



Test report No:
2560188R.702A

FCC TEST REPORT

Product Name	AIROC Bluetooth LE Module
Trademark	N/A
Model and /or type reference	CYW20829B1-P4CAI100 CYW20829B1-P5CAI100
FCC ID	WAP829I10S
Applicant's name / address	Cypress Semiconductor 198 Champion Ct, San Jose, California 95134, United States
Test method requested, standard	FCC 47CFR §2.1093
Verdict Summary	IN COMPLIANCE
Documented by (name / position & signature)	Tim Cao / Project Manager 
Approved by (name / position & signature)	Frank He / Technical Manager 
Date of issue	2025-07-09
Report Version	V1.0
Report template No	Template_FCC-MPE-RF-V1.0

INDEX

	page
Competences and Guarantees.....	3
General conditions	3
Environmental conditions	3
Possible test case verdicts	4
Abbreviations	4
Document History	5
Remarks and Comments.....	5
1 General Information.....	6
2. RF Exposure Evaluation.....	7
2.1. Limits: KDB 447498 D04 V01	7
2.2. RF Exposure Evaluation.....	9

COMPETENCES AND GUARANTEES

DEKRA is a testing laboratory competent to carry out the tests described in this report.

In order to assure the traceability to other national and international laboratories, DEKRA has a calibration and maintenance program for its measurement equipment.

DEKRA guarantees the reliability of the data presented in this report, which is the result of the measurements and the tests performed to the item under test on the date and under the conditions stated in the report and it is based on the knowledge and technical facilities available at DEKRA at the time of performance of the test.

DEKRA is liable to the client for the maintenance of the confidentiality of all information related to the item under test and the results of the test.

The results presented in this Test Report apply only to the particular item under test established in this document.

IMPORTANT: No parts of this report may be reproduced or quoted out of context, in any form or by any means, except in full, without the previous written permission of DEKRA.

GENERAL CONDITIONS

Test Location	No. 99, Hongye Road, Suzhou Industrial Park Suzhou, 215006, P.R. China
Date(receive sample)	Jun. 09, 2025
Date (start test)	Jun. 15, 2025
Date (finish test)	Jun. 23, 2025

1. This report is only referred to the item that has undergone the test.
2. This report does not constitute or imply on its own an approval of the product by the Certification Bodies or Competent Authorities.
3. This document is only valid if complete; no partial reproduction can be made without previous written permission of DEKRA.
4. This test report cannot be used partially or in full for publicity and/or promotional purposes without previous written permission of DEKRA.

ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS

The climatic conditions during the tests are within the limits specified by the manufacturer for the operation of the EUT and the test equipment. The climatic conditions during the tests were within the following limits:

Ambient temperature	15 °C – 35 °C
Relative Humidity air	30% - 60%

If explicitly required in the basic standard or applied product / product family standard the climatic values are recorded and documented separately in this test report.

POSSIBLE TEST CASE VERDICTS

Test case does not apply to test object	N/A
Test object does meet requirement	P (Pass) / PASS
Test object does not meet requirement	F (Fail) / FAIL
Not measured	N/M

ABBREVIATIONS

For the purposes of the present document, the following abbreviations apply:

EUT	: Equipment Under Test
QP	: Quasi-Peak
CAV	: CISPR Average
AV	: Average
CDN	: Coupling Decoupling Network
SAC	: Semi-Anechoic Chamber
OATS	: Open Area Test Site
BW	: Bandwidth
AM	: Amplitude Modulation
PM	: Pulse Modulation
HCP	: Horizontal Coupling Plane
VCP	: Vertical Coupling Plane
U_N	: Nominal voltage
T_x	: Transmitter
R_x	: Receiver
N/A	: Not Applicable
N/M	: Not Measured

DOCUMENT HISTORY

Report No.	Version	Description	Issued Date
2560188R.702A	V1.0	Initial issue of report.	2025-07-09

REMARKS AND COMMENTS

1. The equipment under test (EUT) does meet the essential requirements of the stated standard(s)/test(s).
2. These test results on a sample of the device are for the purpose of demonstrating Compliance with FCC 47CFR §2.1093.
3. The measurement result is considered in conformance with the requirement if it is within the prescribed limit, It is not necessary to account the uncertainty associated with the measurement result.
4. The test results relate only to the samples tested.
5. The test report shall not be reproduced without the written approval of DEKRA Testing and Certification (Suzhou) Co., Ltd.
6. This report will not be used for social proof function in China market.
7. DEKRA declines any responsibility with the following test data provided by customer that may affect the validity of result:
 - Chapter 1 General Information.

1 GENERAL INFORMATION

Product Name	AIROC Bluetooth LE Module				
Trademark	N/A				
Model No.	CYW20829B1-P4CAI100 CYW20829B1-P5CAI100				
FCC ID.....	WAP829I10S				
Model Difference.....	The only difference between two modules is the Bluetooth chips they used have different memory size.				
Hardware Version	V1.0				
Software Version.....	V1.0				
Operating Temperature.....	-40℃ ~ 85℃				
Power Supply.....	DC 3.3 V				
Manufacturer.....	Cypress Semiconductor				
Manufacturer address	198 Champion Ct, San Jose, California 95134, United States				
Wireless specification.....	Bluetooth				
Operating frequency range(s) :	2402~2480MHz				
Type of Modulation.....	GFSK				
PHYs	☒	LE 1M	☒	LE 2M	☒ LE Coded S=2/8
Data Rate	☒	1Mbit/s	☒	2Mbit/s	☒ 500/125 Kbit/s
Number of channels	40				
Antenna Type	Chip Antenna				
Antenna Gain.....	0.5 dBi				

Remark:

As above information is provided and confirmed by the applicant. DEKRA is not liable to the accuracy, suitability, reliability or/and integrity of the information.

2. RF Exposure Evaluation

2.1. Limits: KDB 447498 D04 V01

Mobile Device:

CFR Title 47 §2.1091(b)

(b) For purposes of this section, a mobile device is defined as a transmitting device designed to be used in other than fixed locations and to generally be used in such a way that a separation distance of at least 20 centimeters is normally maintained between the transmitter's radiating structure(s) and the body of the user or nearby persons.

Devices operating in standalone mobile exposure conditions may contain a single transmitter or multiple transmitters that do not transmit simultaneously. A minimum test separation distance ≥ 20 cm is required between the antenna and radiating structures of the device and nearby persons to apply mobile device exposure limits. The distance must be fully supported by the operating and installation configurations of the transmitter and its antenna(s), according to the source-based time-averaged maximum power requirements of § 2.1091(d)(2). In cases where cable losses or other attenuations are applied to determine compliance, the most conservative operating configurations and exposure conditions must be evaluated. The minimum test separation distance required for a device to comply with mobile exposure conditions must be clearly identified in the installation and operating instructions, for all installation and exposure conditions, to enable users and installers to comply with RF exposure requirements. For mobile devices that have the potential to operate in portable device exposure conditions, similar to the configurations described in § 2.1091(d)(4), a KDB inquiry is required to determine the SAR test requirements for demonstrating compliance.

When the categorical exclusion provision of § 2.1091(c) applies, the minimum test separation distance may be estimated, when applicable, by simple calculations according to plane-wave equivalent conditions, to ensure the transmitter and its antenna(s) can operate in manners that meet or exceed the estimated distance. The source-based time-averaged maximum radiated power, according to the maximum antenna gain, must be applied to calculate the field strength and power density required to establish the minimum test separation distance. When the estimated test separation distance becomes overly conservative and does not support compliance, MPE measurement or computational modeling may be used to determine the required minimum separation distance.

According to § 1.1307(b)(3)(i)(B)

The available maximum time-averaged power or effective radiated power (ERP), whichever is greater, is less than or equal to the threshold P_{th} (mW) described in the following formula. This method shall only be used at separation distances (cm) from 0.5 centimeters to 40 centimeters and at frequencies from 0.3 GHz to 6 GHz (inclusive). P_{th} is given by:

$$P_{th} \text{ (mW)} = \begin{cases} ERP_{20 \text{ cm}} (d/20 \text{ cm})^x & d \leq 20 \text{ cm} \\ ERP_{20 \text{ cm}} & 20 \text{ cm} < d \leq 40 \text{ cm} \end{cases}$$

Where

$$x = -\log_{10} \left(\frac{60}{ERP_{20 \text{ cm}} \sqrt{f}} \right) \text{ and } f \text{ is in GHz;}$$

and

$$ERP_{20 \text{ cm}} \text{ (mW)} = \begin{cases} 2040f & 0.3 \text{ GHz} \leq f < 1.5 \text{ GHz} \\ 3060 & 1.5 \text{ GHz} \leq f \leq 6 \text{ GHz} \end{cases}$$

d = the separation distance (cm);

and f is in GHz, d is the separation distance (cm), and $ERP_{20 \text{ cm}}$ is per Formula (B.1). The example values shown in Table B.2 are for illustration only.

Table B.2—Example Power Thresholds (mW)

Frequency (MHz)	Distance (mm)										
	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	
	300	39	65	88	110	129	148	166	184	201	217
	450	22	44	67	89	112	135	158	180	203	226
	835	9	25	44	66	90	116	145	175	207	240
	1900	3	12	26	44	66	92	122	157	195	236
	2450	3	10	22	38	59	83	111	143	179	219
	3600	2	8	18	32	49	71	96	125	158	195
	5800	1	6	14	25	40	58	80	106	136	169

Finally, when 10-g extremity SAR applies, SAR test exemption may be considered by applying a factor of 2.5 to the SAR-based exemption threshold.

2.2. RF Exposure Evaluation

Mode	Maximum EIRP (dBm)	EIRP (mW)	ERP (mW)	Distance (mm)	f(GHz)	Pth (mW)	RF Exposure Test
Bluetooth	10.00	10.00	6.1	10	2.480	10	Exemption

Remark:

According to KDB 447498 D04, output power level shall be the higher of the maximum conducted or equivalent isotropically radiated power (e.i.r.p.) source-based, time-averaged output power and it will be used for calculating exemption Limits for Routine Evaluation.

Conclusion:

This EUT is exemption from RF exposure testing, therefore the basic restrictions are compliant with human exposure limits.

_____ The End _____