



ROGERS LABS, INC.

4405 West 259th Terrace
Louisburg, KS 66053

September 13, 2006

Timco Engineering Inc.
849 NW State Road 45
Newberry, FL 32669

Applicant: Interactive Technologies, Inc.
418 South Kansas
Olathe, KS 66061

Re: Confidentiality for submittal information regarding FCC ID number ULPIQ58

PRODUCT: Low Power Control Signal Transmitter operating at 315 MHz under part 15.231.

Dear Sirs:

Interactive Technologies, Inc. requests that the material in the Block diagram, Schematics, and Operational Description be withheld from public disclosure in accordance with Section 0.459 of the Commissions Rules, 47 C.F.R. 0.459 (1998), following grant of the application. In support of this request, Interactive Technologies, Inc. submits the following:

1. Identification of the specific information for which confidential treatment is sought:

The materials set fourth in the Operational Description, Block Diagram, and Schematics, which are segregated from the non-confidential exhibits of the application, are those for which confidentiality is sought.

Files ULPIQ58 Theory of Operation.pdf
ULPIQ58 block Diagram.pdf
ULPIQ58 schematic2.pdf

2. Identification of the Commission proceeding in which the information was submitted or a description of the circumstances giving rise to the submission:

The proceeding is that involving the application for equipment authorization (certification) under FCC ID No: **ULPIQ58**

3. Explanation of the degree to which the information is commercial or financial, or contains a trade secret or is privileged:

This material includes a detailed theory of operation and circuit diagrams, (schematic, and block diagrams). As such, this material is treated as highly confidential business information.

4. Explanation of the degree to which the information concerns a service that is subject to competition:

The material for which confidentiality is sought is employed in the design and manufacture of this low power transmitting equipment that is offered on a highly competitive basis. Customers for this equipment have a variety of competing sources.

5. Explanation of how disclosure of the information could result in substantial competitive harm:

Disclosure would, in effect, give away the fruits of the labors of Interactive Technologies, Inc.'s engineering personnel, who have designed the equipment and the manufacturing process. Disclosure would also offer competitors additional unwarranted insight into the state of the product development, thereby allowing competitors an advantage, not available to Interactive Technologies, Inc.

6. Identification of any measures taken by the submitting party to prevent unauthorized disclosure:

The information for which confidential treatment is sought is kept confidential by Interactive Technologies, Inc. and not made available to third parties except pursuant to non-disclosure agreements.

7. Identification of whether the information is available to the public and the extent of any previous disclosure of the information to third parties:

To the knowledge of those preparing this application, the information has not been disclosed publicly heretofore. While the general theory of operation of this equipment has been the subject of numerous disclosures in industry and standards groups as well as in rule making proceedings of the FCC, the protection sought is narrowly drawn and pertains to certain specific implementations of the low power radio technology.

8. Justification of the period during which the submitting party asserts that the material should not be available for public disclosure:


This material should not be disclosed for at least 25 years. While improvements in design are made relatively frequently, disclosure of the design information would lead to insights into both design and manufacturing techniques that could have an adverse competitive effect for many years to come. This equipment is designed for residential, commercial, industrial, and governmental applications. As such, it is important that the design not be made available to unauthorized persons who might attempt to use knowledge of the design to compromise the applications for which the equipment will be employed.

9. Any other information that the party seeking confidential treatment believes may be useful in assessing whether its request for confidentiality should be granted:

See item 8 above. Note that the equipment for which approval is being sought will be employed in applications that inherently place a premium on security.

Should you require any further information, please contact the undersigned.
Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,



Scot Rogers