Removable/Swappable

6.2 Radio Description

WLAN 2.4GHz	802.11b/g/n-HT20: 2412-2462MHz
Antenna Type	Prestta WLAN Embedded Antenna
Antenna Gain	WLAN (2.4GHz): 2.5 dBi
Antenna Connector Type	U. FL connector
Radio Software version	v3.1.63.48
Radio Hardware version	ver. A

6.3 EUT Photo



6.5 Host External Photos



EUT – Front View



EUT – Rear View



EUT – Left View



EUT – Right View



EUT – Top View



EUT – Bottom View


6.6 Host Internal Photos




Host – Without cover




Host –LCD Display




Host – PCBA Main Board Top View



Host – PCBA Main Board Bottom View



Host – Embedded Antenna Close up View



Host PCBA with EUT Close up View

Note: EUT has 2 antenna ports, but only one antenna will be used at a time. No simultaneous transmission will occur.

6.7 Test Setup Photos



LCD-Up touch Test Setup



LCD-Down touch Test Setup



Top Side Touch Test Setup





Right Side Touch Test Setup



Left Side Touch Test Setup

6.8 Antenna Location



Note: The distance between antenna and top edge is less compare to contour portion of top, so Top Side Edge was considered as a worst case for SAR measurement.

Antennas



7 Supporting Equipment/Software and cabling Description

7.1 Supporting Equipment

Item	Supporting Equipment Description	Model	Serial Number	Manufacturer	Note
1	Laptop	PP30L	J6HRN1	Dell	-

7.2 Test Software Description

Test Item	Software	Description
RF Testing	Tera Term Version 4.84 Athtestcmd v3.1	Set the EUT to transmit continuously in different test mode

7.3 EUT Power level setting

Mode	Frequency (MHz)	Power setting (dBm)
802.11-b	2412	13
802.11-b	2437	13
802.11-b	2462	13
802.11-g	2412	8
802.11-g	2437	13
802.11-g	2462	8.5
802.11-n-20	2412	7
802.11-n-20	2437	12
802.11-n-20	2462	7.5

8 Test Summary

Test Item	Test standard		Test Method/Procedure		Pass / Fail
SAR	FCC	OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C	IEEE	Std 1528-2013, FCC KDBs	☒ Pass ☐ N/A

9 SAR Introduction

Introduction

SAR is related to the rate at which energy is absorbed per unit mass in an object exposed to a radio field. The SAR distribution in a biological body is complicated and is usually carried out by experimental techniques or numerical modeling. The standard recommends limits for two tiers of groups, occupational/controlled and general population/uncontrolled, based on a person's awareness and ability to exercise control over his or her exposure. In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are higher than the limits for general population/uncontrolled.

SAR Definition

Specific Absorption Rate is defined as the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dW) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dV) of a given density (ρ).

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dW}{dm} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dW}{\rho dV} \right)$$

SAR is expressed in units of watts per kilogram (W/kg). SAR can be related to the electric field at a point by

$$SAR = \frac{\sigma |E|^2}{\rho}$$

Where:


σ = conductivity of the tissue (S/m)
ρ = mass density of the tissue (kg/m³)
E = RMS electric field strength (V/m)

10 SAR Measurement Setup

Dosimetric Assessment System

These measurements were performed with the automated near-field scanning system OPENSAR from SATIMO. The system is based on a high precision robot (working range: 850 mm), which positions the probes with a positional repeatability of better than ± 0.02 mm. Special E- and H-field probes have been developed for measurements close to material discontinuity, the sensors of which are directly loaded with a Schottky diode and connected via highly resistive lines to the data acquisition unit.

Measurement System Diagram



The OPENSAR system for performing compliance tests consist of the following items:

- A standard high precision 6-axis robot (KUKA) with controller and software.
- KUKA Control Panel (KCP).
- A dosimetric probe, i.e., an isotropic E-field probe optimized and calibrated for usage in tissue simulating liquid. The probe is equipped with an optical surface detector system.
- A computer operating Windows XP.
- OPENSAR software.
- Remote control with teaches pendant and additional circuitry for robot safety such as warning lamps, etc.
- The SAM phantom enabling testing left-hand right-hand and body usage.
- The Position device for handheld EUT.
- Tissue simulating liquid mixed according to the given recipes.
- System validation dipoles to validate the proper functioning of the system.

EP100 Probe

The SAR measurements were conducted with dosimetric probe (manufactured by SATIMO), designed in the classical triangular configuration and optimized for dosimetric evaluation. The probe has been calibrated according to the procedure described in SAR standard with accuracy of better than $\pm 10\%$.



It is connected to the KRC box on the robot arm and provides an automatic detection of the phantom surface. The 3D file of the phantom is include in OpenSAR software. The Video Positioning System allow the system to take the automatic reference and to move the probe safely and accurately on the phantom.

Parameter	Description
Frequency Range	100 MHz to 6 GHz
Linearity	0.25 dB (100 MHz to 6 GHz)
Directivity	0.25 dB in brain tissue (rotation around probe axis) 0.5 dB in brain tissue (rotation normal probe axis)
Dynamic	0.001W/kg to > 100W/kg
Range Linearity	0.25 dB
Surface	0.2 mm repeatability in air and liquids
Dimensions Overall length	330 mm
Tip length	16 mm
Body diameter	8 mm
Tip diameter	2.6 mm
Distance from probe tip to dipole centers	<1.5 mm

E-Field Probe Calibration

Each probe is calibrated according to a dosimetric assessment procedure described in SAR standard with accuracy better than $\pm 10\%$. The spherical isotropy was evaluated with the procedure described in SAR standard and found to be better than $\pm 0.25\text{dB}$. The sensitivity parameters (NormX, NormY, NormZ), the diode compression parameter (DCP) and the conversion factor (ConvF) of the probe are tested.

The free space E-field from probe outputs is determined in a test chamber. This is performed in a TEM cell for frequencies bellow 0.8 GHz, and in a waveguide above 0.8 GHz for free space. For the free space calibration, the probe is placed in the volumetric center of the cavity and at the proper orientation with the field. E-field correlation calibration is performed in a flat phantom filled with the appropriate simulated brain tissue.

SAM Phantom

The SAM Phantom SAM29 is constructed of a fiberglass shell Integrated in a wooden table. The shape of the shell is in compliance with the specification set in IEEE P1528 and CENELEC EN62209-1.

The phantom enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right hand phone usage as well as body mounted usage at the flat phantom region.

A cover prevents the evaporation of the liquid.

Reference markings on the Phantom allow the complete setup of all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids by manually teaching three points in the robot.

Shell Thickness: 0.2 mm

Filling Volume: Approx. 25 liters

Dimensions (H x L x W): 810 x 1000 x 500 mm

Liquid is filled to at least 15mm from the bottom of Phantom.



Device Holder

In combination with the Generic Twin Phantom V3.0, the Mounting Device enables the rotation of the mounted transmitter in spherical coordinates whereby the rotation points is the ear opening. The devices can be easily, accurately, and repeatedly positioned according to the FCC and CENELEC specifications. The device holder can be locked at different phantom locations (left head, right head, flat phantom).

Note: A simulating human hand is not used due to the complex anatomical and geometrical structure of the hand that may produce infinite number of configurations [10]. To produce the worst-case condition. (the hand absorbs antenna output power), the hand is omitted during the tests.



Data Evaluation

The OPENSAR software automatically executes the following procedure to calculate the field units from the microvolt readings at the probe connector. The parameters used in the valuation are stored in the configuration modules of the software:

Probe Parameters	- Sensitivity	Norm _i
	- Conversion factor	ConvFi
	- Diode compression point	Dcpi
Device Parameter	- Frequency	f
	- Crest factor	cf
Media Parameters	- Conductivity	σ
	- Density	ρ

These parameters must be set correctly in the software. They can either be found in the component documents or are imported into the software from the configuration files issued for the OPENSAR components.

The first step of the evaluation is a linearization of the filtered input signal to account for the compression characteristics of the detector diode. The compensation depends on the input signal, the diode type and the DC-transmission factor from the diode to the evaluation electronics. If the exciting field is pulsed, the crest factor of the signal must be known to correctly compensate for peak power. The formula for each channel can be given as

$$V_i = U_i + U_i^2 \cdot \frac{cf}{dcp_i}$$

Where V_i = Compensated signal of channel i ($i = x, y, z$)

U_i = Input signal of channel i ($i = x, y, z$)

cf = Crest factor of exciting field (DASY parameter)

dcp_i = Diode compression point (DASY parameter)

From the compensated input signals the primary field data for each channel can be evaluated:

$$\text{E-field probes: } E_i = \frac{V_i}{\text{Norm}_i \cdot \text{ConvFi}}$$

$$\text{H-field probes: } H_i = \sqrt{V_i} \cdot \frac{a_{0i} + a_{1i}f + a_{2i}f^2}{f}$$

Where V_i = Compensated signal of channel i ($i = x, y, z$)

Norm_i = Sensor sensitivity of channel i ($i = x, y, z$)

$\mu\text{V}/(\text{V/m})^2$ for E-field Probes

ConvFi = Sensitivity enhancement in solution

a_{ij} = Sensor sensitivity factors for H-field probes

f = Carrier frequency (GHz)

E_i = Electric field strength of channel i in V/m

H_i = Magnetic field strength of channel i in A/m

The RSS value of the field components gives the total field strength (Hermitian magnitude):

$$E_{\text{tot}} = \sqrt{E_x^2 + E_y^2 + E_z^2}$$

The primary field data are used to calculate the derived field units.

$$\text{SAR} = E_{\text{tot}}^2 \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\rho \cdot 1000}$$

where SAR = local specific absorption rate in mW/g

E_{tot} = total field strength in V/m

σ = conductivity in [mho/m] or [siemens/m]

ρ = equivalent tissue density in g/cm³

Note that the density is normally set to 1 (or 1.06), to account for actual brain density rather than the density of the simulation liquid.

The power flow density is calculated assuming the excitation field as a free space field.

$$P_{\text{ave}} = \frac{E_{\text{tot}}^2}{3770} \quad \text{or} \quad P_{\text{ave}} = H_{\text{tot}}^2 \cdot 37.7$$

where P_{ave} = Equivalent power density of a plane wave in mW/cm²

E_{tot} = total electric field strength in V/m

H_{tot} = total magnetic field strength in A/m

SAR Evaluation – Peak Spatial - Average

The procedure for assessing the peak spatial-average SAR value consists of the following steps

- **Power Reference Measurement**
The reference and drift jobs are useful jobs for monitoring the power drift of the device under test in the batch process. Both jobs measure the field at a specified reference position, at a selectable distance from the phantom surface. The reference position can be either the selected section's grid reference point or a user point in this section. The reference job projects the selected point onto the phantom surface, orients the probe perpendicularly to the surface, and approaches the surface using the selected detection method.
- **Area Scan**
The area scan is used as a fast scan in two dimensions to find the area of high field values, before doing a finer measurement around the hot spot. The sophisticated interpolation routines implemented in OPENSAR software can find the maximum locations even in relatively coarse grids. The scan area is defined by an editable grid. This grid is anchored at the grid reference point of the selected section in the phantom. When the area scan's property sheet is brought-up, grid was at to 15 mm by 15 mm and can be edited by a user.
- **Zoom Scan**
Zoom scans are used to assess the peak spatial SAR values within a cubic averaging volume containing 1 g and 10 g of simulated tissue. The default zoom scan measures 5 x 5 x 7 points within a cube whose base faces are centered on the maximum found in a preceding area scan job within the same procedure. If the preceding Area Scan job indicates more than one maximum, the number of Zoom Scans has to be enlarged accordingly (The default number inserted is 1).
- **Power Drift measurement**
The drift job measures the field at the same location as the most recent reference job within the same procedure, and with the same settings. The drift measurement gives the field difference in dB from the reading conducted within the last reference measurement. Several drift measurements are possible for one reference measurement. This allows a user to monitor the power drift of the device under test within a batch process. In the properties of the Drift job, the user can specify a limit for the drift and have OPENSAR software stop the measurements if this limit is exceeded.

SAR Evaluation – Peak SAR

The procedure for spatial peak SAR evaluation has been implemented according to the IEEE1529 standard. It can be conducted for 1 g and 10 g. The OPENSAR system allows evaluations that combine measured data and robot positions, such as:

- Maximum search
- Extrapolation
- Boundary correction
- Peak search for averaged SAR

During a maximum search, global and local maximum searches are automatically performed in 2-D after each Area Scan measurement with at least 6 measurement points. It is based on the evaluation of the local SAR gradient calculated by the Quadratic Shepard's method. The algorithm will find the global maximum and all local maxima within -2 dB of the global maxima for all SAR distributions.

Extrapolation


Extrapolation routines are used to obtain SAR values between the lowest measurement points and the inner phantom surface. The extrapolation distance is determined by the surface detection distance and the probe sensor offset. Several measurements at different distances are necessary for the extrapolation. They are used in the Cube Scan to obtain SAR values between the lowest measurement points and the inner phantom surface. The routine uses the fourth order least square polynomial method for extrapolation. For a grid using 5x5x7 measurement points with 5mm resolution amounting to 343 measurement points, the uncertainty of the extrapolation routines is less than 1% for 1 g and 10 g cubes.

Device Reference Points


Definition of Reference Points

Ear Reference Point

Figure 6.2 shows the front, back and side views of the SAM Phantom. The point “M” is the reference point for the center of the mouth, “LE” is the left ear reference point (ERP), and “RE” is the right ERP. The ERPs are 15mm posterior to the entrance to the ear canal (EEC) along the B-M line (Back-Mouth), as shown in Figure 6.1. The plane passing through the two ear canals and M is defined as the Reference Plane. The line N-F (Neck-Front) is perpendicular to the reference plane and passing through the RE (or LE) is called the Reference Pivoting Line (see Figure 6.1). Line B-M is perpendicular to the N-F line. Both N-F and B-M lines are marked on the external phantom shell to facilitate handset positioning [5].



Two imaginary lines on the device need to be established: the vertical centerline and the horizontal line. The test device is placed in a normal operating position with the “test device reference point” located along the “vertical centerline” on the front of the device aligned to the “ear reference point” (See Fig. 6.3). The “test device reference point” is then located at the same level as the center of the ear reference point. The test device is positioned so that the “vertical centerline” is bisecting the front surface of the device at its top and bottom edges, positioning the “ear reference point” on the outer surface of both the left and right head phantoms on the ear reference point.




Test Configuration – Positioning for Cheek / Touch

1. Position the device close to the surface of the phantom such that point A is on the (virtual) extension of the line passing through points RE and LE on the phantom (see Figure below), such that the plane defined by the vertical center line and the horizontal line of the device is approximately parallel to the sagittal plane of the phantom



Front, Side and Top View of Cheek/Touch Position

2. Translate the device towards the phantom along the line passing through RE and LE until the device touches the ear.
3. While maintaining the device in this plane, rotate it around the LE-RE line until the vertical centerline is in the plane normal to MB-NF including the line MB (called the reference plane).
4. Rotate the device around the vertical centerline until the device (horizontal line) is symmetrical with respect to the line NF.
5. While maintaining the vertical centerline in the reference plane, keeping point A on the line passing through RE and LE and maintaining the device contact with the ear, rotate the device about the line NF until any point on the device is in contact with a phantom point below the ear (cheek). See Figure below.



Side view w/ relevant markings

Test Configuration – Positioning for Ear / 15° Tilt

With the test device aligned in the Cheek/Touch Position”:

1. While maintaining the orientation of the device, retracted the device parallel to the reference plane far enough to enable a rotation of the device by 15 degrees.
2. Rotate the device around the horizontal line by 15 degrees.
3. While maintaining the orientation of the device, move the device parallel to the reference plane until any part of the device touches the head. (In this position, point A is located on the line RE-LE). The tilted position is obtained when the contact is on the pinna. If the contact is at any location other than the pinna, the angle of the device shall be reduced. The tilted position is obtained when any part of the device is in contact with the ear as well as a second part of the device is in contact with the head (see Figure below).



Front, Side and Top View of Ear/15° Tilt Position

Test Position – Body Worn Configurations


Body-worn operating configurations are tested with the accessories attached to the device and positioned against a flat phantom in a normal use configuration. A device with a headset output is tested with a headset connected to the device. Body dielectric parameters are used.

Accessories for Body-worn operation configurations are divided into two categories: those that do not contain metallic components and those that do contain metallic components. When multiple accessories that do not contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device is tested with only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body. Then, when multiple accessories that contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device is tested with each accessory that contains a unique metallic component. If multiple accessories share an identical metallic component (i.e. the same metallic belt-clip used with different holsters with no other metallic components) only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body is tested.

Body-worn accessories may not always be supplied or available as options for some devices intended to be authorized for body-worn use. In this case, a test configuration where a separation distance between the back of the device and the flat phantom is used. All test position spacing are documented.

Transmitters that are designed to operate in front of a person's face, as in push-to-talk configurations, are tested for SAR compliance with the front of the device positioned to face the flat phantom. For devices that are carried next to the body such as a shoulder, waist or chest-worn transmitters, SAR compliance is tested with the accessory(ies), including headsets and microphones, attached to the device and positioned against a flat phantom in a normal use configuration.

In all cases SAR measurements are performed to investigate the worst-case positioning. Worst-case positioning is then documented and used to perform Body SAR testing.



11 Measurement Uncertainty

The component of uncertainty may generally be categorized according to the methods used to evaluate them. The evaluation of uncertainty by the statistical analysis of a series of observations is termed a Type A evaluation of uncertainty. The evaluation of uncertainty by means other than the statistical analysis of a series of observation is termed a Type B evaluation of uncertainty. Each component of uncertainty, however evaluated, is represented by an estimated standard deviation, termed standard uncertainty, which is determined by the positive square root of the estimated variance

A Type A evaluation of standard uncertainty may be based on any valid statistical method for treating data. This includes calculating the standard deviation of the mean of a series of independent observations; using the method of least squares to fit a curve to the data in order to estimate the parameter of the curve and their standard deviations; or carrying out an analysis of variance in order to identify and quantify random effects in certain kinds of measurement.

A type B evaluation of standard uncertainty is typically based on scientific judgment using all of the relevant information available. These may include previous measurement data, experience and specification, data provided in calibration reports and uncertainties assigned to reference data taken from handbooks. Broadly speaking, the uncertainty is either obtained from an outdoor source or obtained from an assumed distribution, such as the normal distribution, rectangular or triangular distributions indicated in Table below :

Uncertainty Distribution	Normal	Rectangle	Triangular	U Shape
Multi-plying Factor ^(a)	1/k ^(b)	1 / $\sqrt{3}$	1 / $\sqrt{6}$	1 / $\sqrt{2}$

(a) Standard uncertainty is determined as the product of the multiplying factor and the estimated range of variations in the measured quantity

(b) K is the coverage factor

Standard Uncertainty for Assumed Distribution

The combined standard uncertainty of the measurement result represents the estimated standard deviation of the result. It is obtained by combining the individual standard uncertainties of both Type A and Type -sum-by taking the positive square root of the estimated variances.

Expanded uncertainty is a measure of uncertainty that defines an interval about the measurement result within which the measured value is confidently believed to lie. It is obtained by multiplying the combined standard uncertainty by a coverage factor. Typically, the coverage factor ranges from 2 to 3. Using a coverage factor allows the true value of a measured quantity to be specified with a defined probability within the specified uncertainty range. For purpose of this document, a coverage factor two is used, which corresponds to confidence interval of about 95 %.

The COMOSAR Uncertainty Budget is show in below table:


Uncertainty Budget of COMOSAR for frequency range 300 MHz to 6 GHz

Uncertainty Component	Tolerances %	Probability Distribution	Divisor	Ci (1g)	Ci (10g)	Uncertainty 1g(%)	Uncertainty 10g(%)
Measurement System Related							
Probe Calibration	6	N	1	1	1	6	6
Axial Isotropy	3	R	$\sqrt{3}$	$\sqrt{1-Cp}$	$\sqrt{1-Cp}$	1.22474	1.22474
Hemispherical Isotropy	4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	$\sqrt{Cp}$	$\sqrt{Cp}$	1.63299	1.63299
Boundary Effect	1	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.57735	0.57735
Linearity	5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.88675	2.88675
System Detection Limits	1	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.57735	0.57735
Readout Electronics	0.5	N	1	1	1	0.5	0.5
Response Time	0.2	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.11547	0.11547
Integration Time	2	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.1547	1.1547
RF Ambient Conditions	3	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.73205	1.73205
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerances	2	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.1547	1.1547
Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	1	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.57735	0.57735
Extrapolation, Interpolation and integration Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation.	1.5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.86603	0.86603
Test Sample Related							
Test Sample Positioning	1.5	N	1	1	1	1.5	1.5
Device Holder Uncertainty	5	N	1	1	1	5	5
Output Power Variation – SAR Drift measurement	3	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.73205	1.73205
Phantom and Tissue Parameters Related							
Phantom Uncertainty (Shape and thickness Tolerances)	4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.3094	2.394
Liquid Conductivity – deviation from target value	5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	0.43	1.84752	1.2413
Liquid Conductivity – Measurement Uncertainty	2.5	N	1	0.64	0.43	1.6	1.075
Liquid Permittivity – deviation from target value	3	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	0.49	1.03923	0.8487
Liquid Permittivity – Measurement Uncertainty	2.5	N	1	0.6	0.49	1.5	1.225
Combined Standard Uncertainty						9.66051 %	9.52428 %
Expanded Standard Uncertainty (K=2 , confidence 95%)						18.9346 %	18.6676 %

12 Liquid Validation

Liquid Validation

The dielectric parameters were checked prior to assessment using the HP85070C dielectric probe kit. The dielectric parameters measured are reported in each correspondent section.



Liquid depth $\geq 15\text{cm}$

IEEE SCC-34/SC-2 P1528 recommended Tissue Dielectric Parameters

The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by the IEEE SCC-34/SC-2 in P1528 have been incorporated in the following table. These head parameters are derived from planar layer models simulating the highest expected SAR for the dielectric properties and tissue thickness variations in a human head. Other head and body tissue parameters that have not been specified in P1528 are derived from the tissue dielectric parameters computed from the 4-Cole-Cole equations and extrapolated according to the head parameters specified in P1528

Target Frequency	Head		Body	
MHz	ϵ_r	σ (S/m)	ϵ_r	σ (S/m)
150	52.3	0.76	61.9	0.80
300	45.3	0.87	58.2	0.92
450	43.5	0.87	56.7	0.94
835	41.5	0.90	55.2	0.97
900	41.5	0.97	55.0	1.05
915	41.5	0.98	55.0	1.06
1450	40.5	1.20	54.0	1.30
1610	40.3	1.29	53.8	1.40
1800-2000	40.0	1.40	53.3	1.52
2450	39.2	1.80	52.7	1.95
3000	38.5	2.40	52.0	2.73
5800	35.3	5.27	48.2	6.00

Note: ϵ_r = relative permittivity, σ = conductivity and $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Liquid Validation Result:

Liquid type/ Band(MHz)	Measured Date	Parameters	Measured	Target	Deviation (%)	Limit (%)
2412 Body	01/29/2015	Permittivity	51.49	52.75	-2.39	±5.00
		Conductivity	1.91	1.91	-0.13	±5.00
2437 Body	01/29/2015	Permittivity	51.48	52.72	-2.34	±5.00
		Conductivity	1.94	1.94	0.28	±5.00
2450 Body	01/29/2015	Permittivity	51.48	52.70	-2.31	±5.00
		Conductivity	1.94	1.95	-0.71	±5.00
2462 Body	01/29/2015	Permittivity	51.48	52.68	-2.29	±5.00
		Conductivity	1.98	1.97	0.77	±5.00

13 System Validation and System Verification

13.1 System Validation

The system validation procedure evaluates the system against reference SAR values and the performance of the probe, readout electronics, and software. The test setup utilizes a flat phantom and a reference dipole.

Thus, the system validation process does not include data scatter due to the use of anthropomorphic phantoms or uncertainty due to handset positioning variability. System validation should be performed annually, or when a new system is put into operation, or whenever modifications have been made to the system, such as a new software release, different readout electronics or different types of probes. The probe used in the test system to be validated should be properly calibrated.

System validation provides a means of system-level validation. The test system utilizes a flat phantom and a reference dipole. Thus, system validation verifies the system accuracy against its specifications but does not include the uncertainty due to the use of anthropomorphic phantoms, nor does it include the uncertainty due to handset positioning variability. This test is performed annually (e.g., after probe calibration), before measurements related to inter laboratory comparison and every time modifications have been made to the system, such as a new software release, different readout electronics, and for different types of probes.

System Validation procedure is at below,

- a) **SAR evaluation:** A complete 1 g or 10 g averaged SAR measurement is performed. The reference dipole input power is adjusted to produce a 1 g averaged SAR value falling in the range of 0.4–10 W/kg. The 1 g or 10 g averaged SAR is measured at frequencies in reference table within the range to be used in compliance tests. The results are normalized to 1 W forward input power and compared with the reference SAR values shown in reference value. The differences from the reference values should be less than the tolerance specified for the SAR measurement system by the manufacturer or designer, i.e., within the expanded uncertainty for the system validation.
- b) **Extrapolation routine:** Local SAR values are measured along a vertical axis directly above the reference dipole feed-point using the same test grid-point spacing as used for handset SAR evaluations. This measurement is repeated along another vertical axis with a 2 cm transverse offset from the reference dipole feed-point. SAR values at the phantom surface are extrapolated and compared with the numerical values given in reference table. The difference from the reference values should be less than the tolerance specified for the SAR measurement system by the manufacturer or designer, i.e., within the expanded uncertainty for system validation.
- c) **Probe linearity:** The measurement in step a) is repeated using different reference dipole input power levels. The power levels are selected for each frequency to produce 1 g averaged SAR values of approximately 10 W/kg, 2 W/kg, and 0.4 W/kg. The measured SAR values are normalized to 1 W forward input power and compared with the 1 W normalized value from step a). The difference between these values should be less than the tolerance specified for the SAR measurement system by the manufacturer or designer, i.e., within the expanded uncertainty for the linearity component.
- d) **Modulation response:** The measurements in step a) are repeated with pulse-modulated signals having a duty factor of 0.1 and pulse repetition rate of 10 Hz. The power is adjusted to produce a 1 g-averaged SAR of approximately 8 W/kg with the pulse modulated signal (corresponding to a peak spatial-average SAR of approximately 80 W/kg). The measured SAR values are normalized to 1 W forward input power and duty factor of 1, and compared with the 1 W normalized values from step a). The difference between these values should be less than the tolerance specified for the SAR measurement system by the manufacturer or designer, i.e., within the expanded uncertainty for system validation.
- e) **System offset:** The measurements in step a) are repeated with a reference dipole input forwardpower that produces a 1 g or 10 g averaged SAR of approximately 0.05 W/kg. The measured SAR values are normalized to 1 W forward input power and compared with the 1 W normalized values from step a). The difference between these values should be less than the tolerance specified for the SAR measurement system by the manufacturer or designer, i.e., within the expanded uncertainty for system validation.
- f) **Probe axial isotropy:** The center point of the probe's sensors is placed directly above the reference dipole center at a measurement distance of approximately 5–10 mm from the phantom inner surface. The probe (or reference dipole, if precise rotations are supported by the dipole fixture) is rotated around its axis $\pm 180^\circ$ in steps no larger than 15° . The maximum and minimum SAR readings are recorded. The difference between these values should be less than the tolerance specified for the SAR measurement system by the manufacturer or designer, i.e., within the expanded uncertainty for the axial isotropy component.

Numerical reference SAR values (W/kg) for reference dipole and flat phantom

Frequency (MHz)	1 g SAR	10 g SAR	Local SAR at surface (above feed-point)	Local SAR at surface (y = 2 cm offset from feed-point) ^a
300	3.0	2.0	4.4	2.1
450	4.9	3.3	7.2	3.2
835	9.5	6.2	4.1	4.9
900	10.8	6.9	16.4	5.4
1450	29.0	16.0	50.2	6.5
1800	38.1	19.8	69.5	6.8
1900	39.7	20.5	72.1	6.6
2000	41.1	21.1	74.6	6.5
2450	52.4	24.0	104.2	7.7
3000	63.8	25.7	140.2	9.5


System Validation Status

Frequency (MHz)	Temp (°C)	Humidity (%)	Validation Date	Probe SN	Validation Cycle	Validation Due
835	22	58	Oct 23rd, 2014	17/14 EPG213	1 year	Oct 23rd, 2015
900	22	58	Oct 23rd, 2014	17/14 EPG213	1 year	Oct 23rd, 2015
1800	22	58	Oct 23rd, 2014	17/14 EPG213	1 year	Oct 23rd, 2015
1900	22	58	Oct 23rd, 2014	17/14 EPG213	1 year	Oct 23rd, 2015
2000	22	58	Oct 23rd, 2014	17/14 EPG213	1 year	Oct 23rd, 2015
2450	22	58	Oct 23rd, 2014	17/14 EPG213	1 year	Oct 23rd, 2015
5200	22	58	Oct 23rd, 2014	17/14 EPG213	1 year	Oct 23rd, 2015
5400	22	58	Oct 23rd, 2014	17/14 EPG213	1 year	Oct 23rd, 2015
5600	22	58	Oct 23rd, 2014	17/14 EPG213	1 year	Oct 23rd, 2015
5800	22	58	Oct 23rd, 2014	17/14 EPG213	1 year	Oct 23rd, 2015

13.2 System Verification

The system performance check verifies that the system operates within its specifications. System and operator errors can be detected and corrected. It is recommended that the system performance check be performed prior to any usage of the system in order to guarantee reproducible results. The system performance check uses normal SAR measurements in a simplified setup with a well characterized source. This setup was selected to give a high sensitivity to all parameters that might fail or vary over time. The system check does not intend to replace the calibration of the components, but indicates situations where the system uncertainty is exceeded due to drift or failure.

In the simplified setup for system evaluation, the DUT is replaced by a calibrated dipole and the power source is replaced by a continuous wave that comes from a signal generator. The calibrated dipole must be placed beneath the flat phantom section of the SAM twin phantom with the correct distance holder. The distance holder should touch the phantom surface with a light pressure at the reference marking and be oriented parallel to the long side of the phantom. The equipment setup is shown below:



Note: Equipment description

1. Signal Generator
2. Amplifier
3. Directional Coupler
4. Power Meter
5. Calibrated Dipole

System Verification Results

Test Date	Test Condition		Freq. (MHz)	Target (W/kg)	Input Power (dBm)	Measured (W/kg)	1W Normalized SAR10g (W/kg)	Delta (%)	Limit (%)
01/29/2015	Temp (°C)	21	2450	54.49	15	1.83	57.87	6.20	±10.00
	Humidity (%)	48							
	ATM (mbar)	1019							

14 Output Power Measurement Results

Requirement(s):

Spec	Item	Requirement	Applicable
-	-	Time averaged conducted output power to be measured	☒
Test Setup			
Test Procedure	<u>Measurement using an Average Power Meter (PM)</u> Measurements may be performed using a wideband gated RF power meter provided that the gate parameters are adjusted such that the power is measured only when the EUT is transmitting at its maximum power control level. Since the measurement is made only during the ON time of the transmitter, no duty cycle correction factor is required. - Connect EUT's RF output power to power meter - Set EUT to be continuous transmission mode - Measurement the average output power using power meter and record the result Repeat above steps for different test channel and other modulation type.		
Test Date	01/29/2015	Environmental condition	Temperature 23°C Relative Humidity 58% Atmospheric Pressure 1019mbar
Remark	-		
Result	☒ Pass ☐ Fail		

Test Data ☒ Yes ☐ N/A

Output Power measurement result

WLAN 2.4GHz

Band(GHz)	Mode	Channel Number	Frequency (MHz)	Conducted Average Output Power (dBm)	Average tune up power range (dBm)		
					Min	Typ.	Max
2.4	802.11b	1	2412	12.7	10.5	13	14
		6	2437	12.4	10.5	13	14
		11	2462	12.4	10.5	13	14
	802.11g	1	2412	7.6	5.5	8	9
		6	2437	10.8	8.5	11	12
		11	2462	8.4	6	8.5	9.5
	802.11n-HT20	1	2412	6.0	4.5	6.8	7.8
		6	2437	9.8	7.5	10	11
		11	2462	6.8	5	7.5	8.5

Note: Per KDB 248227 D01, SAR is not required for 802.11g/HT20 channels when the maximum average output power is less than 1/4 dB higher than that measured on the corresponding 802.11b channels.

15 SAR Test Results

Requirement(s):

Spec	Item	Requirement	Applicable
IEEE 1528: 2013	1	SAR limit for devices used by the General public (Uncontrolled Environment) in localized Head and Trunk is 1.6 W/kg	☒
	2	SAR limit for Controlled Use Devices (Controlled Environment) in localized Head and Trunk is 8 W/kg	☐
Test Method	IEEE 1528: 2013 IEC 62209-2: 2010 447498 D01 General RF Exposure Guidance v05r02 248227 SAR measurement procedures for 802.11 a/b/g v01r02 KDB 865664 SAR Measurement Requirements for 3 to 6 GHz v01r03		
Test Setup	Refer to Section 6: SAR Measurement Setup		
Test Procedure	Steps: 1. Use client test software to set EUT transmit RF power in cont-TX mode in the highest power channel 2. Measure output power through spectrum analyzer 3. Place the DUT in the positions selected 4. Set scan area, grid size and other setting on the SATIMO software 5. Make SAR measurement for the selected highest output power channel at each testing position 6. Find out the position with highest SAR result 7. Measure additional SAR for other modes at the highest SAR position SAR measurement system will proceed the following basic steps: 1. Initial power reference measurement 2. Area Scan 3. Zoom Scan 4. Power drift measurement		
Test Date	01/29/2015	Environmental condition	Temperature 21oC Relative Humidity 49% Atmospheric Pressure 1008mbar
Remark	The testing in current report is to be performed at 0 cm separation distance.		
Result	☒ Pass ☐ Fail		

Test Data ☒ Yes ☐ N/A

Test Plot ☒ Yes ☐ N/A


Test Results

Mode	Freq (MHz)	Position	Rated Max Power (dBm)	Measured Output Power (dBm)	Raw SAR 1g(W/kg)	Power Drift (%)	Scaled SAR (W/kg)	1g SAR Limit (W/kg)
802.11b	2437	LCD-Up	14	12.4	0.117	-0.98	0.169	1.6
802.11b	2437	LCD-Down	14	12.7	0.082	2.35	0.111	1.6
802.11b	2437	Front Side	14	12.4	0.024	1.26	0.035	1.6
802.11b	2437	Left Side	14	12.4	0.032	0.65	0.046	1.6
802.11b	2437	Right Side	14	12.4	0.005	3.94	0.007	1.6
LCD-Up Position was the worst case								
802.11b	2412	LCD-Up	14	12.7	0.105	-3.01	0.142	1.6
802.11b	2462	LCD-Up	14	12.4	0.114	-2.55	0.165	1.6
802.11g	2412	LCD-Up	9	7.6	0.003	2.51	0.004	1.6
802.11g	2437	LCD-Up	12	10.8	0.071	0.36	0.094	1.6
802.11g	2462	LCD-Up	9.5	8.4	0.033	1.20	0.043	1.6
802.11n-20	2412	LCD-Up	8	6.0	0.031	-3.41	0.049	1.6
802.11n-20	2437	LCD-Up	11	9.8	0.056	-1.11	0.074	1.6
802.11n-20	2462	LCD-Up	8.5	6.8	0.011	2.13	0.016	1.6


16 System Performance Plots

Test specification:	System Verification			
Environ Conditions:	Temp(oC):	23	Result:	Pass
	Humidity (%):	58		
	Atmospheric(mPa):	1009		
Mains Power:	N/A			
Test Date:	01/29/2015			
Tested by:	Nima Molaei			
Remarks:	System Validation, dipole, CW signal, duty cycle =1			


Frequency (MHz)	2450.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	51.48
Conductivity (S/m)	1.94
Transmission Duty Factor	1.00
Probe SN	1714_EPG213
Conversion Factor (dB)	7.89
Area Scan Resolution	8 mm
Zoom Scan Resolution	dx=4mm, dy=4mm, dz=2mm
Zoom Scan Size	24x24x24 mm
Measurement Drifts (%)	2.84
Highest Extrapolated SAR (W/Kg)	3.242
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	1.81
Peak SAR Location	-3mm(x), -16mm(y), 4mm(z)



SURFACE SAR



VOLUME SAR




3D View


17 SAR Test Plots

Test specification:	LCD-Up position – 802.11b – 2437MHz			
Environ Conditions:	Temp(oC):	21	Result:	Pass
	Humidity (%):	46		
	Atmospheric(mPa):	1005		
Mains Power:	5VDC			
Test Date:	01/29/2015			
Tested by:	Nima Molaei			
Remarks:	N/A			


Frequency (MHz)	2437.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	51.48
Conductivity (S/m)	1.94
Transmission Duty Factor	1.0
Probe SN	1714_EPG213
Conversion Factor (dB)	21.96
Area Scan Resolution	8 mm
Zoom Scan Resolution	dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Zoom Scan Size	32x32x34 mm
Measurement Drifts (%)	-0.98
Highest Extrapolated SAR (W/Kg)	0.1966
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.1167
Peak SAR Location	37mm(x),16mm(y),4mm(z)



SURFACE SAR




VOLUME SAR




3D View Plot

Test specification:	LCD-Down position – 802.11b – 2437MHz			
Environ Conditions:	Temp(oC):	21	Result:	Pass
	Humidity (%):	46		
	Atmospheric(mPa):	1005		
Mains Power:	5VDC			
Test Date:	01/29/2015			
Tested by:	Nima Molaei			
Remarks:	N/A			


Frequency (MHz)	2437.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	51.48
Conductivity (S/m)	1.94
Transmission Duty Factor	1.0
Probe SN	1714_EPG213
Conversion Factor (dB)	21.96
Area Scan Resolution	8 mm
Zoom Scan Resolution	dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Zoom Scan Size	32x32x34 mm
Measurement Drifts (%)	2.35
Highest Extrapolated SAR (W/Kg)	0.1739
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.0825
Peak SAR Location	-19mm(x),-40mm(y),4mm(z)



SURFACE SAR




VOLUME SAR




3D View Plot

Test specification:	Front Side position – 802.11b – 2437MHz			
Environ Conditions:	Temp(oC):	21	Result:	Pass
	Humidity (%):	46		
	Atmospheric(mPa):	1005		
Mains Power:	5VDC			
Test Date:	01/29/2015			
Tested by:	Nima Molaei			
Remarks:	N/A			


Frequency (MHz)	2437.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	51.48
Conductivity (S/m)	1.94
Transmission Duty Factor	1.0
Probe SN	1714_EPG213
Conversion Factor (dB)	21.96
Area Scan Resolution	8 mm
Zoom Scan Resolution	dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Zoom Scan Size	32x32x34 mm
Measurement Drifts (%)	1.26
Highest Extrapolated SAR (W/Kg)	0.4484
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.0244
Peak SAR Location	-19mm(x),-104mm(y),4mm(z)



SURFACE SAR




VOLUME SAR




3D View Plot

Test specification:	Left Side position – 802.11b – 2437MHz			
Environ Conditions:	Temp(oC):	21	Result:	Pass
	Humidity (%):	46		
	Atmospheric(mPa):	1005		
Mains Power:	5VDC			
Test Date:	01/29/2015			
Tested by:	Nima Molaei			
Remarks:	N/A			


Frequency (MHz)	2437.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	51.48
Conductivity (S/m)	1.94
Transmission Duty Factor	1.0
Probe SN	1714_EPG213
Conversion Factor (dB)	21.96
Area Scan Resolution	8 mm
Zoom Scan Resolution	dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Zoom Scan Size	32x32x34 mm
Measurement Drifts (%)	0.65
Highest Extrapolated SAR (W/Kg)	0.059
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.032
Peak SAR Location	-11mm(x),-56mm(y),4mm(z)



SURFACE SAR




VOLUME SAR




3D View Plot

Test specification:	Right Side position – 802.11b – 2437MHz			
Environ Conditions:	Temp(oC):	21	Result:	Pass
	Humidity (%):	46		
	Atmospheric(mPa):	1005		
Mains Power:	5VDC			
Test Date:	01/29/2015			
Tested by:	Nima Molaei			
Remarks:	N/A			


Frequency (MHz)	2437.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	51.48
Conductivity (S/m)	1.94
Transmission Duty Factor	1.0
Probe SN	1714_EPG213
Conversion Factor (dB)	21.96
Area Scan Resolution	8 mm
Zoom Scan Resolution	dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Zoom Scan Size	32x32x34 mm
Measurement Drifts (%)	3.94
Highest Extrapolated SAR (W/Kg)	0.0889
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.0047
Peak SAR Location	-67mm(x),120mm(y),4mm(z)



SURFACE SAR




VOLUME SAR




3D View Plot

Test specification:	LCD-Up position – 802.11b – 2412MHz			
Environ Conditions:	Temp(oC):	21	Result:	Pass
	Humidity (%):	46		
	Atmospheric(mPa):	1005		
Mains Power:	5VDC			
Test Date:	01/29/2015			
Tested by:	Nima Molaei			
Remarks:	N/A			


Frequency (MHz)	2412.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	51.49
Conductivity (S/m)	1.91
Transmission Duty Factor	1.0
Probe SN	1714_EPG213
Conversion Factor (dB)	21.96
Area Scan Resolution	8 mm
Zoom Scan Resolution	dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Zoom Scan Size	32x32x34 mm
Measurement Drifts (%)	-3.01
Highest Extrapolated SAR (W/Kg)	0.181
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.1053
Peak SAR Location	37mm(x),16mm(y),4mm(z)



SURFACE SAR




VOLUME SAR




3D View Plot

Test specification:	LCD-Up position – 802.11b – 2462MHz			
Environ Conditions:	Temp(oC):	21	Result:	Pass
	Humidity (%):	46		
	Atmospheric(mPa):	1005		
Mains Power:	5VDC			
Test Date:	01/29/2015			
Tested by:	Nima Molaei			
Remarks:	N/A			


Frequency (MHz)	2462.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	51.48
Conductivity (S/m)	1.98
Transmission Duty Factor	1.0
Probe SN	1714_EPG213
Conversion Factor (dB)	21.96
Area Scan Resolution	8 mm
Zoom Scan Resolution	dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Zoom Scan Size	32x32x34 mm
Measurement Drifts (%)	-2.55
Highest Extrapolated SAR (W/Kg)	0.2139
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.1142
Peak SAR Location	37mm(x),16mm(y),4mm(z)



SURFACE SAR




VOLUME SAR




3D View Plot

Test specification:	LCD-Up position – 802.11g – 2412MHz			
Environ Conditions:	Temp(oC):	21	Result:	Pass
	Humidity (%):	46		
	Atmospheric(mPa):	1005		
Mains Power:	5VDC			
Test Date:	01/29/2015			
Tested by:	Nima Molaei			
Remarks:	N/A			


Frequency (MHz)	2412.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	51.49
Conductivity (S/m)	1.91
Transmission Duty Factor	1.0
Probe SN	1714_EPG213
Conversion Factor (dB)	21.96
Area Scan Resolution	8 mm
Zoom Scan Resolution	dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Zoom Scan Size	32x32x34 mm
Measurement Drifts (%)	2.51
Highest Extrapolated SAR (W/Kg)	0.0464
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.0032
Peak SAR Location	-75mm(x),-128mm(y),4mm(z)



SURFACE SAR




VOLUME SAR




3D View Plot

Test specification:	LCD-Up position – 802.11g – 2437MHz			
Environ Conditions:	Temp(oC):	21	Result:	Pass
	Humidity (%):	46		
	Atmospheric(mPa):	1005		
Mains Power:	5VDC			
Test Date:	01/29/2015			
Tested by:	Nima Molaei			
Remarks:	N/A			


Frequency (MHz)	2437.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	51.48
Conductivity (S/m)	1.94
Transmission Duty Factor	1.0
Probe SN	1714_EPG213
Conversion Factor (dB)	21.96
Area Scan Resolution	8 mm
Zoom Scan Resolution	dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Zoom Scan Size	32x32x34 mm
Measurement Drifts (%)	0.36
Highest Extrapolated SAR (W/Kg)	0.1221
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.0711
Peak SAR Location	37mm(x),16mm(y),4mm(z)



SURFACE SAR




VOLUME SAR




3D View Plot

Test specification:	LCD-Up position – 802.11g – 2462MHz			
Environ Conditions:	Temp(oC):	21	Result:	Pass
	Humidity (%):	46		
	Atmospheric(mPa):	1005		
Mains Power:	5VDC			
Test Date:	01/29/2015			
Tested by:	Nima Molaei			
Remarks:	N/A			


Frequency (MHz)	2462.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	51.48
Conductivity (S/m)	1.98
Transmission Duty Factor	1.0
Probe SN	1714_EPG213
Conversion Factor (dB)	21.96
Area Scan Resolution	8 mm
Zoom Scan Resolution	dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Zoom Scan Size	32x32x34 mm
Measurement Drifts (%)	1.2
Highest Extrapolated SAR (W/Kg)	0.0843
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.0335
Peak SAR Location	37mm(x),16mm(y),4mm(z)



SURFACE SAR




VOLUME SAR




3D View Plot

Test specification:	LCD-Up position – 802.11n-20 – 2412MHz			
Environ Conditions:	Temp(oC):	21	Result:	Pass
	Humidity (%):	46		
	Atmospheric(mPa):	1005		
Mains Power:	5VDC			
Test Date:	01/29/2015			
Tested by:	Nima Molaei			
Remarks:	N/A			


Frequency (MHz)	2412.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	51.49
Conductivity (S/m)	1.91
Transmission Duty Factor	1.0
Probe SN	1714_EPG213
Conversion Factor (dB)	21.96
Area Scan Resolution	8 mm
Zoom Scan Resolution	dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Zoom Scan Size	32x32x34 mm
Measurement Drifts (%)	-3.41
Highest Extrapolated SAR (W/Kg)	0.0514
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.0304
Peak SAR Location	37mm(x),16mm(y),4mm(z)



SURFACE SAR




VOLUME SAR




3D View Plot

Test specification:	LCD-Up position – 802.11n-20 – 2437MHz			
Environ Conditions:	Temp(oC):	21	Result:	Pass
	Humidity (%):	46		
	Atmospheric(mPa):	1005		
Mains Power:	5VDC			
Test Date:	01/29/2015			
Tested by:	Nima Molaei			
Remarks:	N/A			


Frequency (MHz)	2437.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	51.48
Conductivity (S/m)	1.94
Transmission Duty Factor	1.0
Probe SN	1714_EPG213
Conversion Factor (dB)	21.96
Area Scan Resolution	8 mm
Zoom Scan Resolution	dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Zoom Scan Size	32x32x34 mm
Measurement Drifts (%)	-1.11
Highest Extrapolated SAR (W/Kg)	0.0944
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.0556
Peak SAR Location	37mm(x),16mm(y),4mm(z)



SURFACE SAR




VOLUME SAR




3D View Plot

Test specification:	LCD-Up position – 802.11n-20 – 2462MHz			
Environ Conditions:	Temp(oC):	21	Result:	Pass
	Humidity (%):	46		
	Atmospheric(mPa):	1005		
Mains Power:	5VDC			
Test Date:	01/29/2015			
Tested by:	Nima Molaei			
Remarks:	N/A			


Frequency (MHz)	2462.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	51.48
Conductivity (S/m)	1.98
Transmission Duty Factor	1.0
Probe SN	1714_EPG213
Conversion Factor (dB)	21.96
Area Scan Resolution	8 mm
Zoom Scan Resolution	dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm
Zoom Scan Size	32x32x34 mm
Measurement Drifts (%)	2.13
Highest Extrapolated SAR (W/Kg)	0.0388
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.0112
Peak SAR Location	-3mm(x),24mm(y),4mm(z)



SURFACE SAR



VOLUME SAR



3D View Plot

Annex A. TEST INSTRUMENT

Instrument	Model	Serial #	Cal Date	Cal Cycle	Cal Due	In use
SAR						
P C	PV 3.06GHz	375052-AA1	N/A	N/A	N/A	☒
Signal Generator	8665B-008	3744A01304	5/17/2014	1 Year	05/17/2015	☒
Multi-meter	Multi-meter 2000	1259033	08/13/2014	1 Year	08/13/2015	☐
S-Parameter Network Analyzer	8753ES	US38161019	05/11/2014	1 Year	05/11/2015	☒
Power Meter	437B	3038A03648	04/25/2014	1 Year	04/25/2015	☒
E-field PROBE	EPG213	SN17/14 EPG213	08/27/2014	1 Year	08/27/2015	☐
DIPOLE 900	DIPOLE 900MHz	SN 31/10 DIPD134	08/14/2014	1 Year	08/14/2015	☐
DIPOLE 1800	DIPOLE 2450MHz	SN 31/10 DIPF135	08/14/2014	1 Year	08/14/2015	☐
DIPOLE 2450	DIPOLE 2450MHz	SN 31/10 DIP1137	08/14/2014	1 Year	08/14/2015	☒
DIPOLE 2450	DIPOLE 2450MHz	SN 31/10 DIPJ138	08/14/2014	1 Year	08/14/2015	☒
WaveGuide 5/6 GHz	Wave Guide 5/6GHz	SN 31/10 DIPWGA13	06/13/2014	1 Year	06/13/2015	☒
COMOSAR Open Coaxial Probe	OCP36	SN 31/10 OCP36	06/13/2014	1 Year	06/13/2015	☒
Communication Antenna	ANTA30	SN 31/10 ANTA30	N/A	N/A	N/A	☐
Laptop POSITIONING DEVICE	LSH63	SN 31/10 LSH13	N/A	N/A	N/A	☒
Mobile Phone POSITIONING DEVICE	MSH63	SN 31/10 MSH63	N/A	N/A	N/A	☒
DUMMY PROBE	None	SN 31/10	N/A	N/A	N/A	☐
SAM PHANTOM	SAM77	SN 31/10 SAM77	N/A	N/A	N/A	☐
Elliptic Phantom	ELLI17	SN 31-10 ELLI17	N/A	N/A	N/A	☒
PHANTOM TABLE	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	☒
6 AXIS ROBOT	KR5	949319	N/A	N/A	N/A	☒
Medium Power Solid State Amplifier (0.8~4.2GHz)	S41-25	M629-0408	N/A	N/A	N/A	☒

Annex B. Probe Calibration report



COMOSAR E-Field Probe Calibration Report

Ref : ACR.239.1.14.SATU.A

**SIEMIC TESTING AND CERTIFICATION
SERVICES**
775 MONTAGUE EXPRESSWAY
MILPITAS, CA 95035, USA
SATIMO COMOSAR DOSIMETRIC E-FIELD PROBE
SERIAL NO.: SN 17/14 EPG213

Calibrated at SATIMO US
2105 Barrett Park Dr. - Kennesaw, GA 30144



08/27/2014

Summary:

This document presents the method and results from an accredited COMOSAR Dosimetric E-Field Probe calibration performed in SATIMO USA using the CALISAR / CALIBAIR test bench, for use with a SATIMO COMOSAR system only. All calibration results are traceable to national metrology institutions.



COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.239.1.14.SATU.A

	Name	Function	Date	Signature
Prepared by :	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	8/27/2014	<i>JS</i>
Checked by :	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	8/27/2014	<i>JS</i>
Approved by :	Kim RUTKOWSKI	Quality Manager	8/27/2014	<i>Kim Rutkowski</i>

	Customer Name
Distribution :	SIEMIC Testing and Certification Services

Issue	Date	Modifications
A	8/27/2014	Initial release

Page: 2/10

*This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of SATIMO.
The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of SATIMO.*



COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.239.1.14.SATU.A

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Device Under Test	4
2	Product Description	4
2.1	General Information	4
3	Measurement Method	4
3.1	Linearity	4
3.2	Sensitivity	5
3.3	Lower Detection Limit	5
3.4	Isotropy	5
3.5	Boundary Effect	5
4	Measurement Uncertainty	5
5	Calibration Measurement Results	6
5.1	Sensitivity in air	6
5.2	Linearity	7
5.3	Sensitivity in liquid	7
5.4	Isotropy	8
6	List of Equipment	10

Page: 3/10

*This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of SATIMO.
The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of SATIMO.*



COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.239.1.14.SATU.A

1 DEVICE UNDER TEST

Device Under Test	
Device Type	COMOSAR DOSIMETRIC E FIELD PROBE
Manufacturer	Satimo
Model	SSE2
Serial Number	SN 17/14 EPG213
Product Condition (new / used)	New
Frequency Range of Probe	0.7 GHz-6GHz
Resistance of Three Dipoles at Connector	Dipole 1: R1=0.212 MΩ Dipole 2: R2=0.240 MΩ Dipole 3: R3=0.205 MΩ

A yearly calibration interval is recommended.

2 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

2.1 GENERAL INFORMATION

Satimo's COMOSAR E field Probes are built in accordance to the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards.



Figure 1 – Satimo COMOSAR Dosimetric E field Dipole

Probe Length	330 mm
Length of Individual Dipoles	2 mm
Maximum external diameter	8 mm
Probe Tip External Diameter	2.5 mm
Distance between dipoles / probe extremity	1 mm

3 MEASUREMENT METHOD

The IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C, CENELEC EN50361 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards provide recommended practices for the probe calibrations, including the performance characteristics of interest and methods by which to assess their affect. All calibrations / measurements performed meet the fore mentioned standards.

3.1 LINEARITY

The evaluation of the linearity was done in free space using the waveguide, performing a power sweep to cover the SAR range 0.01W/kg to 100W/kg.

Page: 4/10

*This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of SATIMO.
The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of SATIMO.*



3.2 SENSITIVITY

The sensitivity factors of the three dipoles were determined using a two step calibration method (air and tissue simulating liquid) using waveguides as outlined in the standards.

3.3 LOWER DETECTION LIMIT

The lower detection limit was assessed using the same measurement set up as used for the linearity measurement. The required lower detection limit is 10 mW/kg.

3.4 ISOTROPY

The axial isotropy was evaluated by exposing the probe to a reference wave from a standard dipole with the dipole mounted under the flat phantom in the test configuration suggested for system validations and checks. The probe was rotated along its main axis from 0 - 360 degrees in 15 degree steps. The hemispherical isotropy is determined by inserting the probe in a thin plastic box filled with tissue-equivalent liquid, with the plastic box illuminated with the fields from a half wave dipole. The dipole is rotated about its axis (0°–180°) in 15° increments. At each step the probe is rotated about its axis (0°–360°).

3.5 BOUNDARY EFFECT

The boundary effect is defined as the deviation between the SAR measured data and the expected exponential decay in the liquid when the probe is oriented normal to the interface. To evaluate this effect, the liquid filled flat phantom is exposed to fields from either a reference dipole or waveguide. With the probe normal to the phantom surface, the peak spatial average SAR is measured and compared to the analytical value at the surface.

4 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

The guidelines outlined in the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C, CENELEC EN50361 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards were followed to generate the measurement uncertainty associated with an E-field probe calibration using the waveguide technique. All uncertainties listed below represent an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of k=2, traceable to the Internationally Accepted Guides to Measurement Uncertainty.

Uncertainty analysis of the probe calibration in waveguide					
ERROR SOURCES	Uncertainty value (%)	Probability Distribution	Divisor	ci	Standard Uncertainty (%)
Incident or forward power	3.00%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.732%
Reflected power	3.00%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.732%
Liquid conductivity	5.00%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	2.887%
Liquid permittivity	4.00%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	2.309%
Field homogeneity	3.00%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.732%
Field probe positioning	5.00%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	2.887%
Field probe linearity	3.00%	Rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.732%

Page: 5/10

*This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of SATIMO.
The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of SATIMO.*



COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.239.1.14.SATU.A

Combined standard uncertainty					5.831%
Expanded uncertainty 95 % confidence level k = 2					12.0%

5 CALIBRATION MEASUREMENT RESULTS

Calibration Parameters	
Liquid Temperature	21 °C
Lab Temperature	21 °C
Lab Humidity	45 %


5.1 SENSITIVITY IN AIR

Normx dipole 1 (μV/(V/m) ²)	Normy dipole 2 (μV/(V/m) ²)	Normz dipole 3 (μV/(V/m) ²)
0.57	0.63	0.54

DCP dipole 1 (mV)	DCP dipole 2 (mV)	DCP dipole 3 (mV)
91	91	90

Calibration curves $e_i=f(V)$ ($i=1,2,3$) allow to obtain H-field value using the formula:

$$E = \sqrt{E_1^2 + E_2^2 + E_3^2}$$



Page: 6/10


*This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of SATIMO.
The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of SATIMO.*



COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.239.1.14.SATU.A

5.2 LINEARITY



Linearity: $\pm 1.95\%$ ($\pm 0.09\text{dB}$)

5.3 SENSITIVITY IN LIQUID

Liquid	Frequency (MHz $\pm 100\text{MHz}$)	Permittivity	Epsilon (S/m)	ConvF
HL850	835	42.81	0.89	19.81
BL850	835	53.46	0.96	20.38
HL900	900	42.47	0.96	19.61
BL900	900	56.69	1.08	20.35
HL1800	1800	41.31	1.38	19.93
BL1800	1800	53.27	1.51	20.70
HL1900	1900	41.09	1.42	22.46
BL1900	1900	54.20	1.54	23.18
HL2000	2000	39.72	1.43	21.18
BL2000	2000	53.91	1.53	21.62
HL2450	2450	39.05	1.77	21.35
BL2450	2450	52.97	1.93	21.96
HL3500	3500	38.53	3.03	21.26
BL3500	3500	50.39	3.43	21.72
HL5200	5200	36.62	4.93	15.63
BL5200	5200	50.69	4.98	16.15
HL5400	5400	35.95	5.18	17.86
BL5400	5400	48.45	5.82	18.36
HL5600	5600	36.08	5.60	17.02
BL5600	5600	50.57	6.37	17.41
HL5800	5800	34.73	5.74	15.22
BL5800	5800	48.19	6.45	15.72

LOWER DETECTION LIMIT: 7mW/kg

Page: 7/10

*This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of SATIMO.
The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of SATIMO.*




COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.239.1.14.SATU.A

5.4 ISOTROPY


HL900 MHz

- Axial isotropy: 0.04 dB
- Hemispherical isotropy: 0.06 dB



HL1800 MHz

- Axial isotropy: 0.05 dB
- Hemispherical isotropy: 0.06 dB



Page: 8/10

*This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of SATIMO.
The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of SATIMO.*




COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.239.1.14.SATU.A

HL5400 MHz

- Axial isotropy: 0.06 dB
- Hemispherical isotropy: 0.09 dB



Page: 9/10

*This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of SATIMO.
The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of SATIMO.*



COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.239.1.14.SATU.A

6 LIST OF EQUIPMENT

Equipment Summary Sheet				
Equipment Description	Manufacturer / Model	Identification No.	Current Calibration Date	Next Calibration Date
Flat Phantom	Satimo	SN-20/09-SAM71	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
COMOSAR Test Bench	Version 3	NA	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Network Analyzer	Rhode & Schwarz ZVA	SN100132	02/2013	02/2016
Reference Probe	Satimo	EP 94 SN 37/08	10/2013	10/2014
Multimeter	Keithley 2000	1188656	12/2013	12/2016
Signal Generator	Agilent E4438C	MY49070581	12/2013	12/2016
Amplifier	Aethercomm	SN 046	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Power Meter	HP E4418A	US38261498	12/2013	12/2016
Power Sensor	HP ECP-E26A	US37181460	12/2013	12/2016
Directional Coupler	Narda 4216-20	01386	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Waveguide	Mega Industries	069Y7-158-13-712	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Waveguide Transition	Mega Industries	069Y7-158-13-701	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Waveguide Termination	Mega Industries	069Y7-158-13-701	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Temperature / Humidity Sensor	Control Company	11-661-9	8/2012	8/2015

Page: 10/10

*This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of SATIMO.
The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of SATIMO.*

Annex C. Dipoles Calibration report



SAR Reference Dipole Calibration Report

Ref : ACR.227.6.14.SATU.A

SIEMIC TESTING AND CERTIFICATION SERVICES

775 MONTAGUE EXPRESSWAY
MILPITAS, CA 95035, USA

SATIMO COMOSAR REFERENCE DIPOLE

FREQUENCY: 2450 MHZ

SERIAL NO.: SN 31/10 DIPJ138

Calibrated at SATIMO US
2105 Barrett Park Dr. - Kennesaw, GA 30144



08/14/2014

Summary:

This document presents the method and results from an accredited SAR reference dipole calibration performed in SATIMO USA using the COMOSAR test bench. All calibration results are traceable to national metrology institutions.



SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.227.6.14.SATU.A

	<i>Name</i>	<i>Function</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Signature</i>
<i>Prepared by :</i>	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	8/15/2014	<i>JS</i>
<i>Checked by :</i>	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	8/15/2014	<i>JS</i>
<i>Approved by :</i>	Kim RUTKOWSKI	Quality Manager	8/15/2014	<i>Kim Rutkowski</i>

	<i>Customer Name</i>
<i>Distribution :</i>	SIEMIC Testing and Certification Services

<i>Issue</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Modifications</i>
A	8/15/2014	Initial release

Page: 2/11

*This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of SATIMO.
The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of SATIMO.*



SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.227.6.14.SATU.A

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Introduction.....	4
2	Device Under Test	4
3	Product Description	4
3.1	General Information	4
4	Measurement Method	5
4.1	Return Loss Requirements	5
4.2	Mechanical Requirements	5
5	Measurement Uncertainty	5
5.1	Return Loss	5
5.2	Dimension Measurement	5
5.3	Validation Measurement	5
6	Calibration Measurement Results	6
6.1	Return Loss and Impedance In Head Liquid	6
6.2	Return Loss and Impedance In Body Liquid	6
6.3	Mechanical Dimensions	6
7	Validation measurement	7
7.1	Head Liquid Measurement	7
7.2	SAR Measurement Result With Head Liquid	8
7.3	Body Liquid Measurement	9
7.4	SAR Measurement Result With Body Liquid	10
8	List of Equipment	11

Page: 3/11

*This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of SATIMO.
The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of SATIMO.*



SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.227.6.14.SATU.A

1 INTRODUCTION

This document contains a summary of the requirements set forth by the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards for reference dipoles used for SAR measurement system validations and the measurements that were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

2 DEVICE UNDER TEST

Device Under Test	
Device Type	COMOSAR 2450 MHz REFERENCE DIPOLE
Manufacturer	Satimo
Model	SID2450
Serial Number	SN 31/10 DIPJ138
Product Condition (new / used)	Used

A yearly calibration interval is recommended.

3 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

3.1 GENERAL INFORMATION

Satimo's COMOSAR Validation Dipoles are built in accordance to the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards. The product is designed for use with the COMOSAR test bench only.



Figure 1 – Satimo COMOSAR Validation Dipole

Page: 4/11

*This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of SATIMO.
The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of SATIMO.*



SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.227.6.14.SATU.A

4 MEASUREMENT METHOD

The IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards provide requirements for reference dipoles used for system validation measurements. The following measurements were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

4.1 RETURN LOSS REQUIREMENTS

The dipole used for SAR system validation measurements and checks must have a return loss of -20 dB or better. The return loss measurement shall be performed against a liquid filled flat phantom, with the phantom constructed as outlined in the fore mentioned standards.

4.2 MECHANICAL REQUIREMENTS

The IEEE Std. 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards specify the mechanical components and dimensions of the validation dipoles, with the dimensions frequency and phantom shell thickness dependent. The COMOSAR test bench employs a 2 mm phantom shell thickness therefore the dipoles sold for use with the COMOSAR test bench comply with the requirements set forth for a 2 mm phantom shell thickness.

5 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

All uncertainties listed below represent an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of $k=2$, traceable to the Internationally Accepted Guides to Measurement Uncertainty.

5.1 RETURN LOSS

The following uncertainties apply to the return loss measurement:

Frequency band	Expanded Uncertainty on Return Loss
400-6000MHz	0.1 dB

5.2 DIMENSION MEASUREMENT

The following uncertainties apply to the dimension measurements:

Length (mm)	Expanded Uncertainty on Length
3 - 300	0.05 mm

5.3 VALIDATION MEASUREMENT

The guidelines outlined in the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C, CENELEC EN50361 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards were followed to generate the measurement uncertainty for validation measurements.

Scan Volume	Expanded Uncertainty
1 g	20.3 %
10 g	20.1 %

Page: 5/11

*This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of SATIMO.
The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of SATIMO.*




SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.227.6.14.SATU.A


6 CALIBRATION MEASUREMENT RESULTS

6.1 RETURN LOSS AND IMPEDANCE IN HEAD LIQUID



Frequency (MHz)	Return Loss (dB)	Requirement (dB)	Impedance
2450	-38.03	-20	49.5 Ω - 1.2 j Ω

6.2 RETURN LOSS AND IMPEDANCE IN BODY LIQUID



Frequency (MHz)	Return Loss (dB)	Requirement (dB)	Impedance
2450	-28.76	-20	53.2 Ω - 1.9 j Ω

6.3 MECHANICAL DIMENSIONS

Frequency MHz	L mm		h mm		d mm	
	required	measured	required	measured	required	measured
300	420.0 $\pm$ 1 %		250.0 $\pm$ 1 %		6.35 $\pm$ 1 %	
450	290.0 $\pm$ 1 %		166.7 $\pm$ 1 %		6.35 $\pm$ 1 %	
750	176.0 $\pm$ 1 %		100.0 $\pm$ 1 %		6.35 $\pm$ 1 %	
835	161.0 $\pm$ 1 %		89.8 $\pm$ 1 %		3.6 $\pm$ 1 %	

Page: 6/11

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of SATIMO. The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of SATIMO.



SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.227.6.14.SATU.A

900	149.0 ±1 %		83.3 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
1450	89.1 ±1 %		51.7 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
1500	80.5 ±1 %		50.0 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
1640	79.0 ±1 %		45.7 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
1750	75.2 ±1 %		42.9 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
1800	72.0 ±1 %		41.7 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
1900	68.0 ±1 %		39.5 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
1950	66.3 ±1 %		38.5 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
2000	64.5 ±1 %		37.5 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
2100	61.0 ±1 %		35.7 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
2300	55.5 ±1 %		32.6 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
2450	51.5 ±1 %	PASS	30.4 ±1 %	PASS	3.6 ±1 %	PASS
2600	48.5 ±1 %		28.8 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
3000	41.5 ±1 %		25.0 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
3500	37.0 ±1 %		26.4 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
3700	34.7 ±1 %		26.4 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	

7 VALIDATION MEASUREMENT

The IEEE Std. 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209 standards state that the system validation measurements must be performed using a reference dipole meeting the fore mentioned return loss and mechanical dimension requirements. The validation measurement must be performed against a liquid filled flat phantom, with the phantom constructed as outlined in the fore mentioned standards. Per the standards, the dipole shall be positioned below the bottom of the phantom, with the dipole length centered and parallel to the longest dimension of the flat phantom, with the top surface of the dipole at the described distance from the bottom surface of the phantom.

7.1 HEAD LIQUID MEASUREMENT

Frequency MHz	Relative permittivity (ϵ_r)		Conductivity (σ) S/m	
	required	measured	required	measured
300	45.3 ±5 %		0.87 ±5 %	
450	43.5 ±5 %		0.87 ±5 %	
750	41.9 ±5 %		0.89 ±5 %	
835	41.5 ±5 %		0.90 ±5 %	
900	41.5 ±5 %		0.97 ±5 %	
1450	40.5 ±5 %		1.20 ±5 %	
1500	40.4 ±5 %		1.23 ±5 %	
1640	40.2 ±5 %		1.31 ±5 %	
1750	40.1 ±5 %		1.37 ±5 %	
1800	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
1900	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
1950	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
2000	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	

Page: 7/11

*This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of SATIMO.
The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of SATIMO.*



SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.227.6.14.SATU.A

2100	39.8 ±5 %		1.49 ±5 %	
2300	39.5 ±5 %		1.67 ±5 %	
2450	39.2 ±5 %	PASS	1.80 ±5 %	PASS
2600	39.0 ±5 %		1.96 ±5 %	
3000	38.5 ±5 %		2.40 ±5 %	
3500	37.9 ±5 %		2.91 ±5 %	

7.2 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULT WITH HEAD LIQUID

The IEEE Std. 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards state that the system validation measurements should produce the SAR values shown below (for phantom thickness of 2 mm), within the uncertainty for the system validation. All SAR values are normalized to 1 W forward power. In bracket, the measured SAR is given with the used input power.

Software	OPENSAR V4
Phantom	SN 20/09 SAM71
Probe	SN 18/11 EPG122
Liquid	Head Liquid Values: ϵ_{ps} : 39.0 sigma : 1.77
Distance between dipole center and liquid	10.0 mm
Area scan resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm
Zoon Scan Resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8m/dz=5mm
Frequency	2450 MHz
Input power	20 dBm
Liquid Temperature	21 °C
Lab Temperature	21 °C
Lab Humidity	45 %

Frequency MHz	1 g SAR (W/kg/W)		10 g SAR (W/kg/W)	
	required	measured	required	measured
300	2.85		1.94	
450	4.58		3.06	
750	8.49		5.55	
835	9.56		6.22	
900	10.9		6.99	
1450	29		16	
1500	30.5		16.8	
1640	34.2		18.4	
1750	36.4		19.3	
1800	38.4		20.1	
1900	39.7		20.5	
1950	40.5		20.9	
2000	41.1		21.1	
2100	43.6		21.9	
2300	48.7		23.3	

Page: 8/11


*This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of SATIMO.
The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of SATIMO.*



SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.227.6.14.SATU.A

2450	52.4	54.49 (5.45)	24	24.23 (2.42)
2600	55.3		24.6	
3000	63.8		25.7	
3500	67.1		25	



7.3 BODY LIQUID MEASUREMENT

Frequency MHz	Relative permittivity (ϵ_r)		Conductivity (σ) S/m	
	required	measured	required	measured
150	61.9 $\pm$ 5 %		0.80 $\pm$ 5 %	
300	58.2 $\pm$ 5 %		0.92 $\pm$ 5 %	
450	56.7 $\pm$ 5 %		0.94 $\pm$ 5 %	
750	55.5 $\pm$ 5 %		0.96 $\pm$ 5 %	
835	55.2 $\pm$ 5 %		0.97 $\pm$ 5 %	
900	55.0 $\pm$ 5 %		1.05 $\pm$ 5 %	
915	55.0 $\pm$ 5 %		1.06 $\pm$ 5 %	
1450	54.0 $\pm$ 5 %		1.30 $\pm$ 5 %	
1610	53.8 $\pm$ 5 %		1.40 $\pm$ 5 %	
1800	53.3 $\pm$ 5 %		1.52 $\pm$ 5 %	
1900	53.3 $\pm$ 5 %		1.52 $\pm$ 5 %	
2000	53.3 $\pm$ 5 %		1.52 $\pm$ 5 %	
2100	53.2 $\pm$ 5 %		1.62 $\pm$ 5 %	
2450	52.7 $\pm$ 5 %	PASS	1.95 $\pm$ 5 %	PASS
2600	52.5 $\pm$ 5 %		2.16 $\pm$ 5 %	
3000	52.0 $\pm$ 5 %		2.73 $\pm$ 5 %	
3500	51.3 $\pm$ 5 %		3.31 $\pm$ 5 %	
5200	49.0 $\pm$ 10 %		5.30 $\pm$ 10 %	
5300	48.9 $\pm$ 10 %		5.42 $\pm$ 10 %	
5400	48.7 $\pm$ 10 %		5.53 $\pm$ 10 %	

Page: 9/11

*This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of SATIMO.
The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of SATIMO.*



SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT


Ref: ACR.227.6.14.SATU.A

5500	48.6 ±10 %		5.65 ±10 %	
5600	48.5 ±10 %		5.77 ±10 %	
5800	48.2 ±10 %		6.00 ±10 %	

7.4 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULT WITH BODY LIQUID

Software	OPENSAR V4
Phantom	SN 20/09 SAM71
Probe	SN 18/11 EPG122
Liquid	Body Liquid Values: ϵ_{ps}^* : 53.0 sigma : 1.93
Distance between dipole center and liquid	10.0 mm
Area scan resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm
Zoon Scan Resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8m/dz=5mm
Frequency	2450 MHz
Input power	20 dBm
Liquid Temperature	21 °C
Lab Temperature	21 °C
Lab Humidity	45 %

Frequency MHz	1 g SAR (W/kg/W)	10 g SAR (W/kg/W)
	measured	measured
2450	52.31 (5.23)	24.09 (2.41)



Page: 10/11

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of SATIMO.
The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of SATIMO.



SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.227.6.14.SATU.A

8 LIST OF EQUIPMENT

Equipment Summary Sheet				
Equipment Description	Manufacturer / Model	Identification No.	Current Calibration Date	Next Calibration Date
SAM Phantom	Satimo	SN-20/09-SAM71	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
COMOSAR Test Bench	Version 3	NA	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Network Analyzer	Rhode & Schwarz ZVA	SN100132	02/2013	02/2016
Calipers	Carrera	CALIPER-01	12/2013	12/2016
Reference Probe	Satimo	EPG122 SN 18/11	10/2013	10/2014
Multimeter	Keithley 2000	1188656	12/2013	12/2016
Signal Generator	Agilent E4438C	MY49070581	12/2013	12/2016
Amplifier	Aethercomm	SN 046	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Power Meter	HP E4418A	US38261498	12/2013	12/2016
Power Sensor	HP ECP-E26A	US37181460	12/2013	12/2016
Directional Coupler	Narda 4216-20	01386	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Temperature and Humidity Sensor	Control Company	11-661-9	8/2012	8/2015

Page: 11/11

*This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of SATIMO.
The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of SATIMO.*

Annex D. SIEMIC Accreditation

Accreditations	Document	Scope / Remark
ISO 17025 (A2LA)		Please see the documents for the detailed scope
ISO Guide 65 (A2LA)		Please see the documents for the detailed scope
TCB Designation		A1, A2, A3, A4, B1, B2, B3, B4, C
FCC DoC Accreditation		FCC Declaration of Conformity Accreditation
FCC Site Registration		3 meter site
FCC Site Registration		10 meter site
IC Site Registration		3 meter site
IC Site Registration		10 meter site
EU NB		Radio & Telecommunications Terminal Equipment: EN45001 – EN ISO/IEC 17025
		Electromagnetic Compatibility: EN45001 – EN ISO/IEC 17025
Singapore iDA CB(Certification Body)	 	Phase I, Phase II
Vietnam MIC CAB Accreditation		Please see the document for the detailed scope
HongKong OFCA		(Phase II) OFCA Foreign Certification Body for Radio and Telecom
		(Phase I) Conformity Assessment Body for Radio and Telecom
Industry Canada CAB		Radio: Scope A – All Radio Standard Specification in Category I
		Telecom: CS-03 Part I, II, V, VI, VII, VIII

Japan Recognized Certification Body Designation		Radio : A1. Terminal equipment for purpose of calling Telecom : B1. Specified radio equipment specified in Article 38-2, Paragraph 1, Item 1 of the Radio Law
Korea CAB Accreditation		EMI: KCC Notice 2008-39, RRL Notice 2008-3: CA Procedures for EMI KN22: Test Method for EMI EMS: KCC Notice 2008-38, RRL Notice 2008-4: CA Procedures for EMS KN24, KN61000-4-2, -4-3, -4-4, -4-5, -4-6, -4-8, -4-11: Test Method for EMS Radio: RRL Notice 2008-26, RRL Notice 2008-2, RRL Notice 2008-10, RRL Notice 2007-49, RRL Notice 2007-20, RRL Notice 2007-21, RRL Notice 2007-80, RRL Notice 2004-68 Telecom: President Notice 20664, RRL Notice 2007-30, RRL Notice 2008-7 with attachments 1, 3, 5, 6; President Notice 20664, RRL Notice 2008-7 with attachment 4
Taiwan NCC CAB Recognition		LP0002, PSTN01, ADSL01, ID0002, IS6100, CNS14336, PLMN07, PLMN01, PLMN08
Taiwan BSMI CAB Recognition		CNS 13438
Japan VCCI		R-3083: Radiation 3 meter site C-3421: Main Ports Conducted Interference Measurement T-1597: Telecommunication Ports Conducted Interference Measurement
Australia CAB Recognition		EMC: AS/NZS CISPR 11, AS/NZS CISPR 14.1, AS/NZS CISPR22, AS/NZS 61000.6.3, AS/NZS 61000.6.4 Radiocommunications: AS/NZS 4281, AS/NZS 4268, AS/NZS 4280.1, AS/NZS 4280.2, AS/NZS 4295, AS/NZS 4582, AS/NZS 4583, AS/NZS 4769.1, AS/NZS 4769.2, AS/NZS 4770, AS/NZS 4771 Telecommunications: AS/ACIF S002:05, AS/ACIF S003:06, AS/ACIF S004:06, AS/ACIF S006:01, AS/ACIF S016:01, AS/ACIF S031:01, AS/ACIF S038:01, AS/ACIF S040:01, AS/ACIF S041:05, AS/ACIF S043.2:06, AS/ACIF S60950.1
Australia NATA Recognition		AS/ACIF S002, AS/ACIF S003, AS/ACIF S004, AS/ACIF S006, AS/ACIF S016, AS/ACIF S031, AS/ACIF S038, AS/ACIF S040, AS/ACIF S041, AS/ACIF S043.2