

RF exposure compliance assessment

Product: Massive MIMO Adaptive Antenna Products – AEHB/AEHC

Standard: EN 62232:2025, EN 62232:2022, EN 50385:2017, US FCC OET Bulletin 65, IEEE Std C95.3-2021, Canada RSS-102, AS/NZS 2772.2

FCC ID: VBNAEHC-01

Signatures:

Prepared by	Approved by	Dated
		18-06-2025
Kamil Bechta Owner, RF Exposure assessment	Jarkko Kenttälä Squad Group Lead, Type Approval	

Author Piotr Szlosarczyk, Kamil Bechta

Owner Kamil Bechta

Organization MN RAN R&D A&S

Document Type Final

Document ID PSIMP5QI2URB-1868097887-2048

Document location https://nokia.sharepoint.com/:f:/r/sites/EMF-ProductsRFexposureassessment/Shared%20Documents/Original/Products-RF-exposure-assessment/AEHB_AEHC?csf=1&web=1&e=tR0ycl

Change History

Version	Status	Date	Author	Owner	Reviewed by	Reviewed date	Approver	Approval date	Description of changes
1.0	Final	08-05-2020	K. Bechta	C. Grangeat	C. Grangeat	11-05-2020	M. Siira	27-05-2020	
2.0	Final	17-06-2025	K. Bechta, P. Szlosarczyk	K. Bechta	J. Kenttälä	18-06-2025	J. Kenttälä	18-06-2025	Editorial corrections, uncertainty analysis added

This material, including documentation and any related computer programs, is protected by copyright controlled by Nokia. All rights are reserved. Copying, including reproducing, storing, adapting or translating, any or all of this material requires the prior written consent of Nokia. This material also contains confidential information, which may not be disclosed to others without the prior written consent of Nokia.

Contents

1	General content.....	5
2	References	5
2.1	Applicable RF exposure standards and regulations	5
2.2	Product and assessment method	6
3	RF exposure limits	7
4	Description of the equipment under test (EUT)	8
5	RF exposure assessment method	14
6	RF exposure computation results	14
6.1	Regions of application: EU/ICNIRP, Australia/NZ and US/related.....	14
6.2	Regions of application: Canada	18
7	Conclusion and installation recommendations	22
8	Uncertainty analysis	25

List of Tables

Table 1 – Applicable RF exposure levels in n41 band expressed in power density	7
Table 2 – AEHB/AEHC product general technical characteristics.....	8
Table 3 - Reference system used in this report (from NGMN white paper [17])	9
Table 4 – Antenna patterns for most boresight direction.....	10
Table 5 – Antenna patterns for max azimuth steering	11
Table 6 – Antenna patterns for max elevation steering.....	12
Table 7 – Antenna gain characteristics for various beam steering directions used during EMF evaluation	13
Table 8 - Validation of the antenna model at 2595 MHz	14
Table 9 – AEHB/AEHC RF exposure compliance distances based on the time-averaged maximum transmitted power of 339 W (corresponding to 320 W configured max transmitted power) for EU/ICNIRP, Australia/NZ and US/related	22
Table 10 - AEHB/AEHC RF exposure compliance distances based on the time-averaged maximum transmitted power of 339 W (corresponding to 320 W configured max transmitted power) for Canada	23

Table 11 – AEHB/AEHC RF exposure compliance distances based on the actual maximum transmitted power of 85 W (corresponding to 320 W configured max transmitted power) for EU/ICNIRP, Australia/NZ and US/related	23
Table 12 – AEHB/AEHC RF exposure compliance distances based on the actual maximum transmitted power of 85 W (corresponding to 320 W configured max transmitted power) for Canada	24
Table 13 – Summary of uncertainty analysis for AEHB/AEHC	25

List of Figures

Figure 1 – Shape of the compliance boundary used for the RF exposure compliance assessment (from [10]).....	13
Figure 2 – Top view of the power density for the time-averaged maximum transmitted power of 339 W and the beam oriented in azimuth = 0° & elevation = +6° (EU/ICNIRP, Australia/NZ and US/related)	15
Figure 3 – Top view of the power density for the actual maximum transmitted power of 85 W and the beam oriented in azimuth = 0° & elevation = +6° (EU/ICNIRP, Australia/NZ and US/related)	16
Figure 4 – Side view of the power density for the time-averaged maximum transmitted power of 339 W and the beam oriented in azimuth = -5° & elevation = +15° (EU/ICNIRP, Australia/NZ and US/related)	16
Figure 5 – Side view of the power density for the actual maximum transmitted power of 85 W and the beam oriented in azimuth = -5° & elevation = +15° (EU/ICNIRP, Australia/NZ and US/related)	17
Figure 6 – Top view of the power density for the time-averaged maximum transmitted power of 339 W and the beam oriented in azimuth = -51° & elevation = +4° (EU/ICNIRP, Australia/NZ and US/related)	17
Figure 7 – Top view of the power density for the actual maximum transmitted power of 85 W and the beam oriented in azimuth = -51° & elevation = +4° (EU/ICNIRP, Australia/NZ and US/related)	18
Figure 8 – Top view of the power density for the time-averaged maximum transmitted power of 339 W and the beam oriented in azimuth = 0° & elevation = +6° (Canada)	19
Figure 9 – Top view of the power density for the actual maximum transmitted power of 85 W and the beam oriented in azimuth = 0° & elevation = +6° (Canada).....	20
Figure 10 – Side view of the power density for the time-averaged maximum transmitted power of 339 W and the beam oriented in azimuth = -5° & elevation = +15° (Canada)	20
Figure 11 – Side view of the power density for the actual maximum transmitted power of 85 W and the beam oriented in azimuth = -5° & elevation = +15° (Canada)	21
Figure 12 – Top view of the power density for the time-averaged maximum transmitted power of 339 W and the beam oriented in azimuth = -51° & elevation = +4° (Canada)	21

Figure 13 – Top view of the power density for the actual maximum transmitted power of 85 W and the beam oriented in azimuth = -51° & elevation = +4° (Canada)	22
---	----

1 General content

This assessment report is prepared under FINAS testing laboratory accreditation number:

- T297

It is addressing human exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields (RF EMF) transmitted by the following massive MIMO Adaptive Antenna (MAA) Product:

- Nokia AEHB AirScale MAA 64T64R 192AE B41 320 W
- Nokia AEHC AirScale MAA 64T64R 192AE B41 320 W
 - FCC ID: VBNAEHC-01

It provides the RF exposure compliance boundaries for these products regarding both general population and occupational exposure. Outside of these compliance boundaries, human exposure to RF EMF is below the limits defined by the US Federal Communications Commission (FCC), Canada Safety Code 6, Australia ARPANSA and European regulations (see §2.1 and [7]).

2 References

2.1 Applicable RF exposure standards and regulations

- [1] ICNIRP-2020, International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP), "Guidelines for limiting exposure to electromagnetic fields (100 kHz to 300 GHz)", Health Physics, 118(5):483-524; 2020
- [2] EU 1999/519/EC, "Council Recommendation on the limitation of exposure of the general public to electromagnetic fields (0 Hz to 300 GHz)", July 1999
- [3] EU 2013/35/EU, "Directive of the European Parliament and of the Council on the minimum health and safety requirements regarding the exposure of workers to the risks arising from physical agents (electromagnetic fields) (20th individual Directive within the meaning of Article 16(1) of Directive 89/391/EEC) and repealing Directive 2004/40/EC", June 2013
- [4] ARPANSA "Standard for Limiting Exposure to Radiofrequency Fields – 100 kHz to 300 GHz", Radiation Protection Series S-1, Feb 2021
- [5] Canada Safety Code 6, "Limits of Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Energy in the Frequency Range from 3 kHz to 300 GHz", June 2015
- [6] US FCC 47CFR 1.1310 "Radiofrequency radiation exposure limits", August 1997

2.2 Product and assessment method

- [7] Microwave Vision Group (MVG), “EMF Visual User Manual”, SEWB/EMF-VISUAL-UM.1/v2019.1
- [8] Z. Altman, B. Begasse, C. Dale, A. Karwowski, J. Wiart, M. Wong and L. Gattoufi, “Efficient models for base station antennas for human exposure assessment”, IEEE Trans. Electromagnetic Compatibility, Nov 2002, vol.44, pp. 588-592
- [9] IEC TR62669:2019, “Case studies supporting the implementation of IEC 62232”, 2019
- [10] IEC 62232:2025 ED4, “Determination of RF field strength, power density and SAR in the vicinity of radiocommunication base stations for the purpose of evaluating human exposure”, 2025
- [11] IEC 62232:2022 ED3, “Determination of RF field strength, power density and SAR in the vicinity of radiocommunication base stations for the purpose of evaluating human exposure”, 2022
- [12] EN 50385:2017, “Product standard to demonstrate the compliance of base station equipment with radiofrequency electromagnetic field exposure limits (110 MHz - 100 GHz), when placed on the market”, July 2017
- [13] AS/NZS 2772.2, "Radiofrequency fields Part 2: Principles and methods of measurement and computation-3 kHz to 300 GHz", September 2018 (Amendment No. 1)
- [14] Canada RSS-102, “Radio Frequency (RF) Exposure Compliance of Radiocommunication Apparatus (All Frequency Bands)”, Issue 6, December 15, 2023
- [15] US FCC OET Bulletin 65, “Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields and its supplements”, edition 97-01, August 1997
- [16] IEEE Std C95.3-2021, IEEE Recommended Practice for Measurements and Computations of Electric, Magnetic, and Electromagnetic Fields with Respect to Human Exposure to Such Fields, 0 Hz to 300 GHz, 2021
- [17] NGMN white paper, “Recommendation on Base Station Active Antenna System Standards v1.0”, July 2020,
https://www.ngmn.org/wp-content/uploads/Publications/2020/NGMN_BASTA-AA_WP_1_0.pdf

3 RF exposure limits

The applicable RF exposure limits are defined by [1], [2] and [3] to in Europe and ICNIRP countries, by [4] in Australia and New Zealand, by [5] in Canada and by [6] in the US and related countries such as Bolivia, Estonia, Mexico and Panama. The applicable power density limits are recalled in Table 1 for the frequency range applicable to the equipment under test.


Table 1 – Applicable RF exposure levels in n41 band expressed in power density

Region of application	General Population/Uncontrolled Exposures	Occupational/Controlled Exposures
EU/ICNIRP, Australia/NZ, US/related	10 W/m ²	50 W/m ²
Canada	5.5 W/m ²	32.2 W/m ²

4 Description of the equipment under test (EUT)

The main technical characteristics of AEHB/AEHC product are reproduced in Table 2.

Table 2 – AEHB/AEHC product general technical characteristics

Product name	Nokia AEHB AirScale MAA 64T64R 192AE B41 320 W Nokia AEHC AirScale MAA 64T64R 192AE B41 320 W	
Model number	AEHB: 474698A AEHC: 475124A	
Certificate Identification	VBNAEHC-01	
Rated max Tx power	320 W	
Number of TXRX	64TX64RX	
Beamforming	Yes	
SW supported techno.	5G NR TDD	
Frequency range	AEHB: 2515 - 2675 MHz (3GPP sub-band B41) AEHC: 2496 - 2690 MHz (3GPP B41)	
Nb of antenna elements	12 (row) x 8 (column) x 2 (polarization)	
Max antenna gain	24.9 dBi	
Total average EIRP	79 dBm	
Dynamic Horizontal steering range (HPBW)	$\pm 45^\circ$ (3dB), $\pm 60^\circ$ (5dB)	
Vertical pre-tilt angle	$+6^\circ$	
Vertical steering angle	0° to $+12^\circ$	
Dimensions	Height: 970 mm Depth: 150 mm Width: 545 mm 	
Technology duty cycle factor	75 %	
Transmitted power tolerance	1.5 dB	

The EMF Visual model used for the RF exposure assessment is derived from the model of the antenna array (pattern and gain) using the real beamforming weights (BFW) configured in the product. The EMF Visual model is validated with the product antenna model using the same BFW, pattern and gain. Table 4 to Table 7 include the comparison of the EMF Visual model and the product antenna model for beam configurations used for the assessment of the compliance boundary. Selected patterns ensure that maximum compliance distance, applicable to evaluated product, is obtained.

Azimuth and elevation angles indicated in this report are provided according to the reference system used in product data sheets (see Table 3), unless otherwise stated.

Table 3 - Reference system used in this report (from NGMN white paper [17])




3D view Definition of azimuth φ and elevation θ	
Top view (horizontal cut) Definition of azimuth φ	
Side view (vertical cut) Definition of elevation θ	

Table 4 – Antenna patterns for most boresight direction





	Model for EMF evaluation	Product antenna model
Horizontal cut		
Vertical cut		
NOTE: Angle references used in these graphs are derived from EMF Visual (left) and Planet Viewer (right), which may differ from product data sheet (see Table 3)		

Table 5 – Antenna patterns for max azimuth steering

	Model for EMF evaluation	Product antenna model
Horizontal cut		
Vertical cut		
NOTE: Angle references used in these graphs are derived from EMF Visual (left) and Planet Viewer (right), which may differ from product data sheet (see Table 3)		

Table 6 – Antenna patterns for max elevation steering

	Model for EMF evaluation	Product antenna model
Horizontal cut		
Vertical cut		
NOTE: Angle references used in these graphs are derived from EMF Visual (left) and Planet Viewer (right), which may differ from product data sheet (see Table 3)		

Table 7 – Antenna gain characteristics for various beam steering directions used during EMF evaluation

	Azimuth	Elevation	Gain (dBi)
			2595 MHz
Boresight	0°	+6°	24.9
Max azimuth	-51°	+4°	20.7
Max elevation	-5°	+15°	22.9

The compliance boundary is defined by the box shape perimeter shown in Figure 4 of IEC 62232:2025 [10] and displayed in Figure 1. The distances CD_f , $CD_{s,a}$, $CD_{u,a}$ and $CD_{d,a}$ are taken from the nearest point of the antenna. For convenience, the distances $CD_{s,c}$, $CD_{u,c}$ and $CD_{d,c}$ (respectively) taken from antenna center are also provided.




Figure 1 – Shape of the compliance boundary used for the RF exposure compliance assessment (from [10]).

5 RF exposure assessment method

RF exposure assessment is performed using the synthetic model computation method defined in B.7.2 of IEC 62232:2025 [10]. Calculations are performed with the “EMF Visual” software release OKTAL 2019 Version 4.0 (see [7] and [8]).

The validation of the model is performed in the configuration with the beam in front (azimuth = 0° and elevation = +6°). The validation results are provided in Table 8.

Table 8 - Validation of the antenna model at 2595 MHz

	Product model	EMF Visual model	Deviation
Gain	24.9 dBi	24.9 dBi	0.0 dB
Horizontal half-power beamwidth	14.0°	13.0°	1.0°
Vertical half-power beamwidth	8.0°	6.5°	1.5°



For each configuration, the directivity pattern is derived from the simulation model and the antenna gain is adjusted to match exactly the simulated values for accurate scaling.

The RF compliance distances are provided for the time-averaged maximum transmitted power of 339 W and, for information, the actual maximum transmitted power of 85 W taking a 95th percentile approach as defined in [9] and [10]. These values include a technology duty cycle factor of 75 % (see Table 2) for time averaging and a power tolerance of 1.5 dB due to electronic component dispersion and operational environmental conditions (temperature).

6 RF exposure computation results

6.1 Regions of application: EU/ICNIRP, Australia/NZ and US/related

The computed power density 3D distributions are displayed in Figure 2 to Figure 7 for RF exposure limits defined in [1], [2] and [3] for EU/ICNIRP countries, [4] for Australia/NZ and [6] for US/related countries.



Grid: 5 m

10 W/m²
General Population/Uncontrolled Exposures

50 W/m²
Occupational/Controlled Exposures

Figure 2 – Top view of the power density for the time-averaged maximum transmitted power of 339 W and the beam oriented in azimuth = 0° & elevation = +6° (EU/ICNIRP, Australia/NZ and US/related)




Figure 3 – Top view of the power density for the actual maximum transmitted power of 85 W and the beam oriented in azimuth = 0° & elevation = +6° (EU/ICNIRP, Australia/NZ and US/related)




Figure 4 – Side view of the power density for the time-averaged maximum transmitted power of 339 W and the beam oriented in azimuth = -5° & elevation = +15° (EU/ICNIRP, Australia/NZ and US/related)




Figure 5 – Side view of the power density for the actual maximum transmitted power of 85 W and the beam oriented in azimuth = -5° & elevation = +15° (EU/ICNIRP, Australia/NZ and US/related)




Figure 6 – Top view of the power density for the time-averaged maximum transmitted power of 339 W and the beam oriented in azimuth = -51° & elevation = +4° (EU/ICNIRP, Australia/NZ and US/related)






Figure 7 – Top view of the power density for the actual maximum transmitted power of 85 W and the beam oriented in azimuth = -51° & elevation = +4° (EU/ICNIRP, Australia/NZ and US/related)

6.2 Regions of application: Canada

The computed power density distributions are displayed in Figure 8 to Figure 13 for RF exposure limits defined in [5] for Canada.




Grid: 5 m




5.5 W/m²
General Population/Uncontrolled Exposures

32.2 W/m²
Occupational/Controlled Exposures

Figure 8 – Top view of the power density for the time-averaged maximum transmitted power of 339 W and the beam oriented in azimuth = 0° & elevation = +6° (Canada)




Grid: 5 m




5.5 W/m²
General Population/Uncontrolled Exposures

32.2 W/m²
Occupational/Controlled Exposures

Figure 9 – Top view of the power density for the actual maximum transmitted power of 85 W and the beam oriented in azimuth = 0° & elevation = +6° (Canada)



Grid: 5 m



5.5 W/m²
General Population/Uncontrolled Exposures

32.2 W/m²
Occupational/Controlled Exposures

Figure 10 – Side view of the power density for the time-averaged maximum transmitted power of 339 W and the beam oriented in azimuth = -5° & elevation = +15° (Canada)




Figure 11 – Side view of the power density for the actual maximum transmitted power of 85 W and the beam oriented in azimuth = -5° & elevation = +15° (Canada)




Figure 12 – Top view of the power density for the time-averaged maximum transmitted power of 339 W and the beam oriented in azimuth = -51° & elevation = +4° (Canada)




Figure 13 – Top view of the power density for the actual maximum transmitted power of 85 W and the beam oriented in azimuth = -51° & elevation = +4° (Canada)

7 Conclusion and installation recommendations

The RF exposure compliance distances for the Nokia AEHB AirScale MAA 64T64R 192AE B41 320 W and Nokia AEHC AirScale MAA 64T64R 192AE B41 320 W products are summarized in Table 9 for EU/ICNIRP [1][2][3], Australia/NZ [4] and US/related [6] requirements and in Table 10 for Canada [5] requirements.

Table 9 – AEHB/AEHC RF exposure compliance distances based on the time-averaged maximum transmitted power of 339 W (corresponding to 320 W configured max transmitted power) for EU/ICNIRP, Australia/NZ and US/related

Region of application: EU/ICNIRP, Australia/NZ and US/related	General Population/Uncontrolled Exposures	Occupational/Controlled Exposures
RF-EMF power density exposure limits	10 W/m ²	50 W/m ²
Distance in front (CD _f)	29.0 m	13.0 m
Distance to the side (CD _{s,a})	14.3 m	6.3 m
Distance below and above (CD _{d,a} and CD _{u,a})	5.6 m	2.1 m
Distance to the side (CD _{s,c})	14.5 m	6.5 m
Distance below and above (CD _{d,c} and CD _{u,c})	6.0 m	2.5 m

Table 10 - AEHB/AEHC RF exposure compliance distances based on the time-averaged maximum transmitted power of 339 W (corresponding to 320 W configured max transmitted power) for Canada

Region of application: Canada	General Population/Uncontrolled Exposures	Occupational/Controlled Exposures
RF-EMF power density exposure limits	5.5 W/m ²	32.2 W/m ²
Distance in front (CD _f)	39.0 m	16.0 m
Distance to the side (CD _{s,a})	19.3 m	7.8 m
Distance below and above (CD _{d,a} and CD _{u,a})	8.1 m	3.1 m
Distance to the side (CD _{s,c})	19.5 m	8.0 m
Distance below and above (CD _{d,c} and CD _{u,c})	8.5 m	3.5 m

The RF exposure compliance distances based on the actual maximum transmitted power considering a 95th percentile approach are summarized in Table 11 and Table 12. These values are provided for information about the RF exposure levels that may be reached in operational conditions considering a time-averaging window of 6 minutes according to [9] and [10].

Table 11 – AEHB/AEHC RF exposure compliance distances based on the actual maximum transmitted power of 85 W (corresponding to 320 W configured max transmitted power) for EU/ICNIRP, Australia/NZ and US/related

For information in EU/ICNIRP, Australia/NZ and US/related countries based on IEC TR62669 [9] and IEC/EN 62232:2025 [10]	General Population/Uncontrolled Exposures	Occupational/Controlled Exposures
RF-EMF power density exposure limits	10 W/m ²	50 W/m ²
Distance in front (CD _f)	14.5 m	6.5 m
Distance to the side (CD _{s,a})	6.8 m	2.8 m
Distance below and above (CD _{d,a} and CD _{u,a})	2.6 m	1.1 m
Distance to the side (CD _{s,c})	7.0 m	3.0 m
Distance below and above (CD _{d,c} and CD _{u,c})	3.0 m	1.5 m

Table 12 – AEHB/AEHC RF exposure compliance distances based on the actual maximum transmitted power of 85 W (corresponding to 320 W configured max transmitted power) for Canada

For information in Canada based on IEC TR62669 [9] and IEC/EN 62232:2025 [10]	General Population/Uncontrolled Exposures	Occupational/Controlled Exposures
RF-EMF power density exposure limits	5.5 W/m ²	32.2 W/m ²
Distance in front (CD _f)	19.5 m	8.0 m
Distance to the side (CD _{s,a})	9.8 m	3.8 m
Distance below and above (CD _{d,a} and CD _{u,a})	3.6 m	1.1 m
Distance to the side (CD _{s,c})	10.0 m	4.0 m
Distance below and above (CD _{d,c} and CD _{u,c})	4.0 m	1.5 m

Installation of the Nokia AEHB AirScale MAA 64T64R 192AE B41 320 W and Nokia AEHC AirScale MAA 64T64R 192AE B41 320 W products shall be performed in accordance with all applicable manufacturer's recommendations and national laws and regulations related to human exposure to radiofrequency fields. In particular:

- The operator or entity putting the equipment into operation shall take the necessary measures to ensure that the general population cannot access the area within the general population/uncontrolled compliance boundary in the vicinity of the transmitting antennas (see Table 9 and Table 10).
- Depending on the site installation configuration, the operator or the entity putting the equipment into operation determines the most suitable place to display the appropriate warning signs and any other necessary information or precautionary measures.
- Workers that are required to operate in the close proximity of the transmitting antennas connected to the equipment, for example installation and maintenance personnel, need to be informed about the potential risks of human exposure to RF fields and how to protect against them. They should strictly follow instructions provided by their employer. They should stand-off the occupational/controlled exposure compliance boundary defined in the vicinity of transmitting antennas (see Table 9 and Table 10). If it is necessary to operate within this compliance boundary, workers shall make sure that the transmitters contributing to exposure in this area are all switched off, or they must contact the relevant operator(s) to switch off emissions during operation period.

8 Uncertainty analysis

Outcome of the uncertainty analysis for the computational modeling performed with the EMF Visual software for determination of the RF exposure compliance distances for the Nokia AEHB AirScale MAA 64T64R 192AE B41 320 W and Nokia AEHC AirScale MAA 64T64R 192AE B41 320 W products is presented in Table 13. The expanded uncertainty is calculated according to clause 9 and Annex B IEC 62232:2025 [10]. The target uncertainty is 1.50 dB. The calculated expanded uncertainty is 1.13 dB, which is below the target uncertainty. Therefore, the compliance boundary presented in Table 9 to Table 12 are applicable without correction.

Table 13 – Summary of uncertainty analysis for AEHB/AEHC

Source of uncertainty	Unit	Prob. distrib. type	Uncertainty (a)	Divisor (δ)	Sens. coeff. (c)	Standard uncertainty ($u=a/\delta$)	Note
Variation in the power of the RF transmitter from its nominal level	dB	rect.	0.50	$\sqrt{3}$	1.00	0.29	
Antenna radiation pattern data	dB	norm.	0.3	1.96	1.00	0.15	H-HPBW
	dB	norm.	0.75	1.96	1.00	0.38	V-HPBW
Inherent uncertainties associated with the approximate numerical model used to represent the antenna	dB	rect.	0.50	$\sqrt{3}$	1.00	0.29	
Combined standard uncertainty (u_c) $u_c = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^N (c_i^2 \cdot u_i^2)}$	0.58 dB						
Coverage/expansion factor (k)	1.96						
Expanded uncertainty ($U = k \cdot u_c$)	1.13 dB						

----- end of the test report -----