


HEARING AID COMPATIBILITY T-COIL TEST REPORT


FCC ID : XD6U626AA
Equipment : Smart Phone
Model Name : U626AA
T-Rating : T4
Applicant : Shenzhen Tinno Mobile Technology Corp.
TINNO Building, No.33, Xiandong Rd, Xili, Nanshan
District, Shenzhen, Guangdong Province, PRC
Manufacturer : Shenzhen Tinno Mobile Technology Corp.
TINNO Building, No.33, Xiandong Rd, Xili, Nanshan
District, Shenzhen, Guangdong Province, PRC
Standard : FCC 47 CFR §20.19
ANSI C63.19-2011

We, Sporton International Inc. (Shenzhen), would like to declare that the tested sample has been evaluated in accordance with the test procedures and has been in compliance with the applicable technical standards.

The test results in this report apply exclusively to the tested model / sample. Without written approval of Sporton International Inc. (Shenzhen), the test report shall not be reproduced except in full.



Reviewed by: Hank Huang / Supervisor



Approved by: Johnny Chen / Manager



Sporton International Inc. (Shenzhen)

1/F, 2/F, Bldg 5, Shiling Industrial Zone, Xinwei Village, Xili, Nanshan, Shenzhen, 518055
People's Republic of China



Table of Contents

1. Attestation of Test Results	4
2. General Information	4
3. Testing Location.....	5
4. Applied Standards	5
5. Air Interface and Operating Mode.....	6
6. Measurement standards for T-Coil	7
6.1 Frequency Response	7
6.2 T-Coil Signal Quality Categories	7
7. T-Coil Test Procedure	8
7.1 Test Flow Chart.....	9
7.2 Test Setup Diagram for VoLTE	10
7.3 Test Setup Diagram for VoWiFi.....	12
7.4 Test Setup and Diagram for OTT VoIP – PAG Reuse.....	14
7.5 Description of EUT Test Position	16
8. Test Equipment List	17
9. T-Coil testing for CMRS IP Voice	18
9.1 VoLTE Tests Results.....	18
9.2 VoWiFi Tests Results.....	20
10. T-Coil testing for OTT VoIP Application	22
11. Uncertainty Assessment	24
12. References.....	25

Appendix A. Plots of T-Coil Measurement

Appendix B. DASY Calibration Certificate

Appendix C. Test Setup Photos



History of this test report

Report No.	Version	Description	Issued Date
HA1N0415B	Rev. 01	Initial issue of report	Feb. 10, 2022

1. Attestation of Test Results

Air Interface	Band MHz	T-Rating	Frequency Response	Magnetic Intensity
VoLTE	Band 2	T4	Pass	Pass
	Band 4	T4	Pass	Pass
	Band 5	T4	Pass	Pass
	Band 12	T4	Pass	Pass
	Band 14	T4	Pass	Pass
	Band 30	T4	Pass	Pass
OTT over LTE	Band 30	T4	Pass	Pass
VoWiFi	2450	T4	Pass	Pass
	5200	T4	Pass	Pass
	5300	T4	Pass	Pass
	5500	T4	Pass	Pass
	5800	T4	Pass	Pass
OTT over WiFi	2450	T4	Pass	Pass
	5500	T4	Pass	Pass
Date Tested	2022/1/15 ~ 2022/1/25			

1. The device is compliance with HAC limits specified in guidelines FCC 47CFR §20.19 and ANSI Standard ANSI C63.19.

2. General Information

Product Feature & Specification	
Applicant Name	Shenzhen Tinnno Mobile Technology Corp.
Equipment Name	Smart Phone
Model Name	U626AA
IMEI Code	866289050018995
FCC ID	XD6U626AA
HW	V1.0
SW	U626AAV01.02.10
EUT Stage	Identical Prototype
Frequency Band	LTE Band 2: 1850 MHz ~ 1910 MHz LTE Band 4: 1710 MHz ~ 1755 MHz LTE Band 5: 824 MHz ~ 849 MHz LTE Band 12: 699 MHz ~ 716 MHz LTE Band 14: 788 MHz ~ 798 MHz LTE Band 30: 2305 MHz ~ 2315 MHz WLAN 2.4GHz Band: 2412 MHz ~ 2462 MHz WLAN 5.2GHz Band: 5180 MHz ~ 5240 MHz WLAN 5.3GHz Band: 5260 MHz ~ 5320 MHz WLAN 5.5GHz Band: 5500 MHz ~ 5700 MHz WLAN 5.8GHz Band: 5745 MHz ~ 5825 MHz Bluetooth: 2402 MHz ~ 2480 MHz
Mode	LTE: QPSK, 16QAM, 64QAM WLAN 2.4GHz 802.11b/g/n HT20 WLAN 5GHz 802.11a/n HT20/HT40 WLAN 5GHz 802.11ac VHT20/VHT40/VHT80 Bluetooth BR/EDR/LE



3. Testing Location

Sporton International Inc. (Shenzhen) is accredited to ISO/IEC 17025:2017 by American Association for Laboratory Accreditation with Certificate Number 5145.01.

Testing Laboratory			
Test Firm	Sporton International Inc. (Shenzhen)		
Test Site Location	1/F, 2/F, Bldg 5, Shiling Industrial Zone, Xinwei Village, Xili, Nanshan, Shenzhen, 518055 People's Republic of China TEL: +86-755-86379589 FAX: +86-755-86379595		
Test Site No.	Sporton Site No.	FCC Designation No.	FCC Test Firm Registration No.
	SAR01-SZ	CN1256	421272

4. Applied Standards

- FCC CFR47 Part 20.19
- ANSI C63.19 2011-version
- FCC KDB 285076 D01 HAC Guidance v05r01
- FCC KDB 285076 D02 T Coil testing v03r01
- FCC KDB 285076 D03 HAC FAQ v01r04

5. Air Interface and Operating Mode


Air Interface	Band MHz	Type	C63.19 Tested	Simultaneous Transmitter	Name of Voice Service	Power Reduction
LTE (FDD)	Band 2	VD	Yes	WLAN, BT	VoLTE / Google Duo ⁽¹⁾	No
	Band 4			WLAN, BT		No
	Band 5			WLAN, BT		No
	Band 12			WLAN, BT		No
	Band 14			WLAN, BT		No
	Band 30			WLAN, BT		No
Wi-Fi	2450	VD	Yes	LTE	VoWiFi ⁽¹⁾ / Google Duo ⁽¹⁾	No
	5200			LTE, BT		No
	5300					No
	5500					No
	5800					No
BT	2450	DT	No	LTE, WLAN 5GHz	NA	No
Type Transport: VO= Voice only DT= Digital Transport only (no voice) VD= CMRS and IP Voice Service over Digital Transport						
Remark: 1. For protocols not listed in Table 7.1 of ANSI C63.19-2011 or the ANSI C63.19-2011 VoLTE interpretation, the average speech level of -20 dBm0 should be used.						

6. Measurement standards for T-Coil

6.1 Frequency Response


The frequency response of the perpendicular component of the magnetic field, measured in 1/3 octave bands, shall follow the response curve specified in this sub-clause, over the frequency range 300 Hz to 3000 Hz.

Figure 1.1 and Figure 1.2 provide the boundaries as a function of frequency. These response curves are for true field-strength measurements of the T-Coil signal. Thus, the 6 dB/octave probe response has been corrected from the raw readings.



NOTE—The frequency response is between 300 Hz and 3000 Hz.

Fig. 1.1 Magnetic field frequency response for WDs with field strength ≤ -15 dB at 1 kHz



NOTE—The frequency response is between 300 Hz and 3000 Hz.

Fig. 1.2 Magnetic field frequency response for WDs with a field that exceeds -15 dB(A/m) at 1 kHz

6.2 T-Coil Signal Quality Categories

This section provides the signal quality requirement for the intended T-Coil signal from a WD. Only the RF immunity of the hearing aid is measured in T-Coil mode. It is assumed that a hearing aid can have no immunity to an interference signal in the audio band, which is the intended reception band for this mode. A device is assessed beginning by determining the category of the RF environment in the area of the T-Coil source.

The RF measurements made for the T-Coil evaluation are used to assign the category T1 through T4. The limitation is given in Table 1. This establishes the RF environment presented by the WD to a hearing aid.

Category	Telephone parameters WD signal quality (signal + noise) to noise ratio in dB
Category T1	0 to 10 dB
Category T2	10 to 20 dB
Category T3	20 to 30 dB
Category T4	> 30 dB

Table 1 T-Coil Signal Quality Categories

7. T-Coil Test Procedure

Referenced to ANSI C63.19-2011, Section 7.4

This section describes the procedures used to measure the ABM (T-Coil) performance of the WD. In addition to measuring the absolute signal levels, the A-weighted magnitude of the unintended signal shall also be determined. To assure that the required signal quality is measured, the measurement of the intended signal and the measurement of the unintended signal must be made at the same location for each measurement position. In addition, the RF field strength at each measurement location must be at or below that required for the assigned category.

Measurements shall not include undesired properties from the WD's RF field; therefore, use of a coaxial connection to a base station simulator or non-radiating load, there might still be RF leakage from the WD, which can interfere with the desired measurement. Pre-measurement checks should be made to avoid this possibility. All measurements shall be performed with the WD operating on battery power with an appropriate normal speech audio signal input level given in ANSI C63.19-2011 Table 7.1. If the device display can be turned off during a phone call, then that may be done during the measurement as well.

Measurement shall be performed at two locations specified in ANSI C63.19-2011 A.3, with the correct probe orientation for a particular location, in a multistage sequence by first measuring the field intensity of the desired T-Coil signal the same location as the desired ABM or T-Coil signal (ABM1), and the ratio of desired to undesired magnetic components (ABM2) must be measured at the same location as the desired ABM or T-Coil signal (ABM1), and the ratio of desired to undesired ABM signals must be calculated. For the perpendicular field location, only the ABM1 frequency response shall be determined in a third measurement stage.

The following steps summarize the basic test flow for determining ABM1 and ABM2. These steps assume that a sine wave or narrowband 1/3 octave signal can be used for the measurement of ABM1.

- a. A validation of the test setup and instrumentation may be performed using a TMFS or Helmholtz coil Measure the emissions and confirm that they are within the specified tolerance.
- b. Position the WD in the test setup and connect the WD RF connector to a base station simulator or a non-radiating load. Confirm that equipment that requires calibration has been calibrated, and that the noise level meets the requirements given in ANSI C63.19-2011 clause 7.3.1.
- c. The drive level to the WD is set such that the reference input level specified in ANSI C63.19-2011 Table 7.1 is input to the base station simulator (or manufacturer's test mode equivalent) in 1 kHz, 1/3 octave band. This drive level shall be used for the T-Coil signal test (ABM1) at $f = 1$ kHz. Either a sine wave at 1025 Hz or a voice-like signal, band-limited to the 1 kHz 1/3 octave, as defined in ANSI C63.19-2011 clause 7.4.2, shall be used for the reference audio signal. If interference is found at 1025 Hz an alternative nearby reference audio signal frequency may be used. The same drive level shall be used for the ABM1 frequency response measurements at each 1/3 octave band center frequency. The WD volume control may be set at any level up to maximum, provided that a signal at any frequency at maximum modulation would not result in clipping or signal overload.
- d. Determine the magnetic measurement locations for the WD device (A.3), if not already specified by the manufacturer, as described in ANSI C63.19-2011 clause 7.4.4.1.1 and 7.4.4.2.
- e. At each measurement location, measure and record the desired T-Coil magnetic signals (ABM1 at f_i) as described in ANSI C63.19-2011 clause 7.4.4.2 in each individual ISO 266-1975 R10 standard 1/3 octave band. The desired audio band input frequency (f_i) shall be centered in each 1/3 octave band maintaining the same drive level as determined in item c) and the reading taken for that band.
- f. Equivalent methods of determining the frequency response may also be employed, such as fast Fourier transform (FFT) analysis using noise excitation or input-output comparison using simulated speech. The full-band integrated probe output, as specified in D.9, may be used, as long as the appropriate calibration curve is applied to the measured result, so as to yield an accurate measurement of the field magnitude. (The resulting measurement shall be an accurate measurement in dB A/m.)
- g. All Measurements of the desired signal shall be shown to be of the desired signal and not of an undesired signal. This may be shown by turning the desired signal ON and OFF with the probe measuring the same location. If the scanning method is used the scans shall show that all measurement points selected for the ABM1 measurement meet the ambient and test system noise criteria in ANSI C63.19-2011 clause 7.3.1.
- h. At the measurement location for each orientation, measure and record the undesired broadband audio magnetic signal (ABM2) as specified in ANSI C63.19-2011 clause 7.4.4.4 with no audio signal applied (or digital zero applied, if appropriate) using A-weighting and the half-band integrator. Calculate the ratio of the desired to undesired signal strength (i.e., signal quality).
- i. Obtain the data from the postprocessor, SEMCAD, and determine the category that properly classifies the signal quality based on ANSI C63.19-2011 Table 8.5.

7.1 Test Flow Chart





Fig. 2 T-Coil Signal Test flowchart

7.2 Test Setup Diagram for VoLTE



General Note:

1. Define the all applicable input audio level as below according to C63 and KDB 285076 D02v03:
- VoLTE input level: -16dBm0
2. CMU500 is able to output 1kHz audio signal equivalent to 3.14dBm0, the signal reference is used to adjust the AMMI gain setting to reach -16dBm0 for VoLTE. CMW500 input is calibrated and the relation between the analog input voltage and the internal level in dBm0 can be determined.
3. Voice over Long-Term Evolution (VoLTE) is a standard for high-speed wireless communication for mobile phones and data terminals — including IoT devices and wearables. It is based on the IP Multimedia Subsystem (IMS) network, with specific profiles for control and media planes of voice service on LTE defined by GSMA in PRD IR.92. This approach results in the voice service (control and media planes) being delivered as data flows within the LTE data bearer. This means that there is no dependency on the legacy circuit-switched voice network to be maintained.
4. The test setup used for VoLTE over IMS is via the callbox of CMW500 for T-coil measurement, The data application unit of the CMW500 was used to simulate the IP multimedia subsystem server. The CMW500 can be manually configured to ensure and control the speech input level result is -16dBm0 for VoLTE when the device during the IMS connection.

1. The Required gain factor for the specific signal shall typically be multiplied by this factor to achieve approx. the same level as for the 1kHz sine signal
2. The below calculation formula is an example and showing how to determine the input level for the device.

The predefined signal types have the following differences / factors compared to the 1kHz sine signal:

Signal [file name]	Duration [s]	Peak-to- RMS [dB]	RMS [dB]	Required gain factor *)	Gain setting
1kHz sine	---	3.0	0.0	1.00	
48k_1.025kHz_10s.wav	10	3.0	0.0	1.00	
48k_1kHz_3.15kHz_10s.wav	10	6.0	-3.0	1.42	
48k_315Hz_1kHz_10s.wav	10	6.0	-2.9	1.40	
48k_csek_8k_441_white_10s.wav	10	13.8	-10.5	3.34	
48k_multisine_50-5000_10s.wav	10	11.1	-7.9	2.49	
48k_voice_1kHz_1s.wav	1	16.2	-12.7	4.33	
48k_voice_300-3000_2s.wav	2	21.6	-18.6	8.48	


(*) The gain for the specific signal shall typically be multiplied by this factor to achieve approx. the same level as for the 1kHz sine signal.

Insert the gain applicable for your setup in the last column of the table.

<Example define the input level for VoLTE>

Gain Value	dBm0	Full scal Voltage	dB	AMMI audio out dBv (RMS)	AMCC Coil Out (dBv (RMS)
	3.14	1.5		0.51	
100	5.73		40	3.1	3.25
8.20	-16		18.27		-18.48
Signal Type	Duration (s)	Peak to RMS (dB)	RMS (dB)	Gain Factor	Gain Setting
1kHz sine	-	3	0	1	8.20
48k_voice_1kHz	1	16.2	-12.7	4.33	35.49
48k_voice_300-3000	2	21.6	-18.6	8.48	69.50

7.3 Test Setup Diagram for VoWiFi



General Note:

1. Define the all applicable input audio level as below according to C63 and KDB 285076 D02v03:
- VoWiFi input level: -20dBm0
2. For Voice over Wi-Fi (VoWiFi) is a term typically employed to describe the delivery of commercial telephony services using Voice over IP (VoIP) technologies from mobile devices connected across Wi-Fi. This is typically counter to alternatives, predominantly Voice over LTE (VoLTE), in which a mobile network operator's (MNO's) licensed spectrum (i.e. 4G LTE) is used to carry packetized voice. Broadly speaking, VoWiFi terminology is assigned to all core IMS services accessed from unlicensed spectrum and across untrusted access infrastructures, such as public Wi-Fi access points
3. The test setup used for VoWiFi over IMS is via the callbox of CMW500 for T-coil measurement, The data application unit of the CMW500 was used to simulate the IP multimedia subsystem server. The CMW500 can be manually configured to ensure and control the speech input level result is -20dBm0 for VoWiFi when the device during the IMS connection.
4. An investigation was performed to determine worst case codec, bit rate and air interface configuration refer to section10.2

<Define the input level for VoWiFi>

1. The Required gain factor for the specific signal shall typically be multiplied by this factor to achieve approx. the same level as for the 1kHz sine signal
2. The below calculation formula is an example and showing how to determine the input level for the device.

The predefined signal types have the following differences / factors compared to the 1kHz sine signal:


Signal [file name]	Duration [s]	Peak-to- RMS [dB]	RMS [dB]	Required gain factor *)	Gain setting
1kHz sine	---	3.0	0.0	1.00	
48k_1.025kHz_10s.wav	10	3.0	0.0	1.00	
48k_1kHz_3.15kHz_10s.wav	10	6.0	-3.0	1.42	
48k_315Hz_1kHz_10s.wav	10	6.0	-2.9	1.40	
48k_csek_8k_441_white_10s.wav	10	13.8	-10.5	3.34	
48k_multisine_50-5000_10s.wav	10	11.1	-7.9	2.49	
48k_voice_1kHz_1s.wav	1	16.2	-12.7	4.33	
48k_voice_300-3000_2s.wav	2	21.6	-18.6	8.48	

(*) The gain for the specific signal shall typically be multiplied by this factor to achieve approx. the same level as for the 1kHz sine signal.

Insert the gain applicable for your setup in the last column of the table.

Gain Value	dBm0	Full scal Voltage	dB	AMMI audio out dBv (RMS)	AMCC Coil Out (dBv (RMS)
	3.14	1.5		0.51	
100	5.57		40	2.94	3.09
5.27	-20		14.43		-22.48
Signal Type	Duration (s)	Peak to RMS (dB)	RMS (dB)	Gain Factor	Gain Setting
1kHz sine	-	3	0	1	5.17
48k_voice_1kHz	1	16.2	-12.7	4.33	22.81
48k_voice_300-3000	2	21.6	-18.6	8.48	44.67

7.4 Test Setup and Diagram for OTT VoIP – PAG Reuse



General Note:

1. Define the all applicable input audio level as below according to C63 and KDB 285076 D02v03:
- OTT VoIP input Level: -20dBm0
2. Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) such as google duo application, also called IP telephony, is a methodology and group of technologies for the delivery of voice communications and multimedia sessions over Internet Protocol (IP) networks, such as the Internet. The terms Internet telephony, broadband telephony, and broadband phone service specifically refer to the provisioning of communications services (voice, fax, SMS, voice-messaging) over the public Internet, rather than via the public switched telephone network (PSTN)
3. The Google DUO service support code and bitrate are list in section11, the customized Google DUO software is installed on a mobile phone which is used as the Auxiliary for the test. The software enables audio coding rate to be changed, and reports the input digital audio level before audio processing which can be used to calibrate the input audio level.
4. This device comes with the preinstalled VoIP application that supports the Google DUO service and related codec. The test configuration establishes a call between the device under test and an auxiliary handset via the google DUO server
5. The test setup used for Google DUO VoIP call is via the data application unit on the 4G/WiFi simulate base station, connected to the internet via the google DUO server to the auxiliary device. The auxiliary device runs special software that allows the codecs and bit rate to be fixed to a specific value. Please refer to section11, an assessment was made of each of the different codec bit rates to determine the worst case for each of the different OTT transport (WiFi, LTE)
6. The auxiliary device includes software that displays the audio level in dBFS which allows calibration of the system to establish the -20dBm0 reference level. After establishing the voice call between auxiliary device and device under test the audio output from the AMMI is injected into the auxiliary device. The gain factor to establish a reference level of -20dBm0 for use during the test is determined as detailed in the next page based on the 0dBFull Scale (0dBFS) value being equivalent to 3.14dBm0.

<Define the input level for OTT VolP>

1. The Required gain factor for the specific signal shall typically be multiplied by this factor to achieve approx. the same level as for the 1kHz sine signal
2. The below calculation formula is an example and showing how to determine the input level for the device.
3. Input a gain value to readout the -23dBFS level as reference. (0dBFS = 3.14 dBm0)
4. Adjust gain level until to readout the dBFS level until it changes to -24dBFS.
5. Based on the step 1 and 2, and then calculate the gain value(dB) by interpolation to get the -20dBm0 corresponding gain value.

The predefined signal types have the following differences / factors compared to the 1kHz sine signal:

Signal [file name]	Duration [s]	Peak-to- RMS [dB]	RMS [dB]	Required gain factor *)	Gain setting
1kHz sine	---	3.0	0.0	1.00	
48k_1.025kHz_10s.wav	10	3.0	0.0	1.00	
48k_1kHz_3.15kHz_10s.wav	10	6.0	-3.0	1.42	
48k_315Hz_1kHz_10s.wav	10	6.0	-2.9	1.40	
48k_csek_8k_441_white_10s.wav	10	13.8	-10.5	3.34	
48k_multisine_50-5000_10s.wav	10	11.1	-7.9	2.49	
48k_voice_1kHz_1s.wav	1	16.2	-12.7	4.33	
48k_voice_300-3000_2s.wav	2	21.6	-18.6	8.48	

(*) The gain for the specific signal shall typically be multiplied by this factor to achieve approx. the same level as for the 1kHz sine signal.

Insert the gain applicable for your setup in the last column of the table.

Step	Signal type	Audio out		Target Level		
		Gain value	Gain value (dB)	dBFS	dBm0	
Step 1	1KHz Sine	7.7	17.73 (Ref.)	-23		
Step 2	1KHz Sine	6.8	16.65	-24		
Step 3	1KHz Sine	7.57**	17.58*	-23.14	-20	
Remark	(*) Based on the step 1 and 2 and then via interpolation to get this value. (**) Gain value=10^Gain value(dB)/20					
Signal type		Duration (s)	Peak to RMS (dB)	RMS (dB)	Gain Factor	Gain value
1kHz sine			3	0	1	7.57
48k_voice_1kHz_1s.wav		1	16.2	-12.7	4.33	32.77
48k_voice_300-3000_2s.wav		2	21.6	-18.6	8.48	64.17
1. According to the gain setting for 1kHz sine wave, determine the gain setting for signals above.						
2. The gain for the specific signal is multiplied by this factor to achieve the same level as for the 1kHz sine signal.						

7.5 Description of EUT Test Position

Fig.3 illustrate the references and reference plane that shall be used in a typical EUT emissions measurement. The principle of this section is applied to EUT with similar geometry. Please refer to Appendix C for the setup photographs.

- ◆ The area is 5 cm by 5 cm.
- ◆ The area is centered on the audio frequency output transducer of the EUT.
- ◆ The area is in a reference plane, which is defined as the planar area that contains the highest point in the area of the phone that normally rests against the user's ear. It is parallel to the centerline of the receiver area of the phone and is defined by the points of the receiver-end of the EUT handset, which, in normal handset use, rest against the ear.
- ◆ The measurement plane is parallel to, and 10 mm in front of, the reference plane.




Fig.3 A typical EUT reference and plane for T-Coil measurements

**8. Test Equipment List**

Manufacturer	Name of Equipment	Type/Model	Serial Number	Calibration	
				Last Cal.	Due Date
SPEAG	Audio Magnetic 1D Field Probe	AM1DV3	3106	2021/11/23	2022/11/22
SPEAG	Audio Magnetic 1D Field Probe	AM1DV3	3130	2021/8/26	2022/8/25
SPEAG	Data Acquisition Electronics	DAE4	1210	2021/8/25	2022/8/24
SPEAG	Data Acquisition Electronics	DAE4	1311	2021/8/20	2022/8/19
SPEAG	Audio Magnetic Calibration Coil	AMCC	1128	NCR	NCR
SPEAG	Audio Measuring Instrument	AMMI	1137	NCR	NCR
Anymetre	Thermo-Hygrometer	JR593	2015030904	2021/7/17	2022/7/14
R&S	Base Station(Measure)	CMU200	121815	2021/10/20	2022/10/17
R&S	Base Station(Measure)	CMW500	143030	2021/7/30	2022/7/27
R&S	Wideband Radio Communication Tester	CMW500	115793	2021/11/30	2022/11/29
SPEAG	Test Arch Phantom	N/A	N/A	NCR	NCR
SPEAG	Phone Positioner	N/A	N/A	NCR	NCR
Agilent	Dual Directional Coupler	778D	50422	NCR	NCR

Note:

1. NCR: "No-Calibration Required"

9. T-Coil testing for CMRS IP Voice

9.1 VoLTE Tests Results

General Note:

1. Codec Investigation: For a voice service/air interface, investigate the variations of codec configurations (WB, NB bit rate) and document the parameters (ABM1, ABM2, S+N/N, frequency response) for that voice service. It is only necessary to document this for one channel / band, the following worst investigation codec would be remarked to be used for the testing for the handset.
2. Air Interface Investigation:
 - a. Use the worst-case codec test and document a limited set of bands / channel / bandwidths. Observe the effect of changing the band and bandwidth to ensure that there are no unexpected variations. Using the knowledge of the observed variations, it is necessary to report only a set band/channel/bandwidth for each orientation for a voice service/air interface and the following worst configure would be remarked to be used for the testing for the handset.
 - b. Select LTE FDD one frequency band to do measurement at the worst SNR position was additionally performed with varying the BWs/Modulations/RB size to verify the variation to find out worst configuration , the observed variation is very little to be within 1.5 dB which is much less than the margin from the rating threshold.
 - c. According to the ANSI C63.19 2011 section 7.3.2, test middle channel of each frequency band for HAC testing for each orientation to determine worst HAC T-Coil rating.

<Codec Investigation>

LTE FDD

VoLTE AMR Codec						
Codec	NB AMR 4.75Kbps	WB AMR 8.85Kbps	NB AMR 12.2Kbps	WB AMR 23.85Kbps	Orientation	Band / BW / Channel
ABM 1 (dBA/m)	-2.28	-2.46	-1.96	-3.1	Axial	B2 / 20M / 18900
ABM 2 (dBA/m)	-43.38	-45.81	-42.84	-43.67		
Signal Quality (dB)	41.1	43.35	40.88	40.57		
Freq. Response	pass	pass	pass	pass		

VoLTE EVS Codec						
Codec	EVS WB 5.9Kbps	EVS WB 128Kbps	EVS NB 5.9Kbps	EVS NB 24.4Kbps	Orientation	Band / BW / Channel
ABM 1 (dBA/m)	-9.23	-2.86	-5.37	-2.39	Axial	B2 / 20M / 18900
ABM 2 (dBA/m)	-43.06	-44.38	-43.35	-43.64		
Signal Quality (dB)	33.83	41.52	37.98	41.25		
Freq. Response	pass	pass	pass	pass		

Remark: According to codec investigation, the worst codec is EVS WB 5.9Kbps

<Air Interface Investigation>

Air Interface		BW (MHz)	Modulation	RB Size	RB offset	Channel	UL-DL Configuration	Probe Position	ABM1 dB (A/m)	ABM2 dB (A/m)	Signal Quality dB
FDD	LTE B2	20	QPSK	1	0	18900		Axial (Z)	-9.23	-43.06	33.83
	LTE B2	20	QPSK	50	0	18900		Axial (Z)	-4.53	-44.46	39.93
	LTE B2	20	QPSK	100	0	18900		Axial (Z)	-3.21	-44.37	41.16
	LTE B2	20	16QAM	1	0	18900		Axial (Z)	-4.21	-44.44	40.23
	LTE B2	20	64QAM	1	0	18900		Axial (Z)	-5.46	-42.64	37.18
	LTE B2	15	QPSK	1	0	18900		Axial (Z)	-4.33	-45.94	41.61
	LTE B2	10	QPSK	1	0	18900		Axial (Z)	-3.90	-44.58	40.68
	LTE B2	5	QPSK	1	0	18900		Axial (Z)	-5.94	-44.70	38.76
	LTE B2	3	QPSK	1	0	18900		Axial (Z)	-6.34	-44.61	38.27
	LTE B2	1.4	QPSK	1	0	18900		Axial (Z)	-3.20	-44.60	41.40

Plot No.	Air Interface	BW (MHz)	Modulation / Mode	RB Size	RB offset	Channel	Probe Position	ABM1 dB (A/m)	ABM2 dB (A/m)	Signal Quality dB	T Rating	Ambient Noise dB (A/m)	Freq. Response Variation dB	Frequency Response
1	LTE Band 2	20	QPSK	1	0	18900	Axial (Z)	-4.81	-45.32	40.51	T4	-50.28	1.16	PASS
							Transversal (Y)	-13.56	-50.52	36.96	T4	-51.25		
2	LTE Band 4	20	QPSK	1	0	20175	Axial (Z)	-3.75	-44.05	40.30	T4	-50.85	1.46	PASS
							Transversal (Y)	-12.72	-51.33	38.61	T4	-50.37		
3	LTE Band 5	10	QPSK	1	0	20525	Axial (Z)	-5.60	-44.27	38.67	T4	-50.62	1.34	PASS
							Transversal (Y)	-13.03	-50.68	37.65	T4	-50.21		
4	LTE Band 12	10	QPSK	1	0	23095	Axial (Z)	-4.36	-43.73	39.37	T4	-50.99	1.44	PASS
							Transversal (Y)	-11.53	-50.20	38.67	T4	-50.74		
5	LTE Band 14	10	QPSK	1	0	23330	Axial (Z)	-6.21	-44.20	37.99	T4	-50.36	1.42	PASS
							Transversal (Y)	-13.01	-50.46	37.45	T4	-50.47		
6	LTE Band 30	10	QPSK	1	0	27710	Axial (Z)	-2.57	-43.83	41.26	T4	-50.38	0.39	PASS
							Transversal (Y)	-12.65	-49.49	36.84	T4	-50.46		

9.2 VoWiFi Tests Results

General Note:

1. Codec Investigation: For a voice service/air interface, investigate the variations of codec configurations (WB, NB bit rate) and document the parameters (ABM1, ABM2, S+N/N, frequency response) for that voice service. It is only necessary to document this for one channel/band, the following worst investigation codec would be remarked to be used for the testing for the handset.
2. Air Interface Investigation:
 - a. Use the worst-case codec test and document a limited set of bands/channel/bandwidths. Observe the effect of changing the band and bandwidth to ensure that there are no unexpected variations. Using the knowledge of the observed variations, it is necessary to report only a set band/channel/bandwidth for each orientation for a voice service/air interface and the following worst configure would be remarked to be used for the testing for the handset.
 - b. Select WLAN 2.4GHz and WLAN 5GHz one frequency band to do measurement at the worst SNR position was additionally performed with varying the BWs/Modulations/data rate to verify the variation to find out worst configuration , the observed variation is very little to be within 1 dB which is much less than the margin from the rating threshold.
 - c. According to the ANSI C63.19 2011 section 7.3.2, test middle channel of each frequency band for HAC testing for each orientation to determine worst HAC T-Coil rating.

<Codec Investigation>

VoWiFi AMR Codec						
Codec	NB AMR 4.75Kbps	WB AMR 8.85Kbps	NB AMR 12.2Kbps	WB AMR 23.85Kbps	Orientation	Band / Channel
ABM 1 (dBA/m)	-3.58	-0.31	-2.04	-0.58	Axial	2.4GHz WLAN / 6
ABM 2 (dBA/m)	-45.45	-40.28	-45.4	-39.84		
Signal Quality (dB)	41.87	39.97	43.36	39.26		
Freq. Response	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass		

VoWiFi EVS Codec						
Codec	EVS WB 5.9Kbps	EVS WB 128Kbps	EVS NB 5.9Kbps	EVS NB 24.4Kbps	Orientation	Band / BW / Channel
ABM 1 (dBA/m)	-4.8	-0.33	-5.63	-3.33	Axial	2.4GHz WLAN / 6
ABM 2 (dBA/m)	-41.82	-40.76	-44.45	-43.99		
Signal Quality (dB)	37.02	40.43	38.82	40.66		
Freq. Response	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass		

Remark: According to codec investigation, the worst codec is EVS WB 5.9Kbps

<Air Interface Investigation>

Frequency Bands	Modulation	Bandwidth	Data Rate	Channel	Probe Position	ABM1 dB (A/m)	ABM2 dB (A/m)	Signal Quality dB
WLAN 2.4GHz	802.11b	20	1M	6	Axial (Z)	-5.56	-42.58	37.02
	802.11b	20	11M	6	Axial (Z)	-5.75	-43.54	37.79
	802.11g	20	6M	6	Axial (Z)	-5.66	-43.43	37.77
	802.11g	20	54M	6	Axial (Z)	-5.91	-43.65	37.74
	802.11n-HT20	20	MCS0	6	Axial (Z)	-6.12	-43.84	37.72
	802.11n-HT20	20	MCS7	6	Axial (Z)	-6.03	-43.83	37.80
WLAN 5GHz	802.11a	20	6M	40	Axial (Z)	-4.45	-40.43	35.98
	802.11a	20	54M	40	Axial (Z)	-4.66	-41.39	36.73
	802.11an-HT20	20	MCS0	40	Axial (Z)	-4.59	-41.13	36.54
	802.11an-HT20	20	MCS7	40	Axial (Z)	-4.82	-41.53	36.71
	802.11an-HT40	40	MCS0	38	Axial (Z)	-5.11	-41.76	36.65
	802.11an-HT40	40	MCS7	38	Axial (Z)	-5.01	-41.60	36.59
	802.11ac-VHT20	20	MCS0	40	Axial (Z)	-4.98	-41.63	36.65
	802.11ac-VHT20	20	MCS8	40	Axial (Z)	-4.69	-41.21	36.52
	802.11ac-VHT40	40	MCS0	38	Axial (Z)	-4.78	-41.26	36.48
	802.11ac-VHT40	40	MCS8	38	Axial (Z)	-4.61	-41.10	36.49
	802.11ac-VHT80	80	MCS0	50	Axial (Z)	-4.89	-41.46	36.57
	802.11ac-VHT80	80	MCS8	50	Axial (Z)	-4.66	-41.17	36.51

Plot No.	Air Interface	BW (MHz)	Modulation / Mode	Channel	Probe Position	ABM1 dB (A/m)	ABM2 dB (A/m)	Signal Quality dB	T Rating	Ambient Noise dB (A/m)	Freq. Response Variation dB	Frequency Response
7	WLAN 2.4G	20	802.11b 1Mbps	6	Axial (Z)	-4.80	-41.82	37.02	T4	-50.35	1.04	Pass
					Transversal (Y)	-7.44	-40.06	32.62	T4	-50.26		
8	WLAN 5.2G	20	802.11a 6Mbps	40	Axial (Z)	-4.45	-40.43	35.98	T4	-50.34	1.13	Pass
					Transversal (Y)	-12.75	-45.75	33.00	T4	-50.28		
9	WLAN 5.3G	20	802.11a 6Mbps	60	Axial (Z)	-7.59	-44.95	37.36	T4	-50.32	1.57	Pass
					Transversal (Y)	-12.25	-46.24	33.99	T4	-50.28		
10	WLAN 5.5G	20	802.11a 6Mbps	116	Axial (Z)	-2.57	-39.05	36.48	T4	-50.33	1.92	Pass
					Transversal (Y)	-7.58	-45.43	37.85	T4	-50.27		
11	WLAN 5.8G	20	802.11a 6Mbps	157	Axial (Z)	-11.52	-51.87	40.35	T4	-50.34	0.66	Pass
					Transversal (Y)	-9.20	-45.78	36.58	T4	-50.28		

10. T-Coil testing for OTT VoIP Application

General Notes:

- According to the ANSI C63.19 2011 section 7.3.2, test middle channel of each frequency band for HAC testing for each orientation to determine worst HAC T-Coil rating.
- The google Duo VoIP application are pre-installed on this device. According to KDB 285076 D02, all air interfaces via a data connection with VoIP application need to be considered HAC testing.
- The Google Duo only support OPUS audio codec and support 6Kbps to 75Kbps bitrate.
- The test setup used for OTT VoIP call is the DUT connect to the CMW500 and via the data application unit on CMW500 connection to the Internet, the Auxiliary EUT is connected to the WiFi access point, the channel/Modulation/Frequency bands/data rate is configured on the CMW500 for the DUT unit. For the Auxiliary VoIP unit which is used to configure the audio codec rate and determine the audio input level of -20dBm0 based on the KDB 285076 D02v03 requirement.
- Codec Investigation: For a voice service/air interface, investigate the variations of codec configurations (WB, NB bit rate) and document the parameters (ABM1, ABM2, S+N/N, frequency response) for that voice service. It is only necessary to document this for one channel/band, the following tests results which the worst case codec would be remarked to be used for the testing for the handset.
- Air Interface Investigation:
 - Use the worst-case codec test and document a limited set of bands/channel/bandwidths. Observe the effect of changing the band and bandwidth to ensure that there are no unexpected variations. Using the knowledge of the observed variations, it is necessary to report only a set band/channel/bandwidth for each orientation for a voice service/air interface.
 - Due to OTT service and CMRS IP service are all be established over the internet protocol for the voice service, and on both services use the identical RF air interface for the WIFI and LTE, therefore according to VoLTE and VoWiFi test results of air interface investigation, the worst configuration and frequency band of air interface was used for OTT T-Coil testing.
 -LTE FDD worst configuration and band: LTE Band 30/10MHz/ QPSK /1RB Size
 -WLAN2.4GHz worst configuration: 802.11b / 1Mbps
 -WLAN5GHz worst configuration and Band: WLAN 5.2GHz /11a / 6Mbps

<Codec Investigation>

LTE FDD

VoIP Codec(Google Duo)					
Codec	Opus 6kbps	Opus 40kbps	Opus 75kbps	Orientation	Band / Channel
ABM 1 (dBA/m)	-4.92	-7.11	-8.2	Axial	B30 / 10M / 27710
ABM 2 (dBA/m)	-39.3	-41.35	-43.91		
Signal Quality (dB)	34.38	34.24	35.71		
Freq. Response	PASS	PASS	PASS		

Remark: According to codec investigation, the worst codec bitrate is 40Kbps

WLAN

VoIP Codec(Google Duo)					
Codec	Opus 6kbps	Opus 40kbps	Opus 75kbps	Orientation	Band / Channel
ABM 1 (dBA/m)	-9.12	-7.86	-10.72	Axial	WLAN2.4G / 6
ABM 2 (dBA/m)	-43.89	-43.43	-46.24		
Signal Quality (dB)	34.77	35.57	35.52		
Freq. Response	PASS	PASS	PASS		

Remark: According to codec investigation, the worst codec bitrate is 6Kbps

**<Air Interface Investigation>**

Plot No.	Air Interface	Mode	Channel	Probe Position	ABM1 dB (A/m)	ABM2 dB (A/m)	Signal Quality dB	T Rating	Ambient Noise dB (A/m)	Freq. Response Variation dB	Frequency Response
12	LTE Band 30	10M_QPSK_1_0	27710	Axial (Z)	-7.11	-41.35	34.24	T4	-50.35	1.44	PASS
				Transversal (Y)	-8.96	-40.93	31.97	T4	-50.29		
13	WLAN2.4GHz	802.11b 1Mbps	6	Axial (Z)	-9.12	-43.89	34.77	T4	-50.37	1.28	PASS
				Transversal (Y)	-9.31	-42.73	33.42	T4	-50.26		
14	WLAN5.5GHz	802.11a 6Mbps	40	Axial (Z)	-10.39	-45.20	34.81	T4	-50.36	0.14	PASS
				Transversal (Y)	-13.42	-44.59	31.17	T4	-50.25		

Remark:

1. Phone Condition: Mute on; Backlight off; Max Volume
2. The detail frequency response results please refer to appendix A.

Test Engineer : Kevin Xu, David Dai, Bin He

11. Uncertainty Assessment

The evaluation of uncertainty by the statistical analysis of a series of observations is termed a Type A evaluation of uncertainty. The evaluation of uncertainty by means other than the statistical analysis of a series of observation is termed a Type B evaluation of uncertainty. Each component of uncertainty, however evaluated, is represented by an estimated standard deviation, termed standard uncertainty, which is determined by the positive square root of the estimated variance. The combined standard uncertainty of the measurement result represents the estimated standard deviation of the result. It is obtained by combining the individual standard uncertainties of both Type A and Type B evaluation using the usual "root-sum-squares" (RSS) methods of combining standard deviations by taking the positive square root of the estimated variances. Expanded uncertainty is a measure of uncertainty that defines an interval about the measurement result within which the measured value is confidently believed to lie. It is obtained by multiplying the combined standard uncertainty by a coverage factor. For purpose of this document, a coverage factor two is used, which corresponds to confidence interval of about 95 %. The DASY uncertainty Budget is showed in Table 8.2. The judgment of conformity in the report is based on the measurement results excluding the measurement uncertainty.

Error Description	Uncertainty Value (±%)	Probability	Divisor	(Ci) ABM1	(Ci) ABM2	Standard Uncertainty (ABM1) (±%)	Standard Uncertainty (ABM2) (±%)
Probe Sensitivity							
Reference Level	3.0	N	1	1	1	3.0	3.0
AMCC Geometry	0.4	R	1.732	1	1	0.2	0.2
AMCC Current	1.0	R	1.732	1	1	0.6	0.6
Probe Positioning during Calibr.	0.1	R	1.732	1	1	0.1	0.1
Noise Contribution	0.7	R	1.732	0.014	1	0.0	0.4
Frequency Slope	5.9	R	1.732	0.1	1	0.3	3.4
Probe System							
Repeatability / Drift	1.0	R	1.732	1	1	0.6	0.6
Linearity / Dynamic Range	0.6	R	1.732	1	1	0.3	0.3
Acoustic Noise	1.0	R	1.732	0.1	1	0.1	0.6
Probe Angle	2.3	R	1.732	1	1	1.3	1.3
Spectral Processing	0.9	R	1.732	1	1	0.5	0.5
Integration Time	0.6	N	1	1	5	0.6	3.0
Field Distribution	0.2	R	1.732	1	1	0.1	0.1
Test Signal							
Ref. Signal Spectral Response	0.6	R	1.732	0	1	0.0	0.3
Positioning							
Probe Positioning	1.9	R	1.732	1	1	1.1	1.1
Phantom Thickness	0.9	R	1.732	1	1	0.5	0.5
DUT Positioning	1.9	R	1.732	1	1	1.1	1.1
External Contributions							
RF Interference	0.0	R	1.732	1	0.3	0.0	0.0
Test Signal Variation	2.0	R	1.732	1	1	1.2	1.2
Combined Std. Uncertainty						4.0%	6.1%
Coverage Factor for 95 %						K=2	K=2
Expanded STD Uncertainty						8.1%	12.2%

Table 8.2 Uncertainty Budget of audio band magnetic measurement

12. References

- [1] ANSI C63.19-2011, "American National Standard for Methods of Measurement of Compatibility between Wireless Communications Devices and Hearing Aids", 27 May 2011.
- [2] FCC KDB 285076 D01v05r01, "Equipment Authorization Guidance for Hearing Aid Compatibility", Apr 06, 2020
- [3] FCC KDB 285076 D02 v03r01, "Guidance for performing T-Coil tests for air interfaces supporting voice over IP (e.g., LTE and WiFi) to support CMRS based telephone services", Apr 20, 2021
- [4] FCC KDB 285076 D03v01r04, "Hearing aid compatibility frequently asked questions", Apr 20, 2021
- [5] SPEAG DASY System Handbook

-----THE END-----



Appendix A. Plots of T-Coil Measurement

The plots are shown as follows.

01_HAC T-Coil_LTE Band 2_20M_QPSK_1RB_0Offset_Ch18900_Z

Communication System: UID 0, LTE (0); Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: Air Medium parameters used: $\sigma = 0$ S/m, $\epsilon_r = 1$; $\rho = 0$ kg/m³

Ambient Temperature : 23.4 °C

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: AM1DV3 - 3106; ; Calibrated: 2021/11/23
- Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1210; Calibrated: 2021/8/25
- Phantom: HAC Test Arch with AMCC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (3); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.13 (7474)


Ch18900/z (axial) 4.2mm 50 x 50/ABM Interpolated SNR(x,y,z) (121x121x1):

Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm

ABM1/ABM2 = 40.51 dB

ABM1 comp = -4.81 dBA/m


Location: 2.5, -8.3, 3.7 mm



0 dB = 106.0 = 40.51 dB

Ch18900/z (axial) wideband at best S/N/ABM Freq Resp(x,y,z,f)

Loc: 2.7, -8.5, 3.7 mm Diff: 1.16dB



01_HAC T-Coil_LTE Band 2_20M_QPSK_1RB_0Offset_Ch18900_Y

Communication System: UID 0, LTE (0); Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: Air Medium parameters used: $\sigma = 0$ S/m, $\epsilon_r = 1$; $\rho = 0$ kg/m³

Ambient Temperature : 23.4 °C

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: AM1DV3 - 3106; ; Calibrated: 2021/11/23
- Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1210; Calibrated: 2021/8/25
- Phantom: HAC Test Arch with AMCC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (3); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.13 (7474)


Ch18900/y (transversal) 4.2mm 50 x 50/ABM Interpolated SNR(x,y,z) (121x121x1):

Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm

ABM1/ABM2 = 36.96 dB

ABM1 comp = -13.56 dBA/m

Location: 2.9, 0, 3.7 mm



0 dB = 70.46 = 36.96 dB

02_HAC T-Coil_LTE Band 4_20M_QPSK_1RB_0Offset_Ch20175_Z

Communication System: UID 0, LTE (0); Frequency: 1732.5 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: Air Medium parameters used: $\sigma = 0$ S/m, $\epsilon_r = 1$; $\rho = 0$ kg/m³

Ambient Temperature : 23.4 °C

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: AM1DV3 - 3106; ; Calibrated: 2021/11/23
- Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1210; Calibrated: 2021/8/25
- Phantom: HAC Test Arch with AMCC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (3); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.13 (7474)


Ch20175/z (axial) 4.2mm 50 x 50/ABM Interpolated SNR(x,y,z) (121x121x1):

Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm

ABM1/ABM2 = 40.30 dB

ABM1 comp = -3.75 dBA/m


Location: 3.3, -7.5, 3.7 mm



0 dB = 103.6 = 40.31 dB

Ch20175/z (axial) wideband at best S/N/ABM Freq Resp(x,y,z,f)

Loc: 3.3, -7.5, 3.7 mm Diff: 1.46dB



02_HAC T-Coil_LTE Band 4_20M_QPSK_1RB_0Offset_Ch20175_Y

Communication System: UID 0, LTE (0); Frequency: 1732.5 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: Air Medium parameters used: $\sigma = 0$ S/m, $\epsilon_r = 1$; $\rho = 0$ kg/m³

Ambient Temperature : 23.4 °C

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: AM1DV3 - 3106; ; Calibrated: 2021/11/23
- Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1210; Calibrated: 2021/8/25
- Phantom: HAC Test Arch with AMCC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (3); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.13 (7474)


Ch20175/y (transversal) 4.2mm 50 x 50/ABM Interpolated SNR(x,y,z) (121x121x1):

Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm

ABM1/ABM2 = 38.61 dB

ABM1 comp = -12.72 dBA/m

Location: 0.8, 0, 3.7 mm



0 dB = 85.24 = 38.61 dB

03_HAC T-Coil_LTE Band 5_10M_QPSK_1RB_0Offset_Ch20525_Z

Communication System: UID 0, LTE (0); Frequency: 834.5 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: Air Medium parameters used: $\sigma = 0$ S/m, $\epsilon_r = 1$; $\rho = 0$ kg/m³

Ambient Temperature : 23.4 °C

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: AM1DV3 - 3106; ; Calibrated: 2021/11/23
- Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1210; Calibrated: 2021/8/25
- Phantom: HAC Test Arch with AMCC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (3); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.13 (7474)


Ch20525/z (axial) 4.2mm 50 x 50/ABM Interpolated SNR(x,y,z) (121x121x1):

Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm

ABM1/ABM2 = 38.67 dB

ABM1 comp = -5.60 dBA/m


Location: 4.2, -11.3, 3.7 mm



0 dB = 85.78 = 38.67 dB

Ch20525/z (axial) wideband at best S/N/ABM Freq Resp(x,y,z,f)

Loc: 4, -11.2, 3.7 mm Diff: 1.34dB



03_HAC T-Coil_LTE Band 5_10M_QPSK_1RB_0Offset_Ch20525_Y

Communication System: UID 0, LTE (0); Frequency: 834.5 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: Air Medium parameters used: $\sigma = 0$ S/m, $\epsilon_r = 1$; $\rho = 0$ kg/m³

Ambient Temperature : 23.4 °C

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: AM1DV3 - 3106; ; Calibrated: 2021/11/23
- Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1210; Calibrated: 2021/8/25
- Phantom: HAC Test Arch with AMCC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (3); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.13 (7474)


Ch20525/y (transversal) 4.2mm 50 x 50/ABM Interpolated SNR(x,y,z) (121x121x1):

Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm

ABM1/ABM2 = 37.65 dB

ABM1 comp = -13.03 dBA/m

Location: 1.3, 0, 3.7 mm



0 dB = 76.25 = 37.64 dB

04_HAC T-Coil_LTE Band 12_10M_QPSK_1RB_0Offset_Ch23095_Z

Communication System: UID 0, LTE (0); Frequency: 707.5 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: Air Medium parameters used: $\sigma = 0$ S/m, $\epsilon_r = 1$; $\rho = 0$ kg/m³

Ambient Temperature : 23.4 °C

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: AM1DV3 - 3106; ; Calibrated: 2021/11/23
- Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1210; Calibrated: 2021/8/25
- Phantom: HAC Test Arch with AMCC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (3); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.13 (7474)


Ch23095/z (axial) 4.2mm 50 x 50/ABM Interpolated SNR(x,y,z) (121x121x1):

Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm

ABM1/ABM2 = 39.37 dB

ABM1 comp = -4.36 dBA/m


Location: 3.8, -8.3, 3.7 mm



0 dB = 93.06 = 39.38 dB

Ch23095/z (axial) wideband at best S/N/ABM Freq Resp(x,y,z,f)

Loc: 3.9, -8.5, 3.7 mm Diff: 1.44dB



04_HAC T-Coil_LTE Band 12_10M_QPSK_1RB_0Offset_Ch23095_Y

Communication System: UID 0, LTE (0); Frequency: 707.5 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: Air Medium parameters used: $\sigma = 0$ S/m, $\epsilon_r = 1$; $\rho = 0$ kg/m³

Ambient Temperature : 23.4 °C

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: AM1DV3 - 3106; ; Calibrated: 2021/11/23
- Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1210; Calibrated: 2021/8/25
- Phantom: HAC Test Arch with AMCC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (3); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.13 (7474)


Ch23095/y (transversal) 4.2mm 50 x 50/ABM Interpolated SNR(x,y,z) (121x121x1):

Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm

ABM1/ABM2 = 38.67 dB

ABM1 comp = -11.53 dBA/m

Location: 3.8, 0, 3.7 mm



0 dB = 85.77 = 38.67 dB

05_HAC T-Coil_LTE Band 14_10M_QPSK_1RB_0Offset_Ch23330_Z

Communication System: UID 0, LTE (0); Frequency: 793 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: Air Medium parameters used: $\sigma = 0$ S/m, $\epsilon_r = 1$; $\rho = 0$ kg/m³

Ambient Temperature : 23.4 °C

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: AM1DV3 - 3106; ; Calibrated: 2021/11/23
- Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1210; Calibrated: 2021/8/25
- Phantom: HAC Test Arch with AMCC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (3); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.13 (7474)


Ch23330/z (axial) 4.2mm 50 x 50/ABM Interpolated SNR(x,y,z) (121x121x1):

Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm

ABM1/ABM2 = 37.99 dB

ABM1 comp = -6.21 dBA/m


Location: 4.2, -11.3, 3.7 mm



0 dB = 79.38 = 37.99 dB

Ch23330/z (axial) wideband at best S/N/ABM Freq Resp(x,y,z,f)

Loc: 4.1, -11.1, 3.7 mm Diff: 1.42dB



05_HAC T-Coil_LTE Band 14_10M_QPSK_1RB_0Offset_Ch23330_Y

Communication System: UID 0, LTE (0); Frequency: 793 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: Air Medium parameters used: $\sigma = 0$ S/m, $\epsilon_r = 1$; $\rho = 0$ kg/m³

Ambient Temperature : 23.4 °C

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: AM1DV3 - 3106; ; Calibrated: 2021/11/23
- Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1210; Calibrated: 2021/8/25
- Phantom: HAC Test Arch with AMCC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (3); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.13 (7474)


Ch23330/y (transversal) 4.2mm 50 x 50/ABM Interpolated SNR(x,y,z) (121x121x1):

Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm

ABM1/ABM2 = 37.45 dB

ABM1 comp = -13.01 dBA/m

Location: 2.9, 0.4, 3.7 mm



0 dB = 74.60 = 37.45 dB

06_HAC T-Coil_LTE Band 30_10M_QPSK_1RB_0Offset_Ch27710_Z

Communication System: UID 0, LTE (0); Frequency: 2310 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: Air Medium parameters used: $\sigma = 0$ S/m, $\epsilon_r = 1$; $\rho = 0$ kg/m³

Ambient Temperature : 23.4 °C

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: AM1DV3 - 3106; ; Calibrated: 2021/11/23
- Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1210; Calibrated: 2021/8/25
- Phantom: HAC Test Arch with AMCC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (3); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.13 (7474)


Ch27710/z (axial) 4.2mm 50 x 50/ABM Interpolated SNR(x,y,z) (121x121x1):

Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm

ABM1/ABM2 = 41.26 dB

ABM1 comp = -2.57 dBA/m


Location: 4.2, -8.3, 3.7 mm



0 dB = 115.6 = 41.26 dB

Ch27710/z (axial) wideband at best S/N/ABM Freq Resp(x,y,z,f)

Loc: 4.1, -8.4, 3.7 mm Diff: 0.39dB



06_HAC T-Coil_LTE Band 30_10M_QPSK_1RB_0Offset_Ch27710_Y

Communication System: UID 0, LTE (0); Frequency: 2310 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: Air Medium parameters used: $\sigma = 0$ S/m, $\epsilon_r = 1$; $\rho = 0$ kg/m³

Ambient Temperature : 23.4 °C

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: AM1DV3 - 3106; ; Calibrated: 2021/11/23
- Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1210; Calibrated: 2021/8/25
- Phantom: HAC Test Arch with AMCC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (3); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.13 (7474)


Ch27710/y (transversal) 4.2mm 50 x 50/ABM Interpolated SNR(x,y,z) (121x121x1):

Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm

ABM1/ABM2 = 36.84 dB

ABM1 comp = -12.65 dBA/m

Location: 2.9, 0.4, 3.7 mm



0 dB = 69.53 = 36.84 dB

07_HAC_T-Coil_WLAN2.4GHz_802.11b 1Mbps_Ch6_Axial (Z)

Communication System: 802.11b; Frequency: 2437 MHz

Medium: Air Medium parameters used: $\sigma = 0$ S/m, $\epsilon_r = 1$; $\rho = 0$ kg/m³

Ambient Temperature : 23.5 °C

DASY5 Configuration

- Probe: AM1DV3 - 3130; ; Calibrated: 2021/8/26
- Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1311; Calibrated: 2021/8/20
- Phantom: HAC Test Arch with AMCC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (4); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.14 (7483)


General Scans/z (axial) 4.2mm 50 x 50/ABM Interpolated SNR(x,y,z) (121x121x1):

Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm

ABM1/ABM2 = 37.02 dB


ABM1 comp = -4.80 dBA/m

Location: 1.9, -9.6, 3.7 mm



General Scans/z (axial) wideband at best S/N/ABM Freq Resp(x,y,z,f)

Loc: 1.7, -9.5, 3.7 mm Diff: 1.04dB



07_HAC_T-Coil_WLAN2.4GHz_802.11b 1Mbps_Ch6_Transversal (Y)

Communication System: 802.11b; Frequency: 2437 MHz

Medium: Air Medium parameters used: $\sigma = 0$ S/m, $\epsilon_r = 1$; $\rho = 0$ kg/m³

Ambient Temperature : 23.5 °C

DASY5 Configuration

- Probe: AM1DV3 - 3130; ; Calibrated: 2021/8/26
- Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1311; Calibrated: 2021/8/20
- Phantom: HAC Test Arch with AMCC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (4); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.14 (7483)

General Scans/y (transversal) 4.2mm 50 x 50/ABM Interpolated SNR(x,y,z) (121x121x1):

Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm

ABM1/ABM2 = 32.62 dB

ABM1 comp = -7.44 dBA/m

Location: 3.3, -18, 3.7 mm



08_HAC_T-Coil_WLAN5GHz_802.11a 6Mbps_Ch40_Axial (Z)

Communication System: 802.11a; Frequency: 5200 MHz

Medium: Air Medium parameters used: $\sigma = 0$ S/m, $\epsilon_r = 1$; $\rho = 0$ kg/m³

Ambient Temperature : 23.5 °C

DASY5 Configuration

- Probe: AM1DV3 - 3130; ; Calibrated: 2021/8/26
- Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1311; Calibrated: 2021/8/20
- Phantom: HAC Test Arch with AMCC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (4); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.14 (7483)


General Scans/z (axial) 4.2mm 50 x 50/ABM Interpolated SNR(x,y,z) (121x121x1):

Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm

ABM1/ABM2 = 35.98 dB


ABM1 comp = -4.45 dBA/m

Location: 2.6, -9.6, 3.7 mm



General Scans/z (axial) wideband at best S/N/ABM Freq Resp(x,y,z,f)

Loc: 2.5, -9.4, 3.7 mm Diff: 1.13dB



08_HAC_T-Coil_WLAN5GHz_802.11a 6Mbps_Ch40_Transversal (Y)

Communication System: 802.11a; Frequency: 5200 MHz

Medium: Air Medium parameters used: $\sigma = 0$ S/m, $\epsilon_r = 1$; $\rho = 0$ kg/m³

Ambient Temperature : 23.5 °C

DASY5 Configuration

- Probe: AM1DV3 - 3130; ; Calibrated: 2021/8/26
- Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1311; Calibrated: 2021/8/20
- Phantom: HAC Test Arch with AMCC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (4); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.14 (7483)

General Scans/y (transversal) 4.2mm 50 x 50/ABM Interpolated SNR(x,y,z) (121x121x1):

Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm

ABM1/ABM2 = 33.00 dB

ABM1 comp = -12.75 dBA/m

Location: -1.6, -18, 3.7 mm



09_HAC_T-Coil_WLAN5GHz_802.11a 6Mbps_Ch60_Axial (Z)

Communication System: 802.11a; Frequency: 5300 MHz

Medium: Air Medium parameters used: $\sigma = 0$ S/m, $\epsilon_r = 1$; $\rho = 0$ kg/m³

Ambient Temperature : 23.5 °C

DASY5 Configuration

- Probe: AM1DV3 - 3130; ; Calibrated: 2021/8/26
- Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1311; Calibrated: 2021/8/20
- Phantom: HAC Test Arch with AMCC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (4); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.14 (7483)


General Scans/z (axial) 4.2mm 50 x 50/ABM Interpolated SNR(x,y,z) (121x121x1):

Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm

ABM1/ABM2 = 37.36 dB

ABM1 comp = -7.59 dBA/m


Location: -0.2, -10.3, 3.7 mm



0 dB = 73.83 = 37.36 dB

General Scans/z (axial) wideband at best S/N/ABM Freq Resp(x,y,z,f)

Loc: -0.1, -10.4, 3.7 mm Diff: 1.57dB



09_HAC_T-Coil_WLAN5GHz_802.11a 6Mbps_Ch60_Transversal (Y)

Communication System: 802.11a; Frequency: 5300 MHz

Medium: Air Medium parameters used: $\sigma = 0$ S/m, $\epsilon_r = 1$; $\rho = 0$ kg/m³

Ambient Temperature : 23.5 °C

DASY5 Configuration

- Probe: AM1DV3 - 3130; ; Calibrated: 2021/8/26
- Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1311; Calibrated: 2021/8/20
- Phantom: HAC Test Arch with AMCC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (4); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.14 (7483)


General Scans/y (transversal) 4.2mm 50 x 50/ABM Interpolated SNR(x,y,z) (121x121x1):

Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm

ABM1/ABM2 = 33.99 dB

ABM1 comp = -12.25 dBA/m

Location: -2.3, -18, 3.7 mm



10_HAC_T-Coil_WLAN5GHz_802.11a 6Mbps_Ch116_Axial (Z)

Communication System: 802.11a; Frequency: 5580 MHz

Medium: Air Medium parameters used: $\sigma = 0$ S/m, $\epsilon_r = 1$; $\rho = 0$ kg/m³

Ambient Temperature : 23.5 °C

DASY5 Configuration

- Probe: AM1DV3 - 3130; ; Calibrated: 2021/8/26
- Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1311; Calibrated: 2021/8/20
- Phantom: HAC Test Arch with AMCC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (4); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.14 (7483)


General Scans/z (axial) 4.2mm 50 x 50/ABM Interpolated SNR(x,y,z) (121x121x1):

Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm

ABM1/ABM2 = 36.48 dB

ABM1 comp = -2.57 dBA/m


Location: 4, -10.3, 3.7 mm



0 dB = 66.69 = 36.48 dB

General Scans/z (axial) wideband at best S/N/ABM Freq Resp(x,y,z,f)

Loc: 4.1, -10.1, 3.7 mm Diff: 1.92dB



10_HAC_T-Coil_WLAN5GHz_802.11a 6Mbps_Ch116_Transversal (Y)

Communication System: 802.11a; Frequency: 5580 MHz

Medium: Air Medium parameters used: $\sigma = 0$ S/m, $\epsilon_r = 1$; $\rho = 0$ kg/m³

Ambient Temperature : 23.5 °C

DASY5 Configuration

- Probe: AM1DV3 - 3130; ; Calibrated: 2021/8/26
- Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1311; Calibrated: 2021/8/20
- Phantom: HAC Test Arch with AMCC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (4); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.14 (7483)


General Scans/y (transversal) 4.2mm 50 x 50/ABM Interpolated SNR(x,y,z) (121x121x1):

Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm

ABM1/ABM2 = 37.85 dB

ABM1 comp = -7.58 dBA/m

Location: 4, -18, 3.7 mm



11_HAC_T-Coil_WLAN5GHz_802.11a 6Mbps_Ch157_Axial (Z)

Communication System: 802.11a; Frequency: 5785 MHz

Medium: Air Medium parameters used: $\sigma = 0$ S/m, $\epsilon_r = 1$; $\rho = 0$ kg/m³

Ambient Temperature : 23.5 °C

DASY5 Configuration

- Probe: AM1DV3 - 3130; ; Calibrated: 2021/8/26
- Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1311; Calibrated: 2021/8/20
- Phantom: HAC Test Arch with AMCC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (4); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.14 (7483)


General Scans/z (axial) 4.2mm 50 x 50/ABM Interpolated SNR(x,y,z) (121x121x1):

Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm

ABM1/ABM2 = 40.35 dB


ABM1 comp = -11.52 dBA/m

Location: -2.3, -11, 3.7 mm



General Scans/z (axial) wideband at best S/N/ABM Freq Resp(x,y,z,f)

Loc: -2.2, -10.8, 3.7 mm Diff: 0.66dB



11_HAC_T-Coil_WLAN5GHz_802.11a 6Mbps_Ch157_Transversal (Y)

Communication System: 802.11a; Frequency: 5785 MHz

Medium: Air Medium parameters used: $\sigma = 0$ S/m, $\epsilon_r = 1$; $\rho = 0$ kg/m³

Ambient Temperature : 23.5 °C

DASY5 Configuration

- Probe: AM1DV3 - 3130; ; Calibrated: 2021/8/26
- Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1311; Calibrated: 2021/8/20
- Phantom: HAC Test Arch with AMCC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (4); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.14 (7483)


General Scans/y (transversal) 4.2mm 50 x 50/ABM Interpolated SNR(x,y,z) (121x121x1):

Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm

ABM1/ABM2 = 36.58 dB

ABM1 comp = -9.20 dBA/m

Location: 4, -14.5, 3.7 mm



12_HAC_T-Coil_LTE Band 30_10M_QPSK_1_0_Ch27710_Axial (Z)

Communication System: LTE; Frequency: 2310 MHz

Medium: Air Medium parameters used: $\sigma = 0$ S/m, $\epsilon_r = 1$; $\rho = 0$ kg/m³

Ambient Temperature : 23.5 °C

DASY5 Configuration

- Probe: AM1DV3 - 3130; ; Calibrated: 2021/8/26
- Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1311; Calibrated: 2021/8/20
- Phantom: HAC Test Arch with AMCC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (4); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.14 (7483)


General Scans/z (axial) 4.2mm 50 x 50/ABM Interpolated SNR(x,y,z) (121x121x1):

Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm

ABM1/ABM2 = 34.24 dB


ABM1 comp = -7.11 dBA/m

Location: 1.2, -4.7, 3.7 mm



General Scans/z (axial) wideband at best S/N/ABM Freq Resp(x,y,z,f)

Loc: 1.3, -4.3, 3.7 mm Diff: 1.44dB



12_HAC_T-Coil_LTE Band 30_10M_QPSK_1_0_Ch27710_Transversal (Y)

Communication System: LTE; Frequency: 2310 MHz

Medium: Air Medium parameters used: $\sigma = 0$ S/m, $\epsilon_r = 1$; $\rho = 0$ kg/m³

Ambient Temperature : 23.5 °C

DASY5 Configuration

- Probe: AM1DV3 - 3130; ; Calibrated: 2021/8/26
- Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1311; Calibrated: 2021/8/20
- Phantom: HAC Test Arch with AMCC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (4); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.14 (7483)

General Scans/y (transversal) 4.2mm 50 x 50/ABM Interpolated SNR(x,y,z) (121x121x1):

Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm

ABM1/ABM2 = 31.97 dB

ABM1 comp = -8.96 dBA/m

Location: 3.3, -17.3, 3.7 mm



13_HAC_T-Coil_WLAN2.4GHz_802.11b 1Mbps_Ch6_Axial (Z)

Communication System: 802.11b; Frequency: 2437 MHz

Medium: Air Medium parameters used: $\sigma = 0$ S/m, $\epsilon_r = 1$; $\rho = 0$ kg/m³

Ambient Temperature : 23.5 °C

DASY5 Configuration

- Probe: AM1DV3 - 3130; ; Calibrated: 2021/8/26
- Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1311; Calibrated: 2021/8/20
- Phantom: HAC Test Arch with AMCC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (4); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.14 (7483)

General Scans/z (axial) 4.2mm 50 x 50/ABM Interpolated SNR(x,y,z) (121x121x1): Interpolated

grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm

ABM1/ABM2 = 34.77 dB


ABM1 comp = -9.12 dBA/m

Location: -0.9, -7.5, 3.7 mm



General Scans/z (axial) wideband at best S/N/ABM Freq Resp(x,y,z,f)

Loc: -1.2, -7.1, 3.7 mm Diff: 1.56dB



13_HAC_T-Coil_WLAN2.4GHz_802.11b 1Mbps_Ch6_Transversal (Y)

Communication System: 802.11b; Frequency: 2437 MHz

Medium: Air Medium parameters used: $\sigma = 0$ S/m, $\epsilon_r = 1$; $\rho = 0$ kg/m³

Ambient Temperature : 23.5 °C

DASY5 Configuration

- Probe: AM1DV3 - 3130; ; Calibrated: 2021/8/26
- Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1311; Calibrated: 2021/8/20
- Phantom: HAC Test Arch with AMCC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (4); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.14 (7483)

General Scans/y (transversal) 4.2mm 50 x 50/ABM Interpolated SNR(x,y,z) (121x121x1):

Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm

ABM1/ABM2 = 33.42 dB

ABM1 comp = -9.31 dBA/m

Location: 3.3, -18, 3.7 mm



14_HAC_T-Coil_WLAN5GHz_802.11a 6Mbps_Ch40_Axial (Z)

Communication System: 802.11b; Frequency: 2437 MHz

Medium: Air Medium parameters used: $\sigma = 0$ S/m, $\epsilon_r = 1$; $\rho = 0$ kg/m³

Ambient Temperature : 23.5 °C

DASY5 Configuration

- Probe: AM1DV3 - 3130; ; Calibrated: 2021/8/26
- Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1311; Calibrated: 2021/8/20
- Phantom: HAC Test Arch with AMCC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (4); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.14 (7483)


General Scans/z (axial) 4.2mm 50 x 50/ABM Interpolated SNR(x,y,z) (121x121x1):

Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm

ABM1/ABM2 = 34.81 dB

ABM1 comp = -10.39 dBA/m


Location: -1.6, -9.6, 3.7 mm



0 dB = 55.04 = 34.81 dB

General Scans/z (axial) wideband at best S/N/ABM Freq Resp(x,y,z,f)

Loc: -1.5, -9.7, 3.7 mm Diff: 0.14dB



14_HAC_T-Coil_WLAN5GHz_802.11a 6Mbps_Ch40_Transversal (Y)

Communication System: 802.11b; Frequency: 2437 MHz

Medium: Air Medium parameters used: $\sigma = 0$ S/m, $\epsilon_r = 1$; $\rho = 0$ kg/m³

Ambient Temperature : 23.5 °C

DASY5 Configuration

- Probe: AM1DV3 - 3130; ; Calibrated: 2021/8/26
- Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1311; Calibrated: 2021/8/20
- Phantom: HAC Test Arch with AMCC; Type: SD HAC P01 BA;
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (4); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.14 (7483)

General Scans/y (transversal) 4.2mm 50 x 50/ABM Interpolated SNR(x,y,z) (121x121x1): Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm

ABM1/ABM2 = 31.17 dB

ABM1 comp = -13.42 dBA/m

BWC Factor = 0.16 dB

Location: -2.3, -18, 3.7 mm





Appendix B. Calibration Data

The DASy calibration certificates are shown as follows.