



WatchGuard Video

MIC-WRL-TRN-420

SAR Evaluation Report: WTVD0035.4, Issue Date: June 2, 2020

Evaluated to the following SAR specification:

FCC 2.1093:2020



NVLAP LAB CODE: 200881-0



This report must not be used to claim product certification, approval, or endorsement by NVLAP, NIST, or any agency of the U.S. Government. This Report shall not be reproduced, except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

CERTIFICATE OF TEST



Last Date of Test: May 29, 2020
WatchGuard Video
EUT: MIC-WRL-TRN-420

Applicable Standard

Test Description	Specification	Test Method	Pass/Fail
SAR Evaluation	FCC 2.1093:2020	FCC KDB 865664 D01 v01r04 FCC KDB 865664 D02 v01r02 FCC KDB 447498 D01 v06 IEEE Std 1528:2013	Pass

Highest SAR Values:

Frequency Bands (MHz)	Body (W/kg) 1g	Limit (W/kg)	Exposure Environment
		1g	
902-928	0.135	1.6	General Population

Deviations From Test Standards

None

Approved By:

Don Facteau, Systems Architect

REVISION HISTORY



Revision Number	Description	Date (yyyy-mm-dd)	Page Number
00	None		

ACCREDITATIONS AND AUTHORIZATIONS



United States

FCC - Designated by the FCC as a Telecommunications Certification Body (TCB). Certification chambers, Open Area Test Sites, and conducted measurement facilities are listed with the FCC.

A2LA - Accredited by A2LA to ISO / IEC 17065 as a product certifier. This allows Element to certify transmitters to FCC and IC specifications.

NVLAP - Each laboratory is accredited by NVLAP to ISO 17025

Canada

ISED - Recognized by Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada as a Certification Body (CB) and as a CAB for the acceptance of test data.

European Union

European Commission – Within Element, we have a EU Notified Body validated for the EMCD and RED Directives.

Australia/New Zealand

ACMA - Recognized by ACMA as a CAB for the acceptance of test data.

Korea

MSIT / RRA - Recognized by KCC's RRA as a CAB for the acceptance of test data.

Japan

VCCI - Associate Member of the VCCI. Conducted and radiated measurement facilities are registered.

Taiwan

BSMI – Recognized by BSMI as a CAB for the acceptance of test data.

NCC - Recognized by NCC as a CAB for the acceptance of test data.

Singapore

IDA – Recognized by IDA as a CAB for the acceptance of test data.

Israel

MOC – Recognized by MOC as a CAB for the acceptance of test data.

Hong Kong

OFCA – Recognized by OFCA as a CAB for the acceptance of test data.

Vietnam

MIC – Recognized by MIC as a CAB for the acceptance of test data.

SCOPE



For details on the Scopes of our Accreditations, please visit:

<https://www.nwemc.com/emc-testing-accreditations>

FACILITIES



California Labs OC01-17 41 Tesla Irvine, CA 92618 (949) 861-8918	Minnesota Labs MN01-10 9349 W Broadway Ave. Brooklyn Park, MN 55445 (612)-638-5136	Oregon Labs EV01-12 6775 NE Evergreen Pkwy #400 Hillsboro, OR 97124 (503) 844-4066	Texas Labs TX01-09 3801 E Plano Pkwy Plano, TX 75074 (469) 304-5255	Washington Labs NC01-05 19201 120 th Ave NE Bothell, WA 98011 (425)984-6600
NVLAP				
NVLAP Lab Code: 200676-0	NVLAP Lab Code: 200881-0	NVLAP Lab Code: 200630-0	NVLAP Lab Code:201049-0	NVLAP Lab Code: 200629-0
Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada				
2834B-1, 2834B-3	2834E-1, 2834E-3	2834D-1	2834G-1	2834F-1
BSMI				
SL2-IN-E-1154R	SL2-IN-E-1152R	SL2-IN-E-1017	SL2-IN-E-1158R	SL2-IN-E-1153R
VCCI				
A-0029	A-0109	A-0108	A-0201	A-0110
Recognized Phase I CAB for ISED, ACMA, BSMI, IDA, KCC/RRR, MIC, MOC, NCC, OFCA				
US0158	US0175	US0017	US0191	US0157



PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

Client and Equipment Under Test (EUT) Information

Company Name:	WatchGuard Video
Address:	415 East Exchange Pkwy
City, State, Zip:	Allen, TX 75002
Test Requested By:	Navaid Karimi
Model:	MIC-WRL-TRN-420
First Date of Test:	May 29, 2020
Last Date of Test:	May 29, 2020
Receipt Date of Samples:	May 28, 2020
Equipment Design Stage:	Production
Equipment Condition:	No Damage
Purchase Authorization:	Verified

Information Provided by the Party Requesting the Test

Functional Description of the EUT:

The EUT is the MIC-WRL-TRN-420 "Transmitter" component. It communicates with the MIC-WRL-CHG-410 "Base" component. These two components operate as a pair and comprise the operational wireless microphone system.

FCC ID: YJV-TRN420

The device contains the following radios:
ISM Radio: 902-928 MHz

Location of transmit antenna(s):





PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

Testing Locations:

For clarity, the sides of the EUT are referred to as front side, back side, LCD screen side, Mic port side, mode button side, and power button side.

Per the manufacturer, the EUT can have two different clip accessories. Both of these clip accessories contain metal springs. The manufacturer specifies that the EUT should be used with a clip accessory, however the EUT is capable of transmitting without a clip accessory. Per the manufacturer, the EUT can also optionally use a microphone accessory.

When no clip accessory is used, the EUT can have any orientation exposed to the human body. These orientations are tested with and without the microphone. Per the manufacturer, when a clip accessory is used, the EUT would be clipped to the human body and only the back of the EUT would be exposed to the human body. The back of the EUT was tested with both clip accessories both with and without a microphone accessory.

There was no additional spacing added between the EUT and the phantom.

Testing Objective:

To demonstrate compliance of the 902-928 MHz ISM radio with the SAR requirements of FCC 2.1093:2020.

Scaling:

Max power

Per FCC KDB 447498, the measured SAR values were scaled to the maximum tune-up tolerance limit. The results are referred to as the "Reported SAR" values. The following formula was used to calculate the linear SAR scaling factor:

$$\text{SAR scaling factor} = ((\text{Tune-up Tolerance Power (mW)}) / (\text{Measured Power (mW)}))$$

A summary of scaling factors is as follows:

Band	Tune-up Tolerance Power	Measured Power	SAR Scaling Factor
902-928 MHz	50.4 mW	51.1 mW	0.99

Duty Cycle

The EUT was operating with 100% duty cycle. No scaling based on duty cycle was applied.

Simultaneous Transmissions:

The EUT is not capable of simultaneous transmission.

CONFIGURATIONS

Configuration WTVD0035- 3

EUT			
Description	Manufacturer	Model/Part Number	Serial Number
Wireless Microphone Transceiver	WatchGuard Video	MIC-WRL-TRN-420	LRT1-092143

Configuration WTVD0035- 4

EUT			
Description	Manufacturer	Model/Part Number	Serial Number
Wireless Microphone Transceiver	WatchGuard Video	MIC-WRL-TRN-420	7C

Peripherals in test setup boundary			
Description	Manufacturer	Model/Part Number	Serial Number
Microphone	WatchGuard Video	None	None

Cables					
Cable Type	Shield	Length (m)	Ferrite	Connection 1	Connection 2
Microphone Cable	Unknown	1.5m	No	Microphone	Microphone transmitter

MODIFICATIONS



Equipment Modifications

Item	Date	Test	Modification	Note	Disposition of EUT
1	2020-05-29	Output Power	Tested as delivered to Test Station.	No EMI suppression devices were added or modified during this test.	EUT remained at Element following the test.
2	2020-05-29	SAR Evaluation	Tested as delivered to Test Station.	No EMI suppression devices were added or modified during this test.	Scheduled testing was completed.

TISSUE – EQUIVALENT LIQUID DESCRIPTION



Characterization of tissue-equivalent liquid dielectric properties

The measured values must be within $\pm 10\%$ of the target values provided SAR error compensation algorithms documented in IEEE Std 1528-2013 section E.3.2.2 are implemented for upward correction purposes only. The temperature variation in the liquid during SAR measurements must be within $\pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ of that recorded when the dielectric properties were measured.

The dielectric parameters of the tissue-equivalent liquids were measured using the SPEAG DAKS:200 dielectric assessment kit. The dielectric measurements were made across the frequency range of the liquid. The attached data sheets show that the dielectric parameters of the liquid were within the required tolerances.

Target values of dielectric parameters

Per KDB 865664 D01 v01r04, Appendix A:

The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by IEEE Std 1528-2013 have been incorporated in the following table. These head parameters are derived from planar layer models simulating the highest expected SAR for the dielectric properties and tissue thickness variations in a human head. Other head and body tissue parameters that have not been specified in IEEE Std 1528 are derived from tissue dielectric parameters computed from the 4-Cole-Cole equations described above and extrapolated according to the head parameters specified in IEEE Std 1528.”

Linear interpolation is used for determining target dielectric parameters for values between those listed.

Target Frequency	Head		Body	
(MHz)	ϵ_r	σ (S/m)	ϵ_r	σ (S/m)
150	52.3	0.76	61.9	0.80
300	45.3	0.87	58.2	0.92
450	43.5	0.87	56.7	0.94
835	41.5	0.90	55.2	0.97
900	41.5	0.97	55.0	1.05
915	41.5	0.98	55.0	1.06
1450	40.5	1.20	54.0	1.30
1610	40.3	1.29	53.8	1.40
1800 – 2000	40.0	1.40	53.3	1.52
2450	39.2	1.80	52.7	1.95
3000	38.5	2.40	52.0	2.73
5800	35.3	5.27	48.2	6.00

(ϵ_r = relative permittivity, σ = conductivity and $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$)

TISSUE – EQUIVALENT LIQUID DESCRIPTION



Composition of Ingredients for Liquid Tissue Phantoms

Element uses broadband tissue equivalent liquids prepared by SPEAG and confirmed by Element to be within +/- 10% of target values. SAR error compensation algorithms documented in IEEE Std 1528-2013 are implemented for upward correction purposes only.

By percent weight, the approximate compositions of the broadband tissue are listed below. The composition of ingredients may be modified accordingly to achieve the desired target tissue parameters required for routine SAR evaluation:

Material	Percent Weight
Ethanol	1.0 - 4.9%
Sodium Petroleum Sulfonate	<2.9%
Hexylene Glycol	<2.9%
Alkoxylated Alcohol	<2.0%
Mineral Oils	<20%
Deionized Water	Fill to volume

The exact liquid recipes are proprietary to the tissue equivalent liquid manufacturer.

SAR Correction Formula for Deviation from Target Dielectric Values

A correction formula is automatically applied by the measurement software to SAR data to account for the deviation from the target dielectric values. The correction formula only scales measured values upward. The SAR system manufacturer has been contacted and has verified Element's implementation and understanding of the SAR correction formula. The correction is calculated following IEEE Std 1528-2013 Annex E.3. Where SAR correction is considered, there will be a note stating "SAR corrected for target medium." The equation is as follows:

$$\Delta SAR = c_{\epsilon} \Delta \epsilon_r + c_{\sigma} \Delta \sigma$$

Where the values for, $\Delta \epsilon_r$ and $\Delta \sigma$ and are the percent the permittivity and conductivity respectively are away from ideal values and where ΔSAR is the percent the measured SAR value is corrected.

When 1 g peak spatial-average SAR measurements are taken:

$$c_{\epsilon} = -7.854 \times 10^{-4} f^3 + 9.402 \times 10^{-3} f^2 - 2.742 \times 10^{-2} f - 0.2026$$

$$c_{\sigma} = 9.804 \times 10^{-3} f^3 - 8.661 \times 10^{-2} f^2 + 2.981 \times 10^{-2} f + 0.7829$$

Where f is the frequency in GHz.

When 10 g peak spatial-average SAR measurements are taken:

$$c_{\epsilon} = 3.456 \times 10^{-3} f^3 - 3.531 \times 10^{-2} f^2 + 7.675 \times 10^{-2} f - 0.1860$$

$$c_{\sigma} = 4.479 \times 10^{-3} f^3 - 1.586 \times 10^{-2} f^2 - 0.1972 f + 0.7717$$

Where f is the frequency in GHz.

TISSUE – EQUIVALENT LIQUID



Date:	05/29/2020	Temperature:	24.5°C
Tissue:	Body, MBBL600-6000V6, 900MHz	Liquid Temperature:	20.7°C
Tested By:	Kyle McMullan	Relative Humidity:	46.3%
Job Site:	MN11	Bar. Pressure:	1020.4 mb

TEST SPECIFICATIONS

Specification:	Method:
FCC 2.1093:2020	FCC KDB 447498 D01 v06 FCC KDB 865664 D01 v01r04 FCC KDB 865664 D02 v01r02 IEEE Std 1528:2013

RESULTS

	Actual Values		Target Values		Deviation (%)	
Frequency (MHz)	Relative Permittivity	Conductivity	Relative Permittivity	Conductivity	Relative Permittivity	Conductivity
900	54.75	1.03	55.0	1.05	-0.45	-1.52

Freq (MHz)	Relative Perm.	Cond. (S/m)
400	55.84	0.86
450	55.58	0.87
500	55.35	0.88
550	55.48	0.90
600	55.37	0.92
650	55.28	0.93
700	55.28	0.95
750	55.16	0.97
800	54.96	0.99
850	54.82	1.01
900	54.75	1.03
950	54.64	1.06
1000	54.55	1.08
1050	54.50	1.11
1100	54.42	1.13
1150	54.42	1.16
1200	54.30	1.18
1250	54.14	1.21
1300	54.06	1.24
1350	53.75	1.27
1400	53.65	1.30
1450	53.65	1.34
1500	53.64	1.36
1550	53.58	1.40
1600	53.51	1.43
1650	53.39	1.46
1700	53.20	1.49
1750	53.09	1.53
1800	52.97	1.57
1850	52.90	1.61
1900	52.94	1.66
1950	52.94	1.69
2000	52.92	1.73
2050	52.87	1.77
2100	52.80	1.80
2150	52.68	1.84
2200	52.59	1.89
2250	52.48	1.93

Freq (MHz)	Relative Perm.	Cond. (S/m)
2300	52.45	1.98
2350	52.41	2.04
2400	52.37	2.09
2450	52.29	2.13
2500	52.21	2.18
2550	52.12	2.20
2600	51.96	2.25
2650	51.79	2.30
2700	51.87	2.38
2750	51.76	2.44
2800	51.72	2.50
2850	51.66	2.55
2900	51.58	2.61
2950	51.53	2.65
3000	51.48	2.70
3050	51.30	2.75
3100	51.25	2.80
3150	51.12	2.86
3200	50.94	2.92
3250	50.89	2.97
3300	50.85	3.03
3350	50.75	3.09
3400	50.66	3.17
3450	50.59	3.23
3500	50.48	3.30
3550	50.49	3.35
3600	50.45	3.40
3650	50.35	3.45
3700	50.26	3.51
3750	50.16	3.57
3800	50.07	3.64
3850	49.92	3.72
3900	49.82	3.79
3950	49.75	3.87
4000	49.67	3.94
4050	49.68	4.02
4100	49.62	4.07
4150	49.57	4.13

Freq (MHz)	Relative Perm.	Cond. (S/m)
4200	49.44	4.19
4250	49.33	4.25
4300	49.22	4.34
4350	49.08	4.43
4400	49.03	4.54
4450	48.84	4.62
4500	48.73	4.70
4550	48.61	4.77
4600	48.50	4.86
4650	48.33	4.95
4700	48.23	5.03
4750	48.07	5.10
4800	47.98	5.17
4850	47.08	5.09
4900	46.43	5.17
4950	46.53	5.28
5000	46.36	5.51
5050	46.77	5.55
5100	46.85	5.59
5150	46.77	5.63
5200	46.33	5.72
5250	45.70	5.80
5300	45.92	5.93
5350	45.93	5.95
5400	45.44	6.12
5450	45.70	6.32
5500	45.76	6.27
5550	45.93	6.28
5600	45.60	6.29
5650	45.31	6.33
5700	45.00	6.53
5750	44.41	6.56
5800	44.40	6.62
5850	43.53	6.81
5900	44.01	6.61
5950	43.92	6.90
6000	44.19	7.00

SAR SYSTEM VERIFICATION DESCRIPTION

REQUIREMENT

Per IEEE 1528, Section 8.2.1, “System checks are performed prior to compliance tests and the results must always be within $\pm 10\%$ of the target value corresponding to the test frequency, liquid, and the source used. The target values are 1 g or 10 g averaged SAR values measured on systems having current system validation and calibration status, and using the system check setup as shown in Figure 14. These target values should be determined using a standard source.”

TEST DESCRIPTION


Within 24 hours of a measurement, then every 72 hours thereafter, Element used the system validation kit (calibrated reference dipole) to test whether the system was operating within its specifications. The validation was performed in the indicated bands by making SAR measurements of the reference dipole with the phantom filled with the tissue-equivalent liquid. First, a signal generator and power amplifier were used to produce a 100mW level as measured with a power meter at the antenna terminals of the dipole (X). Then, the reference dipole was positioned below the bottom of the phantom and centered with its axis parallel to the longest side of the phantom. A low loss and low relative permittivity spacer was used to establish the correct distance between the center axis of the reference dipole and the liquid.

For the reference dipoles, the spacing distance s is given by:

$s = 15\text{mm}$, $\pm 0.2\text{mm}$ for $300\text{MHz} \leq f \leq 1000\text{ MHz}$:

$s = 10\text{mm}$, $\pm 0.2\text{mm}$ for $1000\text{MHz} \leq f \leq 6000\text{MHz}$

The measured 1 g and 10 g spatial average SAR values were normalized to a 1W dipole input power for comparison to the calibration data. The results are summarized in the attached table. The deviation is less than 10% in all cases, indicating that the system performance check was within tolerance.



SAR SYSTEM VERIFICATION



TEST SPECIFICATIONS

Specification:	Method:
FCC 2.1093:2020	FCC KDB 447498 D01 v06 FCC KDB 865664 D01 v01r04 FCC KDB 865664 D02 v01r02 IEEE Std 1528:2013

RESULTS

Date	Liquid part number and frequency	Conducted Power into the Dipole (dBm)	Correction Factor	Measured		Normalized to 1W		Target (Normalized to 1W) Get from Dipole Calibration Certificate		% Difference	
				1g	10g	1g	10g	1g	10g	1g	10g
05/29/20	MBBL600-6000V6	20.00	10.00	1.11	0.714	11.1	7.14	11.1	7.20	0.00	-0.83

SAR SYSTEM VERIFICATION



Tested By:	Kyle McMullan	Room Temperature (°C):	24.5°C
Date:	5/29/2020 9:18:51 AM	Liquid Temperature (°C):	20.7°C
		Humidity (%RH):	46.2%
		Bar. Pressure (mb):	1020.4 mb

900MHz System Check Rev2

DUT: Dipole 900 MHz D900V2; Type: D900V2; Serial: D900V2 - SN:xxx

Communication System: UID 0, CW (0); Communication System Band: D900 (900.0 MHz); Frequency: 900 MHz; Communication System PAR: 0 dB; PMF: 1

Medium parameters used: $f = 900 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.034 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 54.754$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$, Medium parameters used: $\sigma = 0 \text{ S/m}$, $\epsilon_r = 1$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASYS5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2007)

DASY Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3746; ConvF(8.96, 8.96, 8.96) @ 900 MHz; Calibrated: 11/19/2019
 - Modulation Compensation:
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection), Sensor-Surface: 0mm (Fix Surface), $z = 31.0, 101.0$
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1237; Calibrated: 2/4/2020
- Phantom: ELI V6.0 (SAC); Type: QD OVA 003 AA; Serial: 2044
- DASY52 52.10.2(1504); SEMCAD X 14.6.12(7470)

System Check/System Check/Zoom Scan (7x7x7) (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8\text{mm}$, $dy=8\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 39.26 V/m; Power Drift = 0.13 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.72 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 1.11 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.714 W/kg (SAR corrected for target medium)

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.49 W/kg

System Check/System Check/Area Scan (81x181x1): Interpolated grid: $dx=1.000 \text{ mm}$, $dy=1.000 \text{ mm}$

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.56 W/kg

System Check/System Check/Z Scan (1x1x21): Measurement grid: $dx=20\text{mm}$, $dy=20\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Maximum value of Total (measured) = 30.31 V/m


System Check/System Check/Z Scan (1x1x21): Measurement grid: $dx=20\text{mm}$, $dy=20\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.950 W/kg


Approved By

SAR SYSTEM VERIFICATION

900MHz System Check Rev2



SAR SYSTEM VERIFICATION



OUTPUT POWER

Date:	05/29/2020	Temperature:	24.5°C
Serial Number:	LRT1-092143	Relative Humidity:	46.3%
Tested By:	Kyle McMullan	Bar. Pressure:	1020.4 mb
Job Site:	MN11	Configuration:	WTVDD0035-3

TEST SPECIFICATIONS

Specification:	Method:
FCC 2.1093:2020	FCC KDB 447498 D01 v06 FCC KDB 865664 D01 v01r04 FCC KDB 865664 D02 v01r02 IEEE Std 1528:2013

RESULTS

Frequency:	Channel:	Output Power (dBm):	Output Power (mW):
902.25	0	14.82	30.3
914.75	25	17.08	51.1
927.75	51	16.61	45.8

SAR TEST DATA



EUT:	MIC-WRL-TRN-420	Work Order:	WTVD0035
Customer:	WatchGuard Video	Job Site:	MN11
Attendees:	None	Customer Project:	None

TEST SPECIFICATIONS

Specification:	Method:
FCC 2.1093:2020	FCC KDB 447498 D01 v06 FCC KDB 865664 D01 v01r04 FCC KDB 865664 D02 v01r02 IEEE Std 1528:2013

COMMENTS

Note 1 in measured values indicates that no measurement was found due to the signal being lower than the probe could measure. The SAR probe is capable of measurements down to 0.010 mW/g.

Note 2 in SAR Drift indicates values were too low to get a reliable SAR drift measurement during testing.

DEVIATIONS FROM TEST STANDARD

None

RESULTS

Freq. Band	Transmit Freq. (MHz)	Transmit Channel	Accessories	EUT Position	SAR Drift During Test (dB)	Measured 1g SAR Level (mW/g)	Measured 10g SAR Level (mW/g)	Scaling Factor	Scaled 1g SAR level (mW/g)	Scaled 10g SAR Level (mW/g)	Test#
902-928 MHz	914.75	25	Microphone	Front	-0.13	0.136	0.040	0.99	0.135	0.040	No Clip Mic 1A
902-928 MHz	914.75	25	Microphone	Back	0.04	0.012	0.007	0.99	0.012	0.007	No Clip Mic 1B
902-928 MHz	914.75	25	Microphone	LCD Screen	N/A ¹	N/A ¹	N/A ¹	N/A ¹	N/A ¹	N/A ¹	No Clip Mic 1C
902-928 MHz	914.75	25	Microphone	Mic Port	0.07	0.070	0.024	0.99	0.069	0.024	No Clip Mic 1D
902-928 MHz	914.75	25	Microphone	Mode Button	N/A ²	0.001	0.001	0.99	0.001	0.001	No Clip Mic 1E
902-928 MHz	914.75	25	Microphone	Power Button	0.15	0.015	0.007	0.99	0.015	0.007	No Clip Mic 1F
902-928 MHz	914.75	25	None	Front	0.19	0.097	0.037	0.99	0.096	0.037	No Clip 2A
902-928 MHz	914.75	25	None	Back	0.21	0.011	0.007	0.99	0.011	0.007	No Clip 2B
902-928 MHz	914.75	25	None	LCD Screen	N/A ¹	N/A ¹	N/A ¹	N/A ¹	N/A ¹	N/A ¹	No Clip 2C
902-928 MHz	914.75	25	None	Mic Port	-0.41	0.069	0.028	0.99	0.068	0.028	No Clip 2D
902-928 MHz	914.75	25	None	Mode Button	N/A ²	0.001	0.001	0.99	0.001	0.001	No Clip 2E
902-928 MHz	914.75	25	None	Power Button	N/A ²	0.024	0.013	0.99	0.024	0.013	No Clip 2F
902-928 MHz	914.75	25	Belt Clip	Back	-0.03	0.005	0.002	0.99	0.005	0.002	Belt Clip 1A
902-928 MHz	914.75	25	Belt Clip Microphone	Back	0.16	0.006	0.003	0.99	0.006	0.003	Belt Clip Mic 1A
902-928 MHz	914.75	25	Shirt Clip	Back	-0.08	0.004	0.002	0.99	0.004	0.002	Shirt Clip 1A
902-928 MHz	914.75	25	Shirt Clip Microphone	Back	-0.07	0.004	0.003	0.99	0.004	0.003	Shit Clip Mic 1A

SAR TEST DATA



Tested By:	Kyle McMullan	Room Temperature (°C):	24.5°C
Date:	5/29/2020 12:13:16 PM	Liquid Temperature (°C):	20.7°C
Serial Number:	7C	Humidity (%RH):	46.2%
Configuration:	WTVDD0035-4	Bar. Pressure (mb):	1020.4 mb
Comments:	None		

No Clip Mic 1A

DUT: HiFi Microphone; Type: NA; Serial: Unknown

Communication System: UID 0, CW (0); Communication System Band: D900 (900.0 MHz); Frequency: 914.75 MHz; Communication System PAR: 0 dB; PMF: 1

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 914.75$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.041$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 54.72$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2007)

DASY Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3746; ConvF(8.96, 8.96, 8.96) @ 914.75 MHz; Calibrated: 11/19/2019
 - Modulation Compensation:
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection), $z = 1.0, 31.0$
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1237; Calibrated: 2/4/2020
- Phantom: ELI V6.0 (SAC); Type: QD OVA 003 AA; Serial: 2044
- DASY52 52.10.2(1504); SEMCAD X 14.6.12(7470)

Body/Body/Reference scan (61x81x1): Interpolated grid: $dx=1.500$ mm, $dy=1.500$ mm

[Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation.](#)

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.190 W/kg

Body/Body/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=8$ mm, $dy=8$ mm, $dz=5$ mm

Reference Value = 23.46 V/m; Power Drift = -0.13 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.823 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.136 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.040 W/kg (SAR corrected for target medium)

[Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation.](#)

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.501 W/kg

Body/Body/Area scan (41x41x1): Interpolated grid: $dx=1.500$ mm, $dy=1.500$ mm


[Info: Interpolated medium parameters used for SAR evaluation.](#)

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.525 W/kg

Approved By

SAR TEST DATA

No Clip Mic 1A




SYSTEM AND TEST SITE DESCRIPTION

SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM

Schmid & Partner Engineering AG, DASY52

Element selected the leader in SAR evaluation systems to provide the measurement tools for this evaluation. SPEAG's DASY52 is the fastest and most accurate scanner on the market. It is fully compatible with all world-wide standards for transmitters operating at the ear or within 20cm of the body. It provides full compatibility with IEC 62209-1, IEC 62209-2, IEEE 1528 as well as national adaptations such as FCC OET-65c and Korean Std. MIC #2000-93

The DASY52 system for performing compliance tests consists of the following items:



- A standard high precision 6-axis robot (Staubli TX=RX family) with controller, teach pendant and software. An arm extension for accommodating the data acquisition electronics (DAE).
- An isotropic field probe optimized and calibrated for the targeted measurement.
- A data acquisition electronics (DAE) which performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. The unit is battery powered with standard or rechargeable batteries. The signal is optically transmitted to the EOC.
- The Electro-optical converter (EOC) performs the conversion from optical to electrical signals for the digital communication to the DAE. To use optical surface detection, a special version of the EOC is required. The EOC signal is transmitted to the measurement server.
- The function of the measurement server is to perform the time critical tasks such as signal filtering, control of the robot operation and fast movement interrupts.
- The Light Beam used is for probe alignment. This improves the (absolute) accuracy of the probe positioning.
- A computer running WinXP and the DASY5 software.
- Remote control and teach pendant as well as additional circuitry for robot safety such as warning lamps, etc.
- The SAM twin phantom, oval flat phantom, device holder, tissue simulating liquids, and validation dipole kits.


SYSTEM AND TEST SITE DESCRIPTION

TEST SITE

Element

The SAR measurement system is located in a semi-anechoic chamber. This provides an ambient free environment that also eliminates reflections.

The chamber is 12 ft wide by 16 ft long x 8 ft high. A dedicated HVAC unit provides +/- 1 degree C temperature control.



TEST EQUIPMENT



TEST EQUIPMENT

Description	Manufacturer	Model	ID	Last Cal.	Interval
Amplifier	Mini Circuits	ZHL-5W-2G-S+	TRZ	NCR ¹	0 mo
Antenna - Dipole	SPEAG	D900V2	ADP	11/11/2019	12 mo
DAE	SPEAG	SD 000 D04 EJ	SAH	12/11/2019	12 mo
Device Holder	SPEAG	N/A	SAW	NCR	0 mo
Dielectric Assessment Kit	SPEAG	DAKS:200	IPR	4/25/2019	36 mo
Generator - Signal	Agilent	V2920A	TIH	NCR	0 mo
Meter - Power	Agilent	N1913A	SQR	10/8/2019	12 mo
Power Sensor	Agilent	E9300H	SQO	10/8/2019	12 mo
Probe - Dielectric	SPEAG	DAKS-3.5	IPRA	11/12/2019	36 mo
Probe - SAR	SPEAG	ES3DV3	SAF	11/14/2019	12 mo
SAR - Tissue Test Solution	SPEAG	MBBL600-6000V6	SALM	At start of testing	
SAR Test System	Staeubli	DAYS5	SAK	NCR	0 mo
SAR Test System	SPEAG	QD OVA 001 BB	SAC	NCR	0 mo
Thermometer	Omega Engineering, Inc.	HH311	DUI	2/15/2018	36 mo

Note 1: The output of the signal generator / amplifier is verified with the calibrated power meter listed above.

MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY



MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY BUDGETS PER IEEE 1528:2013

300-3000 MHz Range

Uncertainty Component	Tolerance (+/- %)	Probability Distribution	Divisor	c_i (1g)	c_i (10g)	u_i (1g) (+/-%)	u_i (10g) (+/-%)	v_i
Measurement System								
Probe calibration (k=1)	5.5	normal	1	1	1	5.5	5.5	∞
Axial isotropy	4.7	rectangular	1.732	0.707	0.707	1.9	1.9	∞
Hemispherical isotropy	9.6	rectangular	1.732	0.707	0.707	3.9	3.9	∞
Boundary effect	1.0	rectangular	1.732	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Linearity	4.7	rectangular	1.732	1	1	2.7	2.7	∞
System detection limits	1.0	rectangular	1.732	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Readout electronics	0.3	normal	1	1	1	0.3	0.3	∞
Response time	0.8	rectangular	1.732	1	1	0.5	0.5	∞
Integration time	2.6	rectangular	1.732	1	1	1.5	1.5	∞
RF ambient conditions - noise	1.7	rectangular	1.732	1	1	1.0	1.0	∞
RF Ambient Reflections	0.0	rectangular	1.732	1	1	0.0	0.0	∞
Probe positioner mechanical tolerance	0.4	rectangular	1.732	1	1	0.2	0.2	∞
Probe positioner with respect to phantom shell	2.9	rectangular	1.732	1	1	1.7	1.7	∞
Extrapolation, interpolation, and integration algorithms for max. SAR evaluation	1.0	rectangular	1.732	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Test Sample Related								
Device Positioning	2.9	normal	1	1	1	2.9	2.9	145
Device Holder	3.6	normal	1	1	1	3.6	3.6	5
Power Drift	5.0	rectangular	1.732	1	1	2.9	2.9	∞
Phantom and tissue parameters								
Phantom Uncertainty - shell thickness tolerances	4.0	rectangular	1.732	1	1	2.3	2.3	∞
Liquid conductivity - deviation from target values	5.0	rectangular	1.732	0.64	0.43	1.8	1.2	∞
Liquid conductivity - measurement uncertainty	6.5	normal	1	0.64	0.43	4.2	2.8	∞
Liquid permittivity - deviation from target values	5.0	rectangular	1.732	0.6	0.49	1.7	1.4	∞
Liquid permittivity - measurement uncertainty	3.2	normal	1	0.6	0.49	1.9	1.6	∞
Combined Standard Uncertainty	RSS					11.2	10.6	387
Expanded Measurement Uncertainty (95% Confidence/	normal (k=2)					22.5	21.2	



ADP

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 0108**

Client **Element**

Certificate No: **D900V2-1d106_Nov19**

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object **D900V2 - SN:1d106**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-05.v11
Calibration Procedure for SAR Validation Sources between 0.7-3 GHz**

Calibration date: **November 11, 2019**

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).
The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter NRP	SN: 104778	03-Apr-19 (No. 217-02892/02893)	Apr-20
Power sensor NRP-Z91	SN: 103244	03-Apr-19 (No. 217-02892)	Apr-20
Power sensor NRP-Z91	SN: 103245	03-Apr-19 (No. 217-02893)	Apr-20
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: 5058 (20k)	04-Apr-19 (No. 217-02894)	Apr-20
Type-N mismatch combination	SN: 5047.2 / 06327	04-Apr-19 (No. 217-02895)	Apr-20
Reference Probe EX3DV4	SN: 7349	29-May-19 (No. EX3-7349_May19)	May-20
DAE4	SN: 601	30-Apr-19 (No. DAE4-601_Apr19)	Apr-20
Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
Power meter E4419B	SN: GB39512475	30-Oct-14 (in house check Feb-19)	In house check: Oct-20
Power sensor HP 8481A	SN: US37292783	07-Oct-15 (in house check Oct-18)	In house check: Oct-20
Power sensor HP 8481A	SN: MY41092317	07-Oct-15 (in house check Oct-18)	In house check: Oct-20
RF generator R&S SMT-06	SN: 100972	15-Jun-15 (in house check Oct-18)	In house check: Oct-20
Network Analyzer Agilent E8358A	SN: US41080477	31-Mar-14 (in house check Oct-19)	In house check: Oct-20

Calibrated by:	Name Leif Klysner	Function Laboratory Technician	Signature
Approved by:	Katja Pokovic	Technical Manager	

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

Issued: November 12, 2019



Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 0108**

Glossary:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM x,y,z
N/A	not applicable or not measured

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- IEEE Std 1528-2013, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", June 2013
- IEC 62209-1, "Measurement procedure for the assessment of Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) from hand-held and body-mounted devices used next to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 6 GHz)", July 2016
- IEC 62209-2, "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz)", March 2010
- KDB 865664, "SAR Measurement Requirements for 100 MHz to 6 GHz"

Additional Documentation:

- DASY4/5 System Handbook

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- Measurement Conditions:** Further details are available from the Validation Report at the end of the certificate. All figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated.
- Antenna Parameters with TSL:** The dipole is mounted with the spacer to position its feed point exactly below the center marking of the flat phantom section, with the arms oriented parallel to the body axis.
- Feed Point Impedance and Return Loss:** These parameters are measured with the dipole positioned under the liquid filled phantom. The impedance stated is transformed from the measurement at the SMA connector to the feed point. The Return Loss ensures low reflected power. No uncertainty required.
- Electrical Delay:** One-way delay between the SMA connector and the antenna feed point. No uncertainty required.
- SAR measured:** SAR measured at the stated antenna input power.
- SAR normalized:** SAR as measured, normalized to an input power of 1 W at the antenna connector.
- SAR for nominal TSL parameters:** The measured TSL parameters are used to calculate the nominal SAR result.

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor $k=2$, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

Measurement Conditions

DASY system configuration, as far as not given on page 1.

DASY Version	DASY5	V52.10.3
Extrapolation	Advanced Extrapolation	
Phantom	Modular Flat Phantom	
Distance Dipole Center - TSL	15 mm	with Spacer
Zoom Scan Resolution	dx, dy, dz = 5 mm	
Frequency	900 MHz $\pm$ 1 MHz	

Head TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Head TSL parameters	22.0 °C	41.5	0.97 mho/m
Measured Head TSL parameters	(22.0 $\pm$ 0.2) °C	41.8 $\pm$ 6 %	0.94 mho/m $\pm$ 6 %
Head TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	----	----

SAR result with Head TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm³ (1 g) of Head TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	2.74 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	11.3 W/kg $\pm$ 17.0 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm³ (10 g) of Head TSL	condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	1.76 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	7.20 W/kg $\pm$ 16.5 % (k=2)

Body TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Body TSL parameters	22.0 °C	55.0	1.05 mho/m
Measured Body TSL parameters	(22.0 $\pm$ 0.2) °C	54.9 $\pm$ 6 %	1.01 mho/m $\pm$ 6 %
Body TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	----	----

SAR result with Body TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm³ (1 g) of Body TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	2.70 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	11.1 W/kg $\pm$ 17.0 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm³ (10 g) of Body TSL	condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	1.76 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	7.20 W/kg $\pm$ 16.5 % (k=2)

Appendix (Additional assessments outside the scope of SCS 0108)

Antenna Parameters with Head TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	49.3 Ω - 6.2 j Ω
Return Loss	- 24.1 dB

Antenna Parameters with Body TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	45.2 Ω - 8.8 j Ω
Return Loss	- 19.6 dB

General Antenna Parameters and Design

Electrical Delay (one direction)	1.411 ns
----------------------------------	----------

After long term use with 100W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals. On some of the dipoles, small end caps are added to the dipole arms in order to improve matching when loaded according to the position as explained in the "Measurement Conditions" paragraph. The SAR data are not affected by this change. The overall dipole length is still according to the Standard.

No excessive force must be applied to the dipole arms, because they might bend or the soldered connections near the feedpoint may be damaged.

Additional EUT Data

Manufactured by	SPEAG
-----------------	-------

DASY5 Validation Report for Head TSL

Date: 11.11.2019

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole 900 MHz; Type: D900V2; Serial: D900V2 - SN:1d106

Communication System: UID 0 - CW; Frequency: 900 MHz

Medium parameters used: $f = 900$ MHz; $\sigma = 0.94$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 41.8$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

DASY52 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7349; ConvF(9.51, 9.51, 9.51) @ 900 MHz; Calibrated: 29.05.2019
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 30.04.2019
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 4.9 (front); Type: QD 00L P49 AA; Serial: 1001
- DASY52 52.10.3(1513); SEMCAD X 14.6.13(7474)

Dipole Calibration for Head Tissue/Pin=250 mW, d=15mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 66.66 V/m; Power Drift = -0.02 dB


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 4.14 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 2.74 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 1.76 W/kg


Smallest distance from peaks to all points 3 dB below = 16 mm

Ratio of SAR at M2 to SAR at M1 = 66.5%

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 3.64 W/kg



Impedance Measurement Plot for Head TSL



DASY5 Validation Report for Body TSL

Date: 11.11.2019

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole 900 MHz; Type: D900V2; Serial: D900V2 - SN:1d106

Communication System: UID 0 - CW; Frequency: 900 MHz

Medium parameters used: $f = 900 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 1.01 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 54.9$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

DASY52 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7349; ConvF(9.95, 9.95, 9.95) @ 900 MHz; Calibrated: 29.05.2019
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 30.04.2019
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 4.9 (Back); Type: QD 00R P49 AA; Serial: 1005
- DASY52 52.10.3(1513); SEMCAD X 14.6.13(7474)

Dipole Calibration for Body Tissue/Pin=250 mW, d=15mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: $dx=5\text{mm}$, $dy=5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 60.81 V/m; Power Drift = 0.01 dB


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.99 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 2.7 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 1.76 W/kg

Smallest distance from peaks to all points 3 dB below = 15 mm


Ratio of SAR at M2 to SAR at M1 = 67.8%

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 3.59 W/kg



0 dB = 3.59 W/kg = 5.55 dBW/kg

Impedance Measurement Plot for Body TSL



SAF

Calibration Laboratory of
Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG
 Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland



S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst
C Service suisse d'étalonnage
S Servizio svizzero di taratura
 Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
 Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 0108**

Client **Element**

Certificate No: **ES3-3246_Nov19**

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object **ES3DV3 - SN:3246**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-01.v9, QA CAL-12.v9, QA CAL-23.v5, QA CAL-25.v7**
 Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes

Calibration date: **November 14, 2019**

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).
 The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature $(22 \pm 3)^{\circ}\text{C}$ and humidity $< 70\%$.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter NRP	SN: 104778	03-Apr-19 (No. 217-02892/02893)	Apr-20
Power sensor NRP-Z91	SN: 103244	03-Apr-19 (No. 217-02892)	Apr-20
Power sensor NRP-Z91	SN: 103245	03-Apr-19 (No. 217-02893)	Apr-20
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: S5277 (20x)	04-Apr-19 (No. 217-02894)	Apr-20
DAE4	SN: 660	07-Oct-19 (No. DAE4-660_Oct19)	Oct-20
Reference Probe ES3DV2	SN: 3013	31-Dec-18 (No. ES3-3013_Dec18)	Dec-19
Secondary Standards	ID	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
Power meter E4419B	SN: GB41293874	06-Apr-16 (in house check Jun-18)	In house check: Jun-20
Power sensor E4412A	SN: MY41498087	06-Apr-16 (in house check Jun-18)	In house check: Jun-20
Power sensor E4412A	SN: 000110210	06-Apr-16 (in house check Jun-18)	In house check: Jun-20
RF generator HP 8648C	SN: US3642U01700	04-Aug-99 (in house check Jun-18)	In house check: Jun-20
Network Analyzer E8358A	SN: US41080477	31-Mar-14 (in house check Oct-19)	In house check: Oct-20

Calibrated by:	Name	Function	Signature
	Claudio Leubler	Laboratory Technician	
Approved by:	Name	Function	Signature
	Katja Pokovic	Technical Manager	

Issued: November 18, 2019

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.



Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

Accreditation No.: **SCS 0108**

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
 Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Glossary:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
NORM _{x,y,z}	sensitivity in free space
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM _{x,y,z}
DCP	diode compression point
CF	crest factor (1/duty_cycle) of the RF signal
A, B, C, D	modulation dependent linearization parameters
Polarization ϕ	ϕ rotation around probe axis
Polarization ϑ	ϑ rotation around an axis that is in the plane normal to probe axis (at measurement center), i.e., $\vartheta = 0$ is normal to probe axis
Connector Angle	information used in DASY system to align probe sensor X to the robot coordinate system

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- IEEE Std 1528-2013, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", June 2013
- IEC 62209-1, "Measurement procedure for the assessment of Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) from hand-held and body-mounted devices used next to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 6 GHz)", July 2016
- IEC 62209-2, "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz)", March 2010
- KDB 865664, "SAR Measurement Requirements for 100 MHz to 6 GHz"

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- NORM_{x,y,z}**: Assessed for E-field polarization $\vartheta = 0$ ($f \leq 900$ MHz in TEM-cell; $f > 1800$ MHz: R22 waveguide). NORM_{x,y,z} are only intermediate values, i.e., the uncertainties of NORM_{x,y,z} does not affect the E²-field uncertainty inside TSL (see below ConvF).
- NORM(f)_{x,y,z}** = NORM_{x,y,z} * frequency_response (see Frequency Response Chart). This linearization is implemented in DASY4 software versions later than 4.2. The uncertainty of the frequency response is included in the stated uncertainty of ConvF.
- DCP_{x,y,z}**: DCP are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep with CW signal (no uncertainty required). DCP does not depend on frequency nor media.
- PAR**: PAR is the Peak to Average Ratio that is not calibrated but determined based on the signal characteristics
- A_{x,y,z}; B_{x,y,z}; C_{x,y,z}; D_{x,y,z}; VR_{x,y,z}**: A, B, C, D are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep for specific modulation signal. The parameters do not depend on frequency nor media. VR is the maximum calibration range expressed in RMS voltage across the diode.
- ConvF and Boundary Effect Parameters**: Assessed in flat phantom using E-field (or Temperature Transfer Standard for $f \leq 800$ MHz) and inside waveguide using analytical field distributions based on power measurements for $f > 800$ MHz. The same setups are used for assessment of the parameters applied for boundary compensation (alpha, depth) of which typical uncertainty values are given. These parameters are used in DASY4 software to improve probe accuracy close to the boundary. The sensitivity in TSL corresponds to NORM_{x,y,z} * ConvF whereby the uncertainty corresponds to that given for ConvF. A frequency dependent ConvF is used in DASY version 4.4 and higher which allows extending the validity from ± 50 MHz to ± 100 MHz.
- Spherical isotropy (3D deviation from isotropy)**: in a field of low gradients realized using a flat phantom exposed by a patch antenna.
- Sensor Offset**: The sensor offset corresponds to the offset of virtual measurement center from the probe tip (on probe axis). No tolerance required.
- Connector Angle**: The angle is assessed using the information gained by determining the NORM_x (no uncertainty required).

DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: ES3DV3 - SN:3246

Basic Calibration Parameters

	Sensor X	Sensor Y	Sensor Z	Unc (k=2)
Norm ($\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$) ^A	1.38	1.02	1.20	± 10.1 %
DCP (mV) ^B	102.7	103.1	102.8	

Calibration Results for Modulation Response

UID	Communication System Name		A dB	B dB $\sqrt{\mu\text{V}}$	C	D dB	VR mV	Max dev.	Unc ^E (k=2)
0	CW	X	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.00	193.8	±3.5 %	± 4.7 %
		Y	0.0	0.0	1.0		197.9		
		Z	0.0	0.0	1.0		172.4		

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

^A The uncertainties of Norm X,Y,Z do not affect the E²-field uncertainty inside TSL (see Pages 5 and 6).

^B Numerical linearization parameter: uncertainty not required.

^E Uncertainty is determined using the max. deviation from linear response applying rectangular distribution and is expressed for the square of the field value.

DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: ES3DV3 - SN:3246

Other Probe Parameters

Sensor Arrangement	Triangular
Connector Angle (°)	64.8
Mechanical Surface Detection Mode	enabled
Optical Surface Detection Mode	disabled
Probe Overall Length	337 mm
Probe Body Diameter	10 mm
Tip Length	10 mm
Tip Diameter	4 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor X Calibration Point	2 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor Y Calibration Point	2 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor Z Calibration Point	2 mm
Recommended Measurement Distance from Surface	3 mm

DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: ES3DV3 - SN:3246

Calibration Parameter Determined in Head Tissue Simulating Media

f (MHz) ^C	Relative Permittivity ^F	Conductivity (S/m) ^F	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha ^G	Depth ^G (mm)	Unc (k=2)
450	43.5	0.87	6.66	6.66	6.66	0.23	1.30	± 13.3 %
750	41.9	0.89	6.42	6.42	6.42	0.57	1.51	± 12.0 %
835	41.5	0.90	6.25	6.25	6.25	0.77	1.19	± 12.0 %
900	41.5	0.97	6.11	6.11	6.11	0.63	1.35	± 12.0 %
1750	40.1	1.37	5.37	5.37	5.37	0.48	1.55	± 12.0 %
1900	40.0	1.40	5.14	5.14	5.14	0.66	1.29	± 12.0 %

^C Frequency validity above 300 MHz of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2), else it is restricted to ± 50 MHz. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band. Frequency validity below 300 MHz is ± 10, 25, 40, 50 and 70 MHz for ConvF assessments at 30, 64, 128, 150 and 220 MHz respectively. Validity of ConvF assessed at 6 MHz is 4-9 MHz, and ConvF assessed at 13 MHz is 9-19 MHz. Above 5 GHz frequency validity can be extended to ± 110 MHz.

^F At frequencies below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ and σ) can be relaxed to ± 10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to measured SAR values. At frequencies above 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ and σ) is restricted to ± 5%. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty for indicated target tissue parameters.

^G Alpha/Depth are determined during calibration. SPEAG warrants that the remaining deviation due to the boundary effect after compensation is always less than ± 1% for frequencies below 3 GHz and below ± 2% for frequencies between 3-6 GHz at any distance larger than half the probe tip diameter from the boundary.

DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: ES3DV3 - SN:3246

Calibration Parameter Determined in Body Tissue Simulating Media


f (MHz) ^C	Relative Permittivity ^F	Conductivity (S/m) ^F	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha ^G	Depth ^G (mm)	Unc (k=2)
450	56.7	0.94	7.34	7.34	7.34	0.18	1.20	± 13.3 %
750	55.5	0.96	6.35	6.35	6.35	0.54	1.38	± 12.0 %
835	55.2	0.97	6.17	6.17	6.17	0.71	1.22	± 12.0 %
900	55.0	1.05	5.99	5.99	5.99	0.46	1.48	± 12.0 %
1750	53.4	1.49	5.06	5.06	5.06	0.41	1.79	± 12.0 %
1900	53.3	1.52	4.74	4.74	4.74	0.51	1.85	± 12.0 %

^C Frequency validity above 300 MHz of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2), else it is restricted to ± 50 MHz. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band. Frequency validity below 300 MHz is ± 10, 25, 40, 50 and 70 MHz for ConvF assessments at 30, 64, 128, 150 and 220 MHz respectively. Validity of ConvF assessed at 6 MHz is 4-9 MHz, and ConvF assessed at 13 MHz is 9-19 MHz. Above 5 GHz frequency validity can be extended to ± 110 MHz.

^F At frequencies below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ and σ) can be relaxed to ± 10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to measured SAR values. At frequencies above 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ and σ) is restricted to ± 5%. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty for indicated target tissue parameters.

^G Alpha/Depth are determined during calibration. SPEAG warrants that the remaining deviation due to the boundary effect after compensation is always less than ± 1% for frequencies below 3 GHz and below ± 2% for frequencies between 3-6 GHz at any distance larger than half the probe tip diameter from the boundary.


Frequency Response of E-Field
(TEM-Cell:ifi1110 EXX, Waveguide: R22)





Uncertainty of Frequency Response of E-field: $\pm 6.3\%$ (k=2)

Receiving Pattern (ϕ), $\theta = 0^\circ$

f=600 MHz,TEM





f=1800 MHz,R22




Uncertainty of Axial Isotropy Assessment: $\pm 0.5\%$ ($k=2$)

Dynamic Range $f(\text{SAR}_{\text{head}})$ (TEM cell , $f_{\text{eval}} = 1900 \text{ MHz}$)



Uncertainty of Linearity Assessment: $\pm 0.6\%$ ($k=2$)

Conversion Factor Assessment



Deviation from Isotropy in Liquid

Error (ϕ, ϑ), $f = 900 \text{ MHz}$

