

RF TEST REPORT

Test item : Industrial PDA
Model No. : BIP-1530
Order No. : DEMC1206-00819
Date of receipt : 2012-06-04
Test duration : 2012-07-31 ~ 2012-08-27 & 2012-09-27 ~ 2012-10-04
Date of issue : 2012-10-05
Use of report : FCC Original Grant

Applicant : Bluebird Soft Inc.
1242, Gaepo-dong ,Gangnam-Gu, Seoul, Korea

Test laboratory : Digital EMC Co., Ltd.
683-3, Yubang-Dong, Cheoin-Gu, Yongin-Si, Kyunggi-Do, 449-080, Korea

Test specification : FCC Part 15 Subpart C 247

Test environment : See appended test report

Test result : ☒ Pass ☐ Fail

The test results presented in this test report are limited only to the sample supplied by applicant and the use of this test report is inhibited other than its purpose. This test report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of DIGITAL EMC CO., LTD.

Tested by:


Witnessed by:

Reviewed by:

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to be "H.H. Lee", written over a horizontal line.

Engineer
H.H.Lee

N/A

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to be "Will Lee", written over a horizontal line.

Deputy General Manager
Will Lee

Table of Contents

1. General Information	3
1.1 Testing Laboratory	3
1.2 Details of Applicant	3
1.3 Description of EUT	3
1.4 Declaration by the manufacturer	3
1.5 Information about the FHSS characteristics:	4
1.5.1 Pseudorandom Frequency Hopping Sequence	4
1.5.2 Equal Hopping Frequency Use	4
1.5.3 System Receiver Input Bandwidth	4
1.6 Test Equipment List	5
1.7 Summary of Test Results	6
1.8 Conclusion of worst-case and operation mode	7
1.9 Test report revision	8
2. Transmitter Radiated Spurious Emissions and Conducted Spurious Emission	9
2.1. Test Setup	9
2.2. Limit	9
2.3. Test Procedures	10
2.3.1. Test Procedures for Radiated Spurious Emissions	10
2.3.2. Test Procedures for Conducted Spurious Emissions	10
2.4. Test Results	11
2.4.1. Radiated Emission	11
2.4.2. Conducted Spurious Emissions	23
3. Carrier Frequency Separation	41
3.1. Test Setup	41
3.2. Limit	41
4. Number of Hopping Frequencies	46
4.1. Test Setup	46
4.2. Limit	46
5. 20dBc BW	55
5.1. Test Setup	55
5.2. Limit	55
5.3. Test Procedure	55
5.4. Test Results	55
6. Time of Occupancy (Dwell Time)	61
6.1. Test Setup	61
6.2. Limit	61
6.3. Test Procedure	61
6.4. Test Results	61
7. Maximum Peak Output Power Measurement	66
7.1. Test Setup	66
7.2. Limit	66
7.3. Test Procedure	66
7.4. Test Results	67
8. Transmitter AC Power Line Conducted Emission	73
8.1. Test Setup	73
8.2. Limit	73
8.3. Test Procedures	73
8.4. Test Results	74
9. Antenna Requirement	82

1. General Information

1.1 Testing Laboratory

Digital EMC Co., Ltd.

683-3, Yubang-Dong, Cheoin-Gu, Yongin-Si, Kyunggi-Do, 449-080, Korea

www.digitalemcc.com

Telephone : + 82-31-321-2664

FAX : + 82-31-321-1664

1.2 Details of Applicant

Applicant : Bluebird Soft Inc.

Address : 1242, Gaepo-dong ,Gangnam-Gu, Seoul, Korea

Contact person : Yong Yeon Kim

Phone No. : 070-7730-8679

1.3 Description of EUT

Product	Industrial PDA
Model Name	BIP-1530
Serial Number	Identical prototype
Power Supply	Lithium Ion Battery: DC 7.4V
Frequency Range	2402 ~ 2480MHz
Modulation Technique	GFSK, $\pi/4$ -DQPSK, 8DPSK
Number of Channels	79
Antenna Type	Internal Antenna
Antenna Gain	PK : 1.4 dBi

1.4. Declaration by the manufacturer

- N/A

1.5. Information about the FHSS characteristics:

1.5.1. Pseudorandom Frequency Hopping Sequence

The channel is represented by a pseudo-random hopping sequence hopping through the 79 RF channels. The hopping sequence is unique for the piconet and is determined by the Bluetooth device address of the master; the phase in the hopping sequence is determined by the Bluetooth clock of the master. The channel is divided into time slots where each slot corresponds to an RF hop frequency. Consecutive hops correspond to different RF hop frequencies. The nominal hop rate is 1600 hops/s.

1.5.2. Equal Hopping Frequency Use

All Bluetooth units participating in the piconet are time and hop-synchronized to the channel.

1.5.3. System Receiver Input Bandwidth

Each channel bandwidth is 1MHz

1.6. Test Equipment List

Type	Manufacturer	Model	Cal.Date (yy/mm/dd)	Next.Cal.Date (yy/mm/dd)	S/N
Spectrum Analyzer	Agilent	E4440A	12/09/18	13/09/18	MY45304199
Spectrum Analyzer	Rohde Schwarz	FSQ26	12/01/09	13/01/09	200445
Spectrum Analyzer	Agilent	N9020A	12/01/09	13/01/09	MY49100833
Power Splitter	Anritsu	K241B	12/09/17	13/09/17	020611
Digital Multimeter	H.P	34401A	12/03/05	13/03/05	3146A13475, US36122178
Signal Generator	Rohde Schwarz	SMR20	12/03/05	13/03/05	101251
Vector Signal Generator	Rohde Schwarz	SMJ100A	12/01/09	13/01/09	100148
Bluetooth Tester	TESCOM	TC-3000B	12/07/01	13/07/01	3000B000268
Thermo hygrometer	BODYCOM	BJ5478	12/01/13	13/01/13	090205-2
DC Power Supply	HP	6622A	12/03/05	13/03/05	3448A03760
High-pass filter	Wainwright	WHNX3.0	N/A	N/A	9
LOOP Antenna	ETS	6502	10/10/29	12/10/29	3471
BILOG ANTENNA	SCHAFFNER	CBL6112D	10/12/21	12/12/21	2737
HORN ANT	ETS	3115	12/02/20	13/02/20	6419
HORN ANT	A.H.Systems	SAS-574	11/03/25	13/03/25	154
Amplifier (22dB)	H.P	8447E	12/01/09	13/01/09	2945A02865
Amplifier (30dB)	Agilent	8449B	12/03/05	13/03/05	3008A00370
EMI TEST RECEIVER	R&S	ESU	12/03/05	13/03/05	100014
EMI TEST RECEIVER	R&S	ESCI	12/03/06	13/03/06	100364
CVCF	KIKUSUI	PCR1000L	12/09/15	13/09/15	14110610
ARTIFICIAL MAINS NETWORK	R&S	ESH2-Z5	12/09/18	13/09/18	828739/006
RFI/Field intensity Meter	KYORITSU	KNM-2402	12/07/02	13/07/02	4N-170-3

1.7. Summary of Test Results

FCC Part Section(s)	Parameter	Limit (Using in 2400 ~ 2483.5MHz)	Test Condition	Status Note 1
15.247(a)	Carrier Frequency Separation	>= 20dB BW or >= Two-Thirds of the 20dB BW	Conducted	C
	Number of Hopping Frequencies	>= 15 hops		C
	20 dB Bandwidth	None		C
	Dwell Time	=< 0.4 seconds		C
15.247(b)	Transmitter Output Power	=< 1Watt , if CHs >= 75 Others =<0.125W		C
15.247(d)	Band-edge	The radiated emission to any 100 kHz of out-band shall be at least 20dB below the highest in-band spectral density.		C
	Conducted Spurious Emissions			C
15.205 15.209	Radiated Emissions	FCC 15.209 Limits	Radiated	C
15.207	AC Conducted Emissions	FCC 15.207 Limits	AC Line Conducted	C
15.203	Antenna Requirements	FCC 15.203	-	C
Note 1: C=Comply NC=Not Comply NT=Not Tested NA=Not Applicable Note 2: The sample was tested according to the following specification: ANSI C-63.4-2003, DA00-705				

1.8 Conclusion of worst-case and operation mode

The EUT has three type of modulation (GFSK, $\pi/4$ DQPSK and 8DPSK).

Therefore all applicable requirements were tested with all the modulations.

The field strength of spurious emission was measured in three orthogonal EUT positions(X-axis, Y-axis and Z-axis).

Tested frequency information,

- Hopping Function: Enable

	TX Frequency (MHz)	RX Frequency (MHz)
Hopping Band	2402 ~ 2480	2402 ~ 2480

- Hopping Function: Disable

	TX Frequency (MHz)	RX Frequency (MHz)
Lowest Channel	2402	2402
Middle Channel	2441	2441
Highest Channel	2480	2480

Test Case information,

Test Case 1 (Basic Test Case)	EUT + PINPAD (13.56MHz RFID)
Test Case 2 (Additional Test Case)	EUT + Finger scan
Test Case 3 (Additional Test Case)	EUT + Payment
Test Case 4 (Additional Test Case)	EUT + Battery Cover

This EUT has 4 optional external modules so above 4 test cases were tested for compliance.

1.9 Test report revision

Test Report No.	Date	Description
DRTFCC1209-0511	Sep. 21, 2012	Final version for approval
DRTFCC1209-0511(1)	Oct. 05, 2012	Additional testing

2. Transmitter Radiated Spurious Emissions and Conducted Spurious Emission

2.1. Test Setup

Refer to the APPENDIX I.

2.2. Limit

According to §15.247(d), in any 100 kHz bandwidth outside the frequency band in which the spread spectrum or digitally modulated intentional radiator is operating, the radio frequency power that is produced by the intentional radiator shall be at least 20 dB below that in the 100 kHz bandwidth within the band that contains the highest level of the desired power, based on either an RF conducted or a radiated measurement, provided the transmitter demonstrates compliance with the peak conducted power limits. If the transmitter complies with the conducted power limits based on the use of RMS averaging over a time interval, as permitted under paragraph(b)(3) of this section, the attenuation required under this paragraph shall be 30 dB instead of 20 dB. Attenuation below the general limits specified in section §15.209(a) is not required. In addition, radiated emission which in the restricted band, as define in section §15.205(a), must also comply the radiated emission limits specified in section §15.209(a) (see section §15.205(c))

According to § 15.209(a), except as provided elsewhere in this Subpart, the emissions from an intentional radiator shall not exceed the field strength levels specified in the following table

Frequency (MHz)	Limit (uV/m) @ 3m
30 ~ 88	100 **
88 ~ 216	150 **
216 ~ 960	200 **
Above 960	500

** Except as provided in 15.209(g), fundamental emissions from intentional radiators operating under this Section shall not be located in the frequency bands 54-72 MHz, 76-88MHz, 174-216MHz or 470-806MHz. However, operation within these frequency bands is permitted under other sections of this Part, e.g. 15.231 and 15.241.

According to § 15.205(a) and (b), only spurious emissions are permitted in any of the frequency bands listed below:

MHz	MHz	MHz	MHz	GHz	GHz
0.009 ~ 0.110	8.41425 ~ 8.41475	108 ~ 121.94	1300 ~ 1427	3600 ~ 4400	14.47 ~ 14.5
0.495 ~ 0.505	12.29 ~ 12.293	123 ~ 138	1435 ~ 1626.5	4.5 ~ 5.15	15.35 ~ 16.2
2.1735 ~ 2.1905	12.51975 ~ 12.52025	149.9 ~ 150.05	1645.5 ~ 1646.5	5.35 ~ 5.46	17.7 ~ 21.4
4.125 ~ 4.128	12.57675 ~ 12.57725	156.52475 ~	1660 ~ 1710	7.25 ~ 7.75	22.01 ~ 23.12
4.17725 ~ 4.17775	13.36 ~ 13.41	156.52525	1718.8 ~ 1722.2	8.025 ~ 8.5	23.6 ~ 24.0
4.20725 ~ 4.20775	16.42 ~ 16.423	156.7 ~ 156.9	2200 ~ 2300	9.0 ~ 9.2	31.2 ~ 31.8
6.215 ~ 6.218	16.69475 ~ 16.69525	162.0125 ~ 167.17	2310 ~ 2390	9.3 ~ 9.5	36.43 ~ 36.5
6.26775 ~ 6.26825	16.80425 ~ 16.80475	167.72 ~ 173.2	2483.5 ~ 2500	10.6 ~ 12.7	Above 38.6
6.31175 ~ 6.31225	25.5 ~ 25.67	240 ~ 285	2655 ~ 2900	13.25 ~ 13.4	
8.291 ~ 8.294	37.5 ~ 38.25	322 ~ 335.4	3260 ~ 3267		
8.362 ~ 8.366	73 ~ 74.6	399.90 ~ 410	3332 ~ 3339		
8.37625 ~ 8.38675	74.8 ~ 75.2	608 ~ 614	3345.8 ~ 3358		
		960 ~ 1240			

The field strength of emissions appearing within these frequency bands shall not exceed the limits shown in §15.209. At frequencies equal to or less than 1000 MHz, compliance with the limits in §15.209 shall be demonstrated using measurement instrumentation employing a CISPR quasi-peak detector. Above 1000 MHz, compliance with the emission limits in §15.209 shall be demonstrated based on the average value of the measured emissions. The provisions in §15.35 apply to these measurements.

2.3. Test Procedures

Radiated emissions from the EUT were measured according to the DA 00-705 and ANSI C63.4:2003

2.3.1. Test Procedures for Radiated Spurious Emissions

1. The EUT was placed on the top of a rotating table 0.8 meters above the ground at a 3 meter anechoic chamber test site. The table was rotated 360 degrees to determine the position of the highest radiation.
2. During performing radiated emission below 1 GHz, the EUT was set 3 meters away from the interference receiving antenna, which was mounted on the top of a variable-height antenna tower. During performing radiated emission above 1 GHz, the EUT was set 3 meter away from the interference-receiving antenna.
3. The antenna is a broadband antenna, and its height is varied from one meter to four meters above the ground to determine the maximum value of the field strength. Both horizontal and vertical polarizations of the antenna are set to make the measurement.
4. For each suspected emission, the EUT was arranged to its worst case and then the antenna was tuned to heights from 1 meter to 4 meters and the table was turned from 0 degrees to 360 degrees to find the maximum reading.
5. The test-receiver system was set to Peak Detect Function and Specified Bandwidth with Maximum Hold Mode.
6. If the emission level of the EUT in peak mode was 10 dB lower than the limit specified, then testing could be stopped and the peak values of the EUT would be reported. Otherwise the emissions that did not have 10 dB margin would be re-tested one by one using peak, quasi-peak or average method as specified and then reported in a data sheet.

NOTE ;

1. The resolution bandwidth and video bandwidth of test receiver/spectrum analyzer is 120 kHz for Quasi-peak detection (QP) at frequency below 1 GHz.
2. The resolution bandwidth and video bandwidth of test receiver/spectrum analyzer is 1MHz for Peak detection and frequency above 1 GHz.
3. The resolution bandwidth of test receiver/spectrum analyzer is 1 MHz and the video bandwidth is 10 Hz for Average detection (AV) at frequency above 1 GHz.

2.3.2. Test Procedures for Conducted Spurious Emissions

1. The transmitter output was connected to the spectrum analyzer.
2. The reference level of the fundamental frequency was measured with the spectrum analyzer using RBW=100 kHz, VBW=300 kHz.
3. The conducted spurious emission was performed using the spectrum analyzer's spurious measurement function from 9KHz to 25 GHz with the several sub measurement ranges.
(Detail ranges are listed on the measurement plots.)
The following spectrum settings was used for each measurement ranges.
RBW=100 kHz, VBW=300 kHz, SWEEP TIME = AUTO, DETECTOR = PEAK, TRACE = MAX HOLD.

2.4. Test Results

Ambient temperature : 23~25 °C
Relative humidity : 53 %, 56 %

2.4.1. Radiated Emission

9KHz ~ 25GHz Data(Modulation: GFSK) Test Case 1

▪ Lowest Channel

Frequency (MHz)	ANT Pol	The worst case EUT Position (Axis)	Detector Mode	Reading (dBuV)	T.F (dB/m)	D.C.F. (dB)	Result (dBuV/m)	Limit (dBuV/m)	Margin (dB)
2386.384	H	Z	PK	43.75	-4.73	N/A	39.02	74.00	34.98
2385.994	H	Z	AV	33.65	-4.73	N/A	28.92	54.00	25.08
4804.229	H	X	PK	48.05	2.23	N/A	50.28	74.00	23.72
4804.022	H	X	AV	40.48	2.23	N/A	42.71	54.00	11.29

▪ Middle Channel

Frequency (MHz)	ANT Pol	The worst case EUT Position (Axis)	Detector Mode	Reading (dBuV)	T.F (dB/m)	D.C.F. (dB)	Result (dBuV/m)	Limit (dBuV/m)	Margin (dB)
4882.219	H	X	PK	47.69	2.92	N/A	50.61	74.00	23.39
4882.015	H	X	AV	40.20	2.92	N/A	43.12	54.00	10.88

▪ Highest Channel

Frequency (MHz)	ANT Pol	The worst case EUT Position (Axis)	Detector Mode	Reading (dBuV)	T.F (dB/m)	D.C.F. (dB)	Result (dBuV/m)	Limit (dBuV/m)	Margin (dB)
2483.500	H	Z	PK	51.33	-4.66	N/A	46.67	74.00	27.33
2483.500	H	Z	AV	38.69	-4.66	N/A	34.03	54.00	19.97
4960.210	H	X	PK	50.56	2.67	N/A	53.23	74.00	20.77
4960.022	H	X	AV	43.38	2.67	N/A	46.05	54.00	7.95

Note.

1. No other spurious and harmonic emissions were reported greater than listed emissions above table.
2. Above listed point data is the worst case data.
3. Sample Calculation.

$$\text{Margin} = \text{Limit} - \text{Result} \quad / \quad \text{Result} = \text{Reading} + \text{T.F} + \text{D.C.F.} \quad / \quad \text{T.F} = \text{AF} + \text{CL} - \text{AG}$$

Where, T.F = Total Factor, AF = Antenna Factor, CL = Cable Loss, AG = Amplifier Gain,

9KHz ~ 25GHz Data(Modulation: $\pi/4$ DQPSK) Test Case 1

▪ Lowest Channel

Frequency (MHz)	ANT Pol	The worst case EUT Position (Axis)	Detector Mode	Reading (dBuV)	T.F (dB/m)	D.C.F. (dB)	Result (dBuV/m)	Limit (dBuV/m)	Margin (dB)
2387.851	H	Z	PK	45.52	-4.73	N/A	40.79	74.00	33.21
2386.930	H	Z	AV	33.33	-4.73	N/A	28.60	54.00	25.40
4804.263	H	X	PK	43.78	2.23	N/A	46.01	74.00	27.99
4804.020	H	X	AV	32.36	2.23	N/A	34.59	54.00	19.41

▪ Middle Channel

Frequency (MHz)	ANT Pol	The worst case EUT Position (Axis)	Detector Mode	Reading (dBuV)	T.F (dB/m)	D.C.F. (dB)	Result (dBuV/m)	Limit (dBuV/m)	Margin (dB)
4882.260	H	X	PK	43.01	2.92	N/A	45.93	74.00	28.07
4882.021	H	X	AV	30.69	2.92	N/A	33.61	54.00	20.39

▪ Highest Channel

Frequency (MHz)	ANT Pol	The worst case EUT Position (Axis)	Detector Mode	Reading (dBuV)	T.F (dB/m)	D.C.F. (dB)	Result (dBuV/m)	Limit (dBuV/m)	Margin (dB)
2483.500	H	Z	PK	50.28	-4.66	N/A	45.62	74.00	28.38
2483.500	H	Z	AV	36.91	-4.66	N/A	32.25	54.00	21.75
4960.280	H	X	PK	45.04	2.67	N/A	47.71	74.00	26.29
4960.017	H	X	AV	34.27	2.67	N/A	36.94	54.00	17.06

Note.

1. No other spurious and harmonic emissions were reported greater than listed emissions above table.
2. Above listed point data is the worst case data.
3. Sample Calculation.

$$\text{Margin} = \text{Limit} - \text{Result} \quad / \quad \text{Result} = \text{Reading} + \text{T.F} + \text{D.C.F.} \quad / \quad \text{T.F} = \text{AF} + \text{CL} - \text{AG}$$

Where, T.F = Total Factor, AF = Antenna Factor, CL = Cable Loss, AG = Amplifier Gain,

9KHz ~ 25GHz Data(Modulation: 8DPSK) Test Case 1

▪ Lowest Channel

Frequency (MHz)	ANT Pol	The worst case EUT Position (Axis)	Detector Mode	Reading (dBuV)	T.F (dB/m)	D.C.F. (dB)	Result (dBuV/m)	Limit (dBuV/m)	Margin (dB)
2387.187	H	Z	PK	46.70	-4.73	N/A	41.97	74.00	32.03
2386.798	H	Z	AV	33.14	-4.73	N/A	28.41	54.00	25.59
4804.270	H	X	PK	43.60	2.23	N/A	45.83	74.00	28.17
4804.017	H	X	AV	32.90	2.23	N/A	35.13	54.00	18.87

▪ Middle Channel

Frequency (MHz)	ANT Pol	The worst case EUT Position (Axis)	Detector Mode	Reading (dBuV)	T.F (dB/m)	D.C.F. (dB)	Result (dBuV/m)	Limit (dBuV/m)	Margin (dB)
4882.294	H	X	PK	43.75	2.92	N/A	46.67	74.00	27.33
4882.010	H	X	AV	30.88	2.92	N/A	33.80	54.00	20.20

▪ Highest Channel

Frequency (MHz)	ANT Pol	The worst case EUT Position (Axis)	Detector Mode	Reading (dBuV)	T.F (dB/m)	D.C.F. (dB)	Result (dBuV/m)	Limit (dBuV/m)	Margin (dB)
2483.500	H	Z	PK	51.63	-4.66	N/A	46.97	74.00	27.03
2483.500	H	Z	AV	37.36	-4.66	N/A	32.70	54.00	21.30
4960.212	H	X	PK	44.81	2.67	N/A	47.48	74.00	26.52
4960.010	H	X	AV	34.46	2.67	N/A	37.13	54.00	16.87

Note.

1. No other spurious and harmonic emissions were reported greater than listed emissions above table.
2. Above listed point data is the worst case data.
3. Sample Calculation.

$$\text{Margin} = \text{Limit} - \text{Result} \quad / \quad \text{Result} = \text{Reading} + \text{T.F} + \text{D.C.F.} \quad / \quad \text{T.F} = \text{AF} + \text{CL} - \text{AG}$$

Where, T.F = Total Factor, AF = Antenna Factor, CL = Cable Loss, AG = Amplifier Gain,

9KHz ~ 25GHz Data(Modulation: GFSK) Test Case 2**▪ Lowest Channel**

Frequency (MHz)	ANT Pol	The worst case EUT Position (Axis)	Detector Mode	Reading (dBuV)	T.F (dB/m)	D.C.F. (dB)	Result (dBuV/m)	Limit (dBuV/m)	Margin (dB)
2386.385	H	Z	PK	43.73	-4.73	N/A	39.00	74.00	35.00
2385.990	H	Z	AV	33.64	-4.73	N/A	28.91	54.00	25.09
4804.271	H	X	PK	47.97	2.23	N/A	50.20	74.00	23.80
4804.018	H	X	AV	40.47	2.23	N/A	42.70	54.00	11.30

▪ Middle Channel

Frequency (MHz)	ANT Pol	The worst case EUT Position (Axis)	Detector Mode	Reading (dBuV)	T.F (dB/m)	D.C.F. (dB)	Result (dBuV/m)	Limit (dBuV/m)	Margin (dB)
4882.257	H	X	PK	47.66	2.92	N/A	50.58	74.00	23.42
4882.017	H	X	AV	40.24	2.92	N/A	43.16	54.00	10.84

▪ Highest Channel

Frequency (MHz)	ANT Pol	The worst case EUT Position (Axis)	Detector Mode	Reading (dBuV)	T.F (dB/m)	D.C.F. (dB)	Result (dBuV/m)	Limit (dBuV/m)	Margin (dB)
2483.500	H	Z	PK	51.31	-4.66	N/A	46.65	74.00	27.35
2483.500	H	Z	AV	38.75	-4.66	N/A	34.09	54.00	19.91
4960.243	H	X	PK	50.56	2.67	N/A	53.23	74.00	20.77
4960.016	H	X	AV	43.39	2.67	N/A	46.06	54.00	7.94

Note.

1. No other spurious and harmonic emissions were reported greater than listed emissions above table.
2. Above listed point data is the worst case data.
3. Sample Calculation.

$$\text{Margin} = \text{Limit} - \text{Result} \quad / \quad \text{Result} = \text{Reading} + \text{T.F} + \text{D.C.F.} \quad / \quad \text{T.F} = \text{AF} + \text{CL} - \text{AG}$$

Where, T.F = Total Factor, AF = Antenna Factor, CL = Cable Loss, AG = Amplifier Gain,

9KHz ~ 25GHz Data(Modulation: $\pi/4$ DQPSK) Test Case 2

▪ Lowest Channel

Frequency (MHz)	ANT Pol	The worst case EUT Position (Axis)	Detector Mode	Reading (dBuV)	T.F (dB/m)	D.C.F. (dB)	Result (dBuV/m)	Limit (dBuV/m)	Margin (dB)
2387.853	H	Z	PK	45.55	-4.73	N/A	40.82	74.00	33.18
2386.918	H	Z	AV	33.40	-4.73	N/A	28.67	54.00	25.33
4804.222	H	X	PK	43.76	2.23	N/A	45.99	74.00	28.01
4804.015	H	X	AV	32.43	2.23	N/A	34.66	54.00	19.34

▪ Middle Channel

Frequency (MHz)	ANT Pol	The worst case EUT Position (Axis)	Detector Mode	Reading (dBuV)	T.F (dB/m)	D.C.F. (dB)	Result (dBuV/m)	Limit (dBuV/m)	Margin (dB)
4882.203	H	X	PK	43.06	2.92	N/A	45.98	74.00	28.02
4882.022	H	X	AV	30.77	2.92	N/A	33.69	54.00	20.31

▪ Highest Channel

Frequency (MHz)	ANT Pol	The worst case EUT Position (Axis)	Detector Mode	Reading (dBuV)	T.F (dB/m)	D.C.F. (dB)	Result (dBuV/m)	Limit (dBuV/m)	Margin (dB)
2483.500	H	Z	PK	50.14	-4.66	N/A	45.48	74.00	28.52
2483.500	H	Z	AV	36.89	-4.66	N/A	32.23	54.00	21.77
4960.205	H	X	PK	45.02	2.67	N/A	47.69	74.00	26.31
4960.018	H	X	AV	34.21	2.67	N/A	36.88	54.00	17.12

Note.

1. No other spurious and harmonic emissions were reported greater than listed emissions above table.
2. Above listed point data is the worst case data.
3. Sample Calculation.

Margin = Limit – Result / Result = Reading + T.F + D.C.F. / T.F = AF + CL – AG
 Where, T.F = Total Factor, AF = Antenna Factor, CL = Cable Loss, AG = Amplifier Gain,

9KHz ~ 25GHz Data(Modulation: 8DPSK) Test Case 2**▪ Lowest Channel**

Frequency (MHz)	ANT Pol	The worst case EUT Position (Axis)	Detector Mode	Reading (dBuV)	T.F (dB/m)	D.C.F. (dB)	Result (dBuV/m)	Limit (dBuV/m)	Margin (dB)
2387.187	H	Z	PK	47.67	-4.73	N/A	42.94	74.00	31.06
2386.795	H	Z	AV	33.26	-4.73	N/A	28.53	54.00	25.47
4804.230	H	X	PK	42.57	2.23	N/A	44.80	74.00	29.20
4804.021	H	X	AV	32.88	2.23	N/A	35.11	54.00	18.89

▪ Middle Channel

Frequency (MHz)	ANT Pol	The worst case EUT Position (Axis)	Detector Mode	Reading (dBuV)	T.F (dB/m)	D.C.F. (dB)	Result (dBuV/m)	Limit (dBuV/m)	Margin (dB)
4882.203	H	X	PK	41.78	2.92	N/A	44.70	74.00	29.30
4882.013	H	X	AV	30.88	2.92	N/A	33.80	54.00	20.20

▪ Highest Channel

Frequency (MHz)	ANT Pol	The worst case EUT Position (Axis)	Detector Mode	Reading (dBuV)	T.F (dB/m)	D.C.F. (dB)	Result (dBuV/m)	Limit (dBuV/m)	Margin (dB)
2483.500	H	Z	PK	50.12	-4.66	N/A	45.46	74.00	28.54
2483.500	H	Z	AV	37.24	-4.66	N/A	32.58	54.00	21.42
4960.289	H	X	PK	46.24	2.67	N/A	48.91	74.00	25.09
4960.012	H	X	AV	34.46	2.67	N/A	37.13	54.00	16.87

Note.

1. No other spurious and harmonic emissions were reported greater than listed emissions above table.
2. Above listed point data is the worst case data.
3. Sample Calculation.

$$\text{Margin} = \text{Limit} - \text{Result} \quad / \quad \text{Result} = \text{Reading} + \text{T.F} + \text{D.C.F.} \quad / \quad \text{T.F} = \text{AF} + \text{CL} - \text{AG}$$

Where, T.F = Total Factor, AF = Antenna Factor, CL = Cable Loss, AG = Amplifier Gain,

9KHz ~ 25GHz Data(Modulation: GFSK) Test Case 3**▪ Lowest Channel**

Frequency (MHz)	ANT Pol	The worst case EUT Position (Axis)	Detector Mode	Reading (dBuV)	T.F (dB/m)	D.C.F. (dB)	Result (dBuV/m)	Limit (dBuV/m)	Margin (dB)
2386.386	H	Z	PK	43.78	-4.73	N/A	39.05	74.00	34.95
2386.000	H	Z	AV	33.69	-4.73	N/A	28.96	54.00	25.04
4804.242	H	X	PK	48.01	2.23	N/A	50.24	74.00	23.76
4804.022	H	X	AV	40.46	2.23	N/A	42.69	54.00	11.31

▪ Middle Channel

Frequency (MHz)	ANT Pol	The worst case EUT Position (Axis)	Detector Mode	Reading (dBuV)	T.F (dB/m)	D.C.F. (dB)	Result (dBuV/m)	Limit (dBuV/m)	Margin (dB)
4882.240	H	X	PK	47.69	2.92	N/A	50.61	74.00	23.39
4882.012	H	X	AV	40.17	2.92	N/A	43.09	54.00	10.91

▪ Highest Channel

Frequency (MHz)	ANT Pol	The worst case EUT Position (Axis)	Detector Mode	Reading (dBuV)	T.F (dB/m)	D.C.F. (dB)	Result (dBuV/m)	Limit (dBuV/m)	Margin (dB)
2483.500	H	Z	PK	51.26	-4.66	N/A	46.60	74.00	27.40
2483.500	H	Z	AV	38.68	-4.66	N/A	34.02	54.00	19.98
4960.281	H	X	PK	50.56	2.67	N/A	53.23	74.00	20.77
4960.010	H	X	AV	43.43	2.67	N/A	46.10	54.00	7.90

Note.

1. No other spurious and harmonic emissions were reported greater than listed emissions above table.
2. Above listed point data is the worst case data.
3. Sample Calculation.

$$\text{Margin} = \text{Limit} - \text{Result} \quad / \quad \text{Result} = \text{Reading} + \text{T.F} + \text{D.C.F.} \quad / \quad \text{T.F} = \text{AF} + \text{CL} - \text{AG}$$

Where, T.F = Total Factor, AF = Antenna Factor, CL = Cable Loss, AG = Amplifier Gain,

9KHz ~ 25GHz Data(Modulation: $\pi/4$ DQPSK) Test Case 3

▪ Lowest Channel

Frequency (MHz)	ANT Pol	The worst case EUT Position (Axis)	Detector Mode	Reading (dBuV)	T.F (dB/m)	D.C.F. (dB)	Result (dBuV/m)	Limit (dBuV/m)	Margin (dB)
2387.868	H	Z	PK	44.97	-4.73	N/A	40.24	74.00	33.76
2386.929	H	Z	AV	33.32	-4.73	N/A	28.59	54.00	25.41
4804.211	H	X	PK	43.99	2.23	N/A	46.22	74.00	27.78
4804.011	H	X	AV	32.40	2.23	N/A	34.63	54.00	19.37

▪ Middle Channel

Frequency (MHz)	ANT Pol	The worst case EUT Position (Axis)	Detector Mode	Reading (dBuV)	T.F (dB/m)	D.C.F. (dB)	Result (dBuV/m)	Limit (dBuV/m)	Margin (dB)
4882.214	H	X	PK	43.75	2.92	N/A	46.67	74.00	27.33
4882.017	H	X	AV	30.69	2.92	N/A	33.61	54.00	20.39

▪ Highest Channel

Frequency (MHz)	ANT Pol	The worst case EUT Position (Axis)	Detector Mode	Reading (dBuV)	T.F (dB/m)	D.C.F. (dB)	Result (dBuV/m)	Limit (dBuV/m)	Margin (dB)
2483.500	H	Z	PK	49.92	-4.66	N/A	45.26	74.00	28.74
2483.500	H	Z	AV	36.87	-4.66	N/A	32.21	54.00	21.79
4960.271	H	X	PK	45.41	2.67	N/A	48.08	74.00	25.92
4960.011	H	X	AV	34.24	2.67	N/A	36.91	54.00	17.09

Note.

1. No other spurious and harmonic emissions were reported greater than listed emissions above table.
2. Above listed point data is the worst case data.
3. Sample Calculation.

$$\text{Margin} = \text{Limit} - \text{Result} \quad / \quad \text{Result} = \text{Reading} + \text{T.F} + \text{D.C.F.} \quad / \quad \text{T.F} = \text{AF} + \text{CL} - \text{AG}$$

Where, T.F = Total Factor, AF = Antenna Factor, CL = Cable Loss, AG = Amplifier Gain,

9KHz ~ 25GHz Data(Modulation: 8DPSK) Test Case 3▪ **Lowest Channel**

Frequency (MHz)	ANT Pol	The worst case EUT Position (Axis)	Detector Mode	Reading (dBuV)	T.F (dB/m)	D.C.F. (dB)	Result (dBuV/m)	Limit (dBuV/m)	Margin (dB)
2387.198	H	Z	PK	48.00	-4.73	N/A	43.27	74.00	30.73
2386.794	H	Z	AV	33.17	-4.73	N/A	28.44	54.00	25.56
4804.224	H	X	PK	42.30	2.23	N/A	44.53	74.00	29.47
4804.020	H	X	AV	32.83	2.23	N/A	35.06	54.00	18.94

▪ **Middle Channel**

Frequency (MHz)	ANT Pol	The worst case EUT Position (Axis)	Detector Mode	Reading (dBuV)	T.F (dB/m)	D.C.F. (dB)	Result (dBuV/m)	Limit (dBuV/m)	Margin (dB)
4882.288	H	X	PK	42.05	2.92	N/A	44.97	74.00	29.03
4882.019	H	X	AV	30.84	2.92	N/A	33.76	54.00	20.24

▪ **Highest Channel**

Frequency (MHz)	ANT Pol	The worst case EUT Position (Axis)	Detector Mode	Reading (dBuV)	T.F (dB/m)	D.C.F. (dB)	Result (dBuV/m)	Limit (dBuV/m)	Margin (dB)
2483.500	H	Z	PK	50.73	-4.66	N/A	46.07	74.00	27.93
2483.500	H	Z	AV	37.29	-4.66	N/A	32.63	54.00	21.37
4960.254	H	X	PK	45.67	2.67	N/A	48.34	74.00	25.66
4960.015	H	X	AV	34.38	2.67	N/A	37.05	54.00	16.95

Note.

1. No other spurious and harmonic emissions were reported greater than listed emissions above table.
2. Above listed point data is the worst case data.
3. Sample Calculation.

$$\text{Margin} = \text{Limit} - \text{Result} \quad / \quad \text{Result} = \text{Reading} + \text{T.F} + \text{D.C.F.} \quad / \quad \text{T.F} = \text{AF} + \text{CL} - \text{AG}$$
 Where, T.F = Total Factor, AF = Antenna Factor, CL = Cable Loss, AG = Amplifier Gain,

9KHz ~ 25GHz Data(Modulation: GFSK) Test Case 4**▪ Lowest Channel**

Frequency (MHz)	ANT Pol	The worst case EUT Position (Axis)	Detector Mode	Reading (dBuV)	T.F (dB/m)	D.C.F. (dB)	Result (dBuV/m)	Limit (dBuV/m)	Margin (dB)
2386.398	H	Z	PK	43.75	-4.73	N/A	39.02	74.00	34.98
2385.995	H	Z	AV	33.68	-4.73	N/A	28.95	54.00	25.05
4804.287	H	X	PK	48.00	2.23	N/A	50.23	74.00	23.77
4804.012	H	X	AV	40.48	2.23	N/A	42.71	54.00	11.29

▪ Middle Channel

Frequency (MHz)	ANT Pol	The worst case EUT Position (Axis)	Detector Mode	Reading (dBuV)	T.F (dB/m)	D.C.F. (dB)	Result (dBuV/m)	Limit (dBuV/m)	Margin (dB)
4882.266	H	X	PK	47.66	2.92	N/A	50.58	74.00	23.42
4882.016	H	X	AV	40.23	2.92	N/A	43.15	54.00	10.85

▪ Highest Channel

Frequency (MHz)	ANT Pol	The worst case EUT Position (Axis)	Detector Mode	Reading (dBuV)	T.F (dB/m)	D.C.F. (dB)	Result (dBuV/m)	Limit (dBuV/m)	Margin (dB)
2483.500	H	Z	PK	51.32	-4.66	N/A	46.66	74.00	27.34
2483.500	H	Z	AV	38.70	-4.66	N/A	34.04	54.00	19.96
4960.205	H	X	PK	50.54	2.67	N/A	53.21	74.00	20.79
4960.017	H	X	AV	43.37	2.67	N/A	46.04	54.00	7.96

Note.

1. No other spurious and harmonic emissions were reported greater than listed emissions above table.
2. Above listed point data is the worst case data.
3. Sample Calculation.

$$\text{Margin} = \text{Limit} - \text{Result} \quad / \quad \text{Result} = \text{Reading} + \text{T.F} + \text{D.C.F.} \quad / \quad \text{T.F} = \text{AF} + \text{CL} - \text{AG}$$

Where, T.F = Total Factor, AF = Antenna Factor, CL = Cable Loss, AG = Amplifier Gain,

9KHz ~ 25GHz Data(Modulation: $\pi/4$ DQPSK) Test Case 4

▪ Lowest Channel

Frequency (MHz)	ANT Pol	The worst case EUT Position (Axis)	Detector Mode	Reading (dBuV)	T.F (dB/m)	D.C.F. (dB)	Result (dBuV/m)	Limit (dBuV/m)	Margin (dB)
2387.870	H	Z	PK	44.44	-4.73	N/A	39.71	74.00	34.29
2386.923	H	Z	AV	33.31	-4.73	N/A	28.58	54.00	25.42
4804.234	H	X	PK	44.53	2.23	N/A	46.76	74.00	27.24
4804.014	H	X	AV	32.46	2.23	N/A	34.69	54.00	19.31

▪ Middle Channel

Frequency (MHz)	ANT Pol	The worst case EUT Position (Axis)	Detector Mode	Reading (dBuV)	T.F (dB/m)	D.C.F. (dB)	Result (dBuV/m)	Limit (dBuV/m)	Margin (dB)
4882.280	H	X	PK	41.97	2.92	N/A	44.89	74.00	29.11
4882.016	H	X	AV	30.68	2.92	N/A	33.60	54.00	20.40

▪ Highest Channel

Frequency (MHz)	ANT Pol	The worst case EUT Position (Axis)	Detector Mode	Reading (dBuV)	T.F (dB/m)	D.C.F. (dB)	Result (dBuV/m)	Limit (dBuV/m)	Margin (dB)
2483.500	H	Z	PK	51.83	-4.66	N/A	47.17	74.00	26.83
2483.500	H	Z	AV	36.96	-4.66	N/A	32.30	54.00	21.70
4960.234	H	X	PK	43.42	2.67	N/A	46.09	74.00	27.91
4960.016	H	X	AV	34.27	2.67	N/A	36.94	54.00	17.06

Note.

1. No other spurious and harmonic emissions were reported greater than listed emissions above table.
2. Above listed point data is the worst case data.
3. Sample Calculation.

$$\text{Margin} = \text{Limit} - \text{Result} \quad / \quad \text{Result} = \text{Reading} + \text{T.F} + \text{D.C.F.} \quad / \quad \text{T.F} = \text{AF} + \text{CL} - \text{AG}$$
 Where, T.F = Total Factor, AF = Antenna Factor, CL = Cable Loss, AG = Amplifier Gain,

9KHz ~ 25GHz Data(Modulation: 8DPSK) Test Case 4**▪ Lowest Channel**

Frequency (MHz)	ANT Pol	The worst case EUT Position (Axis)	Detector Mode	Reading (dBuV)	T.F (dB/m)	D.C.F. (dB)	Result (dBuV/m)	Limit (dBuV/m)	Margin (dB)
2387.193	H	Z	PK	46.50	-4.73	N/A	41.77	74.00	32.23
2386.798	H	Z	AV	33.25	-4.73	N/A	28.52	54.00	25.48
4804.281	H	X	PK	43.75	2.23	N/A	45.98	74.00	28.02
4804.021	H	X	AV	32.90	2.23	N/A	35.13	54.00	18.87

▪ Middle Channel

Frequency (MHz)	ANT Pol	The worst case EUT Position (Axis)	Detector Mode	Reading (dBuV)	T.F (dB/m)	D.C.F. (dB)	Result (dBuV/m)	Limit (dBuV/m)	Margin (dB)
4882.269	H	X	PK	43.05	2.92	N/A	45.97	74.00	28.03
4882.019	H	X	AV	30.91	2.92	N/A	33.83	54.00	20.17

▪ Highest Channel

Frequency (MHz)	ANT Pol	The worst case EUT Position (Axis)	Detector Mode	Reading (dBuV)	T.F (dB/m)	D.C.F. (dB)	Result (dBuV/m)	Limit (dBuV/m)	Margin (dB)
2483.500	H	Z	PK	51.90	-4.66	N/A	47.24	74.00	26.76
2483.500	H	Z	AV	37.36	-4.66	N/A	32.70	54.00	21.30
4960.267	H	X	PK	44.58	2.67	N/A	47.25	74.00	26.75
4960.020	H	X	AV	34.47	2.67	N/A	37.14	54.00	16.86

Note.

1. No other spurious and harmonic emissions were reported greater than listed emissions above table.
2. Above listed point data is the worst case data.
3. Sample Calculation.

$$\text{Margin} = \text{Limit} - \text{Result} \quad / \quad \text{Result} = \text{Reading} + \text{T.F} + \text{D.C.F.} \quad / \quad \text{T.F} = \text{AF} + \text{CL} - \text{AG}$$

Where, T.F = Total Factor, AF = Antenna Factor, CL = Cable Loss, AG = Amplifier Gain,

2.4.2. Conducted Spurious Emissions

Low Band-edge Lowest Channel & Modulation: GFSK





Low Band-edge Hopping mode & Modulation: GFSK



Conducted Spurious Emissions

Lowest Channel & Modulation: GFSK





Reference for limit

Middle Channel & Modulation: GFSK



Conducted Spurious Emissions

Middle Channel & Modulation: GFSK



High Band-edge



Highest Channel & Modulation: GFSK



High Band-edge

Hopping mode & Modulation: GFSK



Conducted Spurious Emissions**Highest Channel** & Modulation: **GFSK**

Low Band-edge



Lowest Channel & Modulation: $\pi/4$ DQPSK

Low Band-edge

Hopping mode & Modulation: $\pi/4$ DQPSK

Conducted Spurious Emissions

Lowest Channel & Modulation: $\pi/4$ DQPSK





Reference for limit

Middle Channel & Modulation: $\pi/4$ DQPSK



Conducted Spurious Emissions



Middle Channel & Modulation: $\pi/4$ DQPSK



High Band-edge Highest Channel & Modulation: $\pi/4$ DQPSKHigh Band-edge Hopping mode & Modulation: $\pi/4$ DQPSK

Conducted Spurious Emissions

Highest Channel & Modulation: $\pi/4$ DQPSK



Low Band-edge



Lowest Channel & Modulation: 8DPSK

Low Band-edge

Hopping mode & Modulation: 8DPSK

Conducted Spurious Emissions

Lowest Channel & Modulation: **8DPSK**





Reference for limit

Middle Channel & Modulation: 8DPSK





Conducted Spurious Emissions

Middle Channel & Modulation: 8DPSK



High Band-edge Highest Channel & Modulation: 8DPSKHigh Band-edge Hopping mode & Modulation: 8DPSK

Highest Channel & Modulation: **8DPSK**



3. Carrier Frequency Separation

3.1. Test Setup

Refer to the APPENDIX I.

3.2. Limit

Limit: $\geq 20\text{dB BW}$ or $\geq \text{Two-Thirds of the } 20\text{dB BW}$

- Procedure:

The carrier frequency separation was measured with a spectrum analyzer connected to the antenna terminal, while EUT had its hopping function enabled.

After the trace being stable, the reading value between the peaks of the adjacent channels using the marker-delta function was recorded as the measurement results.

The spectrum analyzer is set to:

Span = wide enough to capture the peaks of two adjacent channels

RBW = 1% of the span

Sweep = auto

VBW = $\geq$ RBW

Detector function = peak

Trace = max hold

- Measurement Data: **Comply**

▪ FH mode

Hopping Mode	Test Mode	Peak of center channel (MHz)	Peak of adjacent Channel (MHz)	Test Result (MHz)
Enable	GFSK	2439.998	2441.000	1.002
	$\pi/4$ DQPSK	2440.997	2441.999	1.002
	8DPSK	2439.992	2440.994	1.002

▪ AFH mode

Hopping Mode	Test Mode	Peak of center channel (MHz)	Peak of adjacent Channel (MHz)	Test Result (MHz)
Enable	GFSK	2410.004	2411.006	1.002
	$\pi/4$ DQPSK	2411.006	2412.008	1.002
	8DPSK	2409.995	2410.997	1.002

Note 1: See next pages for actual measured spectrum plots.

- Minimum Standard:

Frequency hopping systems shall have hopping channel carrier frequencies separated by a minimum of 25 kHz or the 20 dB bandwidth of the hopping channel, whichever is greater.


Alternatively, frequency hopping systems operating in the 2400-2483.5 MHz band may have hopping channel carrier frequencies that are separated by 25 kHz or two-thirds of the 20 dB bandwidth of the hopping channel, whichever is greater, provided the systems operate with an output power no greater than 125 mW

Carrier Frequency Separation (FH)

Hopping mode: Enable & GFSK




Carrier Frequency Separation (FH)

Hopping mode: Enable & $\pi/4$ DQPSK


Carrier Frequency Separation (FH)

Hopping mode: Enable & 8DPSK




Carrier Frequency Separation (AFH)

Hopping mode: Enable & GFSK



Carrier Frequency Separation (AFH)

Hopping mode: Enable & $\pi/4$ DQPSK

Carrier Frequency Separation (AFH)

Hopping mode: Enable & 8DPSK



4. Number of Hopping Frequencies

4.1. Test Setup

Refer to the APPENDIX I.

4.2. Limit

Limit: ≥ 15 hops

- Procedure:

The number of hopping frequencies was measured with a spectrum analyzer connected to the antenna terminal, while EUT had its hopping function enabled.

To get higher resolution, four frequency ranges within the 2400 ~ 2483.5 MHz FH band were examined.

The spectrum analyzer is set to:

Span = 25MHz Plot 1: Start Frequency = 2389.5MHz, Stop Frequency = 2414.5 MHz
 Plot 2: Start Frequency = 2414.5MHz, Stop Frequency = 2439.5 MHz
 Plot 3: Start Frequency = 2439.5MHz, Stop Frequency = 2464.5 MHz
 Plot 4: Start Frequency = 2464.5MHz, Stop Frequency = 2489.5 MHz

RBW = 1% of the span or more

Sweep = auto

VBW = $\geq$ RBW

Detector function = peak

Trace = max hold

- Measurement Data: **Comply**

▪ FH mode

Hopping mode	Test mode	Test Result (Total Hops)
Enable	GFSK	79
	$\pi/4$ DQPSK	79
	8DPSK	79

▪ AFH mode

Hopping mode	Test mode	Test Result (Total Hops)
Enable	GFSK	20
	$\pi/4$ DQPSK	20
	8DPSK	20

Note 1: See next pages for actual measured spectrum plots.


- Minimum Standard:

At least 15 hops

Number of Hopping Frequencies 1(FH) Hopping mode: Enable & GFSK




Number of Hopping Frequencies 2(FH) Hopping mode: Enable & GFSK



Number of Hopping Frequencies 3(FH)

Hopping mode: Enable & GFSK



Number of Hopping Frequencies 4(FH)


Hopping mode: Enable & GFSK




Number of Hopping Frequencies 1(FH)

Hopping mode: Enable & $\pi/4$ DQPSK

Number of Hopping Frequencies 2(FH)

Hopping mode: Enable & $\pi/4$ DQPSK

Number of Hopping Frequencies 3(FH)

Hopping mode: Enable & $\pi/4$ DQPSK


Number of Hopping Frequencies 4(FH)

Hopping mode: Enable & $\pi/4$ DQPSK


Number of Hopping Frequencies 1(FH) Hopping mode: Enable & 8DPSK



Number of Hopping Frequencies 2(FH) Hopping mode: Enable & 8DPSK




Number of Hopping Frequencies 3(FH) Hopping mode: Enable & 8DPSK



Number of Hopping Frequencies 4(FH) Hopping mode: Enable & 8DPSK



Number of Hopping Frequencies 1(AFH) Hopping mode: Enable & GFSK



Number of Hopping Frequencies 1(AFH) Hopping mode: Enable & $\pi/4$ DQPSK



Number of Hopping Frequencies 1(AFH) Hopping mode: Enable & 8DPSK



5. 20dBc BW

5.1. Test Setup

Refer to the APPENDIX I.

5.2. Limit

Limit: Not Applicable

5.3. Test Procedure

1. The 20dBc bandwidth were measured with a spectrum analyzer connected to RF antenna connector(conducted measurement) while EUT was operating in transmit mode. The analyzer center frequency was set to the EUT carrier frequency, using the analyzer.
2. The bandwidth of the fundamental frequency was measured with the spectrum analyzer using $RBW \geq 1\%$ of the 20 dB bandwidth, $VBW \geq RBW$, Span = 3 MHz.

5.4. Test Results

Ambient temperature : 24 °C
Relative humidity : 53~55 %

Modulation	Tested Channel	20dBc BW (MHz)
<u>GFSK</u>	Lowest	0.923
	Middle	0.889
	Highest	0.927
<u>$\pi/4$ DQPSK</u>	Lowest	1.280
	Middle	1.279
	Highest	1.311
<u>8DPSK</u>	Lowest	1.260
	Middle	1.256
	Highest	1.257

Note 1: See next pages for actual measured spectrum plots.

20dBc Bandwidth

Lowest Channel & Modulation: GFSK

20dBc Bandwidth

Middle Channel & Modulation: GFSK

20dBc Bandwidth

Highest Channel & Modulation: GFSK

20dBc Bandwidth

Lowest Channel & Modulation: $\pi/4$ DQPSK

20dBc Bandwidth

Middle Channel & Modulation: $\pi/4$ DQPSK

20dBc Bandwidth

Highest Channel & Modulation: $\pi/4$ DQPSK


20dBc Bandwidth

Lowest Channel & Modulation: 8DPSK

20dBc Bandwidth

Middle Channel & Modulation: 8DPSK

20dBc Bandwidth Highest Channel & Modulation: 8DPSK



6. Time of Occupancy (Dwell Time)

6.1. Test Setup

Refer to the APPENDIX I.

6.2. Limit

Limit: Not Applicable

6.3. Test Procedure

The dwell time was measured with a spectrum analyzer connected to the antenna terminal, while EUT had its hopping function enabled.

The spectrum analyzer is set to:

Center frequency = 2441 MHz

RBW = 1 MHz

Trace = max hold

Span = zero

VBW = $\geq$ RBW

Detector function = peak

6.4. Test Results

Ambient temperature : 24 °C
Relative humidity : 53~55 %

▪ FH mode

Hopping mode	Packet Type	Number of hopping Channels	Burst On Time (ms)	Period (ms)	Test Result (s)
Enable	DH 5	79	2.91	3.75	0.310
	2 DH 5	79	2.91	3.75	0.310
	3 DH 5	79	2.91	3.75	0.310

▪ AFH mode

Hopping mode	Packet Type	Number of hopping Channels	Burst On Time (ms)	Period (ms)	Test Result (s)
Enable	DH 5	20	2.91	3.75	0.310
	2 DH 5	20	2.91	3.75	0.310
	3 DH 5	20	2.91	3.75	0.310


Note 1: Each new transmission event begins on the next channel in the hopping sequence after the final channel used in the previous transmission event.

DWELL TIME = $(0.4 \times \text{Number of hopping Channels}) \times \text{Burst On time} / (\text{period} \times \text{Number of hopping Channels})$


Note 2: See next pages for actual measured spectrum plots.

Time of Occupancy (FH)

Hopping mode: Enable & GFSK



Time of Occupancy (FH)

Hopping mode: Enable & $\pi/4$ DQPSK

Time of Occupancy (FH)

Hopping mode: Enable & 8DPSK




Time of Occupancy (AFH)

Hopping mode: Enable & GFSK




Time of Occupancy (AFH)

Hopping mode: Enable & $\pi/4$ DQPSK



Time of Occupancy (AFH)

Hopping mode: Enable & 8DPSK



7. Maximum Peak Output Power Measurement

7.1. Test Setup

Refer to the APPENDIX I.

7.2. Limit

The maximum peak output power of the intentional radiator shall not exceed the following :

1. §15.247(a)(1), Frequency hopping systems shall have hopping channel carrier frequencies separated by a minimum of 25 kHz or the 20 dB bandwidth of the hopping channel, whichever is greater, provided the systems operate with an output power no greater than 125 mW.
2. §15.247(b)(1), For frequency hopping systems operating in the 2 400 – 2 483.5 MHz employing at least 75 non-overlapping hopping channels, and all frequency hopping systems in the 5 725 – 5 805 MHz band: 1 Watt.

7.3. Test Procedure

1. The RF power output was measured with a Spectrum analyzer connected to the RF Antenna connector (conducted measurement) while EUT was operating in transmit mode at the appropriate center frequency, A spectrum analyzer was used to record the shape of the transmit signal.
2. The bandwidth of the fundamental frequency was measured with the spectrum analyzer using ;
Span = approximately 5 times the 20 dB bandwidth, centered on a hopping channel
RBW $\geq$ 20dB BW
VBW $\geq$ RBW
Sweep = auto
Detector function = peak
Trace = max hold


7.4. Test Results

Ambient temperature : 24°C
Relative humidity : 53~55%


Modulation	Tested Channel	Peak Output Power	
		dBm	mW
<u>GFSK</u>	Lowest	2.361	1.72
	Middle	1.772	1.50
	Highest	1.832	1.52
<u>$\pi/4$ DQPSK</u>	Lowest	2.558	1.80
	Middle	1.936	1.56
	Highest	1.983	1.58
<u>8DPSK</u>	Lowest	2.772	1.89
	Middle	2.198	1.66
	Highest	2.225	1.67

Note 1: See next pages for actual measured spectrum plots.

Peak Output Power Lowest Channel & Modulation: GFSK



Peak Output Power Middle Channel & Modulation: GFSK



Peak Output Power

Highest Channel & Modulation: GFSK

Peak Output Power

Lowest Channel & Modulation: $\pi/4$ DQPSK


Peak Output Power

Middle Channel & Modulation: $\pi/4$ DQPSK




Peak Output Power


Highest Channel & Modulation: $\pi/4$ DQPSK



Peak Output Power Lowest Channel & Modulation: 8DPSK



Peak Output Power Middle Channel & Modulation: 8DPSK



Peak Output Power

Highest Channel & Modulation: 8DPSK

8. Transmitter AC Power Line Conducted Emission

8.1. Test Setup

Refer to test setup photo.

8.2. Limit

According to §15.207(a) for an intentional radiator that is designed to be connected to the public utility (AC) power line, the radio frequency voltage that is conducted back onto the AC power line on any frequency or frequencies, within the band 150 kHz to 30 MHz, shall not exceed the limits in the following table, as measured using a 50 uH/50 ohm line impedance stabilization network(LISN).

Compliance with the provision of this paragraph shall on the measurement of the radio frequency voltage between each power line and ground at the power terminal. The lower applies at the boundary between the frequency ranges.

Frequency Range (MHz)	Conducted Limit (dBuV)	
	Quasi-Peak	Average
0.15 ~ 0.5	66 to 56 *	56 to 46 *
0.5 ~ 5	56	46
5 ~ 30	60	50

* Decreases with the logarithm of the frequency

8.3. Test Procedures

Conducted emissions from the EUT were measured according to the dictates of ANSI C63.4:2003

1. The test procedure is performed in a 6.5 m × 3.5 m × 3.5 m (L × W × H) shielded room. The EUT along with its peripherals were placed on a 1.0 m (W) × 1.5 m (L) and 0.8 m in height wooden table and the EUT was adjusted to maintain a 0.4 meter space from a vertical reference plane.
2. The EUT was connected to power mains through a line impedance stabilization network (LISN) which provides 50 ohm coupling impedance for measuring instrument and the chassis ground was bounded to the horizontal ground plane of shielded room.
3. All peripherals were connected to the second LISN and the chassis ground also bounded to the horizontal ground plane of shielded room.
4. The excess power cable between the EUT and the LISN was bundled. The power cables of peripherals were unbundled. All connecting cables of EUT and peripherals were moved to find the maximum emission.

8.4. Test Results

AC Line Conducted Emissions (Graph) & Modulation: Test Case 1





Results of Conducted Emission

Digital EMC
Date : 2012-08-28

Model No. : BIP-1530
Type :
Serial No. :
Test Condition : BLUETOOTH

Reference No. :
Power Supply : 120V 60Hz
Temp/Humi. : 26 °C 49 % R.H
Operator :

Memo :

LIMIT : CISPR22_B QP
CISPR22_B AV

AC Line Conducted Emissions (List) & Modulation: Test Case 1**Results of Conducted Emission**Digital EMC
Date : 2012-08-28

Model No.	:	BIP-1530	Reference No.	:	
Type	:		Power Supply	:	120V 60Hz
Serial No.	:		Temp/Humi.	:	26 'C 49 % R.H
Test Condition	:	BLUETOOTH	Operator	:	



Memo :

LIMIT : CISPR22_B QP
CISPR22_B AV

NO	FREQ [MHz]	READING		C.FACTOR [dB]	RESULT		LIMIT		MARGIN		PHASE
		QP [dBuV]	AV [dBuV]		QP [dBuV]	AV [dBuV]	QP [dBuV]	AV [dBuV]	QP [dBuV]	AV [dBuV]	
1	0.15981	47.7	29.5	0.3	48.0	29.8	65.5	55.5	17.5	25.7	N
2	0.44444	40.3	33.4	0.2	40.5	33.6	57.0	47.0	16.5	13.4	N
3	0.56209	22.6	15.9	0.2	22.8	16.1	56.0	46.0	33.2	29.9	N
4	4.65450	21.4	10.2	0.4	21.8	10.6	56.0	46.0	34.2	35.4	N
5	15.62300	23.7	17.7	1.0	24.7	18.7	60.0	50.0	35.3	31.3	N
6	19.59450	23.7	18.5	1.2	24.9	19.7	60.0	50.0	35.1	30.3	N
7	0.15659	49.0	29.7	0.3	49.3	30.0	65.6	55.6	16.3	25.6	L1
8	0.44836	38.6	31.7	0.2	38.8	31.9	56.9	46.9	18.1	15.0	L1
9	0.56035	19.1	11.2	0.2	19.3	11.4	56.0	46.0	36.7	34.6	L1
10	4.63050	21.8	11.1	0.4	22.2	11.5	56.0	46.0	33.8	34.5	L1
11	15.56600	22.1	16.4	1.0	23.1	17.4	60.0	50.0	36.9	32.6	L1
12	19.61500	21.0	15.5	1.2	22.2	16.7	60.0	50.0	37.8	33.3	L1

AC Line Conducted Emissions (Graph) & Modulation: Test Case 2Results of Conducted EmissionDigital EMC
Date : 2012-08-28Model No. : BIP-1530
Type :
Serial No. :
Test Condition : BLUETOOTHReference No. :
Power Supply : 120V 60Hz
Temp/Humi. : 26 'C 49 % R.H
Operator :

Memo :

LIMIT : CISPR22_B QP
CISPR22_B AV

AC Line Conducted Emissions (List) & Modulation: Test Case 2**Results of Conducted Emission**Digital EMC
Date : 2012-08-28Model No. : BIP-1530
Type :
Serial No. :
Test Condition : BLUETOOTHReference No. :
Power Supply : 120V 60Hz
Temp/Humi. : 26 'C 49 % R.H
Operator :



Memo :

LIMIT : CISPR22_B QP
CISPR22_B AV

NO	FREQ [MHz]	READING		C.FACTOR [dB]	RESULT		LIMIT		MARGIN		PHASE
		QP [dBuV]	AV [dBuV]		QP [dBuV]	AV [dBuV]	QP [dBuV]	AV [dBuV]	QP [dBuV]	AV [dBuV]	
1	0.44550	38.2	32.4	0.2	38.4	32.6	57.0	47.0	18.6	14.4	N
2	4.79300	22.9	13.6	0.4	23.3	14.0	56.0	46.0	32.7	32.0	N
3	15.89500	24.7	19.8	1.0	25.7	20.8	60.0	50.0	34.3	29.2	N
4	0.16430	49.7	31.7	0.3	50.0	32.0	65.2	55.2	15.2	23.2	L1
5	0.35859	32.2	25.1	0.3	32.5	25.4	58.8	48.8	26.3	23.4	L1
6	4.75750	21.3	12.4	0.4	21.7	12.8	56.0	46.0	34.3	33.2	L1
7	15.12000	25.2	20.2	0.9	26.1	21.1	60.0	50.0	33.9	28.9	L1
8	25.34900	15.9	10.7	1.3	17.2	12.0	60.0	50.0	42.8	38.0	L1

AC Line Conducted Emissions (Graph) & Modulation: Test Case 3Results of Conducted EmissionDigital EMC
Date : 2012-08-28Model No. : BIP-1530
Type :
Serial No. :
Test Condition : BLUETOOTHReference No. :
Power Supply : 120V 60Hz
Temp/Humi. : 26 'C 49 % R.H
Operator :

Memo :

LIMIT : CISPR22_B QP
CISPR22_B AV

AC Line Conducted Emissions (List) & Modulation: Test Case 3**Results of Conducted Emission**Digital EMC
Date : 2012-08-28

Model No.	:	BIP-1530	Reference No.	:	
Type	:		Power Supply	:	120V 60Hz
Serial No.	:		Temp/Humi.	:	26 'C 49 % R.H
Test Condition	:	BLUETOOTH	Operator	:	
Memo	:				

LIMIT : CISPR22_B QP
CISPR22_B AV

NO	FREQ [MHz]	READING		C.FACTOR [dB]	RESULT		LIMIT		MARGIN		PHASE
		QP [dBuV]	AV [dBuV]		QP [dBuV]	AV [dBuV]	QP [dBuV]	AV [dBuV]	QP [dBuV]	AV [dBuV]	
1	0.15631	48.5	30.0	0.3	48.8	30.3	65.7	55.7	16.9	25.4	N
2	0.44661	40.4	33.7	0.2	40.6	33.9	56.9	46.9	16.3	13.0	N
3	0.72149	24.4	17.3	0.2	24.6	17.5	56.0	46.0	31.4	28.5	N
4	4.69250	21.6	10.1	0.4	22.0	10.5	56.0	46.0	34.0	35.5	N
5	19.54650	23.6	18.5	1.2	24.8	19.7	60.0	50.0	35.2	30.3	N
6	0.15150	48.2	28.9	0.3	48.5	29.2	65.9	55.9	17.4	26.7	L1
7	0.44579	37.6	30.6	0.2	37.8	30.8	57.0	47.0	19.2	16.2	L1
8	0.70070	19.2	10.6	0.2	19.4	10.8	56.0	46.0	36.6	35.2	L1
9	4.64050	20.9	10.2	0.4	21.3	10.6	56.0	46.0	34.7	35.4	L1
10	19.71200	20.3	14.9	1.2	21.5	16.1	60.0	50.0	38.5	33.9	L1



AC Line Conducted Emissions (Graph) & Modulation: Test Case 4Results of Conducted EmissionDigital EMC
Date : 2012-08-28

Model No. : BIP-1530
Type :
Serial No. :
Test Condition : BLUETOOTH

Reference No. :
Power Supply : 120V 60Hz
Temp/Humi. : 26 °C 49 % R.H
Operator :

Memo :

LIMIT : CISPR22_B QP
CISPR22_B AV



AC Line Conducted Emissions (List) & Modulation: Test Case 4**Results of Conducted Emission**Digital EMC
Date : 2012-08-28Model No. : BIP-1530
Type :
Serial No. :
Test Condition : BLUETOOTHReference No. :
Power Supply : 120V 60Hz
Temp/Humi. : 26 °C 49 % R.H
Operator :

Memo :

LIMIT : CISPR22_B QP
CISPR22_B AV

NO	FREQ [MHz]	READING		C.FACTOR [dB]	RESULT		LIMIT		MARGIN		PHASE
		QP [dBuV]	AV [dBuV]		QP [dBuV]	AV [dBuV]	QP [dBuV]	AV [dBuV]	QP [dBuV]	AV [dBuV]	
1	0.16555	48.6	32.9	0.3	48.9	33.2	65.2	55.2	16.3	22.0	N
2	0.44338	38.5	31.5	0.2	38.7	31.7	57.0	47.0	18.3	15.3	N
3	3.84750	19.5	11.7	0.4	19.9	12.1	56.0	46.0	36.1	33.9	N
4	16.52150	25.3	20.4	1.0	26.3	21.4	60.0	50.0	33.7	28.6	N
5	0.16320	48.8	31.9	0.3	49.1	32.2	65.3	55.3	16.2	23.1	L1
6	0.42740	37.3	30.3	0.3	37.6	30.6	57.3	47.3	19.7	16.7	L1
7	2.75650	19.3	12.9	0.3	19.6	13.2	56.0	46.0	36.4	32.8	L1
8	4.63100	22.7	12.8	0.4	23.1	13.2	56.0	46.0	32.9	32.8	L1
9	15.97800	24.2	19.1	1.0	25.2	20.1	60.0	50.0	34.8	29.9	L1

9. Antenna Requirement

■ Procedure:

Describe how the EUT complies with the requirement that either its antenna is permanently attached, or that it employs a unique antenna connector, for every antenna proposed for use with the EUT.

■ Conclusion: **Comply**

The internal antenna is attached on the main PCB using the special spring tension. (Refer to Internal Photo file.)

■ Minimum Standard:

An intentional radiator shall be designed to ensure that no antenna other than that furnished by the responsible party shall be used with the device. The use of a permanently attached antenna or of an antenna that uses a unique coupling to the intentional radiator shall be considered sufficient to comply with the provisions.